**Towards Participatory Precision Health: Systematic Review and Co-designed Guidelines For Adolescent Just-in-time Adaptive Interventions**

Kathleen W. Guan[1], Mohammed Amara[2], Viviana Cortiana[3], Imran Khan[4], Sıla Gürbüz[5], Carmine Iorio[6], Rayyan Ali[7], Christopher Adlung[1], Crystal R. Smit[8], Annabel Vreeker[8,9], Eva Thalassinou[10], Eeske van Roekel[11], Loes Keijsers[8], Mark de Reuver[1], and Caroline A. Figueroa[1]

[1] Faculty of Technology, Policy, and Management, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands
[2] Department of Experimental Psychology, University of Oxford, United Kingdom
[3] Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, University of Bologna, Italy
[4] Arkansas College of Osteopathic Medicine, United States
[5] Faculty of Medicine, Karadeniz Technical University, Turkey
[6] Department of Philosophy, Social Sciences and Education, University of Perugia, Italy
[7] Imperial College School of Medicine, United Kingdom
[8] Erasmus School of Social and Behavioural Sciences, Erasmus University Rotterdam, The Netherlands
[9] Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychology, Erasmus University Medical Center, The Netherlands
[10] Department of Research and Development, Gro-up, The Netherlands
[11] Department of Developmental Psychology, Tilburg University, The Netherlands

Corresponding author:
Kathleen W. Guan
k.guan@tudelft.nl

## ABSTRACT

This review appraised 64 studies on just-in-time adaptive interventions (JITAIs) for adolescent precision health. JITAIs targeted diverse health conditions through adaptive mechanisms based on contextual mobile sensing data from adolescents. However, intervention and study designs were inconsistent, with limiting reporting of adolescent involvement and ethical considerations, hindering the strength and interpretability of findings. Guidelines co-created with adolescents emphasise the need for JITAIs that balance precise personalisation with adolescent agency.

## INTRODUCTION

Adolescence (10 to 25 years) is a formative period for preventative health interventions. As they transition into early adulthood, adolescents experience continued brain and physical development, alongside heightened vulnerability to risk factors for poor health.[1,2] For example, partaking in behaviours that compromise health, such as substance use, poor diet, and insufficient physical activity, in early life can increase the risk of chronic diseases such as cancer,[3] and disrupt key neurodevelopmental processes related to stress regulation and executive functioning.[4] Further, adolescence is a complex developmental stage, shaped by rapidly shifting and interrelated biological, psychological, and social changes that are unique to each individual.[5] Behavioural patterns during this period emerge dynamically in response to fluctuating contexts and interactions, with significant implications for long-term health. For example, food insecurity may predispose adolescents to poor dietary habits, which in turn can affect their physical health, cognitive development, and emotional resilience over time.[6] In light of these vulnerabilities, early and precisely targeted interventions during adolescence are critical for mitigating both immediate and long-term health risks to promote healthier developmental pathways. Given the record number of young people globally,[7] investing in tailored health interventions during adolescence can significantly improve population health outcomes while alleviating pressures on health systems.[8]

Precision health represents a significant paradigm shift from generalised medical approaches, by prioritising adaptive strategies that tailor health support to optimise diverse outcomes unique to each individual.[9] Whilst much of the initial application of precision health has occurred within adult medical contexts,[8] there is growing recognition that such approaches may be particularly suited to addressing the multifaceted challenges during adolescence.[10] Importantly, precision health approaches during this unique period can enhance both the relevance and effectiveness of adolescent health interventions. In particular, addressing adolescent behaviours and states calls for interventions that precisely tailor to individual risk factors and contextual influences shaping adolescents' lives.

Mobile health (mHealth) technologies are a key avenue for delivering tailored health support to adolescents, whose pervasive use of digital platforms throughout their daily lives and affinity for mobile communication provide opportunities for accessible intervention delivery. Such tools have demonstrated some potential to support and promote positive health behaviours, such as increasing physical activity, improving nutrition, and reducing substance

use among adolescents.[11] However, mHealth interventions often produce inconsistent effects, due to challenges in delivering engaging content that is precisely relevant to adolescents' evolving health contexts over time.[12,13] Extant literature has suggested that use of digital tools to support health behaviours may decline when interventions fail to resonate with or adapt to changing circumstances.[14,15] Demographics (e.g., age, gender), psychosocial characteristics (e.g., mood), and contextual factors (e.g., setting of delivery) play a significant role in individual engagement with mHealth interventions.[13] Thus it is necessary to identify key considerations for designing mobile interventions that are not only tailored, but also dynamically and temporally responsive to adolescents' shifting needs.

One particularly promising precision health approach that has emerged is the just-in-time adaptive intervention (JITAI). JITAIs utilise ongoing data from mobile sensing technology with the aim to deliver tailored contextually relevant support precisely when it is most needed for each individual.[16] JITAIs can provide a flexible strategy for promoting positive health behaviours across individually salient moments and contexts by dynamically adjusting content in response to individual changes. The temporal adaptability that characterises JITAIs is particularly important given the variability in adolescent development, whose health risks and support needs can rapidly shift based on environmental and intrapersonal factors such as mood, stress levels, and social interactions from moment to moment.[17,18] JITAIs can crucially enhance the responsiveness of precision health strategies by delivering support attuned to ongoing changes in adolescents' contexts, helping to ensure tailored intervention content remains relevant and effective in addressing young people's evolving needs.

Despite their potential, most prior analyses of JITAIs have focused on adults and specific health conditions. As such, there is a limited understanding of how JITAIs can support the dynamic health needs of adolescents. Given adolescents' distinct developmental trajectory and salience of contextual risk factors, understanding how JITAIs can adapt to this age group is critical. It is necessary to clarify how personalised, adaptive support can be delivered across rapidly changing and diverse contexts that characterise this formative developmental stage.

This review aims to systematically investigate JITAIs delivered in adolescent health contexts, in order to delineate their potential in enhancing precision health strategies during this formative period, an area underexplored in precision health literature. A central focus of this review is to examine the mechanisms by which JITAIs tailor interventions to diverse health

risks and contexts among adolescents, including identifying the intervention components that shape their dynamic adaptability. This review will also explore how JITAI tailoring mechanisms influence adolescent health outcomes. By clarifying these mechanistic pathways, the findings can therefore inform the design of more effective, personalised, and contextually responsive mobile health interventions, to ultimately support healthier trajectories from adolescence into adulthood and beyond.

## METHODS

This systematic review adhered to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Figure 1). The review protocol was pre-registered in the PROSPERO database under registration number CRD42023473117. The review methodology was also published after peer review.[19]

The search strategy for the review was developed in consultation with an information specialist. The literature search employed a combination of keywords and database-specific subject headings (e.g., MeSH terms) to capture literature related to JITAIs, adolescents, digital health technologies, and health outcomes. The literature search took place across multiple electronic databases to identify relevant literature from 2013 to 2025; these databases included PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, PsycINFO, Embase, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Xplore, Association for Computing Machinery Digital Library, Cochrane Central, Dimensions, World Health Organization Global Index Medicus, and Google Scholar. The search was conducted twice (November 2023 and July 2024), with ongoing citation tracking via Google Scholar until February 2025. Further, the reference lists of prior systematic reviews of JITAIs were consulted. Together, these practices helped to ensure the inclusion of recent and emerging studies in the present review.

**Figure 1. PRISMA Flow Diagram of Study Selection**




3227 unique records were identified via literature search, and each record was imported into Covidence, a systematic review text management platform. Duplicates were automatically removed. Older adolescent authors between 20 to 25 years were actively involved in each stage of screening of studies, data extraction, and critical appraisal processes. This enabled adolescents to contribute to determining the relevance of studies, providing feedback on data interpretations and offering insights on the ethical and contextual appropriateness of included evidence on JITAIs for adolescents. Two independent reviewers (KWG, CA, CI, IK, RA) screened each title and its accompanying abstract following a pilot screening phase to ensure consistency in eligibility decisions. A total of 252 articles were assessed for relevance,

again with two independent reviewers per full text. All discrepancies during screening or assessment of full texts were resolved through discussion. Studies were eligible for inclusion if they were peer-reviewed, involved adolescents aged 10 to 25 years, and reported mhealth interventions with ongoing adaptation based on participant characteristics. Most studies (57%, N=188) that were excluded at this stage did not develop mobile interventions that were tailored to dynamic adolescent contexts, for example, those offering only pre-scheduled digital health support without ongoing adaptation.

A total of 64 studies that developed JITAIs for adolescents were included in the final review. Two reviewers (KWG, VC, SG, RA) extracted data from each study independently using a piloted, standardised data extraction form to ensure consistency. Understanding the tailoring mechanisms of JITAIs for adolescents requires examining the key components that guide how interventions are adapted to individual needs. Intervention options are the different forms of support or methods for delivering that health support may be considered based on tailoring variables.[16,19] Tailoring variables refer to the data collected about an individual, which informs how and when an intervention should be adapted. Decision rules are the guidelines that use these tailoring variables to determine the selection, timing, and delivery of intervention options at specific decision points or specific moments, such as particular times of the day or contextual triggers when these decisions are made.[16] These components together shape how JITAIs dynamically adjust to ensure interventions remain relevant across shifting adolescent contexts. Thus, data extracted from each study included participant characteristics, intervention details, tailoring mechanisms, theoretical frameworks, study design, health outcomes, and ethical considerations in JITAI design.

Further, two reviewers (KWG, MA) independently assessed methodological quality and risk of bias using the relevant Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) critical appraisal checklists for each specific study design. Discrepancies were resolved through discussion. These critical appraisals informed the application of the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) framework,[20] which was adopted to guide the narrative synthesis of the overall certainty of evidence across outcome domains of the reviewed interventions.[21] Due to significant heterogeneity in intervention and study designs, including their tailoring mechanisms and reported outcomes, a meta-analysis was not conducted.

Therefore, this review adopts a narrative synthesis approach, highlighting key patterns in the existing literature on JITAIs for adolescents to date, with a focus on elucidating their tailoring mechanisms. Specifically, data were systematically synthesised by key themes including the distal and proximal outcomes, intervention details, tailoring variables, decision rules, and decision points, which together constitute an understanding of the precise tailoring mechanisms of current JITAIs for adolescents. Additionally, an analysis of the effectiveness of these interventions was grouped by health condition and intervention outcomes, and key methodological concerns were further highlighted to contextualise the strength of the evidence. This structure facilitated this review's identification of current practices, gaps, and priorities for adolescent JITAI and precision health research.

## FINDINGS

### Health conditions addressed by JITAIs for adolescents

To understand their tailoring mechanisms, it is necessary to first identify the distal and proximal outcomes of JITAIs for adolescents. Specifically, distal outcomes represent the overarching, long-term goals of a JITAI, while proximal outcomes are short-term health objectives that often serve as mediators that lead towards these distal targets.[16] Clarifying these outcome pathways can inform our understanding of what health conditions that current JITAIs address among diverse adolescents.

Among JITAIs for adolescents, distal outcomes and their related proximal outcomes demonstrate some consistent priorities as well as emerging trends, with diverse conditions as the target of tailored support. Substance use reduction among adolescents was consistently a central focus of JITAIs for adolescents, with studies (31%, N=20) targeting smoking cessation, alcohol moderation, and marijuana use.[22–25] JITAIs addressing substance use among adolescents typically emphasise regulating urges and reinforcing commitment to abstinence.[22,26]

Physical health interventions primarily addressed obesity risk through the promotion of physical activity and healthy diets (16%, N=10), although more recent studies have focused on the management of chronic conditions (16%, N=10) such as pain as well as asthma among adolescents.[27–29] Physical health strategies in these JITAIs focused on incremental shifts, such as reducing sedentary behaviour and promoting daily walking in adolescents.[30,28] Meanwhile, JITAIs focused on nutrition encouraged immediate healthy choices to mitigate

obesity risks.[27] JITAIs for chronic condition management promoted adherence behaviours (e.g., to medication) as well as pain management support.[29,31]

JITAIs with a specific focus on mental health emerged in 2017 and have been steadily growing (34%, N=22). These pertain to interventions that target anxiety, depression, psychological distress, and suicide prevention among adolescents.[32–35] JITAIs that address adolescents' psychological well-being focus on enhancing emotional resilience and emotional coping strategies.[32] A recent development since 2024 includes 2 studies focussed on promoting healthy digital behaviours, specifically to address the over-use of digital devices.[36,37]

**Theoretical frameworks informing intervention options**

JITAIs targeting adolescent health commonly used tailored digital messages, which were delivered via text or app-based platforms with interactive features. These interventions leveraged diverse theoretical frameworks to inform the content of interactive and context-aware messages. In studies targeting substance use, motivational interviewing informed modules on self-reflection and dynamic goal-setting,[38,39] and the transtheoretical model guided the tailoring of feedback specific to an individual's stage of behaviour change.[40–42] Further, cognitive behaviour therapy (CBT) was applied in coping prompts for managing substance cravings and related emotional distress that may trigger use.[26,43,44] Most mental health-focused JITAIs (45%, N=10) leveraged CBT to inform intervention content around cognitive restructuring and stress-related coping strategies.[32,33,35,45–49] In addition, some JITAIs (23%, N=5) also used encouraged adolescents to partake in mindfulness-based strategies during heightened stress events.[44,45,50–52] Similarly, during individually challenging moments, compassion-focused JITAIs deliver content aimed at promoting emotional resilience and self-kindness.[32,34,53–55]

For JITAIs focused on promoting adolescents' physical health, self-determination theory was frequently used to tailor motivational prompts that emphasise autonomy and self-efficacy to encourage sustained health behaviours.[56] Similarly, control theory informed adaptive goal-setting strategies for physical activity by adjusting feedback based on recent behaviours.[57] Several studies also adopted the Behaviour Change Wheel to guide structured prompts and reinforcement strategies, such as reminders to reduce sedentary behaviour and promote physical activity, which often were supplemented by reward systems.[58] Similarly, for JITAIs

focused on chronic health, frameworks like self-regulation theory were used to structure feedback mechanisms to address adherence barriers, such as for asthma management.[59]

There is an overall trend of basing adaptive content on theories related to promoting adolescents' levels of motivation and self-efficacy, states of cognition and behaviour change, although the specific application of these principles varies by context. For example, CBT can be broadly applied to aid in managing cravings in substance use and cognitive restructuring in mental health, while also supporting adherence behaviors in chronic pain management. Behavioural intent and goal-setting also inform intervention content, with JITAIs commonly adapting their support based on adolescent-defined goals and progress.[56,60] This significant variability underscores the further need to understand how the adaptive selection of these dynamic interventions, such as timing, content, and delivery, align with adolescent contexts, which is the focus of the next section.

**Tailoring variables, decision rules, and decision points**

Tailoring mechanisms within JITAIs for adolescents are primarily informed by behavioural, psychological, and other contextual data. Further, the tailoring variables were aligned with the proximal and distal outcomes of the interventions. For example, adolescent behavioural data, such as self-reported nutritional consumption and physical activity levels was used to prompt personalised feedback and inform the content of messages.[22,39,57] Meanwhile, psychological variables, such as fluctuations in mood and self-efficacy, informed JITAIs aimed at improving adolescents' emotional regulation and reducing negative affect.[48,52] Across these approaches, contextual awareness is emphasised, with JITAIs tailoring content based on adolescents' individual and social factors.

Among JITAIs for adolescents, most tailoring variables rely on self-reported data, such as mood, stress, alcohol consumption, and physical activity. In terms of decision points, many intervention mechanisms are characterised by the use of daily or multiple-times-daily surveys to collect these tailoring variables.[25,26,61] These decision points enabled participants to prompt immediate interventions adapted based on the self-report.[44,62] These JITAI mechanisms are also characterised as following threshold-based triggers, where responses are activated if pre-defined conditions are met, such as reaching a specific stress level or failing to meet activity goals.[63,64] This approach allowed for adaptive interventions to be activated after consistent patterns of inactivity, poor mental health, or unmet goals.[33,64] This

is further enabled through the incorporation of high-frequency, scheduled prompts, including multiple daily check-ins or morning and evening notifications.[27,35,63] Some studies also allowed for adolescent-initiated check-ins, to promote flexibility in need-based and real-time support.[63,65] Meanwhile, in studies which employed behavioural monitoring, interventions were characterised by fixed-schedule decision points, which were based on predefined intervals.[39,57] Such studies tailor intervention schedules based on participant-defined or behaviorally relevant times, such as following peak drinking days.[66]

Despite the predominance of self-reported tailoring variables, there is also a growing trend toward integrating passive and sensor-based data, including electrodermal activity, geofencing, Bluetooth sensing, and app usage patterns.[37,44,59] Physiological tailoring, though less common, has also facilitated immediate biometric-driven interventions and strategies to support adolescents in maintaining physical activity goals or chronic condition management behaviours.[44,67] This reflects a trend toward real-time, event-triggered decision points in JITAIs for adolescents, characterised by interventions that are activated based on immediate sensor data, such as detecting physical inactivity or elevated stress levels.[30,44] In these studies sensing data informed context-triggered decision points, employing real-time detection of high-risk situations to prompt interventions.[46,58] For example, time of day and spatial cues, have also been leveraged to refine the timing and delivery of interventions, with methods including geofencing used to automatically identify high-risk locations[46] and detecting sedentary behaviours to prompt tailored notifications.[58]

Broader adoption of automated approaches to tailoring JITAIs remains limited but recently emerging. Since 2024, interventions have used machine learning methods to enhance the adaptability of interventions. One study developed a JITAI to prevent smartphone overuse, introducing a friction-based intervention to disrupt access to blacklisted apps when overuse was detected. The authors employed a machine learning model which was updated daily with passive sensing data such as app usage, activity, social context, location, and temporal patterns to predict overuse, triggering interventions upon app launches and task-switching moments.[36] Another recent study leveraged large language models (LLMs) for dynamic adaptability, also with the goal of reducing problematic smartphone use.[37] Similarly, when users opened blacklisted apps, the LLM generated persuasive messages using four communication strategies of understanding, comforting, evoking, and scaffolding. LLM

messages were also aligned with tailoring variables, which included real-time usage, context, mental states, and user-defined goals related to app use.

**Effectiveness of JITAIs for adolescents**

*Substance use*

JITAIs targeting smoking cessation demonstrated consistently positive outcomes, particularly in studies where higher completion rates of intervention modules were significantly associated with greater smoking abstinence over time.[43] Similarly, marijuana use reduction interventions yielded consistently favourable results, with significantly higher days of abstinence and lower marijuana desire in intervention groups compared to controls.[26] One study reported a significant decrease in marijuana use (OR adjusted=0.54, 95% CI:0.31-0.95, p=0.03), reflecting a moderate effect size for reducing marijuana desire and use.[26] Additionally, interventions aimed at reducing drug use for HIV risk demonstrated significant reductions in risky behaviours.[68,69]

However, JITAIs targeting alcohol consumption reduction presented variable findings. Reductions in alcohol consumption were observed within contexts of negative mood, suggesting mood-sensitive effects.[70] One study found a significant reduction in alcohol use and consequences as a result of risky drinking, with p=0.01 for alcohol use scores, indicating a moderate intervention impact.[42] However, another study found a small but non-significant increase in alcohol consumption among the intervention group, with an odds ratio of 1.4, suggesting that the intervention may not have had a significant impact on reducing risky alcohol consumption.[39]

Studies specifically targeting binge drinking behaviours demonstrated some promise, with significant reductions in binge drinking days and overall alcohol consumption across follow-up periods.[24,60,66] Notably, one study observed a reduction in binge drinking days, with an effect size of IRR=0.69 (95% CI: 0.59-0.79) at 3 months, suggesting moderate effectiveness in reducing binge drinking.[24] In contrast, a small but non-significant increase in consumption during heavy drinking occasions was reported elsewhere.[71] However, other studies[33,64] found no significant changes in binge drinking or alcohol consumption, with no substantial differences between intervention and control groups.

*Physical health*

Physical activity promotion outcomes were variable. Modest increases in daily physical activity levels were observed, although these changes did not always extend to reductions in sedentary time[57] or were inconsistent.[30] For example, one study showed an initial decrease in sedentary minutes following intervention ($t_{17}$=-1.79, p=0.04), though this effect attenuated over time and became non-significant by the second and third weeks.[58] Interventions targeting obesity-related risks also demonstrated limited effectiveness, with no significant changes in key indicators such as screen time and body mass index (BMI). However, some evidence indicated improvements in diet such as increased fruit and vegetable consumption and decreased sugar-sweetened beverage intake.[27] In a study specifically targeting chronic pain management, the intervention group reported fewer instances of moderate to severe pain compared to the control group, with statistical significance (t(2145)=2.67, p=0.008, 95% CI:0.0036-0.0235), suggesting a meaningful reduction in pain, although there were no significant differences in overall pain reduction between groups.[29]

*Mental health*

Mental health outcomes presented a similarly complex pattern. Several JITAIs targeting anxiety and depression symptoms demonstrated significant improvements across follow-up assessments, indicating potential effectiveness in alleviating specific clinical symptoms.[32,47,72] One study found significant reductions in anxiety (GAD-7: F(1,27)=16.94, p<0.001) and depression (PHQ-8: F(1,27)=11.52, p=0.002), with medium to large effect sizes, highlighting the effectiveness of app-delivered CBT for improving mental health symptoms.[73] Another study similarly reported a small but significant improvement in self-esteem across post-intervention and follow-up assessments, with an effect size of Cohen's d=0.54 (p<0.001).[74]

However, less definitive results were observed in other studies. No significant differences in suicide prevention outcomes were found between intervention and control groups, suggesting challenges in addressing more severe mental health risks.[65] Additionally, while reductions in specific subscales of mental health symptoms were reported, limited overall effectiveness highlighted variability in intervention impact across psychological dimensions.[33] Non-clinical mental health measures, such as perceived stress, also yielded inconsistent findings. Notable reductions in perceived stress were observed among intervention participants, whereas no significant effects were reported in other studies.[62,75] Interventions

targeting enhanced resilience produced similarly varied results. Some evidence of stress reduction was identified, though findings were inconsistent, with only partial improvements observed across participant groups.[53,63] Stevenson et al. (2020) did not find significant improvements in mental health self-efficacy in either the intervention or control groups, despite some reductions in coping with anxiety and depression.

*Quality of evidence*

Importantly, the JBI critical appraisals of individual studies informed the GRADE assessment of overall evidence certainty, which revealed significant methodological limitations that substantially lowered confidence in reported effects across outcome areas. All studies lacked sufficient reporting on key methodological considerations to mitigate bias related to selection and allocation, intervention delivery, outcome measurement, participant retention, and statistical validity. These shortcomings significantly reduce the precision of the effects currently reported in the literature and increase the likelihood of type II errors, where meaningful effects might go undetected. Inconsistency across studies was pronounced, especially within JITAIs focused on substance use and mental health outcomes. The specific measures adopted and their effects varied widely, with some studies reporting significant behavioural reductions while others reported inconclusive or null results. Additional methodological inconsistencies such as small sample sizes, short study durations, and heterogeneous control conditions (e.g., non-digital treatment as usual versus no intervention) were frequently noted across outcome areas, affecting the reliability of findings. These inconsistencies hinder comparability and complicate conclusions about intervention effectiveness, and highlight the need for greater standardisation in outcome reporting. As such, the overall certainty of evidence across JITAIs for adolescents was rated as low, given the methodological limitations, imprecision, and inconsistency of current reports among studies.

**Ethics and inclusion in intervention design**

*Adolescent engagement*

Adolescent engagement in the development of JITAI components demonstrates considerable variation in both depth and rigour. Certain studies integrated current participants directly into the design process,[26,31,38,76] while others consulted youth with demographic profiles similar to the intended intervention audience.[22,48,69,77] Such engagement

is frequently framed as a strategy to enhance intervention relevance and acceptability by involving adolescents in consulting on the relevance of content. However, the extent of adolescent participation in these studies is also inconsistently reported, particularly within randomised controlled trials (RCTs), which often prioritise the evaluation of pre-developed interventions over documentation of co-design processes. In contrast, mixed-methods studies[40,45,48,52,56] were more likely to describe processes of adolescent engagement in JITAI component development explicitly.

A critical gap emerges in the lack of detailed reporting on adolescent engagement across studies. Several interventions (30%, N=19) omitted any mention of participant involvement, raising questions about whether and how adolescent perspectives shaped JITAI development. While unreported involvement in these interventions is also possible, the absence of such documentation limits our current ability to assess their impact on JITAI components. Moreover, among studies claiming adolescent involvement, the depth of engagement was frequently superficial. Consultations often focused on surface-level aspects, such as refining questionnaire language or adjusting message tone, although it was unclear if adolescents had substantive influence over core design decisions regarding decision rules.

Among mixed methods studies that identified adolescent engagement in intervention development, adolescents played a decision-making role in informing how the JITAIs were tailored to their circumstances. For example, one study engaged adolescents exiting foster care in co-designing a JITAI for substance use reduction. Through focus groups with these adolescents, participants provided iterative feedback on intervention structure, language, message frequency, and content relevance, which led to key modifications in JITAI content, including adjustments to message tone, content specificity, and delivery timing.[78] Similarly, one study involved Dutch lower-educated adolescents in the iterative development of JITAI content, including informing modifications in text clarity, visual elements, navigation, and notification settings.[64] Another study involved ethnic minority adolescent females in developing a Build Your Own Theme Song app.[48] Adolescent females contributed to JITAI design by creating personal theme songs, through rewriting and recording lyrics based on their experiences. Subsequently, the BYOTS app would prompt adolescents to engage with their theme song during moments of negative thinking, providing a personalised coping mechanism based on their own input.

*Further ethical considerations*

Ethical considerations in intervention design were identified in approximately one-third of studies (31%, N=20), although descriptions lacked detailed reporting. Common strategies focused on ensuring access, safeguarding privacy, minimising harm, and supporting autonomy. Several interventions facilitated access by providing devices or data plans, such as handheld computers[27,77] or phones with data plans.[43–45] Privacy and data security were addressed through strategies like data encryption,[79] enabling offline access for sensitive data,[31] and advising participants on securely deleting sensitive messages.[33] Measures to minimise harm included using parental controls to ensure safe device use[47] and limiting message frequency to mitigate digital fatigue.[25] Incentives were also utilised to encourage participation while respecting autonomy, such as participants being offered credit points alongside explicit privacy assurances.[64] Despite these examples, most studies (69%, N=44) did not explicitly report on ethical considerations in intervention design.

## DISCUSSION

This systematic review identified key patterns in how JITAIs for adolescents utilise dynamic tailoring mechanisms, decision rules, and adaptive data sources to personalize health interventions. The findings highlight that JITAIs for adolescents most frequently addressed substance use, mental health, physical activity, and chronic condition management. Among these, interventions targeting smoking cessation, marijuana use, and HIV behavioural risk factors demonstrated more consistent positive outcomes, while interventions focused on alcohol consumption, physical activity, and mental health revealed more variable or limited effects. Further, most JITAIs relied on self-reported data, with growing trends towards integrating passive and sensor-based data, such as geofencing, app usage, and physiological monitoring. Decision rules were frequently based on threshold triggers or scheduled intervals, though emerging studies have begun to explore machine learning models for real-time, adaptive interventions.

However, JITAI mechanisms were highly heterogeneous and the overall certainty of the evidence, as assessed by GRADE, was rated low across outcomes due to the prevalence of methodological limitations, small sample sizes, inconsistent reporting, and heterogeneous control conditions throughout studies. Overall, while specific outcomes have yielded positive effects, most outcomes exhibit more variable or limited findings. These findings emphasise

the need for further investigation into the methodological determinants of intervention success, particularly regarding how JITAI and study design influence observed effectiveness. The dominance of self-report of behavioural data in JITAIs suggests limited consideration of broader contextual and social determinants of health (SDH) in their tailoring mechanisms, possibly contributing to inconsistent outcomes. It is crucial for future work to investigate the incorporation of SDH in JITAI tailoring mechanisms to enhance contextual relevance and precisely address barriers to equitable health support among diverse individuals.[19,80]

Nevertheless, it is also important to interpret current observations of effectiveness including limited effects with significant caution. The large majority of current study designs and measurements of effect adopt traditional study designs like cross-sectional or randomised methods. Microrandomised trials (MRTs), in contrast, randomise intervention options at multiple and repeated decision points to help precisely determine the effectiveness of individual JITAI components across diverse individual contexts.[81] Such an approach enables "a holistic comprehension of intervention dynamics over various timescales."[80] As such, current studies with adolescents may be unable to sufficiently detect within-person variability, and therefore may potentially underestimate or construe interpretations of the effectiveness of JITAIs. To deliver precise JITAIs to adolescents, it is highly necessary to assess diverse contextual factors which may influence the effectiveness of different adaptive components, as this can help understand "when and in what contexts a particular intervention option should be delivered."[81] Given the heterogeneity of current JITAIs for adolescents, MRTs can crucially address the gap identified in this review of what specific tailoring variables, decision points, and intervention options are optimal for different individuals.[80]

Additionally, the literature currently reports limited adolescent engagement in JITAI development and inconsistent considerations of privacy, consent, and ethical safeguards. These findings highlight critical gaps in ensuring that JITAIs align meaningfully with adolescent contexts and needs and critical ethical standards. They also indicate the need for more rigorous and transparent practices in investigating precision health intervention mechanisms for adolescents.

**Guidelines and future directions for JITAIs for adolescents**

To further contextualise findings and inform recommendations for future research, a summary of the extracted data from the review was shared during a group discussion with

representatives (N=8) from World Health Organization European Region's Youth4Health network, a platform for adolescent participation in health. These adolescents, composed of entrepreneurs, medical students, and policy activists, provided critical perspectives regarding personalisation, engagement, and ethical considerations to validate interpretations and advance current JITAI designs. These reflections, which were thematically analysed alongside the synthesis of results, challenge several assumptions underpinning current JITAI approaches.

Despite current JITAIs incorporating frequent rule-based adaptations, adolescents emphasised a preference for simplicity and user-controlled customisation of intervention options. Adolescents highlighted how current JITAI tailoring mechanisms are overly complex or opaque. Adolescents identified preferences towards modular designs, whereby users can select which features they wish to engage with to enhance contextual relevance. As such, adolescent-directed customisation may be more acceptable for ongoing digital interactions. Ongoing notifications, which characterise many of the JITAIs in this review, were criticised for contributing to notification fatigue and limiting engagement over time. For example, adolescents discussed how excessive prompts can lead to desensitisation, frustration, and eventual disregard of JITAIs. Subtle, passive engagement strategies, such as visual cues or widgets which update in the background of devices and can be checked flexibly, were preferred over frequent text notifications. This suggests that high-frequency prompting in current JITAIs may undermine intervention impact, particularly among adolescents already experiencing digital overload and other digital determinants of poor health.[82,83]

Adolescents also expressed scepticism regarding data privacy, especially concerning automated monitoring, in current JITAIs. Similar to their preferences for managing decision points, adolescents raised concerns about opaque data practices and a lack of control over what information is collected and for what purpose. Adolescents proposed the incorporation of opt-in tailoring mechanisms, which would allow adolescents to select the types of data they are comfortable sharing to accompany the JITAI. These suggestions confront the emerging role of multimodal sensing to inform JITAI adaptation. Given the complexity and potential biases in leveraging multimodal data for health precision applications, transparent tailoring processes are key.[84] In particular, a strong need for trust and transparency was emphasised by adolescents, who may disengage or reject JITAIs entirely without these processes for greater control over tailoring variables being incorporated into future designs.

These insights also underscore a critical tension within current JITAI development and trends towards passive sensing. In an effort to enhance engagement and precision (e.g., passive sensing, frequent prompts), these very mechanisms may simultaneously erode engagement and acceptance among adolescents. High-frequency notifications, despite contributing to ongoing adaptation, may also lead to cognitive overload which reduces JITAI intervention. This "engagement paradox" suggests that the success of adaptive interventions depends not just on the incorporation of multiple levels of tailoring, but also on how well tailoring mechanisms align overall with adolescents' ongoing preferences and need for autonomy. Despite automation's role in enhancing adaptation, excessive automation may reduce adolescent engagement if the tailoring mechanisms lack transparency and explainability. As such, adaptive systems where adolescents can define their preferred tailoring mechanisms represent an impactful direction for future precision health interventions. Transparent data practices, which can promote participant control over tailoring mechanisms, are essential to not only privacy considerations but also intervention relevance.

Given these reflections from adolescents, alongside the existing gaps in personalisation, ethical design, and engagement strategies of JITAIs for adolescents as identified in the review, we developed the following guidelines to help ensure that future interventions are technically, clinically, and contextually robust to address the diverse needs and remain relevant to adolescents.

*Investigate mechanisms of meaningful engagement in real-world contexts*

Understanding the mechanisms by which JITAIs influence behavioural outcomes is critical for advancing the field. The review highlighted uncertainties regarding how adaptive mechanisms operate and influence engagement and outcomes. Future research should employ optimisation trial designs, such as micro-randomised or sequential multiple assignment trials to systematically test how specific tailoring variables, such as timing, content format, and data type, as well as theoretical frameworks may impact engagement and behavioural outcomes.[81] This will enable a more precise understanding of how different intervention components and decision rules perform, and help clarify the tailoring mechanisms which are most effective for specific adolescent contexts.

Further, the importance of co-design in creating relevant, effective interventions cannot be overstated. The review revealed limited adolescent involvement in the design of many JITAIs,

resulting in interventions that may not fully align with users' lived experiences or preferences. Insights from the discussion with adolescents reinforced this, highlighting the value of relevance and understandability in design. There is a need to embed precision health designs within real-life contexts to ensure interventions are adaptable and impactful for ongoing adolescent health needs. Participatory workshops can facilitate meaningful input, enabling adolescents to contribute ideas about preferred tailoring mechanisms. For example, studies can engage adolescent participants in informing the proximal outcomes, intervention options, tailoring variables, decision points, as well as overall user experience, of JITAIs.[78] Integration of participatory design components to additionally inform as well as interpret individual outcomes in adaptive or microrandomised trials would be particularly innovative. Such approaches acknowledge adolescents as experts by experience, resulting in interventions that account for and are more grounded in real-life contexts. In this manner, adolescents can play a central role in shaping mechanisms in precision health interventions.

*Continuous adaptation directed by adolescents*

Adaptation is a cornerstone of effective JITAI design, yet the review findings identified notable gaps in how adaptation is implemented, particularly regarding the extent of adolescent agency and trust over tailoring variables. Adolescent validators who reviewed the findings consistently expressed a preference for systems that allowed for greater control and customization rather than opaque, automated adaptations. This included an indication of discomfort with passive sensing mechanisms that operated without explicit user input or consent. To address these concerns, future JITAIs should incorporate modular, user-directed adaptation features that empower adolescents to adjust the level and type of adaptation as their preferences and needs evolve. For instance, intervention component dashboards could be developed, allowing adolescents to select their preferred notification frequencies, data sources for tailoring, and engagement formats, such as text, video, or visual cues. Such affordance of ongoing participatory adaptation not only respects adolescent agency but also fosters enhanced trust and relevance, ensuring that JITAI mechanisms are both transparent and adolescent-centered.

*Minimising engagement fatigue while promoting more meaningful engagement*

The review also noted inconsistent outcomes and effects reported among current JITAIs for adolescents. This could have several reasons, such as a lack of using behavioural theory, not

engaging adolescents in the design, differences in methodological design etc. Another issue could be low user engagement due to notification fatigue, which emerged as a key concern among adolescent validators, who criticised frequent and intrusive notifications for contributing to disengagement. To address this, future intervention development should explore alternative, less intrusive engagement strategies. For example, JITAIs for adolescents can replace frequent text notifications with visual nudges or contextual prompts, which would reduce overwhelm for adolescents. Interventions could also explore integrating prompts into commonly used platforms, such as social media feeds, to ensure engagement occurs within familiar and comfortable digital spaces to enhance relevance to adolescence.

*Strengthening ethical standards for transparent personalisation and digital self-determination*

The review identified significant gaps in ethical reporting, with most studies neglecting to mention ethical considerations in JITAI design mechanisms. Such considerations are particularly critical given JITAIs which rely on sensitive data collection and passive monitoring. Such an approach also aligns with principles of digital self-determination, which emphasise the reduction of information asymmetries by ensuring adolescents have control over their data and tailoring mechanisms.[85] Adolescents in our discussion group also expressed discomfort with unclear or opaque data practices of current JITAIs. Adolescents emphasised a strong preference for opt-in mechanisms that allowed them to control the type and extent of data they shared. In this context, a key consideration in future JITAI development is the need to promote adolescents' individual agency over their digital data and enable participatory tailoring mechanisms.

To address these concerns, dynamic consent processes within ongoing JITAI tailoring mechanisms can be implemented. Dynamic consent refers to when individuals are continuously informed and able to manage their data-sharing preferences over time. Such a practice can enhance data sovereignty, of the need for individuals to "have control over the use of their shared data," without which JITAI utilization may be significantly hindered.[86] These processes should provide clear, accessible information about what data is collected, how it is used, and the purpose of each data point in tailoring mechanisms. Adolescents should further be empowered to opt-in or opt-out of specific data types and be given the opportunity to modify data points over time. Such can be operationalised through user-friendly privacy dashboards which enable real-time adjustment of JITAI data-tailoring settings.

Dynamic consent for health data collection has primarily been implemented in biobank and genomic research[87,88] and its application in adolescent digital health remains very limited. Recent studies highlight the approach's alignment with technology users' desire for transparency and control.[89] Studies have also shown how dynamic consent preferences are highly individual based on demographic and social contexts,[90] making the approach particularly salient for application in precision adolescent and digital health contexts. As such, increased emphasis on self-determination and sovereignty in JITAI design has significant potential to improve their alignment and relevance with adolescent health needs and expectations.

**Strengths and limitations of the review**

This review is the first to focus on JITAIs across a wide range of health conditions within a specific developmental period, highlighting the unique needs and intervention contexts for adolescents, who face distinct physical, mental, and social health challenges that differ from those of children or adults. A key strength of this review is the meaningful involvement of adolescents in screening, data extraction, and critical interpretation, which enhances the relevance and actionability of the findings and future recommendations. However, due to substantial heterogeneity, meta-analysis was not feasible; nonetheless, through narrative synthesis, this review identifies key trends alongside gaps in evidence strength and maps specific health outcome areas to provide the foundation for focussed meta-analytic investigations in the future. Additionally, despite a broad search strategy, some relevant studies may have been inadvertently missed, as most included interventions did not explicitly describe their mechanisms as just-in-time or adaptive. The current synthesis represents an important first step towards a more coherent body of evidence for the application of JITAIs in adolescent health.

## CONCLUSION

This review underscores the potential and limitations of current JITAI designs for adolescents. The active involvement of adolescents in study screening and appraisal processes strengthened the interpretations by ensuring that the findings reflect the real-world concerns and preferences of young people towards precision health interventions. The review found that while adaptation is central to precision health, future JITAI development must balance precision with participatory engagement, ensuring that adaptive health support is not only

relevant but also ethically transparent, with open mechanisms that enable adolescents to directly control tailoring processes. Addressing current methodological limitations through adaptive study designs with co-design will be further essential for enhancing the robustness of evidence and advancing the field towards more effective and relevant adolescent precision health interventions.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank Stella Goeschl, Anastasia Kluge, and Benedetta Vallese for their valuable input which informed the development of the manuscript. We also thank Dirk Jan Ligtenbelt, who provided guidance on the search strategy. Parts of this work were presented at the Karolinska Institutet – United Nations Children's Fund Joint Conference on Global Child and Adolescent Mental Health 2024, the 19th European Association for Research on Adolescence Conference, and the Social Data Science research group seminar at the Alan Turing Institute; we thank attendees for their thoughtful reception and feedback on earlier versions of this work.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

KWG: review guarantor, conceptualisation, project administration, methodology, investigation, formal analysis, writing – original draft, writing – review and editing. MA: investigation, formal analysis, writing – original draft, writing – review and editing. IK, SG, CI, RA, CA, ET: investigation, writing – review and editing. CRS, AV, EvR: methodology, writing – review and editing. LK: funding acquisition, methodology, writing – review and editing. MdR: funding acquisition, supervision, writing – review and editing. CAF: funding acquisition, supervision, project administration, methodology, writing – review and editing. Authors KWG, CAF, LK, CRS, AV and EvR are collaborators in the Convergence Flagship programme, PROactive TEChnology-supported prevention and MEntal health in adolescence' (PROTECt ME). The authors used ChatGPT solely to assist with grammar correction before submission. No AI-generated content, references, or substantive contributions were included, and the authors remain fully accountable for all aspects of the manuscript.

**COMPETING INTERESTS**

ET is employed by Gro-up, a non-profit organisation in the Netherlands promoting the well-being of children and youth and their families. Gro-up has no involvement in the development of this review or interpretation of its findings. The remaining authors have no competing interests to declare.

**FUNDING**
This research is funded by the Health & Technology Flagship programme, PROactive TEChnology-supported prevention and MEntal health in adolescence (PROTECt ME), as part of Convergence, the research alliance between Erasmus University Medical Center, Erasmus University Rotterdam, and Delft University of Technology. Additionally, KWG, CAF and MdR are supported through the 'High Tech for a Sustainable Future' capacity building programme of the 4TU Federation in the Netherlands. 4TU Federation has no involvement in the development of this review or interpretation of its findings.

**REFERENCES**

1. Chahal, R., Gotlib, I. H. & Guyer, A. E. Research Review: Brain network connectivity and the heterogeneity of depression in adolescence – a precision mental health perspective. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* **61**, 1282–1298 (2020).
2. Ryder, J. R. *et al.* Heterogeneity in Response to Treatment of Adolescents with Severe Obesity: The Need for Precision Obesity Medicine. *Obesity* **27**, 288–294 (2019).
3. Kerr, J., Anderson, C. & Lippman, S. M. Physical activity, sedentary behaviour, diet, and cancer: an update and emerging new evidence. *The Lancet Oncology* **18**, e457–e471 (2017).
4. Fleary, S. A., Joseph, P. & Pappagianopoulos, J. E. Adolescent health literacy and health behaviors: A systematic review. *Journal of Adolescence* **62**, 116–127 (2018).
5. Cicchetti, D. & Rogosch, F. A. A developmental psychopathology perspective on adolescence. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* **70**, 6–20 (2002).
6. Paquin, V. *et al.* Longitudinal Trajectories of Food Insecurity in Childhood and Their Associations With Mental Health and Functioning in Adolescence. *JAMA Network Open* **4**, e2140085 (2021).
7. UNICEF. Adolescents Statistics. *UNICEF DATA* https://data.unicef.org/topic/adolescents/overview/.
8. Johnson, M. H., Fearon, P., Pickles, A. & Jones, E. J. H. Editorial Perspective: The paradox of precision health in early development – building large samples to yield

individual-level measures. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* **65**, 991–994 (2024).

9. Shen, M., Chen, S. & Ding, X. The effectiveness of digital twins in promoting precision health across the entire population: a systematic review. *npj Digit. Med.* **7**, 1–10 (2024).
10. Sawyer, S. M., Azzopardi, P. S., Wickremarathne, D. & Patton, G. C. The age of adolescence. *The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health* **2**, 223–228 (2018).
11. Wyatt, T. H., Bayless, A. K., Krauskopf, P. & Gaylord, N. Using mHealth Applications to Promote Self-Managed Health Behaviors Among Teens. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing* **59**, 164–172 (2021).
12. Hightow-Weidman, L. B., Horvath, K. J., Scott, H., Hill-Rorie, J. & Bauermeister, J. A. Engaging youth in mHealth: what works and how can we be sure? *Mhealth* **7**, 23 (2021).
13. Psihogios, A. M. *et al.* Contextual Predictors of Engagement in a Tailored mHealth Intervention for Adolescent and Young Adult Cancer Survivors. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine* **55**, 1220–1230 (2021).
14. Kozelka, E. E. *et al.* Digital Mental Health and Its Discontents: Assumptions About Technology That Create Barriers to Equitable Access. *PS* **75**, 299–302 (2024).
15. Villegas Mejía, C., Remmerswaal, D., Engels, R. C. M. E., Ludden, G. D. S. & Boffo, M. Macro-engagement in mHealth: Exploring user engagement beyond the screen. *DIGITAL HEALTH* **10**, 20552076231225591 (2024).
16. Nahum-Shani, I. *et al.* Just-in-Time Adaptive Interventions (JITAIs) in Mobile Health: Key Components and Design Principles for Ongoing Health Behavior Support. *ann. behav. med.* 1–17 (2016) doi:10.1007/s12160-016-9830-8.
17. Crone, E. A. & Dahl, R. E. Understanding adolescence as a period of social–affective engagement and goal flexibility. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **13**, 636–650 (2012).
18. Hurrelmann, K. & Richter, M. Risk behaviour in adolescence: the relationship between developmental and health problems. *J Public Health* **14**, 20–28 (2006).
19. Guan, K. W. *et al.* Just-in-time adaptive interventions for adolescent and young adult health and well-being: protocol for a systematic review. *BMJ Open* **14**, e083870 (2024).

20. Prasad, M. Introduction to the GRADE tool for rating certainty in evidence and recommendations. *Clinical Epidemiology and Global Health* **25**, 101484 (2024).
21. Murad, M. H., Mustafa, R. A., Schünemann, H. J., Sultan, S. & Santesso, N. Rating the certainty in evidence in the absence of a single estimate of effect. *Evid Based Med* **22**, 85–87 (2017).
22. Rodgers, A. *et al.* Do u smoke after txt? Results of a randomised trial of smoking cessation using mobile phone text messaging. *Tob Control* **14**, 255–261 (2005).
23. Riley, W., Obermayer, J. & Jean-Mary, J. Internet and Mobile Phone Text Messaging Intervention for College Smokers. *Journal of American College Health* **57**, 245–248 (2008).
24. Suffoletto B, Kirisci L, Clark DB, & Chung T. Which behavior change techniques help young adults reduce binge drinking? A pilot randomized clinical trial of 5 text message interventions. *Addict Behav* **92**, 161–167 (2019).
25. Coughlin, L. N. *et al.* Developing an Adaptive Mobile Intervention to Address Risky Substance Use Among Adolescents and Emerging Adults: Usability Study. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth* **9**, e24424 (2021).
26. Shrier, L. A. *et al.* Pilot randomized trial of MOMENT, a motivational counseling-plus-ecological momentary intervention to reduce marijuana use in youth. *mHealth* **4**, 29–29 (2018).
27. Nollen, N. L. *et al.* Mobile Technology for Obesity Prevention. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* **46**, 404–408 (2014).
28. Ding, X. *et al.* WalkMore: promoting walking with just-in-time context-aware prompts. in 1–8 (IEEE, 2016).
29. Hunter, J. F. *et al.* A pilot study of the preliminary efficacy of Pain Buddy: A novel intervention for the management of children's cancer-related pain. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer* **67**, e28278 (2020).
30. Spruijt-Metz, D. *et al.* Innovations in the Use of Interactive Technology to Support Weight Management. *Curr Obes Rep* **4**, 510–519 (2015).

31. Perry, T. T. *et al.* Smartphone-based vs paper-based asthma action plans for adolescents. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology* **118**, 298–303 (2017).
32. Rauschenberg, C. *et al.* A Compassion-Focused Ecological Momentary Intervention for Enhancing Resilience in Help-Seeking Youth: Uncontrolled Pilot Study. *JMIR Mental Health* **8**, e25650 (2021).
33. Suffoletto, B., Goldstein, T., Gotkiewicz, D., & ... Acceptability, engagement, and effects of a mobile digital intervention to support mental health for young adults transitioning to college: pilot randomized …. *JMIR Formative …* (2021).
34. Reininghaus, U. *et al.* Effects of a Novel, Transdiagnostic Ecological Momentary Intervention for Prevention, and Early Intervention of Severe Mental Disorder in Youth (EMIcompass): Findings From an Exploratory Randomized Controlled Trial. *Schizophrenia bulletin* **49**, 592–604 (2023).
35. Shrier, L. A. & Spalding, A. “Just Take a Moment and Breathe and Think”: Young Women with Depression Talk about the Development of an Ecological Momentary Intervention to Reduce Their …. *Journal of pediatric and adolescent gynecology* (2017).
36. Orzikulova, A. *et al.* Time2Stop: Adaptive and Explainable Human-AI Loop for Smartphone Overuse Intervention. in *Proceedings of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* 1–20 (ACM, Honolulu HI USA, 2024). doi:10.1145/3613904.3642747.
37. Wu, R. *et al.* MindShift: Leveraging Large Language Models for Mental-States-Based Problematic Smartphone Use Intervention. in *Proceedings of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2024). doi:10.1145/3613904.3642790.
38. Shrier, L. A., Rhoads, A. M., Fredette, M. E., & ... “Counselor in Your Pocket”: youth and provider perspectives on a mobile motivational intervention for marijuana use. *Substance use & …* (2014) doi:10.3109/10826084.2013.824470.

39. Wright, C. J. C., Dietze, P. M., Crockett, B. & Lim, M. S. C. Participatory development of MIDY (Mobile Intervention for Drinking in Young people). *BMC Public Health* **16**, 184 (2016).
40. Braciszewski, J. M. *et al.* Developing a tailored substance use intervention for youth exiting foster care. *Child Abuse & Neglect* **77**, 211–221 (2018).
41. Kazemi, D. M., Borsari, B., Levine, M. J., Lamberson, K. A. & Dooley, B. REMIT: Development of a mHealth theory-based intervention to decrease heavy episodic drinking among college students. *Addiction Research & Theory* **26**, 377–385 (2018).
42. Kazemi, D. M. *et al.* Effectiveness of a Theory-Based mHealth Intervention for High-Risk Drinking in College Students. *Substance Use & Misuse* **55**, 1667–1676 (2020).
43. Witkiewitz, K. *et al.* Development and evaluation of a mobile intervention for heavy drinking and smoking among college students. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors* **28**, 639–650 (2014).
44. Leonard, N. R. *et al.* Mobile Health Technology Using a Wearable Sensorband for Female College Students With Problem Drinking: An Acceptability and Feasibility Study. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth* **5**, e90 (2017).
45. Leonard, N. R. *et al.* Theoretically-Based Emotion Regulation Strategies Using a Mobile App and Wearable Sensor Among Homeless Adolescent Mothers: Acceptability and Feasibility Study. *JMIR Pediatr Parent* **1**, e1 (2018).
46. Pramana, G. *et al.* Using Mobile Health Gamification to Facilitate Cognitive Behavioral Therapy Skills Practice in Child Anxiety Treatment: Open Clinical Trial. *JMIR Serious Games* **6**, e9 (2018).
47. Silk, J. S., Pramana, G., Sequeira, S. L., Lindhiem, O., & ... Using a smartphone app and clinician portal to enhance brief cognitive behavioral therapy for childhood anxiety disorders. *Behavior therapy* (2020).
48. Neal-Barnett, A. *et al.* Evaluation of the Effectiveness of a Musical Cognitive Restructuring App for Black Inner-City Girls: Survey, Usage, and Focus Group Evaluation. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth* **7**, e11310 (2019).

49. Shrier, L. A. *et al.* Development and pilot testing of a counseling-plus-mHealth intervention to reduce risk for pregnancy and sexually transmitted infection in young women with depression. *mHealth* **6**, 17–17 (2020).
50. Lucas-Thompson, R. G. *et al.* Learning to BREATHE “Plus”: a multi-modal adaptive supplement to an evidence-based mindfulness intervention for adolescents. *Frontiers in public health* **8**, 579556 (2020).
51. van Aubel, E. *et al.* Blended care in the treatment of subthreshold symptoms of depression and psychosis in emerging adults: A randomised controlled trial of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy in Daily-Life (ACT-DL). *Behaviour Research and Therapy* **128**, (2020).
52. Lucas-Thompson, R. G. *et al.* Preliminary Evaluation of Learning to BREATHE PLUS for University Students: Does a Multi-Modal Adaptive Supplement Strengthen Effects of a Mindfulness-Based Intervention? *Mindfulness* **14**, 2699–2715 (2023).
53. Paetzold, I., Schick, A., Rauschenberg, C., & ... A hybrid ecological momentary compassion–focused intervention for enhancing resilience in help-seeking young people: Prospective study of baseline …. *JMIR Formative …* (2022).
54. Paetzold, I., Schick, A., Rauschenberg, C., Hirjak, D., & ... Exploring putative therapeutic mechanisms of change in a hybrid compassion-focused, ecological momentary intervention: Findings from the EMIcompass trial. *… Research and Therapy* (2023).
55. Postma, M. R. *et al.* Working mechanisms of the use and acceptability of ecological momentary interventions: a realist evaluation of a guided self-help ecological momentary intervention targeting self-esteem. *BMC Public Health* **24**, 1633 (2024).
56. Simons, D. *et al.* A Smartphone App to Promote an Active Lifestyle in Lower-Educated Working Young Adults: Development, Usability, Acceptability, and Feasibility Study. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth* **6**, e44 (2018).
57. Cushing, C. C. *et al.* Adaptive mHealth Intervention for Adolescent Physical Activity Promotion. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology* **46**, 536–546 (2021).

58. Domin, A., Uslu, A., Schulz, A., Ouzzahra, Y. & Vögele, C. A theory-informed, personalized mHealth intervention for adolescents (mobile app for physical activity): Development and pilot study. *JMIR Formative Research* **6**, e35118 (2022).
59. Fedele DA *et al.* Adaptive Mobile Health Intervention for Adolescents with Asthma: Iterative User-Centered Development. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth* **8**, e18400 (2020).
60. Suffoletto, B., Chung, T., Muench, F., Monti, P. & Clark, D. B. A Text Message Intervention with Adaptive Goal Support to Reduce Alcohol Consumption Among Non-Treatment-Seeking Young Adults: Non-Randomized Clinical Trial with Voluntary Length of Enrollment. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth* **6**, e35 (2018).
61. Shrier, L. A., Rhoads, A., Burke, P., Walls, C. & Blood, E. A. Real-time, contextual intervention using mobile technology to reduce marijuana use among youth: a pilot study. *Addictive Behaviors* **39**, 173–180 (2014).
62. van Asselt, A., Hoeberichts, K., Demirel, S., Scheeren, A. & Roke, Y. Effectiveness of the mobile Stress Autism Mate Junior application in reducing stress and improving quality of life in adolescents with autism: a pilot study. *Front Psychiatry* **15**, 1469257 (2024).
63. Marciniak, M. A. *et al.* Imager—A mobile health mental imagery-based ecological momentary intervention targeting reward sensitivity: A randomized controlled trial. *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being* **16**, 576–596 (2024).
64. van Keulen, H. *et al.* Development of a Dynamically Tailored mHealth Intervention (What Do You Drink) to Reduce Excessive Drinking Among Dutch Lower-Educated Students: User-Centered Design Approach. *JMIR Formative Research* **6**, e36969 (2022).
65. Kennard, B. D. *et al.* As Safe as Possible (ASAP): A Brief App-Supported Inpatient Intervention to Prevent Postdischarge Suicidal Behavior in Hospitalized, Suicidal Adolescents. *AJP* **175**, 864–872 (2018).
66. Suffoletto B *et al.* An Interactive Text Message Intervention to Reduce Binge Drinking in Young Adults: A Randomized Controlled Trial with 9-Month Outcomes. *PLoS One* **10**, e0142877 (2015).

67. Cole, M. *et al. Utilizing the Fediverse and AI-Bots for Youth Engagement During COVID-19 in a Hybrid Preventative Intervention*. (2024).
68. Acorda, D. E. Acceptability of a Just-in-Time Adaptive Intervention for HIV Prevention among Youth Experiencing Homelessness: A Qualitative Analysis. *Journal of Health Care for the Poor & Underserved* **32**, N.PAG-N.PAG (2021).
69. Maria, D. S., Padhye, N., Businelle, M., Yang, Y., & ... Efficacy of a just-in-time adaptive intervention to promote HIV risk reduction behaviors among young adults experiencing homelessness: pilot randomized …. *Journal of medical …* (2021).
70. Stevenson BL *et al.* An ecological momentary assessment of mood, coping and alcohol use among emerging adults in psychiatric treatment. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse* **46**, 651–658 (2020).
71. Wright, C. *et al.* Mobile phone-based ecological momentary intervention to reduce young adults' alcohol use in the event: A three-armed randomized controlled trial. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth* **6**, e9324 (2018).
72. Bell, I. *et al.* A personalized, transdiagnostic smartphone intervention targeting repetitive negative thinking in young people with depression and anxiety: Pilot randomized controlled trial of Mello (Preprint). *JMIR Preprints* (2023) doi:10.2196/preprints.47860.
73. Bell, I. *et al.* A Personalized, Transdiagnostic Smartphone Intervention (Mello) Targeting Repetitive Negative Thinking in Young People With Depression and Anxiety: Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Med Internet Res* **25**, e47860 (2023).
74. Reininghaus, U. *et al.* Transdiagnostic ecological momentary intervention for improving self-esteem in youth exposed to childhood adversity: The SELFIE randomized clinical trial. *JAMA psychiatry* **81**, 227–239 (2024).
75. Schenkel, K. *et al.* One SMS a day keeps the stress away? A just-in-time planning intervention to reduce occupational stress among apprentices. *Applied Psych Health & Well* **14**, 1389–1407 (2022).
76. Chand, A., Gonzalez, M., Missig, J., Phanichphant, P. & Sun, P. F. Balance Pass: Service Design for a Healthy College Lifestyle. in *CHI '06 Extended Abstracts on Human*

*Factors in Computing Systems* 1813–1818 (Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2006). doi:10.1145/1125451.1125795.

77. Weitzel, J. A., Bernhardt, J. M., Usdan, S., Mays, D. & Glanz, K. Using Wireless Handheld Computers and Tailored Text Messaging to Reduce Negative Consequences of Drinking Alcohol. *J. Stud. Alcohol Drugs* **68**, 534–537 (2007).
78. Braciszewski, J. M. *et al.* Developing a Tailored Substance Use Intervention for Youth Exiting Foster Care. *Child Abuse Negl* **77**, 211–221 (2018).
79. Fortier, M., Chung, W., Martinez, A., Gago-Masague, S. & Sender, L. Pain buddy: A novel use of m-health in the management of children's cancer pain. *COMPUTERS IN BIOLOGY AND MEDICINE* **76**, 202–214 (2016).
80. Zainal, N. H., Liu, X., Leong, U., Yan, X. & Chakraborty, B. Bridging Innovation and Equity: Advancing Public Health Through Just-in-Time Adaptive Interventions. *Annual Review of Public Health* **46**, 43–68 (2025).
81. Klasnja, P. *et al.* Micro-Randomized Trials: An Experimental Design for Developing Just-in-Time Adaptive Interventions. *Health Psychol* **34**, 1220–1228 (2015).
82. Steele, R. G., Hall, J. A. & Christofferson, J. L. Conceptualizing Digital Stress in Adolescents and Young Adults: Toward the Development of an Empirically Based Model. *Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev* **23**, 15–26 (2020).
83. Holly, L., Demaio, S. & Kickbusch, I. Public health interventions to address digital determinants of children's health and wellbeing. *The Lancet Public Health* **9**, e700–e704 (2024).
84. Kline, A. *et al.* Multimodal machine learning in precision health: A scoping review. *npj Digit. Med.* **5**, 171 (2022).
85. Verhulst, S. G. Operationalizing digital self-determination. *Data & Policy* **5**, e14 (2023).
86. Lee, A. R. *et al.* Opportunities and challenges of a dynamic consent-based application: personalized options for personal health data sharing and utilization. *BMC Medical Ethics* **25**, 92 (2024).

87. Budin-Ljøsne, I. *et al.* Dynamic Consent: a potential solution to some of the challenges of modern biomedical research. *BMC Med Ethics* **18**, 1–10 (2017).
88. Kaye, J. *et al.* Dynamic consent: a patient interface for twenty-first century research networks. *Eur J Hum Genet* **23**, 141–146 (2015).
89. Lee, A. R. *et al.* Opportunities and challenges of a dynamic consent-based application: personalized options for personal health data sharing and utilization. *BMC Medical Ethics* **25**, 92 (2024).
90. Kassam, I. *et al.* Patient Perspectives and Preferences for Consent in the Digital Health Context: State-of-the-art Literature Review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* **25**, e42507 (2023).