Mapping of person-centred sexual and reproductive health interventions at primary healthcare settings: An umbrella review

Authors: Ghada E. Saad PhD[1], Sohayla El Fakahany MA[2], Lara Abi Jaoude MPH[3], Petra Halawi MA[3], Zeina Karam BS[4], Tamar Kabakian-Khasholian PhD[3], Faysal El Kak MD[3,5]*, Stephen J McCall DPhil[1]**

*Senior author

**Corresponding author

Author affiliations:

[1] Center for Research on Population and Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, American University of Beirut.

[2] Social research consultant.

[3] Department of Health Promotion and Community Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, American University of Beirut.

[4] Faculty of Medicine, American University of Beirut Medical Center, Beirut, Lebanon.

[5] Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, American University of Beirut Medical Center, Beirut, Lebanon.

Corresponding author:

Stephen J. McCall
Center for Research on Population and Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, American University of Beirut, Beirut 1107 2020, Lebanon. Email: sm227@aub.edu.lb

ABSTRACT

Introduction

Person-centred care is crucial for quality, comprehensive healthcare, particularly in Sexual and Reproductive Health (SRH). This umbrella review synthesises key findings on existing person-centred SRH interventions in primary care settings, globally.

Methods

Searches were conducted in five bibliometric databases from 2016 to 2024. Reviews were included if they referred to person-centred SRH interventions adopted in primary care settings. Two researchers independently screened reviews for inclusion and extracted and appraised quality of eligible reviews. Data was summarized to explore key themes, interventions and outcomes.

Results

Of 1,300 identified reviews, 21 were included (twelve scoping reviews, seven systematic reviews, one integrative review, and 1 narrative review). Geographic scope of the reviews was varied where eight were global in scope, six focused on low- and middle-Income countries, and seven on high-income countries. These reviews explored a variety of SRH topics (e.g. family planning counselling and uptake, sexuality and HIV or cervical cancer screening), across diverse contexts. Four reviews implicitly referred to person-centredness, otherwise person-centeredness of interventions was inferred. Person-centred counselling, technology-based interventions, strategies that improved primary healthcare services through electronic medical record enhancements or screening tools, and specific interventions for STI/HIV and breast/cervical cancer screening and risky behaviours demonstrated effectiveness in improving SRH services. Specific intervention components that were present in effective interventions included tailored communication, investment in informed choices, and addressing access barriers. Synthesis showed that interventions do not consider all domains of person-centred care.

Discussion

Our results have shown that multicomponent interventions are effective. Combining accessible information (often technology-based) and tailored person-centred counselling are likely to be most effective in improving a range of SRH outcomes. Given the limited explicit evaluation of person-centredness and the incomplete capture of its domains within interventions, future interventions need to encompass more person-centred components to provide further evidence on pathways to enhance SRH care quality.

Keywords: Sexual and reproductive health; person-centred care; primary health care; interventions; umbrella review

Key Messages

What is already known about the topic

There are numerous existing systematic and scoping reviews on Sexual and Reproductive Health (SRH) interventions tackle specific SRH services. Despite this growing body of evidence and many reviews on SRH services and interventions, the existing literature is fragmented and often fails to address person-centeredness of these service and interventions. To our knowledge, no previous umbrella review has synthesised the available evidence and specifically addressed the concept of person-centred SRH care within primary healthcare settings.

What this study adds

This umbrella review provides a unique and comprehensive overview of potentially effective person-centred SRH interventions by synthesising high-level findings from 21 eligible reviews. By collating a global collection of systematic and scoping reviews on various SRH topics, this study highlights the available SRH interventions, describing their person-centredness, a concept that is not widely empathised in the existing literature. It presents a high-level narrative synthesis, offering a comprehensive overview of the available and most potentially effective person-centred SRH interventions in primary care settings.

How this study might affect research, practice and policy

This umbrella review underscores the limited emphasis on person-centred SRH interventions, and the need to design more holistic, tailored interventions that consider the multiple domains of person-centred care to ensure women receive respectful SRH services that ensure autonomy, choice and supportive care. There is limited evidence on rigorous evaluation of intervention effectiveness, especially across marginalized populations and in low- and middle-income countries. The synthesis of results highlights the need for standardized measurements of clinical and person-centred care outcome indicators.

INTRODUCTION

Sexual and reproductive health and rights (SRHR) are fundamental aspects of people's overall health and wellbeing.[1] Investing in sexual and reproductive health (SRH) services that are comprehensive is essential to ensure universal health coverage, as well as gender equity and empowerment.[2] In 1994, the International Conference on Population and Development emphasized the importance of individual rights, gender equality and reproductive health.[3] Significant progress has been made in improving the quality of SRH care, nevertheless, more work is required for strengthening SRH rights approaches and ensuring the presence of comprehensive SRH services in primary healthcare settings.[4]

Person-centred care has emerged as a prominent aspect of providing quality and holistic healthcare, where the individual's needs, preferences, and experiences are prioritized.[5-7] To improve SRH care quality, proper implementation of person-centred care is essential[5] and is potentially able to strengthen health systems to be able to respond to the multitude of health challenges from humanitarian crises to ageing populations.[8] While there is no consensus on one definition of person-centred SRH care and what it entails,[7] Sudhinarset et al (2017), defined person-centred reproductive health care as consisting of several domains that are not necessarily mutually exclusive and that would lead to better quality of SRH services: dignity, autonomy, privacy & confidentiality, communication, social support, supportive care, trust and health facility environment.[5] Person-centred care is frequently used interchangeably with patient-centred care,[6,9] and while the latter defines the person by their disease rather than focusing on the unique individual having specific contexts or preferences, some researchers include similar domains in their definitions of each term.[9]

SRH is a key component of Primary Health Care (PHC), which serves as a foundation for universal health coverage, ensuring that everyone receives high quality services that are affordable, accessible and non-discriminatory.[10] The provision of person-centred SRH services within PHC settings is crucial for improving access, quality, and outcomes for women. There is a growing body of evidence supporting person-centred SRH care, in addition to the presence of numerous reviews published on specific aspects of SRH care and interventions among the general population or within particular populations such as adolescents or refugees.[9,11,12] Nevertheless, this literature is fragmented and does not commonly refer to the person-centredness of services and interventions. To address this, this umbrella review aims to identify existing person-centred interventions focused on SRH care and education at the PHC level; and to present a comprehensive synthesis of what has been applied and what can be leveraged. By synthesising high level key findings from published reviews, this umbrella review will present a thorough idea of the existing potentially effective person-centred interventions and answer the following research questions:

1. What are the existing person-centred interventions for SRH care and education that have been implemented in primary health care settings, globally.
2. What is the effectiveness of person-centred interventions in improving clinical outcomes and outcomes related to person-centred approaches (including women's self-agency, positive experience, mental wellbeing)?

METHODS

The umbrella review is structured in accordance with the updated PRISMA checklist (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses)[13]. The umbrella review protocol has been registered on Open Science Framework (OSF) Registry, under the registration DOI: https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/WC238.

Eligibility criteria

The inclusion and exclusion criteria are described using the PICO (Population, Intervention, Comparator and Outcome) and PICo (Population, Intervention, Context) research question elements [14]. Given the presence of different types of reviews that synthesize evidence on person-centred SRH interventions, we used PICO criteria for reviews that assessed effectiveness of interventions of interest, and PICo characteristics for reviews that only described available interventions of interest and did not compare them to any other type of intervention or lack of intervention. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were based on the following details.

Population: We included adolescent girls and women, without any specific age range. We included boys and men targeted by person-centred SRH interventions when administering this intervention to boys and men explicitly led to women's SRH self-agency and autonomy, otherwise, they were excluded.

Intervention: We considered person-centred SRH interventions that were offered in PHC settings. A limited number of reviews explicitly referred to the person-centeredness of SRH interventions, hence we depended on Sudhinaraset et al (2017)'s definition for person-centred reproductive health care, which consisted of eight domains: dignity, autonomy, privacy & confidentiality, communication, social support, supportive care, trust and health facility environment [5], and we inferred whether the SRH interventions were aligned with one or more of these domains. Other terms synonymous with person-centred care, which we included, were people-centred care, patient-centred care, women-centred care, user-centred care, client-centred care, individual-centred care, holistic care, comprehensive care.

We included interventions, programs, approaches, digital innovations and services in the following SRH areas: family planning; contraception provision and counselling; sexually transmitted infections (STI) prevention and screening; HIV screening and vaccination; menstrual health; menopause management; adolescent sexual and reproductive health; cervical cancer screening and prevention; human papillomavirus (HPV) screening and vaccination; sexual health and wellbeing; comprehensive sex/sexuality education; and sexual health counselling. We excluded interventions that were focused on obstetric care and pregnancy/childbirth related care. We also excluded interventions that were solely social media-based, community-based, home-based or tertiary hospital-based interventions.

Comparison: For reviews that assessed effectiveness of interventions, we considered any comparator. Reviews with no comparator were considered as well, if they presented person-centred SRH interventions.

Context: To be included, reviews had to describe SRH interventions that were implemented within PHC settings. Location-wise, we included all geographic locations in our umbrella review. As mentioned earlier, interventions offered in the community, schools, homes or tertiary hospital settings were excluded.

Outcomes: We included a broad range of outcomes ranging from clinical outcomes such as increase in uptake of SRH services, continuation of SRH care, quality of care; to person-centred outcomes for women, such as, self-agency, autonomy and power, increased satisfaction, positive experiences, responsive and supportive care, service user/provider interaction and communication, mental well-being, increased knowledge and perception. This list of outcomes is not exhaustive and was left broad to add the outcomes that each eligible review defined. We excluded outcomes related to cost evaluations of interventions.

Additional elements: The study designs we included were systematic reviews, systematic reviews with meta-analyses, scoping reviews and any other type of review. Any relevant umbrella review was excluded, nevertheless, the reviews in its bibliography were screened for eligibility based on the above-mentioned criteria. We included a time frame from 2016 to present. In 2016, the World Health Assembly convened and strongly supported the resolution to strengthen health services through implementation of integrated people-centred health services.[8,15] We did not apply any language restrictions.

Information Sources

On September 15, 2024, we searched five electronic bibliographic databases: MEDLINE (Ovid), CINAHL Ultimate (EBSCOhost), EMBASE (Elsevier), Scopus (Elsevier) and Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (Cochrane Library). When the description of interventions included in a relevant review was unclear, we consulted the primary study included in the review where more details were retrieved to decide whether to include or exclude the intervention and review.

Search Strategy

We developed a comprehensive search strategy, receiving input from specialists in sexual and reproductive health research and a Medical Library Librarian. Search terms were identified based on existing literature we knew would be eligible for this umbrella review. We focused our strategy on four concepts: SRH topic areas, intervention terms, context of primary healthcare facilities and reviews. We decided not to include search terms for person-centred care because the term and its synonyms are not widely used, hence this would have limited the search results. We restricted the search to 2016 onwards and did not include any language limits. We used a comprehensive list of Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) controlled vocabulary (if applicable in the database) and keywords for each concept to ensure that we captured the highest number of eligible reviews. Appendix 1 shows the search strategy for Ovid MEDLINE consisting of MeSH controlled vocabulary and keyword searches. Similar MeSH and keyword terms were used for Elsevier EMBASE and EBSCOhost CINAHL databases. For Elsevier Scopus and Cochrane Library of Systematic Reviews, these databases only allowed for keyword searches which were similar keywords to what was used in Appendix 1.

Selection Process

We exported the literature search results into Endnote and removed duplicates. Subsequently, the search results were exported into Covidence Systematic Review software where more duplicates were retrieved

and removed, and the software was used to managing the screening and data extraction processes. We developed inclusion and exclusion screening criteria at the title/abstract and full text screening stages, which were entered into Covidence software. Prior to beginning the screening process, four researchers (SEF, LAJ, PH, ZK) conducted a calibration exercise to pilot the screening criteria and discussed inconsistencies until there was consensus.

Subsequently, in pairs, the researchers independently screened titles and abstracts of the retrieved literature. In case of disagreements, the researchers met to come to a resolution whether the publication would be screened further or excluded. When necessary, a third researcher (GES) was consulted to make the final decision. Following this screening, the four researchers (SEF, LAJ, PH, ZK), in pairs, independently screened the full text articles for inclusion in the umbrella review, using the inclusion and exclusion criteria for this stage. The researchers recorded the reason for exclusion. Similar to the first screening stage, researchers met to reach a consensus whenever there were disagreements and in case the disagreement was not resolved, a third researcher (GES) was consulted and/or the primary studies of disagreed upon interventions were reviewed.

Data collection process

We developed a standardized data extraction form that was uploaded to the Covidence software. The form included sections on the review aims, methods, and the PICO and PICo elements. Four researchers (SEF, LAJ, PH, ZK) tested the data extraction form using two eligible reviews and discussed inconsistencies and ambiguous fields until there was clarity and consistency among the researchers. Data was extracted from each eligible review, independently by two researchers (SEF & LAJ or PH & ZK). The extracted data was reviewed on Covidence software by the two researchers to resolve discrepancies through discussion. When disagreements were not resolved, a third researcher (GES) was consulted. A third reviewer (GES) validated the final extracted data.

Data Items and Effect Measures

We defined our clinical and person-centred outcomes of interest in the above section on eligibility criteria. We did not limit our inclusion depending on how these outcomes were defined or measured since this umbrella review aimed to map the relevant person-centred SRH interventions and describe their effectiveness based on what was available. We reported on all relevant outcomes presented in the synthesized results of the eligible reviews.

The standardized data extraction form includes information about the publication (author, publication year, citation, objectives/aims of the review), the review methodology (including information on type of review, population/s included, setting and geographic scope, number of databases searched, date range of search) and the synthesis of results (number of eligible studies included in the review, SRH topics covered, description of intervention components, explicit/implicit person-centred care domains covered, factors related to implementation of intervention, review limitations and recommendations).

We used the effect measures that were presented in the eligible reviews as they were. Being an umbrella review, meant that each eligible review consisted of several effect measures for their outcomes; and that

between eligible reviews there were variations. We reported on outcome effect measures such as odds ratios, prevalence ratios, proportions, or narrative presentation.

Study Risk of Bias and Reporting Bias Assessment

We assessed the quality of eligible reviews using the AMSTAR 2 checklist which is a critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that includes randomized or non-randomized studies of healthcare interventions.[16] The checklist was implemented on Covidence software and the four researchers (SEF, LAF, PH, ZK), in pairs independently assessed the eligible reviews. The overall rating and confidence in the review results was calculated based on the type of review and whether it satisfies the critical and non-critical criteria of the AMSTAR 2 checklist, as described by Shea et al (2017).[16]

We reported results related to intervention effectiveness when these findings were present. Nevertheless, the lack of outcome measures and descriptions of effectiveness does not necessarily mean that any interventions not assessed for the outcome are not effective. Furthermore, the populations of women vary in age, race and ethnicity and this may impact intervention effectiveness differently. We could not assess this bias through sensitivity analyses and interpretation of the results should take this into account.

Synthesis Methods

We synthesized the extracted data narratively. This was planned given the high heterogeneity in interventions and effect measures presented by each eligible review. Moreover, since we opted to include all types of reviews in this umbrella review, meta-analysis was not possible. In the narrative synthesis, we identified common themes of the overarching types of SRH interventions, and how effective they were in providing person-centred SRH care. The discussed and agreed upon emerging themes were: 1- the importance of person-centred counselling, 2- Leveraging technology-based interventions, 2- Enhancing primary care services, and 4- specific interventions for STI/HIV, cervical and breast cancers screening and risk reduction.

Role of the Funding Source

The funding body had no involvement and role in the review methodology, screening and study selection, analysis and interpretation of data, writing of the manuscript, or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

RESULTS

The bibliographic database search identified 2,347 records. After duplicates were removed, 1,300 records were screened resulting in 21 review articles being included (See Figure 1). These included seven systematic reviews, twelve scoping reviews, one narrative review and one integrative review. The most common reasons for excluding articles at the full-text screening were: not explicitly an SRH intervention or not a type of review article.

Quality and risk of bias assessment

According to the AMSTAR 2 quality appraisal tool's developers[16], scores can range from one to 16. Our assessment of the 21 included review articles revealed that four review articles were considered moderate quality[17-20] and the remaining 17 were considered low quality (Appendix 2).[11,21-36]

Characteristics of included reviews

The included review articles covered several areas of SRH, mainly, family planning and contraception, reproductive health, sexual health, STIs and HIV, and breast & cervical cancer. Several intervention types were described in the 21 review articles, and they were mainly related to family planning counselling, knowledge provision, HIV/STI/cancers screening and telemedicine interventions. The populations of interest in the reviews were generally broad: ten reviews did not focus on any specific population, two reviews focused on adolescent populations, one review looked at all women primarily and adolescents secondarily, one review focused on reproductive aged population receiving care from clinic settings, four reviews focused on reproductive aged women, two reviews were aimed at urban populations, one review on women residing in rural settings, one review focused on international migrants and one review targeted conflict-affected populations. The reviews were published between the years 2017 and 2024 (Figure 2) with the highest frequency was in 2021 (five reviews). The geographic scope of the reviews was somewhat varied, where eight reviews had a global scope, six focused on low- and middle-income countries and seven were on high-income countries. In Table 1, we present a description of the characteristics of included reviews and Table 2 presents the description of interventions and main results.

Based on the results in Table 2, we further organized and synthesized the findings from the multitude of described SRH interventions based on four key themes and described their effectiveness based on presented outcomes and person-centred care characteristics.

Theme 1: The importance of person-centred counselling

Counselling interventions designed to improve communication and knowledge, service user-provider interaction and women's autonomy through choice can enhance the quality of care and service user satisfaction. Effectiveness of counselling interventions is presented based on three broad outcomes:

- *Improved quality of care and interactions*: Tools like the WHO decision-making tool for family planning, Family Planning Quotient and Reproductive Life Index (FPQ/RepLI), Smart Choices, My Birth Control, MyPath, interactive Mobile Application for Contraceptive Choice (iMACC) significantly improved counselling quality, service user-provider communication, and service user engagement by tailoring sessions to individual needs and preferences.[21-23] These tools helped providers understand service users' preferences and make counselling more efficient.[21-23]
- *Better family planning decision-making*: Interventions focusing on shared decision-making, such as the WHO decision-making tool, and those helping service users formulate questions or identify needs, showed improvement in informed decision-making and effectiveness in communication.[11,21-23] Contraception counselling during the antenatal and immediate postpartum

periods, particularly when involving midwives or specialized nurses, was perceived as helpful and possibly aided in contraceptive decision-making.[24]

- *Limited behavioural outcomes*: The counselling approaches had mixed results concerning their impact on contraceptive initiation, continuation, or adherence. Some, like Motivational Interviewing, doubled LARC initiation and continuation, and Smart Patient Coaching slightly reduced discontinuation.[22] However, several person-centred tools (One Key Question, My Birth Control and MyPath) did not significantly change current contraceptive methods or long-term continuation.[21] Moreover, contraceptive counselling interventions during the perinatal period did not have an impact on rapid repeat pregnancies rates 6 to 12 months.[24]

Theme 2: Leveraging technology-based Interventions

Technology-based interventions in primary health care settings, particularly interventions utilizing mobile phones and online platforms, show effectiveness in improving access to and knowledge of SRH. The main outcomes studied in relation to these interventions were:

- *Better family planning knowledge:* Tablet apps, educational text messages (especially interactive ones), presentations and web-based tools significantly increased family planning and contraceptive knowledge,[11,25,35] and even broader reproductive health knowledge including menstruation, fertility and pre-pregnancy health (e.g., MyPath).[21] Incorporation of videos as a component within cervical cancer screening interventions was useful for boosting knowledge and confidence for screening.[30]
- *Improved access and reach*: Telemedicine and mobile phone interventions effectively overcome barriers like travel restrictions, expenses, and apprehension, allowing confidential access to information and care.[17,26] These tools also demonstrate high reach and accessibility for family planning information, especially for marginalized and teenagers/adolescent populations.[26] Online contraceptive prescription platforms appropriately screened potential users for hormonal contraception.[25]
- *Variable impact on behaviour:* While knowledge and access to information are often improved, the impact on contraceptive uptake and adherence varies. Simple educational text messages alone had limited impact on uptake or adherence to oral contraceptives.[25] However, interactive daily reminders showed higher continuation rates for injectables and oral contraceptives in some studies.[25] Better knowledge among women also showed higher frequency of provider visits and initiation of family planning discussions.[23]

Theme 3: Enhancing primary healthcare services

Improvement of electronic medical records and utilization of reproductive health screening tools and surveys can prompt discussions between service users and provider and integrate information into health records that can lead to improvements in SRH care provision. Furthermore, interventions focusing on eliminating financial and logistical barriers can be a highly effective strategy for increasing the uptake of SRH services, particularly LARCs. The outcomes related to these interventions were:

- *Improved Communication and Documentation*: Tools like One Key Question (OKQ), FPQ/RepLI, and other Reproductive Life Plan tools can facilitate service user-provider communication on reproductive goals and improve documentation of contraceptive use and counselling.[21,23]
- *Better Contraceptive Needs Identification and Referral:* Electronic health record prompts and screening questions identified women in need of contraceptive counselling, increasing their likelihood of using hormonal or highly effective methods.[23,27]
- *Varied Acceptability & Feasibility:* Many of these digital tools were considered easy to use, helpful by service users and providers, and feasible to integrate into clinic workflow, though some providers noted potential hindrance to clinic flow,[21,23,27] and some service users preferred discussing contraceptive issues with their providers.[27]
- *Financial Accessibility*: Making LARCs free of charge substantially increased adolescents' choice of these methods.[18]
- *Service Integration and Convenience:* Co-locating contraceptive services with well-baby visits recorded high satisfaction.[24] Integrating family planning programs into maternal and child health services potentially decreased unintended pregnancies.[28] Strategies like same-day LARC insertions and improved clinic convenience significantly increased LARC uptake.[18]
- *Broad Programmatic Impact*: Large-scale programs like the Urban Reproductive Health Initiative (URHI)/The Challenge Initiative (TCI), which integrated supply-side (quality, availability) and demand-side (outreach, awareness) components, were highly effective in increasing contraceptive use and reducing unintended pregnancies in urban settings.[28,29]

Theme 4: Specific interventions for STIs/HIV, Cervical and Breast cancers screening and risk reduction

Targeted interventions for breast and cervical cancer screening, STI/HIV testing, and risky sexual behaviours showed varied but often positive outcomes.

- *Cervical and Breast Cancer Screening:* Educational interventions, especially those using videos or delivered by community health workers, increased screening knowledge and confidence for pap smears.[30] Telephone interviews also increased pap smear uptake.[30] Friend-to-friend/Patient Navigation programs for breast and cervical cancer screening had mixed but sometimes positive effects on uptake depending on the race/ethnicity.[31]
- *Sexually Transmitted Infections Testing*: Electronic Medical Record prompts for STI testing and self-testing programs recorded an increase in testing uptake.[36] Improved technologies allowing same day STI testing led to lower re-infection rates as well as more accurate treatment decisions.[36]
- *HIV Testing:* Interactive HIV education programs (e.g., Women's Health Program) and educational announcements in waiting areas can significantly increase HIV testing uptake.[32] However, provider-initiated testing can face feasibility challenges due to provider discomfort or time constraints.[32] Clinic waiting room invitations for free HIV testing recorded an increase in testing uptake[32] and financial incentives can improve retention for HIV retesting.[29]
- *Risky Sexual Behaviours:* Counselling messages for HIV risk and use of injectable contraceptives recorded an increased intention to use condoms for dual protection.[35] While very brief (<30min)

educational interventions generally have limited long-term impact on condom use or STI incidence, brief (30-60min) education/prevention interventions (e.g., videos in waiting rooms, one-on-one counselling) can reduce STI incidence.[19] Intensive (>60min and more than one session), competency-based group interventions demonstrated significant decreases in unprotected sexual intercourse and can reduce STI rates.[19] Combinations of brief and intensive competency-based approaches were also effective in reducing unprotected sex and STI incidence.[19]

DISCUSSION

This umbrella review of person-centred SRH interventions in primary care settings has identified 21 reviews describing interventions related to various SRH topics, with the majority being related to family planning and contraception. Our synthesis reveals that effective SRH interventions often integrate elements of person-centred care, even when not explicitly designed as such. Recurring themes in successful interventions emphasize shared decision-making and tailored counselling, while providing accessible information through various channels, including technology-based/digital tools, and improving access to care by addressing cost, convenience, and cultural barriers. While many interventions showed evidence for improving knowledge and uptake, consistently impacting continuation and long-term behavioural change, such as contraceptive use, remains a challenge.

Only four reviews explicitly referred to the concepts of person-centred or patient-centred interventions,[11,21,22,24] while the rest of the reviews described interventions that implicitly aligned with person-centred domains, such as, tailoring information, improving communication and respecting choice. This suggests that while elements of person-centred care are potentially integrated, they are not always systematically designed, measured, or prioritized in intervention design and research. We have inferred person-centredness for most of the interventions in Table 2, yet it is possible that implementation of these services is not done in a person-centred manner. Moreover, we could not infer that any intervention captured all the domains of person-centred care, as described by Sudhinaraset et al.[5]

There was limited explicit evaluation of person-centred outcomes within the included reviews highlighting a critical gap in current SRH research. There is a need for more robust definition and measurement of these crucial aspects to ensure holistic care.[9,11,37] Furthermore, the measured outcomes in the selected reviews mainly focused on immediate and short-term outcomes.[23,24,27] There was no follow up of the long term impact of person-centred care;[11] limited research on continued use and support of contraceptive method choice;[21] and no focus on repeated breast/cervical cancer screening patterns.[31]

Designing SRH services and interventions through a person-centred lens is crucial for quality healthcare, in addition to ensuring that the interventions are culturally-appropriate and tailored to different contexts and sub-populations.[11,21,22,30,31] A review of women's preferences for contraceptive counselling showed that women valued active engagement with their providers, which includes tailoring counselling to their needs, as well as receiving information about different methods and their side effects, nevertheless, not only from their providers, but through written sources as well.[38,39] Considerations for successful tailoring include addressing language barriers among clients using tools and platforms to avoid limited applicability,[23] and overcoming digital illiteracy and communication network issues which can impact the uptake of digital

interventions.[17,25,26] Furthermore, targeted interventions that account for age, cultural norms, and level of risky behaviour are often more successful.[19] Culturally tailored approaches have been shown to increase acceptability among immigrant and low-income groups,[30] with interventions specifically designed for specific race/ethnicity, cultural backgrounds or languages demonstrating higher engagement and effectiveness.[24,31] For certain vulnerable groups, such as refugees, SRH interventions in camps proved more accessible than those in dispersed settings,[20] further emphasizing the importance of contextual and population-specific design.

Provision of person-centred SRH interventions and services requires readiness of healthcare settings and providers. Many interventions seemed to point to include several person-centred domains, nevertheless, their implementation and acceptability in the PHC setting and by the providers requires measurement. A small number of included reviews measured provider acceptability or clinic workflow impact,[21,23,32] yet, results were not sufficient to provide an idea of barriers that may need to be tackled. Some included reviews referred to provider biases and time constraints as factors influencing the impact of interventions.[21,22,36] Ensuring effective implementation of person-centred interventions requires provider training on provision of person-centred SRH care and well-established infrastructure and workflow within the PHC setting.[5,19,38]

The effectiveness of interventions requires further investigation, given the quality of included reviews was generally low (Appendix 2). Various outcomes were documented for different interventions, however, to compare all interventions equally, information about outcomes should have been measured consistently among all interventions. Moreover, the absence of an outcome for one intervention and not the other, does not mean that the intervention with no results is ineffective. Multiple included reviews have stated that evidence is limited and more research to evaluate intervention effectiveness is required.[18,21,24,25] Moreover, more research is required from LMICs due to the limited geographic scope of current studies.[11,21-24,29,32]

Our study is the first umbrella review, to our knowledge, to integrate multiple areas of SRH person-centred intervention literature and evaluate evidence of their effectiveness. We searched five bibliographic databases to ensure comprehensiveness of our search. Using this umbrella review, we were able to meaningfully synthesize a large amount of data and present useful and practical information. However, the umbrella review is not without limitations. Combining multiple topics and review methodologies into one umbrella review created a lot of heterogeneity and diversity in terms of described details of interventions and outcome measurements. This is reflected in the varying details of the included reviews' main findings in Table 2. And this, coupled with the low quality of most of the included reviews meant that our results need to be considered with the knowledge that further in-depth evaluations of potentially effective interventions are required. Additionally, while we developed a detailed search strategy for five commonly used databases with a wide range of keywords and controlled vocabulary to identify relevant publications, it is possible that the choice of keywords, and databases may have resulted in omission of relevant reviews.

CONCLUSION

We reviewed SRH intervention literature to identify person-centred SRH interventions, globally. Our umbrella review highlights the importance of person-centred counselling, leveraging technology-based interventions, enhancing primary healthcare services through improvement of electronic medical records and adoption of screening tools, and applying specific STI/HIV, and breast/cervical cancer interventions for screening and risk reduction. A significant gap remains in the explicit and comprehensive evaluation of person-centredness. Future efforts must prioritize the deliberate integration and rigorous assessment of person-centred care components to ensure that SRH interventions not only achieve clinical effectiveness but also ultimately respect and respond to the women's choices, needs and preferences.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors' Contributions

GES, SJM, FEK, TKK and SEF conceptualised the study and agreed on the methodology of the umbrella review. SEF, LAJ, PH, and ZK conducted the literature screening, data extraction and quality appraisal with supervision and validation from GES. Formal analysis and data synthesis was performed by GES with input from SJM, FEK and TKK. GES wrote the first draft with input from SEF. All co-authors critically reviewed and edited the subsequent drafts of the manuscript. FEK, TKK and SJM were responsible for funding acquisition. SJM and GES were responsible for project administration.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Project funding acknowledgement

This work is a component of the project entitled "A wellness intervention for comprehensive family planning, contraceptive services, sexuality education and mental health in Lebanon - the GEMSELF study" and is supported by the International Development Research Center (IDRC) under project ID 110168.

Declaration of generative AI and AI-Assisted Technologies in the Writing Process

During the preparation of this work the authors used Gemini to enhance the language and readability of the content. After using Gemini, the authors reviewed and edited the content as needed and take full responsibility for the content of the publication.

REFERENCES

1. (UNFPA) UNPF. Sexual and Reproductive Health. 2022. https://www.unfpa.org/sexual-reproductive-health#readmore-expand (accessed Jul 4 2025).
2. World Health Organization. Investing in sexual and reproductive health and rights: essential elements of universal health coverage. Geneva, 2023.
3. UNFPA. Programme of Action: Adopted at the International Conference on Population and Development, Cairo. New York, 1994.
4. Narasimhan M, Say L, Allotey P. Three decades of progress and setbacks since the first international conference on population and development. *Bull World Health Organ* 2024; **102**(4): 226-a.
5. Sudhinaraset M, Afulani P, Diamond-Smith N, Bhattacharyya S, Donnay F, Montagu D. Advancing a conceptual model to improve maternal health quality: The Person-Centered Care Framework for Reproductive Health Equity. *Gates Open Res* 2017; **1**: 1.
6. Ekman I, Swedberg K, Taft C, et al. Person-centered care--ready for prime time. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2011; **10**(4): 248-51.
7. Mitchell P, Cribb A, Entwistle V. Vagueness and variety in person-centred care. *Wellcome Open Res* 2022; **7**: 170.
8. World Health Organization. Framework on integrated, people-centred health services: Report by the Secretariat. Geneva: World Health Organization,, 2016.
9. Meekers D, Elkins A, Obozekhai V. Patient-centered approaches for family planning counseling and support: A systematic review. *medRxiv* 2023: 2023.06.22.23291755.
10. World Health O. Critical considerations and actions for achieving universal access to sexual and reproductive health in the context of universal health coverage through a primary health care approach. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2022.
11. Diamond-Smith N, Warnock R, Sudhinaraset M. Interventions to improve the person-centered quality of family planning services: a narrative review. *Reprod Health* 2018; **15**(1): 144.
12. Desrosiers A, Betancourt T, Kergoat Y, Servilli C, Say L, Kobeissi L. A systematic review of sexual and reproductive health interventions for young people in humanitarian and lower-and-middle-income country settings. *BMC Public Health* 2020; **20**(1): 666.
13. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021; **372**: n71.
14. Booth A, Noyes J, Flemming K, Moore G, Tunçalp Ö, Shakibazadeh E. Formulating questions to explore complex interventions within qualitative evidence synthesis. *BMJ Glob Health* 2019; **4**(Suppl 1): e001107.
15. World Health Organization. World Health Assembly adopts framework on integrated people-centred health services. 2016. https://www.who.int/news/item/28-

05-2016-world-health-assembly-adopts-framework-on-integrated-people-centred-health-services (accessed September 14 2024).
16. Shea BJ, Reeves BC, Wells G, et al. AMSTAR 2: a critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomised or non-randomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. *BMJ* 2017; **358**: j4008.
17. Shatilwe JT, Kuupiel D, Mashamba-Thompson TP. Evidence on access to healthcare information by women of reproductive age in low- And middle-income countries: Scoping review. *PloS one* 2021; **16**(6): e0251633-e.
18. Wilkes S, Schick-Makaroff K, O'Rourke T, Kushner KE. Use of Long-Acting Reversible Contraceptives Amongst Adolescents: An Integrative Review. *Journal for nurse practitioners* 2020; **16**(8): 597-607.
19. Onaisi R, Joseph J-P, Castera P, Pontgratz C. Sexual risk behaviour reduction interventions in primary care in Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development countries. A systematic review. *Family practice* 2022; **39**(4): 762-70.
20. Munyuzangabo M, Khalifa DS, Gaffey MF, et al. Delivery of sexual and reproductive health interventions in conflict settings: a systematic review. *BMJ global health* 2020; **5**(Suppl 1): e002206.
21. Meekers D, Elkins A, Obozekhai V. Tools for patient-centred family planning counselling: A scoping review. *Journal of global health* 2024; **14**: 04038-.
22. Danna K, Angel A, Kuznicki J, Lemoine L, Lerma K, Kalamar A. Leveraging the Client-Provider Interaction to Address Contraceptive Discontinuation: A Scoping Review of the Evidence That Links Them. *Global health science and practice* 2021; **9**(4): 948-63.
23. Ren M, Shireman H, VanGompel EW, et al. Preconception, Interconception, and reproductive health screening tools: A systematic review. *Health services research* 2023; **58**(2): 458-88.
24. Karlin J, Newmark RL, Oberman N, Dehlendorf C. A Scoping Review of Patient-Centered Perinatal Contraceptive Counseling. *Maternal and Child Health Journal* 2024; **28**(9): 1454-84.
25. Thompson TA, Sonalkar S, Butler JL, Grossman D. Telemedicine for Family Planning: A Scoping Review. *Obstetrics and gynecology clinics of North America* 2020; **47**(2): 287-316.
26. Feroz A, Abrejo F, Ali SA, Nuruddin R, Saleem S. Using mobile phones to improve young people's sexual and reproductive health in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review protocol to identify barriers, facilitators and reported interventions. *Systematic reviews* 2019; **8**(1): 117-.
27. Burgess CK, Henning PA, Norman WV, Manze MG, Jones HE. A systematic review of the effect of reproductive intention screening in primary care settings on reproductive health outcomes. *Family Practice* 2017; **35**(2): 122-31.
28. Duminy J, Cleland J, Harpham T, Montgomery MR, Parnell S, Speizer IS. Urban Family Planning in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Critical Scoping Review. *Frontiers in Global Women's Health* 2021; **2**: 749636.

29. Akwara E, Pinchoff J, Abularrage T, White C, Ngo TD. The Urban Environment and Disparities in Sexual and Reproductive Health Outcomes in the Global South: a Scoping Review. *Journal of urban health* 2023; **100**(3): 525-61.
30. Mariño JM, Nunes LMP, Ali YCMM, Tonhi LdC, Salvetti MdG. Educational interventions for cervical cancer prevention: a scoping review. *Revista Brasileira de Enfermagem* 2023; **76**(5).
31. Atere-Roberts J, Smith JL, Hall IJ. Interventions to increase breast and cervical cancer screening uptake among rural women: a scoping review. *Cancer causes & control* 2020; **31**(11): 965-77.
32. Aung E, Blondell SJ, Durham J. Interventions for Increasing HIV Testing Uptake in Migrants: A Systematic Review of Evidence. *AIDS and Behavior* 2017; **21**(10): 2844-59.
33. Sorhaindo A, Rehnstrom Loi U. Interventions to reduce stigma related to contraception and abortion: a scoping review. *BMJ open* 2022; **12**(11): e063870-e.
34. AlHamawi R, Khader Y, Al Nsour M, AlQutob R, Badran E. Family planning interventions in Jordan: A scoping review. *Women's health (London, England)* 2023; **19**: 17455057231170977-.
35. Boydell V, Galavotti C. Getting Intentional about Intention to Use: A Scoping Review of Person-Centered Measures of Demand. *Studies in family planning* 2022; **53**(1): 61-132.
36. Footman A, Dagama D, Smith CH, Van Der Pol B. A Systematic Review of New Approaches to Sexually Transmitted Infection Screening Framed in the Capability, Opportunity, Motivation, and Behavior Model of Implementation Science. *Sexually transmitted diseases* 2021; **48**(8S): S58-S65.
37. Dehlendorf C, Fox E, Silverstein IA, et al. Development of the Person-Centered Contraceptive Counseling scale (PCCC), a short form of the Interpersonal Quality of Family Planning care scale. *Contraception* 2021; **103**(5): 310-5.
38. Dehlendorf C, Fox E, Sobel L, Borrero S. Patient-Centered Contraceptive Counseling: Evidence to Inform Practice. *Current Obstetrics and Gynecology Reports* 2016; **5**(1): 55-63.
39. Fox E, Reyna A, Malcolm NM, et al. Client Preferences for Contraceptive Counseling: A Systematic Review. *American journal of preventive medicine* 2018; **55**(5): 691-702.

Figure 1: Umbrella review PRISMA flow chart



Figure 2: Publication years of included reviews in the umbrella review on person-centred sexual and reproductive health interventions.



Table 1: Description of the 21 included reviews in the umbrella review

First author (year)	Title of the review	Aim of the review	Type of review with number of studies included	Geographic scope of included studies	Search strategy bibliographic databases, supplementary searches (timeframe in years)	Targeted population(s)	Findings synthesis
Meekers et al. (2024) [21]	Tools for patient-centred family planning counselling: A scoping review	To identify key tools to make family planning care more patient-centred, to review the domains of patient-centred care they address, and to identify gaps in the evidence base	Scoping review of 33 studies Eligible studies for this review: 13	North America (n=11) Africa (n=1) Asia (n=1)	PubMed, SCOPUS (2013-2022)	All women	Narrative
Diamond-Smith et al. (2018)[11]	Interventions to improve the person-centred quality of family planning services: a narrative review	To describe interventions related to person-centred care and identify their effect on service users' experiences and family planning outcomes such as knowledge, uptake, and continuation	Narrative review of 25 studies	North America & Europe (n=11) Africa (n=5) Asia (n=5) South America (n=3)	PubMed, CINAHL, EconLit. Supplementary searches: grey literature (dissertations, theses, government reports, non-governmental organization reports, and funder reports), relevant journals, organization websites, contacted key personnel in relevant organizations (1990-2015)	General population	Narrative
Danna et al. (2021) [22]	Leveraging the Client-Provider Interaction to Address Contraceptive Discontinuation: A Scoping Review of the Evidence That Links Them	To summarize global literature on contraceptive counselling approaches, focusing on identifying techniques and tools that enhance client-provider interactions to reduce method discontinuation. And	Scoping review of 54 studies. Eligible studies for this review: 11 studies	North America (n=8) South America (n=2) Asia (n=2)	PubMed, EMBASE, PsycINFO. Supplementary searches: Google Scholar and Google grey literature searches (1990-2018)	All women, and adolescents and young adults (24 years and younger)	Narrative

		to examine counselling approaches tailored to adolescents, who face additional barriers.					
Ren et al. (2023) [23]	Preconception, Interconception, and reproductive health screening tools: A systematic review	To identify and describe the standardized inter-conception and preconception screening tools for reproductive health needs that are applicable in general outpatient clinical practice	Systematic review of 53 studies Eligible studies for this review: 29 studies	North America (n=29)	PubMed, Web of Science, CINAHL (2000-2022)	All women	Narrative
Karlin et al. (2024) [24]	A Scoping Review of Patient-Centered Perinatal Contraceptive Counseling	To synthesize research on patient-centred perinatal contraceptive counselling, focusing on patient preferences, experiences, and health outcomes to propose best practices and identify research gaps	Scoping review of 34 studies Eligible studies for this review: 19 studies	North America (n=11) Europe (n=6) Oceania (n=2)	PubMed, Embase, Popline (Popline: 1992-2019 PubMed, Embase: 1992-2022)	Reproductive aged service user receiving care in a clinical setting	Narrative

Thompson et al. (2020)[25]	Telemedicine for family planning: A scoping review	To identify and synthesize evidence on the use of telemedicine for family planning	Scoping review of 43 studies Eligible studies for this review: 14 studies	North America (n=12) Asia (n=2)	Cochrane Library, Cochrane Collaboration Registry of Controlled Trials, EMBASE, PubMed, MEDLINE (2008-2019)	All population seeking contraception and medication-abortion services	Narrative
Feroz et al. (2021) [26]	Using mobile phones to improve young people sexual and reproductive health in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review to identify barriers, facilitators, and range of mHealth solutions	To identify mHealth solutions that can be used for improving young people's SRH in LMICs and highlight facilitators and barriers for adopting mHealth interventions	Systematic review of 15 studies	Africa (n=13) Asia (n=2)	PubMed, CINAHL Plus, Science Direct platform, Cochrane Central. Supplementary searches: grey literature (non-published, internal or non-reviewed papers, repositories) (2005-2020)	Adolescents and youth (10–24 years old).	Narrative

Shatilwe et al. (2021) [17]	Evidence on access to healthcare information by women of reproductive age in low- and middle-income countries: Scoping review	To evaluate the accessibility to healthcare information for women of reproductive age in LMICs	Scoping review of 4 studies Eligible studies for this review: 1 study	Asia (n=1)	Science Direct, PubMed, EBSCOhost, CINAHL, MEDLINE, PsycINFO, Emerald, Embase, CDSR. Supplementary searches: grey literature (Google Scholar, organizational projects, reference lists of included studies, conferences and websites. (2004-2020)	Women aged 14-49 years old	Narrative
Burgess et al. (2018) [27]	A systematic review of the effect of reproductive intention screening in primary care settings on reproductive health outcomes	To assess the effect of reproductive intention screening in primary care on reproductive health outcomes	Systematic review of 9 studies	North America (n=6) Europe (n= 3)	Ovid MEDLINE, PubMed, CINAHL, Embase, CDR/DARE, Web of Science, ISRCTN registry, ClinicalTrials.gov, Cochrane Library. Supplementary searches: hand search and contacted individuals for additional resources (expert consultations). (2000-2017)	Patients of reproductive age (15–49 years old).	Narrative

Wilkes et al. (2020) [18]	Use of Long-Acting Reversible Contraceptives Amongst Adolescents: An Integrative Review	To identify strategies that increase the use of LARCs among adolescent populations	Integrative Review of 15 studies	North America (n=15)	CINAHL, MEDLINE, Embase. Supplementary search: backward search of included articles references. (2007-2016)	Adolescents aged 14 to 19 years old	Narrative
Duminy et al. (2021) [28]	Urban Family Planning in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Critical Scoping Review	To present the key dimensions and challenges of urban growth in LMICs, offer a critical review of recent research findings on urban family planning and fertility dynamics, and highlight priorities for future research	Scoping review of 279 studies. Eligible studies for this review: 6 study	Africa (n=5) Asia (n=1)	Web of Science. Supplementary searches: Google Scholar, review of references in identified articles, contacted key experts in the field. (2000-2020)	Women of reproductive age in urban settings	Narrative

Akwara et al. (2023) [29]	The Urban Environment and Disparities in Sexual and Reproductive Health Outcomes in the Global South: a Scoping Review	To explore the drivers, barriers and contextual factors related to SRHR in urban areas in the Global South, and to describe interventions in urban areas to improve SRHR outcomes	Scoping review of 115 studies. Eligible for this review: 11 studies	Africa (n=11)	PubMed, MEDLINE, SCOPUS, COCHRANE Library, Web of Science, POPLINE, JSTOR. Supplementary searches: Google scholar, review of refences in identified articles (2010-2022)	General urban populations	Narrative
Onaisi et al. (2022) [19]	Sexual risk behaviour reduction interventions in primary care in Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development countries. A systematic review	To analyse sexual risk behaviours reduction interventions proposed in primary care in the Organization of Economic Development and Cooperation (OECD) countries	Systematic review of 30 studies	North America (n=28) Europe (n=1) Oceania (n=1)	PubMed, Cochrane Library, EBSCOhost (PsycArticle, Psychology and Behavioural Sciences Collection, PsycINFO and SocINDEX), Scopus, CAIRN. Supplementary searches: Google Scholar, review of references in identified systematic reviews. (from database inception – 2021)	All populations	Narrative

Mariño et al. (2023) [30]	Educational interventions for cervical cancer prevention: a scoping review	To identify, map, and describe characteristics of educational interventions for cervical cancer prevention in adult women	Scoping review of 33 studies. Eligible for this review = 22 studies	North America (n=7) South America (n=1) Asia (n=11) Africa (n=2) Europe (n=1)	CINAHL, MEDLINE PubMed, SciELO, PsycINFO, Cochrane Library database, LILACS, and Nursing Database (BDENF) database, Web of Science, Embase, Scopus. Supplementary searches: Google Scholar, Catalog of Theses and Dissertations CAPES - Brazil and Brazilian Registry of Clinical Trials – ReBEC, Digital Library of Theses and Dissertations (BDTD) (time frame not reported)	Adult women	Narrative
Atere-Roberts et al. (2020) [31]	Interventions to increase breast and cervical cancer screening uptake among rural women: a scoping review	To review and assess the published literature on interventions to increase BCC screening in rural communities	Scoping review of 8 studies Eligible for this review = 3 studies	North America (n=3)	PubMed Supplementary searches: review of references in identified articles. (2006-2019)	Women residing in rural communities	Narrative

Aung et al. (2 17) [32]	Interventions for Increasing HIV Testing Uptake in Migrants: A Systematic Review of Evidence	To review and evaluate interventions that aim to increase HIV testing uptake in migrant populations	Systematic review of 10 studies Eligible for this review = 3 studies	North America (n=1) Europe (n=1) Africa (n=1)	PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, CINAHL, PsycInfo. Supplementary searches: Google Scholar, Google. (1985-2016)	Migrants aged 15 years or older	Narrative
Sorhaindo et al. (2022) [33]	Interventions to reduce stigma related to contraception and abortion: a scoping review	To identify the types, volume and characteristics of available evidence and analyse gaps in the knowledge base for interventions to reduce contraception and abortion stigma	Scoping review of 18 studies. Eligible for this review = 2 studies	Asia (n=1) North America (n=1)	MEDLINE, PubMed, Embase, Web of Science PsycINFO. Supplementary searches: grey literature search in websites of key organizations. (2000-2022)	All women	Narrative

AlHamawi et al. (2023) [34]	Family planning interventions in Jordan: A scoping review	To describe family planning interventions implemented in Jordan and highlight gaps	Scoping review of 10 studies. Eligible for this review = 4 studies	Asia (n=10)	PubMed. Supplementary searches: Review of references in identified articles. (2010-2022)	All populations	Narrative
Boydell et al. (2022) [35]	Getting intentional about intention to use: A scoping review of person-centred measures of demand. Studies in Family Planning	To synthesis knowledge about intention to use (ITU) contraception and related measurements	Scoping review of 112 studies. Eligible for this review = 3	Africa (n=2) Asia (n=1)	PubMed, Web of Science. (1990-2020)	All women	Narrative

Footman et al. (2021) [36]	A Systematic Review of New Approaches to Sexually Transmitted Infection Screening Framed in the Capability, Opportunity, Motivation, and Behaviour Model of Implementation Science	To summarize work that has evaluated clinical implementation of chlamydia and gonorrhoea screening programs and adoption of new technologies	Systematic review of 14 studies	North America (n=8) Europe (n=4) Oceania (n=2) Africa (n=1)	PubMed, Embase, CINAHL, Scopus, Cochrane Library, HSRProj. (2015-2020)	All populations	Thematic analysis based on the Capability, Opportunity, Motivation, and Behavior (COM-B) model
Munyuzangabo et al. (2019) [20]	Delivery of sexual and reproductive health interventions in conflict settings: a systematic review	To synthesize the available literature on SRH intervention delivery in conflict settings to inform potential priorities for further research and additional guidance development	Systematic review of 110 studies	Africa (n=83) Asia (n=32) Europe (n=2) South America (n=5)	MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL, and PsycINFO. Supplementary searches: grey literature search in 10 major humanitarian agencies and organizations. (1990-2018)	Conflict-affected populations and internally displaced people	Narrative

SRH: Sexual and reproductive health; SRHR: Sexual and reproductive health rights; LMICs: Low- and middle-income countries; LARC: Long acting reversible contraceptives; BCC: breast and cervical cancer; ITU: Intention to use.

Table 2: Intervention characteristics and main results of the included review articles in the umbrella review

First author (year) Title	Types of interventions presented in the review	Potential Person-Centred Care domain	Overall findings by outcome and factors that may influence interventions (if mentioned)	Review limitations/ identified evidence gaps/recommendations
Meekers et al. (2024) [21] Tools for patient-centred family planning counselling: A scoping review	**SRH topics targeted in these interventions:** interventions and tools to make family planning counselling more patient-centred. **Description of interventions in PHCs:** **a)** One Key Question (OKQ) is a screening tool, where the provider asks the service user: *Would you like to become pregnant in the next year? (responses: Yes/No/Unsure/ I'm fine either way)*. Subsequently, the provider chooses the patient-centred counselling that is applicable to the provided response. The counselling includes preconception counselling for those who want to get pregnant and contraception methods counselling for those who don't want to. Service users who responded 'don't know' or 'fine either way' were offered both counselling content. **b)** Family Planning Quotient and Reproductive Life Index (FPQ/RepLI) is composed of 4 components to assist in discussions and decision making about reproductive and family planning goals. The 1st component is a visual graph in the service user's medical record showing the reproductive plan (completed with the help of a health educator before consulting the provider. The 2nd part contains a decision-making tree for contraceptive method choices. The 3rd part is a tool to track progress and relevant outcomes. The 4th component is a table the tracks yearly changes in contraception. **c)** Smart Choices is an electronic tool composed of a questionnaire completed by service users in the waiting room. The provider receives the responses and is able to understand the service user's concerns/needs/ preferences and provide more tailored counselling. The second	**Intervention a:** Autonomy/ dignity/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention b:** Autonomy/ dignity/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention c:** Autonomy/ dignity/ communication/ privacy & confidentiality **Intervention d:** Autonomy/ dignity/ communication/ privacy & confidentiality **Intervention e:** Autonomy/ dignity/ communication/ privacy & confidentiality/ supportive care **Intervention f:** Autonomy/ dignity/ communication/ privacy & confidentiality/ social support	• **Ease of use/acceptability**: A comparison of tool (a) and (b) among service users found that service users were more likely to find tool (b) to be more useful to track reproductive goals (51% for (a) vs 76% for (b), p-value 0.02). A post-test for tool (b) found that 92% of service users thought it was helpful and would use it, while 91% of providers reported it was helpful for reproductive health discussion and 83% said it was needed. A qualitative assessment of (d) among providers found that the tool was feasible to incorporate and acceptable to service users, while some stated it hindered clinic flow and was possibly difficult for service users not used to tech. For (e), most service users reported that the tool was easy to understand and comfortable to answer. A qualitative assessment of (f) found that providers and service users said tool was self-explanatory and easy to use, and providers said it reduced their workload. Time for completion was: 5min for (b), 15min for (c), 11min for (e), 15min for (f). • **Quality of care and provider-service user interaction**: A comparison of tool (a) and (b) among service users and providers showed that service users found both helpful to communicate their preferences and goals to the providers. With (a), documentation of reproductive plan decreased pre- vs post (22% vs 6%, p-value=0.02), documentation of counselling and contraceptive method remained the same. For tool (b), over 90% of service users said it helped them think about and communicate their reproductive goals,	**Limitations:** • Limited to two databases • Language bias due to search restriction to English language only • Most studies focused on initial contraceptive method choice, with limited attention to post-adoption counselling or ongoing support • Evidence of counselling tools effectiveness is limited. • Conclusions about relative effectiveness of tools were not possible because the review did not do bias/quality assessments. **Evidence gaps:** • Limited regional representation • Evidence of the effectiveness of these tools requires more research. • Lack of studies on provider resistance to adopting tools, their effect on workload, and patient flow. **Recommendations:**

	component of the tool contains an interactive guide that provides service users with information about all contraceptive methods. **d)** My Birth Control is a tablet-based interactive tool for family planning decision-making. The tool is completed by the service user before consulting the provider. The tool gives information about contraceptive methods, asks service users about their preferences, medical history to help recommend a contraceptive method accordingly. **e)** MyPath is a web-based tool for family planning decision-making to facilitate reproductive health counselling in primary care visits. The tool is used prior to seeing the provider. It collects information about the service user's feelings and preferences about pregnancy and childbearing. It also provides information about menstruation, fertility pre-pregnancy health and suitable contraceptive methods. **f)** Interactive Mobile Application for Contraceptive Choice (iMACC) is a mobile phone intervention for postpartum women to assist in family planning decision making and facilitate the counselling sessions. The tool is self-administered in the clinic waiting room prior to seeing the provider and can be used as a stand-alone tool for women. The tool asks about health history, service user preferences and concerns, as well as partner's attitude towards family planning.		and over 90% of providers said the tool was helpful to understand service user preferences and focus counselling, while 73% said family planning counselling improved. tool (c) was more patient-centred compared to control group (score 3.9 vs. 3.7, p-value<0.05) and sexual health topics were discussed more (1.2 vs 0.9, p-value<0.10). For tool (d), interpersonal counselling quality increased (OR=1.45;95%CI:1.03-2.05), satisfaction with side-effect information increased (OR=1.61; 95%CI:1.11-2.33), no effect on service user satisfaction with provider assistance of contraceptive choice, but providers found tool (d) increased efficiency of the session by allocating time to what service user wants to discuss. For (e), tool made counselling more efficient for providers and service users discussed pregnancy or contraceptive needs more often (93% intervention group vs. 68% control group, p-value<0.05), intervention service users had higher self-efficacy in communication scores (0.8 vs. 0.2, p-value<0.05), but no improvement in communication quality. For tool (f), service users were satisfied with its confidentiality. • **Contraceptive knowledge**: Post-test of tool (c) found that service users knew about more contraceptive methods compared to control group (11.1 vs. 10.7, p-value<0.001). Tool (d) improved contraceptive knowledge where intervention group more likely to know that IUDs are more effective than pills (OR = 2.65;95%CI:1.94–3.62), methods that stop period are safe (OR=1.86;95%CI:1.28–2.71),and implants do not affect fertility (OR=1.54;95%CI:1.14–2.07). Tool (e) increased knowledge scores compared to control group (1.7 vs. 0.2, p-value<0.01). Tool (f) assisted service users in awareness of	• Studies need to use standardized indicators • More studies on provider workload and understanding the effect of changing the counselling technique to the effect of using the tool. • There is a need for expansion of the evidence base on acceptability and effectiveness through systematic reviews and meta-analyses. • More research is required to assess whether improvements in experience due to the tools leads to better family planning outcomes.

			contraceptive options and possible side-effects. • **Contraceptive decision-making, use and continuation**: Tool (a) did not change in current contraceptive method. Tool (c) led to intervention service users to be less likely to choose IUDs/implants (9% vs. 20%) instead of oral contraceptive (64% vs. 54%, p-value<0.10), compared to control group. Tool (d) did not record significant effect on satisfaction of chosen method or continuation after 7 months, yet providers reported service users being more engaged in method selection. Tool (e) showed no significant change in likelihood of changing from non-prescription to prescription methods. Tool (f) empowered service users to make informed decisions about their preference method. **Factors that may influence interventions:** i. Time constraints to proper implementation of interventions ii. Provider biases iii. Availability of clinic resources	

Diamond-Smith et al. (2018) [11] Interventions to improve the person-centred quality of family planning services: a narrative review	**SRH topics targeted in interventions:** family planning use/uptake, continuation, or knowledge Interventions, as well as person-centred care (satisfaction, measures of quality of care, service user/provider interactions, changes in self-efficacy or power). **Description of interventions in PHCs, by component:** **a)** Communication interventions (11 studies)- information about topics related to FP, RH and STIs broadly or those that provided tailored and person centred FP knowledge and counselling. **b)** Privacy/confidentiality interventions (6 studies) - information given to be read privately, e.g. handouts and leaflets, audiovisual tools or DVDs, interactive tools like mobile-phone application and computer assisted interventions **c)** Dignity interventions - emphasized high risk populations on broader societal/ cultural factors (e.g. empowering women re gender/power dynamics, discussing GBV, sexual and power negotiation, resisting peer pressure, dealing with psychosocial and relationship factors and tailored counselling with PCC approach. **d)** Autonomy interventions - focused on improving decision making power in family planning choice. E.g.: helping clients write down questions for the provider and practice them, self-efficacy and effective use of methods, guidance to identify needs, decision-making support, helping service users remember methods, find accessible methods, build negotiation power. **e)** Social support interventions - focused on increasing social support among partners/family members in decisions about family planning. E.g. one intervention engaged partners alone, another focused on couples, and the third one focused on encouraging youth to involve parents.	Interventions in this review were explicitly described based on person-centred domains. The mentioned domains are: Communication/ privacy & confidentiality/ Dignity/ autonomy/ social support	• **Family planning initiation/uptake:** 5/11 communication interventions (a) had a positive impact and the rest no impact on uptake. 2/4 privacy interventions (b) showed a positive effect. 5/11 dignity interventions (c) reported a positive effect on uptake. 0/5 autonomy interventions (d) had any impact. 1/2 social support interventions had a positive impact on uptake (e). • **Family planning continuation:** 3/6 communication interventions (a) reported lower discontinuation. 0/3 privacy interventions (b) showed any impact on continuation. 2/4 dignity interventions (c) has a positive effect on continuation. 0/3 autonomy interventions had any impact on continuation (d). 2/2 social support interventions had a positive impact (e). • **Person-centred outcomes:** 11 unique studies measured these outcomes. 7/8 communication interventions (a) reported improvement in this outcome. 1/1 privacy intervention (b) has a positive impact. 4/4 dignity interventions (c) showed improvement. 5/5 autonomy interventions (d) had a positive impact. 1/2 social support interventions (e) had a positive effect on these outcomes. • **Family planning knowledge:** 6/7 communication interventions (a) increased knowledge. 3/3 privacy interventions (b) had a positive impact. 4/5 of dignity interventions (c) had a positive effect on knowledge. 2/3 autonomy interventions (d) had a positive impact.	**Limitations:** • Limited comparability due to variability in study designs, focus, methodology, and outcome measures. • Most studies were conducted in the developed world, with limited evidence about what works in developing countries. • There were challenges among the research team to define interventions based on person-centred care frameworks. • Only included English-language studies • Risk of bias was assessed but there was no additional quality assessments or exclusion of studies based on initial risk of bias. **Evidence gaps:** • The evidence from multi-component interventions was limited due to poor quality of relevant research on these interventions **Recommendations:** • There is a need for more thorough quantitative studies to measure person-centred outcomes.

				• Mixed methodologies and qualitative data are required to identify new measures of person-centred quality of care. • Future designs of person-centred care interventions need to be sensitive to cultural norms in different contexts. • More evidence is necessary to understand how family planning knowledge and quality of interventions can lead to behaviour change in the short and long term. • Future interventions should consider including more than one domain of person-centred care. • Studies should research the long-term impacts of person-centred care processes and health behaviours such as unintended pregnancies, abortions, and fertility rate.

Danna et al. (2021) [22] Leveraging the Client-Provider Interaction to Address Contraceptive Discontinuation: A Scoping Review of the Evidence That Links Them	**SRH topics targeted in these interventions:** Contraceptive counselling interventions. **Description of interventions in PHCs:** **a)** WHO decision-making tool for Family planning service users and providers: consists of a shared decision making model allowing providers to share technical expertise and clients to voice their needs, preferences and concerns. **b)** The Balanced Counselling Strategy: tool that uses job aid to assist in provision of tailored information to service users. **c)** Smart Patient Coaching: is an intervention where an educator is present in the clinic waiting room to coach service users regarding how to ask questions, how to talk about concerns, how to ask for clarifications from providers. **d)** Motivational Interviewing: is a patient-centred counselling style which consists of creating a collaborative relationship between service users and provider, using questioning, reflective listening, empathetic statements in the aim of identifying the intrinsic motivation for clients' behaviour changes. **e)** My Birth Control: a tablet-based tool provided to clients before consulting the provider that assists clients choose their contraceptive method based on preferences and values. **f)** The WHO Tiered Effectiveness Tool: it is a visual job aid that shows the contraceptive methods and their effectiveness for pregnancy prevention on a spectrum.	**Intervention a:** Dignity/ autonomy/ communication **Intervention b:** autonomy/ communication **Intervention c**: Autonomy/ dignity/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention d:** Autonomy/ dignity/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention e:** Autonomy/ communication **Intervention f:** Autonomy/ communication	• **Quality of care & client-provider interactions:** Intervention (a) improved counselling by increasing service user engagement and making the sessions more tailored to their needs, as well as improving the exchange of information by acting as a job aid for providers and a decision aid for service users. Intervention (b) increased contraceptive use among service users. Intervention (c) enhanced service user engagement with the provider. Intervention (d) doubled the initiation and continuation of LARCs among women aged 15–29 after 3 months. Intervention (e) led to higher rating on quality, informed decision-making and knowledge. Intervention (f) was no evaluated. • **Contraceptive discontinuation:** Interventions (a), (b), and (e) had no impact on discontinuation. Intervention (c) recorded lightly reduced discontinuation rates (3.9% vs. 7.8%) at 8 months. **Factors that may influence interventions:** • Barriers: Provider biases, time constraints, and insufficient training. • Enablers: Service user engagement, comprehensive counselling on side effects, and tailored decision-making aids.	**Limitations:** • Included studies varied in research methodologies and definitions of the outcome of interest. • The review did not assess the quality of the evidence, because it was out of scope. **Evidence gaps:** • Many of the findings were from mid- to high-income countries, with limited studies in Sub-Saharan Africa. • There are limited studies that evaluate interventions for their impact on discontinuation of contraceptives. • There is minimal evidence that existing tools/trainings improve the interaction between service user and provider and improve health outcomes. **Recommendations:** • There is a need for rigorous evaluation of counselling approaches to identify their impact on outcomes. • Further research on person-centred counselling approaches

				in various contexts is necessary.

Ren et al. (2023) [23] Preconception, Interconception, and reproductive health screening tools: A systematic review	**SRH topics targeted in these interventions:** Preconception and reproductive health screening tools. **Description of interventions in PHCs:Specific tools:a)** Contraceptive vital sign: health record documentation of women's pregnancy intentions and contraceptive use for providers' quick reference. **b)** Family Planning Quotient (FPQ) and Reproductive Life Index (RefLI): Visual tool representing women's reproductive goals to be used by providers for goal-oriented care. **c)** Gabby: web-based virtual health counsellor **d)** MyFamilyPlan: web-based preconception health education module **e)** MyPath: Web-based decision support tool that consists of reproductive goals review, information about having optimal health for pregnancy and contraceptive decision support. **f)** One Key Question (OKQ): Physicians ask service users one question: "Do you intend to become pregnant in the next year", in order to provide appropriate counselling. **g)** READY-Girls: preconception counselling for teenage girls with type1 diabetes. The program includes watching 2 CDs, reading a book and having a brief counselling session with a nurse during 3 visits over a period of 9 months. **h)** Reproductive Health Self-Assessment Tool (RH-SAT): Survey on pregnancy which includes information on reproductive health topics. **i)** Reproductive Life Plan Tool (RLPT): Prompt for providers to ask women about contraceptive needs and offer referral services when contraceptive services are required. **Standardized approaches:** **j)** Checklist to evaluate preconception care needs of HIV patients: checklist consists of 2 pages that collect patient information and generates an algorithm for providers to use.	**Intervention a:** Communication/ supportive care. **Intervention b:** Autonomy/ dignity/ communication/ supportive care. **Intervention c:** Autonomy/ communication/ privacy & confidentiality. **Intervention d:** Autonomy/ communication/ privacy & confidentiality. **Intervention e:** Autonomy/ dignity/ communication/ privacy & confidentiality/ supportive care. **Intervention f:** Autonomy/ communication/ supportive care. **Intervention g:** Autonomy communication/ privacy & confidentiality/ supportive care **Intervention h:** Autonomy/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention i:** Autonomy/	• **Provision of quality contraceptive services/counselling:** Intervention (a) led to better information documented about contraceptive use, however, no evidence of improvement in care. Intervention (b) assisted in service user-provider communication and decision-making process; it also provided contraception education and acted as a family and reproductive goals planning tool. Intervention (e) led to an improvement in quality of reproductive decisions while not overwhelmed providers' workload. Intervention (f) was reported to increase the rate of preconception screening. Intervention (h) can potentially improve service user-provider communication on reproductive health. Intervention (k) may be suitable to identify low-income women needing reproductive health services. Intervention (l) resulted in an increase of family planning use. Intervention (n) increased reproductive health knowledge and helped women with chronic diseases make more informed choices .• **SRH behaviours:** Intervention (c) was associated with decrease in preconception risk. Intervention (d) led to significantly higher proportion of women talking about reproductive health with their providers. Intervention (e) led to an increase in the proportion of primary care visits where reproductive needs were discussed. Intervention (g) potentially has long term impacts on teenagers' knowledge, intentions and beliefs regarding seeking health providers to discuss reproductive health behaviours and outcomes. Intervention (h) was reported to assist women in discussing contraception with their providers.	**Limitations:** • Only included studies in English and conducted in USA. •The review excluded studies that focused on contraceptive use (including method selection, continuation, etc.), unless the tool was clearly applicable to preconception counselling. **Evidence gaps:** • Lack of long-term follow-up studies on service user outcomes. • Insufficient evidence on specific outcomes such as pregnancy timing and health behaviour change **Recommendations:** • Longitudinal study designs are required to ideally track service users' multiple outcomes.

	k) Preconception risk assessment and brief counselling: Questionnaire for assessing reproductive health risk followed by counselling for 10-15 minutes. **Electronic Health Record additions.** **l)** Electronic eligibility reminder for family planning services provision: electronic screening prompt that identifies people in need of family planning **Motivational Interviewing:** **m)** Computer assisted motivational interviewing (CAMI): CAMI algorithms provide summary about teens being low, medium or high risk for pregnancy and STIs based on their responses to intentions about current sexual relations and contraceptive and condom use. Subsequently interventionists do a 20min motivational interviewing session to motivate teenagers to use contraceptives. **Reproductive life plans questionnaires** **n)** Reproductive life plan for women with chronic diseases: a long survey that assists women in setting goals according to their pre-existing pregnancy risk factors and chronic conditions	communication/ supportive care **Intervention j:** dignity/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention k:** dignity/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention l:** Communication/ supportive care **Intervention m:** Dignity/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention n:** Autonomy/ dignity/ communication/ supportive care	Intervention (m) led to a reduction in the risk of rapid subsequent adolescent births. • **Acceptability and feasibility:** Intervention (c) results showed that it can be feasible medium for ongoing counselling care for adolescents and young adults. Intervention (e) was accepted by women. Intervention (f) did not affect the clinic workflow. Intervention (i) was deemed feasible in paediatric clinics during routine infant care by the providers. Intervention (j) was acceptable in assisting conversations of reproductive intentions. Intervention (l) was reported to be accepted and feasible in a health centre setting.	

Karlin et al. (2024) [24] A Scoping Review of Patient-Centred Perinatal Contraceptive Counselling	**SRH topics targeted in these interventions:** Perinatal contraceptive counselling interventions. **Description of interventions in PHCs:** **a**) Antenatal contraceptive counselling and provision of postpartum contraception by midwives **b)** Immediate postpartum counselling with written educational material **c)** Toolkit-based intervention for immediate postpartum LARC counselling and provision **d)** Offering participants written literature or an educational video. **e)** Co-locating contraceptive services with well-baby visits. **f)** Standard postpartum counselling plus supplemental "holistic" in-person counselling at 35 weeks of pregnancy with printed and online written material, in addition to a SMS reminder at week 37). **g**) Expert contraceptive care offered antenatally by specialized family planning nurses. **h)** Postpartum counselling in addition to incorporating information on healthy birth spacing and LARC methods.	**Interventions a:** Autonomy/ Communication **Interventions b:** Autonomy/ Communication/ privacy& confidentiality **Interventions c:** Autonomy/ Communication **Interventions d**: Autonomy/ privacy & confidentiality **Intervention e:** Autonomy/ communication supportive care **Intervention f:** Autonomy/ communication/ / privacy & confidentiality/ supportive care **Intervention g:** Autonomy/ dignity/ communication **Intervention h:** Autonomy/ Communication/ privacy& confidentiality	• **Structure, process and timing of perinatal contraceptive counselling:** Adolescent participants in intervention (a) reported that timing of counselling at 22 weeks was 'about right' (81%) and it was 'very or quite helpful' (81%). • **Association of perinatal counselling with service user experience:** Intervention (b) had no impact on satisfaction between the intervention and control groups, yet those in the intervention group were more likely to report that written material assisted in ultimate decision for contraceptive method compared to the control group (p-value<0.01). Intervention (c) recorded poor service user satisfaction. Comparing intervention (d) with provider-service user counselling found that service user satisfaction was generally high across three groups yet there was a trend to increased satisfaction among provider counselling group (p-value<0.05) compared to other groups. Intervention (e) recorded high satisfaction rates (80%) and 64% of participants said they recommend linking both content, while those who did not accept the linked visit stated they didn't want a new provider, or didn't want to discuss contraception with their children, or did want the consultation to prolong. Intervention (f) participants had higher satisfaction with contraceptive experience. Participants who received intervention (g) found counselling at the antenatal period "helpful". • **Association of perinatal counselling with health outcomes (rapid repeat pregnancy)**: Intervention (h) did not show any difference in pregnancy rates at 6 and 12 months, compared to those who received only	**Limitations**: • Many articles were excluded due to our focus on specific patient-centred outcomes. • The findings were focused on United States, Canada, Europe, the UK, New Zealand, and Australia only. • The review did not include articles published in non-English languages. • The quality of data synthesis is as good as the extracted findings from studies **Evidence gaps:** • There is a lack of longitudinal studies assessing long-term health outcomes. • There is limited focus on and disaggregation by diverse populations, particularly racial/ethnic minorities. • No study explicitly considers transgender or trans-expansive service users, and no included studies focused on cis-gender females. **Recommendations**: • Future research should collect data on service user preferences of care as well as health outcomes.

			counselling. Intervention (g) did not have any association with repeat pregnancy rates at 1 year period.	
Thompson et al. (2020) [25] Telemedicine for family planning: A scoping review	**SRH topics targeted in the interventions:** Telemedicine provision for contraceptive behaviours **Description of interventions in PHCs:** **a)** 3-month mobile phone-based intervention with 6 automated interactive messages and counsellor phone support when needed **b)** Tablet computer with a contraception information app to be used for up to 15min, in addition to standard care **c)** Educational text message interventions **d)** Daily interactive text message reminders on oral and injectable contraceptives continuation **e)** Daily text message reminders on oral contraceptive pill adherence **f)** Online platforms for hormonal contraceptive prescriptions through remote health assessments and video consultations	**Intervention a:** Autonomy/ privacy & confidentiality/ communication/ Supportive care **Intervention b:** Autonomy/ communication/ health facility environment **Intervention c:** Privacy & confidentiality/ Communication **Intervention d:** Communication/ supportive care **Intervention e:** Communication/	• **Contraceptive knowledge:** Significant increase in contraceptive knowledge among service users who received intervention (b). Interventions in (c) had limited positive effect on increasing contraceptive knowledge. • **Contraceptive initiation/uptake:** Intervention (a) led to increase in uptake of effective contraception methods. Intervention (b) recorded increase in interest for implants. Intervention (c) did not improve uptake. • **Contraceptive continuation:** Intervention (d) recorded higher continuation rates in one study, higher proportion of service users returning for follow-up appointments on another study and 3 times higher odds of continuing effective contraception after the intervention in a third study (OR:3.65, 95%CI:1.26-10.08) compared to no reminders.	**Limitations**: • Captures peer-reviewed studies of interventions only. • Restricted to English language only. • There is no critical appraisal of the included studies. **Evidence gaps:** • Lack of evidence on SRH telemedicine applications that evaluate how knowledge will impact contraceptive use and continuation or how it will lead to better counselling practices by providers.

		supportive care **Intervention f:** Dignity/ autonomy/ privacy & confidentiality/ communication/ Supportive care	• **Adherence to oral contraceptives:** Intervention (e) had no effect on use, missed pills or refills. Reminders to fulfil advanced emergency contraceptive prescriptions had a limited additive effect after each message. • **Provision of evidence-based contraceptive care:** Intervention (f) varied in modalities and processes, yet overall, platforms screened potential contraceptive users appropriately. **Factors that may influence interventions:** • Funding for telemedicine initiation • Provider literacy in computers and eHealth • Connectivity and technology infrastructure • Reimbursement challenges from the insurance companies because of limited awareness of these services. • Restrictions related to the existing family planning services e.g. service user security/privacy.	• Many SRH applications do not provide accurate or comprehensive contraceptive information. And it is not known if they are developed by experts and use medically credible information. • There is an absence of regulations for telemedicine applications making their incorporation in healthcare settings difficult. **Recommendations:** • Provision of contraceptives through telemedicine requires further research
Feroz et al. (2021) [26] Using mobile phones to improve young people sexual and reproductive health in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review to identify barriers, facilitators, and range of	**SRH topics targeted in these interventions**: mHealth for SRH education and behaviour change communication **Description of interventions in PHCs:** **a)** m4RH project is a text-based system for improving family planning information access **b)** short messaging service on SRH information **c)** Text to change - text messages for HIV/AIDS campaign **d)** 6001 service - passive texting service for sexual health information **e)** Unidirectional (SMS messages of reproductive health information) and interactive text messaging (reproductive health quiz game with financial incentives). **f)** Interactive mobile phone quiz for reproductive health (with incentives)	**Intervention a:** Privacy & confidentiality/ Communication **Intervention b**: Privacy & confidentiality/ Communication **Intervention c:** Privacy & confidentiality/ Communication **Intervention d:** Privacy & confidentiality/ Communication **Intervention e:** Autonomy/ Privacy &	• **Access to SRH knowledge:** Intervention (a) led to high levels of reach and accessibility of family planning information. Intervention (b) and (g) showed effectiveness in delivering educational programs on HIV prevention. Interventions (b), (e) & (f) led to better reproductive health knowledge among teenagers. One version of intervention (b) assessed SRH knowledge pre- and post-intervention and found improvement (OR=2.7, 95%CI:2.47-2.94 vs OR=3.4, 95%CI:2.99-3.81). Intervention (c) had limited impact in increasing HIV/AIDS knowledge because the SMS platform offered information only to those who answered correctly. Additionally, one evaluation of intervention (b) and (c) showed negative outcomes because of restrictions on telephone use. Intervention (e) was assessed	**Limitations**: • The interventions and outcomes were heterogenous across the studies and made it difficult to conduct a meta-analysis. • The studies did not adopt a consistent taxonomy to explain the mHealth applications. • Many studies combined multiple mHealth interventions, impeding unique assessment of each intervention. **Evidence gaps:**

mHealth solutions	**g)** Interactive smartphone-based game related to HIV prevention	confidentiality/ Communication **Intervention f:** Dignity/ Autonomy/ Privacy & confidentiality/ Communication **Intervention g:** Dignity/ autonomy/ privacy & confidentiality/ Communication	at 3 and 15 months and showed that there was improvement in reproductive health knowledge, that persisted at 15 months. Interventions (b) & (d) showed improvement in sexual health knowledge and safer sexual behaviours. • **SRH outcomes:** Intervention (a) recorded changes in usage of family planning by users, with users reporting improved contraception use. Intervention (g) resulted in better retention of service users for HIV care and ART adherence. Intervention (f) found that mHealth interventions can reach marginalized populations at greater risk of poor SRH outcomes. • **Perception of clients using mHealth:** Intervention (g) was strongly accepted by targeted adolescents and further content was requested by participants. **Factors that may influence interventions:** • Barriers: Low digital literacy, network coverage issues, limited linguistic abilities, cost of services and socio-cultural norms that may not mHealth interventions. • Enablers: Privacy and confidentiality, ease of use, and monetary incentives.	• While mobile phone-based education and behaviour change communication showed strong evidence, other applications like sensors, point-of-care diagnostics, registries/vital events tracking and decision support remain underexplored. **Recommendations:** • More evidence is required to comprehensively understand the impact of these interventions on young people's SRH. • Clearer understanding is required regarding the barriers of using mHealth interventions.
Shatilwe at al. (2021) [17] Evidence on access to healthcare information by women of reproductive age in low- and middle-income countries: Scoping review	**SRH topics targeted in these interventions**: Access to healthcare information. **Description of intervention in PHCs:** **a)** Use of telemedicine to improve access to healthcare services through video conference telemedicine and mobile phone-based telemedicine.	**Intervention a:** Autonomy/ dignity/ privacy & confidentiality/ communication	• **Connectivity to healthcare information and knowledge:** Intervention (a) has a positive effect of travel restrictions, treatment expenses and apprehension about provider consultations. The mobile phone intervention allowed women and girls to ask confidentially about sexual health and without fear or shyness. **Factors that may influence interventions:** • Owning a mobile phone was necessary • Digital literacy	**Limitations**: • The inclusion and exclusion criteria of the review may have been too narrow. • The review excludes studies that were conducted before 2004. **Evidence gaps:** • Lack of research on how to address challenges related to digital literacy

				and lack of access to mobile phones. • Limited published literature about strategies for enabling women to access healthcare information generally, in LMICs. **Recommendations:** • Future pilot studies and randomized control trials to evaluate strategies that help women access health information. • Further research on interventions to access quality of care and health outcomes.
Burgess et al. (2018) [27] A systematic review of the effect of reproductive intention screening in primary care settings on reproductive health outcomes	**SRH topics targeted in these interventions:** Reproductive intention screening interventions **Description of interventions in PHCs:** **a)** Service user-provider semi-structured discussions on a 'Reproductive Life Plan' adapted to women with chronic diseases such as hypertension, obesity and/or diabetes (RLPC). **b)** Semi-structured discussions on a 'Reproductive Life Plan' with a comprehensive educational component focusing on family planning or folate use depending on women's intentions. **c)** Electronic health system-based screening - three types: 1- digital intake forms to identify reproductive intentions; 2-patient kiosks containing an interactive computer program with information on contraceptives and ability to ask for prescription; 3- electronic medical record with a clinical decision support tool that alerts providers about contraceptive counselling when women are prescribed teratogenic medications.	**Intervention a:** Autonomy/ dignity/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention b:** Autonomy/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention c:** Autonomy/ privacy and confidentiality/ supportive care**Intervention d:** Autonomy/ communication/ supportive care	• **Contraceptive use:** Intervention (a) had no effect on contraceptive use. Intervention (e) increased contraception use at three months (OR 1.4, 95%CI:0.3-5.7), though not significantly. Intervention (f) showed that women in need of contraceptive counselling and received it were 2.7 (95%CI:1.5-4.9) times more likely to report using hormonal contraception use and 2.2 (95%CI:0.8-6.5) times more likely to use a highly effective method at last intercourse compared to women in need who did not get counselling. • **Provision of contraceptive services:** Intervention (c) had no effect on provision of new contraceptive services among physicians, yet documentation of contraception in service user records increased significantly between intervention and control group (77% [95%CI:70.7-84.1] in intervention compared to 3% [95%CI:1.5-5.0] in control group).	**Limitations:** • The review search strategy included many imprecise terms making the search broader. **Evidence gaps:** • Lack of studies and assessments on long term outcomes of reproductive intentions interventions. **Recommendations:** • Further research is needed to assess the effectiveness of these interventions on outcomes to inform healthcare practices and wide scale implementation.

	d) Screening question on reproductive intentions in a questionnaire for eligibility for contraceptive counselling and referral to counselling if eligible.'		• **Reproductive knowledge:** Intervention (b) reported a statistically significant increase in knowledge on reproduction and folic acid supplementation (from score 6.4 to 9.0) compared to control. • **Perception of clients:** Intervention (b) had a positive experience on 90% of the participants. Intervention (c) reported 85-95% satisfaction with the computer module, yet 65% reported they preferred discussing contraception with a provider; other modes of intervention (c) were highly acceptable by participants. Intervention (d) had a 92.3% satisfaction rate with counselling services received. **Factors that may influence interventions:** • Socio-economic disparities	• Future research needs to assess outcomes both on the short term and long-term health effects.
Wilkes et al. (2020) [18] Use of Long-Acting Reversible Contraceptives Amongst Adolescents: An Integrative Review	**SRH topics targeted in the interventions:** of Long-Acting Reversible Contraceptives use among adolescents **Description of interventions in PHCs:** **a)** Strategies related to making LARCs free of charge and accessible to adolescents. **b)** Strategies to improve clinic accessibility and convenience to service users at clinics, included same-day LARC insertions (The CHOICE Project) **c)** Counselling and education on LARCs.	**Intervention a:** Dignity/ autonomy **Intervention b:** Dignity/ autonomy/ supportive care **Intervention c:** Dignity/ Autonomy/ Communication	• **Contraceptive - LARCs- accessibility:** Intervention (a) led to a substantial increase in adolescents choosing LARCs over less effective methods. One study reported that 69% of adolescents selected LARCs when the price barrier was eliminated. Intervention (b) led to increased uptake of LARCs. • **Contraceptive -LARCs- knowledge:** Intervention (c) increased service user education on LARCs among adolescents. **Factors that may influence interventions:** • National policies concerning LARCs. • Types of providers capable of offering LARCs. • Cost of LARCs • Provider training on provision and insertion of LARCs.	**Limitations:** • Six out of the 15 selected articles were on one intervention (CHOICE). • While the review aim was to provide evidence for nurse practitioners in Canada about LARCs, none of the reviewed studies took place in Canada. • The age range was restricted to adolescents only. • Parental consent to choosing LARCs was not considered

				Evidence gaps: • There is limited evidence of the use of LARCs among adolescent populations. **Recommendations:** • Training of nurse practitioners to prescribe and insert LARCs can increase access especially in rural and remote communities.
Duminy et al. (2021) [28] Urban Family Planning in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Critical Scoping Review	**SRH topics targeted in the interventions:** Family planning and contraceptive use interventions **Description of interventions in PHCs:** **a)** The Urban Reproductive Health Initiative (URHI), succeeded by The Challenge Initiative (TCI), are large-scale programs that comprised of supply-side (quality and availability of services) and demand-side components (community outreach activities, television and radio programs) to increase contraceptive use among urban populations. They were implemented in Nigeria, Senegal, Kenya and India. **b)** Reproductive health programs that focus on family planning integration with maternal and child health services for enhanced resource efficiency.	**Intervention a:** Supportive care/ Health facility environment. **Intervention b:** Supportive care	• **Contraceptive use:** All country URHI programs were positively impactful. In Kenya, modern contraceptive use among urban women increased from 45% to 52%. In Nigeria, use increased from 21% to 31%. • **Contraceptive initiation/uptake:** Across the African countries with intervention (a), the number of new users attending facilities increased. Yet offering educational information at facilities increased the number of users attending facilities in Nigeria but not in Kenya or Senegal. • **Unintended pregnancies**: Evaluations of intervention (b) suggest a significant opportunity to decrease unintended pregnancies in urban settings. **Factors that may influence interventions:** • social norms surrounding the use of contraception • Communication with spouse • Provider time and workload constraints • Social, economic and political context	**Limitations** • Limited number of studies on urban family planning, which was broad and varied in aim, methodology, geographic scope and themes. **Evidence gaps:** • Research concerning the influence of social norms on contraceptive use decision-making is needed. • Current research does not focus on family planning initiatives in smaller cities and urban peripheries **Recommendations:** • Future research on urban family planning needs to consider the neighbourhood effects, governance systems, migration and diversity of

				populations, and resilience strategies.
Akwara et al. (2023) [29] The Urban Environment and Disparities in Sexual and Reproductive Health Outcomes in the Global South: a Scoping Review	**SRH topics targeted in these interventions:** SHRH programs and interventions adopted in LMIC urban settings **Description of interventions in PHCs:** **a)** Interactive text messages to improve retention of service users in HIV care; in addition to usual care (comprised of psychosocial support & counselling, education, screening and treatment) service users received weekly messages that they were asked to respond to within 48 hours. **b)** Financial incentives in different modalities for HIV retesting - i) no incentives; ii) cash incentives for retesting at 3 and 6 months; iii) deposit contracts that would be lost if retesting is not done. **c)** Cash transfers and combination HIV prevention interventions **d)** Urban Reproductive Health Initiative (URHI) is a comprehensive family planning demand- and supply-side intervention, comprising of service quality, healthcare provider training, service user accessibility and affordability, and raising awareness of family planning methods. The program adopted context-specific approaches to address urban health inequities and ensure	**Intervention a:** Autonomy/ privacy & confidentiality/ Communication **Intervention b:** Autonomy/ supportive care **Intervention c:** Autonomy/ supportive care **Intervention d:** Dignity/ Autonomy/ Communication/ Health Facility Environment	• **Service user retention/follow up in care:** Intervention (a) did not have any impact on retention of HIV patients at the health facilities. Intervention (b) showed that service users who received the incentives were more likely to retest at 3 and 6 months compared to those who received no incentives or the deposit contracts. • **Incidence of STIs:** Intervention (c) had no effect on incidence of herpes simplex virus type 2 at the 6, 12, and 18 month follow up visits (hazard ratio 0.96, 95% CI:0.67-1.38, P-value=0.83), however among rural low-risk women, those who received intervention (c), reported lower incidence of herpes (hazard ratio 0.45, 95%CI:0.29-0.71,P-value=0.001) compared to the control group. • **Family planning uptake:** Intervention (d) increased uptake of family planning methods in urban cities in Kenya and Nigeria. **Factors that may influence interventions:** • Socio-cultural context • The environment where urban individuals live in.	**Limitations:** • Search was done on two databases and restricted to English language only. • Inclusion of articles published after 2010 • No quality appraisal of studies was conducted due to heterogeneity of study designs. • Review may not have identified all relevant studies due to the search terms used. **Evidence gaps:** • Evidence on SRHR interventions and policies in urban areas in the Global South is limited. • Limited evidence on intra-urban disparities through comparisons between cities and

	inclusive SRHR services for urban poor populations.			through consideration of marginalized groups. • There is a need to study how to effectively provide mHealth to reach urban poor and marginalized populations. **Recommendations:** • Further research focusing on how social issues e.g. poverty, inequality, social norms, intersect across different urban neighbourhoods and impact SRHR outcomes. • Further research is needed to explore high risk and marginalized populations. • Designing approaches and strategies tailored to the urban environment are necessary.

Onaisi et al. (2022) [19] Sexual risk behaviour reduction interventions in primary care in Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development countries. A systematic review	**SRH topics targeted in these interventions**: Counselling, behavioural and educational interventions related to reduction of risky sexual behaviours **Description of interventions in PHCs:** **a)** Very brief interventions (< 30minutes) that take place during a routine healthcare appointment. Described interventions were: - educational intervention using socio-cognitive theories and theory of reasoned action - Brief advice about safe sex and complementary resources - 20 min intervention based on the social learning theory aimed at altering perception of risk for HIV, and increase knowledge and use of condoms. - 10-20min intervention promoting condom use to service users with chlamydia - Prevention counselling (RESPECT intervention) and rapid HIV testing in one session. - brief patient-centred risk-reduction counselling and rapid HIV test - Video (22 min long) added to usual care at STD clinic to promote condom use and reduce the number of partners using the Information, Motivation, Behaviour (IMB) model. **b)** Brief interventions (30 - 60 minutes) that take place during prevention appointments - Educational video played in the clinic waiting room regarding condom use addition to group discussions with role plays. - Brief video played in the clinic waiting room showing STD prevention messages. - HIV prevention intervention (VOICES/VOCES) - One-on-one HIV/STD prevention counselling (RESPECT) with 2 sessions (40 minutes total) **c)** Intensive interventions (>60 minutes and/or > 1 session)	**Intervention a:** Autonomy/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention b:** Autonomy/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention c:** Autonomy/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention d:** Autonomy/ communication/ supportive care	• **Condom use**: Very brief interventions (Intervention (a)) had mixed results but generally showed that these interventions did not have a significant impact on contraception use especially on the long run. Intervention (c - face-to-face approaches) showed no significant changes in contraceptive use; Intervention (c - group approaches) that were competency-based showing significant decreases in unprotected sexual intercourse. Intervention (d) showed that a combination of very brief and intensive approaches that were based on competencies resulted in more protected intercourses in intervention vs control, irrespective of the intervention duration. • **Reduction in risky behaviours:** One of the approaches in intervention (c) (MAC-Choice Program) reported a significant reduction in the number of sexual partners compared to the control (1.53 vs 2.75;p-value=0.0001) and intercourse with occasional sexual partners compared to the control (0.26 vs 0.74;p-value=0.02) at 6 months follow-up. • **Incidence of STIs:** Very brief interventions (Intervention (a)) did not have a significant effect on reduction in STI incidence. Brief interventions (Intervention (b)) showed a reduction in STI incidence generally; different studies of videos in waiting rooms reported reduction of incidence from 13.1% in control group to 6.3% in the intervention group (RR:0.49; p-value<0.05) and another study showed a small reduction in incidence of STIs compared to controls (4.9% vs 5.7%; HR0.9; 95%CI:0.84-0.99). The VOICES intervention (intervention b) showed lower incident STI rate after follow up (1.1% vs 13.5%, p-value<0.01). Face-to-face intensive interventions (intervention c) did not show significant results for the impact on STI.	**Limitations:** • Most of the included RCTs in the review had high or concerning risk of bias. • Grey literature search was not conducted. • Meta-analysis was not conducted due to the heterogeneity of included studies. • Findings need to be verified by conducting this research in more OECD countries. **Evidence gaps:** • Most interventions focused on high-risk adolescents and young adults, yet older adults (>50 years) are also sexually active but have lower use of contraceptives. **Recommendations:** • Interventions should consider a holistic approach to sexual health by not only focusing on risk reduction and disease prevention, but also the context and wellness of service users.

	- One-on-one HIV/STD prevention counselling with 4 sessions (200 minutes total) - Intervention consisting of 1 of 4 versions of a 90-min risk-reduction individual counselling sessions that divided the IMB model using a full factorial approach. - Behavioural intervention consisting of 5 weekly 60-90 minute individual sessions (MAC-Choice program) to reduce risky sexual behaviours. - Four-session, individual, multi-component, cognitive/behavioural intervention aimed at preventing STDs. - Group intervention for HIV/STD risk reduction consisting of one 250min session. The intervention sessions were either informative or competency-based. - Four session group intervention using the IMB model to prevent STDs and HIV. - An adapted evidence-based STI/HIV intervention (HORIZONS) and a Prevention, Maintenance Intervention (PMI) consisting of 4 hour group sessions followed by brief telephone contacts every 8 weeks for 36 months to complement prevention messages. -HIV/AIDS risk reduction intervention with five group sessions focusing on risk education; skills training in condom use, sexual assertiveness, problem solving, and risk trigger self-management; and peer support for change efforts. - Behavioural intervention for small groups consisting of seven sessions (90-120min each) about HIV risk reduction. - Behavioural intervention with three small-group sessions of 3-4 hours each aimed at helping women recognize personal susceptibility, commit to behavioural change, and acquire skills. **d)** Combination of brief and intensive interventions		Group intensive interventions (Intervention c) had mixed results and were some showed a reduction in STI rates; PMI showed lower cumulative incidence of chlamydia over 36 months (aRR=0.5,p-value=0.02). Intervention (d) showed that a combination of very brief and intensive approaches that were based on competencies resulted in lower STI prevalence after 12 months, irrespective of the intervention duration.	

	- a combination of one of two brief interventions (individually delivered and based on either informative or motivations interviewing) and one of two intensive interventions (group delivered and based on informative or IMB models) - combination of very brief and intensive sessions based on two approaches, informative or competency-based.			

Mariño et al. (2023) [30] Educational interventions for cervical cancer prevention: a scoping review	**SRH topics targeted in these interventions:** Educational interventions for cervical cancer screening **Description of interventions in PHCs:** **a)** Group discussion sessions where women asked questions about cervical cancer prevention and voiced their concerns. **b)** Teaching interventions that were based on lectures, 'expository lessons' or 'card games'. **c)** Interventions of resource support, complementary to other interventions, using booklets, leaflets, and informative videos with educational content. **d)** Telephone interviews to provide education on cervical cancer and possible risks, importance of pap smear tests, continuity of testing, care and follow-ups. **e)** Peer-Led Navigation (PLNav) intervention aimed to provide health service access by selecting providers from the same communities as the women and speak the same language or from the same context.	**Intervention a:** Dignity/ communication, supportive care **Intervention b:** Autonomy/ communication **Intervention c:** Autonomy/ communication/ confidentiality & privacy **Intervention d:** Autonomy/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention e:** Dignity/ communication, supportive care	• **Cervical cancer and screening knowledge:** Intervention (c), especially videos, reported increase in cervical cancer knowledge. Intervention (e) showed that participating women had increased knowledge on cervical cancer screening. • **Pap smear uptake:** Intervention (c), specifically videos, was found to increase confidence in women to schedule pap smears. Intervention (d) led to increased attendance at pap smear health services. Overall, the review found that educational interventions that were given by community health workers were very well received by participating women. **Factors that may influence interventions:** • Theory-based and culturally tailored interventions and approaches.	**Limitation:** • References of selected studies were not reviewed for other eligible studies. **Evidence gaps:** • Described educational programs had a low participation of nurses **Recommendation:** • Future research needed to assess whether including nurses will lead to alleviating barriers in health prevention behaviours.
Atere-Roberts et al. (2020) [31] Interventions to increase breast and cervical cancer screening uptake among rural women: a scoping review	**SRH topics targeted in these interventions**: breast and cervical cancer screening **Description of interventions in PHCs:** **a)** Friend-to-friend and Patient Navigation (FTF+PN) program consists of 'Pink Parties' by cancer prevention specialists to educate underserved, uninsured, older women about breast an cervical cancer screening. The 'parties' are made up of 1- oral presentation of mammography benefits by clinical staff, 2- facilitator-led small group discussion about mammography and concerns, 3- a service user-facilitator session to help the service user ask their providers for mammography screening. **b)** Ohana day project is a one-day program consisting of one-on-one visit with a physician for screening and education about cancer, as	**Intervention a:** Autonomy/ dignity/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention b:** Autonomy/ dignity/ communication/ supportive care	• **Mammography or pap smear uptake:** Intervention (a) had mixed results depending on race/ethnicity; English-speaking Latina women had lower odds of receiving a mammogram (OR:0.60; 95%CI:0.43-0.83) and Pap test (OR:0.66; 95%CI:0.47-0.92) than non-Hispanic White controls; Spanish-speaking Latinas had higher odds of Pap test uptake (OR:1.64; 95%CI:1.22-2.20) compared to same controls. Intervention (b) reported improvements in breast cancer screening, where 84% of women who received the intervention had a mammography at the 6-month follow-up compared to 66% of the comparison group (p-value=0.002). **Factors that may influence interventions:**	**Limitations:** • The review search strategy was restricted to PubMed/Medline database. • Potential publication bias • Only peer-reviewed studies were included • Strict inclusion criteria, especially in relation to 'rurality'. • Comparison for interventions between studies was not possible due to high heterogeneity **Evidence gaps:**

	well as provision of culturally appropriate brochures		• Tailoring interventions to specific groups of people or contexts • Administrative costs • Assistance in scheduling appointments • Travel distance, transportation difficulties, and access to specialty care.	• Minimal research evaluating interventions' association with increased community access to cervical cancer screening. • No studies described interventions that emphasized repeated screening. • There was limited geographic diversity and representation of underserved/minority populations, within USA, in the eligible studies. **Recommendations:** • Future research should look at interventions for on-time repeat screening procedures, especially in rural areas. • Researchers need to define more explicitly what 'rural' indicates.
Aung et al. (2017) [32] Interventions for Increasing HIV Testing Uptake in Migrants: A Systematic Review of Evidence	**SRH topics targeted in these interventions**: HIV testing uptake among migrants **Description of interventions in PHCs:** **a)** Women's Health Program (WHP) is an interactive HIV education program that consists of general women's health education about HIV transmission and prevention, sexual and reproductive autonomy, condom practice and negotiation skills. **b)** Provider-initiated HIV testing and counselling (PITC) involved general practitioners proactively proposing HIV testing and counselling to migrants.	**Intervention a:** Autonomy/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention b:** Communication/ supportive care **Intervention c:** Dignity/ autonomy	• **HIV testing Uptake:** Intervention (a) compared to the control group had significantly higher odds of HIV testing after 12 weeks (OR: 2.50; 33% change) but no significant change was recorded 3 months post intervention. Intervention (c) led to an increase in HIV testing among the clinic clients from 6.7% during standard care to 25.4% during intervention period; and the mean number of HIV-positive clients per week rose from 0.9 during standard care to 5.6 during the intervention period. • **Feasibility and acceptability of intervention**: Intervention (b) was accepted	**Limitations:** • Only peer-reviewed studies were included. • Some HIV testing interventions may have been missed. • The search strategy focused on international migrants, yet ethnic minorities may include migrants and would have been missed. • Due to the presence of qualitative studies, the

	c) HIV-related educational announcements and invitation for free HIV testing for service users while they waited in the waiting area of the outpatient departments.		by service users, yet physicians stated discomfort when asking for HIV testing during an irrelevant appointment and routine implementation was not feasible all the time due to time pressure and length of the counselling intervention. **Factors that may influence interventions:** • Resource intensive interventions that affect scalability. • Stigmatization and discrimination of vulnerable groups. •Provider concerns about implementation	risk of bias tool had to be modified to accommodate this. **Evidence gaps:** • Minimal research on HIV testing among migrants outside of USA, while most migrants are in Asia and Europe. **Recommendations:** • A more thorough search of the grey literature and contact of organizations is necessary. •Further research is needed for different migrant groups and settings, and considering not only the health seeking behaviours but also the migration status, health system determinants and the economic aspects of HIV testing.
Sorhaindo et al. (2022) [33] Interventions to reduce stigma related to contraception and abortion: a scoping review	**SRH topics targeted in the interventions:** 1 on contraception and abortion education and 1 on abortion experiences. **Description of interventions in PHCs:** **a)** Family Planning Balanced Counselling Strategy (FP-BCS) to support uptake of modern contraception, through practical, interactive counselling that supports clients to make their decisions. **b)** Narrative intervention using a 4-minute short film screened at clinic waiting room, before	**Intervention a:** Dignity/ autonomy/ privacy & confidentiality/ communication/ Supportive care **Intervention b:** Dignity/ communication/ Supportive care/ health facility environment	• **Contraceptive knowledge:** The FP-BCS intervention (a) improved attitudes toward modern contraception. • **Abortion internalized stigma:** Intervention (b) showed no significant difference in internalized stigma between intervention and control groups.	**Limitations:** • Search limited to studies in English only. • Studies that did not evaluate intervention and only described activities were excluded. • Publication bias favouring studies showing effectiveness only. **Evidence gaps:**

	receiving care, to normalize the abortion experience.			• There is a limited number of interventions tackling reproductive health stigmas. • Most of the available literature originates from the USA with little knowledge about other contexts. • A limited number of interventions deal with stigma reduction at the structural level, as opposed to the individual level. **Recommendations:** • Future research should develop and test theory-based interventions and use validated scales to measure their effectiveness. • Based on the results of this scoping review, future systematic reviews can focus on effectiveness of interventions.

AlHamawi et al. (2023) [34] Family planning interventions in Jordan: A scoping review	**SRH topics targeted in the interventions:** effective use of oral contraceptive pills. **Description of interventions in PHCs:** **a)** An information-based booklet on effective use of oral contraceptive pills was provided to women who used the pill and attended pharmacies or community/fertility /OBGYN clinics. The booklet contained information on types or oral contraceptives, mechanisms of action, precautions, advantages/disadvantages of each type, optimal use guidance and information about alternative contraceptive methods.	**Intervention a:** Dignity/ autonomy/ communication/ Supportive care	• **Contraceptive - oral pills - knowledge:** Intervention (a) significantly improved knowledge (from 1.76 to 5.00 post-intervention) and maintained a high score at follow-up (4.93), compared to no significant change in control group women. Attitude score also significantly improved among women in the intervention group (from a score of 5.10 to 5.50 post intervention), compared to no change in the control group. **Factors that may influence interventions:** • misconceptions and social norms about family planning.	**Limitations:** • Only one database was used for the search. • The search strategy may have not been comprehensive enough. **Evidence gaps:** • Limited evidence on High Impact Practices (HIP), which are evidence-based practices; and on enhancements of HIPs such as family planning vouchers, adolescent targeted services or digital healthcare. **Recommendations:** • Family planning interventions need to be designed with positive messages to encourage contraception use and address misconceptions and biases. • Interventions should target parents with family planning information, which can be transferred to young people. • Digital mHealth interventions should be explored.

Boydell et al. (2022) [35] Getting Intentional about Intention to Use: A Scoping Review of Person-Centered Measures of Demand	**SRH topics targeted in these interventions:** Interventions related to intention to use contraception and family planning **Description of interventions in PHCs:** **a)** A 15 minute 'Health Talk' by about family planning, given by community clinic health assistants. **b)** A contraceptive behavioural intervention delivered by mobile phones text messaging. The messages were tailored according to marital status and the content included information about contraception, its misconceptions and side effects. **c)** Counselling messages for HIV risk and use of injectable contraceptive methods	**Intervention a:** Autonomy/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention b:** Autonomy/ dignity/ privacy & confidentiality **Intervention c:** Autonomy/ communication/ supportive care	• **Intention to initiate a new family planning method**: Intervention (a) led to 33% of participants (45% of women participants) reporting that they wanted to try a new method. Intervention (b) increased agreement on intent to use effective contraceptive methods. Intervention (c) did not lead to a change in use of injectable contraceptives, yet 60% of participants stated they would use a condom for dual protection. • **Contraception knowledge:** Intervention (b) led to a moderate improvement of effective contraception knowledge among Palestinian women compared to the control group. **Factors that may influence interventions:** • Socioeconomic status • Behavioural variables such as the individual's knowledge and attitudes.	**Limitations:** • Two databases were used in the search only. • The search was limited from 1990 to 2020. **Evidence gaps:** • Research about the definition and measurement of 'Intention to Use' (ITU) construct is limited. **Recommendations:** • Further work is needed to develop a comprehensive measure of ITU, explore how this measure varies in relation to long-term goals vs short term ones, and examine how ITU affects contraception use.

Footman et al. (2021) [36] A Systematic Review of New Approaches to Sexually Transmitted Infection Screening Framed in the Capability, Opportunity, Motivation, and Behavior Model of Implementation Science	**SRH topics targeted in these interventions**: Sexually transmitted infections screening approaches **Description of interventions in PHCs:** **Electronic medical records interventions:** **a)** Changes in testing policy on the computer laboratory sheet order from 'opt in' to 'opt out' **b)** Electronic health record decision support tool which provides alerts for sexually active females presenting to the clinics who did not have annual screening. **Sample Collection interventions:** **c)** Self-testing program without need for appointment or offered by clinician at the end of consultation **d)** Express testing criterion intervention for low-risk individuals to skip physical examination and receive STI testing **e)** Test-and-Go (TAG) service where nurses collect blood and throat swabs, service user collects urine and rectal samples privately and drops off at clinic **Improved Technologies** **f)** Better Point-of-care (POC) testing using new diagnostics - NAAT POC same day testing and diagnosis **g)** NAATs POC technologies with 30-min 90-min and 2hour waiting times for diagnosis results **h)** NAATs POC tests with sample first approach - service user testing is done before their consultation. **Treatment accuracy - Antimicrobial Stewardship** **i)** AMR marker testing	**Intervention a:** supportive care **Intervention b:** Communication/ supportive care **Intervention c:** Autonomy/ dignity/ confidentiality & privacy **Intervention d:** Supportive care **Intervention e:** Dignity/ supportive care **Intervention f-g-h:** Supportive care **Intervention i**: Supportive care	• **STI screening uptake:** Intervention (a) increased STI testing rate from 5.5% to 45.2%. Intervention (b) resulted in adolescent girls being 2.1 times more likely to be screened for chlamydia. Intervention (c), in one study, showed an increase of 32% in pharyngeal testing (444 to 586 tests) and 33% increase in rectal testing (390 to 520 tests); additional results showed a increased yield of detection of chlamydia (47%) and gonorrhoea (50%) infections when compared with baseline data. • **Diagnosis and treatment:** Intervention (d), compared to standard care, led to a significant rise in the diagnosis of chlamydia, gonorrhoea, and syphilis infections. Intervention (e) had no impact on providing earlier treatment. Intervention (f) led to lower re-infections from 20% before the intervention to 12% after the intervention (prevalence ratio, 0.60; 95% CI, 0.33–1.09) and reduced the number of times service users needed to visit the clinic to get tested and then treated. Intervention (g) led to increase in appropriate treatment from 52% to 100% compared to lab-based testing; and another study stated that intervention (g) corrected treatment decision 20% of the time, avoiding unnecessary treatment. • **Preference and attitudes:** Service users reported that intervention (c)'s adoption increased their testing frequency and that the self-instructional pictures for guidance were very helpful. In another intervention (c) implementation, providers stated it saved time in paperwork and sample collection and led to service user privacy and uncreased testing; yet service users found the instructions unclear and led to confusion of the process. Intervention (g) waiting time acceptance varied by different service users	**Limitations:** *None identified*. **Evidence gaps:** *None identified*. **Recommendations:** • When developing new interventions, it is important to consider the service users. • Further evaluations of STI screening services are necessary to identify what works and what needs to be revised.

			and depended on circumstances, hence testing acceptability first is important before implementation. Intervention (h) was accepted by 90% of participants who were surveyed, stated that they were willing to wait 1-2 hours for results, however, more than 2 hours was not acceptable. Intervention (i) waiting time was not always accepted by service users unless they were concerned about specific infections. **Factors that may influence interventions:** • Clear instructions and guidelines are necessary to ensure proper use of the self-service • Availability of the instruments and space at the PHCs. • Staff availability. • STI education and knowledge among service users	

Munyuzangabo et al. (2019) [20] Delivery of sexual and reproductive health interventions in conflict settings: a systematic review	**SRH topics targeted in these interventions:** Main components of SRH: HIV and STIs, gender based violence, family planning, and general SRH interventions offered to refugees and internally displaced populations within camps and outside camps. **Description of interventions in PHCs:** **Family planning services:** **a)** Contraception provision **b)** Abortion and post-abortion care **c)** Counselling **d)** Behavioural education **e)** Screening interventions for referral **HIV/STI interventions:** **f)** HIV/STI prevention, treatment and follow up **g)** HIV/STI screening for referral **h)** Behavioural education **i)** Counselling **Gender-based violence interventions:** **j)** Counselling **k)** Behavioural education **l)** Emergency contraception	**Intervention a:** Supportive care **Intervention b:** Autonomy/ dignity/ communication/ supportive care. **Intervention c:** Autonomy/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention d:** Autonomy/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention e:** communication/ supportive care **Intervention f:** Autonomy/ dignity/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention g:** Communication/ supportive care **Intervention h:** Autonomy/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention i:** Autonomy/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention j:** Autonomy/ communication/ supportive care **Intervention k:** Autonomy/ communication/	Only 18% of studies included in this review reported on intervention coverage and 7% reported on intervention effectiveness. • **Contraceptive prevalence rate:** Most studies reported rates ranging from 1% to 39.6%. There was a variation in uptake by country, for example, LARC varied from 1% in Djibouti to 78% in DR Congo. Compared to Intrauterine devices and other modern methods, implants were most commonly accepted in Chad and DR Congo. • **Provision of Emergency Contraception:** Uptake ranged from 50% (NGO services) to 90% (healthcare professionals). • **Family planning counselling uptake:** Counselling post-abortion coverage ranged from 35%-98%. • **Effectiveness of counselling and testing services**: When offered vouchers for free services, there was a higher uptake among IDP women compared to non-IDP women in surrounding communities. **Factors that may influence interventions:** • Barriers: security and logistical issues, lack of funding and resources, lack of training and presence of skilled health workers, women's inability to access healthcare due to limited mobility or financial constraints, social norms and stigma. • Enablers: collaboration between NGOs, community and Ministry of Health, presence of community health workers, community engagement, culturally appropriate interventions	**Limitations:** • The grey literature search was limited to a selection of NGO websites and may not have captured all interventions. • The search was restricted to English only. • published literature may contain limited details about interventions in conflict-affected settings. **Evidence gaps:** • Limited literature on displaced populations residing outside the camps. • Scarce research on SRH interventions targeted towards adolescents. • MISP guidelines for interventions were not followed explicitly. • Interventions related to safe abortion or post-abortion care were limited. • Other SRH issues e.g. cancers, obstetric fistula, were barely mentioned. • No research on intervention effectiveness related to SRH outcomes. • Gender-based violence interventions were described in grey literature mainly rather than peer reviewed articles.

		supportive care **Intervention l:** Dignity/ supportive care		**Recommendations:** • Further research required for identifying how to engage community-based individuals to deliver selected SRH interventions. • For more effective interventions, involvement of community leaders is crucial. • The modality of intervention delivery, whether in clinics or outreach needs to be assessed. • Further research is necessary for displaced populations outside camps and for adolescents. • More research is required to assess SRH intervention effectiveness in conflict settings. • Qualitative research can help identify guidelines that humanitarian actors use to develop interventions.

SRH: Sexual and Reproductive Health; SRHR: Sexual and Reproductive Health Rights; PHC: Primary Care Setting; IUD: Intrauterine device; FP: family planning; RH: Reproductive health; PCC: person-centred care; STI: Sexually transmitted infection; LARC: Long-Acting Reversible Contraceptive; OR: odds ratio; RR: relative risk; aRR: adjusted relative risk; HR: Hazard rate; CI: Confidence interval; LMIC: Low- and Middle-Income Country; IDP: Internally-displaced population; NGO: Non-governmental organisation