Systematic review of the evidence of cardiac dysfunction and intravenous fluid use among children with severe acute malnutrition and severe dehydration.

Authors:

Rupa Narra[1], Kathryn Alberti[2], Iza Ciglenecki[1], Benjamin GS Allen[3], Kirrily de Polnay[3], Kirkby D Tickell[4*]

Affiliations:

[1]Médecins Sans Frontières, Geneva, Switzerland

[2]Global Task Force on Cholera Control Secretariat, Geneva, Switzerland

[3]Child Nutrition and Development, Programme Group, UNICEF HQ, New York, NY, USA

[4]Department of Global Health, University of Washington, Seattle, Wa.

*Corresponding Author: kirkbt@uw.edu

Abstract

Background: A sizeable proportion of cholera attributed mortality occurs among children with severe acute malnutrition (SAM). International guidelines severely limit the use of intravenous fluids among children with SAM and cholera due to their perceived vulnerability to fluid overload. We reviewed the cardiac function evidence and studies of intravenous fluid use among children with SAM.

Methods: Two systematic reviews of English language papers published after the last Global Task Force for Cholera Control recommendations on the treatment of children with cholera and SAM (2017) were

conducted. The first included studies of cardiac function among children presenting to hospital with SAM. The second included studies of intravenous fluid use among children with dehydrating diarrhea and SAM.

Findings: Among eight echocardiographic studies of cardiac function in children with SAM at presentation to hospital, all found left ventricular mass to be decreased but none found systolic function to be impaired. Seven of the eight studies assessing diastolic function found some degree of mild-to-moderate impairment. Two echocardiographic studies monitored responsiveness to intravenous fluid resuscitation and found no evidence of fluid overload. Finally, three studies gave intravenous fluids to children with SAM and either shock or dehydration. Collectively, these studies included 117 children with SAM given intravenous fluid. No cases of fluid overload were observed.

Conclusion: No evidence to support decreased systolic function among children with SAM and no documented cases of fluid overload during intravenous rehydration of these children were identified. These data call into question guidelines that severely restrict intravenous fluids among children with SAM and highly dehydrating conditions such cholera.

42 **Introduction**

43 Cholera is a diarrhoeal disease caused by the bacteria *Vibrio cholera*e and is estimated to affect up to
44 four million people each year.[1] Since 2021, there has been a surge in cholera globally with several
45 countries reporting the disease for the first time in decades. In 2023, the reported cholera deaths
46 increased by over 70% compared to 2022, and 38% of cases were among children under five years of
47 age.[2] Severe cholera is characterized by rapid fluid losses, adults can reach 1000 ml/hour, and if left
48 untreated can have case fatality ratios of up to 50%.[3] Primary treatment for these patients is rapid
49 intravenous rehydration, which should reduce case fatality rates to below 1%.[3]
50
51 WHO defines severe acute malnutrition (SAM) as a weight-for-height less than three standard deviations
52 below the WHO child growth standards median (severe wasting); and/or a mid-upper arm
53 circumference less than 115mm (for children 6-59 months); or the presence of nutritional oedema.[4]
54 Children with SAM are at higher risk of death from any cause of diarrhea when compared to non-
55 malnourished children,[5–8] but the management of children with SAM and comorbid cholera remains
56 particularly challenging. Children with cholera often present with severe dehydration and/or
57 hypovolemic shock,[9] and early administration of appropriate rehydration therapy is critical. However,
58 WHO guidelines discourage intravenous rehydration among children with SAM because expert opinion
59 suggested that these children had depressed cardiac function leaving them vulnerable to fluid
60 overload.[10] In line with this expert opinion and the WHO guideline, the 2018 Global Task Force for
61 Cholera Control (GTFCC, S1 Appendix 1) guidance reserves intravenous fluids for children with SAM and
62 cholera and severe dehydration and altered mental status (lethargy or loss of consciousness) and those
63 with 'some dehydration' to be treated with oral fluids only.[11] Since this guidance was reviewed in
64 2017, multiple publications have challenged the assumption that children with SAM systematically have

65 underlying cardiac dysfunction and that they respond poorly to intravenous rehydration with a high risk
66 of fluid overload leading to clinical deterioration and potentially death.

67 Recently published echocardiographic studies have suggested that children with SAM have normal
68 systolic cardiac function for their body mass, indicating that they may tolerate, and benefit from,
69 intravenous fluids when given for the appropriate clinical indication.[12–14] Several agencies have
70 deviated from WHO and GTFCC guidance by managing these children with intravenous fluids if they
71 have any form of dehydration. This includes Médecins Sans Frontières clinical guidelines, and clinical
72 trials conducted by the international centre for diarrheal disease research Bangladesh (Icddr,b).[15,16]
73 This review aims to summarize and critically appraise literature on the safety and efficacy of intravenous
74 rehydration in children with SAM and cholera published since the *2018 GTFCC* recommendations. To
75 better understand concerns of cardiac dysfunction and fluid overload among children with SAM we also
76 reviewed echocardiographic studies among this population.

77

78 Methods

79 There is limited literature on children with SAM and cholera, and therefore this review was expanded to
80 children with SAM and any diarrheal disease complicated by some (sometimes referred to as moderate)
81 or severe dehydration with or without hypovolemic shock. Two searches were conducted, the first
82 identified echocardiography studies among children with SAM, and the second identified clinical studies
83 of intravenous rehydration among children with SAM and dehydration with or without shock. Both
84 searches were limited to studies published after the last GTFCC review period in 2017. SAM was defined
85 as per WHO recommendations, weight-for-height (WHZ) or weight-for-length z-score (WLZ) z-score < -3,
86 or mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC) < 115 mm (for children 6-59 months) and/or nutritional
87 oedema.

Relevant literature published between January 1, 2017 - July 1, 2024 were identified and reviewed. Literature from clinical trials, meta-analyses, randomized control trials, observational studies, systematic reviews, grey literature, and guidance documents were eligible for inclusion. Data were collected from PubMed, MEDLINE, and Cochrane databases, non-governmental organizations and WHO websites. Search terms are listed in Table 1. Only literature published in English on human patients was included. Title abstract review was conducted by one author (RN), and eligibility confirmed by a second (KDT).

Review of baseline cardiac function: Studies that evaluated cardiac function were assessed. Three areas of cardiac function were included: 1) Left ventricular mass, 2) Systolic function including ejection fraction and fractional shortening, and 3) Diastolic function, including grades of diastolic dysfunction and end diastolic diameter. Global cardiac function measures were also interpreted including the Tei or myocardial perfusion index (MPI).

Review of intravenous rehydration: Studies that evaluated intravenous rehydration in children with SAM and cholera or diarrheal disease with severe dehydration with or without shock were assessed. Two main issues were addressed regarding current GTFCC guidance:

- Volume and infusion rates of intravenous rehydration given during resuscitation
- Utilization of isotonic versus hypotonic or hypertonic intravenous fluids during resuscitation

The following outcomes were considered in this section of the review: the incidence of fluid overload or heart failure, mortality and adverse events associated with different regimens, treatment failure, and evidence of depressed cardiac function utilizing cardiac ultrasound during intravenous rehydration. The study location, definition of SAM, years of operation, fluid regimens used, and proportion of kwashiorkor.

Data were abstracted from the included studies by a single author (RN) and verified by a second author (KDT) using standardized templates. Because of the limited number of studies identified and the heterogeneous populations and methods employed across the studies, meta-analysis was not attempted. The results are presented as a narrative review.

A modified GRADE approach was taken to assess the certainty of evidence. Risk of bias was assessed in all studies. For the first search, which included cross sectional assessments of baseline cardiac function the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) criteria for assessing cross sectional studies were used. For the second search, which assessed response to intravenous fluids, the Cochrane collaboration Risk of Bias 2 (ROB2) tool was adapted and employed. All risk of bias and certainty of evidence summaries were conducted by one author (KDT). The protocols for these reviews were not published prior to conducting the analysis.

Results

Of 1813 records identified in the first search, eight studies assessing cardiac function in children with SAM were included (Figure 1a). The search assessing intravenous rehydration among children with SAM and severe dehydration with or without shock, included three out of 470 identified studies after screening and evaluation (Figure 1b).

Figure 1: Study selection for the reviews of cardiac function and response to intravenous fluids.

Baseline cardiac function among children with SAM

Description of Included Studies: Eight studies assessed cardiac function prior to fluid rehydration in children with SAM (S1 Appendix 2).[13,14,17–22] Two of the studies also assessed the response to intravenous rehydration review: The Appropriate Fluid Resuscitation in Malnutrition (AFRIM) study,[13]

139 a prospective observational study, conducted in Kenya and Uganda and the Cardiac Physiology in
140 Malnutrition (CAPMAL) study,[14] a prospective, matched case-control study, conducted in Kenya. In
141 addition to AFRIM and CAPMAL, four studies were conducted in India, one was conducted in Pakistan,
142 and one in Egypt. The eight studies were observational studies and used the WHO criteria to define
143 SAM. AFRIM used hypovolaemic shock as an inclusion criteria.
144
145 Systolic function refers to the heart's ability to contract and pump blood to the rest of the body,
146 whereas diastolic function refers to the relaxation and filling phase of the heart. All studies used cardiac
147 ultrasound to assess these parameters. All eight studies evaluated ejection fraction and fractional
148 shortening, the primary parameters used to assess systolic function. Seven studies assessed left
149 ventricular mass. Assessment of diastolic function is more complex in children and uses multiple
150 different grading systems.[23] Direct assessment of diastolic function was performed in one study, but
151 the other seven studies included indirect parameters that relate to diastolic function, including end
152 diastolic diameter or the Tei/MPI which offers a global assessment of cardiac function.
153
154 *Systolic Function and Left Ventricular Mass:* 914 children with SAM underwent cardiac ultrasound
155 including 126 children with oedematous malnutrition. None (0/8) of the studies found decreased
156 systolic function in SAM children compared to children without acute malnutrition or reference values.
157 All seven studies reporting left ventricular mass found it to be significantly decreased in children with
158 SAM. However, these seven studies also found preserved systolic function despite the lower left
159 ventricular mass. No studies comparing the clinical phenotypes of severe wasting to oedematous
160 malnutrition found decreased systolic function, although one study noted significantly lower left
161 ventricular mass among children with nutritional oedema.
162

163 *Diastolic Function:* Results of seven of the included studies were consistent with decreased diastolic
164 function among children with SAM. Bebars et al found that 30% of their 60 children with SAM had Grade
165 II diastolic dysfunction, while another 40% had Grade I diastolic dysfunction, and the remaining 30% had
166 normal function. None of the 120 children without SAM in that study were found to have diastolic
167 dysfunction. The authors also found that after nutritional rehabilitation, 3% of children still had Grade II,
168 31% had Grade I, and 66% had no diastolic dysfunction.
169
170 Three studies measured end diastolic diameter and found it to be reduced among children with SAM
171 compared to children without acute malnutrition. This is consistent with a transient diastolic dysfunction
172 where the ventricular filling is restricted by impaired relaxation. Similarly, three of four studies included
173 Tei/MPI global assessments of cardiac function and found it to be abnormally raised among children
174 with SAM potentially indicating diastolic dysfunction. Opondo et al, defined a MPI >0.4 to be
175 dysfunctional, and prior to rehydration found three (15%) of the 20 children with SAM were above this
176 cut off. Jain et al found the mean MPI among 76 children with SAM to be 0.70 (standard deviations (SD):
177 0.10) compared to 0.43 (SD: 0.04) among 76 children without severe wasting. Similarly, Arshad et al also
178 found a mean MPI of 0.72 (SD: 0.12) among children with SAM and 0.45 (SD: 0.04) among control
179 children. However, Brent et al found 88 children with SAM to have a normal mean Tei/MPI index (0.37),
180 but significantly different E/E' ratios between children with oedematous and severe wasting. No studies
181 noted differences in diastolic function or Tei/MPI between children with severe wasting only and those
182 with oedematous malnutrition.
183
184 *Certainty of Evidence:* The certainty of evidence for changes in left ventricular mass, systolic function,
185 and diastolic function are given in Table 2. The evidence is moderately certain that children with SAM
186 have a reduced left ventricular mass but their systolic function remains comparable to better nourished
187 children. We have a low certainty in the evidence regarding diastolic dysfunction, because there is

188 inconsistency (one study with a low risk of bias found no diastolic dysfunction). Additionally, measures
189 of end diastolic diameter could be influenced by dehydration and acute illness suggesting a risk of bias.
190
191 **Response to intravenous rehydration among children with SAM and cholera or diarrheal**
192 **disease plus severe dehydration with/without shock.**
193
194 *Description of Included Studies:* Three studies assessed children with SAM and diarrheal disease plus
195 severe dehydration with or without shock who received intravenous rehydration (S1 Appendix
196 3).[13,14,16] All three studies utilized the WHO SAM case definition, and all their interventions used
197 isotonic fluid preparations during initial management.
198
199 In the AFRIM study described above, the first 11 children with SAM and shock were given the WHO
200 recommended management for shock beginning with up to two boluses of 15mL/kg/hour of
201 intravenous Ringer's Lactate and progressing to 4 mL/kg/hour of half-strength Darrow's/5% dextrose.
202 The next nine children were given a "rehydration-only" approach, including 10 mL/kg/hour for a
203 maximum of 5 hours of intravenous Ringer's Lactate without fluid boluses. Children in both groups were
204 switched to rehydration solution for malnutrition, (ReSoMal) once oral or nasogastric fluids were
205 tolerated. The children's response to these regimens were monitored using echocardiography and
206 regular assessment for clinical signs of fluid overload. Of the 20 children included in AFRIM, 15 had
207 oedematous malnutrition, but the authors did not comment on differences in the below outcome by
208 nutritional oedema status.
209
210 During the CAPMAL study, 12 children with SAM, gastroenteritis, and evidence of shock were given
211 boluses of intravenous Ringer's Lactate at 20 mL/kg/hour, repeated up to two times if ≥2 signs of shock
212 remained without signs of pulmonary oedema. Echocardiography was conducted at study enrolment

213 and during intravenous rehydration. Thirty-six of the 88 children with SAM in this study had oedematous
214 malnutrition, but oedematous malnutrition was not discussed in relation to the below outcomes. Eleven
215 of 14 deaths occurred after day 7.
216
217 Alam et al. conducted a randomized controlled trial in Bangladesh of rapid compared to slow
218 rehydration in children with SAM and dehydrating diarrhea. *V. cholerae* was identified in 124/208 (60%)
219 of the children [24]. The rapid hydration arm (n=105) included 100mL/kg of intravenous cholera saline
220 (an isotonic fluid) given over 6 hours, with 30 mL/kg in the first 30 minutes, then 70 mL/kg over 5.5
221 hours. The slow rehydration arm was the WHO SAM protocol for shock of 15 mL/kg of intravenous fluid
222 over 1 hour, and if respiratory and pulse rate improved another 15 mL/kg in the 2nd hour followed by
223 rice-based oral rehydration solution (ORS) based on estimated deficit. In addition to the intravenous
224 regimen, both groups received ORS for ongoing stool loss. Nutritional oedema was present in 22-25% of
225 this trial's included children, but the authors did not comment on difference in outcome by nutritional
226 oedema status.
227
228
229 *Fluid Overload:* The three included studies documented no cases of fluid overload among the 240
230 children with SAM enrolled. This included 117 children who were given intravenous fluids above the
231 WHO recommended volumes in the Alam (2020) and CAPMAL studies.
232
233 *Mortality:* The AFRIM study reported 14/20 (70%) children died within 28 days, including nine of 11
234 (82%) children who received the WHO rehydration protocol, and five of nine children on "rehydration-
235 only" protocol (56%). Four deaths occurred in each group in the first 24 hours. The difference between
236 the two groups was not statistically significant, although the study was not powered to detect
237 differences in mortality. Deaths were attributed to hypovolemic cardiovascular collapse, sepsis,
238 respiratory arrest, aspiration, metabolic derangements/acidosis, multiorgan failure, or underlying

239 comorbidities. No deaths were attributed to fluid overload. Alam et al. reported no deaths among the
240 208 children randomized to rapid or slow rehydration. CAPMAL is not included in our mortality summary
241 as it did not compare regimens.
242
243 *Treatment Success/Failure:* In Alam 2020, a similar number of children failed to complete both the rapid
244 and slow intravenous treatment regimens. No treatment failures were reported to be related to
245 complication of the assigned treatment approach. Reported reasons for treatment failure included:
246 convulsions, severe pneumonia, sepsis, hypokalaemia, and prolonged diarrhea. There was no
247 difference in the output of vomit and stool between the groups, but the rapid rehydration group had
248 significantly increased urine output during the initial 12 hours when compared to the slow rehydration
249 group. The difference in volume of urine during the first 12 hours was not reported.
250
251 The AFRIM study noted that four of 11 (36%) children in their fluid bolus group and three of nine (33%)
252 in their slow rehydration group required blood transfusions due to ongoing shock. Urine outputs of
253 >1mL/kg/hour, deemed by the authors to be satisfactory, were noted in eight of 11 (73%) children in the
254 fluid bolus group, and seven of nine (78%) in the slow rehydration group.
255
256 *Response to Fluids Assessed by Echocardiography:* The AFRIM and CAPMAL studies encompassed 32
257 children with SAM and signs of shock. Both studies found a heterogenous response to fluids, but
258 concluded that the majority of children with SAM had an appropriate response to intravenous fluids
259 indicated by increased stroke volumes and decreased heart rates. The AFRIM study observed at least
260 one of their 20 children to be fluid non-responsive, where the child's stroke volume index decreased,
261 and their end diastolic volume increased after intravenous fluids. Similarly, CAPMAL observed three
262 episodes where the stroke volume index decreased after intravenous fluids, but the investigators

263 assessed that these were attributable to ongoing septic shock. Despite the heterogeneity of responses
264 to intravenous fluids, none of the children developed clinical evidence of fluid overload.
265
266 *Certainty of Evidence:* The certainty of evidence regarding the incidence of fluid overload among
267 children with SAM was judged to be low (Table 3). The evidence base is weakened by the non-
268 randomized nature of two of the three studies, which leaves them at a high risk of bias. The Alam
269 (2020) randomized control trial had a low risk of bias, but it cannot exclude fluid overload from being a
270 rare adverse event. For both mortality and the echocardiographic assessment of response to fluids, the
271 certainty of evidence was very low, because the sample sizes were small relative to the possible
272 incidence of the outcome, and the results are highly heterogenous. The findings related to treatment
273 success or failure have a very low certainty of evidence because the estimates lack precision.

274

275 Discussion

276 The studies including assessments of cardiac function suggest that children with SAM do not show
277 evidence of cardiac systolic dysfunction despite decreased left ventricular mass. This observation was
278 consistent across the included studies, and echoes the conclusions of a systematic review published in
279 2017.[25] We found some evidence of a transient diastolic dysfunction among a subset of children with
280 SAM, but the clinical significance of this reduced diastolic function is unclear. We did not find any
281 documented cases of fluid overload during intravenous rehydration of children with SAM and severe
282 dehydration.
283
284 Diastolic dysfunction may explain the discrepancy between the long-held belief that children with SAM
285 are vulnerable to fluid overload despite consistent evidence of preserved systolic function relative to
286 their body mass. Children with Grade II diastolic dysfunction may have raised left atrial pressures, and

287 therefore a theoretically lower threshold for fluid overload.[26] However, diastolic dysfunction is not a
288 contraindication to fluid resuscitation among children. A recent survey of 387 pediatric intensivists from
289 48 countries found some degree of equipoise between the 58% that would fluid restrict while
290 resuscitating children with proven cardiac dysfunction and the 42% who would not.[27] The AFRIM and
291 CAPMAL studies found some evidence that children with SAM may have a heterogeneous response to
292 fluids, but noted that none of the children developed fluid overload.[13,14] Among the 20 children in
293 AFRIM, at least six had increased brain natriuretic peptide or echocardiographic evidence of heart strain
294 at baseline, but only one child responded poorly to intravenous fluids. This suggests that among the
295 subset of children with SAM who have some evidence of cardiac dysfunction there could be a further
296 subset who may respond poorly to intravenous fluids.
297
298 Combining our findings with the 2017 review,[25] at least 476 children with SAM have received
299 intravenous rehydration as part of a published clinical study and none have been found to have
300 evidence of fluid overload. These data are further strengthened by the recently published GastroSAM
301 trial in which 134 young children with SAM were given intravenous fluids (67 rapid infusion, 67 slow
302 infusion) without any evidence of fluid overload.[28] We cannot exclude fluid overload as a rare event,
303 and the evidence lacks sufficient power to meaningfully comment on mortality differences between
304 regimens. However, it does suggest fluid overload is not a common outcome for children with SAM and
305 severe dehydration when given intravenous fluids. We also note that the WHO pocket book provides
306 guidance on the management of fluid overload without requiring access to higher levels of pediatric
307 intensive care or ventilation.[4] The existing evidence does not exclude a theoretical risk of fluid
308 overload, a severe but manageable adverse event, in a subset of patients. The risk of fluid overload
309 among a subset of children with SAM must be weighed against the very high purging rates cholera
310 patients often experience. Severe cholera can result in approximately 100mL/kg of fluid loss in 24 hours,
311 far exceeding purging rates of many other diarrheal diseases, which can rapidly lead to hypovolemia,

312 severe dehydration and shock in children.[3] These findings suggest that we should re-examine policies
313 limiting intravenous resuscitation in children with SAM and severe dehydration from cholera.
314
315 Alam 2020 showed some evidence that rapid intravenous rehydration of children with SAM increased
316 urine output in the first 12-hours of treatment.[16] The WHO pocket book notes that urine output is the
317 most sensitive marker of fluid status among children. This may suggest that the rapid intravenous
318 rehydration utilized by Alam et al restored urine output and corrected dehydration faster than a more
319 cautious approach, and supports the argument that intravenous rehydration may benefit children with
320 SAM and cholera.
321
322 One of the major limitations in this literature is that much of the critical evidence is drawn from children
323 with shock, which may not generalize to children with severe dehydration but without shock. This is
324 particularly evident in the causes of death in the AFRIM study, and the heterogeneity of intravenous
325 fluid responsiveness in AFRIM and CAPMAL. In both these studies, the investigators concluded that
326 several mortalities, and the cases of fluid non-responsiveness, were caused by on-going sepsis and not
327 fluid overload.[13,14] These findings echo the conclusions of the FEAST trial, in which children without
328 acute malnutrition who were in shock and given fluid boluses were found to be at increased risk of
329 mortality, but these deaths were attributed to cardiovascular collapse and not fluid overload.[29] Alam
330 et al (2020), excluded children with sepsis and did not see the high mortality rates observed in AFRIM
331 and CAPMAL.
332
333 Isotonic fluids are recommended for intravenous rehydration of hypovolemic children over hypotonic or
334 hypertonic solutions, for rapid volume expansion and a lower risk of electrolyte shifts. However, there
335 should be close monitoring and correction of hypoglycemia for children with SAM.[4] All the studies
336 included in this review used an isotonic fluid, but did not comment on the management of

337 hypoglycaemia. The included studies do not offer conclusive evidence about the optimal rate of fluids
338 for children with SAM and severe dehydration, but the recent GastroSAM trial gave 100mls/kg over the
339 first three-to-six hours, similarly Alam (2009) gave 100ml/kg in six hours, while Alam (2020) gave
340 60mls/kg in three hours. Frequency of monitoring is also likely to be a critical determinant of safe fluid
341 rehydration. WHO recommends children with SAM and shock are monitored every five minutes during
342 rehydration, but the feasibility of implementing these frequencies in busy centers must be considered.
343 Interestingly Alam (2020) reports that they only monitored once per hour.
344
345 This review is limited by the current evidence base which lacks large, definitive randomized trial
346 evidence. The randomized controlled evidence available is largely from a single institution in
347 Bangladesh, although the publication of GastroSAM broadens this evidence base. Other available
348 evidence has focused on the management of shock, which should be evaluated separately for severe
349 dehydration without shock. Analyses of mortality are severely under powered, and the
350 echocardiography studies have small sample sizes. Finally, some observations, such as reduced end
351 diastolic volume and increased MPI may be caused by other features of SAM and diarrhea, for example
352 reduced muscle mass and dehydration.
353
354 This review reaffirms previous findings that children with SAM have comparable cardiac systolic function
355 to children without SAM despite having lower left ventricular mass, and that studies of intravenous
356 rehydration among children with SAM have not reported cases of fluid overload. We did note some
357 evidence of lower-grade, transient, diastolic dysfunction among a subset of children with SAM. The
358 potential for dysfunction among a subset of children with SAM reinforces the need for close monitoring
359 during intravenous rehydration and institutional capacity to manage fluid overload. However, the

majority of children with SAM appear to be cardiologically competent and may benefit from intravenous fluid resuscitation for severe dehydration, especially in conditions with high purging, such as cholera.

Acknowledgements

This review was conducted with support from the GTFCC Case Management Working Group. We would like to also like to acknowledge the financial support provided from the United States Government Bureau of Humanitarian Affairs (USAID/BHA). A special thanks to Dr Alam from the International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh for his time and expertise dedicated to this review.

Competing Interests

The authors have no competing interests.

References

1. WHO. Cholera Fact Sheet. WHO; 2024. Available: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cholera

2. WHO. Weekly epidemiological record. WHO; 2024. Available: https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/378714/WER9936-eng-fre.pdf

3. Sack DA, Sack RB, Nair GB, Siddique AK. Cholera. Lancet. 2004;363: 223–233. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(03)15328-7

4. World Health O. Pocket Book of Hospital Care for Children: Guidelines for the Management of Common Childhood Illnesses. 2nd, editor. World Health Organization; 2013.

5. Tickell KD, Sharmin R, Deichsel EL, Lamberti LM, Walson JL, Faruque ASG, et al. The effect of acute malnutrition on enteric pathogens, moderate-to-severe diarrhoea, and associated mortality in the

386 Global Enteric Multicenter Study cohort: a post-hoc analysis. Lancet Glob Health. 2020;8: e215–
387 e224. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(19)30498-X

388 6. Tickell KD, Pavlinac PB, John-Stewart GC, Denno DM, Richardson BA, Naulikha JM, et al. Impact of
389 Childhood Nutritional Status on Pathogen Prevalence and Severity of Acute Diarrhea. The
390 American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene. 2017;97: 1337–1344. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.17-
391 0139

392 7. Diallo AH, Sayeem Bin Shahid ASM, Khan AF, Saleem AF, Singa BO, Gnoumou BS, et al. Childhood
393 mortality during and after acute illness in Africa and south Asia: a prospective cohort study. The
394 Lancet Global Health. 2022;10: e673–e684. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(22)00118-8

395 8. WHO. Fluid management in severely malnourished children under 5 years of age with shock. 9 Aug
396 2023. Available: https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/378714/WER9936-eng-fre.pdf

397 9. Ahmed S, Latham JR, Das SK, Farzana FD, Ud-Din AIMS, Talukder KA, et al. Severity of Diarrhea and
398 Malnutrition among Under Five-Year-Old Children in Rural Bangladesh. The American Journal of
399 Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2013;89: 223–228. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.12-0743

400 10. World Health O. Guideline: Updates on the managment of severe acute malnutrition in infants and
401 children. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2013.

402 11. Global Task Force on Cholera Control. Treatment of children with cholera and severe acute
403 malnutrition (SAM). 2020. Available: https://www.gtfcc.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/gtfcc-
404 job-aid-treatment-of-children-with-cholera-and-severe-acute-malnutrition.pdf

405 12. Obonyo N, Maitland K. Fluid management of shock in severe malnutrition: what is the evidence for
406 current guidelines and what lessons have been learned from clinical studies and trials in other
407 pediatric populations? Food and nutrition bulletin. 2014;35: S71-8.

408 13. Obonyo N, Brent B, Olupot-Olupot P, Boele van Hensbroek M, Kuipers I, Wong S, et al. Myocardial
409 and haemodynamic responses to two fluid regimens in African children with severe malnutrition
410 and hypovolaemic shock (AFRIM study). Crit Care. 2017;21: 103. doi:10.1186/s13054-017-1679-0

411 14. Brent B, Obonyo N, Akech S, Shebbe M, Mpoya A, Mturi N, et al. Assessment of Myocardial
412 Function in Kenyan Children With Severe, Acute Malnutrition: The Cardiac Physiology in
413 Malnutrition (CAPMAL) Study. JAMA Netw Open. 2019;2: e191054.
414 doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.1054

415 15. Alam NH, Islam S, Sattar S, Monira S, Desjeux JF. Safety of rapid intravenous rehydration and
416 comparative efficacy of 3 oral rehydration solutions in the treatment of severely malnourished
417 children with dehydrating cholera. Journal of pediatric gastroenterology and nutrition. 2009;48:
418 318–27.

419 16. Alam NH, Ashraf H, Ahmed T, Jahan N, Gyr N. Randomised trial showed that rapid rehydration of
420 severely malnourished children with dehydrating diarrhoea was as safe and effective as slow
421 rehydration. Acta Paediatr. 2020;109: 1473–1484. doi:10.1111/apa.15134

422 17. Arshad MS, Khan S, Ali I, Arshad R, Abbas A, Irshad S. Echocardiographic based cardiac evaluation
423 in children with severe acute malnutrition. J Pak Med Assoc. 2022;72: 2391–2394.
424 doi:10.47391/JPMA.3094

425 18. Bebars GM, Askalany HT. Assessment of left ventricular systolic and diastolic functions in severely
426 malnourished children. Egyptian Pediatric Association Gazette. 2019;67: 7. doi:10.1186/s43054-
427 019-0004-8

428 19. Jain D, Rao SK, Kumar D, Kumar A, Sihag BK. Cardiac changes in children hospitalized with severe
429 acute malnutrition: A prospective study at tertiary care center of northern India. Indian Heart J.
430 2019;71: 492–495. doi:10.1016/j.ihj.2020.01.005

431 20. M. V. HP, R. R. Study on the effect of severe acute malnutrition on various echocardiographic
432 parameters of the heart. Int J Contemp Pediatr. 2023;10: 357–360. doi:10.18203/2349-
433 3291.ijcp20230435

434 21. Samikannu SR, Palanivelu SK. Study of myocardial function in children with severe acute
435 malnutrition. IAIM. 2019;6: 132–136.

436 22. Sharma AK, Gaur A, Sharma Agrawal N. What affect heart in SAM: structure or function. Int J
437 Contemp Pediatr. 2017;4: 1614–1619. doi:10.18203/2349-3291.ijcp20173171

438 23. Henry M, Mertens L. Echocardiographic assessment of Right Ventricular Diastolic Function in
439 Children and Adults: Present State and Future Directions. Canadian Journal of Cardiology. 2025.
440 doi:10.1016/j.cjca.2025.03.024

441 24. Alam NH, Ashraf H, Ahmed T, Jahan N, Gyr N. Randomised trial showed that rapid rehydration of
442 severely malnourished children with dehydrating diarrhoea was as safe and effective as slow
443 rehydration. Acta Paediatr. 2020;109: 1473–1484. doi:10.1111/apa.15134

444 25. Houston KA, Gibb JG, Maitland K. Intravenous rehydration of malnourished children with acute
445 gastroenteritis and severe dehydration: A systematic review. Wellcome Open Res. 2017;2: 65.
446 doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.12346.1

447 26. Grant ADM, Negishi K, Negishi T, Collier P, Kapadia SR, Thomas JD, et al. Grading diastolic function
448 by echocardiography: hemodynamic validation of existing guidelines. Cardiovasc Ultrasound.
449 2015;13: 28. doi:10.1186/s12947-015-0023-6

450 27. Aramburo A, Raman S, Silversides JA, Schlapbach LJ, Gibbons KS, Ramnarayan P, et al. Fluid
451 management and active fluid removal practices: a global survey of paediatric critical care
452 physicians. Intensive Care Medicine – Paediatric and Neonatal. 2024;2: 16. doi:10.1007/s44253-
453 024-00038-1

454 28. Maitland Kathryn, Ouattara San Maurice, Sainna Hadiza, Chara Abdullahi, Ogundipe Oluwakemi F.,
455 Sunyoto Temmy, et al. Intravenous Rehydration for Severe Acute Malnutrition with
456 Gastroenteritis. New England Journal of Medicine. 0. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2505752

457 29. Maitland K, Gibb DM, Babiker A. Secondary re-analysis of the FEAST trial. The Lancet Respiratory
458 Medicine. 2019;7: e29. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(19)30272-3

Figure 1a: Cardiac function among children with severe acute malnutrition



Figure 1b: Intravenous fluid response among children with severe acute malnutrition, cholera and severe dehydration



Table 1 Search terms, inclusion and exclusion criteria

	All searches	Search 1: Cardiac Function	Search 2: Intravenous rehydration
Topic	Both topics	Cardiac function in children with SAM	Children with SAM and diarrheal disease and severe dehydration with or without shock that received IV rehydration
Search terms	('Children' OR 'paediatric') AND ('malnutrition' OR 'marasmus' OR 'kwashiorkor')	AND ('cardiac' OR 'heart' OR 'myocardial') AND ('function' OR 'dysfunction')	AND ('cholera' OR 'acute watery diarrhoea' OR 'diarrhoea' OR 'diarrheal disease') AND ('dehydration' OR 'shock' OR 'rehydration' OR 'fluid therapy' OR 'intravenous hydration')
Inclusion criteria	Published in English Studied children with SAM	Studied patients had heart function assessed by ultrasound	Studied patients with cholera or diarrhea and severe dehydration +/- shock
Exclusion criteria	Utilized animal models or in-vitro data Focused on MAM, and did not perform a sub analysis for children with SAM	--	--

Data were abstracted by one author (RN) and cross checked by an independent author (KDT).

Table 2: Summary of evidence for cardiac function among children with SAM compared to those without SAM.

	Number of Studies	Risk of Bias	Inconsist-ency	Indirect-ness	Imprec-ision	Number of patients	Summary of Effects	Certainty
Left ventricular mass reduced in SAM vs non-SAM	7	Low	Not serious	Not serious	Low	514 (340 SAM)	All studies found reduced LVM among SAM children.	Moderate*
Systolic dysfunction preserved in SAM vs non-SAM	8	Low	Not serious	Not serious	Low	914 (520 SAM)	All studies found normal ejection fractions and fractional shortening among SAM. One study found reduced EF and FS among SAM but within normal range.	Moderate*
Diastolic dysfunction more common in SAM vs non-SAM	8	Serious[σ]	Serious[±]	Serious	Moderate[δ]	914 (520 SAM)	One study directly measured and found: 30% of SAM had Grade II diastolic dysfunction, 40% had Grade I. Four studies measured Tei/MPI and three found it to be increased in SAM, consistent with DD. The fourth found it was normal. Three looked at end diastolic volume, and found it to be reduced among SAM, consistent with DD.	Low[#]

*Evidence is consistent, with a reasonable number of children included, could be strengthened by more studies.

[σ]Echocardiographic features similar to diastolic dysfunction maybe the result of acute illness.

[±]One study is inconsistent, finding a normal MPI where other studies report abnormal MPIs or other evidence consistent with diastolic dysfunction.

[δ]Measures are inconsistent across studies, therefore estimates are less precise.

[#]Evidence is poorly harmonized, measures such as Tei/MPI and end diastolic diameter are consistent with diastolic dysfunction, but may also reflect other pathologies, one study result is inconsistent with the other literature.

Abbreviations: DD – Diastolic dysfunction, EF – Ejection fraction, LVM – Left ventricular mass, SAM: Severe acute malnutrition, FS – Fractional shortening, Tei/MPI – Tei or Myocardial performance index, a global measure of cardiac function.

Table 3: Summary of evidence for the safety of intravenous rehydration among children with SAM.

	Number of studies	Risk of Bias	Inconsis-tency	Indirect-ness	Imprecis-ion	Number of patients	Summary of Effects	Certainty
Incidence of fluid overload in response to IV fluids	3	Low-moderate[*]	Not serious	Not serious	High[#]	240 (117 with IV fluids >WHO)	No cases of fluid overload observed among children receiving IV fluids at rates/volumes similar to or exceeding WHO recommendations.	Low[ϕ]
Mortality in IV regimens volumes >WHO vs. WHO	2[±]	Low-moderate[*]	Not serious	Not serious	High[δ]	228 (105 with IV fluids >WHO)	Both studies lack sufficient power to compare mortality rates across rehydration regimens, but neither study found evidence to suggest a difference.	Very low[ρ]
Treatment Success or Failure	2[±]	Low-moderate[*]	Not serious	Not serious	High[δ]	228 (105 with IV fluids >WHO	Alam's randomized trial showed no difference in treatment failure, and significantly increased urine output with rapid rehydration in first 12 hours. AFIRMs small sample found adequate urine output with both regimens.	Very low[γ]
Response to IV fluids observed by echo	2	Low	Not serious	Not serious	Very high[∝]	32 (22 with IV fluids >WHO)	The majority of children responded appropriately to IV fluids. At least one outlier was fluid non-responsive in both studies.	Very low[ε]

[*]Alam (2020) has a low risk of bias as a randomized trial and represents the majority of children included in this review, Obonyo (2017) has a high risk of bias as a non-randomized study but only includes 20 children, Brent (2019) has a high risk of bias as non-randomized study without a comparison arm but only included 17 children.

[#]Relatively small sample size with no cases of fluid overload seen.

[ϕ]Certainty is low because relatively few children are included, and some of the evidence has a high risk of bias.

[±]Brent et al does not include a comparison group and therefore is not included include in this metric.

[δ]Alam et al observed no deaths in either arm. Obonyo had a high mortality rate, but among only 20 subjects.

[ρ]Certainty is very low because relatively few children are included, and power is not sufficient to comment on mortality.

[γ] Certainty is very low because relatively few children are included.

[∝]Very few children are included, and both studies noted substantial heterogeneity.

[∝]Certainty is very low because very few children are included and substantial heterogeneity of response is noted.

Abbreviations: Echo – echocardiogram, IV – intravenous, >WHO – Greater than WHO recommendations.

S1 Appendices

Appendix 1: Comparison of IV rehydration protocols for children with SAM and cholera or diarrheal disease with severe dehydration.

Condition	**World Health Organization**[1]	**The Global Task on Cholera Control**[2]	**Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF)** [3]	**International Centre for Diarrheal Disease Research, Bangladesh**[4]
SAM with severe dehydration without shock	Standard ORS, 5mL/kg every 30 mins for 2 hours. Then 5-10mLs/kg/hr alternating with F75. give an additional 50mLs after loose stool if < 2YO. 100mLs if > 2YO	Standard ORS, 5mL/kg every 30 mins for 2 hours. Then 5-10mLs/kg/hr alternating with F75. Give an additional 50 mLs after loose stool if < 2YO. 100mLs if > 2YO	20 mL/kg IV bolus over 30 minutes with RL. Repeat same 20 mL/kg bolus (up to 2 times) if danger signs still present If danger signs resolve: 70 mL/kg of RL over 6 hours; continue glucose adding 100mL of 50% glucose to each liter of RL)	20 mL/kg IV bolus + match purging over 1st hour 20 mL/kg + match purging (10 mL/kg IV + 10mL/kg ORS) over 2nd hour Use Full strength acetate (cholera saline) with 5% dextrose with or without 7 mmol/L KCL (for >2 months of age)
SAM with Shock	15 mL/kg IV bolus in first hour with HS Darrow's plus 5% dextrose, OR RL with 5% dextrose. 0.45% saline with 5% dextrose if neither available. Repeat bolus in second hour if improved. If shock not improved after	15 mL/kg IV bolus over 1 hour with Half-strength Darrow's solution plus 5% dextrose, OR RL with 5% dextrose. 0.45% saline with 5% dextrose if neither available.	20 mL/kg IV bolus over 30 minutes with RL. Repeat same 20 mL/kg bolus (up to 2 times) if danger signs still present If danger signs resolve: 70 mL/kg of RL over 6 hours; (continue glucose by adding 100 mL	20 mL/kg IV bolus + match purging over 1st hour 20 mL/kg + match purging (10 mL/kg IV + 10mL/kg ORS) over 2nd hour Use Full strength acetate (cholera saline) with 5% dextrose with or

[1] World Health Organization. (2013). Updates on the management of severe acute malnutrition in infants and children. World Health Organization. https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/95584. Accessed on 15th February 2024. World Health Organization. (2023, August 9). Fluid management in severely malnourished children under 5 years of age with shock. https://www.who.int/tools/elena/interventions/shock-sam. Accessed on 15th February 2024

[2] Global Task Force on Cholera Control. Treatment of children with cholera and severe acute malnutrition (SAM). https://www.gtfcc.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/gtfcc-job-aid-treatment-of-children-with-cholera-and-severe-acute-malnutrition.pdf. Accessed on 15th February 2024.

[3] Médecins Sans Frontières. (2023). Management of a Cholera Epidemic. https://medicalguidelines.msf.org/en/viewport/CHOL/english/5-8-cholera-and-acute-malnutrition-32409733.html. Accessed on 15th February 2024.

[4] Cholera outbreak treatment and Shigellosis (COTS) Program. Dhaka: ICDDR,B (2009). https://www.researchgate.net/publication/275770873_Cholera_outbreak_treatment_and_Shigellosis_COTS_Program_Dhaka_ICDDRB. Accessed on 15th February 2024.

	1 hour of IV fluid, give whole blood at 10 mL/kg slowly over at least 3 hours	If child does not improve after 1 hour of rehydration, assume septic shock and treat accordingly	of 50% glucose to each liter of RL)	without 7 mmol/L KCL (for >2 months of age) After 2 hours, IV is discontinued and ORS is given at 10 mL/kg/hour for next 2 hours

Appendix 2: Summary of the literature evaluating cardiac function in children with SAM

Author, Year	Country	Study type	Population	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Decreased Left Ventricular Mass on echocardiography	Normal systolic function on echocardiography
Arshad et al., 2022	Pakistan	Prospective	N=150 75 SAM 26/75, 35% Kwashiorkor 75 non-SAM controls	WHO SAM criteria	Not specified	✓	✓
Bebars & Askalany, 2019	Egypt	Follow-up case control	N=180 60 SAM 120 non-SAM controls	WHO SAM criteria	-history of cardiothoracic event -congenital heart disease -metabolic or glycogen storage disease -chronic medical disease -chronic liver disease -prematurity or interuterine growth restrcition. -muscle disease -diabetes	*Study did not assess this parameter*	✓
Brent et al., 2019	Kenya	Prospective, matched, case-control	N=110 88 SAM 36/88, 41% Kwashiorkor	WHO SAM criteria	-congenital heart disease	✓	✓

			22 non-SAM controls				
Jain et al., 2019	India	Prospecitve case-control	N=152 76 SAM 49/76, 64% Kwashiorkor 76 non-SAM controls	WHO SAM criteria	-prematurity or IUGR -inborn error of metabolism -congential anomalies -chronic renal failure -cerebral palsy -chronic liver disease -chromosomal abnormalities	✓	✓
Obonyo et al., 2017	Kenya Uganda	Prospective observational	N =20 SAM 15/20, 75% Kwashiorkor	WHO SAM criteria **PLUS** acute hypovolemic diarrhea **WITH** signs of severe dehydration and shock	-severe dermatitis of groin - congenital heart disease	✓	✓
Pradhaa HMV et al, 2023	India	Cross sectional observational	N=50 SAM	WHO SAM criteria	-congenital or acquired heart disease -prematurity -IUGR -congenital anomalies -systemic or chronic disease	✓	✓
Samikannu et al, 2019	India	Case-control	N=62 41 SAM 21 non-SAM controls	WHO SAM criteria	-prematurity or interuterine growth restriction -congenital heart disease -acquired heart disease	✓	✓

					-severe anemia		
Sharma et al., 2017	India	Prospective case-control	N=200 100 SAM 100 non-SAM controls	WHO SAM criteria	Not specified	✓	✓

Appendix 3: Table showing methods and results/conclusions from studies investigating rehydration in children with SAM, diarrheal disease and severe dehydration +/- shock

Author, Year	Location/ Study type	Study Type	Population	Sample size	Inclusion	Exclusion	Outcomes
Alam et al., 2020	Bangladesh ICDDR,B	RCT	Children 6-36 months with SAM, acute diarrheal disease and severe dehydration	208	SAM defined as: weight for age and weight for length Z-scores <-3 with or without bipedal edema Acute diarrhea defined as ≥3 loose or watery stools in <24 hours Severe dehydration defined by modified WHO guidelines	• Dysentery • Severe pneumonia • Suspected severe sepsis or septic shock • Suspected meningitis • Antibiotic use before admission	• Required unscheduled IV therapy • Duration of diarrhea after admission • Electrolyte abnormalities after 24 hours • Fluid overload
Obonyo et al., 2017	Kenya, Uganda	Cohort	Children 6-60 months with SAM, with diarrhea and severe dehydration and shock	20	SAM defined using WHO criteria Hypovolemic diarrhea: >3 watery stools/24 hours Severe dehydration and shock (2 or more signs below):	• Severe dermatitis of groin • Congenital heart disease	• Data collected on assessment of myocardial function and hemodynamic response with fluid resuscitation using echocardiography

					-Cap refill ≥3 seconds - Temp gradient between extremities and core body - rapid and/or weak pulse volume		
Brent et al, 2019	Kenya	Cohort	Children with SAM and shock	12 (with shock)	SAM defined using WHO criteria and shock: -Cap refill ≥3 seconds - Temp gradient between extremities and core body - Rapid and/or weak pulse volume -Lethargic or unconscious	• Congenital heart disease	• Echogram assessment of response to intravenous fluids

Annex 5 Comparison of IV fluid composition[5]

IV Solution	Na+	K+	Cl−	Acetate	Glucose	Tonicity
	mmol/L	mmol/L	mmol/L	mmol/L	g/L	
Ringer's lactate	130	5.4	112	27	–	Isotonic
Normal saline (0.9% NaCl)	154	–	154	–	–	Isotonic
0.45% NaCl/5% glucose	77		77		50	Hypertonic
Ringer's lactate with 5% glucose	130	4	109	28	50	Hypertonic
Half-strength Darrow with 5% glucose	61	17	52	27	50	Hypotonic
Cholera saline	133	13	98	48		Isotonic

[5] Pocket Book of Hospital Care for Children: Guidelines for the Management of Common Childhood Illnesses. 2nd edition. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013. ANNEX 4, Intravenous fluids. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK154437/. Accessed on 15th February 2024.