Dengue transmission heterogeneity across Indonesia's archipelago: climate-driven spatiotemporal patterns and policy implications

Bimandra A Djaafara[1,2*], Iqbal R F Elyazar[2,3], Fadjar SM Silalahi[4], Asik Surya[5], Agus Handito[4], Burhannudin Thohir[4], Desfalina Aryani[4], Mushtofa Kamal[6], Aditya L Ramadona[7], Dyana Gunawan[4], Hipokrates[4], Anzala Khoirun Nisa[4], Edi Prianto[4], Iriani Samad[4], Agus Sugiarto[4], Kimberly Fornace[1], Hannah E Clapham[1], Nuno R Faria[8], Swapnil Mishra[1]

[1]Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, National University of Singapore and National University Health System, Singapore

[2]Oxford University Clinical Research Unit Indonesia, Faculty of Medicine, University of Indonesia, Indonesia

[3]Monash University Indonesia, Tangerang, Banten, Indonesia

[4]Arbovirus Working Team, Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia, Indonesia

[5]Joint Coalition Against Dengue (KOBAR Lawan Dengue), Jakarta, Indonesia

[6]Public Health Emergency Operation Center, Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia, Indonesia

[7]Department of Health Behavior, Environment and Social Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Public Health and Nursing, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

[8]MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, United Kingdom

*corresponding author: bimandra.djaafara@nus.edu.sg

Abstract

Indonesia is the country with the highest dengue burden in Southeast Asia, with 488 of 514 districts reporting dengue cases annually across its 17,000-island archipelago. Despite the substantial dengue burden, spatiotemporal transmission patterns remain poorly characterised across this geographically diverse nation. We analysed province-level dengue surveillance data (2016-2024) from Indonesia's Ministry of Health alongside climate and urbanisation variables. We examined spatiotemporal heterogeneity using phase lag analysis, bivariate mapping, and correlation analysis between dengue incidence, and climate and urbanisation variables, adjusting for surveillance capacity differences. A clear west-to-east progression of the dengue wave was found, with provinces in Sumatra and Kalimantan experiencing the earliest peaks, aligning with Australian-Asian monsoon patterns. Multi-annual outbreaks (2016, 2019, 2024) were correlated with El Niño phases. Precipitation and relative humidity showed predominantly positive correlations with dengue incidence, whereas temperature correlations were largely negative, reflecting complex relationships between climate variables and annual dengue transmission. After adjusting for surveillance, urban population density was positively correlated with dengue incidence ($r = 0.490$), while simple urbanisation showed a negative correlation ($r = -0.310$). Indonesia exhibits spatiotemporal heterogeneity in dengue transmission, with peak timing differences of up to 3-4 months between provinces, driven by monsoon seasonality. Province-specific intervention timing based on local transmission seasons, rather than uniform national scheduling, could improve control effectiveness. El Niño monitoring provides opportunities for multi-annual outbreak preparedness. Surveillance capacity adjustments indicate that urban density, rather than simple urbanisation, may drive transmission intensity. Climate-integrated surveillance and region-specific interventions are essential for effective dengue control across Indonesia's diverse epidemiological landscapes.

Keywords: dengue; climate; urbanisation; spatiotemporal; Indonesia

Background

Dengue represents one of the most rapidly expanding vector-borne diseases globally, with Indonesia becoming the country with the highest dengue burden in Southeast Asia[1]. As an archipelagic nation spanning over 17,000 islands across 5,000 kilometres of longitude, mostly positioned along the equator, Indonesia presents unique epidemiological and public health challenges not found in continental countries[2,3]. The country's vast geographic diversity, ranging from dense urban centres to remote island communities and from coastal to mountainous regions, creates heterogeneous landscapes where dengue transmission remains poorly understood.

Indonesia's dengue burden is substantial and continues to grow. A multi-centre cohort study conducted across hospitals in Java and Sulawesi found that 32% of hospitalised patients with acute fever tested positive for dengue[4]. All four dengue serotypes were found to be circulating across the country, although serotype dominance varies between regions, highlighting the hyperendemic nature of transmission in Indonesia[4,5]. Currently, 488 of Indonesia's 514 districts in 36 provinces report dengue cases annually[6], demonstrating the disease's widespread presence across the archipelago. The large 2024 dengue outbreak in Indonesia serves as a stark reminder of the country's vulnerability to this disease, with ~90,000 confirmed cases and over 600 deaths reported in the first quarter, representing a three-fold increase compared to the same period in 2023[7].

Despite the substantial burden of dengue in Indonesia, the spatial and temporal patterns of transmission across the archipelago remain poorly characterised. While the spatial heterogeneity of dengue has been recognised[8], the specific drivers causing different transmission patterns across the country's diverse geography have not been systematically studied. Previous research on spatiotemporal dengue patterns has focused on other regions, including comprehensive analyses in Brazil[9], Costa Rica[10], and broader Southeast Asia[11] that excluded Indonesia from their analyses. This knowledge gap represents a critical limitation for public health planning in a country where dengue poses such a significant threat.

Understanding dengue periodicity and transmission patterns is essential for effective outbreak preparedness and resource allocation[12]. In a country as geographically and climatically heterogeneous as Indonesia, region-specific transmission dynamics likely require tailored prevention and control strategies. The timing of dengue seasons, the influence of climate variables, and the role of urbanisation patterns may vary substantially across Indonesia's diverse provinces, necessitating province-level analysis to better inform targeted interventions.

In this study, we characterised the periodicity and spatiotemporal transmission patterns of dengue across Indonesia’s provinces by analysing nine years of surveillance data (2016-2024) alongside climate and urbanisation variables. Our objectives are to identify the underlying drivers of spatial and temporal heterogeneity in dengue transmission and to provide analytical evidence that can

support the Ministry of Health's development of targeted interventions and outbreak preparedness strategies across Indonesia's diverse epidemiological landscapes.

Methods

Epidemiological data

We obtained 2016-2024 monthly dengue incidence data collated by the Arbovirus Working Team of the Indonesia Ministry of Health. The data included clinically diagnosed cases of dengue haemorrhagic fever (DHF) and dengue shock syndrome (DSS) reported from each province through Indonesia's dengue surveillance system[13]. Laboratory confirmation of dengue is uncommon, with diagnosis typically based on clinical presentations and basic haematology results, supplemented by rapid diagnostic tests where available. We calculated the incidence rate per 100,000 population (incidence rate or IR) based on the reported incidence data. For 2016-2024 populations, we used linear interpolation and extrapolation based on 2010 and 2020 census data[14,15].

Climate data

We used the ERA5-Land dataset from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF)[16] aggregated over a monthly period. Monthly precipitation represents the total precipitation (mm) for each month, monthly temperature represents the average daily mean temperature (°C) for each month, and monthly relative humidity represents the average daily mean relative humidity (%) for each month. Relative humidity (□□) was calculated based on the 2m surface temperature (□) and 2m dew point temperature (□□) using the formula[17]:

$$\square\square = 100 \times \square\square\square\left(\frac{17.625 \times \square_{\square}}{243.04 + \square_{\square}}\right) / \square\square\square\left(\frac{17.625 \times \square}{243.04 + \square}\right)$$

We aggregated the climate variables to the province level using a weighted average based on population counts from LandScan Global Population Database[18].

The Oceanic Nino Index (ONI) from NOAA[19], which is the average sea surface temperature in the Nino 3.4 region (120°W to 170°W) averaged over three months, was used as a measure of El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO). ENSO is often associated with the multi-annual cycle of dengue transmission[11].

We used `KrigR`[20] and `rsoi`[21] packages in `R` to download the climate data, and the `exactextractr`[22] package to perform exact aggregation of raster data within province boundaries, similar to the spatial scale of the incidence data.

Urbanicity data

We used the degree of urbanisation settlement model (GHS-SMOD) from the Global Human Settlement Layer (GHSL) programme[23]. The model provides rasters of the degree of urbanisation every 5 years with the following classifications: type 3 (urban centres/high-density cluster), type 2 (urban cluster/moderate-density cluster), and type 1 (rural grid cells/mostly low-density cells). Type 3 and type 2, when aggregated, form the 'urban domain'. We used the 2020 average proportions of urban domains, weighted by population rasters, as estimates of urbanicity at the province level. We also estimated urban population density by dividing the weighted average proportion of the urban domain by the proportion of the urban domain area within each province.

Surveillance capability data

We used surveillance capability estimates from Lim et al.[24], which ranged from 0 to 1, with a value of 1 indicating that a viral infection is more likely to be publicly reported. We calculated the urban surveillance capability for each province, taking into account the weighted proportion of the population residing in urban areas within each province. This surveillance capability estimate was used to adjust the reported dengue incidence rate in each province for analysis.

Wavelet analysis: extracting annual phase angles

We performed wavelet transformations[25] of the incidence rate and climate data, extracting their annual phase angles as was used in previous studies[9–11]. The Morlet wavelet transformations were performed using the biwavelet[25,26] package in R, yielding annual phase angles. Prior to transformation, the incidence rate and precipitation data were log-transformed and normalised (with a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1). In contrast, temperature and relative humidity were only normalised without log-transformation.

Phase lags between provinces

Pairwise phase angle differences between two provinces were calculated (phase lags) to determine whether a province is ahead or behind the other provinces during a recurrent annual cycle (wavelet transformed variables' phase angles change from $-\pi$ to π in a single annual cycle). Average phase lags were calculated for each province, representing the average relative position of a province in terms of its dengue annual cycle compared to the other 37 provinces in the country.

Wavelet coherence between incidence and climate variables

We calculated the correlations between the phase angles of incidence rate and climate variables (including up to a 3-month lag of the climate variables) to see the synchrony of annual patterns between them. Correlations were calculated using data at the province and national levels. For

ONI, correlations with the incidence were calculated using the actual ONI value and log-transformed and normalised incidence rate.

Bivariate mapping of variables

Bivariate maps overlay two choropleth maps of different variables, enabling a direct comparison of those variables within a single map[27]. Each variable was categorised into three groups using each variable's quantile, placing an approximately equal number of observations in each class based on the ranks of the data. The quantile method for classification was argued to be the appropriate method for comparing variables with different shapes and distributions in a single map[27].

For each incidence and climate data set, we created bivariate maps displaying median values (representing the relative intensity of each variable across provinces) versus coefficient of variation values (representing the temporal variability of each variable). The coefficient of variation (standard deviation divided by mean) enables standardised comparison of variability across provinces, regardless of the underlying scale of each variable. This bivariate approach reveals groups of provinces that are characterised by different combinations of intensity and variability, for example, provinces with high median dengue incidence and low variability, and provinces with moderate median incidence but high variability.

Results

Figure 1 reveals substantial spatial and temporal heterogeneity in dengue incidence patterns across Indonesia's provinces from 2016 to 2024. The spatial distribution (**Figure 1A)** shows the geographic variation of the average yearly reported dengue incidence rates, with Bali reporting the highest average incidence rate (194 per 100,000), followed by East Kalimantan (149), North Kalimantan (109), Gorontalo (79), and North Sulawesi (78). The bivariate analysis (**Figure 1B)** illustrates the relationship between the median and coefficient of variation of incidence rate across provinces. Sumatra provinces predominantly exhibit a medium level of median incidence rate with low variance, except for the southern provinces, which show higher variability. In eastern Indonesia, dengue incidence rate is generally low but with high seasonality. Provinces in Java and Bali region show diverse patterns, from Bali's high-incidence, highly-seasonal (high variability) profile (along with the neighbouring West Nusa Tenggara) to Central Java's low-incidence, low-variability pattern.

National-level temporal patterns (**Figure 1C**) reveal multi-annual outbreak cycles, with major surges in 2016, 2019 and 2024, and smaller increases in early 2020 (before reported dengue incidence reached its lowest point, likely due to disruptions caused by the COVID-19 pandemic) and 2022. The figure also shows the difference between the 2016 and 2024 outbreaks, the two largest outbreaks during this period. The 2016 outbreak rapidly declined to low levels, whereas the

2024 outbreak peak lasted longer before declining to a higher baseline compared to previous outbreaks. The province-level heatmaps of incidence rate (**Figure 1D)** show that while outbreak intensity generally aligns with national trends, provinces (and, to some extent, regions) exhibit differences in peak timings and annual cycles.


A
Average dengue IR
(2016-2024)
0 50 100 150 200
B
Higher CV →
Higher Median →
C
Log of monthly dengue incidence rate
D
Province
Aceh
North Sumatra
West Sumatra
Riau
Jambi
South Sumatra
Bengkulu
Lampung
Bangka Belitung Islands
Riau Islands
Jakarta
West Java
Central Java
Yogyakarta
East Java
Banten
Bali
West Nusa Tenggara
East Nusa Tenggara
West Kalimantan
Central Kalimantan
South Kalimantan
East Kalimantan
North Kalimantan
North Sulawesi
Central Sulawesi
South Sulawesi
Southeast Sulawesi
Gorontalo
West Sulawesi
Maluku
North Maluku
West Papua
Southwest Papua
Papua
South Papua
Central Papua
Highland Papua
Indonesia
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024

Figure 1. Dengue incidence rate per 100,000 patterns at the province level across Indonesia. A) Spatial distribution of average yearly incidence rate (2016-2014); **B)** Bivariate map showing the relationship between median and coefficient of variation of monthly incidence rate across 2016-2024 at the province level; **C)** Monthly log-transformed incidence rate at the national level. **D)** Heatmap of the log-transformed and scaled monthly incidence rate from 2016 to 2024. Horizontal black lines denote separation of provinces in different regions.

Figure 2 demonstrates a clear west-to-east progression in the timing of the annual dengue cycles across Indonesian provinces. The phase lag analysis (**Figure 2A**) reveals that provinces with the earliest timing of dengue waves in the country are predominantly located in western Indonesia, specifically the Riau Islands, North Sumatra, and Aceh in the Sumatra region. Several provinces in Kalimantan and all provinces in Nusa Tenggara have earlier dengue cycle timings compared to other provinces in the country. Provinces with the latest dengue cycle timings include Bengkulu, North Maluku, Bali, South Papua, and Jakarta. The spatial distribution (**Figure 2B**) illustrates this temporal pattern geographically, showing the west-to-east gradient in dengue wave timing across the archipelago.



Figure 2. Province-level phase lags (in months) of reported dengue incidence across Indonesia. Negative phase lage denotes earlier phase (and peak) of dengue incidence. A) Median phase lags (with 95% confidence interval) of each province to the other provinces in Indonesia, sorted by median phase lags (left to right from the lowest to the highest). **B)** Map of the median phase lags.

The bivariate maps in **Figure 3** illustrate the diversity of climate profiles across Indonesia. **Figure 3A** illustrates the diversity of precipitation profiles, with the southern part of Indonesia (southern tip of Sumatra, Java, Bali, Nusa Tenggara, and southern Papua) exhibiting the most pronounced precipitation seasonality. In contrast, the central and northern areas experience less seasonal variation but higher median rainfall throughout the year. **Figure 3B** shows the temperature profile, with southern provinces exhibiting the greatest month-to-month variation. In contrast, provinces near the equator maintain the highest median temperature from 2016 to 2024. **Figure**

3C shows that relative humidity also varies most in the southern provinces, while provinces along the equatorial line experience consistently higher median relative humidity.



Figure 3. Bivariate maps of climate variables at the province level across Indonesia. Two variables shown are the median of the climate variable (horizontal in colour) and

the coefficient of variation of the climate variable (vertical) for each province. A) Precipitation; **B)** Temperature; and **C)** Relative humidity.

Figure 4 shows complex relationships between climate variables and dengue transmission patterns across Indonesia (2015 is included in climate variables data to account for lags between climate variables and incidence). **Figure 4A** illustrates substantial spatial heterogeneity in the average monthly precipitation, temperature, and relative humidity, with relative humidity exhibiting similar monthly patterns to precipitation (monthly patterns from 2015 to 2024 are available in **Supplementary File 1**). In Sumatra, precipitation and humidity typically peak around the end of the year in most provinces. In contrast, they peak around the beginning of the year in Java, Bali and Nusa Tenggara or the middle of the year in eastern Indonesian provinces. The coldest period typically occurs from June to August in most of Indonesia, coinciding with the winter season in Australia, except in provinces located relatively far from Australia, such as those in Sumatra, western Java, and Kalimantan. The average monthly patterns with absolute values are available in **Supplementary File 2**.

Our data (**Figure 4B**) shows a strong association between the multi-annual dengue cycles and El Niño patterns, with major dengue surges consistently occurring following El Niño phases (Oceanic Niño Index (ONI) > 0.5). Four out of five years with elevated dengue incidence (2016, 2019, 2020, and 2024) coincided with or followed El Niño conditions, except in 2022 when a surge of dengue occurred during a cool phase (La Niña). The highest dengue surges (2016 and 2024) occurred following the strong warm phase (ONI > 1.5) period in late 2015 and 2023.

The correlation heatmaps (**Figure 4C**) examine the relationships between climate variables and dengue timing (phase angles) across different lag periods (wavelet coherence plots between precipitation, temperature and relative humidity with dengue incidence are available in **Supplementary File 3**). For ONI, correlation analysis was conducted with incidence rate to capture multi-annual patterns. Precipitation and relative humidity exhibit predominantly positive correlations with dengue phase timing, with relative humidity having a one-month earlier lag than precipitation. The exception is in the province of North Kalimantan, where small negative correlations are observed. There are also geographic patterns in the lags between precipitation and relative humidity, and dengue phase timing. In Sumatra, there is mainly no lag between the dengue wave and precipitation except in the two provinces on the western coast of Sumatra, West Sumatra and Bengkulu (2-3 month lag). In Java and Bali, there is a 2-3 month lag between precipitation timing and dengue timing. Variations occur amongst provinces in other regions.

Temperature correlations with dengue timing show clear regional patterns across Indonesia. Sumatra, Java and Bali, as well as most Kalimantan provinces exhibit predominantly negative correlations, indicating that dengue peaks occur when temperatures are declining. In contrast, eastern Indonesian provinces (Sulawesi, Maluku, Papua) and Nusa Tenggara provinces show

predominantly positive correlations, typically with 1-3 month lags, where dengue peaks follow periods of increasing temperature. Notable exceptions include Yogyakarta (Java) and Bengkulu (Sumatra), which show positive correlations with simultaneous or short-lag relationships between temperature increases and dengue timing.

Dengue incidence is positively correlated with the ONI in most provinces in Indonesia, except in the Riau Islands. We found positive correlations between ONI and dengue incidence in most provinces, which indicates the influence of El Niño conditions on the timing and intensity of dengue in Indonesia. At the national level, there is a 2-3 month lag between the ONI and dengue incidence. However, several provinces show simultaneous responses to El Niño conditions.

A


Precipitation
Temperature
Rel. humidity
Province
Aceh
North Sumatra
West Sumatra
Riau
Jambi
South Sumatra
Bengkulu
Lampung
Bangka Belitung Islands
Riau Islands
Jakarta
West Java
Central Java
Yogyakarta
East Java
Banten
Bali
West Nusa Tenggara
East Nusa Tenggara
West Kalimantan
Central Kalimantan
South Kalimantan
East Kalimantan
North Kalimantan
North Sulawesi
Central Sulawesi
South Sulawesi
Southeast Sulawesi
Gorontalo
West Sulawesi
Maluku
North Maluku
West Papua
Southwest Papua
Papua
South Papua
Central Papua
Highland Papua
Indonesia
January
February
March
April
May
June
July
August
September
October
November
December

B


Incidence rate/ONI
2
1
0
-1
-2
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
Incidence rate
ONI

C


Phase angles correlations
Incidence correlations
Province
Aceh 0.61 0.65 0.33 0.09 -0.43 -0.4 -0.31 -0.14 0.63 0.33 0.01 -0.21 0.34 0.32 0.3 0.29
North Sumatra 0.47 0.11 -0.17 -0.32 -0.32 -0.11 0.14 0.49 0.17 -0.12 -0.32 -0.44 0.21 0.24 0.27 0.3
West Sumatra -0.01 0.21 0.54 0.4 -0.11 -0.2 -0.28 -0.29 0.33 0.35 0.3 0.01 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34
Riau 0.46 0.53 0.34 0.03 -0.41 -0.39 -0.29 -0.1 0.43 0.13 -0.14 -0.32 0.44 0.47 0.48 0.49
Jambi 0.74 0.75 0.34 -0.03 -0.47 -0.47 -0.39 -0.2 0.45 0.1 -0.16 -0.34 0.53 0.53 0.51 0.47
South Sumatra 0.95 0.59 0.17 -0.1 -0.43 -0.3 -0.09 0.21 0.41 0.07 -0.22 -0.39 0.51 0.52 0.51 0.48
Bengkulu -0.1 0.05 0.19 0.33 0.5 0.45 0.28 0.15 0.46 0.46 0.29 0.1 0.37 0.42 0.43 0.43
Lampung 0.49 0.49 0.52 0.24 -0.31 -0.26 -0.16 0.05 0.61 0.36 0.07 -0.21 0.23 0.28 0.31 0.31
Bangka Belitung Islands 0.64 0.34 -0.01 -0.26 -0.46 -0.41 -0.29 -0.06 0.28 -0.03 -0.25 -0.4 0.25 0.25 0.21 0.16
Riau Islands 0.39 0.21 0 -0.27 -0.16 0.08 0.41 0.81 -0.03 -0.22 -0.37 -0.45 -0.18 -0.1 -0.03 0.02
Jakarta 0 0.33 0.74 0.79 -0.4 -0.48 -0.48 -0.41 0.33 0.74 0.79 0.36 0.2 0.28 0.35 0.4
West Java 0.01 0.33 0.69 0.79 -0.4 -0.45 -0.46 -0.35 0.41 0.84 0.69 0.28 0.19 0.28 0.36 0.41
Central Java 0.33 0.7 0.74 0.37 -0.44 -0.4 -0.29 -0.11 0.74 0.79 0.37 0.02 0.23 0.31 0.38 0.42
Yogyakarta 0.26 0.65 0.79 0.42 0.45 0.39 0.31 0.02 0.52 0.74 0.61 0.18 0.1 0.21 0.32 0.42
East Java 0.46 0.9 0.64 0.24 -0.38 -0.17 0.1 0.51 0.9 0.64 0.24 -0.07 0.3 0.36 0.41 0.45
Banten 0.3 0.59 0.8 0.42 -0.44 -0.47 -0.42 -0.27 0.59 0.75 0.33 0.07 0.4 0.47 0.52 0.54
Bali -0.09 0.21 0.64 0.89 0.04 0.38 0.69 0.79 0.13 0.49 0.94 0.59 0.12 0.19 0.26 0.32
West Nusa Tenggara 0.24 0.64 0.78 0.46 -0.1 0.2 0.54 0.79 0.47 0.69 0.55 0.22 0.14 0.17 0.18 0.18
East Nusa Tenggara 0.6 0.69 0.48 0.15 -0.04 0.29 0.74 0.59 0.74 0.51 0.15 -0.13 0.16 0.15 0.14 0.12
West Kalimantan 0.24 0.28 0.14 0.02 -0.22 -0.24 -0.17 -0.02 0.27 0.26 0.08 -0.02 0.46 0.41 0.34 0.25
Central Kalimantan 0.84 0.59 0.21 -0.09 -0.4 -0.35 -0.2 0.05 0.5 0.14 -0.14 -0.36 0.71 0.66 0.59 0.5
South Kalimantan 0.89 0.54 0.17 -0.12 -0.41 -0.28 -0.09 0.2 0.59 0.21 -0.09 -0.31 0.69 0.66 0.59 0.5
East Kalimantan 0.33 0.44 0.45 0.25 -0.02 0.11 0.14 0.08 0.34 0.22 0.1 -0.01 0.22 0.24 0.26 0.28
North Kalimantan -0.11 -0.15 -0.23 -0.23 -0.04 -0.08 -0.11 -0.11 0.05 -0.05 -0.16 -0.19 0.29 0.33 0.37 0.4
North Sulawesi 0.54 0.15 -0.18 -0.37 -0.1 0.16 0.25 0.37 0.65 0.32 -0.02 -0.2 0.33 0.33 0.32 0.29
Central Sulawesi 0.12 -0.15 -0.36 -0.44 0.09 0.43 0.52 0.55 0.35 0.02 -0.25 -0.38 0.49 0.53 0.56 0.56
South Sulawesi 0.66 0.74 0.47 0.11 -0.22 0.04 0.33 0.74 0.74 0.46 0.07 -0.2 0.41 0.44 0.44 0.42
Southeast Sulawesi 0.89 0.45 0.1 -0.18 -0.04 0.28 0.69 0.84 0.74 0.33 0 -0.26 0.6 0.62 0.6 0.55
Gorontalo 0.42 0.15 -0.15 -0.31 -0.18 0.06 0.28 0.53 0.65 0.32 0.11 -0.21 0.42 0.41 0.39 0.34
West Sulawesi 0.22 0.04 -0.03 -0.16 0.08 0.19 0.17 0.14 0.12 -0.04 -0.09 -0.1 0.54 0.53 0.5 0.46
Maluku 0.84 0.41 0.06 -0.22 0.17 0.59 0.89 0.49 0.34 0.01 -0.24 -0.4 0.36 0.32 0.27 0.21
North Maluku 0.31 0.2 0.08 -0.14 0.02 0.16 0.2 0.31 0.23 0.24 0.12 -0.05 0.19 0.19 0.21 0.22
West Papua 0.66 0.69 0.47 0.11 0.04 0.37 0.66 0.53 0.64 0.52 0.16 -0.13 0.3 0.23 0.14 0.02
Southwest Papua 0.27 0.26 0.07 -0.15 -0.06 0.19 0.29 0.25 0.26 0.27 0.12 -0.12 0.16 0.25 0.33 0.39
Papua 0.12 0.27 0.2 0.16 0.02 0.11 0.29 0.41 0.16 -0.06 -0.21 -0.3 0.36 0.38 0.39 0.38
South Papua 0.24 0.63 0.49 0.39 -0.23 0.02 0.35 0.76 0.35 0.7 0.54 0.32 0.11 0.14 0.16 0.16
Central Papua 0.24 -0.07 -0.29 -0.42 0.1 0.48 0.69 0.6 0 -0.25 -0.4 -0.45 0.05 0.02 0 -0.03
Highland Papua 0.41 0.63 0.51 0.26 0.22 0.58 0.7 0.42 0.5 0.44 0.24 0.04 0.09 0.14 0.17 0.19
Indonesia 0.33 0.74 0.79 0.37 -0.47 -0.44 -0.36 -0.15 0.79 0.74 0.32 -0.01 0.45 0.51 0.56 0.58
Precipitation
Precipitation (lag 1)
Precipitation (lag 2)
Precipitation (lag 3)
Temperature
Temperature (lag 1)
Temperature (lag 2)
Temperature (lag 3)
Rel. humidity
Rel. humidity (lag 1)
Rel. humidity (lag 2)
Rel. humidity (lag 3)
ONI
ONI (lag 1)
ONI (lag 2)
ONI (lag 3)
Correlation
-1.0
-0.5
0.0
0.5
1.0

Figure 4. Climate variables patterns and correlations with dengue incidence across Indonesia between 2015 to 2024. A) Average monthly patterns (normalised) of precipitation (log-transformed before normalised), temperature, and relative humidity. **B)** Monthly Oceanic Niño Index (ONI) and incidence rate (log-transformed and normalised), horizontal lines denote threshold of different phases and their intensity. ONI between -0.5 and 0.5 is considered a neutral phase. While ONI > 0.5 and < 0.5 are considered warm and cool phases, respectively. For warm phase (El Nino) and cool phase (La Nina), 0.5 relative increase in their respective intensity denote stronger phase of El Nino or La Nina. **C)** Correlations between climate variables and incidence rate phase angles (for precipitation, temperature, and relative humidity), and ONI and incidence rate, including monthly lags in the climate variables (heatmap for corresponding p-values is available in **Supplementary File 4**).

Figure 5 examines the relationship between urbanisation patterns, surveillance capacity, and dengue incidence across Indonesian provinces. The spatial distribution of urbanisation (**Figure 5A**) shows that Java and Bali provinces have the highest proportion of urban population. In contrast, the populations of Central Kalimantan, East Nusa Tenggara, and most other eastern Indonesian provinces remain predominantly rural. Urban density patterns (**Figure 5B**) show a contrasting picture to the simple urbanisation metric (i.e., **Figure 5A**). Java provinces, despite having a high proportion of urban populations, exhibit low urban density values (around 1), indicating that the proportion of people living in urban areas roughly equals the proportion of land classified as urban. On the other hand, the provinces of Papua and Kalimantan exhibit the highest urban densities, indicating that their urban populations are concentrated in relatively small urban land areas. Urban surveillance capability (**Figure 5C**) varies across the archipelago. Java and Bali are estimated to have the highest urban surveillance capabilities, while urban areas in eastern Indonesian provinces show the lowest capacity for detecting and reporting viral infections.

The correlation analyses (**Figures 5D** and **E**) show how surveillance adjustment alters the relationship between urbanisation and dengue incidence. There is a weak positive linear correlation (□ = 0.336, p-value = 0.039) between the degree of urbanisation and the unadjusted incidence rate. However, after adjusting for urban surveillance capability, their relationship became negative (□= -0.310, p-value = 0.058), suggesting that the apparent urban-dengue association may partially reflect surveillance bias. The relationship between urban density and dengue incidence shows a different pattern. We do not see a significant relationship between the unadjusted incidence rate and urban density (□ = -0.023, p-value = 0.892). However, after adjustment, we found a significant positive relationship between these variables (r = 0.489, p-value = 0.002), indicating that provinces with higher urban population concentrations and density experience higher dengue transmission after accounting for detection capability. Nevertheless, in provinces with lower urban population densities, the variation in adjusted incidence rates is high, suggesting additional factors influencing dengue transmission that were not accounted for in this analysis.



Figure 5. Urbanisation, viral infection surveillance capability for urban population, and dengue incidence rate patterns across Indonesia. A) Proportion of population living in urban areas as a proxy for degree of urbanisation. **B)** Density of urban areas which was

calculated by proportion of population living in urban areas divided by proportion of areas which are classified as urban. **C)** Surveillance capability for the urban areas of the province. **D)** Relationship between proportion of population living in urban areas and incidence rate of dengue (non-adjusted and adjusted with the urban surveillance capability). **E)** Relationship between density of urban and incidence rate of dengue (non-adjusted and adjusted with the urban surveillance capability).

Discussion

This study shows substantial spatial and temporal heterogeneity in dengue transmission patterns across Indonesia's archipelago, highlighting how the country's unique geography, diverse climate zones, and varying urbanisation levels create distinct epidemiological landscapes at the province level. Our findings provide important insights for developing targeted dengue control strategies in one of Southeast Asia's dengue hyperendemic countries.

Our analysis shows clear spatial heterogeneity in dengue periodicity, with provinces displaying distinct timings for the onset and peak of annual dengue cycles. The diversity of climate patterns across the country largely explains this heterogeneity. Precipitation, temperature, and relative humidity are largely correlated with the annual cycle of dengue. At the same time, multi-annual outbreak patterns are predominantly related to El Niño warm phases as tracked through the Oceanic Niño Index (ONI). After adjusting for urban surveillance capacity, we found a significant correlation between provinces with high urban population density and elevated dengue incidence rates.

Our findings reveal a clear west-to-east timing gradient in dengue annual cycles across Indonesia, starting from the northwest. Provinces in Sumatra and Kalimantan experience the earliest dengue peaks each year, followed by provinces in central, southern, and eastern Indonesia. This temporal sequence aligns well with the Australian-Asian monsoon system's northwest-southeast progression, which moves from Northern and Central Sumatra (late August) to Timor (mid-December)[28]. This pattern reflects climate-driven differences in optimal transmission timing rather than disease spread between provinces. Previous research confirms distinct dengue seasonality across Indonesian regions, with cases recruited at study sites in the western and central regions (Batam, Banjarmasin) peaking earlier than the eastern regions (Ambon), demonstrating spatial heterogeneity aligned with regional monsoon patterns[29].

This geographic pattern in timing also suggests that dengue preparedness strategies should account for regional differences in annual seasonal patterns. Rather than implementing uniform national timing for interventions, province-specific scheduling based on local transmission seasons may improve the effectiveness of vector control and public health messaging campaigns. This knowledge also enables each province to better prepare its healthcare facilities for anticipated surges in dengue patients.

We also observed clear multi-annual cycles of major dengue outbreaks, most notably in 2016, 2019, and 2024, with smaller surges in early 2020 and 2022. These patterns largely align with El Niño phases, with the highest dengue surges (2016 and 2024) occurring following strong warm phase periods in late 2015 and 2023. The only exception was in 2022, when a relatively large outbreak occurred during a La Niña phase, possibly related to other factors such as serotype dynamics[30,31]. Changes in serotype dominance have been shown to cause major outbreaks elsewhere, including in Singapore and Brazil, where periodic epidemic patterns were associated with a switch in predominant serotypes[32,33]. The lowest incidence rate in Indonesia occurred in 2020, coinciding with the COVID-19 pandemic. Disruptions in human mobility and surveillance due to COVID-19 restrictions and overwhelmed healthcare systems, as well as changes in dengue transmission patterns resulting from these restrictions, may have contributed to the decline[34–37].

High precipitation provides essential breeding habitats for *Aedes* spp. mosquitoes, which primarily breed in man-made containers common in urban environments[38]. However, the relationship is complex; moderate precipitation facilitates breeding site availability, while extreme rainfall may flush away mosquito eggs and larvae, potentially reducing transmission[39]. This may explain the variable lag periods we observed across provinces, likely reflecting differences in precipitation intensity, urban drainage systems, and the population's water storage behaviours, which affect breeding site persistence. Interestingly, during the El Niño periods, when conditions become drier and warmer in Indonesia, we still observed high correlations with dengue incidence rates. Studies suggest that this paradox may be explained by the increased use of water storage containers during drought periods[40], which can facilitate increased mosquito density and concentrated breeding opportunities. Elevated temperatures, which may decrease the extrinsic incubation period (EIP),[41] may also exacerbate dengue transmission during warmer periods. Additionally, drought-induced migration to urban areas may increase population density and dengue transmission potential, especially among the refugee population[42].

Relative humidity typically increases during periods of high precipitation and significantly influences mosquito survival and behaviour[38]. Higher humidity facilitates increased feeding activity in *Aedes* spp. mosquitoes and extend their adult lifespan, creating optimal conditions for dengue transmission[38]. This explains why precipitation and relative humidity align in their correlations with dengue incidence rates across Indonesia, as both variables create synergistic effects that enhance vector competence and abundance[38].

Temperature affects both virus replication and vector ecology through multiple mechanisms. At higher temperatures, the extrinsic incubation period (EIP) is shortened, facilitating increased dengue transmission as the virus replicates more rapidly[43,44]. However, extreme heat lowers the transmission potential as mosquito survival and competence peaks are exceeded[45,46]. The negative temperature correlations we observed across most Indonesian provinces likely reflect two

mechanisms. First, temperatures may have exceeded optimal ranges for dengue transmission, as documented in other tropical settings where warming beyond thermal optima reduces transmission[45]. Second, the timing of temperature increases often coincides with the end of rainy seasons, particularly in Java and Bali, where peak temperatures occur during dry periods when breeding site availability is reduced.

These findings reveal the remarkable diversity of climate-dengue relationships across Indonesia's provinces, reflecting the country's heterogeneous geography and climate variability. The complexity of these relationships highlights the need for region-specific approaches to climate-based dengue prediction and control strategies.

Based on recent estimates of surveillance capacity[24], substantial disparities exist in viral infection surveillance capabilities across Indonesian provinces, with Java provinces having the highest reporting capacity. When we adjusted incidence rates using these surveillance capability metrics, we found a positive relationship between adjusted incidence and urban population density. In contrast, the adjusted incidence had a negative correlation with the degree of urbanisation. This aligns with a recent systematic review on urbanisation and dengue transmission, which shows that urbanisation, measured in terms of population density, is consistently associated with increased arboviral disease levels[47]. Urbanisation substantially increases *Aedes* spp. density by providing favourable breeding sites, enhancing larval development rates, and prolonging adult survival times[47].

Nevertheless, substantial variation in adjusted incidence rates exists among provinces with lower urban population density. Studies have found that dengue transmission patterns are more complex than simple urban-rural dichotomies, with infrastructure quality often more important than urban status per se[40,48]. This suggests that additional important factors were not captured in our analysis, such as housing quality, water storage practices, the effectiveness of local vector control programs, and local climate microvariability. Moreover, variation in dengue incidence is shaped not only by environmental and infrastructural factors but also by the immunological landscape (baseline susceptibility), which can dramatically influence outbreak potential and persistence. Seroprevalence studies, which measure the proportion of individuals in a population with antibodies to dengue, could shed light on baseline susceptibility by revealing levels of prior exposure and immunity.

Indonesia's dengue patterns show both similarities and notable differences compared to those of other Southeast Asian and Latin American countries. For example, Vietnam, a long and narrow country spanning from north to south, exhibits clear seasonality of dengue, with northern Vietnamese provinces showing 3-7 times lower incidence than southern provinces[49,50]. In Brazil, dengue transmission patterns follow a travelling wave from western states eastward to the coast, except for two states in Northern Brazil that are located near the equator and exhibit different

patterns than the rest[9]. However, Indonesia's archipelagic geography and vastness create what essentially amounts to dealing with dengue transmission across multiple countries simultaneously, each with distinct climate patterns, urban development levels, and transmission dynamics. This differs substantially from continental countries like Brazil, Thailand, or Vietnam, where overland connectivity enables more predictable travelling wave patterns through geographic proximity[9,11]. Indonesia's island-to-island transmission is more complex, requiring a further understanding of human travel networks within the country using, for example, anonymised cell phone data and/or interview- or survey-based studies. A granular understanding of human mobility and connectivity across the country could further contribute to characterising how movement between regions may drive the spatial spread of dengue.

While our analysis focused on average climate conditions, extreme weather events such as severe droughts and intense precipitation may introduce additional complexity to climate-dengue relationships. Climate change is projected to increase the frequency and intensity of such extreme events, along with altered precipitation patterns and changes in temperature variability, potentially altering the patterns we identified[51]. The high spatial heterogeneity we documented suggests that the impacts of extreme events and climate change may vary substantially across provinces in Indonesia, with some regions experiencing amplified effects on dengue transmission. This makes understanding current spatial and temporal patterns even more critical for adapting control strategies to future transmission scenarios.

Our findings provide a foundation for evidence-based dengue control policy at the province level. The west-to-east timing gradient suggests that dengue early warning systems should consider regional timing differences rather than applying uniform national thresholds, with preparedness activities scheduled according to each province's seasonal transmission patterns. Better resource allocation is also possible through understanding which provinces require enhanced surveillance capacity versus vector control interventions. The correlation between urban density and transmission intensity after adjusting for surveillance suggests that densely populated urban areas should receive priority for intensive vector control programs. In contrast, rural or less dense areas may benefit more from improved case detection and reporting systems. Intervention planning should also account for provincial-level climate patterns and lag relationships. Vector control activities should commence 1-2 months before predicted peak transmission periods in each region, with western provinces requiring earlier implementation than central and eastern provinces. Inter-provincial coordination becomes crucial given the west-to-east progression pattern. Provinces can share early warning information and coordinate resource deployment based on the timing of transmission onset and peak. Climate monitoring integration with dengue surveillance should be a standard practice. Even basic climate variables capture dengue periodicity remarkably well and can help prepare for major outbreaks. Accounting for the diverse epidemiological landscapes of dengue across Indonesia's provinces is crucial for dengue control and outbreak preparedness.

Several limitations affect our analysis and interpretation. Indonesia's dengue surveillance system relies primarily on clinical diagnosis rather than laboratory confirmation, which may affect case accuracy and introduce geographic variations in reporting practices[4,13]. Potential underreporting varies seasonally, geographically, and across years, affecting data accuracy despite adjustments to estimated surveillance capacity. Our surveillance capability metric remains relatively general, and estimating the force of infection might provide a more precise approach to establishing climate-transmission relationships. However, this requires extensive serological data or age-stratified case data, which are not yet readily available at the national scale. Our analysis focused on average monthly climate conditions and may not capture the full impact of extreme weather events on dengue transmission. Droughts, extreme precipitation, and heat waves could introduce additional variability in climate-dengue relationships that our mean-based analysis does not account for. Province-level analysis may mask important local variations, particularly in large provinces where sub-district transmission dynamics could differ substantially. Indonesia's heterogeneous settings mean province-level administrators need to consider within-province heterogeneity when implementing control strategies.

Additionally, climate change may gradually alter the relationships between cases and climate variables, modifying the periodicity of dengue transmission. Our relatively short study period (2016-2024) limits the investigation of longer-term changes in the climate-dengue relationship. The absence of serotype circulation data prevents accounting for serotype switching and population immunity dynamics, which influence outbreak patterns. Shifting dominance and geographical diversity in serotype circulations in Indonesia were identified in a systematic review[52]. However, more systematic genomic surveillance is needed to understand higher-resolution genotype and lineage dynamics[53]. Finally, our simple urban density measurements may not accurately reflect the numerous factors that regulate complex dengue transmission dynamics in the urban population, particularly local social and ecological conditions[40].

Data availability

The dengue surveillance data supporting the conclusions of this article are owned by the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia and are available from the Ministry upon reasonable request and subject to their data sharing policies. Interested researchers should contact the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia to inquire about data access procedures.

Code availability

The code used for data analysis in this study is available at https://github.com/andradjaafara/dengue_spatiotemporal_climate_indonesia.

References

1. Shepard, D. S., Undurraga, E. A. & Halasa, Y. A. Economic and Disease Burden of Dengue in Southeast Asia. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 7, e2055 (2013).
2. Mangoma, J. & Sulistiadi, W. Island Health Crisis: Bridging Gaps in Indonesia's Healthcare Deserts. *Journal of Indonesian Health Policy and Administration* 9, (2024).
3. Harapan, B. N., Harapan, T., Theodora, L. & Anantama, N. A. From Archipelago to Pandemic Battleground: Unveiling Indonesia's COVID-19 Crisis. *J Epidemiol Glob Health* 13, 591–603 (2023).
4. Utama, I. M. S. *et al.* Dengue viral infection in Indonesia: Epidemiology, diagnostic challenges, and mutations from an observational cohort study. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 13, e0007785 (2019).
5. Sasmono, R. T. *et al.* Dengue virus serotype distribution based on serological evidence in pediatric urban population in Indonesia. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 12, e0006616 (2018).
6. Tarigan, M. 75 Percent of Dengue Fever Cases Occur in Ages 5-44 Years. *Tempo* https://en.tempo.co/read/2002024/75-percent-of-dengue-fever-cases-occur-in-ages-5-44-years (2025).
7. World Health Organization. Disease Outbreak News; Dengue – Global Situation. https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbreak-news/item/2024-DON518 (2024).
8. Tam, C. C., O'Driscoll, M., Taurel, A.-F., Nealon, J. & Hadinegoro, S. R. Geographic variation in dengue seroprevalence and force of infection in the urban paediatric population of Indonesia. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 12, e0006932 (2018).
9. Churakov, M., Villabona-Arenas, C. J., Kraemer, M. U. G., Salje, H. & Cauchemez, S. Spatio-temporal dynamics of dengue in Brazil: Seasonal travelling waves and determinants of regional synchrony. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 13, e0007012 (2019).
10. García, Y. E. *et al.* Common patterns between dengue cases, climate, and local environmental variables in Costa Rica: A wavelet approach. *PLOS Global Public Health* 3, e0002417 (2023).
11. van Panhuis, W. G. *et al.* Region-wide synchrony and traveling waves of dengue across eight countries in Southeast Asia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112, 13069–13074 (2015).
12. Akinsulie, O. C. & Idris, I. Global re-emergence of dengue fever: The need for a rapid response and surveillance. *The Microbe* 4, 100107 (2024).
13. Nealon, J. *et al.* Symptomatic Dengue Disease in Five Southeast Asian Countries: Epidemiological Evidence from a Dengue Vaccine Trial. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 10, e0004918 (2016).
14. Badan Pusat Statistik. Hasil Sensus Penduduk 2010. https://sp2010.bps.go.id/ (2011).
15. Badan Pusat Statistik. *Hasil Sensus Penduduk 2020.* https://www.bps.go.id/pressrelease/2021/01/21/1854/hasil-sensus-penduduk-2020.html (2021).

16. Copernicus Climate Change Service. ERA5-Land hourly data from 1950 to present. Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store (CDS). https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.e2161bac (2022).
17. Guido, Z., Allen, T., Mason, S. & Méndez-Lázaro, P. Hurricanes and Anomalous Heat in the Caribbean. *Geophysical Research Letters* 49, e2022GL099740 (2022).
18. Lebakula, V. *et al.* LandScan Global 30 Arcsecond Annual Global Gridded Population Datasets from 2000 to 2022. *Scientific Data* 12, 495 (2025).
19. Bamston, A. G., Chelliah ,Muthuvel & and Goldenberg, S. B. Documentation of a highly ENSO-related sst region in the equatorial pacific: Research note. *Atmosphere-Ocean* 35, 367–383 (1997).
20. Kusch, E. & Davy, R. KrigR—a tool for downloading and statistically downscaling climate reanalysis data. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 17, 024005 (2022).
21. Albers, S. & Campitelli, E. rsoi: Import Various Northern and Southern Hemisphere Climate Indices. (2023).
22. Baston, D., ISciences & LLC. exactextractr: Fast Extraction from Raster Datasets using Polygons. (2023).
23. Pesaresi, M. *et al.* Advances on the Global Human Settlement Layer by joint assessment of Earth Observation and population survey data. *International Journal of Digital Earth* 17, 2390454 (2024).
24. Lim, A. *et al.* The overlapping global distribution of dengue, chikungunya, Zika and yellow fever. *Nat Commun* 16, 3418 (2025).
25. Torrence, C. & Compo, G. P. A Practical Guide to Wavelet Analysis. (1998).
26. Gouhier, T., Grinsted, A. & Simko, V. biwavelet: Conduct Univariate and Bivariate Wavelet Analyses. (2024).
27. Slocum, T. A., McMaster, R. B., Kessler, F. C. & Howard, H. H. *Thematic Cartography and Geovisualization*. (CRC Press, 2022).
28. Moron, V., Robertson, A. W. & Boer, R. Spatial Coherence and Seasonal Predictability of Monsoon Onset over Indonesia. (2009) doi:10.1175/2008JCLI2435.1.
29. Sasmono, R. T. *et al.* Distinct Dengue Disease Epidemiology, Clinical, and Diagnosis Features in Western, Central, and Eastern Regions of Indonesia, 2017–2019. *Front. Med.* 7, (2020).
30. Balingit, J. C. *et al.* Impact of pre-existing cross-reactive antibodies on cyclic dengue outbreaks in the hyperendemic region of Bali, Indonesia. *Virus Research* 348, 199445 (2024).
31. Sasmono, R. T. *et al.* Dengue dynamics in Bali: Serotype shifts, genotype replacement and multiple virus lineage circulation in the last 10 years. *Tropical Medicine & International Health* 30, 303–313 (2025).
32. Rajarethinam, J. *et al.* Dengue in Singapore from 2004 to 2016: Cyclical Epidemic Patterns Dominated by Serotypes 1 and 2. (2018) doi:10.4269/ajtmh.17-0819.
33. Nunes, M. R. T. *et al.* Air Travel Is Associated with Intracontinental Spread of Dengue Virus

Serotypes 1–3 in Brazil. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 8, e2769 (2014).
34. Chen, Y. *et al.* Measuring the effects of COVID-19-related disruption on dengue transmission in southeast Asia and Latin America: a statistical modelling study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 22, 657–667 (2022).
35. Sasmono, R. T. & Santoso, M. S. Movement dynamics: reduced dengue cases during the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 22, 570–571 (2022).
36. Rahastri, A. & Sulistyawati, S. The impact of COVID-19 on dengue cases in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS)* 13, 1560–1567 (2024).
37. Harish, V. *et al.* Human movement and environmental barriers shape the emergence of dengue. *Nat Commun* 15, 4205 (2024).
38. Morin, C. W., Comrie, A. C. & Ernst, K. Climate and Dengue Transmission: Evidence and Implications. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 121, 1264–1272 (2013).
39. Benedum, C. M., Seidahmed, O. M. E., Eltahir, E. A. B. & Markuzon, N. Statistical modeling of the effect of rainfall flushing on dengue transmission in Singapore. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 12, e0006935 (2018).
40. Lowe, R. *et al.* Combined effects of hydrometeorological hazards and urbanisation on dengue risk in Brazil: a spatiotemporal modelling study. *The Lancet Planetary Health* 5, e209–e219 (2021).
41. Chretien, J.-P. *et al.* Global climate anomalies and potential infectious disease risks: 2014-2015. *PLoS Curr* 7, ecurrents.outbreaks.95fbc4a8fb4695e049baabfc2fc8289f (2015).
42. Hidayati, I. Migration as a coping strategy of Indonesian farmers in the face of climate change. *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* 724, 012068 (2021).
43. Watts, D. M., Burke, D. S., Harrison, B. A., Whitmire, R. E. & Nisalak, A. Effect of Temperature on the Vector Efficiency of Aedes aegypti for Dengue 2 Virus. (1987) doi:10.4269/ajtmh.1987.36.143.
44. Chan, M. & Johansson, M. A. The Incubation Periods of Dengue Viruses. *PLOS ONE* 7, e50972 (2012).
45. Mordecai, E. A. *et al.* Thermal biology of mosquito-borne disease. *Ecology Letters* 22, 1690–1708 (2019).
46. Liu, Z. *et al.* The effect of temperature on dengue virus transmission by Aedes mosquitoes. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 13, (2023).
47. Kolimenakis, A. *et al.* The role of urbanisation in the spread of Aedes mosquitoes and the diseases they transmit—A systematic review. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 15, e0009631 (2021).
48. Gibb, R. *et al.* Interactions between climate change, urban infrastructure and mobility are driving dengue emergence in Vietnam. *Nat Commun* 14, 8179 (2023).
49. Lee, H. S. *et al.* Seasonal patterns of dengue fever and associated climate factors in 4 provinces

in Vietnam from 1994 to 2013. *BMC Infect Dis* 17, 218 (2017).
50. Colón-González, F. J. *et al.* Probabilistic seasonal dengue forecasting in Vietnam: A modelling study using superensembles. *PLoS Med* 18, e1003542 (2021).
51. Childs, M. L., Lyberger, K., Harris, M., Burke, M. & Mordecai, E. A. Climate warming is expanding dengue burden in the Americas and Asia. 2024.01.08.24301015 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.01.08.24301015 (2025).
52. Harapan, H. *et al.* Dengue viruses circulating in Indonesia: A systematic review and phylogenetic analysis of data from five decades. *Reviews in Medical Virology* 29, e2037 (2019).
53. Hill, V. *et al.* A new lineage nomenclature to aid genomic surveillance of dengue virus. *PLOS Biology* 22, e3002834 (2024).

Acknowledgements

We thank the Arbovirus Working Team at the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia for providing access to the surveillance data and technical support.

Funding

BAD is supported by funding from the Program for Research in Epidemic Preparedness and Response (PREPARE) from the Ministry of Health, Singapore (A-8000642-01-00 PREPARE S2-2024-002). NRF and SM was supported by a World Health Organization–Temasek Foundation Collaboration Framework Grant. NRF was supported by a Wellcome Trust Dengue and Zika Immunology and Genomics Multi-Country Network (DeZi Network) (316633/Z/24/Z).

Author information

Contributions

BAD: conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, writing—original draft; IRFE: writing—review & editing; AS: writing—review & editing; FSMS: data curation, writing—review & editing; AH: data curation, writing—review & editing; BT: data curation, writing—review & editing; MK: writing—review & editing; ALR: writing—review & editing; DA: data curation, writing—review & editing; DG: writing—review & editing; H: writing—review & editing; AKN: writing—review & editing; EP: writing—review & editing; IS: writing—review & editing; AS: writing—review & editing; NRF: writing—review & editing; KF: writing—review & editing; HEC: writing—review & editing; SM: conceptualization, methodology, funding acquisition, writing—review & editing.

Corresponding author

Correspondence to Bimandra Djaafara (bimandra.djaafara@nus.edu.sg)

Ethics declaration

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Disclaimer

The views in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views, decisions, or policies of the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.