Mortality of individuals with antemortem genetic testing for *PRNP* variants in the United States, 1998-2024

Yuan Lian[1,*], Keisi Kotobelli[2,*], Kathleen Glisic[2], Daniel A Sprague[1,3], Sonia M. Vallabh[1,3], Brian S. Appleby[2,†], Eric Vallabh Minikel[1,3,†]

1. Program in Brain Health, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA 02142
2. National Prion Disease Pathology Surveillance Center, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, 44106, USA
3. Prion Alliance, Cambridge, MA 02139

*These authors contributed equally to this work
†Correspondence may be addressed to: bsa35@case.edu or eminikel@broadinstitute.org

Abstract

Objectives. To characterize the survival of individuals with pathogenic *PRNP* variants — including to estimate annual hazards, to judge the accuracy of previously reported retrospective survival data, and to evaluate the utility of public record searches in determining vital status.

Methods. In this single center cohort study, we gathered data on individuals who received positive antemortem *PRNP* genetic tests at the U.S. National Prion Disease Pathology Surveillance Center (NPDPSC). Genetic test results and autopsy status were queried from the NPDPSC database, and public record searches were conducted using online tools.

Results. 404 individuals received positive genetic test results. Of 206 cases symptomatic at the time of genetic testing, 188 are likely now deceased based on typical disease duration for their genetic variants. Combined autopsy and public record searches in combination confirmed 174 of these deaths, for an estimated sensitivity of 92.6%. Of 111 autopsied individuals, evidence of death was found in public record searches for 109, a sensitivity of 98.2%. Of 198 individuals who were asymptomatic at the time of testing, 32 since died of definite or possible prion disease. Among 20 of these who underwent autopsy, public record searches confirmed deaths of 18, for a sensitivity of 90%. Among 99 E200K individuals over 936 person-years of follow-up, 18 deaths were observed, significantly fewer than 27.4 expected according to life tables based on retrospective data. The age-dependent penetrance of E200K calculated from prospective data was significantly lower than that from retrospective data, with 69% penetrance by age 80 and a median age at death of 75. No significant difference was found for D178N, which appears highly penetrant, though the median age at death was numerically higher than seen in retrospective data. V210I was associated with just 2 deaths, both after age 90, consistent with minimal penetrance.

Discussion. These data support the accuracy of penetrance classifications for *PRNP* variants reported based on retrospective data, but may suggest an age of onset distribution shifted slightly later than that calculated retrospectively. Autopsy data and public death records in combination were sensitive and concordant, but additional prospective data should be gathered to support future preventive trials.

Clinical Trials Registration. Not applicable.

Introduction

Prion disease can be caused by genetic variants in *PRNP*, only some of which are highly penetrant[1]. Most data on age at symptom onset and penetrance have been gathered retrospectively, chiefly from reports of deaths to surveillance centers[2]. Hazards estimated from retrospective data could be inaccurate if penetrance is incomplete or if reporting is biased. Accurate estimation of annual risk of disease onset or mortality for at-risk individuals may be important for clinical development of drugs intended to delay disease onset. Here, we examine a cohort of individuals who received a positive antemortem *PRNP* genetic test. We evaluate the utility of public record searches to determine their current vital status, and we estimate annual hazards for individuals who were asymptomatic at the time of genetic testing.

Methods

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents. This study was approved by University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center's Institutional Review Board (01-14-18) and by the Broad Institute's Office of Research Subjects Protection (NHSR-2121). All participants provided written informed consent.

Study participants. Participants were N=404 individuals with blood DNA testing (221 female, 183 male) performed at the National Prion Disease Pathology Surveillance Center (NPDPSC) from December 1998 through January 2024 for whom a *PRNP* variant was identified.

Public record searches. The patient's full name, date of birth, and/or state of residence were searched using Google during April 2024 - August 2025 to verify their mortality status through obituary listings as well as other websites including death announcements and cemetery records. For individuals for whom records were not found through these sources, the Social Security Death Index (SSDI) was searched for additional verification of mortality status.

Life tables. For retrospective data, we filtered previously reported life tables[2], including only individuals who had not been followed prospectively, but rather, whose vital status was only known as a single moment snapshot due to presentation to a clinical center, report to a

surveillance center, or ascertainment in a family history collected from a related proband. Retrospective data were not considered to be left-truncated or left-censored (all individuals were assumed to have observation time beginning at age 0), but were considered right-censored (where applicable) at the time an individual was last known to be alive. For prospective data, we considered the NPDPSC data to be both left-censored at the time that individuals underwent predictive testing, and right-censored (where applicable) at the time they were last known to be alive. These assumptions and methodology have been previously described in detail[2].

Statistical analysis. Sensitivity statistics utilized Wilson binomial confidence intervals. 90th percentiles of disease duration were calculated from previously published disease duration tables[2], using the number of months after disease onset when survival drops to 10%. Probabilities of onset per individual were calculated by using the smoothed annual hazards from the retrospective life tables described above. The Cox proportional hazards model for E200K was fit on the using left and right truncation times, with retrospective vs. prospective status as the independent variable. All analyses were conducted using custom scripts in R 4.2.0.

Data availability. The full de-identified dataset will be made available to qualified investigators with ethical approval and a data use agreement upon request. Binned prospective and retrospective life tables are provided in the Supplementary Materials. These life tables and summary statistics are freely available at github.com/ericminikel/npdpsc_prospective

Results

We identified N=404 individuals with positive antemortem genetic tests for whom the disease status at the time of genetic test was known (Figure S1). Age at test was (mean±SD) 52.8±11.9 for symptomatic (N=206) and 42.9±16.1 for asymptomatic (N=198) (Table 1). Of these individuals, N=131 went on to autopsy at the NPDPSC; for an additional N=4, NPDPSC was notified of the death but autopsy was not performed. Searches identified public death records for an overlapping set of N=205 individuals (online obituaries, N=173; Social Security death index, N=20; other websites N=12). Most (N=126, 61.5%) such records provided no information as to cause of death, with just N=16 (8%) providing specifics identifying prion disease as the cause of death, N=19 (9%) alluding to an illness without specifics, and N=44 (21.5%) calling for memorial donations to a prion disease-related organization. Among patients who were symptomatic at the time of genetic testing and had gone to autopsy, public records documented the deaths of 109/111, for a sensitivity of 98.2% (95%CI: 93.7-99.5%). Public records also indicated the deaths of 63 additional symptomatic individuals who had not been autopsied. We further examined the data for the remaining 32 symptomatic patients for whom neither autopsy nor public records indicated death. Of these 32 individuals, 6 had rare genetic variants for which disease duration is not well characterized. For another 12, the time elapsed since genetic testing was less than the 90th percentile of disease duration for their respective genetic variants, making it plausible that these individuals are still alive. The remaining 14 individuals were past the 90th percentile of disease duration for their respective mutations, making it very likely they are in fact deceased. If so, then a total of 188 individuals symptomatic at the time of

genetic testing are deceased, and autopsy plus public record searches in combination detected 174 of these deaths, for a sensitivity of 92.6% (95%CI: 87.9-95.5%).

Among individuals asymptomatic at the time of genetic testing, public records were found for 18/20 autopsied individuals (Table 1), for a sensitivity of 90% (95%CI: 69.9-97.2%). Autopsy confirmed prion disease as the cause of death in 17/20 individuals, while in 3 instances (all E200K, age 63, 76, and 96), autopsy of an individual who had never developed prion disease symptoms confirmed that prion disease was not the cause of death. Public records also identified an additional 15 deaths, but generally did not state whether the individual had died of prion disease. In total, of 198 asymptomatic persons during 1,836 person-years of follow-up, 35 deaths occurred, with 32 deaths being either definitely (N=17) or possibly (N=15) related to prion disease (Table 2).

32 deaths in 1,836 person-years corresponds to an overall mean hazard (annual probability of death) of 1.7% per person-year, however, hazard is a function both of age and of genetic variant. We therefore examined whether the number of observed deaths among initially asymptomatic individuals was consistent with expectation based on retrospective data for E200K and D178N, controlling for the ages over which individuals were observed. We focused on these two variants both because the number of person-years of prospective follow-up was largest, and because these variants are rapidly progressive, with disease duration typically <1 year, thus, age at onset and age at death are nearly identical[2]. For more slowly progressive variants, the available retrospective data capture age at symptom onset[2], which is a poorer proxy for the age at death captured here by autopsy and public record searches.

From previously reported data, we extracted life tables based solely on retrospective age at symptom onset or death data for E200K and D178N to produce retrospective survival curves (solid lines, Figure 1A-B). We then produced life tables from prospective data for asymptomatic individuals (dashed lines, Figure 1A-B), making the following assumptions: individuals whose deaths were not identified in autopsy data nor in public record searches were presumed to be alive at their current age; individuals where autopsy ruled out prion disease were censored at their age of death; individuals with public records only were presumed to have died of prion disease. As a comparator, we also plotted the lifespan of the general population based on the 2022 Social Security actuarial life tables (gray curves, Figure 1A-C).

For E200K, the prospective survival curve of initially asymptomatic individuals was significantly right-shifted compared to the retrospective survival curve (P = 0.00011, Cox proportional hazards model). The prospective and retrospective datasets respectively yielded median ages at death of 75 versus 62, and penetrance by age 80 of 69% versus 96%. We tested the sensitivity of these estimates to our assumptions that i) the 4 E200K deaths discovered through public record searches were due to prion disease, and ii) the 78 E200K individuals without any evidence of death are alive today. The most extreme alternative assumptions consistent with our data would provide median ages of onset of 66 to 78 and penetrance by age 80 of 66% to 75% (Figure S2). In either extreme, the data would still classify E200K as highly penetrant but with a later-shifted age of onset distribution than previously reported. For D178N, any

discrepancy between the prospective and retrospective data appeared smaller (median survival 57 vs. 52) and was non-significant (P = 0.21, Cox proportional hazards model). For V210I, no retrospective data were available, but in the prospective data (Figure 1C), only 2 deaths were observed, both over age 90 and with no indication of prion disease based on the public records found, consistent with low penetrance.

Of the 24 deaths for D178N and E200K observed in our dataset, only 3 occurred within the 90th percentile of disease duration for their respective genetic variants, while the remaining 21 observed deaths occurred after enough time had passed that it is unlikely the genetic testing was prompted by any suspicion of symptoms (see Discussion).

Discussion

The penetrance and age of onset of *PRNP* variants have previously been classified based on the presence of Mendelian segregation and/or de novo variation in the literature, comparison of allele frequency in cases and controls, and aggregation of retrospectively collected age of onset data chiefly from symptomatic or deceased cases reported to surveillance centers worldwide. All of these methods are useful but imperfect and subject to ascertainment bias, whereby individuals that become symptomatic are more likely to be ascertained. A more ideal way to determine the age-dependent penetrance of genetic variants would be to prospectively follow individuals with these variants and determine the proportion that succumb to disease in each year of life.

Herein we examined the survival of individuals with pathogenic *PRNP* variants identified when still asymptomatic, capturing 1,836 person-years of follow-up on 198 individuals.

The *PRNP* E200K variant is generally believed to cause genetic prion disease with high penetrance, based on its segregation with disease in many multigenerational families, the high proportion of cases with a positive family history, and its dramatic enrichment in prion disease cases over controls[1,3,4]. Most reports on E200K have estimated >90% penetrance by age 80[2,5–7]. 2 reports argued for a lower penetrance, ~60%, but in small cohorts lacking any public dataset or any detailed description of the methods used for ascertainment or analysis[8,9]. Among 99 E200K individuals followed prospectively, 18 died of definite or possible prion disease, with a median survival of 75 and estimated 69% penetrance by age 80. This differed significantly from the retrospective survival data, which would have predicted 27 deaths among the same individuals, with median survival of 62, and 96% penetrance by age 80. Our data still support classification of E200K as highly penetrant, but may point to a slightly more optimistic distribution of ages of onset.

The D178N variant has an earlier age of onset and an even higher proportion of cases with positive family history, perhaps suggesting less opportunity for ascertainment bias to cloud the retrospective data. Indeed, there was no significant difference in the prospective survival of D178N individuals compared to retrospective data: the median survival was slightly later — 57 in prospective data versus 52 in retrospective — but given the small number of deaths (6 deaths

observed compared to 7 expected), this was within sampling variance. Our data support classification of D178N as highly penetrant.

Families with V210I generally do not exhibit multiplex segregation, only a minority (12%) of cases have a positive family history, and comparison of case and control allele frequency yielded a point estimate of 8% lifetime risk[3,4]. We observed only 2 deaths past age 90 among V210I individuals, which may not have been due to prion disease (see below), consistent with low penetrance.

The above findings are subject to several biases and caveats. We assumed that individuals for whom we were unable to locate public records of death, such as online obituaries, are still alive. This assumption is supported by the fact that our public record searches were 98.2% sensitive at detecting the deaths of autopsied symptomatic individuals in our dataset. Autopsy cases may represent a biased sample, however, as we also identified individuals who were tested while symptomatic long enough ago that they are very likely deceased, for whom no public records were found. Overall, we estimate that autopsy plus public record searches may be only 92.6% sensitive at detecting deaths. To the extent that we erroneously assumed some individuals to be alive who actually died of prion disease, our prospective life tables may be overly optimistic. On the other hand, we also assumed that deaths identified through public record searches without autopsy were due to prion disease. In fact, particularly for E200K, the range of ages of onset extends into the end of the natural human lifespan. If some deaths were not due to prion disease, then our prospective life tables may be overly pessimistic. The above two limitations act in opposing directions. Sensitivity tests indicate that their potential impact on the analysis may be to shift the median age of onset for E200K a few years in either direction, but regardless, the overall conclusion would still be that E200K has a somewhat later age of onset and lower penetrance than previously believed, while still being highly penetrant.

In a previously reported U.K. genetic testing dataset[10], of 42 individuals who tested positive for a *PRNP* variant, 7/10 who went on to become symptomatic did so within 1 year of testing, a significant excess over expectation. This was interpreted to suggest that some individuals seek predictive genetic testing due to a correct suspicion of initial symptoms of disease. This might introduce bias in the estimation of annual hazards after predictive testing. In contrast, only 3 deaths in our dataset occurred shortly after genetic testing. This difference might be attributable to the composition of genetic variants represented in the two cohorts. Slowly progressive mutations such as P102L, A117V, and 6-OPRI, which are over-represented in the U.K., are more likely to have an extended prodrome as well as more preserved cognition in the earlier stage of the illness, enabling these individuals to seek out predictive genetic testing while possibly experiencing prodromal symptoms. This U.S. cohort consists primarily of rapidly progressive mutations, especially E200K, similar to the world average[3].

Our study has limitations. No single source of data exhaustively captures all deaths, so our estimate of combined sensitivity is imperfect. We also lacked a perfect gold standard for individuals still alive, thus, we cannot assess the specificity of public record searches. We did not utilize tokenization[11] or other privacy-preserving record linkage methods to leverage

additional sources of vital status information. Only 32 deaths occurred among prospectively followed individuals, still a small number from which to estimate hazards. We relied on public obituaries, which are common for ordinary people in the United States, but customs may differ globally, limiting the generalizability of our methods. A strength is that most of our data are from E200K, the most common disease-causing *PRNP* variant worldwide, supporting generalizability of our findings.

The age at symptom onset of genetic prion disease is sufficiently variable that it is not possible to statistically power pivotal pre-approval clinical trials to demonstrate that a drug has delayed onset of disease in this population[2]. Instead, one clinical development path for preventive drugs would require provisional approval on a biomarker endpoint, combined with a plan to assess survival long-term in a post-marketing study[12]. Our findings may suggest a need to refine our estimates of annual hazards facing individuals with *PRNP* mutations. An important future direction will be the establishment of a prospective registry study in *PRNP* at-risk individuals. Asking for consent for contact next of kin as well as for tokenization would expand the set of methods available to minimize informative censoring and ensure that no participants are lost to follow-up. Such a study could gather additional prospective data in untreated individuals in advance of prevention trials, while also providing an infrastructure for future post-marketing studies.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01 NS132022 to EVM), by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (NU38CK000486 to BSA) and by donations to the Broad Institute's Prions@Broad fund.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures

SMV acknowledges speaking fees from Abbvie, Biogen, Eli Lilly, Illumina, Ultragenyx, and Voyager; consulting fees from Alnylam, Invitae, and Regeneron; research support from Cenos, Eli Lilly, Gate Bio, Ionis, and Sangamo Therapeutics. BSA acknowledges research funding from Ionis; consulting fees from Ionis, Sangamo, and Gate Bio; royalties from Wolters Kluwer. EVM acknowledges speaking fees from Abbvie, Eli Lilly, Vertex, and Voyager; consulting fees from Alnylam, Deerfield, and Regeneron; and research support from Cenos, Eli Lilly, Gate Bio, Ionis, and Sangamo Therapeutics.

References

1. Goldman JS, Vallabh SM. Genetic counseling for prion disease: Updates and best

practices. Genet Med Off J Am Coll Med Genet. Epub 2022 July 12.:S1098-3600(22)00812-7.

2. Minikel EV, Vallabh SM, Orseth MC, et al. Age at onset in genetic prion disease and the design of preventive clinical trials. Neurology. Epub 2019 June 6.

3. Minikel EV, Vallabh SM, Lek M, et al. Quantifying prion disease penetrance using large population control cohorts. Sci Transl Med. 2016;8:322ra9.

4. Kovács GG, Puopolo M, Ladogana A, et al. Genetic prion disease: the EUROCJD experience. Hum Genet. 2005;118:166–174.

5. Chapman J, Ben-Israel J, Goldhammer Y, Korczyn AD. The risk of developing Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease in subjects with the PRNP gene codon 200 point mutation. Neurology. 1994;44:1683–1686.

6. Spudich S, Mastrianni JA, Wrensch M, et al. Complete penetrance of Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease in Libyan Jews carrying the E200K mutation in the prion protein gene. Mol Med Camb Mass. 1995;1:607–613.

7. Minikel EV, Zerr I, Collins SJ, et al. Ascertainment bias causes false signal of anticipation in genetic prion disease. Am J Hum Genet. 2014;95:371–382.

8. D'Alessandro M, Petraroli R, Ladogana A, Pocchiari M. High incidence of Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease in rural Calabria, Italy. Lancet. 1998;352:1989–1990.

9. Mitrová E, Belay G. Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease with E200K mutation in Slovakia: characterization and development. Acta Virol. 2002;46:31–39.

10. Owen J, Beck J, Campbell T, et al. Predictive testing for inherited prion disease: report of 22 years experience. Eur J Hum Genet EJHG. Epub 2014 Apr 9.

11. Eckrote MJ, Nielson CM, Lu M, et al. Linking clinical trial participants to their U.S. real-world data through tokenization: A practical guide. Contemp Clin Trials Commun. 2024;41:101354.

12. Vallabh SM, Minikel EV, Schreiber SL, Lander ES. Towards a treatment for genetic prion disease: trials and biomarkers. Lancet Neurol. 2020;19:361–368.

Figure 1. Survival of E200K, D178N, and V210I individuals by age. A) E200K, **B)** D178N, and **C)** V210I survival as a function of age. The top panels show prospective survival curves (dashed lines) from NPDPSC asymptomatic genetic testing data, with censored datapoints (individuals alive at a particular age) shown as open circles. For E200K and D178N, the retrospective survival curve is superimposed as a thick solid line for comparison; for V210I, no retrospective data are available. Bottom panels show the number of individuals at risk in the NPDPSC asymptomatic genetic testing data.

Table 1. Concordance of autopsy and public record search results. *Count of individuals with blood DNA testing by disease status at time of test, autopsy status at NPDPSC, and results of public record searches.*

Status at time of test	Death known from autopsy	Death discoverable from public records		
		No	**Yes**	**Totals**
Symptomatic	**No**	32	63	*95*
	Yes	2	109	*111*
Asymptomatic	**No**	163	15	*178*
	Yes	2	18	*20*
Totals		*199*	*205*	*404*

Table 2. Deaths and person-years of follow-up by genetic variant among individuals asymptomatic at time of testing. *High vs. low penetrance classifications are based on literature evidence for Mendelian segregation or de novo status reported previously[1]. Deaths observed excludes the 3 autopsied individuals known to have died without developing prion disease.*

Estimated penetrance	Genetic variant	N individuals	Follow-up (person-years)	Deaths observed
high	P102L	5	43	0
	D178N	31	295	6
	E200K	99	936	18
	Other	25	269	4
low	V210I	26	187	2
	Other	12	106	2
Total	-	*198*	*1,836*	*32*