A Qualitative Descriptive Study of Motivation States for Physical Activity Among Middle-Aged Adults with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus

Xinyi (Vera) Wang, RN, BSN
Registered Nurse
Cleveland Clinic
9500 Euclid Ave, Cleveland, OH; 44195; USA
+1 (216)-650-5474; wangx7@ccf.org
ORCID: 0009-0008-9610-0570

*Garrett I. Ash, PhD
Assistant Professor of Medicine
Department of Internal Medicine
Department of Biomedical Informatics and Data Science
Yale University, School of Medicine
950 Campbell Ave; West Haven, CT; 06516; USA
+1 (203)-444-3079; Garrett.ash@yale.edu
ORCID: 0000-0002-8655-7525

Estefania Hernandez, MA, MPH
Research Assistant
Case Western Reserve University
Frances Payne Bolton School of Nursing
Samson Pavilion, 9501 Euclid Ave, Cleveland, OH; 44106; USA
+1 (216)-368-4700; Estefania.hernandez@case.edu
ORCID: 0000-0002-8925-911X

Matthew Stults-Kolehmainen, PhD
Clinical Exercise Physiologist
Yale-New Haven Hospital
Division of Digestive Health
20 York Street, New Haven, CT; 06510; USA
+1 (203) 688-4242; matthew.stults@ynhh.org
ORCID: 0000-0001-5361-9614

Stephanie Griggs, PhD, RN, FAAN
Associate Professor
Emory University
Nell Hodgson Woodruff School of Nursing
1520 Clifton Rd, Atlanta, GA, USA
+1 (404) 544-9915; stephanie.griggs2@emory.edu

ORCID: 0000-0001-9901-3853

***Corresponding author:** Garrett I. Ash, PhD
Assistant Professor of Medicine
Department of Internal Medicine
Department of Biomedical Informatics and Data Science
Yale University, School of Medicine
950 Campbell Ave; West Haven, CT; 06516; USA
+1 (203)-444-3079; Garrett.ash@yale.edu

Declarations: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: Participants signed a Yale IRB-approved informed consent (#2000035846).

Consent for publication: Yes.

Competing Interests: The authors have no competing interests to disclose.

Funding: GIA and the study were funded by the National Institute of Diabetes, Digestive, and Kidney Diseases of the National Institutes of Health (NIDDK/NIH) under a mentored research scientist development award (K01DK129441). SG is funded by grant NIDDK/NIH, R01DK136604. The sponsor NIDDK/NIH had no role in the design of the study nor collection, analysis, and interpretation of data nor in writing the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials: The data will be available immediately following publication, with no end dates. The data will be shared with researchers who provide a methodologically sound proposal to achieve the aims of the approved proposal. Data will be truncated to meet the proposal aims without compromising participant confidentiality. The proposals should be directed to Dr. Garrett Ash at Yale University (garrett.ash@yale.edu). To gain access, data requesters must sign a data access agreement.

Authors' contributions (CRediT Statement): GIA, conceptualization, data curation, funding acquisition, investigation, project administration, resources, writing – reviewing and editing. EH, data curation, formal analysis, validation, visualization, writing – original draft. XW, formal analysis, validation, visualization, writing – original draft. MSK, validation, writing – review and editing. SG, conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project administration, supervision, validation, visualization, writing – original draft.

Acknowledgments: The authors acknowledge that this abstract was presented at the American Diabetes Association (ADA) Scientific Sessions, [2025].

Word count: 4,729. **Figure Count:** 1.

Glossary:
HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c
T1DM, type 1 diabetes mellitus.
WANT, Wants and Aversions for Neuromuscular Tasks model.

Abstract

Background: Adults with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) have barriers to physical activity that can be physiological, psychological, emotional, and/or contextual. To complement prior quantitative surveys on these topics, we employed a qualitative descriptive approach to explore the motivational states that influence physical activity engagement through an understanding of movement and rest (i.e., sedentary behavior) among adults with T1DM. Our objective was to elucidate internal and external factors that shape motivation states affecting physical activity among middle-aged adults with T1DM. We aimed to 1) understand perspectives and personal values related to physical activity and 2) explore experiences of motivation states related to movement and rest.

Methods: Twenty-three adults (mean age 48, SD 11 years, 78% female, 91% non-Hispanic white, 35% overweight, and 26% obese), who recently completed an exercise intervention, participated in semi-structured interviews guided by the Wants and Aversions for Neuromuscular Tasks (WANT) model. This model addresses desires and aversions (i.e., fears) for movement and rest. We utilized directed content analysis to identify common themes, with both deductive and inductive coding approaches.

Results: The WANT model illustrated how participants experienced simultaneous desires to move and rest, evidenced by choices like yoga or outdoor walks for active recovery. Competing motivations also emerged, such as the internal drive to exercise daily conflicting with physical fatigue or blood sugar levels demanding rest.

Conclusions: Our findings align with previous studies using the WANT model, highlighting a dynamic and fluctuating nature of motivation influenced by physiological parameters, aversive states, and recent activities. Insights gained can inform future interventions focused on psychological aspects for this unique population.

Abstract Word Count: 297 (limit 350).

Keywords: adults; diabetes, type 1; physical activity; motivation

1. Introduction

Diabetes management, particularly in Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM), has increasingly recognized the pivotal role of physical activity in enhancing health outcomes. Movement and regular physical activity are associated with improved achievement of glycemic targets, cardiovascular health, and overall quality of life in individuals with T1DM (1). However, despite these benefits, many adults with T1DM struggle to engage in regular movement, necessitating a deeper understanding of the psychological, behavioral, and contextual factors that influence their motivations.

In previous research, many environmental, social, and psychological factors have been significantly associated with physical activity behaviors in individuals with T1DM (2). For instance, access to safe exercise spaces and social support, are critical determinants of physical activity behaviors (3). Psychological factors include a variety of cognitive, behavioral (e.g., readiness to change) and motivation-related variables, all of which interact in complex ways to facilitate or hinder physical activity (4).

Hindrances or barriers to physical activity specific to adults with T1DM include life circumstances, such as employment, family responsibilities, and time constraints, which can complicate the ability to prioritize physical activity (5). Other barriers range from physiological factors, such as fear of hypoglycemia and fluctuating glucose levels, to psychological barriers, including anxiety and decreased motivation (6, 7). Fear of hypoglycemia, in particular, can severely deter individuals from engaging in activities that

may cause their blood sugar levels to drop (8). Additionally, negative emotional states, such as depression and anxiety, are prevalent among individuals with T1DM and can further diminish motivation for physical activity (9, 10).

Less understood in the context of T1DM is motivation. Motivational theories, such as Self-Determination Theory, emphasize the importance of autonomy, competence, and relatedness in fostering intrinsic motivation for physical activity (11, 12). Intrinsic motivation can lead to sustained engagement in physical activity, whereas extrinsic motivational factors, such as social pressure or health mandates, may not have the same long-term effects (13). A major disadvantage of this and similar theories is that motivation is viewed as a relatively stable construct requiring intensive intervention to modify. Also, this theory does not well accommodate the ideas of fears or aversions to physical activity, which can fluctuate dramatically and significantly impair motivation.

The framework used for this study was the WANT Model (Wants and Aversions for Neuromuscular Tasks), initially developed by Stults-Kolehmainen and colleagues (14), which has 10 tenets (15) illustrating the dynamic and transitory motivational states (e.g., desires, urges, cravings, aversions) for physical activity and sedentary behaviors. It provides a nuanced understanding of how individuals navigate their motivations and emotions in the context of shifting physical and psychological stresses throughout the day (16). For adults with T1DM, it is useful to emphasize the ongoing shifts and interplays between wants and

aversions for both movement and sedentary behaviors emanating from managing this condition.

Despite the growing body of literature on T1DM management and physical activity, significant gaps remain regarding the nuanced motivations of adults with T1DM. Much of the existing research is predominantly based on quantitative methodologies, which may overlook the complexity of individual experiences. Qualitative research can provide a deeper understanding of the lived experiences of these individuals, highlighting the motivations and barriers they encounter in their daily lives (17). This study aims to address these gaps by employing a qualitative descriptive approach to explore the motivational states that influence physical activity engagement through an understanding of movement and rest among adults with T1DM. Building on the WANT framework, the purpose of this qualitative descriptive study was to elucidate internal and external factors that shape motivation states affecting physical activity among middle-aged adults with T1DM. We aimed to 1) understand perspectives and personal values related to physical activity and 2) explore experiences of motivation states related to movement and rest. Understanding influences on motivation states can provide insight into how to create supportive environments that encourage physical activity among adults living with T1DM.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Participants

This study was based on a qualitative interview held at the end of a clinical trial testing an exercise support intervention (NCT06098729), in which a purposive sample of 24 adults approached via Northeast Medical Group secure patient messaging, clinicaltrials.gov, and snowball sampling meeting the following criteria were included: (1) aged 30-65, (2) ≥1yr T1DM or other insulin deficiency diabetes (hereafter T1DM), (3) not regularly meeting aerobic and resistance exercise recommendations (<1.0 sessions/week), (4) smartphone user, (5) English literate, and (6) had healthcare provider who confirmed medical readiness for home-based exercise. Each participant provided the interview ≤1wk after completing the trial. Participants signed a Yale IRB-approved informed consent (#2000035846).

2.2. Study Design and Data Collection

Methods reporting follows COREQ criteria (Table S1). A qualitative descriptive approach was chosen to explore the motivations for movement and sedentarism/rest, providing an in-depth understanding while staying closely aligned with the data (18). We invited all 24 trial participants of which 23 (96%) accepted. Semi-structured interviews were conducted between June and October, 2024 using a flexible interview guide via audio-recorded Zoom, allowing for in-depth insights into T1DM management and the motivational states for movement and rest. Interview questions, guided by the WANT model, aimed to assess desires and aversions (i.e., fears) for movement and rest (Table S2).

Participants interviewed on average 28min (range 14-73) and most (78%) kept their cameras on. Participants were encouraged to reflect on past experiences where initial effort

contrasted with eventual enjoyment or frustration related to healthy behaviors. Additionally, they discussed how fluctuating glucose levels influenced their motivation and fears regarding physical activity and rest. The faculty researchers included one exercise physiologist (GA, male) and one scientist in nursing experienced in T1DM clinical care and several advanced qualitative methods (SG, female), both with postdoctoral training in T1DM behavioral science.

2.3. Data Analysis

Interviews were transcribed verbatim, cleaned, and checked for accuracy. A directed content analysis approach was used along with deductive (to align with the four quadrants of the WANT model) and inductive coding (19). The directed content analysis approach involved the close examination of data to identify common themes, patterns of meaning and ideas. Data analysis was conducted using ATLAST.ti (20). Interviewers were trained in qualitative interviewing (EH, female, master's prepared in anthropology and public health and XW, female, bachelor's student in nursing) and had no prior knowledge of the participant's experience in the study nor prior personal interaction with the participant.

The first step involved familiarization with the data, where transcripts were read multiple times to gain a deeper understanding of the content. A codebook was developed early in the process and revised as interviews were being conducted. The codebook ensured consistency in the coding process as it included definitions and interview questions as they relate to the theme. For example, the code 'Barriers to Movement – Diabetes Specific" was

paired with the question “Do you find managing your diabetes gets in the way of your goals related to movement/rest?” alongside others.

Next for initial coding, inductive open coding (i.e., in vivo coding) was conducted using ATLAS.ti between two research assistants from Case Western Reserve University (e.g. coder 1 and coder 2). Researchers independently identified potential codes across three randomly chosen interviews then came together to address inconsistencies in the data. We discovered there could be improvement in coding domain 1 (e.g. identity) and domain 2 (e.g. frequency of movement). Inconsistency in coding was discussed and resolved as a team. The second round of independent coding among the two research assistants was conducted using three new randomly chosen transcripts. Intercoder reliability was assessed in ATLAS.ti using the Holsti Index (91%) and Simple Percent Agreement Total (85%) with acceptable reliability results.

After establishing coder reliability, an independent coder (coder 1) assigned a total of 1,158 preliminary codes to the remaining interviews, which were then collapsed and grouped into 353 broader codes. The final coding structure was then applied to the transcripts. This stage involved the organization of potential themes and identifying relationships between major themes (e.g. motivation states) and sub-themes (e.g. barriers and facilitators of each motivation state) that emerged. The team discussed patterns, relationships, and contradictions that emerged. Review of memos (collected before and after interview) and reflexive journal notes were included in this process to address personal bias, assumptions,

131 and reasons for involvement in the research topic. We used concept mapping to illustrate
132 the themes and facilitate theme interpretation.
133
134 **3. Results**

135 ***3.1. Participant Characteristics***

136 The final sample comprised 23 participants (Table S3a), with a majority identifying as female
137 (78%) and non-Hispanic White (91%). Substantial fractions were above recommended
138 clinical thresholds for average glycemic values (57% above 7.0% or 53 mmol/mol HbA1c),
139 blood pressure (43% above 130/85 mmHg), and/or body mass index (35% overweight, 26%
140 obese). Regarding socioeconomic status, a majority (61%) reported a household income of
141 $100,000 or more, while 74% earned over $60,000 per year. Most had private health
142 insurance (87%) and automated insulin delivery (AID) systems (78%). Participants varied in
143 their self-reported occupations and their impact on activity and rest (Table S3b).

144 ***3.2. Key Motivation States Themes by Theme Domain***

145 The Wants and Aversions in Neuromuscular Tasks (WANT) Model was used to describe
146 participant movement-related behaviors and aversions (Figure 1). The purpose of using this
147 model was to show the complex and interactive nature of motivation states between people,
148 their emotions, environment, and other psychological phenomena. More specifically, the
149 model helped to describe how participants approached or avoided (e.g. wants versus
150 aversions) movement and/or sedentarism/rest and the nature in which participants could
151 vary in both states at the same moment. For example, someone could be in a state of high
152 craving to both rest and move simultaneously when people chose to actively recover after a

long day through yoga, meditation, or an outdoor walk. Another example of competing motivations is when someone has the strong internal drive to exercise every day; however, their muscles may be fatigued or their blood sugars signal a need for rest and recovery. The following sections represent each of the four quadrants of the WANT model shown in Figure 1 and describe feelings reported by participants in reflecting on this state, precursors to being in that state, and the behavioral outcomes of being in that state.

3.2.1. Quadrant 1: High dread to move, high crave to rest

Participants in the current study described feelings of discouragement and hesitance to move, often experiencing a push-pull dynamic between extrinsic motivation to engage in movement and a strong desire to rest. For instance, using electronic devices for relaxation tended to amplify the urge to rest while diminishing the desire to be active. Engaging in household chores served as a meaningful break, allowing participants to restore their energy. Some individuals felt uncomfortable exercising in front of others, leading to an aversion that hindered their motivation to continue movement. Additionally, workouts that exceeded their usual routines often tested their willpower, making them prone to giving up, highlighting the internal struggle in this quadrant.

Individuals expressed that when feeling unwell, their bodies typically did not function at their regular capacity, causing individuals to hesitate in their decision to exercise as they weigh potential benefits against drawbacks. In such instances, the body's instinct is often to rest

for a quicker recovery. However, some participants maintained that movement while feeling sick could aid in detoxification through sweating and accelerate recovery.

For participants who were parents, balancing exercise with the demands of caring for toddlers or children under time constraints, physical activity could feel burdensome. After expending energy playing with their children, their need for rest significantly increased.

Participants also mentioned that out-of-range glucose levels often hindered their ability to move. They mentally prioritized stabilizing their glucose levels to avoid further deterioration, understanding that both hypo- and hyperglycemia can disrupt normal bodily functions and necessitate rest. Conversely, some individuals indicated that they remain active as a non-medical strategy to bring their glucose levels back to a safe range, regardless of their personal motivation. As one participant noted, “There are times when I was not intending to do a workout, but my blood sugars are really high and stay elevated for a period, and I know that a workout will help break that cycle of high blood sugar. So, it prompts me to exercise even when I may not have wanted to or chosen to” (ID 14, Male, age 50’s, using AID for insulin delivery).

When participants were preparing for bed, malfunctioning diabetes management devices were reported to contribute to elevated glucose levels, forcing them to stay awake and troubleshoot despite feeling drowsy. For instance, one participant shared, “Sometimes you can have fluctuations in your blood sugar that make you stay up longer at night to manage

it, or you might need to change your equipment because something isn't right. That can impact your performance or sleep the next day... You're up because your Dexcom wasn't working, or you had a site failure, or your pump wasn't functioning, or maybe you just ate too much..." (ID 07, Female, age 60's, AID).

Psychologically, some participants reported burnout from managing their condition as they grapple with fluctuating glucose levels without understanding the causes. This frustration was described to drain their enthusiasm for physical activity or any movement and heightened their need for rest. Additionally, unfavorable weather, such as rainy days and extreme temperatures, significantly discouraged outdoor activities and diminished overall activity levels, as the brain's chemical responses tend to promote a more sedentary lifestyle. Sleep deprivation led to fatigue, which in turn decreased motivation for physical activity, causing individuals to prioritize recuperation over movement.

For some, excessive time spent socializing could deplete one's social energy, resulting in mental fatigue that diminished the desire for physical activity. As one participant expressed, "I'm an introvert, and such social situations make me more likely to want to rest" (ID 03, Female, age 40's, sensor augmented pump [SAP]). In these moments, individuals often turn to relaxing activities like reading, listening to music, lying in bed, taking a bath or shower, stretching, napping, or watching TV as means to rest and recharge. However, in some cases of needing rest, individuals utilized strength and core workouts to relax. The simultaneous

desire to rest and increased motivation for movement can lead to disrupted sleep patterns, delayed bedtimes, and unintended exercise.

3.2.2. Quadrant 2: High dread to move, high dread to rest

A vast majority of participants describing this state reported often feeling a strong sense of obligation to complete tasks rather than take a break. However, once they finished, they experienced a sense of pride and accomplishment for having chosen productivity over relaxation. Some individuals, who did not have a prior exercise routine, felt compelled to stick to the study's exercise plan and, although they may have resisted at first, they found gratification and enjoyment in the process. This was particularly noted during intervention exercises, where participants expressed that their initial reluctance transformed into enthusiasm. As one participant shared, “One day I didn't really want to go in the pool because it looked really dark and cloudy and wasn't that warm, but I was on the phone with [STUDY MEMBER] and I kind of forced myself. And then once I was in, I was able to stay in for a while” (ID 12, Female, age 50’s, AID).

Similarly, some participants viewed taking a shower as a chore, motivated by the pressure to maintain personal hygiene. Yet, once they started, they often emerged feeling refreshed and rejuvenated. The same theme persisted with yard work; despite initial resistance to maintaining their household and landscape, individuals pushed themselves to engage in these activities. After completing the work, they were rewarded by the sight of a well-kept yard. Positive weather conditions played a significant role, often prompting participants to

prioritize outdoor chores over resting indoors. One participant remarked, “I’m going to have to do yard work, and I just don’t want to because I’m tired but it’s a beautiful day out so it urges me to not want to rest because it’s so nice outside, and I want to go do something” (ID 11, Male, age 30’s, AID).

In cases where individuals struggled to motivate themselves, they often began with aerobic activities. Gradually, as they engaged more fully, they began to take pride in their progress and the improvement in their physical condition. Furthermore, while balanced meals are crucial for managing T1DM, participants did not always cook out of desire but rather necessity. They opted to spend time preparing healthy meals to regulate their glucose levels, rather than skipping meals or choosing easier alternatives. Ironically, many found enjoyment in the cooking process once they started, revealing how initial aversions can shift to positive experiences.

3.2.3. Quadrant 3: High crave to move, high crave to rest

Individuals often described themselves in a state of energized tranquility when their bodies signaled a need for recovery, prompting a desire to balance this need with dynamic activities. The craving for rest could lead to an instinctive counteraction, where individuals engaged in movement, thereby fostering a harmonious flow between action and relaxation. For instance, during long car or air travels, discomfort could arise from narrow spaces, prolonged sitting, and insufficient opportunities for quality rest, culminating in fatigue. The confined environment placed a strain on muscles and increased feelings of restlessness.

These factors combined to create stiffness and fatigue, amplifying the urge to move to alleviate tension and restore comfort.

As one participant articulated, “When we were driving for three days straight, after about seven hours in the car, you’re like, ‘I need to stop driving,’ but it’s an hour and a half until we reach our destination, and I’m like, ‘I need to move.’ We are stopping right now because I have to move. I have to get out and walk. I have to do something. I gotta jog. I gotta do some jumping jacks. I need to do something because I’m going to go crazy in the last 90 minutes” (ID 06, Female, age 50’s, AID).

Similarly, after engaging in strenuous exercise, individuals often resorted to active relaxation techniques, such as stretching or walking, to meet their body's recovery needs. One participant noted, “After exercise, I usually rest and recover by walking” (ID 02, Female, age 40’s, AID). This interplay between the desire to move and the need for rest illustrates the complex dynamic encapsulated by the Wants and Aversions in Neuromuscular Tasks (WANT) Model, highlighting how individuals navigate competing desires simultaneously.

3.2.4. Quadrant 4: High crave to move, high dread to rest

Several participants articulated the experienced heightened sense of mental engagement when participating in enjoyable physical activities, leading to a reluctance to rest. The satisfaction derived from completing these activities fostered a sense of contentment. For those who described themselves as naturally competitive, the effort needed to achieve

movement goals was a significant source of pleasure. When setting such goals, motivation stemmed from the desire to reach new heights. People who took pleasure in their work frequently expressed enjoyment during their tasks, making them less inclined to seek rest. Engaging in personal hobbies was also reported to induce a flow state, wherein individuals become fully absorbed in the activity without perceiving a need for rest, as the enjoyment and focus they derive sustained their energy levels.

Quality time spent being active with family was described to create strong emotional connections, reinforcing family bonds and cultivating a sense of contentment. This positive interaction spurred individuals to continue moving, buoyed by the energy of shared experiences rather than seeking out moments of rest. Team leaders in sports reported feeling a commitment to their peers, motivating them to attend practices and participate actively rather than opting for rest. Furthermore, a sense of responsibility to keep teammates engaged and serve as a role model was described to enhance their motivation to stay active.

In the context of managing blood sugar levels, participants oftentimes gravitated toward moderate-intensity exercise as a practical means to lower glucose levels, opting for movement over rest to address their needs. As one participant expressed, “If I could somehow have the freedom, which I can’t, my diabetes doesn’t let me, to exhaust myself with physical activity on a daily basis, I would” (ID 09, Female, age 60’s, HCL). Another noted, “I'm conscious of [my sugars] all the time. If they're on the lower side, I probably

wouldn't start with vigorous exercise or workout or anything" (ID 08, Female, age 30's, using injections for insulin delivery).

To achieve feelings of contentment and enjoyment, individuals typically chose activities that promote movement and interaction rather than rest. Such activities encompassed outdoor excursions, group engagement, dancing, playing tennis, interacting with grandchildren, or even pursuing hobbies like reading or crocheting. However, before embarking on physical activities, participants' glucose levels could influence the intensity of their exercise, which could at times cause delays or hinder their movement goals. They often required periods of rest to recheck and adjust their glucose levels to ensure they are within a safe range before resuming physical activity.

"Every once in a while, I'd run into that fact of where I'd have a spike in my blood sugar to be high, and when I want to do a workout, which I know is not the best. And then it's like, I got to wait for it to catch up" (ID 11, Male, age 30's, AID). Similarly, another participant shared, "Sometimes I might feel an urge or need to do a physical activity later than I would have wanted, because my blood sugars are high" (ID 14, Male, age 30's, AID).

Given the significant impact of movement on glucose levels, participants reported the need to consistently monitor their levels throughout activities, which often disrupted their overall experience. These necessary adjustments lessened enjoyment and reduced feelings of contentment as activities come to an end. "When I was doing the evening walks, there were

several days where I had dinner a little bit later than I planned, and I still had so much insulin on board that I didn't think it was a good idea to go out and walk away from home because of the insulin on board" (ID 10, Female, age 40's, AID). Another participant recounted, "We were swimming and I couldn't [continue] because I was starting to go low" (ID 18, Female, age 50's, AID).

4. Discussion

The current study aimed to explore motivation states for physical activity and sedentary behaviors among middle-aged adults living with Type 1 Diabetes (T1DM) using the WANT Model framework (14). Understanding the dynamic interplay of psychological factors influencing movement and rest among adults with T1DM is crucial for developing effective interventions. This discussion highlights the implications of our findings, the strengths and limitations of our study design, and recommendations for future research.

A major finding from the study is that motivation for movement and sedentary/rest behaviors among individuals managing T1DM is not static; rather, it is a dynamic and evolving state profoundly influenced by a multitude of emotional and situational influences. The application of the WANT Model offered valuable insights into the antecedents and behavioral consequences of these dynamic psychological states in this unique population with complex self-management needs. Our findings illustrate how the interaction between desires (e.g., urges, cravings) and aversions (e.g., dread, fear) informs participants' choices about engaging in movement versus resting. Additionally, our results align with a mixed

methods study of 17 undergraduate students revealing three similarities (1) there was a dynamic nature of motivation noted where a fluctuating nature of motivation states was found with rapid changes in desires to move and rest, (2) the influence of external factors (e.g., pressure of maintaining personal hygiene) was significant, and (3) strong urges manifested from the deprivation of both movement and rest (15). However, the nuances introduced in the T1DM context highlight the unique challenges individuals face when managing this complex condition, such as having a low blood sugar level preventing exercise simultaneously with an urge to exercise. Further, the current study focused on middle-aged adults who were grappling with a complex chronic condition, and these demographic differences may have led to varied motivational experiences due to differences in life stage, responsibilities, and health management. This suggests that future interventions or research would benefit from considering these complex influences on motivation alongside physiological states to better address the needs of diverse populations.

The most hypothesized diseases to be impacted would be movement-related disorders, and a scoping search found language consistent with the WANT model in 95 studies of such conditions (21) (Table S4). But available evidence has also suggested more complex pathways involved in chronic psychiatric disease, such as in anorexia nervosa, where the drive to move is driven by body conscious ideations (22), or those involved with state anxiety and other mental disorders (23, 24). Meanwhile, studies of healthy populations have generated evidence that WANT model quadrant is associated with stimuli similar to what we observed in the present study including energy availability (a correlate of glucose levels in

370 T1DM), aversive valence states (akin to anticipation of unpleasant glucose trends), time of
371 day, and recent physical activity or sedentary time (25).
372
373 The findings of this study contribute to the growing body of literature emphasizing the
374 profound connection between physiological states, such as glucose levels, and
375 psychological outcomes, particularly motivation and behavior. Existing research has
376 demonstrated that individuals with T1DM often experience fluctuations in mood and
377 motivation due to shifts in their blood glucose levels. For instance, studies have illustrated
378 how both hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia can lead not only to physical symptoms but also
379 to cognitive impairments and aversions that affect decision-making processes (26, 27).
380
381 Furthermore, aligning with findings from a study by McCarthy et al. (28), which pointed out
382 the behavioral impacts of diabetes management on physical activity, the current study
383 reinforces the argument that glucose fluctuations not only influence physical health but
384 also the psychosocial dimensions of living with diabetes. This suggests a critical gap in
385 current interventions, which often focus primarily on the physiological aspects of diabetes
386 management, neglecting the psychological and motivational layers that are equally crucial
387 for effective self-management. A number of studies have addressed the fear of
388 hypoglycemia by educational or cognitive behavioral approaches (29, 30) but studies like
389 the current one reveal the complexity of physical activity behavior and determinants beyond
390 fear of hypoglycemia (31, 32).
391

392 Overall, the emphasis on tailored interventions that address these motivational nuances
393 aligns with recommendations from researchers advocating for holistic, patient-centered
394 approaches in diabetes care (33). Such interventions could enhance adherence to
395 recommended physical activity guidelines, ultimately improving both psychological well-
396 being and physical health outcomes for individuals with T1DM.
397
398 When interpreting the results of this study, several limitations must be acknowledged. We
399 enrolled individuals at the end of an intervention designed to address inconsistent exercise,
400 leaving the possibility that findings do not apply to individuals with long-term high or low
401 activity levels. Our sample like the adult cohort of the type 1 diabetes exchange (34) had
402 limited racial or ethnic diversity, though was diverse regarding HbA1c, blood pressure,
403 anthropometrics, and occupational physical activity (Table S3). Additionally, the qualitative
404 nature of the study may introduce biases, as participants could have altered their responses
405 due to social desirability. To address these limitations, future research should focus on
406 larger, longitudinal qualitative and quantitative studies to examine the stability of motivation
407 states over time and their long-term impacts on movement and rest.
408
409 Despite these limitations, it is important to recognize the strengths of this study. The
410 qualitative methodology employed allowed for an in-depth exploration of participants' lived
411 experiences, with semi-structured interviews yielding rich, nuanced data that captures the
412 complexity of motivation states. Furthermore, to our knowledge this is the first study to
413 explore motivation states in middle-aged adults with T1DM using the WANT model. This

414 approach not only sheds light on the motivational dynamics within this population but also
415 lays the groundwork for further research and targeted interventions tailored to their specific
416 needs.

417 Another theme that emerged from the data, though not explicitly delineated in the paper, was the
418 recurring language of "have to" (obligation) reflecting a sense of necessity in participants'
419 motivation. This dimension permeated the results and may represent an important complement to
420 the "want to/don't want to" motivational behavior emphasized in the WANT model. For future
421 studies, it may be useful to explore how this sense of obligation interacts with "want to" or "don't
422 want to" motivations when looking at behavior.

423 Moving forward, future researchers should focus on larger, longitudinal studies to examine
424 the stability of motivation states over time and their long-term impacts on movement and
425 sedentary behaviors/rest. Additionally, investigating how varying motivational states can
426 inform targeted intervention designs aimed at improving physical activity among individuals
427 with T1DM is essential. It is also crucial to explore the role of healthcare providers in
428 facilitating conversations about motivation and offering ongoing support tailored to
429 individual experiences and challenges. By addressing both the limitations and strengths of
430 this study, we can pave the way for more effective strategies to enhance T1DM self-
431 management support.

5. References

1. Colberg SR, Sigal RJ, Yardley JE, et al. *Physical activity/exercise and diabetes: A position statement of the American Diabetes Association.* Diabetes Care. 2016;39(11):2065-2079. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc16-1728

2. McCarthy MM, Whittemore R, Grey M. *Physical Activity in Adults With Type 1 Diabetes*. Diabetes Educ. 2016;42(1):108-115. https://doi.org/10.1177/0145721715620021

3. Dollman J. *Social and Environmental Influences on Physical Activity Behaviours*. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018;15(1):169. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15010169

4. Adu MD, Malabu UH, Malau-Aduli AE, Malau-Aduli BS. *Enablers and barriers to effective diabetes self-management: A multi-national investigation*. PLoS One. 2019;14(6):e0217771. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0217771

5. Lascar N, Kennedy A, Hancock B, et al. *Attitudes and barriers to exercise in adults with type 1 diabetes (T1DM) and how best to address them: a qualitative study*. PLoS One. 2014;9(9):e108019. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0108019

6. Finn M, Sherlock M, Feehan S, Guinan EM, Moore KB. *Adherence to physical activity recommendations and barriers to physical activity participation among adults with type 1 diabetes*. Ir J Med Sci. 2022;191(4):1639-1646. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11845-021-02741-w

7. Cockcroft EJ, Narendran P, Andrews RC. *Exercise-induced hypoglycaemia in type 1 diabetes*. Exp Physiol. 2020;105(4):590-599. https://doi.org/10.1113/EP088219

8. Yardley JE, Sigal RJ. *Exercise strategies for hypoglycemia prevention in individuals with type 1 diabetes*. Diabetes Spectr. 2015;28(1):32-38. https://doi.org/10.2337/diaspect.28.1.32

9. Young-Hyman D, de Groot M, Hill-Briggs F, et al. *Psychosocial Care for People With Diabetes: A Position Statement*. Diabetes Care. 2016;39(12):2126-2140. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc16-2053

10. Qin W, Blanchette JE, Yoon M. *Self-Efficacy and Diabetes Self-Management in Middle-Aged and Older Adults in the United States: A Systematic Review*. Diabetes Spectr. 2020;33(4):315-323. https://doi.org/10.2337/ds19-0051

11. Ryan RM, Deci EL. *Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation from a self-determination theory perspective*. Contemp Educ Psychol. 2020;61:101860. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2020.101860

12. Gerber M, Cheval B, Cody R, et al. *Psycho-physiological foundations of human physical activity behavior and motivation*. Physiol Rev. 2025. https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00021.2024

13. Teixeira PJ, Carraca EV, Markland D, Silva MN, Ryan RM. *Exercise, physical activity, and self-determination theory: a systematic review*. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2012;9:78. https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-9-78

14. Stults-Kolehmainen MA, Blacutt M, Bartholomew JB, et al. *Motivation States for Physical Activity and Sedentary Behavior: Desire, Urge, Wanting, and Craving*. Front Psychol. 2020;11:568861. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.568390

15. Stults-Kolehmainen MA, Santa Barbara N, McKee PC, et al. *Qualitative and quantitative evidence of motivation states for physical activity*... Front Sports Act Living. 2023;5:1190027.https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2023.1033619

16. Stults-Kolehmainen MA, Blacutt M, Fogelman N, et al. *Measurement of Motivation States for Physical Activity and Sedentary Behavior: CRAVE Scale*. Front Psychol. 2021;12:568286. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.568286

17. Noyes J, Booth A, Moore G, et al. *Synthesising quantitative and qualitative evidence to inform guidelines on complex interventions: clarifying the purposes, designs, and outlining some methods*. BMJ Glob Health. 2019;4(Suppl 1):e000893. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2018-000893

18. Sandelowski M. Whatever happened to qualitative description? Res Nurs Health. 2000;23(4):334-340. https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-240X(200008)23:4<334::AID-NUR9>3.0.CO;2-G

19. Hsieh HF, Shannon SE. *Three approaches to qualitative content analysis*. Qual Health Res. 2005;15(9):1277-1288. https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732305276687

20. Smit B, Scherman V. *Computer-Assisted Qualitative Data Analysis Software for Scoping Reviews: A Case of Atlas.ti*. Int J Qual Methods. 2021;20:16094069211019140. https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069211019140

21. Stults-Kolehmainen MA, Blacutt M, Bartholomew JB, et al. *Urges to Move and Other Motivation States for Physical Acivity in Clinical and Healthy Populations: A Scoping Review Protocol*. Front Psychol. 2022;13:901272. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.901272

22. Casper RC. *Restlessness and an Increased Urge to Move in Anorexia Nervosa*... Front Psychol. 2022;13:885274. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.885274

23. Cheng Z, Taylor A, Stults-Kolehmainen MA, et al. *Validation of the CRAVE-C scale in Chinese adults*. Front Psychol. 2024;15:1467949. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1467949

24. Filgueiras A, Stults-Kolehmainen MA, Boullosa D, et al. *The CRAVE and ARGE scales for motivation states for physical activity and sedentarisim: Brazilian Portugese translation and single-item versions*. Front Psychol. 2023;14:1106571. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1106571

25. Budnick CJ, Stults-Kolehmainen MA, Dadina C, et al. *Motivation states... circadian rhythms*. Front Sports Act Living. 2023;5:1094288. https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2023.1094288

26. Verhulst CEM, Fabricius TW, Nefs G, et al. *Consistent Effects of Hypoglycemia on Cognitive Function*... Diabetes Care. 2022 Jul 25;45(9):2103–2110. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc21-2502

27. Kodl CT, Seaquist ER. *Cognitive dysfunction and diabetes mellitus*. Endocr Rev. 2008;29(4):494-511. https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2007-0034

28. McCarthy MM, Whittemore R, Gholson G, Grey M. *Self-management of physical activity in adults with type 1 diabetes*. Appl Nurs Res. 2017;35:18-23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnr.2017.02.010

29. Chatwin H, Broadley M, de Galan B, et al. *Effectiveness of educational and behavioural interventions for reducing fear of hypoglycaemia*. Diabet Med. 2023;40(5):e15071. https://doi.org/10.1111/dme.15071

30. Martyn-Nemeth P, Duffecy J, Quinn L, et al. *FREE: A randomized controlled feasibility trial…* J Psychosom Res. 2024;181:111679. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2024.111679

31. Brazeau AS, Rabasa-Lhoret R, Strychar I, Mircescu H. *Barriers to physical activity among patients with type 1 diabetes*. Diabetes Care. 2008;31(11):2108-2109. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc08-0720

32. Alobaid AM, Zulyniak MA, Ajjan RA, et al. *Barriers to Exercise in Adults With Type 1 Diabetes and Insulin Resistance*. Can J Diabetes. 2023;47(6):503-508. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcjd.2023.04.016

33. Aysola J, Werner RM, Keddem S, et al. *Asking the Patient About Patient-Centered Medical Homes…* J Gen Intern Med. 2015;30(10):1461-1467. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-015-3312-8

34. Foster NC, Beck RW, Miller KM, et al. *State of Type 1 Diabetes Management and Outcomes from the T1D Exchange in 2016-2018*. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2019;21(2):66-72. https://doi.org/10.1089/dia.2018.0384

6. Figure Legends

FIGURE 1. Conceptual model of analysis based on WANT Model. Highlighted colors correspond to motivational states as described by participants. Flow = Yellow; Animation = Purple; Agitation = Orange; Rest = Blue. T1DM = type 1 diabetes mellitus.

7. Supplemental Material

Table S1. COREQ Checklist.

Table S2. Interview Guide.

Table S3. Demographics.

Table S4. Literature Review.



FIGURE 1: Conceptual model of analysis based on WANT Model. Highlighted colors correspond to motivational states as described by participants. Flow = Yellow; Animation = Purple; Agitation = Orange; Rest = Blue. T1DM = type 1 diabetes mellitus.

Table S1. COREQ (COnsolidated criteria for REporting Qualitative research) Checklist

A checklist of items that should be included in reports of qualitative research. You must report the page number in your manuscript where you consider each of the items listed in this checklist. If you have not included this information, either revise your manuscript accordingly before submitting or note N/A.

Topic	Item No.	Guide Questions/Description	Reported on Page No.
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity			
Personal characteristics			
Interviewer/facilitator	1	Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?	9
Credentials	2	What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD	9
Occupation	3	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	1-2
Gender	4	Was the researcher male or female?	9
Experience and training	5	What experience or training did the researcher have?	9
Relationship with participants			
Relationship established	6	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	9
Participant knowledge of the interviewer	7	What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research	9
Interviewer characteristics	8	What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic	10, 11
Domain 2: Study design			
Theoretical framework			
Methodological orientation and Theory	9	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis	1, 8
Participant selection			
Sampling	10	How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball	8
Method of approach	11	How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email	8
Sample size	12	How many participants were in the study?	8
Non-participation	13	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	Table S2
Setting			
Setting of data collection	14	Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace	8
Presence of non-participants	15	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	8
Description of sample	16	What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date	11
Data collection			
Interview guide	17	Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	8, Table S2
Repeat interviews	18	Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many?	N/A
Audio/visual recording	19	Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	8
Field notes	20	Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group?	10, 11
Duration	21	What was the duration of the inter views or focus group?	8
Data saturation	22	Was data saturation discussed?	8
Transcripts returned	23	Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or	N/A

Topic	Item No.	Guide Questions/Description	Reported on Page No.
		correction?	
Domain 3: analysis and findings			
Data analysis			
Number of data coders	24	How many data coders coded the data?	10, 11
Description of the coding tree	25	Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	10, 11
Derivation of themes	26	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	10, 11
Software	27	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	10
Participant checking	28	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	N/A
Reporting			
Quotations presented	29	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number	12-20
Data and findings consistent	30	Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	12-20
Clarity of major themes	31	Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	12-20
Clarity of minor themes	32	Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	20-21

Developed from: Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2007. Volume 19, Number 6: pp. 349 – 357

Once you have completed this checklist, please save a copy and upload it as part of your submission. DO NOT include this checklist as part of the main manuscript document. It must be uploaded as a separate file.

Table S2. Flexible Interview Guide.

Q1	What kind of **work** do you do? a. How many hours per day? b. How many days per week? c. Does your work influence your **MOVEMENT**? ● Does it interfere with your craving to **move**? d. Does your work influence your ability to **REST**? ● Does it interfere with your craving to **rest**? e. How do your **MOVEMENT** change during the weekends (or on your days off)? f. How does your **REST** change during the weekends (or on your days off)? g. How do outside factors or the environment make a difference in your urges to **MOVE**? h. How do outside factors or the environment make a difference in your urges to **REST**?
Q2	Think back to the last time you wanted to or had an urge **to MOVE your body in some way**. a. What made you want to **move**? Or what were you doing? b. How did you end up **moving**?
Q3	Think back to the last time you wanted to or had an urge **to REST your body**. a. When was the last time you had the urge to **rest**? b. What made you want to **rest** or what were you doing? c. How did you end up **resting**?
Q4	How much have you **wanted to MOVE** your body over the past week? a. How many times a week? b. How many times per day? c. What do you think creates this urge for you? d. Is anything getting in the way of you moving your body?
Q4	Do you want to **MOVE right now**? a. What makes you want to move?
Q5	Do you want to **REST right now?** a. What makes you want to rest?
Q7	How much have you **wanted to REST** over the past week? a. How many times a week? b. How many times per day? c. What has been making you want to rest this week? d. Has anything been getting in the way of your desire to rest?
Q8	How do **sick days** influence your desire and ability to **MOVE?** a. How do sick days influence your desire to **REST?**
Q9	Do you find managing your **diabetes** gets in the way of your goals related to **MOVEMENT?** a. How often is this happening (movement)? b. Do you find managing your **diabetes** gets in the way of your goals related to **REST?** c. How often is this happening (rest)?
Q10	Does **chronic pain** influence your urge or ability to **MOVE?** a. How often is this happening (chronic pain interfering with **movement**)? b. Does chronic pain influence your urge or ability to **REST**? c. How often is this happening (chronic pain interfering with **rest**)?
Q11	For the next set of questions, I want to gauge the intensity, effort, and consistency of your movement and what helps drive those motivations. Have you heard of the **5 motivational states**?

	<u>Flow:</u> Think about the times you're doing something you love, like your favorite hobby. We describe this as the state of optimal experience, enjoyment, and absorption. a. **What activities are you engaging in as you think of this state?** **<u>Animation:</u>** Have you ever started an activity but found that initially, it requires a significant amount of effort to get you going? You start your jog, and you really don't feel like doing it, but then you find that after you "get going", you're enjoying yourself. You are engaging in a positive action towards a goal (e.g. jogging 2xs a week). b. **What activities are you engaging in as you think of this state?** **<u>Agitation:</u>** Then there are times when you are forcing things to happen. For example, squeezing in a workout because you feel guilty for a heavy lunch. Even by getting the activity done, you did so in a state of frustration. Your motivations can be carried by unhealthy needs by pushing too hard. c. **What activities are you engaging in as you think of this state?** **<u>Rest:</u>** After some good solid physical work, we all need some recovery; or active relaxation. There is a deliberate and consciously chosen action to rest and recover; active relaxation, recovery). d. **What activities are you engaging in as you think of this state?** **<u>Ennui:</u>** Here, you're simply bored, there's a flatness to your day, with no resistance and resting for too long than needed. e. **What activities are you engaging in as you think of this state?**
Q12	How do your **glucose VALUES** in the moment influence your motivation and ability to **MOVE**? a. How do your glucose values influence your motivation and ability to **REST?**
Q13	How do your **glucose TRENDS** in the moment influence this? a. Are these upward trends or downward trends?
Q14	How often do you find yourself **worrying about LOW blood sugar**? a. How does worrying about **LOW** blood sugar, or fear or anxiety about **LOWS** interfere with your desire to **MOVE**? b. How does worrying about **LOW** blood sugar interfere with your desire to **REST?**
Q15	How often do you find yourself **worrying about HIGH blood sugar**? a. How does a fear of **HIGHS** interfere with your desire to **MOVE**? b. How does a fear of **HIGHS** interfere with your desire to **REST**?
Q16	Some people describe differences in their motivation to move when they're LOW (like below 70 mg/dL) versus within target range (70-180 mg/dL). **However, I'd like to know what differences, if any, you see in yourself when you're at 90 versus 160?** Do any differences come to mind?
Q17	Describe a time when you had a strong urge to **MOVE but were unable to satisfy that urge.**
Q18	Describe a time when you had a strong urge to **REST but were unable to satisfy that urge.**
Q19	Do you feel an **enhanced urge to move or rest right now** as compared to the start of today's interview?

Table S3a. Participant demographics (n=23)[a]

Variables	Mean	SD
Age (years)	48	11 years
Duration Diabetes Diagnosis (years)	27	13 years
HbA1c		
%	6.8	0.9
Mmol/mol	51	10
Body mass index (kg/m^2)	22.7[a] 31.5[b]	1.5 6.1
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) **Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)**	119 79	12 8
	N	%
Diagnosis		
Type 1 diabetes mellitus	21	91.3
Latent autoimmune diabetes of adulthood	2	8.7
Sex		
Male	5	21.7
Female	18	78.3
Race X Ethnicity		
Non-Hispanic White	21	91.3
Non-Hispanic Black	1	4.3
Hispanic White	1	4.3
Marital Status		
Single	3	13.0
Married	17	73.9
Divorced	2	8.7
N/A	1	4.3
Household Income[c]		
Less than $60,000	1	4.3
$20,000 - $39,999	2	8.7
$40,000 - $59,999	2	8.7
$60,000 - $79,999	2	8.7
$80,000 - $90,999	1	4.3
More than or equal to $100,000	14	60.9
Generational Status		
Self and parent/s USA-born	20	87.0
Self USA-born, ≥1 parent outside USA	3	13.0
Insurance		87.0
Private	20	13.0
Public	3	
Diabetes Management	4	17.4
Injections	1	4.3
Sensor-Augmented Pump Automated Insulin Delivery (AID)	18	78.3
Pump Brand		
Omnipod Dash	3	13.0
Omnipod 5	4	17.4
Tandem t:slim X2	9	39.1
Medtronic 770G	3	13.0

[a]N=9, [b]N=14
[c]One participant dropped out before reaching the exit interview for this sub-study due to competing time demands.
[b]One participant declined to respond to this question

Table S3b. Self-reported occupation and impact on activity and rest.

Profession	Job Title	N	Activity Level	Disrupts Rest
Healthcare & Public Social Services	Nurse Practitioner	2	Active / Sedentary	No / Yes
	Ultrasound Tech	1	Mixed	No
	Social Worker	1	Sedentary	Yes
	Firefighter	1	Active	Yes
	Paramedic	1	Mixed	Yes
	Care Staff	1	Mixed	Yes
	Police Officer			
Education & Training	Teacher	2	Active	No / Yes
	School Faculty	1	Sedentary	No
	Software Trainer	1	Sedentary	No
Agricultural	Arborist	1	Mixed	Yes
	Wildlife Biologist	1	Mixed	No
Creative Business	Lighting Designer	1	Mixed	N/A
	Marketing	1	Sedentary	Sometimes
	Consultant	2	Sedentary	No / No
	Small Business Owner			
Miscellaneous	Stay-At-Home	1	Active	No
	Parent	1	Mixed	No
	Facilities	1	Mixed	No
	Coordinator	1	Mixed	No
	Unemployed	1	Mixed	N/A
	Retired			
	Unknown			

Table S4. Studies of movement-related disorders having language consistent with WANT Model (Wants and Aversions for Neuromuscular Tasks) published up to June 2022 located in scoping review [21]

First Author	Year	Title	Movement-Related Disorder
Baise	2016	Intractable restless legs syndrome: role of prolonged-release oxycodone-naloxone	Restless legs syndrome
Barnes	1989	A rating scale for drug-induced akathisia	Akathisia
Barnes	2003	The barnes Akatheisia Rating Scale - Revisited	Akathisia
Bocquillon	2017	Reduced afferent-induced facilitation of primary motor cortex excitability in restless legs syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Bratti	2007	Chronic restlessness with antipsychotics	Akathisia
Casonia	2019	D3 receptor agonist efficacy in restless legs syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Cavanna	2017	Neurobiology of the Premonitory Urge in Tourette's Syndrome Pathophysiology and Treatment Implications	Tourette syndrome or other tic disorders
Cavanna	2013	Tourette syndrome and consciousness of action	Tourette syndrome or other tic disorders
Cha	2017	Frontal dysfunction in patients with restless legs syndrome performing a visual oddball task an event-related potential source imaging study	Restless legs syndrome
Chen	2019	Caenorhabditis elegans and its applicability to studies on restless legs syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Cheung	2015	Restless Leg Syndrome in Pediatric	Restless legs syndrome
Choi	2012	Reduced Neural Synchrony in Patients with Restless Legs Syndrome during a Visual Oddball Task	Restless legs syndrome
Connor	2016	Restless Leg Syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Czesnik	2019	I-h contributes to increased motoneuron excitability in restless legs syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
de Oliveira	2016	Opioids for restless legs syndrome (Review)	Restless legs syndrome
DelRosso	2019	A 15-Year-Old Girl With Sleep-Onset Insomnia and Poor Sleep Quality	Restless legs syndrome
DeVries	2013	Limbic and motor circuits involved in symmetry behavior in Tourette's syndrome	Tourette syndrome or other tic disorders
Duz	2019	Restless Legs Syndrome in Aircrew	Restless legs syndrome
Ekbom	1960	Restless Leg Syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Ferini-Strambi	2016	Clinical pharmacology and efficacy of rotigotine (Neupro® patch) in the treatment of restless leg syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Ferini-Strambi	2017	Restless Legs Syndrome and Painful Legs/Moving Toes	Restless legs syndrome

Gangadharan	2016	The treatment challenges of restless legs syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Garcia-Borreguero	2006	Time to REST: epidemiology and burden	Restless legs syndrome
Ghika	1995	Delayed unilateral akathisia with posterior thalamic infarct	Akathisia
Hamdaoui	2018	Restless legs syndrome related to hemorrhage of a thoracic spinal cord cavernoma	Restless legs syndrome
Harrison	2019	Non-pharmacological interventions for restless legs syndrome: a systematic review of randomised controlled trials	Restless legs syndrome
Hermann	2019	Help or hurt? How attention modulates tics under different conditions	Tourette syndrome or other tic disorders
Hoogwout	2015	Musculoskeletal pain is associated with restless legs syndrome in young adults	Restless legs syndrome
Hoveidaei	2020	Evaluation of restless leg syndrome symptoms in patients with lumbosacral canal stenosis comparison with normal population	Restless legs syndrome
Huang	2020	Acupuncture for restless legs syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis protocol	Restless legs syndrome
Ipsiroglu	2016	“Emplotted Narratives” and Structured “Behavioral Observations” Supporting the Diagnosis of Willis-Ekbom Disease/Restless Legs Syndrome in Children with Neurodevelopmental Conditions	Restless legs syndrome
Jovic et al.	2018	Sleep disturbances in restless legs syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Kahvecioglu	2016	Effect of Renal Transplantation in Restless Legs Syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Karroum	2012	Sensations in restless legs syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Karroum	2015	Painful Restless Legs Syndrome: A Severe, Burning Form of the Disease	Restless legs syndrome
Kerr	2012	Descriptors of restless legs syndrome sensations	Restless legs syndrome
Khan	2017	Iron, dopamine, genetics, and hormones in the pathophysiology of restless legs syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Kleimaker	2020	Increased perception-action binding in Tourette syndrome	Tourette syndrome or other tic disorders
Koh	2015	Impaired vascular endothelial function in patients with restless legs syndrome: A new aspect of the vascular pathophysiology	Restless legs syndrome
Ku	2020	Alterations in Salience Network Functional Connectivity in Individuals with Restless Legs Syndrome	Restless legs syndrome

Kumar	2017	Gabapentin treatment in clozapine-induced restless legs syndrome: two cases and a review of the literature	Restless legs syndrome
Kwak	2003	Premonitory Sensory Phenomenon in Tourette's Syndrome	Tourette syndrome or other tic disorders
Kwatra	2018	Differential Diagnosis and Treatment of Restless Legs Syndrome: A Literature Review	Restless legs syndrome
Lammers	2019	Are serum ferritin and transferrin saturation risk markers for restless legs syndrome in young adults? Longitudinal and cross-sectional data from the Western Australian Pregnancy Cohort (Raine) Study	Restless legs syndrome
Lanza	2019	The neurophysiology of hyperarousal in restless legs syndrome: Hints for a role of glutamate/GABA	Restless legs syndrome
Leckman	2014	Clinical feature of Tourette syndrome and tic disorders	Tourette syndrome or other tic disorders
Mackie	2015	Long-Term Treatment of Restless Legs Syndrome (RLS): An Approach to Management of Worsening Symptoms, Loss of Efficacy, and Augmentation	Restless legs syndrome
Mackie	2017	Therapeutic Utility of Opioids for Restless Legs Syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Mahmood	2015	Restless Legs Syndrome among Pakistani Population: A Cross-Sectional Study	Restless legs syndrome
Martire	2018	Sleep and Tibialis Anterior Muscle Activity in Mice With Mild Hypoxia and Iron Deficiency: Implications for the Restless Legs Syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
MIller	2000	Managing Antipsychotic-Induced Acute and Chronic Akathisia	Akathisia
Missak	1987	Does the human body produce a substance similar to caffeine	Restless legs syndrome
Mitchell	2018	Peripheral Dopamine in Restless Legs Syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Niazi	2015	An overview of restless leg syndrome for the mental health professional	Restless legs syndrome
Novak	2015	Restless Legs Syndrome in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease	Restless legs syndrome
Ocal	2019	Restless legs syndrome frequency in health workers	Restless legs syndrome
Ondo	2015	The A11 Lesion Iron Deprivation Animal Model of Restless Legs Syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Ondo	2019	Treatment of restless leg syndrome and periodic limb movements	Restless legs syndrome
Ozturk	2016	Frequency of Restless Legs Syndrome in adults with epilepsy in Turkey	Restless legs syndrome

Panyakaew	2016	Clinical neurophysiological evaluation for simple motor tics	Tourette syndrome or other tic disorders
Para	2018	Suicidal thought and behavior in individuals with restless legs syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Phillips	2008	Sleep disorders and medical conditions in women	Restless legs syndrome
Rinaldi	2016	Treatment Options in Intractable Restless Legs SyndromeWillis-Ekbom Disease (RLSWED)	Restless legs syndrome
Sales	2016	Diagnosis and treatment of restless legs syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Schell	2015	Restless legs syndrome in psoriasis: an unexpected comorbidity	Restless legs syndrome
Seeman	2020	Why Are Women Prone to Restless Legs Syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Serrien	2002	Movement control of manipulative tasks in patients with Gilles de la Tourette syndrome	Tourette syndrome or other tic disorders
Siddiqui	2018	Restless Legs Syndrome Associated with Quetiapine in Elderly Patient	Restless legs syndrome
Sieminski	2016	Nocturnal systolic blood pressure is increased in restless legs syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Sieminski	2019	Acute Drug-Induced Symptoms of Restless Legs Syndrome in an Emergency Department	Restless legs syndrome
Sierra Montoya	2018	Prevalence and Clinical Characteristics of the Restless Legs Syndrome (RLS) in Patients Diagnosed with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in Antioquia	Restless legs syndrome
Silva	2017	The urge to move and breathe e the impact of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome treatment in patients with previously diagnosed, clinically significant restless legs syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Smallheer	2018	Evaluation and treatment of restless legs syndrome in the primary care environment	Restless legs syndrome
Stefansson	2007	A genetic risk factor for periodic limb movements in sleep	Restless legs syndrome
Suzuki	2018	Uncomfortable and unpleasant sensations in the legs without an urge to move as the initial manifestation of Parkinson's disease	Parkinson's disease
Suzuki	2019	Involvement of legs and other body parts in patients with restless legs syndrome and its variants	Restless legs syndrome
Tan	2004	An urge to move with L-thyroxine Clinical, biochemical, and polysomnographic correlation	Restless legs syndrome

Temel	2016	Prevalence of Restless Legs Syndrome among psoriasis patients and association with depression and sleep quality	Restless legs syndrome
Tipton	2017	Restless legs syndrome and nocturnal leg cramps: a review and guide to diagnosis and treatment	Restless legs syndrome
Truong	2019	Setting the record straight: The nosology of tardive syndromes	Tardrive dyskinesia
Tuna	2016	Is There an Association between Restless Legs Syndrome and Urticaria?	Restless legs syndrome
Uekta	2019	The impact of rotating work schedules, chronotype, and restless legs syndrome/Willis-Ekbom disease on sleep quality among female hospital nurses and midwives: A cross-sectional survey	Restless legs syndrome
van Putten	1975	The many faces of Akathisia	Akathisia
Vikene	2019	Subjective judgments of rhythmic complexity in Parkinson’s disease: Higher baseline, preserved relative ability, and modulated by tempo	Parkinson's disease
Vollono	2019	Abnormal Circadian Modification of Aδ-Fiber Pathway Excitability in Idiopathic Restless Legs Syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Wetter	2006	Neuroendocrine Correlates of Restless Legs Syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Winkelman	2013	Sensory symptoms in restless legs syndrome: the enigma of pain	Restless legs syndrome
Winkleman	2006	Prevalence and correlates of restless legs syndrome symptoms in the Wisconsin Sleep Cohort	Restless legs syndrome
Yilmaz	2017	Severity and frequency of restless legs syndrome in patients with familial Mediterranean fever	Restless legs syndrome
Yoshihisa	2019	Prognostic Impact of Restless Legs Syndrome on Patients with Heart Failure	Restless legs syndrome
Zambelis	2016	Restless legs syndrome mimicking S1 radiculopathy	Restless legs syndrome
Zhu	2020	Restless legs syndrome following the use of ziprasidone a case report	Restless legs syndrome
Zhuo	2017	Combined resting state functional magnetic resonance imaging and diffusion tensor imaging study in patients with idiopathic restless legs syndrome	Restless legs syndrome
Zibetti	2017	A polysomnographic study in parkinsonian patients treated with intestinal levodopa infusion	Parkinson's disease
Zucconi	2018	An update on the treatment of Restless Legs Syndrome/Willis-Ekbom Disease: prospects and challenges	Restless legs syndrome