A Hybrid Care Intervention for High-Risk Chronic Respiratory Patients *Bridging the Gap Between Clinical Trials and Real-World Practice*

Alba Gómez-López[1+]; Núria Sánchez-Ruano[2+]; Marta Sorribes[3]; Isaac Cano[1,4]; Gerard Carot[5,6]; Ramon Farré[4]; Jose Fermoso[7]; Rubèn González-Colom[1]; María José Gordillo[2]; Alba Jiménez[6,8]; Xabier Michelena[5,6]; Marina Paredes[9]; Josep Roca[1]; Jin Seok You[9]; Néstor Soler[9]; Ebymar Arismendi[9,10]*.*

1. Fundació de Recerca Clínic Barcelona – Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer (FRCB-IDIBAPS), Barcelona, Spain.

2. Consorci d'Atenció Primària de Barcelona-Esquerra (CAPSBE), Barcelona, Spain.

3. CAP Numància, Institut Català de la Salut (ICS), Barcelona, Spain.

4. Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

5. Catalan Health Service (CatSalut), Barcelona, Spain.

6. Digitalization for the Sustainability of the Healthcare System (DS3) — IDIBELL, Barcelona, Spain.

7. CARTIF Technology Center, Valladolid, Spain.

8. TIC Salut Social Foundation, Barcelona, Spain.

9. Pulmonology Department, Hospital Clinic of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

10. Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Respiratorias (CIBERES), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain.

+, * These authors contributed equally

Corresponding author: *Alba Gómez-López*

C/ Roselló, 149, 08036, Barcelona, Spain

+34 93 227 57 07

gomez14@recerca.clinic.cat

ABSTRACT

Background: Community-based management of exacerbations in high-risk chronic obstructive respiratory patients remains a major challenge due to patients' heterogeneities, co-morbidities and symptoms-based assessment of the episodes. Hybrid care interventions—combining digital tools with in-person, patient-centered care—have demonstrated efficacy in reducing unplanned hospitalizations. However, their effectiveness in real-world settings is less well established.

Objectives: To report the co-design process aiming to (1) characterize target candidates; and (2) adapt the implementation of a hybrid care intervention to routine clinical practice.

Methods: In the Integrated Health District of Barcelona-Esquerra (520 k citizens), three Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) co-design cycles, each lasting six months, were conducted using a mixed-methods approach during a two-year follow-up (2024–2025) of a cohort of 205 patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and co-morbidities or severe asthma.

Results: By the end of PDSA cycle 3 (August 2025), profiles of high-risk candidates for the hybrid care intervention were identified. The specificities of the three intertwined components of the intervention: i) health risk assessment, ii) advanced digital support, and iii) nurse-led in person care were defined. Home-based, patient's self-administered Oscillometry proved useful for the objective assessment and management of exacerbations. Adapting the implementation of the hybrid care intervention to local clinical workflows was identified as a priority to enable its sustainable adoption.

Conclusions: A personalized hybrid care intervention appears suitable for the management of heterogeneous high-risk respiratory patients. Ongoing tailored implementation during PDSA cycle 4, until February 2026, will be key for its scale up and sustainable adoption.

Trial registration number: NCT06421402.

Keywords: Bronchiectasis, COPD; Digital Health; Exacerbations; Implementation; Multimorbidity; Predictive Modelling; Severe Asthma.

INTRODUCTION

Patients with COPD, or severe asthma frequently experience unplanned hospitalizations partly explained by suboptimal management of multifactorial acute episodes[1–3]. These events deteriorate health-related quality of life, worsen prognosis and disproportionately consume healthcare resources. While consensus guidelines exist for inpatient management of severe exacerbations in these patients[4], effective community-based prevention strategies remain an urgent priority[5–7].

Evidence indicates that early detection and personalized community-based care can reduce hospitalization rates, improve clinical outcomes, and enhance quality of life, while simultaneously alleviating strain on healthcare systems, a critical unmet need in global health policy[8–10]. Despite demonstrated efficacy of such interventions in preventing unplanned admissions [11], a persistent gap remains between the randomized controlled trial (RCT) results and the effectiveness of the approach [12] . This is partly due to current definitions of exacerbation relying broadly on symptomatic changes. The inherent heterogeneities in patients with diverse multimorbidity profiles, and other factors, are adding complexities to the management of these patients[13–15]

The current study builds-up on several sources of evidence[16,17] indicating that hybrid care interventions with a patient-centred approach, in which in-person care and digital support are combined, could overcome such limitations demonstrating healthcare value-generation of early community-based management of exacerbations to effectively prevent emergency room consultations and unplanned hospitalizations.

Briefly, we showed in a multicentre RCT, conducted in 2006, that an integrated care intervention, administered by an advanced care nurse with digital support significantly reduced the rate of unplanned hospitalizations by 40%[11]. Such outcome was not reproduced when the intervention was transferred to the primary care setting[12]. However, the patients significantly improved health-related quality of life, self-management, reduced anxiety-depression, had less emergency room visits and showed lower mortality rates[12]. Moreover, we learned that risk prediction, training of professionals, and mature digital support were key dimensions to be addressed to ensure the expected outcomes of the intervention. Subsequent progress made in terms of digital support[17], and in integration between specialized care and community-based health[16,18,19] and social services[20], opened the window for the current process of implementation tailoring.

Recently, in the context of the two-year follow-up of a cohort of 205 patients with COPD and co-morbidities, or with severe asthma, designed to explore the impact of indoor air quality (IAQ) on acute events[21], we piloted a hybrid care intervention[22], aiming at fostering adoption of its key elements in real-world settings.

The refinement of the intervention during the follow-up of the cohort was conducted through a co-design process with four Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) cycles[23]. The underlying hypothesis being that the tailored implementation of the hybrid care intervention could foster its scale-up and sustainable adoption. This intervention was conceived to provide support to two well-differentiated ongoing clinical programs: (1) Community-based management of chronic obstructive respiratory patients in the Integrated Health District of Barcelona-Esquerra[24] (AISBE, 520 k citizens), and (2) Severe Asthma Clinic at Hospital Clinic de Barcelona (HCB).

The current manuscript describes the key lessons learned on the administration of the hybrid care intervention[22] , from January 2024 to August 2025. Unmet needs to achieve sustainable adoption of the intervention in real-world settings were identified at the end of PDSA-3 (August 2025), being addressed during the PDSA cycle-4, from 1st September 2025 to 1st March 20206, through a tailored scale-up of the hybrid care intervention in the two afore mentioned clinical programs.

METHOD

Study design and participants

We conducted a mixed-methods study nested within the EU project K-HEALTHinAIR[21] in the catchment area of HCB (Barcelona, ES), reporting qualitative co-creation activities in parallel with quantitative profiling of participants' clinical characteristics and digital literacy, in accordance with GRAMMS[25] guidelines for mixed-methods studies.

Within this setting, a total of 205 patients were enrolled between November 2023 and March 2025. Of these, 152 were community-managed patients within the AISBE district with chronic obstructive respiratory diseases (i.e. COPD, asthma and bronchiectasis) and high comorbidity burden, defined using the AMG[26,27] risk stratification tool, and allocated above the 80th percentile of the Catalan population. The remaining 53 participants were patients with severe asthma, stages 5 and 6,[28,29] recruited at HCB's Severe Asthma Clinic. At baseline, all participants were active users of the health system and were not enrolled in intermediate care, home care, or palliative care programs. Full eligibility criteria and follow-up procedures are provided in the study protocol[22].

The co-design process

From its inception, the intervention was conceived in four innovative pillars identified through previous research and stakeholder engagement[22,30]: 1) Household assessment of IAQ with low-cost sensors (LCS)[30]; 2) Lung function testing through Oscillometry; 3) Digital support for early detection and clinical management of acute episodes, with an adaptive case management approach provided

by the digital platform Health Circuit [16,17]; and 4) Predictive modelling to support clinical decision making[31] (**Table S2**).

These four pillars were tested individually across the three initial PDSA cycles, with emphasis on assessing clinical feasibility, user acceptability, and technological readiness for routine care integration. Simultaneously, contextual data were collected to characterize the study population across multiple dimensions, including sociodemographic, clinical and functional characteristics, unhealthy lifestyle habits, multimorbidity burden[26,27,32], social frailty, use of healthcare resources and digital literacy.

The specific objectives of the co-design process were threefold: (1) to identify and characterize the profiles of the target candidates for the personalized hybrid care intervention; and (2) to iteratively refine and integrate the components of the hybrid care intervention based on empirical evidence and stakeholder feedback to ensure contextual relevance and feasibility. The ultimate aim being to co-develop a tailored implementation strategy adapted to local care pathways and organizational constraints, fostering long-term adoption, as well as transferability to other sites.

The three initial PDSA cycles were conducted by the multidisciplinary research group[33] with the active contributions of the patients participating in the two-year follow-up study. At the end of PDSA cycle-3, the outputs from the initial three PDSA cycles were collectively evaluated by a dedicated focus group session with a subset of leading clinical professionals, IT developers, data scientists and managers (n = 14) to consolidate findings and reach a final consensus on the structure of the integrated hybrid care intervention and the implementation strategy, aiming at fostering sustainable adoption in routine practice.

Patient profiling

Clinical characterization

At baseline, the cohort was characterized using a comprehensive set of variables, including sociodemographic data, primary respiratory diagnosis, comorbidities, lung function using forced spirometry and Oscillometry, and healthcare resource utilization in the 12 months preceding enrolment (primary care visits, emergency department visits, hospital admissions, and total healthcare expenditure). Data on home-based respiratory therapies (oxygen therapy, non-invasive ventilation, nebulized treatments) were collected. Functional capacity was assessed using standardized patient-reported outcomes evaluating physical and mental health [34–36], as well as respiratory symptoms and overall respiratory health[22]. Additional variables included lifestyle risk factors (smoking, alcohol, physical activity, passive smoking) and living conditions.

Digital literacy profiling

Digital literacy was assessed with a purpose-designed questionnaire comprising different items evaluating knowledge, usage, and confidence in digital skills relevant to the hybrid care model. Categorical responses were binarized, and a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was applied to identify underlying patterns. Results were compared with a parallel nurse-led classification into five levels of digital skills (None, Low, Medium with no improvement capacity, Medium with improvement capacity, High), based on observed engagement and support needs. The goal was to derive robust digital-literacy profiles by mapping questionnaire-based scores onto the nurse's five-level classification.

Statistical considerations

Continuous variables are reported as mean (SD) or median (IQR), as appropriate; categorical variables are presented as n (%). Between-group comparisons for continuous data used the Student's t-test when distributional assumptions were met and the Wilcoxon rank-sum test otherwise. Categorical data were compared with Fisher's exact test. All tests were two-sided, and $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. All the analyses have been conducted using R version 4.1.1[37].

Comprehensive descriptions of (1) the study population characteristics, (2) description of the methodology and outcomes of the PDSA cycles (PDSA-1 to PDSA-3), and (3) the results of the focus group session, are provided in the Supplementary Material.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval for the process evaluation was granted by the Ethical Committee for Human Research at the Hospital Clínic de Barcelona on June 29, 2023 (HCB/2023/0126) and registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT06421402). The patients participating in the research were required to provide and sign a written informed consent indicating the purpose of the study, the nature, use and management of their data.

RESULTS

Clinical characterization of the cohort

Of the 205 patients enrolled, 22 (10.7%) were excluded from analysis (19 withdrawals; 3 deaths), resulting in an analytic cohort of 183 participants. **Table 1** summarises baseline clinical characteristics for (1) the overall analytic cohort (n = 183), (2) community-managed patients in the AISBE programme (n = 135; 73.77%), and (3) patients followed at HCB's Severe Asthma Unit (n = 48;

26.23%). Variables were limited to core domains: socio-demographics, main respiratory diagnosis, comorbidity burden, lung function, and 12-month healthcare use; further details on therapies, functional status, lifestyles, frailty, and socioeconomic/educational status are in **Table S2**.

Compared with patients from the Severe Asthma Unit, the community-managed patients with chronic obstructive respiratory diseases were significantly older (72.4 vs 57.4 years; p<.001), more often male (51.9% vs 18.8%; p<.001), and had a higher comorbidity burden (AMG 83.8 vs 63.7; p=.001). On the AMG scale, the overall cohort mean (78.5) lies slightly over the threshold of the High-risk stratum, corresponding to the percentile 95 of the Catalan population (8 million citizens); by group, community-managed patients average within the High-risk stratum (83.8), whereas severe asthma patients average in Moderate risk (63.7), that is, between percentiles 80 and 95 of the Catalan population. Lung function indicated greater airflow limitation in the community-based AISBE program (FEV_1% predicted 57.3% vs 82.6%; p<.001). Healthcare utilisation over the prior year was broadly similar between groups (all-cause hospitalisation 35.8% vs 33.3%, p=.861; unplanned 27.6% vs 22.9%, p=.573). Median total expenditure was higher in the severe-asthma group (€8,101 vs €5,133), although the difference was not statistically significant (p=.109).

TABLE 1 – Main characteristics of the study groups

Variable	All patients (n=183)	Patients followed by Primary Care (n=135)	Patients followed by the Severe Asthma Unit (n=48)	P value
Age, Gender and Smoking habit				
Age; mean (SD)	68.45 (11.98)	72.38 (8.52)	57.42 (13.43)	<.001
Male; n (%)	79 (43.17%)	70 (51.85%)	9 (18.75%)	<.001
Female; n (%)	104 (56.83%)	65 (48.15%)	39 (81.25%)	<.001
Main Respiratory Diagnosis, Co-morbidities and Forced Spirometry				
Severe asthma n (%)	48 (26.23%)	0 (0%)	48 (100.00%)	N.A.
Asthma n (%)	15 (8.20%)	15 (11.11%)	0 (0%)	N.A.
COPD; n (%)	97 (53.01%)	97 (71.85%)	0 (0%)	N.A.
Bronchiectasis; n (%)	23 (12.57%)	23 (17.04%)	0 (0%)	N.A.
AMG score; mean (SD)	78.53 (46.27)	83.82 (45.26)	63.74 (46.32)	.001
FEV1 %; mean (SD)	63.83 (25.16)	57.28 (22.79)	82.64 (22.16)	<.001
FEV1/FVC; mean (SD)	52.17 (16.61)	48.50 (13.10)	83.40 (6.51)	.012
Utilization of healthcare resources during the 12 months before inclusion in the study				
Hospitalizations; n (%)	64 (35.16%)	48 (35.82%)	16 (33.33%)	.861
Unplanned hospitalizations; n (%)	48 (26.37%)	37 (27.61%)	11 (22.92%)	.573
Total healthcare expenditure/patient in €; median (Q1-Q3)	5,658 (2,890–12,084)	5,133 (2,890–10,611)	8,101 (3,543–16,045)	.109

AMG[26,27,32] score: Adjusted Morbidity Groups score, indicating co-morbidities and complexity; COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; FVC: Forced Vital Capacity; FEV1: Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 second; FEV1/FVC: Ratio of FEV1 to FVC; Total healthcare expenditure corresponds to total expenditure per year across all healthcare tiers.

The baseline heterogeneity assessment (**Table 1** and **Figure S1**), and the longitudinal contacts between the nurse case manager and participants over the first 18 months of the follow-up, enabled the identification of six clinical groups: two within HCB's Severe Asthma program (A1–A2) and four within the community-based AISBE program (C1–C4), showing different requirements regarding the hybrid care intervention, as detailed in **Table 2**.

TABLE 2 – The six clinical groups defined in the study cohort

Grup	Main features	Hybrid Care implications
Severe Asthma Program at HCB (n=48)		
A1 23 (47.92%)	Severe asthma, stages 5 and 6, clinically stable without requiring interactions with the nurse	Only requiring an active communication channel with the nurse without scheduled actions.
A2 25 (52.08%)	Exacerbations and/or other problems (mental disorders: mostly anxiety/depression, social frailty, ...) trigger frequent interactions with the nurse	Target candidates for a hybrid care intervention. Specific needs identified for clinically unstable patients with severe asthma and obesity.
Community-based AISBE Program (n=135)		
C1 50 (37.04%)	Mild-moderate lung disease (i.e. COPD, GOLD I or II) with few symptoms and unproblematic co-morbidities.	Not candidates for the intervention. Potential inclusion of specific patients to be assessed individually.
C2 18 (13.33%)	Moderate-severe lung disease, and/or rapid disease progress and/or history of severe exacerbations	Target candidates for the hybrid care intervention with shared care agreements with specialized care
C3 33 (24.44%)	Moderate-severe lung disease with problematic co-morbidities and/or social frailty.	Target candidates requiring a personalized intervention[31]
C4 34 (25.19%)	Very severe lung disease (i.e. COPD, GOLD IV) often under home respiratory therapies.	Target candidate interacting closely with an advanced respiratory nurse.

Beyond the clinical features summarised in **Table 2**, the six patient profiles reflected graded levels of disability/dependence as assessed with the 12-item WHODAS with domain-specific scores. **Figure 1** depicts boxplots for each WHODAS domain showing the overall cohort (grey leftmost box in each panel) and the six clinical groups (A1–A2; C1–C4). Overall, the total WHODAS score was 1.88±0.77 (IQR 1.5–2.4), consistent with light disability. By group, disability was highest in A2 (2.41±1.01), followed by C4 (2.25±0.73) and C3 (2.07±0.74); C2 showed mild impairment (1.63±0.41), and the lowest scores were seen in A1 (1.56±0.63) and C1 (1.49±0.54). Within the specific disability domains, the dispersion was substantial. The clinically unstable asthma group (A2) showed the highest disability across all six domains, whereas AISBE groups displayed a progressive increase from C2 → C4 in most domains, except "Getting along", where the gradient was less marked. A1 and C1 consistently exhibited the lowest disability profiles.



Figure 1 – Disability assessment using the WHODAS 12.0 questionnaire[34]. *Boxplots of the assessment of disability for the six dimensions of the questionnaire. The first boxplot corresponds to the entire study cohort, whereas the subsequent six boxplots correspond to the results obtained for each of the clinical groups described in* ***Table 2****. Disability domains: Cognition (understanding/communicating), Mobility (moving/getting around), Self-care (hygiene, dressing, eating, staying alone), Getting along (interacting with others), Life activities (household/work), and Participation (community participation and societal involvement).*

Previous studies confirmed three key modulators of risk for severe acute episodes: i) severity of the pulmonary disease, ii) co-morbidity burden, and ii) previous history of severe exacerbations requiring unplanned hospitalizations[1]. In this regard, patients falling into the groups: A2 and C2-C4 are target candidates for the hybrid care intervention. The heterogeneities of the patients in terms disability and social vulnerability stress the need for a holistic individual assessment aiming at personalization of the intervention to generate efficiencies, as well as to prevent inequities, through a proper modulation of health and social care resources to be actioned. An additional relevant aspect for shaping the hybrid care intervention is the digital literacy of the patient candidate.

Digital literacy: The study evaluated the participants' knowledge, usage, and confidence across a range of digital skills relevant to the hybrid care digital solution. The summary results are depicted in

Figure 2 wherein the individual digital literacy scoring was compared with the independent, subjective classification made by the nurse. Briefly, 109 patients showed either high digital skills (n=51; 27.9%) or medium level scoring with significant improvements after training (n=58; 31.7%). The remaining patients presented significant limitations in the use of digital tools ranging from: i) unable (n=16; 8.7%), ii) poor digital skills (n=37; 20.2%), and iii) medium level scoring without significant improvements with training (21; 11.5%). Overall, 41 (22.4%) participants did not use the app, either per the nurse's evaluation or by the participant's own decision. The two most critical functions limiting the use of the digital tools were: i) login to the App, and ii) synchronization of the wrist sensor.



Figure 2: Digital literacy assessment. *Distribution of the patients (dots) according to their digital literacy scoring (X-axis) against the requirements in terms of support and training (Y-axis) resulting from the twelve questions evaluated in the survey. The colours indicate the six groups resulting from the digital literacy scoring subjectively assessed by the nurse case manager: i) high digital skills (garnet); ii) medium scoring with improvement after training (dark blue), and iii) without improvement after training (dark green); iv) low digital skills (lighter green); v) no skills after using the digital tools (light green); and vi) digitally excluded by the nurse, never used the digital tools available in the study (yellow. The discontinuous lines indicate the area including 95% of the individuals in each of the six groups identified). The boxplots of digital skills (X-axis) and requirements of support (Y-axis) for the five groups using the digital tools are also depicted in the figure.*

Evolutions of the co-design process throughout the three initial PDSA cycles

At the end of PDSA-2, two components of the intervention: household IAQ monitoring with LCS and home-based Oscillometry testing achieved maturity. However, the adaptation of the hybrid care intervention for adoption into the real-world setting required further developments prompting the need for prolongation of the co-design tasks during the third PDSA cycle (**Table S2**).

Briefly, the outcomes of the household IAQ continuous monitoring were recently reported in[30]. The clinical use of LCS for household IAQ assessment in specific circumstances was identified and recommendations were reported. The assessment of Oscillometry showed a high potential of the technique. Completion of the follow-up (PDSA-4) will allow us to generate specific recommendations for the use of home-based Oscillometry in exacerbations, as well as to produce proposals for enhanced standardization of the technique.

Hybrid Care Intervention: The hybrid care, patient-centred, intervention, summarized in **Table 3**, combines digitally enabled care and in-person assistance of a nurse case manager. The characteristics of the digital support: temporality, modalities and intensity, will be decided by the nurse case manager based on the patient's profile and temporal requirements.

The management of clinically stable candidates for the hybrid care intervention (**Table 2**) begins with a holistic assessment followed by a personalized design of the intensity and characteristics of the intervention. The clinical management plan is fully aligned with the international guidelines for each main respiratory diagnosis: COPD[1], asthma[2] or bronchiectasis[3].

The primary aim of the intervention is to enhance the management of exacerbations at community level preventing severe acute episodes requiring emergency room visits and/or unplanned hospitalizations. It is acknowledged that the optimization of the approach requires patients' proactivity and empowerment for self-management, ensuring communication with the nurse in an early phase of an acute episode.

Table 3 – Main characteristics of the hybrid care intervention[22]

Elements in a Digitally Enabled Care Continuum Setting	Clinical programs
Pro-active patient: fulfilling inclusion criteria for the program and empowering self-management. Accessibility to the nurse through a multimedia communication channel *(App)* and digitally enabled with the patient's self-capture data system which includes structured and non-structured data, through self-administered short questionnaires, and real-time monitoring of physiological variables, such as HR, HRV, activity levels, pulse oximetry, etc… *(wrist sensor)*	**Community-based AISBE program**: included co-morbid patients with chronic obstructive disorders and an AMG[26,27,32] scoring above percentile eighty in the health risk regional population pyramid. The patients have as a reference physician the general practitioner. The interactions with other service modalities are fully aligned with the pre-existing shared care agreements at health district level (520 k citizens).
Nurse case manager: based in the community or at specialized clinics, depending upon the clinical program. Accessibility to the patient and to the reference physician (and other healthcare professionals) through a multimedia communication channel. Digitally enabled with the professional's dashboard	**Severe Asthma Clinic program**: included asthma patients, stages 5 and 6, controlled by one pneumologist from the tertiary hospital (HCB). One nurse case manager ascribed to the study conducted the hybrid care intervention.
Reference physician: primary care physician or specialist depending upon the clinical program. Accessibility to the nurse case manager (to other healthcare professionals and to the patient) through a multimedia communication channel.	
Management of clinically stable patients	
-The first step is the patient's motivational interview conducted by the nurse aimed at: (1) To empower the patient for self-management of their condition, and (2) To facilitate the collaborative development of a patient's tailored care plan, with a holistic approach, agreed upon by the patient, the nurse, and the reference physician. -The care plan forms the basis for the patient's periodic follow-up by the nurse case manager, with most interactions taking place through the established communication channel. In all cases, the management plan takes into consideration the diversity of patients' profiles. -The clinical management plan is fully aligned with the standard care recommended for each respiratory diagnosis: COPD[1], Asthma[2] and Bronchiectasis[3].	
Management of acute episodes	
-In the event of a worsening of clinical conditions, the patient can contact the nurse case manager through the communication channel. The contact triggers the process of identifying a potential exacerbation, based on the patient's reported symptoms (mMRC and CAT), and the clinical judgement of the nurse who will be able to interact with the reference physician to decide on further steps needed for the diagnosis and management of the acute episode whatever are its characteristics: i) lung-related events: exacerbation or complication such as pneumonia or thromboembolism, etc…, ii) non-pulmonary dyspnoea, or iii) dyspnoea of mixed origin. - Home-based management of the acute episode activates: i) close follow-up of the patient, remote or face-to-face, by the nurse case manager, ii) daily patient's self-administration of short questionnaires for symptoms assessment, as well as measurements of Oscillometry and monitoring of physiological variables (HRV) with a wrist device, and iii) adjustment of the therapeutic plan in agreement with the reference physician. This action plan will be maintained for one or two weeks depending upon the patient's progress.	

HR: Heart Rate; HRV: Heart Rate Variability; AISBE: Integrated Social and Health Care Based on Evidence; AMG: Adjusted Morbidity Groups; HCB: Hospital Clínic de Barcelona; HRV: Heart Rate Variability; mMRC: Modified Medical Research Council Dyspnoea Scale; CAT: COPD Assessment Test; COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease.

The digital support to the hybrid care intervention provided by the Health Circuit platform was reported in [17]. Briefly, the key features are: i) Multichannel communication between key professionals and patient ii) Shared care plans across healthcare tiers with an Adaptive Case Management (ACM) approach allowing flexibility in front of unexpected events and to specificities of different service providers, iii) Patients' data capture (short questionnaires, testing and monitoring physiological data), iv) Cloud-based architecture to enable scalability and i) Standard-based interoperability with existing corporate-specific health information systems.

The digital support provided to the main actors is described in **Table 3**. To be highlighted that the digital tools given to the patient are customized according to his/her needs at each time point throughout the follow-up, taking into consideration the patient's digital literacy. For example, patients pertaining to the A1 group (clinically stable severe asthma) will have only the App to communicate with the nurse case manager when needed. However, the suspicion of an exacerbation can likely trigger an in-person visit of the nurse case manager, followed by daily assessment of symptoms (short questionnaires send through the App), patient's self-measurement of Oscillometry and monitoring of heart rate variability using a wrist sensor, as well as in-person, or on-line, nurse' visits as required, during a short period of one or two weeks depending upon the clinical evolution.

The co-design process identified limiting factors for the digitally enabled management of the cohort and provided emergent solutions for those limitations being addressed during the last PDSA cycling (PDSA-4), as summarized in the Discussion section. To be highlighted that the research nature of the study was also a relevant factor partly limiting the testing of the full potential of the hybrid care intervention. Its refinement, and tailored scale-up in routine care, was identified as a priority goal to be achieved during the last semester of the follow-up of the study cohort, ending at the end of February 2026.

DISCUSSION

The most relevant outcomes of the reported eighteen-month co-design process were: (1) Identification of the profiles of target candidates for a hybrid care intervention, and (2) the refinement of the intervention to solve the existing gap between efficacy and effectiveness in the community-based management of high-risk chronic obstructive respiratory patients. The study also contributed to overcome current limitations of digital enabled clinical care through the combination of three components: i) patient-based health risk assessment facilitating customization of personalized care, ii) advanced, and flexible, digital support, and iii) nurse-led in person care either

based at community level or with the profile of an advance care nurse depending upon the type of clinical program.

The co-design process identified three target clinical program modalities for the hybrid care intervention: (1) high-risk cases identified in the community, (2) vulnerable patients at discharge from hospital, as part of post-discharge care programs, and (3) selected patients managed in specialized outpatient clinics. Main expected outcomes to be achieved during the final PDSA-cycle (PDSA-4) undertaken during the last semester phase of the follow-up of the study cohort are summarized in **Table 4**.

We understand that the modularity, and flexibility, of the architecture of the digital platform, as well as the holistic approach of the hybrid care intervention, facilitate a proper matching with patients' needs and specificities of service providers which may open opportunities for transferability to other sites, as well as testing generalization of the approach to management of other chronic conditions (i.e. congestive heart failure, etc…) having as a common objective to reduce potentially avoidable hospitalizations, a marker of proper interactions between primary and hospital care.

Table 4 – Summary of key expected outcomes during the fourth PDSA cycle

Intervention Components	Expected Improvements & Outcomes
Household IAQ assessment *(co-design activities completed in PDSA-2)*	o Task completed
Oscillometry *(co-design activities completed in PDSA-2)*	o Guidelines for home-based Oscillometry during exacerbations o Guidelines for use of Oscillometry in clinically stable patients. o Proposals for enhanced standardization of Oscillometry
Hybrid Care Intervention *(PDSA activity ongoing)*	**Assessment of healthcare value generation & Foster sustainable adoption with the ItFits[38] and I-STEM[39] Toolkits**
	o Engagement of healthcare professionals in AISBE o Deployment of training programs for professionals o Bridging digital transformation with clinical practice. o Demonstration of healthcare value generation (*) o Identification of KPIs facilitating program management & fostering scalability and quality assurance of the program. o Preparation for transferability to other sites. Elaboration of a booklet guiding the implementation process
	Further evolution the digital support
	o Easy patient two-factor authentication with biometrics o Reduce the time required for synchronization of the wearable clinical device for monitoring of Heart Rate Variability o Enable a multimedia communication channel among healthcare professionals and voice-messaging o Enhanced clinician's dashboard for management of active interventions o Design of a novel voice-optimized interface, based on conversational agents powered by generative artificial intelligence (GenAI), for patients with low digital literacy
Predictive modelling	o Identification of high-risk candidates for the hybrid care

(PDSA activity ongoing)	intervention ○ Assessment of the impact of household IAQ on severe exacerbations, ○ Early management of moderate exacerbations at community level

(*) Quintuple Aim Assessment: (1) health outcomes, (2) patient reported outcomes (PROMs) and patient reported experiences (PREMs), (3) health professionals' experience, (4) direct costs evaluated with a cost–consequence analysis and (5) estimation of indirect costs to assess potential sources of inequity; *IAQ: Indoor Air Quality; LCS: Low-Cost Sensors; ACM: Adaptive Case Management; Symptoms: GenAI, Generative Artificial Intelligence.*

CONCLUSIONS

The key learning of the current study is that the ACM approach of the hybrid care intervention suits the requirements for the management of high-risk chronic obstructive respiratory patients either due to disease severity and/or to co-morbidities or other factors leading to potential inequities. The ongoing implementation tailoring should foster its sustainable adoption in real-world settings. The hybrid care intervention analysed in the current co-design study shows a high level of adaptability and potential for generalization to other target non-respiratory disorders.

Abbreviations:

ACM: Adaptive Case Management; **AISBE**: Integrated Health District of Barcelona-Esquerra; **AMG**: Adjusted Morbidity Groups; **CAT**: COPD Assessment Test; **CHSS**: Catalan Health Surveillance System; **COPD**: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; **GRAMMS**: Good Reporting of a Mixed-Methods Study; **EU**: European Union; **FEV_1**: Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 second; **FEV_1/FVC**: Ratio of FEV_1 to FVC; **FVC**: Forced Vital Capacity; **GenAI**: Generative Artificial Intelligence; **HCB**: Hospital Clínic de Barcelona; **HR**: Heart Rate; **HRV**: Heart Rate Variability; **IAQ**: Indoor Air Quality; **IT**: Information Technology; **ITFITS**: Integrated Theory-based Framework for Intervention Tailoring Strategies; **I-STEM**: Implementation Stakeholder Engagement Model; **KPI**: Key Performance Indicator; **LCS**: Low-Cost Sensors; **ML**: Machine Learning; **mMRC**: Modified Medical Research Council Dyspnoea Scale; **PCA**: Principal Component Analysis; **PDSA**: Plan-Do-Study-Act; **PM**: Particulate Matter; **PREMs**: Patient Reported Experiences Measures; **PROMs**: Patient Reported Outcomes Measures; **RCT**: Randomized Controlled Trial; **SD**: Standard Deviation; **VOC**: Volatile Organic Compounds.

Data Availability:

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study contain sensitive patient information and will not be openly distributed. However, anonymized data may be made available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author, subject to institutional data sharing agreements and ethical approval.

Acknowledgements:

Funded by the European Union

The K-HEALTHinAIR project funded this study, Grant Agreement nº 101057693, under a European Union's Call on Environment and Health (HORIZON-HLTH-2021-ENVHLTH-02).

This research was also supported by the Catalan Government and the Catalan Department of Research and Universities under contract 2021 SGR 00326.

Disclaimer: Views and opinions expressed are, however, those of the authors only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Health and Digital Executive Agency as granting authority. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible.

Competing Interests:

IC and JR hold shares in Health Circuit SL. JR contributes to the Astra-Zeneca Global Oscillometry Advisory Board. All other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. AGUSTÍ A ET AL. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease 2023 Report: GOLD Executive Summary. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2023;207(7):819-837. doi:10.1164/rccm.202301-0106PP

2. REDDEL HK ET AL. Global Initiative for Asthma Strategy 2021: executive summary and rationale for key changes. *Eur Respir J*. 2022;59(1). doi:10.1183/13993003.02730-2021

3. POLVERINO E ET AL. European Respiratory Society guidelines for the management of adult bronchiectasis. *Eur Respir J*. 2017;50(3). doi:10.1183/13993003.00629-2017

4. CELLI BR ET AL. An updated definition and severity classification of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations: The rome proposal. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2021;204(11):1251-1258. doi:10.1164/RCCM.202108-1819PP/SUPPL_FILE/DISCLOSURES.PDF

5. SOLER-CATALUÑA JJ ET AL. Impact of COPD Exacerbations and Burden of Disease in Spain: AVOIDEX Study. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2023;18:1103-1114. doi:10.2147/COPD.S406007

6. VOGELMEIER CF ET AL. COPD Exacerbation History and Impact on Future Exacerbations - 8-Year Retrospective Observational Database Cohort Study from Germany. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2021;16:2407-2417. doi:10.2147/COPD.S322036

7. LØKKE A ET AL. Disease Trajectories and Impact of One Moderate Exacerbation in Gold B COPD Patients. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2022;17:569-578. doi:10.2147/COPD.S344669

8. OHAR JA ET AL. A comprehensive care plan that reduces readmissions after acute exacerbations of COPD. *Respir Med*. 2018;141:20-25. doi:10.1016/j.rmed.2018.06.014

9. EUCEDA G ET AL. The Effects of a Comprehensive Care Management Program on Readmission Rates After Acute Exacerbation of COPD at a Community-Based Academic Hospital. *Chronic Obstr Pulm Dis*. 2018;5(3):185-192. doi:10.15326/jcopdf.5.3.2017.0177

10. COSÍO BG ET AL. Implementation of an Integrated Care Model for Frequent-Exacerbator COPD Patients: A Controlled Prospective Study. *Arch Bronconeumol*. 2021;57(9):577-583. doi:10.1016/j.arbr.2021.01.010

11. CASAS A ET AL. Integrated care prevents hospitalisations for exacerbations in COPD patients. *European Respiratory Journal*. 2006;28(1):123-130. doi:10.1183/09031936.06.00063205

12. HERNÁNDEZ C ET AL. Effectiveness of community-based integrated care in frail COPD patients: a randomised controlled trial. *Primary Care Respiratory Medicine*. Published online 2015:25. doi:10.1038/npjpcrm.2015.22

13. SINGH D ET AL. Is Disease Stability an Attainable Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Treatment Goal? *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2025;211(3):452-463. doi:10.1164/rccm.202406-1254CI

14. CELLI BR. Dissecting COPD exacerbations: time to rethink our definition. *Eur Respir J*. 2017;50(3). doi:10.1183/13993003.01432-2017

15. HURST JR ET AL. Susceptibility to exacerbation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;363(12):1128-1138. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0909883

16. HERRANZ C. An Adaptive Case Management Approach to Prevent Unplanned Hospital Admissions in a Care Continuum Scenario. Published online 2023.

17. HERRANZ C ET AL. A Practice-Proven Adaptive Case Management Approach for Innovative Health Care Services (Health Circuit): Cluster Randomized Clinical Pilot and Descriptive Observational Study. *J Med Internet Res*. 2023;25:e47672. doi:10.2196/47672

18. MARCOS PJ ET AL. Impact of a Home Telehealth Program After a Hospitalized COPD Exacerbation: A Propensity Score Analysis. *Arch Bronconeumol*. 2022;58(6):474-481. doi:10.1016/j.arbres.2020.05.030

19. IRIBERRI PASCUAL M ET AL. Real-world Outcomes of a Telemonitoring Program (telEPOC) for Patients With COPD With Frequent Hospital Readmissions. *Open respiratory archives*. 2024;6(Suppl 2):100437. doi:10.1016/j.opresp.2025.100437

20. VELA E ET AL. Impact of Integrating Social and Health Home Care Services in Catalonia: A Retrospective Cohort-Based Two-Year Study. *Int J Integr Care*. 2025;25(2):15. doi:10.5334/ijic.8909

21. K-HEALTH IN AIR (2022 - 2026). Knowledge for Improving Indoor Air Quality and Health (K-Health in Air). Published 2022. https://k-healthinair.eu/

22. GÓMEZ-LÓPEZ A ET AL. Protocol for the enhanced management of multimorbid patients with COPD and severe asthma: role of indoor air quality. *BMJ Open Respir Res*. 2025;12(1). doi:10.1136/bmjresp-2024-002589

23. CHRISTOFF P. Running PDSA cycles. *Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care*. 2018;48(8):198-201. doi:10.1016/J.CPPEDS.2018.08.006

24. FONT D ET AL. Integrated Health Care Barcelona Esquerra (Ais-Be): A Global View of Organisational Development , Re-Engineering of Processes and Improvement of the Information Systems . The Role of the Tertiary University Hospital in the Transformation. 2016;16(2):1-10.

25. O'CATHAIN A ET AL. The quality of mixed methods studies in health services research. *J Health Serv Res Policy*. 2008;13(2):92-98. doi:10.1258/jhsrp.2007.007074

26. MONTERDE D ET AL. Adjusted morbidity groups: A new multiple morbidity measurement of use in Primary Care. *Aten Primaria*. 2016;48(10):674-682. doi:10.1016/J.APRIM.2016.06.003

27. CEREZO-CEREZO J ET AL. GOOD PRACTICE BRIEF - Population stratification: A fundamental instrument used for population health management in Spain. Published 2018. Accessed March 2, 2022. https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/345586/WHO-EURO-2018-3032-42790-59709-eng.pdf?sequence=3

28. VENKATESAN P. 2023 GINA report for asthma. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2023;11(7):589. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(23)00230-8

29. PLAZA MORAL V ET AL. GEMA 5.3. Spanish Guideline on the Management of Asthma. *Open respiratory archives*. 2023;5(4):100277. doi:10.1016/j.opresp.2023.100277

30. GONZÁLEZ-COLOM R ET AL. Should Household Air Quality Monitoring Be Considered in Selected Asthma & COPD Patients? *European Respiratory Journal Open Research*.

31. GONZÁLEZ-COLOM R ET AL. Computational modelling for prevention of unplanned hospital admissions in multimorbid patients. *J Med Internet Res*. Published online 2023. doi:10.2196/40846

32. GONZALEZ-COLOM R ET AL. Toward Adoption of Health Risk Assessment in Population-Based and Clinical Scenarios: Lessons From JADECARE. *Int J Integr Care*. 2024;24(2):23. doi:10.5334/ijic.7701

33. INCASYM (INTEGRATED CARE AND SYSTEMS MEDICINE). Accessed June 13, 2025. https://www.incasym.com/

34. WHO DISABILITY ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE (WHODAS 2.0). Accessed June 13, 2025. https://www.who.int/standards/classifications/international-classification-of-functioning-disability-and-health/who-disability-assessment-schedule

35. THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION-FIVE WELL-BEING INDEX (WHO-5). Accessed June 13, 2025. https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/WHO-UCN-MSD-MHE-2024.01

36. HAYS RD ET AL. Development of physical and mental health summary scores from the patient-reported outcomes measurement information system (PROMIS) global items. *Qual Life Res*. 2009;18(7):873-880. doi:10.1007/s11136-009-9496-9

37. R CORE TEAM. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Published online 2021.

38. VIS C ET AL. Effectiveness of Self-guided Tailored Implementation Strategies in Integrating and Embedding Internet-Based Cognitive Behavioral Therapy in Routine Mental Health Care: Results of a Multicenter Stepped-Wedge Cluster Randomized Trial. *J Med Internet Res*. 2023;25:e41532. doi:10.2196/41532

39. POTTHOFF S ET AL. Towards an Implementation-STakeholder Engagement Model (I-STEM) for improving health and social care services. *Health Expect*. 2023;26(5):1997-2012. doi:10.1111/hex.13808

WHODAS 12 stratified score



PCA of the Digital Literacy survey