Projected sudden step-wise increase in risk of large measles outbreaks in the Netherlands as susceptible children enter secondary school in 2025/26

James D Munday[1,2,3]

1 Department of Biosystems Science and Engineering, ETH Zürich, Switzerland
2 SIB (Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics), Switzerland.
3 Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Switzerland

james.munday@swisstph.ch

Abstract (81/80)
A decade has passed since the last major measles epidemic amongst the undervaccinated Dutch orthodox protestant community, when the majority of unvaccinated children were naturally immunised through infection. Using national school data, we demonstrate that, in coming years, the entry of children born since the 2013/14 outbreak into secondary school could facilitate a rapid increase in the connectedness of the school-mediated contact network between susceptible children resulting in a sharp increase in the risk of large (over 1000-cases) measles outbreaks.

Key words: Measles, The Netherlands, Schools, Households, Vaccination, Outbreaks, Mathematical Modelling

Ethical statement
The analysis in this manuscript uses only open access data.

Conflict of interest statement
The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare

Funding statement
No specific funding was available for this work

Main text

Measles outbreaks in orthodox protestant schools

Despite historically high uptake of the highly effective measles, Mumps and Rubella (MMR) vaccine >95%, the Netherlands has nevertheless experienced larger epidemics of measles than countries with comparable national vaccine coverage. Notably, since the introduction of the measles vaccine in 1976, there have been large outbreaks of measles with intervals of between 10 and 13 years (1976/7, 1988 [1], 1999/2000 [2] and 2013/4 [3]). The two largest outbreaks since the introduction of MMR in 1987 (>2700 reported cases with estimated total infection count of ca. 30,000) were both strongly associated with the Protestant Orthodox population, which have collective MMR uptake of approximately 25% [4]. Recently, an increase of measles is observed in The Netherlands, increasing from 6 and 7 annual cases in 2022 and 2023 to 202 cases in 2024. These cases were mostly in young unvaccinated children through transmission within families, child day care centres and elementary schools. In these years, no transmission was observed within the Protestant Orthodox community. The latest estimates of national vaccine coverage indicate falling uptake in a number of other key socio-religious groups [5], which puts anticipation of measles outbreaks high on the public health agenda.

Recently it was shown that the geographical signature and size of previous outbreaks is well explained by considering school-based outbreaks linked by transmission between children attending separate schools and living in shared households [6]. In particular, it was demonstrated by analysing this ‘network’ of schools, that orthodox protestant schools form strongly connected clusters providing concentrated pockets of unvaccinated children. Estimates suggest that the epidemic in 2013/14 was sufficiently large to effectively immunise all susceptible children in the Orthodox Protestant community. Over time, however, the immunity in these schools is expected to have declined as new children are born and remain unvaccinated as they enter the education system. This in turn would be expected to increase the risk of large outbreaks in school contexts. However, although smaller outbreaks of measles have persisted in the intervening period [7], similarly to the period between 2000 and 2013, no large outbreak has since been observed. In this manuscript we present a model designed to capture these dynamics using a school-household network model and examine the impact on the risk of large measles outbreaks in the orthodox protestant community in the coming years.

Construction of a school-household network

Following the same approach as Munday et al., we constructed a network of schools using data from the Dutch National Education Executive (DUO) by counting the number of contact pairs between each pair of schools in each household represented in the data (Details in Supplementary Material to this article and Munday et al. 2024 [6]). To assess the risk of outbreaks on the network in each year following the 2013 outbreak, we estimated the immunity profile of each school by replenishing the susceptible population in schools as they mature to each school year (Figure 1, Supplementary Material). Concretely, we assumed that the 2013/14 outbreak immunised all susceptible children in the Netherlands, resulting in 100% immunity in schools in the year of the outbreak. For simplicity we assume that all children born prior to September 2014 have acquired immunity to the measles virus, either through vaccination or by natural infection. For the first 3 years following the outbreak we expect no susceptible children to begin school. In the 4th year we estimated that children entered the first year of each primary school with the same vaccine uptake as estimated in 2013. For the years following we continued to replenish susceptible children in each school year such that by the 11th year primary schools were returned to their 2013 level of immunity profile. In the 12th year children

entered the first year of each secondary school with the same immunity profile as estimated for 2013. For the years following we continued to replenish susceptible children in each secondary school year such that by the 17th year primary and secondary schools were returned to their 2013 immunity profile. For each of the annual scenarios we constructed a network of transmission potential, where vertices represent schools (for example schools i and j) and the edges between them quantify the probability of an outbreak in school is causing an outbreak in school j through transmission between students at home.



Figure 1. The progression of susceptible children through the school system after a large outbreak that provides near complete natural immunity to unvaccinated children. A) model of replenishment of susceptible children in schools over time. Circles represent primary schools, and squares secondary schools. Green represents the proportion of the school vaccinated, red represents the proportion of the school with naturally acquired immunity, white represents the proportion of the school susceptible to measles infection. The arrows represent possible transmission paths between schools. B) Choropleths showing geographic distribution of susceptibility in schools in the phase where susceptible children enter secondary school.

Evaluating the evolution of outbreak risk on the school-household network

We measured how the connectedness of the network changes as susceptible children progress through the school system in three ways.

Firstly, the spectral radius of the network, which provides a measure of overall connectedness of susceptible children, increases as susceptibles progress through the school system and the transmission between schools becomes more probable (N.B. This is analogous to the concept of the effective reproduction number. i.e. values above 1.0 outbreaks are likely and higher values represent increased risk of larger outbreaks). However, this increase in spectral radius

accelerates when susceptible children enter secondary schools, indicating that the network becomes more connected compared to when susceptible cohorts were still at primary school (Figure 2 A). This highlights the important role of secondary schools in the school network in forming bridges between pockets of susceptible children within primary schools, facilitating large outbreaks. This change is also reflected in the offspring distribution of primary and secondary schools (Supplementary figure S4), where outbreaks in primary schools rarely seed new outbreaks in more than 3 or 4 adjacent schools, but secondary schools can seed new outbreaks in as many as 25 adjacent schools over the course of an outbreak.

Secondly, to quantify the implications of susceptible cohorts entering secondary schools we looked at the number of schools which can be affected in simulated outbreaks. We seeded outbreaks in each orthodox-protestant school, and propagated the outbreak along the transmission probability network as presented in Munday et al. (2024) (summary in Supplementary Material). We found that the number of schools affected by each outbreak increased year on year (Figure 2 B). However, larger outbreaks of greater than 80 schools, in particular, jumped from having a relatively low risk in the year that susceptible children enter primary school (i.e. maximum susceptibility in all primary schools), to over 50% risk in the year that susceptible children first entered secondary schools.

Thirdly, We quantified the number of children affected by each simulated outbreak by considering school outbreaks to reach a theoretical final size [8]. We estimate that this jump in the number of schools affected increases the expected overall epidemic size from several hundred infections clustered in small geographic areas, to several thousand infections spread widely across the country (Figure 2 C and D). In the years that follow, the expected number of infections steadily increases, as susceptible children accumulate in connected schools (Figure 2 C).



Figure 2. The evolution of measles outbreak risk on the school-household network in years since 2018. A) The spectral radius of the transmission probability network for each year. B) The proportion of outbreaks seeded in orthodox protestant schools that exceed final size thresholds of 1 to 125 schools. C) The distribution of the number of children infected in outbreaks simulated on the network, seeded in orthodox protestant schools. D) Graph visualisations showing examples of largest out-components (outbreaks) for individual edge percolation instances for years 2023 to 2028, blue vertices show primary schools, red vertices show secondary schools (geographically accurate).

Implications and future developments

Our findings suggest that the Netherlands is approaching a period of elevated risk of large measles outbreaks as children born since the last major measles epidemic (ending in 2014) will enter secondary school in the next two years. Our modelling assumption that all children born before September 2014 are immune is a simplification and results in a very sharp rise in outbreak risk in September 2026, in reality some immunity is likely to have remained in the population and because the outbreak persisted between 2013 and 2014, this effect is likely to be staggered over a number of years with the greatest effects in September 2025 and September 2026. The sharp rise in risk we demonstrate highlights the need to monitor vaccine-uptake and susceptibility profiles within social networks as well as geographically.

Our analysis is sufficient to demonstrate that increasing risk of large outbreaks is expected to accelerate as a property of the school-network. However, the framework could be improved and extended with dedicated effort and government support. Firstly, we assume that all susceptible children were naturally immunised during the 2013/4 outbreak and that vaccine behaviours have not changed substantially. Secondly, we assume the structure of the school network remains similar to that measured in 2013. The framework could be strengthened with the use of up-to-date vaccine registry and school records data to provide more detailed analysis of the current situation. RIVM is currently working with the Dutch Education Ministry (DUO) and the Central Statistics office (CBS) to undertake the necessary steps to overcome these limitations and develop a more comprehensive school-network based surveillance system.

We advocate for governmental administrative data to be used to facilitate surveillance and response efforts, providing a way to inform of transmission risk and identify areas for targeted surveillance and intervention efforts. We also seek to highlight the need to better understand how the changing vaccine uptake patterns in particular sub-groups of the community should be studied closely in alignment with empirical contact networks to pre-empt future challenges with measles outbreaks in the Netherlands and other settings with declining MMR uptake.

References

1. Wallinga J, Teunis P, Kretzschmar M. Reconstruction of measles dynamics in a vaccinated population. Vaccine. 2003 Jun 2;21(19-20):2643–50.

2. van den Hof S, Conyn-van Spaendonck MAE, van Steenbergen JE. Measles epidemic in the Netherlands, 1999-2000. J Infect Dis. 2002 Nov 15;186(10):1483–6.

3. Woudenberg T, van Binnendijk RS, Sanders EAM, Wallinga J, de Melker HE, Ruijs WLM, et al. Large measles epidemic in the Netherlands, May 2013 to March 2014: changing epidemiology. Euro Surveill [Internet]. 2017 Jan 19;22(3). Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2017.22.3.30443

4. Klinkenberg D, van Hoek AJ, Veldhuijzen I, Hahné S, Wallinga J. Social clustering of unvaccinated children in schools in the Netherlands. Epidemiol Infect. 2022 Sep 12;150:e200.

5. van Lier EA, Hament JM, Knijff M, Westra M, Giesbers H. Vaccinatiegraad Rijksvaccinatieprogramma Nederland. Verslagjaar 2024 [Internet]. Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheid en Milieu RIVM; 2024. Available from: https://rivm.openrepository.com/handle/10029/627652

6. Munday JD, Atkins KE, Klinkenberg D, Meurs M, Fleur E, Hahné SJ, et al. Estimating the risk and spatial spread of measles in populations with high MMR uptake: Using school-household networks to understand the 2013 to 2014 outbreak in the Netherlands. PLoS Med. 2024 Oct;21(10):e1004466.

7. Current figures on measles in the Netherlands [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 17]. Available from: https://www.rivm.nl/en/measles/current-figures-on-measles-in-the-netherlands

8. Diekmann O, Heesterbeek H, Britton T. Mathematical Tools for Understanding Infectious Disease Dynamics. Princeton University Press; 2012. 517 p.

Data availability

The code and data used in this study are available on github: https://github.com/jdmunday/InterepidemicPeriodsNL

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM) for their fruitful collaboration especially to Susan Hahné, Tom Woudenberg, Jacco Wallinga, Don Klinkenberg and Albert Jan van Hoek who each provided detailed support. I also wish to thank Katherine Atkins for her previous support in developing the approach used in the model. Finally, I would like to thank the Office of Education (DUO) for their support with data access, particularly Erik Fleur and Marc Meurs.