The Role of Climate Change in the Expansion of Dengue

Rafael de Abreu[1], Iago Perez Fernandez[1], Swapnil Mishra[2,3], Bernardo Gutierrez[4,5,6], Rhys P.D. Inward[4,5], Cathal Mills[5,7], Eduardo Lopez Ortiz[8], Leonardo S. Bastos[9,10], Laís Picinini Freitas[11], Luiz Max Carvalho[12], Seth Flaxman[13], Samir Bhatt[14,15,16], Samuel V. Scarpino[17,18,18,20], Flávio C. Coelho[12], Robert C. Reiner[21], Prathyush Sambaturu[4,5], Houriiyah Tegally[22], Simon Cauchemez[23], Oswaldo Gonçalves Cruz[9], César V. Munayco[24], José Alberto Díaz-Quiñonez[25,26], Dann Mitchell[27], Fraser Lott[28], Francesca Dominici[29], Oliver G. Pybus[4,5,30], Cláudia Torres Codeço[9], Marcia C. Castro[31]†, Moritz U.G. Kraemer[4,5]†*, Sarah Sparrow[1]†*

Affiliations:

[1]Oxford e-Research Centre, Engineering Science, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX13QG, UK.
[2]National University of Singapore, Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, Singapore, Singapore
[3]Institute of Data Science, National University of Singapore, Singapore
[4]Department of Biology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.
[5]Pandemic Sciences Institute, University of Oxford, UK.
[6]Colegio de Ciencias Biologicas y Ambientales, Universidad San Francisco de Quito (USFQ), Quito, Ecuador.
[7]Department of Statistics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.
[8]National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico City, Mexico.
[9]Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Presidência, Programa de Computação Científica, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
[10]Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada e Tecnológica (IMPA Tech), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
[11]Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública Sergio Arouca, Departamento de Epidemiologia e Métodos Quantitativos em Saúde, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
[12]School of Applied Mathematics, Getulio Vargas Foundation, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
[13]Department of Computer Science, University of Oxford, UK.
[14]Section of Epidemiology, Department of Public Health, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.
[15]MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK.
[16]Pioneer Centre for Artificial Intelligence University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.
[17]Institute for Experiential AI, Northeastern University, Boston, MA, USA.
[18]Department of Public Health and Health Sciences, Northeastern University, Boston, MA, USA.
[19]Network Science Institute, Northeastern University, Boston, MA, USA.
[20]Santa Fe Institute, Santa Fe, NM, USA.
[21]Department of Health Metrics Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, USA.
[22]Centre for Epidemic Response and Innovation (CERI), School for Data Science and Computational Thinking, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa
[23]Mathematical Modelling of Infectious Diseases Unit, Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, INSERM U1332, CNRS UMR2000, Paris, France.
[24]Centro Nacional de Epidemiología, Prevención y Control de Enfermedades, Ministerio de Salud del Perú.
[25]Dirección General de Calidad y Educación en Salud, Secretaría de Salud, Ciudad de México, México.
[26]Tecnológico de Monterrey, Escuela de Medicina y Ciencias de la Salud, Monterrey, México.
[27]School of Geographical Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK.
[28]Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, United Kingdom
[29]Department of Biostatistics, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA.
[30]Department of Pathobiology and Population Sciences, The Royal Veterinary College, London, UK
[31]Department of Global Health and Population, Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02115, USA.

†Contributed equally as senior authors
*Corresponding author: sarah.sparrow@oerc.ox.ac.uk (S.S.); moritz.kraemer@biology.ox.ac.uk (M.U.G.K.)

Abstract: Climate change-related weather and extreme events are increasing in intensity and frequency, affecting infectious disease transmission globally. Dengue, a climate-sensitive vector-borne disease, to which over half the world's population is at risk of infection, has expanded its geographical range over recent decades. The 2023/24 season marked the largest ever dengue outbreak year in the Americas, coinciding with the hottest year on record in the Americas. Here, we use statistical models to investigate the Brazil 2023/24 dengue season and attribute how anthropogenic climate change impacted it. We analyze >20 years of dengue data across >5000 municipalities and find that observed temperature anomalies in municipalities of southern Brazil pushed those locations into optimal thermal conditions for dengue transmission. In contrast, in northern Brazil, 2023/24 temperatures became too high for effective transmission, resulting in lower dengue incidence compared to a counterfactual scenario without anthropogenic climate change. We test the generalizability of our model to high altitude areas in Mexico, where dengue has been expanding. Our work empirically demonstrates how a climate-change-related temperature anomaly led to the range expansion and growth of dengue across variable ecological and socio-economic settings, with implications for preparedness, adaptation, mitigation, and resilience planning.

1. Introduction

Climate change is driving changes in human health and will disproportionately affect populations in low- and middle-income countries (Dzau et al., 2025; Ebi, Bi, et al., 2025; Smiley et al., 2022). Dengue virus (DENV), transmitted by *Aedes aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes, has expanded its range in recent decades and it is estimated that more than half of the global population now lives in areas at risk of infection (Lim et al., 2025). The range and intensity of dengue outbreaks are expected to continue increasing (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2023) due to a set of co-occurring processes that include climate warming, climatic variability leading to more intense and frequent extreme events (such as longer warm and wet seasons), repeated exposures to different DENV serotypes, increasing urbanization, and human mobility (Harish et al., 2024). In addition, climate patterns (e.g., *El Niño*) and extreme events can alter the ecology and dynamics of DENV transmission, synchronizing epidemics across larger regions and/or causing severe outbreaks (Cazelles et al., 2023; Dostal et al., 2022; Mills et al., 2024; Quandelacy et al., 2025; van Panhuis et al., 2015). The focus of research to date linking weather and climate to dengue transmission has largely focused on how gradual changes in temperatures impact the global distribution of areas suitable for dengue transmission (Messina et al., 2019), and on potential changes in future dengue burden (Heath et al., 2025).

Such models failed to predict the 2023/24 dengue season in the Americas, the largest dengue epidemic on record there (>12 million cases and 7,000 deaths), with some countries and regions reporting substantial geographical expansion in dengue incidence. In southern Brazil, the states of Paraná, Santa Catarina, and Rio Grande do Sul all declared health emergencies; the number of reported deaths in Rio Grande do Sul was larger than for all previous years combined (C. T. Codeco et al., 2022; DATASUS, 2025; Quandelacy et al., 2025). The Pan American Health Organization (PAHO, 2024) has suggested that this surge in cases was linked to Brazil experiencing its highest ever reported temperatures in 2023/24, 0.73°C above the historical average (1991 - 2020) (*Instituto Nacional de Meteorologia - INMET*, n.d., *State of the Climate in Latin America and the Caribbean 2024*, 2025). However, the extent to which the 2023/24 temperature anomalies – and concurrent dengue epidemics – can be attributed to human-induced climate change remains unclear. Previous efforts to attribute human health outcomes to climate change (Ebi, Haines, et al., 2025) have focused primarily on the direct effects of heatwaves on

heat-related mortality (Barnes et al., 2025; Janoš et al., 2025; Mitchell et al., 2016; Stuart-Smith et al., 2025; Vicedo-Cabrera et al., 2021, 2023).

We seek to address this gap by analyzing >20 years of high-resolution, municipality-level time series dengue case data in Brazil, paired with climate and socioeconomic data. Our panel regression modelling framework accounts for local variation in climate seasonality, immunological, socio-economic (e.g., access to water and sanitation), and demographic characteristics. In a series of analyses we aim to (i) isolate the impact of climate-related drivers on dengue, (ii) evaluate the effects of the 2023/24 weather anomaly on dengue across regions and (iii) use a state-of-the art climate model to quantify the relative contribution of anthropogenic climate-change to the record dengue incidence (Ciavarella et al., 2018).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Climate data

In this study, we used monthly mean temperature from the ERA5 reanalysis (Hersbach et al., 2020), which provides an accurate representation of the past state of the atmosphere by combining past observations with weather prediction models. ERA5 has a spatial resolution of approximately 27 km and is available from 1940 onwards. For accumulated precipitation we used the Climate Hazards Group InfraRed Precipitation with Station (CHIRPS), which is available from 1981 onwards and has a spatial resolution of 5 km (Funk et al., 2015). We extracted data for each municipality over the study period and used these data to fit the model. If the municipality's area was smaller than the model resolution, we used the value from the nearest grid point to the municipality centroid; otherwise, we calculated the average of the grid cells within the municipality.

To detect the contribution of anthropogenic climate change on the dengue epidemic, we used the UK Met Office HadGEM3-A model, which is run on an N216 grid (approximately 60 km in the midlatitudes). This model includes two scenarios: NAT, where only natural forcings are included—i.e., variability in solar irradiance at the top of the atmosphere and aerosols from volcanic eruptions—and ACT, where both natural and anthropogenic forcings are included—such as the effects of greenhouse gases, aerosols from industrial processes, and land use changes (Ciavarella et al., 2018). The ACT simulation is forced using observed sea surface temperature (SST) and sea ice extent from HadISST (N. A. Rayner, D. E. Parker, E. B. Horton, C. K. Folland, L. V. Alexander, D. P. Rowell, E. C. Kent, A. Kaplan, 2003), while NAT has anthropogenic influence removed from SST and sea ice patterns based on delta derived from the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) multi-model mean, as described in (Pall et al., 2011) and (Christidis et al., 2013). From this setup, 525 ensemble members are generated based on stochastic physics perturbations (Ciavarella et al., 2018), for each scenario for the year 2024, and are used to determine the differences between the two scenarios. We also use temperature and precipitation from HadGEM3-A historical runs, which span the period between 1960 to 2013 and have 15 ensemble members available. *El Niño* events alter regional climate patterns in the Americas and consequently dengue incidence. During 2023/24, a strong *El Niño* event contributed to reduced rainfall in the North and Northeast of Brazil, increased precipitation in the South, and widespread temperature rise across much of Brazil and South America (Cai et al., 2020). We explicitly consider the impact of *El Niño* including observed SST as a boundary condition in the HadGEM3-A model which ensures that the climate model reproduces the same *El Niño* phase as observed. By doing so we can isolate the impact of human-induced climate change on top of *El Niño*.

To ensure that our results are not systematically biased due to the HadGEM3-A model's error, we applied a bias correction using ERA5 and CHIRPS data. For temperature, we employed the following equation:

$$ACT^*_{i,y(t),m(t)} = ACT_{i,y(t),m(t)} - \overline{ACT}_{i,m(t)(1981-2010)} + \overline{ERA5}_{i,m(t)(1981-2010)}$$
$$NAT^*_{i,y(t),m(t)} = NAT_{i,y(t),m(t)} - \overline{ACT}_{i,m(t)(1981-2010)} + \overline{ERA5}_{i,m(t)(1981-2010)}$$

Where *i* represents the municipality, *t is the timestep, m* the month, and *y* the year. In this way, we subtract the monthly mean of the model over the 1981–2010 period and add the corresponding ERA5 monthly mean. For accumulated precipitation we divide by the climatology:

$$ACT^*_{i,y(t),m(t)} = \overline{CHIRPS}_{i,m(t)(1981-2010)}(ACT_{i,y(t),m(t)}/\overline{ACT}_{i,m(t)(1981-2010)})$$
$$NAT^*_{i,y(t),m(t)} = \overline{CHIRPS}_{i,m(t)(1981-2010)}(NAT_{i,y(t),m(t)}/\overline{ACT}_{i,m(t)(1981-2010)})$$

2.2. Dengue Case data

Brazil: Counts of probable dengue cases were obtained for each of the 5,570 municipalities in Brazil from Brazil's Notifiable Diseases Information System (SINAN), available through the Health Information Department, DATASUS (https://datasus.saude.gov.br/informacoes-de-saude-tabnet/). The data are available from 2001 to the present, and are aggregated by month of the first symptom and city of residence. From DATASUS, we also extracted annual population estimates for each municipality. The data are further aggregated into the five distinct geo-political regions (North, Northeast, Southeast, South, and Centre-West) summing the number of cases in that region and dividing by the total population. We calculated the "center of mass" of dengue cases for each year by first computing the centroid of each municipality to obtain its *lon* and *lat* coordinates. We then calculated the weighted average of these coordinates using the number of cases as weights, according to the following equation:

$$lon_{CM} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{I} lon_i c_{i,y}}{\sum_{i=1}^{I} c_{i,y}} \; lat_{CM} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{I} lat_i c_{i,y}}{\sum_{i=1}^{I} c_{i,y}}$$

where *i* denotes the municipality, *y* is the year, and $c_{i,y}$ is the number of cases in that particular year.

México epidemiological data: For Mexico, we curated municipality-level data on confirmed dengue cases between 2020-2024, defined as those identified through PCR or immunological diagnostic tests. These data are made publicly available by the Secretaría de Salud (Health Secretariat of Mexico) through its open-access epidemiological surveillance platform (de Salud, n.d.).

2.3. Statistical model

To account for the contribution of known climatic drivers of dengue cases, temperature and precipitation, we adapted a panel regression model for monthly dengue cases proposed by (Childs et al., 2024) and commonly used in econometric analyses (Hsiang, 2016). The Poisson Generalized Linear Model (GLM), as described in Equation 3, is fitted using the **fixest** package in R (https://lrberge.github.io/fixest/). The model assumes that the number of cases in each municipality *i,* for each month *t* follows a Poisson distribution, where the expected value is a function of: temperature, represented by a B-Spline with $k = 4$ degrees of freedom including lags 1 to 5 months; precipitation, represented by a linear term also including lags 1 to 5 months; an α term that captures fixed effects for each municipality, thus accounting for places with generally higher case counts; δ, which represents fixed seasonal effects for each month *m(t)* of the year and for each considered region *r*; and γ, which represents fixed effects of interannual variability for year *y(t)* within each region. We also ran models that included socioeconomic drivers (Fig. S1), population immunity from historic case data (Fig. S10). We chose to separate the δ and γ terms by region (North, Northeast, Centre-West, Southeast, South) due to the large spatial extent of Brazil, where temperature and precipitation patterns vary significantly across regions. We used B-Spline with lags 1 to 5 instead of the third degree polynomial with lags from 1 to 3 as these are more flexible and provide better model performance (Table S2). We also included a population offset in order to account for differences in population sizes; we standardized the offset by 100,000 so we model the rate by 100,000. To estimate the uncertainty associated with the model, we ran a spatial block bootstrap of 1,000 samples based on the states of Brazil (Childs et al., 2024).

$$C_{i,t} \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_{i,t})$$

$$\log(\lambda_{i,t}) = \underbrace{\log\left(\frac{\text{pop}_{i,y(t)}}{10^5}\right)}_{\text{offset}} + \alpha_i + \gamma_{y(t),r} + \delta_{m(t),r} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{5}\sum_{k=1}^{4} \beta_{\ell k}\, B_k^{(\ell)}(T_{i,t-\ell}) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{5} \theta_\ell\, P_{i,t-\ell}$$

To estimate changes in dengue incidence for each region, we fit the model using ERA5 data and then use the estimated parameters to project cases for each of the 525 members of the HadGEM ensemble, under both ACT and NAT scenarios, at the municipal level. Subsequently, the number of cases was aggregated by region, summing across municipalities and dividing by the total population. For the values estimated using temperatures from 2005–2013 (Fig. 3; CLIM (2005–2013)), we used data from the 15 members of the historical runs while keeping the year term γ fixed at 2024, in order to estimate what the number of cases would be if conditions similar to 2024 were maintained, but with the temperatures from 2005 to 2013. Our fixed effects specification controls for time-invariant unobserved confounders at the municipality level and potential temporal shocks. There are potential violations to the strict exogeneity assumption that include time-varying omitted variables such as vector control interventions and changes in health care utilization. However, because of the large sample, n = 5,571 municipalities and 20 years of data, we believe that our model is robust to detect the weather-dengue associations. Further, because of the wide geographical variation (large climatic heterogeneity across the country) variation in weather becomes plausibly random across such a diverse space.

3. Results

3.1. Geographical expansion of dengue in 2023/2024 in Brazil

Annual incidence of dengue by municipality in 2023/24 (Fig. 1a; materials & methods) was concentrated in Brazil's Southeast region, with ~90% of municipalities there reporting monthly incidence rates >300 cases per 100,000 inhabitants (the threshold adopted by the Brazilian Ministry of Health to define municipalities with high incidence; Fig. 1b, d). High incidence was reported also in the Centre-West and South regions, with yearly incidence exceeding 4,000 cases per 100,000. Together, these three regions account for >70% of the total population of Brazil and for the majority of domestic and international air travel. In contrast, the numbers of cases in the North and Northeast regions were lower, with only 30-40% of municipalities reporting >300 cases per 100,000 inhabitants (Fig. 1) and incidence similar to most previous years in the study period (Fig. 1d, e).

The center of mass of dengue incidence (calculated as the average of the municipality coordinates weighted by their dengue incidence) has moved southward over the last 20 years (Fig. 1a, shaded circles), so that by 2023/24 it had moved to the border of São Paulo and Minas Gerais states. During the same time, the center of mass of the Brazilian population remained largely stable (Fig. S1) despite an increase in the population of Brazil from ~180M in 2004 to 210M in 2024.

Municipalities strongly affected by the 2023/24 DENV epidemic were located primarily in the Centre-West, Southeast and South regions of Brazil (Fig. 1a, b), where genotype and serotype replacement cannot explain the sudden rise in cases. DENV genomic surveillance shows that DENV-3 genotype II (DENV-3II) was introduced to Brazil during 2023, persisted at low prevalence through 2024 (Pereira et al., 2025) and rose in frequency only during 2025. DENV-2 genotype II (DENV-2II) increased in relative abundance in Brazil from 2023 to 2024 (from 35-50%), co-circulating with the already established DENV-1V (Figure S6, S7).



Fig 1. Twenty-year trends in dengue epidemiology and climate across Brazil. *(a) Map of dengue incidence (per 100,000 inhabitants) between August 2023 and July 2024 per municipality. The black lines divide the country into five regions: South, Southeast, Centre-West,*

Northeast and North. The greyscale-shaded circles show the center of mass of dengue incidence per year, calculated as the average of the municipality coordinates weighted by dengue incidence. (b) Rank of dengue incidence per municipality in 2023/24 within study period, from 2004-2024. Rank = 1 means that, in that municipality, 2023/24 was the year with the highest number of cases. Municipalities in orange are those that, in 2023/24, recorded for the first time >300 dengue cases per 100,000. (c) Population-weighted average temperatures for each Brazilian region, from August 2023 to July 2024 (ERA5 data; left hand axis). The red line shows values for 2023/24, and the purple line shows those for 2022/23. The black line shows the average across 2001-22 and the grey shading represents the 95% range of the interannual variability between 2001-22. The right-hand axis shows the temperature anomaly for 2023/24 compared to the average for 2001-22. Orange shading depicts higher temperatures in 2023/24 than the average, whilst blue shading represents lower than average temperatures. (d) Bar chart depicting the twenty-year time series of annual dengue incidence (per 100,000 inhabitants; left hand axis). The black line shows the proportion of municipalities in each region with >300 cases per 100,000 that year (right hand axis).

Using ERA5 climate data we observe above-average temperatures starting in August 2023 and continuing throughout the 2024 dengue season (typically December to June; Fig 1c; materials & methods) across all regions (Churakov et al., 2019). In the Southeast and Centre-West regions temperature anomalies reached +2°C in November 2023, compared to the average for 2001-22 (Fig. 1c). In the South region, temperature anomalies were less extreme at the end of 2023, but were consistently above +1°C at the beginning of 2024.

3.2. Reconstructing dengue transmission dynamics in Brazil

We estimated how climate and socio-economic factors impacted dengue in Brazil during 2023/24 using a fixed effects Poisson panel regression model (materials & methods; Fig. S2), in which we assume non-linear relationships between temperature and precipitation on dengue, fixed effects at the municipality level, and add additional immunological and socio-economic factors (from (*IPS Brasil*, n.d., *PGI - Plataforma Geográfica Interativa*, n.d.)). Our fitted model reconstructs well the last 20 years of dengue incidence in Brazil (Fig. 2a) and a model that includes climate variables (lagged mean monthly temperature and total precipitation) improved model fit (in sample adjusted R^2 = 0.79; Raw R^2 = 0.65; Table S1, S2). Inclusion of socio-economic variables (access to water and sanitation, GDP, % of people living in urban areas; (IBGE, 2023; IPS, 2025); Fig. S1), did not improve the model, possibly because these effects were already absorbed by the municipality level fixed effects (Fig. 2b; Table S2). Our model generalizes well to areas and months that were not part of the model training (Pseudo R^2 = 0.62; Fig. S3).

We estimate, similar to others (Lowe et al., 2021), a strong non-linear relationship between lagged mean monthly temperature and dengue incidence (Childs et al., 2024) with an increase in the effect of temperature on dengue incidence up to 26°C (with the largest marginal increase of dengue estimated around 21°C; values above zero mean higher values of temperature are associated with higher dengue relative to the baseline vs. values below zero are associated with lower dengue incidence) and a decrease above that temperature, albeit with a less strong decline than previous estimates (Fig. 2c) (Childs et al., 2024). We estimated that, during 2023/24, the temperature in some municipalities was too high for optimal transmission shown for laboratory studies (Fig 2c, red line). Estimates of the temperature-dengue relationship are robust to the inclusion of additional variables, including estimates of population immunity,

socio-economic variables, and model specifications (i.e., random effects model vs. fixed effects model, Table S2, Fig. S4).



Fig. 2. Modelling the relationship between climate and dengue in Brazil. *(a) Time series of monthly dengue incidence for each region in Brazil per 100,000 inhabitants. Black shading shows reported dengue incidence, and the orange line is the estimated cases from our Poisson regression model. (b) Change in Pseudo-R^2 from the model with only fixed effects (i.e., only month, year, and municipality terms, without any climate variables) expressed as percentage change. The error bars represent a spatial block bootstrap of 1,000 samples, sampling from the different states in Brazil. (c, top panel) Temperature-response function for dengue in the Poisson regression model relative to the model's intercept plus fixed effects. Above zero means that dengue incidence is increasing with changes in temperature and below zero means that dengue incidence is declining. The black shading shows 95% confidence intervals calculated using 1,000*

bootstrap samples. (c, bottom panel) Histogram and Kernel Density Estimate (KDE) of temperature across municipalities. The purple line shows 2022/23 and the red line is 2023/24. Grey bars show averages from 2004-23.

3.3. Variation in Brazilian dengue incidence that can be attributed to anthropogenic temperature change

We next sought to decompose dengue incidence in 2023/24 into the fraction attributable to human-induced climate change, versus natural variability in the climate system. We use the HadGEM3-A system, which implements climate simulations that can represent (i) the climate as it is, including anthropogenic forcings and local climate patterns (ACT), and (ii) how the climate would be without human-induced change (NAT). The HadGEM3 model was designed for climate attribution studies and is used to investigate the contribution of anthropogenic climate change to the frequency and intensity of climate extreme events (Christidis et al., 2013; Ciavarella et al., 2018; *Climate Change, El Niño and Infrastructure Failures behind Massive Floods in Southern Brazil – World Weather Attribution*, n.d.). The difference in temperature between the ACT and NAT scenarios can be viewed as the temperature change attributable to anthropogenic action (i.e., greenhouse gas and anthropogenic aerosol emissions, and land use changes) (Christidis et al., 2013; Ciavarella et al., 2018).

In addition to the different forcings, the model ensembles are initialized with fixed boundary conditions; specifically, observed sea surface temperature (SST) and sea ice coverage (SIC; HadISST1 dataset; (Rayner, et al. 2003). For NAT, the ensembles are initialized with the same boundary conditions as for ACT but with an estimate of the anthropogenic attribution on SST and SIC removed. Crucially, both scenarios are not biased by variation in the climate system such as *El Niño* (Araujo et al., 2025; Pirani et al., 2024).

To validate the temperatures modelled using HadGEM3-A we compared them to those derived from ERA5 observational reanalysis (the widely accepted gold standard); the two models align well during the 2023/24 study period (Fig. 3a). Importantly, we find that in the North and Northeast of Brazil the observational data and the model with anthropogenic forcing (ACT, orange) exhibit temperatures *above* the estimated thermal optimum for dengue transmission. In contrast, in the Southeast and South of Brazil, the model with anthropogenic forcing (ACT) has raised temperatures such that they fall within the optimal thermal regime for DENV transmission, whilst temperatures in the mode without anthropogenic forcing (NAT, blue) remain below the optimal range. The situation for the Centre-West region is intermediate between that observed for the abovementioned northern and southern regions. In summary, thermal conditions similar to 2023/24 were expected to occur every 6.84 (95% CI: 5.95, 8.19) years in a scenario with human-induced climate change (ACT), whereas the likelihood of such conditions is close to zero in the scenario without anthropogenic forcing (Fig. S5).



Fig. 3. Quantification of the effect of anthropogenic climate change on dengue incidence. *(a) Average monthly temperature between August 2023 and July 2024 for the different regions in Brazil, for the ACT (orange) and NAT (blue) models. The observation-based ERA5 temperatures are shown also (black). The horizontal greyscale shaded regions show the temperature regions for dengue virus transmission based on the fitted model (from dark to light they represent the*

*90th, 80th and 60th percentile of the temperature coefficient of variation. (b) For each Brazilian region, histograms show the distribution of inferred dengue incidence (cases per 100,000 inhabitants) across the ensemble of 525 ACT and NAT simulations. The horizontal axis scale differs among panels; grey shaded region indicates the range 0-1000. We also estimated incidences using estimated temperatures from 2005–2013 (*CLIM0513*; green). (c) Same as (b), but each histogram shows the difference between the dengue incidence inferred under the ACT (orange) or NAT (blue) models. Absolute differences were divided by observed incidence to obtain a relative difference metric. The arrows above each plot show whether dengue incidence increased or decreased in that region, compared to the scenario with no anthropogenic climate change (NAT). (d) Percentage differences in estimated dengue cases using temperatures from the ACT and NAT scenarios. Each bar shows a different model specification, including the selected model in orange. Boxes show the interquartile range, center line the median and whiskers extend to the 95% CIs.*

Dengue incidence by region estimated from our model using the climate data from the ACT ensemble closely matches the observed cases (Fig. 3b). The construction of our panel regression model means that we can quantify how differences in temperature across the different climate scenarios impact dengue incidences among regions (Barcellos et al., 2024; Lowe et al., 2021; Mills & Donnelly, 2024). By comparing the difference between the mean temperatures of the ACT and NAT distributions, we estimate an increase in dengue cases due to human-induced temperature change of up to 40% in the Centre-West, Southeast, and South regions, with the largest increase recorded in the latter, averaging 49.3 % (95% CI: 37.4, 74.8) using our best best-fitting model (Fig. 3d). As noted above, this is likely due to temperatures there moving toward values more conducive to mosquito reproduction and transmission (Siraj et al., 2017). Furthermore, we estimate that the probability of an event of this magnitude occurring without anthropogenic induced climate change (i.e. the NAT scenario) is close to zero in the South, Southeast and Centre-West regions (Fig. 3b,c). This result is consistent across different model sensitivity tests (Fig. 3d), with most of the tested models showing estimates similar to the selected model (Fig. 3d). Only the model considering temperatures lagged by one month shows a substantially lower attribution signal, likely due to that model's reduced temperature sensitivity (Fig. S4a).

In the North and Northeast regions, where temperatures exceeded the optimal range, dengue cases were lower than predicted under the NAT model, with an average decrease of -45.9 % (95% CI: -85.1, 4.5%) in the North and -18.8 % (95% CI: -51.8, 12.7%) in the Northeast. However, overall dengue incidences in these regions were considerably lower than in the Centre-West, Southeast, and South, and the uncertainty in the estimated anthropogenic signal is larger (Fig. 3c, d). The higher population density in the southern regions, combined with the more favorable thermal conditions for transmission, therefore, increased the potential for severe dengue outbreaks such as the one observed in 2023/24.

3.4. Model comparison and validation

While other climate attribution studies have focused primarily on comparisons between contemporary and pre-industrial conditions, several factors beyond climate are likely to differ between those times, including population structure, urbanization, and international travel. In order to model a more conservative scenario, we compared our results from ACT with temperature estimates from the historical runs of HadGEM3-A for the years 2005–2013 (CLIM0513), a period prior to the large Zika outbreak in Brazil but with substantial impact of

anthropogenic climate-change (Brito et al., 2021; Faria et al., 2016, 2017). We chose this period because ZIKV infection has been shown to confer short lived cross-immunity against dengue. In this analysis we still estimate an increase of ~20% of dengue cases in 2023/24 compared to the reference period in the Centre-West, Southeast, and South regions.

As an additional validation, we note that areas in the Americas have experienced recent dengue expansion; in Mexico the proportion of dengue-affected municipalities increased from 38% to 68% between 2022-24, with marked expansion into higher altitude areas (Mendoza-Cano et al., 2025). We obtained municipality level data from Mexico and tested our model's generalizability to high altitude areas (>1000 meters) in that country. Our model accurately predicts increasing cases of dengue due to increases in temperature and reduced incidence in areas <1000 meters in 2023/24 (see Fig. S6).

4. Discussion

Our results show that climate change-related temperature anomalies can explain the increase in dengue cases in Brazil in 2023/24, especially in the highly populated Centre-West, Southeast and South regions, in which temperatures approach the optimal for DENV transmission. These newly affected areas might become hotspots of transmission and sources of further DENV geographic dissemination (Poongavanan et al., 2024); a record number of imported dengue cases have been reported in Europe in 2023/24 (*Worsening Spread of Mosquito-Borne Disease Outbreaks in EU/EEA, according to Latest ECDC Figures*, 2024). Between 2004-24, Brazilian international and domestic air passenger flows tripled and quadrupled, respectively (0.4 to 1.2M international passengers; 2 to 8M domestic passengers). This could lead to greater risks of DENV importation and exportation (Fig. S7, S8), especially as Southeast Brazil is where the majority of international (80%) and domestic (50%) passengers arrive and depart.

Our model indicates a DENV transmission peak at approximately 26°C, lower than those estimated in idealized conditions in the laboratory (estimated at 29°C for *Ae. aegypti (Athni et al., 2024)*). Our work contributes to the growing evidence of the non-homogenous impacts of climate on infectious disease transmission, which are also influenced by limited interventions, changes in socio-economic conditions, and health-care disruption (Laydon et al., 2025). Recent studies have emphasized the impact of climate extreme events on healthcare disruption (Rice et al., 2025; Symons et al., 2025), which might compound direct impacts of climate on health. Assessing the relative contributions of ecological and secondary (e.g. health-care disruption) related impacts will likely depend on the event and its context (Tsui et al., 2024).

The 2024 epidemic was estimated to cost >USD$5 billion, including healthcare costs and losses in production and services (Vancini et al., 2024) and underscores the social and economic relevance of climate change healthcare impacts in Brazil's high population areas. Adaptation and mitigation measures are needed to reduce the impact of dengue across the country. Measures to improve resilience include improvements in infrastructure (including constant water availability), ensuring sustainable urban growth (Gibb et al., 2023), education (MacCormack-Gelles et al., 2018), and pre-emptive and effective use of vector control (Padilha et al., 2025).

Rollout of the Qdenga Dengue vaccine by Takeda might reduce the number of infections in high-incidence regions by 20% (Cracknell Daniels et al., 2025) but there remain substantial challenges to large-scale rollouts (Scott et al., 2023), emphasizing the importance of continued investments in prevention, adaptation and mitigation (Hadisoemarto & Castro, 2013; Ponmattam et al., 2025). The tetravalent Butantan Dengue Vaccine (Butantan-DV) showed an efficacy of ~80% against DENV-1 and DENV-2 in a phase 3 trial with a two-year follow-up, but detailed

studies of seronegative individuals are still underway (Kallás et al., 2024). How DENV serotype co-circulation might have contributed to the increasing burden of dengue in Brazil remains unanswered; in this work we did not find evidence that the large 2023/24 outbreak was linked to a sero- or genotype replacement event (Fig. S9, S10). Additional data on temporal trends in population and geno- and serotype specific immunity at high spatial resolutions would improve our understanding of the impact of immunity on the spatial epidemiology of dengue (Mina et al., 2020).

The onset and end dates of a dengue outbreak can vary depending on the region and climatic conditions. While our work is not predictive, establishing a causal link between temperature and dengue incidence helps predict which regions might become most vulnerable in the future (Gouveia et al., 2025). Early warning systems that integrate epidemiological, socio-economic, and climate data, such as Infodengue (C. Codeco et al., 2018), have potential to inform the preemptive implementation of mitigation strategies (Mills et al., 2025).

Our work has several limitations. Dengue transmission and disease burden is driven by a complex interplay of factors including human behavior, urbanization, socio-economics, heterogeneity in vector control (Ferrari, 2023), prior immunity, serotype/genotype circulation, and clinical vulnerability. For example, the North and Northeast regions, where we detected a decrease in cases due to climate change, are projected to experience more droughts in the future (Jeferson de Medeiros et al., 2022), may lead to more water storage in open tanks, creating additional breeding sites for *Aedes* mosquitoes (Lowe et al., 2021; Rufasto Goche et al., 2025). There have also been reports of rising prevalence of DENV-3 in Pernambuco in the Northeast in 2025 which might result in a wave of infection (Santos, 2025). Other drivers of DENV transmission that we were unable to account for include time-varying healthcare testing capacity and seeking behavior and human movement (Bomfim et al., 2020; Romeo-Aznar et al., 2022). However, we believe that many of these additional factors (e.g. access to water and sanitation, income, and urbanization) are already accounted for in the municipality fixed effects. There are uncertainties related to climate reanalysis and climate model data that might impact our estimated temperature-dengue relationship and why it differs from laboratory data (e.g. coarser resolution of climate data and local heat islands in cities). However, our results are consistent with previous work in the region (Harish et al., 2024) and our model generalizes well to other settings (Fig. S6). Future work might focus on the attribution of multiple climate extreme events to dengue outbreaks and to infectious diseases more generally (Quilcaille et al., 2025). Mitigating and adapting to climate extreme events in regions nearing favorable conditions for dengue transmission will be essential for reducing the avoidable future burden of dengue.

Acknowledgments

We thank the JASMIN team and Centre for Environmental Data Analysis (CEDA) for providing the computational infrastructure used for data processing.

Funding: R.dA., S.S. and I.P.F. acknowledge funding from the AAIM project which is part of the Met Office Climate Science for Services Partnership Brazil (CSSP Brazil). S.S., M.U.G.K., and I.P.F also acknowledge funding from the Wellcome Trust (226052/Z/22/Z). M.U.G.K. acknowledges funding from The Rockefeller Foundation (PC-2022-POP-005), Google.org, the Oxford Martin School Programmes in Pandemic Genomics (also O.G.P.) & Digital Pandemic Preparedness, European Union's Horizon Europe programme projects MOOD (#874850) and E4Warning (#101086640), Wellcome Trust grants 303666/Z/23/Z & 228186/Z/23/Z (also H.T.), the United Kingdom Research and Innovation (#APP8583), the Medical Research Foundation

(MRF-RG-ICCH-2022-100069) (also H.T.), UK International Development (301542-403), the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (INV-063472) and Novo Nordisk Foundation (NNF24OC0094346) (also H.T.). S.C. acknowledges support by the European Commission under the EU4Health programme 2021-2027, Grant Agreement - Project: 101102733 — DURABLE, the Laboratoire d'Excellence Integrative Biology of Emerging Infectious Diseases program (grant ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID) and the INCEPTION project (PIA/ANR16-CONV-0005). The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the European Commission or the other funders. LSB acknowledges support from Brazilian funding agencies CNPq (302603/2025-5) and FAPERJ (E−26/204.098/2024). L.P.F. is supported by the Visiting Researcher Program of the Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública Sergio Arouca (Sergio Arouca National School of Public Health), Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (ENSP/Fiocruz). S.F. acknowledges the EPSRC (EP/V002910/2).

Author contributions: *Names abbreviation:* Rafael de Abreu (R.dA), Iago Perez Fernandez (I.P.F), Swapnil Mishra (S.M), Bernardo Gutierrez (B.G), Rhys P.D. Inward (R.P.D.I), Cathal Mills (C.M), Eduardo Lopez Ortiz (E.L.O), Leonardo S. Bastos (L.S.B), Laís Picinini Freitas (L.P.F), Luiz Max Carvalho (L.M.C), Seth Flaxman (S.F), Samir Bhatt (S.B), Samuel V. Scarpino (S.V.S), Flávio C. Coelho (F.C.C), Robert C. Reiner (R.C.R), Prathyush Sambaturu (P.S), Houriiyah Tegally (H.T), Simon Cauchemez (S.C), Oswaldo Gonçalves Cruz (O.G.C), César V. Munayco (C.V.M), José Alberto Díaz-Quiñonez (J.A.D-Q), Dann Mitchell (D.M), Fraser Lott (F.L), Francesca Dominici (F.D), Oliver G. Pybus (O.G.P), Cláudia Torres Codeço (C.T.C), Marcia C. Castro (M.C.C), Moritz U.G. Kraemer (M.U.G.K), Sarah Sparrow (S.S) *Conceptualization*: R.dA, S.S, M.U.G.K; *Methodology*: R.dA, I.P.F, S.F, S.M, L.S.B, C.T.C, L.M.C, S.B, S.V.S, F.C.C, R.C.R, F.D, M.C.C, D.M, S.S, M.U.G.K; *Data curation*: R.dA, E.L.O, I.P.F, C.M, B.G, R.P.D.I, J.A.D-Q, P.S; *Investigation*: R.dA, I.P.F, S.M, M.U.G.K; *Visualization*: R.dA, M.U.G.K, R.C.R; *Funding acquisition*: S.S, M.U.G.K; *Project administration*: R.dA, S.S, M.U.G.K; *Supervision*: S.S, M.U.G.K, M.C.C; *Writing—original draft preparation*: R.dA, M.U.G.K, O.G.P; *Writing—review and editing*: I.P.F, S.M, B.G, R.P.D.I, E.L.O, L.P.F, O.G.C, C.M, L.S.B, C.T.C, L.M.C, S.B, S.V.S, H.T, F.C.C, R.C.R, F.D, M.C.C, D.M, S.S

Competing interests: M.U.G.K. has received consulting fees from Bavaria Nordic for expert consultations unrelated to this work. All other authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: All data and code to reproduce the results are available from https://github.com/rafaelcabreu/dengue_attribution.

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Materials for

The Role of Climate Change in the Expansion of Dengue

Sensitivity analyses

The results for the fitted model are in Table S2 and Fig. 2a, showing good agreement with the observation. Still, we conducted sensitivity tests to assess the robustness of the model results. The models used and their formulation are included in Table S1. For model (5) Year + Month + Climate + Socioeconomic we used as socioeconomic indicators water and sanitation (WS_i), Gross Domestic Product (GDP) for 2021 (GDP_i), urban population (U_i) and percentage of urban population (UP_i) for each municipality, extracted from IPS dataset (ipsbrasil.org.br, IPS Brasil, 2025) and from the 2022 Brazilian Population Census (IBGE, 2022) (Figure S2). For model (6) the effect of immunity was included as the number of reported cases in each municipality lagged by one, two, and three years (Fig. S12). Model (8) includes lagged cases by one month. Also, we tested whether the temperature-dengue coefficients are consistent with a model with random effects (9) for month, year and municipality instead of fixed terms using the Generalized Linear Mixed Model (GLMM) fitted using the **glmmTMB** package in R (https://glmmtmb.github.io/glmmTMB/). In this case the random effects were assumed to be iid variables.

Table S2 shows the fitted coefficients for each of these models and summary statistics of the different models tested, highlighting in gray the model used in the main manuscript. We compared the inclusion of the climate terms related to the fixed effects only model (Year + Month). Compared to this model, we observe that the model Year + Month + Climate has a lower AIC and a higher Pseudo-R^2. The best fitted model, chosen to do the analysis in the main text, is Year + Month + Climate(lag1-5), in which temperature with lags from 1 to 5 months was included, with a higher Pseudo-R2 and a lower Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE). The model with a third degree polynomial, and no fixed effects for region (Childs et al., 2024), denoted as Year + Month + Climate (No regional terms) had the highest AIC and lowest Pseudo-R^2 from the models that include the climate variables, justifying the disaggregation of month and year terms by region due to the reduction in error. The model that also accounts for the number of cases in the previous month (8) showed slightly improved R^2; however, we kept the choice of the Year + Month + Climate(lag1–5) model because estimating the lag-1 number of cases in the NAT scenario would introduce too much downstream uncertainty in the modelled estimates.

We also evaluated the change in the temperature response using models that include the climate terms (Fig. S4a). We observed that the shape of the curve remains consistent across most sensitivity tests, with the peak incidence occurring between 26 and 27 degrees. Even when we fit the function using different datasets, such as those from Mexico, the estimated thermal optimum remains close to 27 degrees. In the case of precipitation (Fig. S4b), we observe positive values indicating that increased rainfall raises the number of cases; however, the uncertainty is high with the data from Mexico showing a negative relationship between rainfall and dengue (similar to observations in other work (Lowe et al., 2021)).

Comparison of attributable signal

In the case of the difference in regional incidence between the ACT and NAT scenarios presented in Fig. 3d, we calculate that by running a spatial block bootstrap of 100 samples based on the states of Brazil for each of the 525 ensemble members of the ACT and NAT scenarios, which gives the contribution of the climate variables to the model result. After that we calculate for each bootstrap sample the average contribution for ACT and NAT, by averaging across all ensembles, and then calculate the difference normalized by the observed incidence.

México dengue analysis

We also included data from municipalities in Mexico in the model fitting to evaluate its performance. As shown in Fig. S6b, dengue incidence in 2024 was calculated for Mexico between January and December 2024, instead of August to July as in Brazil due to the seasonal differences between the Northern and Southern Hemispheres. Most cases in Mexico are concentrated in low-altitude coastal regions, while the continental interior, where altitudes are higher (Fig. S6a), records fewer cases. Similar to what was observed in the Centre-West, Southeast, and South of Brazil, the above 1000 m region exhibits ACT temperatures close to ERA5, indicating that the model accurately captures both temperature and seasonal cycles in these areas, with ACT being closer than NAT to the optimal temperature for dengue transmission (Fig. S6c), which suggests an increase in transmission. This results in an increase in the number of cases, which in the case of the above 1000 m region in Mexico is close to 50% and aligns with studies projecting an increase in dengue incidence in high-altitude areas of Mexico (Harish et al., 2024).

DENV genomic data investigation

We downloaded all available Brazilian sequences and their associated metadata between 2004-01-01 and 2025-01-01 from GenBank (Benson et al., 2013) and GISAID (Shu & McCauley, 2017) (data downloaded on 2025-08-20). Sequences missing essential metadata, such as collection date or location, were removed. As there is no linkage ID between GenBank and GISAID, to remove duplicates sequences, sequences from both datasets were screened for identity and, in the case of identical sequences (those with the same location, collection date, and sequence), only one such isolate was retained. Due to errors in serotype labelling within the GenBank metadata, we used Dengue Lineages (Hill et al., 2024) to identify the serotype, genotype, and sub-lineage of each sequence.

Passenger flight data and processing

We downloaded monthly passenger flight data from 01/2021 - 12/2024 from the official government flight data source, the Brazilian Agência Nacional de Aviação Civil (Anac) data portal (*Dados Estatísticos*, n.d.). Data were summarized for all flights arriving and departing from airports in Brazil and aggregated to the North, Northeast, Centre-West, Southeast, South regions. Data represent passengers on direct routes only, meaning that we do not know the origin locations of each of the incoming or outgoing passengers.

Table S1. Model number, name used in the text and equation.

Model Number	Model Name	Model Equation
(1)	Year + Month	$\log(\lambda_{i,t}) = \underbrace{\log\left(\frac{\mathrm{pop}_{i,y(t)}}{10^5}\right)}_{\text{offset}} + \alpha_i + \gamma_{r,y(t)} + \delta_{r,m(t)}$
(2)	Year + Month + P(Climate) (No regional terms)	$\log(\lambda_{i,t}) = \underbrace{\log\left(\frac{\mathrm{pop}_{i,y(t)}}{10^5}\right)}_{\text{offset}} + \alpha_i + \gamma_{y(t)} + \delta_{m(t)} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{3}\left(\beta_{\ell 1}\, T_{i,t-\ell} + \beta_{\ell 2}\, T_{i,t-\ell}^{2} + \beta_{\ell 3}\, T_{i,t-\ell}^{3}\right) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{3}\theta_\ell\, P_{i,t-\ell}$
(3)	Year + Month + Climate (lag1)	$\log(\lambda_{i,t}) = \underbrace{\log\left(\frac{\mathrm{pop}_{i,y(t)}}{10^5}\right)}_{\text{offset}} + \alpha_i + \gamma_{r,y(t)} + \delta_{r,m(t)} + \sum_{k=1}^{4}\beta_k\, B_k\big(T_{i,t-1}\big) + \theta P_{i,t-1}$
(4)	Year + Month + Climate	$\log(\lambda_{i,t}) = \underbrace{\log\left(\frac{\mathrm{pop}_{i,y(t)}}{10^5}\right)}_{\text{offset}} + \alpha_i + \gamma_{y(t),r} + \delta_{m(t),r} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{3}\sum_{k=1}^{4}\beta_{\ell k}\, B_k^{(\ell)}\big(T_{i,t-\ell}\big) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{3}\theta_\ell\, P_{i,t-\ell}$
(5)	Year + Month + Climate + Socioeconomic	$\log(\lambda_{i,t}) = \underbrace{\log\left(\frac{\mathrm{pop}_{i,y(t)}}{10^5}\right)}_{\text{offset}} + \alpha_i + \gamma_{y(t),r} + \delta_{m(t),r} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{3}\sum_{k=1}^{4}\beta_{\ell k}\, B_k^{(\ell)}\big(T_{i,t-\ell}\big) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{3}\theta_\ell\, P_{i,t-\ell} + \eta^{\mathrm{WS}}\,\mathrm{WS}_i + \eta^{\mathrm{GDP}}\,\mathrm{GDP}_i + \eta^{U}\, U_i + \eta^{\mathrm{UP}}\,\mathrm{UP}_i$
(6)	Year + Month + Climate + Immunity	$\log(\lambda_{i,t}) = \underbrace{\log\left(\frac{\mathrm{pop}_{i,y(t)}}{10^5}\right)}_{\text{offset}} + \alpha_i + \gamma_{y(t),r} + \delta_{m(t),r} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{3}\sum_{k=1}^{4}\beta_{\ell k}\, B_k^{(\ell)}\big(T_{i,t-\ell}\big) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{3}\theta_\ell\, P_{i,t-\ell} + \sum_{j=1}^{3}\phi_j\, I_{i,y(t)-j}.$
(7)	Year + Month + Climate(lag1-5) (selected)	$\log(\lambda_{i,t}) = \underbrace{\log\left(\frac{\mathrm{pop}_{i,y(t)}}{10^5}\right)}_{\text{offset}} + \alpha_i + \gamma_{y(t),r} + \delta_{m(t),r} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{5}\sum_{k=1}^{4}\beta_{\ell k}\, B_k^{(\ell)}\big(T_{i,t-\ell}\big) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{5}\theta_\ell\, P_{i,t-\ell}$
(8)	Year + Month + Climate + Immunity + Cases(lag1)	$\log(\lambda_{i,t}) = \underbrace{\log\left(\frac{\mathrm{pop}_{i,y(t)}}{10^5}\right)}_{\text{offset}} + u_i + \gamma_{y(t),r} + \delta_{m(t),r} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{3}\sum_{k=1}^{4}\beta_{\ell k}\, B_k^{(\ell)}\big(T_{i,t-\ell}\big) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{3}\theta_\ell\, P_{i,t-\ell} + \tau C_{i,t-1}$
(9)	Year + Month + Climate(lag1-5) (GLMM)	$\log(\lambda_{i,t}) = \underbrace{\log\left(\frac{\mathrm{pop}_{i,y(t)}}{10^5}\right)}_{\text{offset}} + \alpha_i + \gamma_{y(t),r} + \delta_{m(t),r} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{5}\sum_{k=1}^{4}\beta_{\ell k}\, B_k^{(\ell)}\big(T_{i,t-\ell}\big) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{5}\theta_\ell\, P_{i,t-\ell}$ $\alpha_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma_\alpha^2), \quad \gamma_{y(t),r} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma_\gamma^2), \quad \mathrm{Cov}\big(\delta_{m(t),r}, \delta_{m'(t),r)}\big) = \sigma_\delta^2\, \rho^{\,\lvert m(t)-m'(t)\rvert}, \quad -1 < \rho < 1.$

Table S2. Coefficients of the variables for the fitted models in the sensitivity test and statistical significance ('***' p-value < 0.001 '**' p-value < 0.01 '*' p-value < 0.05). "BS" represents the b-splines for each of the lagged terms. Below is also the Akaike information criteria (AIC), R2, pseudo R2 and Mean Squared Error for each model in our sensitivity analysis. The grey shading represents the selected model for the main text.

Variable/Model	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)*	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Immunity(lag1)	-	-	-	-	-	**-0.000046****	-	-	
Immunity(lag2)	-	-	-	-	-	**-0.000076*****	-	-	
Immunity(lag3)	-	-	-	-	-	**0.000033****	-	-	
T(lag1)	-	**-2.92*****	-	-	-	-	-	-	
T(lag2)	-	**-2.82*****	-	-	-	-	-	-	
T(lag3)	-	**-3.53****	-	-	-	-	-	-	
T^2(lag1)	-	**0.156*****	-	-	-	-	-	-	
T^2(lag2)	-	**0.140*****	-	-	-	-	-	-	
T^2(lag3)	-	**0.189*****	-	-	-	-	-	-	
T^3(lag1)	-	**-0.0026*****	-	-	-	-	-	-	
T^3(lag2)	-	**-0.0022*****	-	-	-	-	-	-	
T^3(lag3)	-	**-0.0031******	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cases(lag1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	**0.000015*****	
BS1(lag1)	-	-	**-8.93*****	**-6.33*****	**-6.33*****	**-6.19*****	**-6.98****	**-6.63*****	**-6.79*****
BS2(lag1)	-	-	**-1.40***	**-2.07****	**-2.07****	**-2.03****	-0.305	**-2.44*****	**-0.301*****
BS3(lag1)	-	-	**-5.57*****	**-4.48*****	**-4.48*****	**-4.27*****	**-3.51****	**-4.67*****	**-3.50*****
BS4(lag1)	-	-	**-6.55*****	**-6.46*****	**-6.46*****	**-6.41*****	**-5.48*****	**-6.96*****	**–5.48*****
BS1(lag2)	-	-	-	**-5.29*****	**-5.29*****	**-5.14*****	**-5.59*****	**-5.09*****	**-5.59*****
BS2(lag2)	-	-	-	-0.529	-0.529	-0.443	-1.02	-0.255	**-1.02*****
BS3(lag2)	-	-	-	**-2.60****	**-2.60****	**-2.43****	**-3.17****	**-2.14****	**-3.17*****
BS4(lag2)	-	-	-	**-2.61****	**-2.61****	**-2.52****	**-3.19****	**-2.65****	**-3.19*****
BS1(lag3)	-	-	-	**-11.8*****	**-11.8*****	**-11.6*****	**-6.33*****	**-10.9*****	**-6.33*****
BS2(lag3)	-	-	-	-0.957	-0.957	-0.961	-0.914	-1.13	**-0.914*****
BS3(lag3)	-	-	-	**-4.84*****	**-4.84*****	**-4.70*****	**-2.84****	**-4.19****	**-2.84*****
BS4(lag3)	-	-	-	-2.38	-2.38	-2.34	-2.05*	-2.36	**-2.05*****
BS1(lag4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	**-6.03*****	-	**-6.03*****
BS2(lag4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.513	-	**-0.513*****
BS3(lag4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-2.22	-	**-2.22*****
BS4(lag4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.940	-	**-0.940*****
BS1(lag5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	**-7.96*****	-	**-7.96*****
BS2(lag5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-1.08	-	**-1.08*****
BS3(lag5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	**-4.71****	-	**-4.71*****

BS4(lag5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-2.32	-	**-2.32*** **
Prec(lag1)	-	0.000249	**0.000636***	0.000377	0.000377	0.000418	**0.000536***	**0.000557****	**0.000536*****
Prec(lag2)	**-**	**0.000482****	-	**0.000627****	**0.000627****	**0.000642****	0.000438	**0.000621****	**0.000438*****
Prec(lag3)	-	0.000098	-	0.000235	0.000235	0.000231	-0.000159	0.000230	**-0.000159*****
Prec(lag4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	**-0.000368***	-	**-0.000368*****
Prec(lag5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.000331	-	**0.000331*****
Pseudo-R2	0.76	0.75	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78
R2	0.52	0.50	0.53	0.55	0.55	0.56	0.60	0.67	0.60
AIC ($x10^7$)	4.145	4.437	4.870	3.969	3.969	3.934	3.878	3.825	3.875
RMSE	279.1	284.7	276.7	268.9	268.9	265.96	252.1	239.1	252.6

(5)* The socioeconomic variables were dropped during the model fit due to collinearity with other variables.



Fig. S1. Socio-economic indices used in the model for a sensitivity test. Population was obtained from DATASUS, Water/Sanitation and GDP per capita were obtained from the IPS dataset (ipsbrasil.org.br, IPS Brasil, 2025) and Urban Population from the 2022 Brazilian Population Census (IBGE, 2022). The population plot also shows the center of mass of population between 2004 and 2024.



Fig. S2. Flow chart of the pipeline for this study, with the datasets that were used, the statistical analysis, and attribution using ACT and NAT scenarios. We also add the uncertainty analysis that was performed based on spatial block bootstrap in dashed lines, and the out of sample validation diagram. For that we randomly select 20 % of the municipalities to remove from the sample, just keeping 5 consecutive years that are randomly selected to calculate the fixed effects and estimate the parameters with the remaining 80 %. After that we validate the data on the following 5 years of the data for the 20 % of the municipalities that were removed.



Fig. S3. (a) Change in R2 and AIC for the model with only fixed effect, i.e., only month, year, and municipality terms, without any climate variables, expressed as a percentage of change. The error bars are estimated from a spatial block bootstrap of 1,000 samples, sampling from the different states in Brazil. The value on the side of each bar represents the absolute value, in the case of the AIC is multiplied by 107; (b) Change in Pseudo-R2, R2 and root mean squared error (RMSE) for the model with only fixed effect, i.e., only month, year, and municipality terms, without any climate variables, expressed as a percentage of change. The error bars are estimated from a spatial block bootstrap of 100 samples, sampling from the different states in Brazil.



Fig. S4. (a) Temperature response in the Poisson regression model shown through the coefficient variation with temperature. The shading shows the 95 % confidence intervals calculated using 1,000 bootstrap samples. In the bottom line we fit the model using data from Mexico and Peru to compare with Brazil; (b) Same as (a) but for precipitation coefficients.



Fig. S5. (left) Histogram of population weighted temperature in Brazil for the 525 ensembles of ACT and NAT scenarios. The line represents a fitted normal distribution. The dashed vertical line represents the observed value according to the ERA5 dataset; (right) Return period of population weighted temperature for ACT and NAT scenarios. The dashed horizontal line is the observed value according to the ERA5 dataset.



Fig. S6. (a) Map of altitude in Mexico; (b) Map of the dengue incidence (per 100,000 inhabitants) between January and December 2024 for Mexico; (c) Average temperature in low and high altitude regions in Mexico (1 being high and 2 being low), for the ACT and NAT simulations from HadGEM3-A model compared with ERA5. The shadings show the ranges of estimated thermal optima for dengue transmission based on the temperature response from the fitted model using data from Mexico and Brazil; (d) Histogram of the dengue incidence for each one of the 525 ensemble members in the ALL and NAT simulations in cases per 100,000 inhabitants; (e) Same as (d) but as the difference between ACT (NAT) and the observed number of cases, divided by the observed incidence.



Fig. S7. Summary of international arriving passengers in Brazilian airports from the Agência Nacional de Aviacao Civil (*Dados Estatísticos*, n.d.)**. (**a) International arrivals of passengers on direct flights into airports in Brazil. (b) Disaggregated by region in Brazil. (c) Domestic arriving passengers in Brazil. (c) Disaggregated arriving passengers by region in Brazil.



Fig. S8. Summary of departing passengers from Brazilian airports to the top 10 countries per region. Data from (*Dados Estatísticos*, n.d.)**.**



Fig. S9. A) Annual genotype proportion of DENV in Brazil. B) Number of yearly DENV pathogen genomes by genotype in Brazil.



Fig. S10. Bivariate choropleth map of Brazil at the state level showing the proportion of the two major circulating genotypes, DENV-1V and DENV-2II, in the years 2023 and 2024. The grey areas show states where less than five sequences were recorded, whilst the white areas are where there is no data available.



Fig. S11. Scatter plot of the log of observed dengue incidence versus fitted dengue incidence (in sample). The scatter plot shows the point density representing each municipality in each month and year in the fitted data. The black line represents the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) best fit and the R^2 is between the number of observed cases and the fitted cases for each region.

Fig. S12. Monthly dengue incidence per 100,000 inhabitants (blue line) and the aggregated dengue incidence for each region lagged one year to serve as an immunity proxy.

References

Araujo, E. C., Codeço, C. T., Loch, S., Vacaro, L. B., Freitas, L. P., Lana, R. M., Bastos, L. S., de Almeida, I. F., Valente, F., Carvalho, L. M., & Coelho, F. C. (2025). Large-scale epidemiological modelling: scanning for mosquito-borne diseases spatio-temporal patterns in Brazil. *Royal Society Open Science*, *12*(5), 241261.

Athni, T. S., Childs, M. L., Glidden, C. K., & Mordecai, E. A. (2024). Temperature dependence of mosquitoes: Comparing mechanistic and machine learning approaches. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *18*(9), e0012488.

Barcellos, C., Matos, V., Lana, R. M., & Lowe, R. (2024). Climate change, thermal anomalies, and the recent progression of dengue in Brazil. *Scientific Reports*, *14*(1), 5948.

Barnes, C., Konstantinoudis, G., Masselot, P., Mistry, M., Gasparrini, A., Vicedo-Cabrera, A. M., Theokritoff, E., Clarke, B., & Otto, F. (2025). Summer heat deaths in 854 European cities more than tripled due to climate change. In *Imperial College London*. Grantham Institute and London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine. https://doi.org/10.25560/123873

Benson, D. A., Cavanaugh, M., Clark, K., Karsch-Mizrachi, I., Lipman, D. J., Ostell, J., & Sayers, E. W. (2013). GenBank. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *41*(Database issue), D36–D42.

Bomfim, R., Pei, S., Shaman, J., Yamana, T., Makse, H. A., Andrade, J. S., Jr, Lima Neto, A. S., & Furtado, V. (2020). Predicting dengue outbreaks at neighbourhood level using human mobility in urban areas. *Journal of the Royal Society, Interface*, *17*(171), 20200691.

Brito, A. F., Machado, L. C., Oidtman, R. J., Siconelli, M. J. L., Tran, Q. M., Fauver, J. R., Carvalho, R. D. de O., Dezordi, F. Z., Pereira, M. R., de Castro-Jorge, L. A., Minto, E. C. M., Passos, L. M. R., Kalinich, C. C., Petrone, M. E., Allen, E., España, G. C., Huang, A. T., Cummings, D. A. T., Baele, G., … Grubaugh, N. D. (2021). Lying in wait: the resurgence of dengue virus after the Zika epidemic in Brazil. *Nature Communications*, *12*(1), 2619.

Cai, W., McPhaden, M. J., Grimm, A. M., Rodrigues, R. R., Taschetto, A. S., Garreaud, R. D., Dewitte, B., Poveda, G., Ham, Y.-G., Santoso, A., Ng, B., Anderson, W., Wang, G., Geng, T., Jo, H.-S.,

Marengo, J. A., Alves, L. M., Osman, M., Li, S., … Vera, C. (2020). Climate impacts of the El Niño–Southern Oscillation on South America. *Nature Reviews. Earth & Environment*, *1*(4), 215–231.

Cazelles, B., Cazelles, K., Tian, H., Chavez, M., & Pascual, M. (2023). Disentangling local and global climate drivers in the population dynamics of mosquito-borne infections. *Science Advances*, *9*(39), eadf7202.

Childs, M. L., Lyberger, K., Harris, M., Burke, M., & Mordecai, E. A. (2024). Climate warming is expanding dengue burden in the Americas and Asia. *medRxiv: The Preprint Server for Health Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.01.08.24301015

Christidis, N., Stott, P. A., Scaife, A. A., Arribas, A., Jones, G. S., Copsey, D., Knight, J. R., & Tennant, W. J. (2013). A new HadGEM3-A-based system for attribution of weather- and climate-related extreme events. *Journal of Climate*, *26*(9), 2756–2783.

Churakov, M., Villabona-Arenas, C. J., Kraemer, M. U. G., Salje, H., & Cauchemez, S. (2019). Spatio-temporal dynamics of dengue in Brazil: Seasonal travelling waves and determinants of regional synchrony. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *13*(4), e0007012.

Ciavarella, A., Christidis, N., Andrews, M., Groenendijk, M., Rostron, J., Elkington, M., Burke, C., Lott, F. C., & Stott, P. A. (2018). Upgrade of the HadGEM3-A based attribution system to high resolution and a new validation framework for probabilistic event attribution. *Weather and Climate Extremes*, *20*, 9–32.

Climate change, El Niño and infrastructure failures behind massive floods in southern Brazil – World Weather Attribution. (n.d.). Retrieved September 8, 2025, from https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/climate-change-made-the-floods-in-southern-brazil-twice-as-likely/

Codeco, C., Coelho, F., Cruz, O., Oliveira, S., Castro, T., & Bastos, L. (2018). Infodengue: A nowcasting system for the surveillance of arboviruses in Brazil. *Revue D'epidemiologie et de Sante Publique*, *66*, S386.

Codeco, C. T., Oliveira, S. S., Ferreira, D. A. C., Riback, T. I. S., Bastos, L. S., Lana, R. M., Almeida, I. F., Godinho, V. B., Cruz, O. G., & Coelho, F. C. (2022). Fast expansion of dengue in Brazil. *Lancet Regional Health. Americas*, *12*(100274), 100274.

Cracknell Daniels, B., Ferguson, N. M., & Dorigatti, I. (2025). Efficacy, public health impact and optimal use of the Takeda dengue vaccine. *Nature Medicine*, *31*(8), 2663–2672.

Dados Estatísticos. (n.d.). Agência Nacional de Aviação Civil (Anac). Retrieved September 19, 2025, from https://www.gov.br/anac/pt-br/assuntos/dados-e-estatisticas/dados-estatisticos/dados-estatisticos

DATASUS. (2025). *DENGUE - NOTIFICAÇÕES REGISTRADAS NO SISTEMA DE INFORMAÇÃO DE AGRAVOS DE NOTIFICAÇÃO*. TABNET. https://datasus.saude.gov.br/informacoes-de-saude-tabnet/

de Salud, S. (n.d.). *Datos Abiertos Dirección General de Epidemiología*. Gob.mx. Retrieved September 11, 2025, from https://www.gob.mx/salud/documentos/datos-abiertos-152127

Dostal, T., Meisner, J., Munayco, C., García, P. J., Cárcamo, C., Pérez Lu, J. E., Morin, C., Frisbie, L., & Rabinowitz, P. M. (2022). The effect of weather and climate on dengue outbreak risk in Peru, 2000-2018: A time-series analysis. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *16*(6), e0010479.

Dzau, V. J., Laitner, M. H., Balatbat, C. A., & Taylor, S. (2025). Climate change and human health - A research agenda for action. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, *393*(1), 88–92.

Ebi, K. L., Bi, P., Bowen, K., Brauer, M., Chua, P. L. C., Colón-González, F. J., Dimitrova, A., Gasparrini, A., Gouveia, N., Hajat, S., Hamilton, I., Harper, S., Hasegawa, T., Hashizume, M., Heaviside, C., Honda, Y., Green, C., Jack, C., Kim, H., … Hess, J. J. (2025). Priority climate and health modelling needs. *The Lancet. Planetary Health*, *0*(101297), 101297.

Ebi, K. L., Haines, A., Andrade, R. F. S., Åström, C., Barreto, M. L., Bonell, A., Brink, N., Caminade, C., Carlson, C. J., Carter, R., Chua, P., Cissé, G., Colón-González, F. J., Dasgupta, S., Galvao, L. A., Zornoza, M. G., Gasparrini, A., Gordon-Strachan, G., Hajat, S., … Stuart-Smith, R. F. (2025). The attribution of human health outcomes to climate change: transdisciplinary practical guidance. *Climatic Change*, *178*(8). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-025-03976-7

Faria, N. R., Azevedo, R. do S. da S., Kraemer, M. U. G., Souza, R., Cunha, M. S., Hill, S. C., Thézé, J., Bonsall, M. B., Bowden, T. A., Rissanen, I., Rocco, I. M., Nogueira, J. S., Maeda, A. Y., Vasami, F. G. da S., Macedo, F. L. de L., Suzuki, A., Rodrigues, S. G., Cruz, A. C. R., Nunes, B. T., … Vasconcelos, P. F. C. (2016). Zika virus in the Americas: Early epidemiological and genetic findings. *Science*, *352*(6283), 345–349.

Faria, N. R., Quick, J., Claro, I. M., Thézé, J., de Jesus, J. G., Giovanetti, M., Kraemer, M. U. G., Hill, S. C., Black, A., da Costa, A. C., Franco, L. C., Silva, S. P., Wu, C.-H., Raghwani, J., Cauchemez, S., du Plessis, L., Verotti, M. P., de Oliveira, W. K., Carmo, E. H., … Pybus, O. G. (2017). Establishment and cryptic transmission of Zika virus in Brazil and the Americas. *Nature*, *546*(7658), 406–410.

Ferrari, L. (2023, March 16). *Falta inseticida para combater o mosquito da dengue, zika e chikungunya*. https://noticias.uol.com.br/ultimas-noticias/agencia-estado/2023/03/16/falta-inseticida-para-combater-o-mosquito-da-dengue-zika-e-chikungunya.htm

Funk, C., Peterson, P., Landsfeld, M., Pedreros, D., Verdin, J., Shukla, S., Husak, G., Rowland, J., Harrison, L., Hoell, A., & Michaelsen, J. (2015). The climate hazards infrared precipitation with stations--a new environmental record for monitoring extremes. *Scientific Data*, *2*(1), 150066.

Gibb, R., Colón-González, F. J., Lan, P. T., Huong, P. T., Nam, V. S., Duoc, V. T., Hung, D. T., Dong, N. T., Chien, V. C., Trang, L. T. T., Kien Quoc, D., Hoa, T. M., Tai, N. H., Hang, T. T., Tsarouchi, G., Ainscoe, E., Harpham, Q., Hofmann, B., Lumbroso, D., … Lowe, R. (2023). Interactions between climate change, urban infrastructure and mobility are driving dengue emergence in Vietnam. *Nature Communications*, *14*(1), 8179.

Gouveia, A. S., Gomes, M. F. da C., de Almeida, I. F., Lana, R. M., Bastos, L. S., Bianchi, L. M., Oliveira, S. de S., Araujo, E. C., Ferreira, D. A. da C., Oliveira, D. M. B., Godinho, V. B., Vacaro, L. B., Riback, T. I. S., Cruz, O. G., Coelho, F. C., & Codeço, C. T. (2025). Unraveling regional variability in Dengue outbreaks in Brazil: leveraging the Moving Epidemics Method (MEM) and climate data to optimize vector control strategies. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *19*(6),

e0013175.

Hadisoemarto, P. F., & Castro, M. C. (2013). Public acceptance and willingness-to-pay for a future dengue vaccine: a community-based survey in Bandung, Indonesia. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *7*(9), e2427.

Harish, V., Colón-González, F. J., Moreira, F. R. R., Gibb, R., Kraemer, M. U. G., Davis, M., Reiner, R. C., Jr, Pigott, D. M., Perkins, T. A., Weiss, D. J., Bogoch, I. I., Vazquez-Prokopec, G., Saide, P. M., Barbosa, G. L., Sabino, E. C., Khan, K., Faria, N. R., Hay, S. I., Correa-Morales, F., … Brady, O. J. (2024). Human movement and environmental barriers shape the emergence of dengue. *Nature Communications*, *15*(1), 4205.

Heath, K., Muniz Alves, L., & Bonsall, M. B. (2025). Climate change, urbanisation and transmission potential: Aedes aegypti mosquito projections forecast future arboviral disease hotspots in Brazil. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *19*(9), e0013415.

Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X., Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., … Jean-Noël Thépaut. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society. Royal Meteorological Society (Great Britain)*, *146*(730), 1999–2049.

Hill, V., Cleemput, S., Pereira, J. S., Gifford, R. J., Fonseca, V., Tegally, H., Brito, A. F., Ribeiro, G., de Souza, V. C., Brcko, I. C., Ribeiro, I. S., De Lima, I. T. T., Slavov, S. N., Sampaio, S. C., Elias, M. C., Tran, V. T., Kien, D. T. H., Huynh, T., Yacoub, S., … Grubaugh, N. D. (2024). A new lineage nomenclature to aid genomic surveillance of dengue virus. *PLoS Biology*, *22*(9), e3002834.

Hsiang, S. (2016). Climate econometrics. *Annual Review of Resource Economics*, *8*(1), 43–75.

IBGE. (2023). *Censo Demográfico 2022*. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia E Estátistica. https://www.ibge.gov.br/

Instituto Nacional de Meteorologia - INMET. (n.d.). Retrieved September 17, 2025, from https://portal.inmet.gov.br/noticias/ver%C3%A3o-2024-2025-foi-o-sexto-mais-quente-no-brasil-desd

e-1961

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2023). *Climate change 2022 – impacts, adaptation and vulnerability: Working group II contribution to the sixth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009325844

IPS. (2025). *Índice de Progressão Social do Brasil*. IPS Brasil. https://ipsbrasil.org.br/.

IPS Brasil. (n.d.). Retrieved September 19, 2025, from https://ipsbrasil.org.br/pt

Janoš, T., Quijal-Zamorano, M., Shartova, N., Gallo, E., Méndez Turrubiates, R. F., Denisse Beltrán Barrón, N., Peyrusse, F., & Ballester, J. (2025). Heat-related mortality in Europe during 2024 and health emergency forecasting to reduce preventable deaths. *Nature Medicine*, 1–10.

Jeferson de Medeiros, F., Prestrelo de Oliveira, C., & Avila-Diaz, A. (2022). Evaluation of extreme precipitation climate indices and their projected changes for Brazil: From CMIP3 to CMIP6. *Weather and Climate Extremes*, *38*(100511), 100511.

Kallás, E. G., Cintra, M. A. T., Moreira, J. A., Patiño, E. G., Braga, P. E., Tenório, J. C. V., Infante, V., Palacios, R., de Lacerda, M. V. G., Batista Pereira, D., da Fonseca, A. J., Gurgel, R. Q., Coelho, I. C.-B., Fontes, C. J. F., Marques, E. T. A., Romero, G. A. S., Teixeira, M. M., Siqueira, A. M., Barral, A. M. P., … Nogueira, M. L. (2024). Live, attenuated, tetravalent Butantan-Dengue Vaccine in children and adults. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, *390*(5), 397–408.

Laydon, D. J., Smith, D. L., Chakradeo, K., Khurana, M. P., Okiring, J., Duchene, D. A., & Bhatt, S. (2025). Climate change and malaria: A call for robust analytics. In *medRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.16.24313623

Lim, A., Shearer, F. M., Sewalk, K., Pigott, D. M., Clarke, J., Ghouse, A., Judge, C., Kang, H., Messina, J. P., Kraemer, M. U. G., Gaythorpe, K. A. M., de Souza, W. M., Nsoesie, E. O., Celone, M., Faria, N., Ryan, S. J., Rabe, I. B., Rojas, D. P., Hay, S. I., … Brady, O. J. (2025). The overlapping global distribution of dengue, chikungunya, Zika and yellow fever. *Nature Communications*, *16*(1), 3418.

Lowe, R., Lee, S. A., O'Reilly, K. M., Brady, O. J., Bastos, L., Carrasco-Escobar, G., de Castro Catão, R.,

Colón-González, F. J., Barcellos, C., Carvalho, M. S., Blangiardo, M., Rue, H., & Gasparrini, A. (2021). Combined effects of hydrometeorological hazards and urbanisation on dengue risk in Brazil: a spatiotemporal modelling study. *The Lancet. Planetary Health*, *5*(4), e209–e219.

MacCormack-Gelles, B., Lima Neto, A. S., Sousa, G. S., Nascimento, O. J., Machado, M. M. T., Wilson, M. E., & Castro, M. C. (2018). Epidemiological characteristics and determinants of dengue transmission during epidemic and non-epidemic years in Fortaleza, Brazil: 2011-2015. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *12*(12), e0006990.

Mendoza-Cano, O., Danis-Lozano, R., Trujillo, X., Huerta, M., Ríos-Silva, M., Lugo-Radillo, A., Bricio-Barrios, J. A., Benites-Godínez, V., Cuevas-Arellano, H. B., Uribe-Ramos, J. M., Solano-Barajas, R., Cárdenas, Y., Venegas-Ramírez, J., Ríos-Bracamontes, E. F., García-Solórzano, L. A., Camacho-delaCruz, A. A., & Murillo-Zamora, E. (2025). Spatial patterns and clustering of dengue incidence in Mexico: Analysis of Moran's index across 2,471 municipalities from 2022 to 2024. *PloS One*, *20*(5), e0324754.

Messina, J. P., Brady, O. J., Golding, N., Kraemer, M. U. G., Wint, G. R. W., Ray, S. E., Pigott, D. M., Shearer, F. M., Johnson, K., Earl, L., Marczak, L. B., Shirude, S., Davis Weaver, N., Gilbert, M., Velayudhan, R., Jones, P., Jaenisch, T., Scott, T. W., Reiner, R. C., Jr, & Hay, S. I. (2019). The current and future global distribution and population at risk of dengue. *Nature Microbiology*, *4*(9), 1508–1515.

Mills, C., & Donnelly, C. A. (2024). Climate-based modelling and forecasting of dengue in three endemic departments of Peru. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *18*(12), e0012596.

Mills, C., Falconi-Agapito, F., Carrera, J. P., Muncayo Escate, C., Kraemer, M. U. G., & Donnelly, C. A. (2024). Multi-model approach to understand and predict past and future dengue epidemic dynamics. In *Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS)* (No. medrxiv;2024.10.18.24315690v2). medRxiv. https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.10.18.24315690v2

Mills, C., Irons, N. J., Tsui, J. L.-H., Sparrow, S., Carvalho, L. M., Kucharski, A. J., Ratmann, O., Lambert, B., Donnelly, C. A., & Kraemer, M. U. G. (2025). From metric to action: An evaluation

framework to translate infectious disease forecasts into policy decisions. In *medRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.07.20.25331802

Mina, M. J., Metcalf, C. J. E., McDermott, A. B., Douek, D. C., Farrar, J., & Grenfell, B. T. (2020). A Global lmmunological Observatory to meet a time of pandemics. *eLife*, *9*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.58989

Mitchell, D., Heaviside, C., Vardoulakis, S., Huntingford, C., Masato, G., P Guillod, B., Frumhoff, P., Bowery, A., Wallom, D., & Allen, M. (2016). Attributing human mortality during extreme heat waves to anthropogenic climate change. *Environmental Research Letters*, *11*(7), 074006.

N. A. Rayner, D. E. Parker, E. B. Horton, C. K. Folland, L. V. Alexander, D. P. Rowell, E. C. Kent, A. Kaplan. (2003). Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and night marine air temperature since the late nineteenth century. *J. Geophys. Res.*, *108*(D14), 4407.

Padilha, K. P., Garcia, G. A., Teles-de-Freitas, R., Gnonhoue, F. J., David, M. R., Corrêa-Antônio, J., de Oliveira, F., Brito, L. P., Dias, L., Martins, A. J., Corbel, V., Lima, J. B. P., Wallau, G. L., Hoffmann, A., Cruz, O. G., Villela, D. A. M., Pavan, M. G., & Maciel-de-Freitas, R. (2025). Wolbachia introgression in Rio de Janeiro remains at sub-optimal levels 30 months after its crash: challenges in the sustainability of w Mel interventions for dengue control. In *Ecology* (No. biorxiv;2025.07.29.667468v1). bioRxiv. https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2025.07.29.667468v1.full

PAHO. (2024). *Director's Remarks - PAHO Press Briefing on Dengue, Oropouche, and Avian Flu (H5N1) - 10 December 2024*. Pan American Health Organization. https://www.paho.org/en/documents/directors-remarks-paho-press-briefing-dengue-oropouche-and-avian-flu-h5n1-10-december

Pall, P., Aina, T., Stone, D. A., Stott, P. A., Nozawa, T., Hilberts, A. G. J., Lohmann, D., & Allen, M. R. (2011). Anthropogenic greenhouse gas contribution to flood risk in England and Wales in autumn 2000. *Nature*, *470*(7334), 382–385.

Pereira, J. S., Slavov, S. N., Brcko, I. C., Ribeiro, G., de Souza, V. C., Ribeiro, I. S., De Lima, I. T. T.,

Borges, G. A. L., Furtado, K. C. de L., Chagas, S. M. da S., da Costa, P. M. S. S. B., Adelino, T. É. R., Iani, F. C. de M., Alcantara, L. C. J., Hill, V., Grubaugh, N. D., Sampaio, S. C., Elias, M. C., Giovanetti, M., & Lima, A. R. J. (2025). Unravelling dengue serotype 3 transmission in Brazil: evidence for multiple introductions of the 3III_B.3.2 lineage. *Virus Evolution*, *11*(1), veaf034.

PGI - Plataforma Geográfica Interativa. (n.d.). Retrieved September 19, 2025, from https://censo2022.ibge.gov.br/apps/pgi/#/home/

Pirani, M., Lorenz, C., de Azevedo, T. S., Barbosa, G. L., Blangiardo, M., & Chiaravalloti-Neto, F. (2024). Effects of the El Niño-Southern Oscillation and seasonal weather conditions on Aedes aegypti infestation in the State of São Paulo (Brazil): A Bayesian spatio-temporal study. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *18*(9), e0012397.

Ponmattam, J., Machado, M. M. T., Scott, V., Claro, R., & Castro, M. C. (2025). Acceptance of a dengue vaccine and potential change in vector control behavior. *IJID Regions (Online)*, *15*(100660), 100660.

Poongavanan, J., Lourenço, J., Tsui, J. L.-H., Colizza, V., Ramphal, Y., Baxter, C., Kraemer, M. U. G., Dunaiski, M., de Oliveira, T., & Tegally, H. (2024). Dengue virus importation risks in Africa: a modelling study. *The Lancet. Planetary Health*, *8*(12), e1043–e1054.

Quandelacy, T. M., Vincente-González, M. F., Grillet, M. E., Colomé-Hidalgo, M., Herrera, D., Torres Aponte, J. M., Marzán Rodríguez, M., Adams, L. E., Paz-Bailey, G., Rodriguez, D. M., Munayco, C., Figueroa, L., Masis, R., Borbor-Cordova, M., Ortiz-Prado, E., Piaggio, M., Rollock, L., Barrenechea, G., Carbajo, A., … Johansson, M. A. (2025). Synchronized dynamics of dengue across the Americas. *Science Translational Medicine*, *17*(812), eadq4326.

Quilcaille, Y., Gudmundsson, L., Schumacher, D. L., Gasser, T., Heede, R., Heri, C., Lejeune, Q., Nath, S., Naveau, P., Thiery, W., Schleussner, C.-F., & Seneviratne, S. I. (2025). Systematic attribution of heatwaves to the emissions of carbon majors. *Nature*, *645*(8080), 392–398.

Rice, B. L., Raobson, E., Miharisoa, S., Rebaliha, M., Lewinski, J., Raharinirina, H., Golden, C. D., Vecchi, G. A., Wesolowski, A., Grenfell, B., & Metcalf, C. J. E. (2025). Vaccination to mitigate climate-driven disruptions to malaria control in Madagascar. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, *389*(6757),

eadp5365.

Romeo-Aznar, V., Picinini Freitas, L., Gonçalves Cruz, O., King, A. A., & Pascual, M. (2022). Fine-scale heterogeneity in population density predicts wave dynamics in dengue epidemics. *Nature Communications*, *13*(1), 996.

Rufasto Goche, K. S., Lizarbe Castro, M. V., Lozano Zanelly, G. A., Lira Camargo, W. M., Ascayo Velasquez, E. Y., Murillo Carrasco, A. G., & Diaz-Obregón, D. (2025). Epidemiological dynamics of dengue in Peru: Temporal and spatial drivers between 2000 and 2022. *PloS One*, *20*(3), e0319708.

Santos, M. C. (2025, August 21). *Dengue do tipo 3 reacende alerta em Pernambuco, mas clima deve evitar epidemia - Marco Zero Conteúdo*. Marco Zero Conteúdo. https://marcozero.org/dengue-do-tipo-3-reacende-alerta-em-pernambuco-mas-clima-deve-evitar-epidemia/

Scott, V. K., Pinheiro, M. S. N., Machado, M. M. T., & Castro, M. C. (2023). Acceptability of a hypothetical dengue vaccine and the potential impact of dengue vaccination on personal vector control behavior: a qualitative study in Fortaleza, Brazil. *BMC Public Health*, *23*(1), 2408.

Shu, Y., & McCauley, J. (2017). GISAID: Global initiative on sharing all influenza data - from vision to reality. *Euro Surveillance : Bulletin Europeen Sur Les Maladies Transmissibles [Euro Surveillance : European Communicable Disease Bulletin]*, *22*(13). https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2017.22.13.30494

Siraj, A. S., Oidtman, R. J., Huber, J. H., Kraemer, M. U. G., Brady, O. J., Johansson, M. A., & Perkins, T. A. (2017). Temperature modulates dengue virus epidemic growth rates through its effects on reproduction numbers and generation intervals. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *11*(7), e0005797.

Smiley, K. T., Noy, I., Wehner, M. F., Frame, D., Sampson, C. C., & Wing, O. E. J. (2022). Social inequalities in climate change-attributed impacts of Hurricane Harvey. *Nature Communications*, *13*(1), 3418.

State of the Climate in Latin America and the Caribbean 2024. (2025, March 4). World Meteorological Organization. https://wmo.int/publication-series/state-of-climate-latin-america-and-caribbean-2024

Stuart-Smith, R. F., Vicedo-Cabrera, A. M., Li, S., Otto, F. E. L., Belesova, K., Haines, A., Harrington, L. J., Hess, J. J., Venkatraman, R., Wetzer, T., Woodward, A., & Ebi, K. L. (2025). Refining methods for attributing health impacts to climate change: a heat-mortality case study in Zürich. *Climatic Change*, *178*(9), 1–22.

Symons, T. L., Moran, A., Balzarolo, A., Vargas, C., Robertson, M., Lubinda, J., Saddler, A., McPhail, M., Harris, J., Rozier, J., Browne, A., Amratia, P., Bertozzi-Villa, A., Bhatt, S., Cameron, E., Golding, N., Smith, D. L., Noor, A. M., Rumisha, S. F., … Gething, P. W. (2025). Projected ecological and disruptive impacts of climate change on malaria in Africa. In *medRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.02.11.25322113

Tsui, J. L.-H., Pena, R. E., Moir, M., Inward, R. P. D., Wilkinson, E., San, J. E., Poongavanan, J., Bajaj, S., Gutierrez, B., Dasgupta, A., de Oliveira, T., Kraemer, M. U. G., Tegally, H., & Sambaturu, P. (2024). Impacts of climate change-related human migration on infectious diseases. *Nature Climate Change*, *14*(8), 793–802.

Vancini, R. L., Andrade, M. S., & de Lira, C. A. B. (2024). Dengue's economic impact in Brazil. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, *385*(6706), 266.

van Panhuis, W. G., Choisy, M., Xiong, X., Chok, N. S., Akarasewi, P., Iamsirithaworn, S., Lam, S. K., Chong, C. K., Lam, F. C., Phommasak, B., Vongphrachanh, P., Bouaphanh, K., Rekol, H., Hien, N. T., Thai, P. Q., Duong, T. N., Chuang, J.-H., Liu, Y.-L., Ng, L.-C., … Cummings, D. A. T. (2015). Region-wide synchrony and traveling waves of dengue across eight countries in Southeast Asia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *112*(42), 13069–13074.

Vicedo-Cabrera, A. M., de Schrijver, E., Schumacher, D. L., Ragettli, M. S., Fischer, E. M., & Seneviratne, S. I. (2023). The footprint of human-induced climate change on heat-related deaths in the summer of 2022 in Switzerland. *Environmental Research Letters*, *18*, 074037.

Vicedo-Cabrera, A. M., Scovronick, N., Sera, F., Royé, D., Schneider, R., Tobias, A., Astrom, C., Guo, Y., Honda, Y., Hondula, D. M., Abrutzky, R., Tong, S., de Sousa Zanotti Stagliorio Coelho, M., Saldiva,

P. H. N., Lavigne, E., Correa, P. M., Ortega, N. V., Kan, H., Osorio, S., … Gasparrini, A. (2021). The burden of heat-related mortality attributable to recent human-induced climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, *11*(6), 492–500.

Worsening spread of mosquito-borne disease outbreaks in EU/EEA, according to latest ECDC figures. (2024, June 11). European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/news-events/worsening-spread-mosquito-borne-disease-outbreaks-eu eea-according-latest-ecdc-figures#:~:text=This%20is%20a%20significant%20increase,2024%20mig ht%20become%20even%20higher.