Management of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria and Antibiotic Stewardship at a District General Hospital

Authors: Dr. Priyankaran Mithrakumar, MBBS; Dr. Milton Barua, MBBS, FCPS, MD, MRCP, FRCP, SCE

Affiliation: Department of Elderly Medicine, Northampton General Hospital, Northampton, United Kingdom.

Abstract

Background: Increasing rates of healthcare-associated infections, particularly *Clostridioides difficile*, among inpatients in the elderly medicine ward of a local district general hospital highlighted a critical need for enhanced antibiotic stewardship. A significant contributing factor was the inappropriate broad-spectrum antibiotic prescribing for urinary tract infections and the overdiagnosis of UTIs in patients presenting with frailty syndromes.
Methods: This quality improvement audit prospectively collected data from 75 elderly patients (over 65 years) admitted to a General Internal Medicine Ward, focusing on those diagnosed with UTIs or undergoing urinary culture. Key data points included patient demographics, catheterization status, presenting symptoms, urine dipstick usage, culture collection methods, and antibiotic prescription patterns. Interventions included the display of an informational poster on asymptomatic bacteriuria and a dedicated educational teaching session for clinicians.
Results: Initial findings revealed suboptimal adherence to national guidelines, with 22% of asymptomatic patients with negative cultures inappropriately prescribed antibiotics. Following the poster intervention, clinicians' ability to differentiate UTI symptoms from frailty syndromes improved, with 45% of asymptomatic patients showing negative cultures. After the teaching session, 73% of asymptomatic patients had negative cultures, and antibiotic prescribing accuracy for ASB improved from 10% (baseline) to 57%. The inappropriate ASB treatment rate decreased to 14% by April 2024. Catheter-associated infections also showed a significant reduction, correlating with improved catheter management practices.
Conclusion: Targeted educational interventions, including informational posters and teaching sessions, significantly improved appropriate diagnosis and antibiotic prescribing for UTIs and ASB in elderly hospitalized patients. These efforts enhanced antibiotic stewardship, reduced unnecessary antibiotic exposure, and mitigated risks associated with antimicrobial resistance.

Introduction

Urinary tract infections represent a prevalent category of infections in both community and healthcare settings. A substantial proportion, estimated at 80%, of UTIs are associated with indwelling urinary catheters (Luu T et al). Hospitalization significantly increases the likelihood of acquiring infections. UTIs occur when pathogenic microorganisms access the urinary tract and are not effectively eliminated. These infections can be classified into healthcare-associated, community-associated, complicated, recurrent, and asymptomatic bacteriuria (Cortes-Penfield NW et al). ASB is defined by a urine culture demonstrating ≥ 105 CFU/ml of a single organism from a clean-catch specimen without specific urinary tract symptoms (Luu T et al).

The standard management of UTIs involves investigating symptoms with urinary dipstick analysis, urine microscopy, and culture to isolate causative organisms. Laboratory culture provides antibiotic sensitivity results, which guide appropriate antibiotic therapy (Shimoni Z et al). Current guidelines recommend screening and treating ASB only in specific populations, such as pregnant women or individuals undergoing invasive urologic procedures (Cortes-Penfield NW et al). Conversely, treating ASB in other patient populations contributes to the development of antibiotic resistance, increases healthcare-associated infections, and incurs unnecessary healthcare expenditures (Rousham et al).

This audit was initiated to assess the prevailing antibiotic prescribing practices in elderly care wards at our local institution. Prior observations indicated a disproportionately high incidence of antibiotic-associated infections, specifically *Clostridioides difficile* (*C. difficile*), among elderly medical patients, alongside instances of inappropriate antibiotic prescribing. A particular area of clinical uncertainty for healthcare providers has been antibiotic prescribing in cases of bacteriuria identified on urine culture and the accurate diagnosis of UTIs in delirious patients (Woodford HJ et al).

The primary objectives of this audit were to determine the percentage of elderly patients receiving appropriate antibiotic therapy for UTIs, evaluate the appropriate use of urine dipstick testing, and assess whether UTI investigation and management in medical wards adhered to established guidelines (Cortes-Penfield NW et al). The incidence of healthcare-associated infections, such as *C. difficile*, has been rising nationally and locally. *C. difficile* infections carry significant morbidity and mortality, necessitating more potent antibiotic treatments. The repercussions of *C. difficile* on patients are substantial, encompassing prolonged hospital stays, diminished autonomy, considerable emotional and physical burden, and escalated healthcare costs. This project aimed to identify contributing factors and implement improvements to mitigate the incidence of *C. difficile*, measured by the rate per 100,000 bed days within the Trust among patients aged 2 years or older.

Methods

This quality improvement audit collected data from a single General Internal Medicine Ward. Data were accrued from a total of 75 patients with a diagnosis of UTI or who had undergone urinary cultures. The primary data points collected included:

- Ward audited
- Patient's age
- Patient's gender
- Patient's ethnicity
- Catheterization status
- Patient's symptoms on admission
- Performance of urine dipstick
- Method of culture collection (e.g., midstream urine)
- Whether a culture was performed
- Initiation of antibiotics post-culture report
- Specific antibiotics prescribed

Inclusion criteria for the study were patients aged 65 years or older admitted to the local hospital with a lower urinary tract infection receiving antibiotic treatment. Exclusion criteria included young patients, pregnant patients, UTIs with sepsis, and UTIs complicated by other infective conditions requiring antibiotics. Data collection from other medical wards was facilitated via a multiple-choice option on the data collection proforma. Urinary tract symptoms were explicitly defined for data collectors to ensure consistent and accurate information retrieval during data collection.

Baseline findings indicated that antibiotic prescribing practices at the local hospital did not consistently meet national standards. However, as detailed in the results, recorded clinician prescribing and diagnostic behaviours significantly improved following the implementation of the following interventions:

- An informational poster on asymptomatic bacteriuria was displayed prominently next to physician workstations.
- A dedicated teaching session was conducted for consultants and medical residents during a local presentation seminar within the elderly medicine department. Feedback from these sessions is presented in Figure 1.

The infection prevention team found the presentation highly beneficial. Nonetheless, recommendations were provided to enhance our clinician benchmarking capabilities to

gather more precise and relevant data for future quality improvement initiatives. Suggested modifications to the project scope included:

- Improving UTI symptom identification in patients presenting with behavioural changes or delirium.
- Encouraging ward teams to remove catheters and avoid antibiotic administration for catheter-associated UTIs unless clinically indicated.
- Emphasizing that urine dipstick results in catheterized patients can yield false positives for UTI and should not solely guide antibiotic prescribing.
- Establishing a protocol for reviewing broad-spectrum antibiotics within 48 hours of administration in base wards to mitigate inappropriate prescribing practices originating from the emergency department.

Results

Throughout the study period, the following key observations were made:

- Among elderly patients admitted without UTI symptoms, 44% had negative urinary cultures and were not prescribed antibiotics, aligning with national guidelines.
- Conversely, 22% of asymptomatic elderly patients with negative urinary cultures were inappropriately commenced on antibiotics for presumed UTIs.
- An equal proportion (22%) of asymptomatic elderly patients with positive urinary cultures also received antibiotics for UTIs.
- In 10% of asymptomatic patients with culture-positive results, antibiotics were not initiated, indicating adherence to local prescribing practices in 54% of such cases.
- Among elderly patients presenting with UTI symptoms and positive urinary cultures, 56% were appropriately treated with antibiotics, consistent with local guidelines and suggesting a correlation between symptoms and bacteriuria.
- However, 27% of symptomatic patients with negative urinary cultures were still prescribed antibiotics, likely based on initial clinical findings before culture results.
- Conversely, 7% of symptomatic elderly patients with positive urinary cultures did not receive antibiotics, which deviated from local guidelines.
- In 10% of symptomatic patients with negative cultures, antibiotics were not initiated, likely because symptoms were attributed to an alternative pathology, aligning with local guidelines.

•

Of asymptomatic catheterized patients, 67% had negative urinary cultures.

- A strong correlation was observed in catheterized patients: 60% of elderly catheterized patients with UTI symptoms also had positive urinary cultures, supporting the association between symptoms and bacteriuria in this population.

(Figure 2: Antibiotic prescribing practice in elderly patients and culture results in patients who are catheterised).

The project also evaluated the impact of clinician education (posters and teaching sessions) on antibiotic prescribing practices:

- In September 2023 (baseline), 50% of asymptomatic elderly patients with negative urinary cultures received antibiotics, suggesting inappropriate prescribing for presumed UTIs in the context of frailty syndromes (e.g., delirium, falls). Additionally, 40% of asymptomatic patients with positive urinary cultures were given antibiotics, likely representing treatment for ASB. These findings indicated that for most asymptomatic patients with urinary cultures, antibiotics were prescribed for incorrect indications, deviating from local guidelines.
- In September 2023, 56% of symptomatic patients with positive cultures received appropriate antibiotic treatment. However, 44% of symptomatic patients with negative urine cultures were still prescribed antibiotics, possibly due to antibiotics being initiated before culture results, erroneous cultures, or treatment for non-UTI urinary symptoms.

Following the display of the informational poster in September 2023, observations in October showed:

- An improvement in clinician ability to differentiate UTI symptoms from other manifestations of frailty syndrome, with 45% of asymptomatic elderly patients having negative urinary cultures. The poster clarified UTI symptoms to include dysuria, frequency, incontinence, suprapubic pain, fever, flank pain, and potential consideration of altered mental status/acute confusion.
- 65% of symptomatic patients had positive urinary cultures, supporting appropriate antibiotic administration guided by the poster's definition of UTI.

After the teaching presentation conducted in October 2023, with emphasis on defining UTI symptoms and prescribing indications:

- 73% of patients categorized as asymptomatic also had negative urinary cultures, indicating an enhanced ability of clinicians to correctly classify UTI symptoms. Reassuringly, 64% of these correctly classified asymptomatic patients were not prescribed antibiotics, reflecting improved knowledge in ASB management. Only 18% of patients with ASB received antibiotics in November post-teaching.

- 50% of patients with positive UTI symptoms and positive urinary cultures received antibiotics as per local guidelines. However, 17% of symptomatic patients with positive cultures did not receive antibiotics, possibly because clinicians deemed symptoms not truly indicative of UTI, assuming ASB after comprehensive clinical assessment.
- 33% of patients with positive UTI symptoms but negative urinary cultures were not commenced on antibiotics, likely representing patients with acute confusional states or altered mental status whose symptoms were not attributable to UTI.

Long-term impact observed in April 2024, several months post-intervention:

- The proportion of asymptomatic patients with correctly negative urinary cultures reached 72%, compared to 60% in September 2023 (pre-intervention), demonstrating sustained improvement in symptom classification.
- Antibiotic prescribing accuracy for asymptomatic patients dramatically improved from 10% (baseline) to 57% after interventions.
- The inappropriate treatment of ASB further decreased, with only 14% of patients with ASB receiving antibiotics in April 2024, down from 40% in September (preintervention).
- Among all patients classified as symptomatic for UTI, 100% had positive urinary cultures, indicating a marked improvement in clinician diagnostic accuracy. Of these, 50% received antibiotics, while the other 50% likely did not due to further clinical assessment not correlating symptoms with active infection.

(Figure 3: Antibiotic prescribing standards measured over a period of September 2023 – April 2024 at a district general hospital).

Analysis of catheterized patients revealed:

- Many asymptomatic catheterized patients had negative urine culture results. In September 2023, two asymptomatic catheterized patients had positive urine cultures, suggesting ASB likely due to suboptimal catheter practices. This number reduced to one in October 2023, indicating some improvement.
- However, this progress was offset by an increase in symptomatic catheterized patients with positive urine cultures, potentially indicating that catheters were not being changed, leading to infection in patients with ASB. The initial poster did not explicitly guide nurses on catheter changes during active catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTIs).
- Following the teaching session in October 2023, a significant reduction in CAUTIs was observed, with only one symptomatic case reported. By April 2024, CAUTI incidence

- had further decreased, likely attributable to increased avoidance of indwelling catheters and prompt changes or removals during infection.

(Figure 4: Catheterisation and urine culture results in elderly patients)

Discussion

This quality improvement audit aimed to assess and improve antibiotic prescribing practices for urinary tract infections and asymptomatic bacteriuria in elderly patients admitted to a General Internal Medicine Ward. The findings demonstrate a significant positive impact of targeted educational interventions on clinician behaviour, leading to more appropriate antibiotic use (Wagenlehner et al).

The initial audit revealed several areas where local prescribing practices deviated from national guidelines, particularly concerning the over-prescription of antibiotics for ASB (Rousham E et al). A substantial proportion of asymptomatic elderly patients were inappropriately treated with antibiotics, often for presumed UTIs when their symptoms were likely attributable to frailty syndromes such as delirium (Echaiz et al). This aligns with broader concerns regarding antimicrobial stewardship, where misdiagnosis and unnecessary antibiotic exposure contribute to the development of antimicrobial resistance and adverse drug reactions, including *Clostridioides difficile* infection (Rousham E et al.). The high incidence of *C. difficile* nationally and locally underscores the urgent need for interventions to curb inappropriate antibiotic use (Echaiz et al.).

The implemented interventions—an informational poster and a dedicated teaching session—proved effective in enhancing clinicians' understanding and application of appropriate diagnostic and prescribing criteria. The immediate and sustained improvements in distinguishing between UTI symptoms and other manifestations of frailty were notable. For instance, the accuracy of antibiotic prescribing for asymptomatic patients improved significantly, and the inappropriate treatment of ASB saw a substantial reduction. This suggests that clear, accessible guidelines combined with direct educational reinforcement can effectively modify clinical practice (El Moussaoui N et al.). The feedback from the teaching session further supported its utility in clarifying complex diagnostic scenarios, particularly concerning ASB and catheter-associated infections.

The audit also provided valuable insights into the management of catheterized patients. The initial finding of asymptomatic catheterized patients with positive urine cultures highlights the challenge of distinguishing colonization from true infection in this group (El Moussaoui N et al). The observed decrease in catheter-associated infections postintervention suggests an improved understanding of catheter care, including avoiding unnecessary catheterization and prompt removal or change when appropriate, aligning with best practices for infection prevention (Echaiz JF et al). The strong correlation between UTI symptoms and bacteriuria in

catheterized patients underscores the importance of clinical assessment alongside laboratory findings in this population (Shimoni Z et al).

Limitations

While this audit demonstrates positive changes, it is important to acknowledge certain limitations. The study was conducted at a single site, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other healthcare settings. The sample size of 75 patients, while sufficient for an audit, may not capture the full spectrum of prescribing variations. Furthermore, reliance on a data collection proforma, while standardized, still depends on the accuracy of documentation in patient records. Long-term follow-up would be beneficial to assess the sustained impact of these interventions beyond the initial audit period. The audit also did not quantify the direct reduction in *C. difficile* infections or other adverse outcomes, though such reductions are an expected benefit of improved antibiotic stewardship.

Conclusion

This audit unequivocally demonstrates that targeted educational interventions can significantly enhance the appropriate diagnosis and management of lower urinary tract symptoms and urinary tract infections in elderly patients. The implementation of an informational poster and a dedicated teaching session led to a notable improvement in clinician behaviour, resulting in more judicious antibiotic prescribing practices. This improved understanding directly contributed to a reduction in the inappropriate treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria, a key component of effective antibiotic stewardship. Ultimately, these efforts foster a healthcare environment that prioritizes patient safety by minimizing unnecessary antibiotic exposure, thereby mitigating the risks of antibiotic resistance and adverse drug reactions such as *Clostridioides difficile* infection (Trevino SE et al). The findings underscore the importance of continuous education and reinforce the value of such interventions in promoting rational antibiotic use and improving patient outcomes.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this audit, the following recommendations are put forth to further enhance antibiotic stewardship and patient care:

- Provide additional support and training for clinicians to accurately identify UTI symptomatology, particularly in elderly or delirious patients who may have impaired communication.

- Educate ward teams on the high prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria in catheterized patients and emphasize the importance of catheter removal over antibiotic administration when clinically appropriate.
- Encourage physicians to incorporate blood inflammatory markers and urine microscopy results in conjunction with clinical assessment when diagnosing UTIs in elderly patients with communication difficulties.
- Promote timely review and de-escalation of broad-spectrum antibiotics within 24-48 hours of administration for patients in base wards, in collaboration with pharmacy teams, to counteract unfavourable prescribing habits, especially those originating from emergency departments.

Action Plan

To implement the above recommendations, the following actions are proposed:

- **Teaching Sessions:** Conduct regular teaching sessions for staff in elderly medicine wards, focusing on identifying UTI symptoms in patients with delirium or behavioural changes.
- **Diagnostic Guidance:** Encourage physicians to utilize blood inflammatory markers and urine microscopy results as adjunctive tools for UTI diagnosis in elderly patients who cannot communicate effectively.
- **Catheter Management:** Reinforce to ward staff the practice of changing or removing catheters rather than administering antibiotics for catheter-associated UTIs, unless specific infectious criteria are met.
- **Antibiotic Review Protocol:** Collaborate with the infection prevention team and pharmacy department to establish a protocol for pharmacists to review broad-spectrum antibiotics within 48 hours of patient admission to a base ward, potentially through dedicated pharmacy-led antibiotic stewardship rounds.

References

1. Cortes-Penfield NW, Trautner BW, Jump RLP. Urinary Tract Infection and Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Older Adults. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 2017 Dec; 31:673-688. doi: 10.1016/j.idc.2017.07.002.

2. Luu T, Albarillo FS. Asymptomatic Bacteriuria: Prevalence, Diagnosis, Management and Current Antimicrobial Stewardship Implementations. Am J Med. 2022 Aug;135: e236-e244. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2022.03.015. Epub 2022 Mar 31.

3. Shimoni Z, Cohen R, Froom P. Prevalence, impact, and management strategies for asymptomatic bacteriuria in the acute care elderly patient: a review of the current literature. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2020 May;18:453-460. doi: 10.1080/14787210.2020.1746642. Epub 2020 Mar 26.

4. Rousham E, Cooper M, Petherick E, Saukko P, Oppenheim B. Overprescribing antibiotics for asymptomatic bacteriuria in older adults: a case series review of admissions in two UK hospitals. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2019 May 2;8:71. doi: 10.1186/s13756-019-0519-1.

5. Trevino SE, Henderson JP, Wu J, Cass C, Marschall J. Prevalence of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Hospitalized Patients. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2016 Jun;37:749-51. doi: 10.1017/ice 2016.56. Epub 2016 Mar 17.

6. Woodford HJ, George J. Diagnosis and management of urinary tract infection in hospitalized older people. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2009 Jan;57:107-14. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2008.02073.x. Epub 2008 Nov 14.

7. Wagenlehner FM, Naber KG, Weidner W. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in elderly patients: significance and implications for treatment. Drugs Aging. 2005;22:8017. doi: 10.2165/00002512-200522100-00001.

8. Saukko PM, Oppenheim BA, Cooper M, Rousham EK. Gaps in communication between different staff groups and older adult patients foster unnecessary antibiotic prescribing for urinary tract infections in hospitals: a qualitative translation approach. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2019 Aug 5; 8:130. doi: 10.1186/s13756-019-0587-2.

9. Echaiz JF, Cass C, Henderson JP, Babcock HM, Marschall J. Low correlation between self-report and medical record documentation of urinary tract infection symptoms. Am J Infect Control. 2015 Sep 1;43:983-6. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2015.04.208. Epub 2015 Jun 16.

10. El Moussaoui N, van Andel E, van der Beek MT, et al. Validation of urinary biomarkers for accurate diagnosis of urinary tract infections in older adults across primary care, hospitals, and long-term care facilities in the Netherlands and UK: a multicentre observational study protocol. BMJ Open 2025;15L: e103311. Doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2025-103.

Appendix

9. How confident do you feel applying the knowledge gained into your practice?

	Answer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total
1	1) Not at all confident	0.00%	0
2	2) Not so confident	0.00%	0
3	3) Somewhat confident	0.00%	0
4	4) Very confident	83.33%	5
5	5) Extremely confident	16.67%	1
		answered	6
		skipped	0

8. How would you rate your knowledge of the topic following the teaching session?

	Answer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total
1	1) Poor	0.00%	0
2	2) Below average	0.00%	0
3	3) Average	16.67%	1
4	4) Above average	50.00%	3
5	5) Excellent	33.33%	2
		answered	6
		skipped	0

Presentation Feedback

All information remains anonymous.

Presenter name: [redacted]	**Topic: Audit on antibiotic practice standard in lower urinary tract infection in elderly care medicine**			**Date:03/11/2023**	
Please place a tick in the box that, in your opinion, best describes the session	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
The session was useful					✓
The content of the session was appropriate					✓
The session was interesting					✓
I feel that I learnt something new during the session					✓
Quality of teaching					✓
The presenter was prepared					✓
The presenter was knowledgeable and engaging					✓
The session will be helpful to my own work					✓
The speaker responded effectively to audience questions and comments					✓
I was able to easily see and hear the presentation					✓

Free Text Comments

Thank you for such an interesting presentation presenting your audit findings. I found the shared findings very informative and enjoyed listening to the presentation.

☺ Would love to be an audience to many more.

Figure 1: Feedback from dedicated teaching sessions



Figure 2: Antibiotic prescribing practice in elderly patients and culture results in patients who are catheterised



Figure 3: Antibiotic prescribing standards measured from September 2023 to April 2024 at a district general hospital.

Figure 4: Catheterisation and urine culture results in elderly patients