Utilization of Eight Antenatal Care Contacts Among Adolescent and Adult Mothers at a Referral Hospital in Western Kenya.

A Comparative Cross-Sectional Study

Reuben Nyongesa Kere[&1] Jackton Omoto[1] Patrick Nyamohanga Marwa[2]

1. *Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Maseno University*
2. *Department of Surgery and Anaesthesiology, Maseno University*

[&]Corresponding author

Reuben Nyongesa Kere, Resident, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Maseno University, Kisumu, Kenya. *drnyongesakere@gmail.com*

Abstract

Background: The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends eight antenatal care (ANC) contacts to improve maternal and neonatal outcomes, but adherence is challenging, particularly in low- and middle-income countries. This study investigated factors influencing the frequency of ANC contacts among adolescent and adult mothers under the new ANC model in Kenya.

Methods: We conducted a comparative analytical cross-sectional study at Jaramogi Oginga Odinga Teaching and Referral Hospital (JOOTRH) in Kisumu, Kenya, recruiting a stratified random sample of 292 mothers (73 adolescents and 219 adults). Data was collected using a pre-tested questionnaire and a review of the Mother and Child Health Handbook. We used descriptive statistics, chi-square test and multivariable logistic regression analysis to identify associated factors with statistical significance set at $p<0.05$.

Results: Adolescent mothers had less insurance coverage (26%) compared to adult mothers (9.1%) (p=0.001) and were more likely to report distance as a barrier (42.5%,p=0.016). Average ANC contacts were low for both groups (4.87 for adult mothers and 4.40 for adolescent mothers). The completion rate of eight ANC contacts was low for both groups (10.5% for adult mothers and 8.2% for adolescent mothers). A key finding was the inverse relationship between pregnancy risk and ANC contact frequency. Mothers with low-risk pregnancies (AOR=3.0475, $p=0.00542$) and moderate risk (AOR=2.6465, $p=0.02169$) were significantly more likely to attend more ANC contacts than those with high-risk pregnancies.

Conclusion: Both adolescent and adult mothers had low adherence to the eight-contact ANC model. The inverse relationship between pregnancy risk and ANC contact frequency highlights a critical gap in care for those who need it most. We recommend health campaigns, follow-up systems for adolescent mothers, and re-evaluation of clinical pathways for high-risk mothers.

Keywords: Kenya, antenatal care, adolescent, adult, pregnancy.

Introduction

Skilled healthcare professionals provide antenatal care (ANC) to pregnant mothers to protect their health and that of the baby. Early and continued ANC attendance reduces adverse

outcomes, helps detect complications, and allows for timely interventions for high-risk groups. The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that all pregnant mothers attend eight or more ANC contacts to improve pregnancy outcomes [1].

Despite these benefits, ANC adherence is a significant challenge, especially in low- and middle-income countries, which accounted for 92% of the estimated 26,000 maternal deaths in 2023 [2]. In Kenya, only 3.9% of mothers complete the recommended eight ANC contacts [3], a low adherence rate that contributes to poor maternal and neonatal outcomes and makes it a public health priority.

Adolescent pregnancies are common in poor and uneducated populations, often hindering education and employment [4]. Studies show adolescents have fewer ANC contacts than adult mothers. However, a knowledge gap remains regarding factors influencing ANC contact frequency among adolescent and adult mothers under the new eight-contact model in the Kenyan context, as previous studies were often regional and focused on the older four-visit model.

This study aimed to bridge this gap by investigating the factors influencing the frequency of the recommended eight ANC contacts among adolescent and adult mothers at Jaramogi Oginga Odinga Teaching and Referral Hospital (JOOTRH), Kisumu, Kenya.

Methods

Study Design: This study used a comparative analytical cross-sectional design to investigate and directly compare the frequency of the recommended ANC contacts between adolescents (10-19 years) and adult mothers (20-49 years) attending JOOTRH.

Study Site and Setting: The study was conducted in the maternity department of Jaramogi Oginga Odinga Teaching and Referral Hospital (JOOTRH), a national referral hospital in Kisumu, Kenya. Serving over 5 million people, JOOTRH handles an average of 450 mothers per month in its maternity department.

JOOTRH was selected as the study site due to its broad patient base as a teaching and referral hospital, which offers generalizability. The maternity department was chosen because mothers are close to delivery and would have a clearer recollection of their ANC experience. This setting also allowed us to verify contact data using the Mother and Child Health Handbook.

Study Population

The target population for this study was all mothers admitted to the maternity department at JOOTRH.

Selection Criteria

Inclusion Criteria

1. Maternal age: Adolescent mothers (10-19 years), Adult Mothers (20-49 years)
2. Admitted to the maternity department JOOTRH.
3. Ability to provide informed consent

Exclusion Criteria

1. Mothers who are medically unstable after delivery.
2. Unavailability to provide the Mother and Child Health Handbook.

Sample Size Calculation

A minimum sample size was calculated to detect significant differences in ANC utilization between adolescent and adult mothers. Using the formula for two population proportions with a 1:3 ratio, 80% power, and a 95% confidence level, the initial sample size was 264. This was based on a p1 of 19.4% (adolescent mothers) and p2 of 37.5% (adult mothers) from previous studies [5, 6]. A 10% adjustment for attrition resulted in a final sample size of 292 (73 adolescents and 219 adults).

Sampling Method: We used stratified random sampling to recruit participants, stratifying mothers into two groups: adolescents (10-19 years) and adults (20-49 years). This approach ensured a representative sample and enabled subgroup analysis to compare ANC utilization. We maintained a 1:3 ratio of adolescents to adults, based on the expected proportion in the population, and used random sampling within each stratum.

Operation Definition of Variables

Dependent Variable

Frequency of ANC contacts: The number of antenatal care contacts a pregnant woman attended, as documented in her Kenya Mother and Child Health Handbook, compared to the WHO's recommended schedule.

Independent Variables

Predisposing factors: Pre-existing individual characteristics influencing a pregnant mother's use of ANC services. ***Maternal age***: Categorized as adolescent (10-19 years) or adult (20-49 years). ***Marital status:*** the participant's current legal marital status. ***Parity:*** the number of live births, stillbirths or fetal demise after 28 weeks' gestational age a participant ever had. ***Maternal educational level:*** the highest level of formal education a participant had completed. ***Religion:*** participants self-reported religious affiliation.

Enabling factors: Resources or environmental factors influence the pregnant mother's access to and use of ANC services. ***Occupation:*** the participant's primary type of work. ***Residence:*** the participant's usual place of residence. ***Partner educational level:*** the highest level of education completed by the participant's partner. ***Decision-maker for ANC attendance:*** the person or group of people who primarily influenced the participant's decision to attend ANC services. ***Distance to a healthcare facility:*** participant's ease of reaching the nearest healthcare facility offering ANC services. ***Waiting time at the clinic:*** The time in hours that a participant spends at the clinic for their ANC appointment. ***Source of ANC information:*** the primary source from which the pregnant woman received information about antenatal care. ***Healthcare insurance cover:*** whether the participant has had any form of health insurance that covered antenatal care services.

Need factors: Factors that influence a pregnant mother's perception of the importance of attending ANC services. ***Pregnancy risk:*** the likelihood of obstetrical complications arising

during pregnancy affecting maternal or fetal health. We scored it using the Modified Copland Antenatal Risk Scoring System (**7**)

Data Collection Tools and Procedure

Data were collected using two primary tools: a questionnaire and the Mother and Child Health Handbook.

- **Mother and Child Health Handbook**
  With the mother's consent, we reviewed the mother and child health handbook to supplement information collected through a questionnaire. It was used to extract data on ANC utilization, particularly the timing of first antenatal care contact and the frequency of the recommended ANC contacts attended.
- **Questionnaire**
  We designed a structured questionnaire based on a comprehensive literature review that identified key variables relevant to the study's objectives and research questions.

Data Management: Data were collected by the principal investigator and two trained research assistants. After collection, the data were validated for completeness and quality, then entered into a password-protected online database. A unique coding system maintained participant anonymity, and access to all data files was restricted to the authorized research team.

Data Analysis: Data were collected using the Open Data Kit (ODK) app, entered into OnaData, and analyzed with IBM SPSS Statistics (version 26.0). We used descriptive statistics to summarize participant factors. Bivariate analysis (chi-square test and independent samples t-test) compared ANC contact frequency between age groups. Multivariable logistic regression identified independently associated factors, with results reported as Adjusted Odds Ratios (AORs) and 95% Confidence Intervals (CIs). A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The Hosmer-Lemeshow test assessed the model's goodness of fit.

Ethical Considerations: The study adhered to the ethical principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. After the thorough explanation of the study objectives, we obtained informed consent from all participants. In Kenya, adolescent mothers who have delivered are considered emancipated minors. This legal status allows them to provide valid consent to participate in research (NASCOP & KEMRI, 2015). Throughout the study, we maintained confidentiality and anonymity. Data were stored securely, and only authorized personnel had access. Before the study began, we obtained initial approval from Maseno University School of Graduate Studies and ethical approval Number *ISERC/JOOTRH/129/24* from the JOOTRH Institutional Review Board. We also obtained approval from the JOOTRH administration and a research permit granted on April 09, 2025, License Number *NACOSTI /P/25/417736* from the National Commission for Science, Technology and Innovation (NACOSTI).

Results

The study included 292 participants: 73 (25%) adolescent mothers (mean age 17.82, SD=1.14) and 219 (75%) adult mothers (mean age 27.69, SD=4.68).

Predisposing, enabling and need factors.

Significant socioeconomic differences were observed between age groups (Table 1). There was a significant association between maternal age and occupational status ($p<0.001$), partner's occupation ($p<0.001$), residence ($p<0.001$), and household income ($p<0.001$).

Access to health facilities was also a reported problem for adolescent mothers, with 42.5% indicating distance was a significant challenge ($p=0.016$). Furthermore, health insurance coverage differed significantly (*p=0.001*), with adolescents more likely to lack any coverage (26%) compared to adult mothers (9.1%).

Table 2 shows the present pregnancy conditions of the mothers. Adult mothers had a statistically higher percentage of a complex previous obstetric history, including low birth weight or macrosomia (*p=0.001*), previous caesarean section (*p=0.003*), stillbirth or neonatal death (*p=0.021*), and a difficult or prolonged labour (*p=0.0410*).

Frequency of Antenatal Care Contacts

While the difference in ANC contact frequency was not statistically significant ($p=0.4680$), adult mothers had a slightly higher average (4.87 contacts) than adolescents (4.40 contacts) (Table 3).

Table 4 provides a more detailed breakdown, revealing that adult mothers (10.5%) completed eight or more contacts compared to adolescent mothers (8.2%).

Factors associated with the frequency of Antenatal care contacts

The sole significant predictor was pregnancy risk. Mothers with low-risk pregnancies (AOR=3.05, $p=0.005$) and moderate-risk pregnancies (AOR=2.65, $p=0.022$) were significantly more likely to attend more than four ANC contacts than those with high-risk pregnancies. The association between adolescent status and ANC contact frequency was not statistically significant (AOR = 1.5519, 95% CI: 0.7137–3.4952, *p=0.2757*).

Discussion

This study examined the factors influencing ANC utilization among adolescent and adult mothers at JOOTRH, a national referral health facility in Western Kenya. We used Andersen and Newman's Behavioural Model of Health Services Utilization to analyse how predisposing, enabling, and need factors contribute to distinct patterns of ANC attendance between the two maternal age groups. This model provides a robust framework for understanding the complex interplay of factors influencing health-seeking behaviour [8]. Our findings are placed within a broader context, drawing comparisons with similar studies from East Africa and beyond.

Predisposing and Enabling Factors

The study identified significant demographic and socioeconomic disparities as key enabling factors. Adolescent mothers were more likely to be students (68.5%) and reside in rural areas (78.1%), while adult mothers were more often unemployed (76.3%) but had higher rates of post-secondary education. These demographic differences align with trends observed in Sub-Saharan Africa, which suggest they contribute to varying patterns of ANC utilization [9]. In contrast, studies in Brazil and India demonstrate that demographic factors like age and education have a less pronounced effect due to more universal access to antenatal services [10].

Socioeconomic differences were particularly pronounced. The economic instability often associated with young relationships was reflected in a significant association between maternal age and partner's occupation, which is consistent with findings from a related Kenyan study (11) as well as a systematic review from Ethiopia [12]. Furthermore, the financial barriers for adolescent mothers were stark, with nearly all reporting poor household income. While this is a significant challenge, some studies, such as one from Zambia, have shown that strong social support networks can help adolescent mothers achieve high ANC attendance despite financial constraints [13].

The challenges of accessing antenatal care were also influenced by geography. The high proportion of adolescent mothers residing in rural areas, coupled with distance as a major reported barrier, is a finding corroborated by research from Lebanon [14]. The lack of health insurance among a higher percentage of adolescents is a known financial barrier to ANC utilization, a finding supported by a study from Somaliland [15].

Need Factors and Frequency of ANC Contacts

The perceived need for care, shaped by prior health experiences, emerged as a critical influencing factor of ANC attendance. Adult mothers had a statistically higher incidence of a complex previous obstetric history. This suggests that past adverse outcomes, such as a previous caesarean section or stillbirth, create a heightened sense of need for diligent follow-up care in subsequent pregnancies. This aligns with a Kenyan study that also found prior experience with pregnancy risks motivates more consistent care-seeking behaviour [16].

While the overall frequency of ANC contacts did not show a statistically significant difference between the groups ($p=0.4680$), adult mothers demonstrated better continuity of care compared to adolescents. The lower continuity of care among adolescents after initial contacts aligns with literature citing barriers such as social stigma and lack of support, a trend noted in Uganda [17] and India [18]. This contrasts with findings from targeted adolescent group antenatal care in the United Kingdom, where dedicated support has led to comparable or higher antenatal attendance rates for adolescents [19]. The more consistent follow-through of adult mothers suggests they may benefit from greater stability, as supported by a study in Malawi [20]. However, it is notable that studies from places like Ghana have shown that with dedicated follow-up, adolescent mothers can achieve comparable or even higher ANC attendance [21].

The Relationship between Pregnancy Risk and Frequency of ANC contacts

A key and unexpected finding was the inverse relationship between pregnancy risk category and the frequency of ANC contacts. The results showed that mothers with low-risk pregnancies (AOR=3.0475, 95% CI: 1.4069-6.8083, $p=0.005$) and moderate-risk pregnancies (AOR=2.6465, 95% CI: 1.1639-6.1310, $p=0.022$) had more ANC contacts than those with high-risk pregnancies. This result contradicts the general assumption that a heightened need for care leads to increased care seeking, as documented in a multi-country study across Ethiopia, India, Kenya, and South Africa [22]. Our data suggest that the very complications that classify a pregnancy as high-risk may act as significant barriers to consistent attendance, a phenomenon also noted in Lao PDR [23] and Tanzania [24]. This discrepancy differs from contexts like Malaysia, where high-risk mothers had higher attendance due to specialized care

pathways [25]. Our findings are consistent with existing literature from Bangladesh that acknowledges complications can influence antenatal care patterns [26]. The higher attendance among low-risk mothers may be a result of fewer health-related barriers to mobility and facility access, as documented in a Kenyan study that linked pregnancy complication severity with ANC attendance rates [27]. This also contrasts with a multi-country study in India, Bangladesh, Nepal and Pakistan, where health promotion campaigns led to over-utilization of ANC services by low-risk mothers [28].

Our study suggests that the inverse relationship between pregnancy risk and ANC contacts highlights how socioeconomic and geographic barriers prevent consistent attendance for those with high-risk pregnancies. A multilevel analysis review of African countries supports this, identifying accessibility, availability, and social support as major determinants of ANC attendance [29]. The higher attendance among low-risk mothers may be a result of their facing fewer health-related barriers to mobility and facility access.

Adolescent mothers are often disproportionately categorized as high-risk and face multiple barriers that likely explain this trend. This finding is consistent with global health data from WHO [4], which highlights the elevated medical risks and socioeconomic challenges this group faces globally. The study found that a significant proportion of adolescent mothers (42.5%) reported that distance to the health facility was a significant problem, a statistically significant finding ($p=0.016$). This geographical barrier is also a key challenge for ANC utilization in low and lower-middle-income countries, supported by a scoping review [30]

This demographic factor is compounded by financial challenges, as a high percentage of adolescent mothers (26%) lacked health insurance coverage compared to adult mothers (9.1%). This reflects the economic instability often associated with young relationships, as adolescent mothers' partners were more likely to be students or unemployed. This situation is mirrored in a systematic review on countries in East Africa [31], which demonstrates how a lack of financial autonomy and insurance coverage creates significant hurdles for consistent antenatal access. Long travel times and limited transportation infrastructure can make the frequent antenatal contacts required for a high-risk pregnancy logistically and financially unfeasible.

In contrast, adult mothers, while also facing these barriers, may be more motivated by their experiences. Adult mothers had a statistically higher occurrence of a complex previous obstetric history, including low birth weight or macrosomia, a previous caesarean section, stillbirth or neonatal death, and a difficult or prolonged labour. This prior experience likely increases their perceived need for and commitment to consistent ANC attendance in subsequent pregnancies, regardless of the logistical challenges. This suggests that while all mothers face barriers, the perceived value of ANC is a powerful motivator for adult mothers, a phenomenon also observed in high-income countries where a history of complications strongly predicts subsequent care-seeking [32]. Adolescents, in contrast, may lack this prior knowledge and instead be limited by factors like distance and financial constraints.

Strength of the study:

- This study's primary strength is its contribution to addressing a critical knowledge gap in the Kenyan context by investigating factors influencing the frequency of the new WHO eight-contact ANC model.

- The comparative cross-sectional design was a key methodological strength, as it allowed for a direct comparison of ANC utilization patterns between adolescent and adult mothers at a major national referral hospital, enhancing the study's ability to identify specific differences between these two maternal age groups.
- A significant contribution of this research is the finding of an inverse relationship between pregnancy risk and ANC contact frequency. This key finding shows a critical gap in care for those who need it most and provides a strong evidence base for developing targeted public health policies and interventions aimed at improving maternal and neonatal outcomes in Kenya. The findings can be used to justify the creation of specialized care pathways for high-risk mothers to address the systemic barriers they face.

Limitations:

- This was a cross-sectional study, which prevents us from establishing a causal relationship between variables.
- The reliance on self-reported data may have introduced recall bias. While we tried to minimize this by recruiting mothers who had recently given birth and cross-referencing information with their Mother and Child Health Handbook, some information may still be inaccurate.

Conclusion

This study concludes that there is a low frequency of recommended ANC contacts among both adolescent and adult mothers at Jaramogi Oginga Odinga Teaching and Referral Hospital, highlighting a significant gap in care adherence to the new WHO guidelines. Both groups overwhelmingly failed to complete the full-recommended schedule. While adult mothers showed a slight advantage in the timing and frequency of attendance, a deeper analysis revealed that they had a better continuity of ANC attendance compared to adolescent mothers. This suggests that while adolescent mothers may initiate ANC attendance, they face distinct challenges that lead to a high rate of drop-off.

However, the most concerning finding is the inverse relationship between pregnancy risk and ANC contact frequency. This suggests that mothers with the highest obstetrical complications —due to conditions that classify their pregnancies as high-risk—face systemic and personal barriers that prevent them from receiving consistent care. This is a critical flaw in current care delivery models, where those most in need are the least likely to receive it.

Future research should focus on a qualitative analysis of the specific barriers and facilitators to care for high-risk mothers, as well as a cost-benefit analysis of the proposed interventions, to better inform policy and practice.

What is already known on this topic:

- Globally, adherence to the new WHO eight-contact ANC model is a significant challenge, particularly in low- and middle-income countries.

- Disparities in ANC initiation and attendance exist between adolescent and adult mothers, with adolescents often initiating care later due to social and economic challenges.
- Higher maternal education and financial stability are generally associated with better ANC utilization.

What this study adds:

- This study provides a comparative analysis of ANC utilization under the new eight-contact model, filling a gap in the literature focused on the Kenyan context.
- It highlights a key finding that mothers with low-risk pregnancies are significantly more likely to attend the recommended number of ANC contacts compared to those with high-risk pregnancies, contradicting the general assumption that heightened need leads to increased care seeking.
- Targeted Follow-up Systems for Adolescent Mothers: Healthcare facilities should establish proactive follow-up systems, such as phone calls or community health worker visits, to address the distinct socioeconomic and logistical barriers that lead to their high rate of drop-off.
- Developing Specialized Care Pathways for High-Risk Mothers: To directly address the inverse relationship between risk and attendance, health systems should create and promote specialized care pathways for high-risk mothers that remove logistical and financial barriers to consistent attendance. These pathways could include flexible appointment scheduling or home-based care models to ensure those with the greatest need receive the most consistent care.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology at Maseno University, especially Dr. Gwer S.O., Dr. Muruka K., and Dr. Wameyo A., for their guidance and support. We would also like to thank the administration and staff of Jaramogi Oginga Odinga Teaching and Referral Hospital for their collaboration and support. The staff in the postnatal ward were particularly helpful in data collection. We are also deeply grateful to the participants of this study, whose willingness to participate made this research possible. The authors declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Competing Interest

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' Contributions

Reuben Nyongesa Kere: Conception and design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data and drafting the manuscript.

Jackton Omoto: Conception and design, and reviewing the manuscript.

Patrick Nyamohanga Marwa: Conception and design, and reviewing the manuscript.

All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

References

1. World Health Organization (WHO). *WHO Guidelines on Antenatal Care for a Positive Pregnancy Experience.* Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/250800. Accessed on 14 September 2025.
2. World Health Organization (WHO), United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), World Bank Group, United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs/Population Division (UNDESA/Population Division). *Trends in maternal mortality 2000 to 2023: estimates by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group and UNDESA/Population Division.* Geneva: World Health Organization; 2024. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240108462. Accessed on 14 September 2025.
3. Igumbor J, Malomane R, Zingoni ZM, Otwombe K, Adeoye I, Akinwaare M, Bosire V, Moko S, Malenga T, Fagbamigbe A, Musenge E. Comparative Analysis of Factors Influencing Optimal and Timely Antenatal Care Uptake in Kenya, South Africa, and Nigeria: A Cross-Section Study. Wellcome Open Research. 2024; 9: 644.
4. World Health Organization (WHO). *Adolescent pregnancy*. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/adolescent-pregnancy. Accessed on 14 September 2025.
5. Fagbamigbe, A. F., Olaseinde, O., Fagbamigbe, O. S. Timing of first antenatal care contact, its associated factors and state-level analysis in Nigeria: a cross-sectional assessment of compliance with the WHO guidelines. *BMJ Open*. 2021; 11(9): e047835.
6. Adedini, S. A., Abatan, S. M., Ogunsakin, A. D., Alex-Ojei, C. A., Babalola, B. I., Shittu, S. B., Odusina, E. K., Ntoimo, L. F. C. Comparing the timeliness and adequacy of antenatal care uptake between women who married as child brides and adult brides in 20 sub-Saharan African countries. *PLoS ONE*. 2022; 17(1): e0262688.
7. Biradar B, Mathew M, Ramesh N. Utilizing Modified Coopland's Scoring System to Identify and Predict the Outcome of High-Risk Pregnancies in Resource-Limited Settings: A Retrospective Review. Cureus. 2024.
8. Alkhawaldeh A, ALBashtawy M, Rayan A, Abdalrahim A, Musa A, Eshah N, Abu Khait A, Qaddumi J, Khraisat O. Application and use of Andersen's behavioral model as theoretical framework: A systematic literature review from 2012-2021. Iran J Public Health. 2023; 52(7).
9. Belay DB, Fenta HM, Birhan NA, Rad NN, Chen DG. Spatial disparities of antenatal care utilization among pregnant women in sub-Saharan Africa—Bayesian geo-additive modelling approach. Frontiers in Public Health. 2025; 13.

10. Morón-Duarte LS, Varela AR, Bertoldi AD, Domingues MR, Wehrmeister FC, Silveira MF. Quality of antenatal care and its sociodemographic determinants: results of the 2015 Pelotas birth cohort, Brazil. BMC Health Services Research. 2021; 21(1).
11. Muia PK, Mbuthia GW, Mugambi RK. Determinants of male partner involvement in antenatal care services at Kangundo Sub-County Hospital in Kenya. African Health Sciences. 2022; 22(3): 93–99.
12. Tekelab T, Chojenta C, Smith R, Loxton D. Factors affecting utilization of antenatal care in Ethiopia: A systematic review and metaanalysis. PLoS ONE. 2019; 14(4).
13. Young AM, Okpara N, Chelwa N, Mwape M, Kayawa J, Nkwengele N, Mabai C, Nyblade L, Mbizvo M, Subramanian S. Navigating antenatal care: The lived experiences of adolescent girls and young visit and caregiver perspectives in Zambia. Women's Health. 2024; 20.
14. Alam C el, Abou-Abbas L, Ramadan MS, Asmar MK. Exploring the barriers to accessing antenatal care at the primary health care center level of a tertiary hospital in Lebanon: a qualitative study. BMC Health Services Research. 2025; 25(1).
15. Abdi KA, M K J, Adem M. The nexus between poverty and maternal healthcare utilization with a focus on antenatal care visits and choice of place of birth in Somaliland. Frontiers in Public Health. 2024; 12.
16. Alhassan Y, Otiso L, Okoth L, Murray L, Hemingway C, Lewis JM, Oguche M, Doyle V, Muturi N, Ogwang E, Barsosio HC, Taegtmeyer M. Four antenatal care visits by four months of pregnancy and four vital tests for pregnant mothers: impact of a community-facility health systems strengthening intervention in Migori County, Kenya. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2024; 24(1).
17. Kayemba V, Kabagenyi A, Ndugga P, Wasswa R, Waiswa P. Timing and quality of antenatal care among adolescent mothers in a rural community, Uganda. Adolescent Health, Medicine and Therapeutics. 2023; 14: 45–61.
18. Fulpagare PH, Saraswat A, Dinachandra K, Surani N, Parhi RN, Bhattacharjee S, Somya S, Purty A, Mohapatra B, Kejrewal N, Agrawal N, Bhatia V, Ruikar M, Gope RK, Murira Z, de Wagt A, Sethi V. Antenatal care service utilization among adolescent pregnant women–evidence from Swabhimaan Programme in India. Frontiers in Public Health. 2019; 7.
19. Aluga D, Okolie EA. Socioeconomic determinants of teenage pregnancy and early motherhood in the United Kingdom: a perspective. Health Promotion Perspectives. 2021; 11(4): 426-429.
20. Mwenebanda E, Machado A, Patel AI, Nyondo-Mipando AL, Chiumia IK. Factors influencing antenatal care attendance in the eight contact era policy: a case of selected maternal health service facilities in Blantyre, Malawi. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2024; 24(1).
21. Anaba EA, Alor SK, Badzi CD. Utilization of antenatal care among adolescent and young mothers in Ghana; analysis of the 2017/2018 multiple indicator cluster survey. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2022; 22(1).
22. Arsenault C, Mfeka-Nkabinde NG, Chaudhry M, Jarhyan P, Taddele T, Mugenya I, Sabwa S, Wright K, Amboko B, Baensch L, Wondim GM, Mthethwa L, Clarke-Deelder E, Yang WC, Kosgei RJ, Purohit P, Mzolo NC, Mebratie AD, Shaw S, Kruk ME. Antenatal care quality and detection of risk among pregnant women: An observational study in Ethiopia, India, Kenya, and South Africa. PLoS Medicine. 2024; 21(8).

23. Nagatani S, Horiuchi S, Takahashi K, Matsuura M, Ounchit K, Yamaoka K. Risk of low birthweight associated with the timing and frequency of antenatal care visits in Lao PDR: a retrospective cohort study. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2023; 23(1).
24. Eliufoo E, Majengo V, Tian Y, Bintabara D, Moshi F, Li Y. Determinants of adequate antenatal care visits among pregnant women in low-resource setting: evidence from Tanzania national survey. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2024; 24(1).
25. Yeoh PL, Hornetz K, Dahlui M. Antenatal care utilisation and content between low-risk and high-risk pregnant women. PLoS ONE. 2016; 11(3).
26. Sikder SS, Labrique AB, Craig IM, Wakil MA, Shamim AA, Ali H, Mehra S, Wu L, Shaikh S, West KP, Christian P. Patterns and determinants of care seeking for obstetric complications in rural northwest Bangladesh: Analysis from a prospective cohort study Health systems and services in low and middle income settings. BMC Health Services Research. 2015; 15(1).
27. Bagayoko M, Kadengye DT, Odero HO, Izudi J. Effect of high-risk versus low-risk pregnancy at the first antenatal care visit on the occurrence of complication during pregnancy and labour or delivery in Kenya: a double-robust estimation. BMJ Open. 2023; 13(10).
28. Fatema K, Lariscy JT. Mass media exposure and maternal healthcare utilization in South Asia. SSM - Population Health. 2020; 11.
29. Mare KU, Andargie GG, Moloro AH, Mohammed AA, Mohammed OA, Wengoro BF, Lahole BK, Hadaro TS, Leyto SM, Mamo PO, Hedato AH, Seifu BL, Wondmeneh TG, Ebrahim OA, Sabo KG. Late initiation of antenatal care visit amid implementation of new antenatal care model in sub-Saharan African countries: a multilevel analysis of multination population survey data. PLoS ONE. 2025; 20(1 January).
30. Sarikhani Y, Najibi SM, Razavi Z. Key barriers to the provision and utilization of maternal health services in low-and lower-middle-income countries; a scoping review. BMC Women’s Health. 2024; 24(1).
31. Yihune Teshale M, Bante A, Gedefaw Belete A, Crutzen R, Spigt M, Stutterheim SE. Barriers and facilitators to maternal healthcare in East Africa: a systematic review and qualitative synthesis of perspectives from women, their families, healthcare providers, and key stakeholders. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2025; 25(1).
32. Escañuela Sánchez, T., Linehan, L., O'Donoghue, K., Byrne, M., Meaney, S. Facilitators and barriers to seeking and engaging with antenatal care in high-income countries: A meta-synthesis of qualitative research. *Health & Social Care in the Community*. 2022; 30(6): e3810–e3828.

Tables

Table 1: Enabling Factors

Independent Variable	Category	Adolescent Mothers N (%)	Adult Mothers N (%)	Total N=292	Chi-Square (χ^2)	df	P value
Current occupation	Employed	0 (0.0)	17 (7.8)	17 (5.8)	74.379	2	**<0.001**
	Unemployed	23 (31.5)	167 (76.3)	190 (65.1)			
	Student	50 (68.5)	35 (16.0)	85 (29.1)			
Occupation of partner	Employed	4 (5.5)	53 (24.2)	57 (19.5)	48.299	2	**<0.001**
	Unemployed	38 (52.1)	147 (67.1)	185 (63.4)			
	Student	31 (42.5)	19 (8.7)	50 (17.1)			
Monthly household income	Poor	71 (97.3)	168 (76.7)	239 (81.8)	15.560	1	**<0.001**
	Middle	2 (2.7)	51 (23.3)	53 (18.2)			
Residence	Urban	16 (21.9)	109 (49.8)	125 (42.8)	17.350	1	**<0.001**
	Rural	57 (78.1)	110 (50.2)	167 (57.2)			
ANC Attendance decision marker	Self	22 (30.1)	94 (42.9)	116 (39.7)	3.739	2	0.154
	Partner	4 (5.5)	10 (4.6)	14 (4.8)			
	Others	47 (64.4)	115 (52.5)	162 (55.5)			
Distance to ANC facility	No problem	42 (57.5)	159 (72.6)	201 (68.8)	5.795	1	0.016
	Big problem	31 (42.5)	60 (27.4)	91 (31.2)			
Waiting time at the ANC clinic	0–1 hr	34 (46.6)	94 (42.9)	128 (43.8)	1.654	2	0.437
	2–3 hrs	38 (52.1)	115 (52.5)	153 (52.4)			
	4+ hrs.	1 (1.4)	10 (4.6)	11 (3.8)			
Partner's Educational Level	No formal	1 (1.4)	1 (0.5)	2 (0.7)	3.294	3	0.348
	Primary	10 (13.7)	39 (17.8)	49 (16.8)			
	Secondary	15 (20.5)	29 (13.2)	44 (15.1)			
	Postsecondary	47 (64.4)	150 (68.5)	197 (67.5)			
Health insurance type	Government	53 (72.6)	191 (87.2)	244 (83.6)	14.026	2	**0.001**
	Private	1 (1.4)	8 (3.7)	9 (3.1)			
	None	19 (26.0)	20 (9.1)	39 (13.4)			
ANC information source	Healthcare provider	8 (11.0)	98 (44.7)	106 (36.3)	32.571	2	<0.001
	Media	1 (1.4)	12 (5.5)	13 (4.5)			
	Others	64 (87.7)	109 (49.8)	173 (59.2)			

Table 2: Present Pregnancy Conditions

Independent Variable	Category	Adolescent Mothers N (%)	Adult mothers N (%)	Total N=292	Chi-Square (χ^2)	df	P value
Bleeding before 20 weeks	No Yes	72(98.6) 1(1.4)	212(96.8) 7(3.2)	284(97.3) 8(2.7)	0.685	1	0.408
Anaemia	Hb>10 Hb 6-10 Hb<6	47(64.4) 25(34.2) 1(1.4)	167(76.3) 51(23.3) 1(0.5)	214(73.3) 76(26.0) 2(0.7)	4.246	2	0.120
Rh isoimmunization	No Yes	70(95.9) 3(4.1)	213(97.3) 6(2.7)	283(96.9) 9(3.1)	0.344	1	0.558
Malpresentation at term	No Yes	71(97.3) 2(2.7)	211(96.3) 8(3.7)	282(96.6) 10(3.4)	0.138	1	0.710
Multiple pregnancy	No Yes	67(91.8) 6(8.2)	209(95.4) 10(4.6)	276(94.5) 16(5.5)	1.411	1	0.235
Hypertension	No Yes	56(76.7) 17(23.3)	167(76.3) 52(23.7)	223(76.4)	0.006	1	0.937
Eclampsia	No Yes	73(100.0) 0(0.0)	214(97.7) 5(2.3)	287(98.3)	1.696	1	0.193
Gestational DM	No Yes	72(98.6) 1(1.4)	218(99.5) 1(0.5)	290(99.3) 2(0.7)	0.671	1	0.413
Placenta previa	No Yes	71(97.3) 2(2.7)	207(94.5) 12(5.5)	278(95.2) 14(4.8)	0.900	1	0.343
Term prom	No Yes	65(89.0) 8(11.0)	207(94.5) 12(5.5)	272(93.2) 20(6.8)	2.576	1	0.108
Preterm prom	No Yes	63(86.3) 10(13.7)	203(92.7) 16(7.3)	266(91.1) 26(8.9)	2.758	1	0.097
Polyhydramnios	No Yes	71(97.3) 2(2.7)	211(96.3) 8(3.7)	282(96.6) 10(3.4)	1.38	1	0.710
Oligohydramnios	No Yes	68(93.2) 5(6.8)	213(97.3) 6(2.7)	281(96.2) 11(3.8)	2.551	1	0.110
IUGR	No Yes	72(98.6) 1(1.4)	217(99.1) 2(0.9)	289(99.0) 3(1.0)	0.112	1	0.738
Abnormal doppler	No Yes	73(100.00 0(0.0)	216(98.6) 3(1.40	289(99.0) 3(1.0)	1.010	1	0.315

Table 3: Mean, standard deviation and median of the overall comparison of the frequency of recommended antenatal contacts between adolescent (10-19 years) and adult mothers (20-49 years).

Independent variable	Category	Number (%)	Mean	Standard deviation	Median
Frequency of ANC contacts	Adolescent mothers (15-49 years)	73 (25)	**4.40**	2.073	4.00
	Adult mothers (20-49 years)	219 (75)	**4.87**	1.953	5.00

Table 4: Chi-square test of the frequency of recommended antenatal contacts between adolescent (10-19 years) and adult mothers (20-49 years).

Independent variable	Category	Adolescent mothers N (%)	Adult mothers N (%)	Total N=292	Chi-Square (χ^2)	df	P value
Frequency of recommended antenatal care contacts	**1-4**	39(53.4)	99(45.2)	138(47.3)	**1.521**	**2**	**0.468**
	5-7	28(38.4)	97(44.3)	125(42.8)			
	8+	**6(8.2)**	**23(10.5)**	29(9.9)			

Table 5: Logistic regression table of factors associated with the frequency of the recommended antenatal care contacts among adolescent and adult mothers.

Independent variable	Category	AOR	95% CI for AOR	P value
Age	Adult mothers (Ref.)			
	Adolescent mothers	1.5519	(0.7137- 3.4952)	0.2757
Marital Status	Single (Ref.)			
	Married	2.0732	(0.8959- 4.9187)	0.0819
	Divorced	1.9622	(0.0519-80.8078)	0.69711
Parity	1-2 (Ref.)			
	3-4	0.7934	(0.3387-1.8623)	0.59285
	5+	0.5587	(0.1448-2.0948)	0.38915
Education	Primary (Ref.)			
	Secondary	0.8048	(0.3453-1.8716)	0.61314
	Post-secondary	0.6704	(0.2680-1.6484)	0.38612
Occupation	Employed (Ref.)			
	Unemployed	0.2437	(0.0113-1.8425)	0.2363
	Student	0.2123	(0.0087-2.1285)	0.2345
Residence	Urban (Ref.)			
	Rural	1.4807	(0.7267-3.0607)	0.28294
ANC Attendance decision maker	Self (Ref.)			
	Partner	1.6073	(0.3946-7.2951)	0.51489
	Others	1.1866	(0.6294-2.2431)	0.59659
Risk Category	High risk (Ref.)			
	Low risk	**3.0475**	**(1.4069-6.8083)**	**0.00542**
	Moderate risk	**2.6365**	**(1.1639-6.1310)**	**0.02169**
Health insurance type	Government type (Ref.)			
	Private	1.0696	(0.1397-8.0672)	0.94677
	None	0.6364	(0.2672-1.4775)	0.29741
Partner Occupation	Employed (Ref.)			
	Unemployed	1.0767	(0.3098-3.8604)	0.90713
	Student	0.5145	(0.1169-2.2679)	0.37469
Information about ANC	Health care provider (Ref.)			
	Media	0.5505	(0.1401-0.2144)	0.3843
	Others	0.7064	(0.3389-1.4608)	0.3494
Income	Poor (Ref.)			
	Middle	1.1725	(0.3094-4.7162)	0.8172
Distance	No problem (Ref.)			
	Big problem	0.9548	(0.4830-1.8941)	0.8943

Note: Ref. =Reference category, AOR=Adjusted Odds Ratio, CI=Confidence Interval