Microbiota effects and predictors of *Lactobacillus crispatu*s colonization after treatment with a

vaginal live biotherapeutic: results from a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial

Authors & affiliations

Seth M. Bloom*[1,2,3], Laura Symul*[4], Joseph Elsherbini[1], Jiawu Xu[1], Salina Hussain[1,5], Johnathan Shih[1,6],

Ashley Sango[1,7], Caroline M. Mitchell[1,3,8,9], Anke Hemmerling[10], Thomas P. Parks[11], Aditi Kannan[1,12],

Fatima A. Hussain[1,3,13], Craig R. Cohen[10], Susan P. Holmes[14], Douglas S. Kwon[1,2,3]

1. Ragon Institute of Mass General Brigham, MIT, and Harvard, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

2. Division of Infectious Diseases, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

3. Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

4. Institute of Statistics, Biostatistics and Actuarial Sciences (ISBA), Louvain Institute of Data

Analysis and Modelling (LIDAM), UCLouvain, Belgium

5. Current affiliation: The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

6. Current affiliation: Graduate Program in Quantitative Biosciences, Georgia Institute of

Technology, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

7. Current affiliation: African Women Leaders Network

8. Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston,

Massachusetts, USA

9. Vincent Center for Reproductive Biology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston,

Massachusetts, USA

10. Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, & Reproductive Sciences, University of California San

Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA

11. Osel, Inc., Mountain View, California, USA

12. Current affiliation: Chemical Biology Graduate Program, Harvard University, Cambridge,

Massachusetts, USA

13. Current affiliation: Department of Biology, Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts, USA

14. Department of Statistics, Stanford University, Palo Alto, California, USA

*Contributed equally

Correspondence: Douglas S. Kwon, dkwon@mgh.harvard.edu

Abstract

Bacterial vaginosis (BV) affects >25% of women worldwide and often recurs after standard-of-care

metronidazole (MTZ) treatment. LACTIN-V, a live biotherapeutic product (LBP) containing *Lactobacillus*

crispatus strain CTV-05, reduced recurrent BV in a Phase 2b clinical trial, but efficacy was incomplete.

We characterized microbiota and immune effects and correlates of treatment success in trial samples.

By week 12, *L. crispatus*-dominant microbiota was achieved in 30% of LBP recipients compared to 9%

of placebo (benefit ratio: 3.31; p<0.005). This effect was mostly due to CTV-05, but native *L. crispatus*

strains were also present and increased over time. Inflammatory cytokines decreased in both arms after

MTZ, but returned to baseline in placebo recipients. *L. crispatus* colonization was associated with pre-

MTZ microbiota, baseline cytokine profiles, post-MTZ bacterial load, and clinical and behavioral variables.

These findings elucidate LBP microbiota effects and identify predictors of treatment success, informing

improved intervention strategies to advance women's health.

Introduction

Bacterial vaginosis (BV), a vaginal syndrome characterized by *Lactobacillus*-deficient vaginal microbiota,

affects 23-29% of women of reproductive age globally, with an estimated annual economic burden of

$4.8 billion worldwide (Peebles et al., 2019; Workowski et al., 2021). BV symptoms include vaginal

discharge, malodor, pain, and itching, leading to significant impairments in quality of life, self-esteem,

and sexual function (Bilardi et al., 2013; Brusselmans et al., 2023). In addition, BV is linked to mucosal

inflammation and increased risk for numerous adverse health outcomes, such as HIV acquisition,

sexually transmitted infections, preterm birth, human papillomavirus (HPV) infection, and cervical cancer

(Anahtar et al., 2015; Atashili et al., 2008; Brusselaers et al., 2019; Gosmann et al., 2017; Hillier et al.,

1988; Leitich and Kiss, 2007). While BV occurs globally, it disproportionately affects those with lower

socioeconomic status and members of racial or ethnic minority groups across diverse settings (Allsworth

and Peipert, 2007; Kenyon et al., 2013; Marconi et al., 2015; Peebles et al., 2019). Development of

effective BV treatments is therefore a key objective to improve women's health worldwide (Bradshaw and

Sobel, 2016).

Current first-line therapy for BV consists of oral or intra-vaginal antibiotics such as metronidazole (MTZ),

which target many species within the diverse anaerobic bacterial communities characteristic of BV

(Bradshaw and Sobel, 2016; Workowski et al., 2021). In most cases, MTZ reduces abundance of BV-

associated anaerobes and leads to emergence of bacterial communities dominated by *Lactobacillus*

species (which are intrinsically MTZ-resistant) (Bradshaw and Brotman, 2015), but BV frequently recurs

after treatment. An Australian study of women with symptomatic BV found that 58% experienced

recurrent BV (rBV) within 1 year of MTZ therapy, while a US trial that enrolled women with symptomatic

BV and a prior history of post-treatment recurrence found that >75-80% experienced rBV within 16 weeks

of treatment (Bradshaw et al., 2006; Schwebke et al., 2021). It is hypothesized that the incomplete

efficacy of MTZ often results from a failure to eradicate BV-associated bacterial communities and/or from

their post-MTZ replacement with *Lactobacillus* species prone to reverting to BV (Bradshaw and Brotman,

2015). Studies have shown that *Lactobacillus iners* is associated with an increased risk of transition to

BV-like states (DiGiulio et al., 2015; Munoz et al., 2021; Tamarelle et al., 2022) and with higher rates of

adverse health outcomes (Colbert et al., 2023; Gosmann et al., 2017; Kindinger et al., 2017; Norenhag

et al., 2020; van Houdt et al., 2018). MTZ treatment frequently results in establishment of vaginal

microbiota dominated by *L. iners* rather than *L. crispatus* (Ferris et al., 2007; Joag et al., 2019; Mitchell

et al., 2012; Ravel et al., 2013; Srinivasan et al., 2010; Verwijs et al., 2020), supporting the hypothesis

that BV therapies which preferentially promote *L. crispatus* over *L. iners* may improve treatment outcomes

and promote vaginal health (Bradshaw and Brotman, 2015; Nilsen et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2024).

New strategies to enhance *L. crispatus* colonization during BV treatment are in various stages of

development, including vaginal microbiome transplants, adjunctive therapies that selectively inhibit *L.*

iners and/or promote *L. crispatus* growth, and *L. crispatus*-containing live biotherapeutic products (LBPs)

(Bloom et al., 2022; Chetty et al., 2025; Cohen et al., 2020; Lev-Sagie et al., 2019; Nilsen et al., 2020;

Ravel et al., 2025; Yockey et al., 2022; Zhu et al., 2024). The most advanced LBP in development is

LACTIN-V, a vaginally-administered LBP containing the *L. crispatus* strain CTV-05, and the only LBP

tested in large-scale clinical trials (Cohen et al., 2020; Hemmerling et al., 2009). Cohen and colleagues

recently reported results of a phase 2b multi-center, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blinded

study showing that participants who received 11 weeks of intravaginal LACTIN-V after a 5-day course of

intravaginal MTZ developed rBV at lower rates than placebo recipients (Cohen et al., 2020). Benefits

from LACTIN-V were observed at both week 12 (approximately 1 week after completing therapy; relative

risk of rBV = 0.66) and week 24 (approximately 13 weeks after completing therapy; relative risk of rBV =

0.73). However, rBV rates remained high even in the LBP arm, with 30% of recipients experiencing rBV

by week 12 and 39% experiencing recurrence by week 24 in the intention-to-treat analysis. In prior

reports, rBV was characterized using clinical measures, but comprehensive molecular analysis of vaginal

microbiota composition and factors associated with colonization were not assessed.

Here we characterize the effects of LACTIN-V on vaginal microbiota composition and identify correlates

of successful colonization by analyzing vaginal microbiota composition, bacterial strain dynamics,

cytokine profiles, and demographic/behavioral parameters of LACTIN-V phase 2b trial participants

(Cohen et al., 2020). We find that the reduction in rBV among LBP recipients corresponded to >3-fold

higher rates of *L. crispatus*-dominant colonization, but only 30% of recipients achieved *L. crispatus*-

dominant vaginal microbiota at week 12, consistent with the observed incomplete clinical efficacy.

Microbiota trajectory and strain dynamics revealed CTV-05 accounted for the majority of *L. crispatus*

colonization among LBP recipients, though endogenous strains increased over time and sometimes

displaced CTV-05. We further describe the effects of LBP treatment and microbiota composition on

vaginal immune markers, and show that pre-MTZ microbiota composition, baseline inflammatory cytokine

profiles, post-MTZ total bacterial load, and selected clinical/behavioral variables are associated with LBP

treatment success. These results show how an *L. crispatus* LBP alters vaginal microbiota composition to

improve BV treatment efficacy while identifying factors linked to LBP success that can guide development

of future therapies to promote more optimal vaginal microbiota communities and improved women's

health outcomes.

Results

Study design and participant characteristics

Women aged 18 to 45 years with BV completed five days of standard intravaginal MTZ therapy and were

then randomized 2:1 to receive intravaginal LACTIN-V (Osel, Inc.) or placebo as previously described

(Cohen et al., 2020). Enrollment occurred at four U.S. sites. LACTIN-V is a powder formulation containing

the live *L. crispatus* strain CTV-05, administered via prefilled, single-use vaginal applicators at a dose of

2×10^9 colony-forming units (CFU). The placebo contained the same inactive ingredients without bacteria

and was visually indistinguishable. BV diagnosed at the baseline "pre-MTZ" screening visit required at

least three of four Amsel criteria and a Nugent score of ≥4 (Amsel et al., 1983; Nugent et al., 1991).

Participants received five days of intravaginal MTZ gel within 30 days of diagnosis, and then were seen

at a "post-MTZ" visit within 48 hours of completing antibiotics for randomization to LBP or placebo. The

first treatment dose was clinician-administered at the post-MTZ visit, then participants self-administered

doses daily for four consecutive days, followed by twice weekly dosing for 10 weeks (ending at week 11

post-randomization; **Figure 1A**). Clinician-collected vaginal swabs were obtained at the pre-MTZ and

post-MTZ visits prior to randomization, and at weeks 4, 8, 12, and 24 post-randomization. Detailed

demographic, clinical, and behavioral data showed no notable differences between arms (Cohen et al.,

2020). The trial enrolled and randomized 228 participants (152 in the LBP arm and 76 in the placebo

arm); samples from 213 of these participants (142 in the LBP arm and 71 in the placebo arm) were

available for this analysis, representing a total of 1,156 unique study visits.

LBP Effects on *L. crispatus* and total *Lactobacillus* colonization

Bacterial microbiota composition was determined by bacterial 16S V4 ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene

sequencing. Four samples were excluded due to technical failures of PCR amplification and sequencing

($<10^3$ processed reads per sample). Of the remaining 1,152 samples (**Table S1, Figure S1A**), the median

number of analyzable reads per sample was 31,177 (IQR 23,774-41,251). No significant differences in

microbiota composition were observed between study arms at either the pre-MTZ or post-MTZ visits

(PERMANOVA $p = 0.63$ and $p = 0.14$, respectively; **Figure S1B-C, SI**).

To assess microbiota impacts of LBP treatment, we defined two outcome parameters based on

microbiota proportional composition: ≥50% relative abundance of *L. crispatus* ("*L. crispatus*-dominant")

and ≥50% summed relative abundance of *Lactobacillus* genus ("*Lactobacillus*-dominant", including *L.

crispatus*). The primary microbiota endpoint for this analysis was establishment of an *L. crispatus*-

dominant vaginal community at week 12, the timepoint corresponding to the trial's primary clinical

endpoint (Cohen et al., 2020). Secondary microbiota endpoints were *L. crispatus*-dominance at week 24

and total *Lactobacillus*-dominance at weeks 12 or 24. Almost all participants in both treatment and

placebo arms had non-*Lactobacillus*-dominant microbiota (<50% *Lactobacillus*) at the pre-MTZ screening

visit, consistent with the fact that all had met criteria for clinical BV (**Figure 1B**). The majority in both arms

transitioned to *Lactobacillus*-dominance at the post-MTZ visit that was largely driven by high relative

abundance of *L. iners* (**Table S2**). Only one participant in each arm exhibited *L. crispatus*-dominant

colonization at the post-MTZ visit (**Figure 1B**). Microbiota composition of the two arms diverged after

randomization, with 30% of LBP recipients (n=37) compared to only 9% of placebo recipients (n=5)

achieving *L. crispatus*-dominant colonization at week 12 (the primary endpoint), resulting in a benefit ratio

of 3.4 (95% CI: 1.4 - 8.1; p < 0.005; **Table 1**, **Figure 1B-C**). At week 24, 35% of LBP recipients (n=39)

versus just 8% of placebo recipients (n=4) achieved *L. crispatus*-dominant colonization, for a benefit

ratio of 4.5 (95% CI: 1.7 - 11.9). The LBP did not increase rates of total *Lactobacillus*-dominant

colonization at week 12 compared to placebo (56% and 48% of LBP and placebo recipients, respectively;

benefit ratio: 1.16; 95% CI: 0.85 - 1.59), but did at week 24 (58% and 33% of LBP and placebo recipients,

respectively; benefit ratio: 1.76; 95% CI: 1.15 - 2.68; **Table 1**, **Figure 1B-C**).

We next investigated how these microbiota parameters related to the clinical diagnosis of BV by

comparing clinical and microbiota results for all post-randomization visits (weeks 4 and beyond, n = 729

visits). Among the 209 visits in which participants had ≥50% *L. crispatus* colonization, none had

concurrent clinical BV (**Table S3** and **Figure 1C**), and among 194 visits with <50% *L. crispatus* but ≥50%

total *Lactobacillus*, only 1% (n=2) had BV. However, of the 326 visits with <50% total *Lactobacillus*, 50%

(n=164) had clinical BV (**Table S3**). Thus, *Lactobacillus*-dominance (including *L. crispatus*-dominance)

was highly specific for the absence of BV, while non-*Lactobacillus*-dominance was highly sensitive but

less specific for BV.

In addition to sequencing-based microbiota composition analysis, total bacterial load in vaginal swab

samples was assessed via quantitative PCR (qPCR) at the post-MTZ and post-randomization visits.

Median bacterial load was significantly lower and more variable at the post-MTZ visit (median $10^{2.69}$

copies/swab; IQR $10^{0.08}$ - $10^{6.44}$) than at subsequent visits (median $10^{7.52}$ copies/swab; IQR $10^{7.09}$ - $10^{7.93}$

for all subsequent visits), consistent with antibiotic-mediated depletion of vaginal microbiota biomass

during BV treatment, followed by bacterial repopulation of the vaginal environment (**Figure 1D**). We

assessed whether post-MTZ microbiota composition (as determined by 16S rRNA gene sequencing) was

correlated with total bacterial load using a multi-table multivariate generalization of the squared Pearson

correlation, the RV coefficient (Josse and Holmes, 2016; Robert and Escoufier, 1976). This analysis

revealed no significant correlation between post-MTZ microbiota composition and bacterial load (RV

coefficient = 0.02, *p*-value > 0.1).

Microbiota composition trajectories throughout the trial

To characterize microbiota trajectories with greater resolution, we summarized microbiota composition

using topic mixtures (Sankaran and Holmes, 2019; Symul et al., 2023). Compared to clustering or

categorizing samples into community state types (CSTs) (Ravel et al., 2011), cervicotypes (CTs)

(Gosmann et al., 2017), or sub-CSTs (France et al., 2020), this method provides probabilistic (rather than

binary) weightings, allowing for more accurate descriptions of sample taxonomic composition and

improved characterization of longitudinal dynamics (Symul et al., 2023). In our cohort, assignment to

reference CST performed poorly (median Bray-Curtis dissimilarity with assigned CSTs > 0.33, **SI**),

especially for *Prevotella*-dominated samples (median BC dissimilarity > 0.5, **SI**), and with over 40% of

samples being equally similar to two or more CSTs (**SI**). To identify topics, which can be interpreted as

bacterial subcommunities (*i.e.,* consortia of bacterial taxa that co-occur with each other or with other

taxa), and estimate their proportions in each sample, we fitted a latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA) model

(a Bayesian "topic model") (Blei et al., 2003) to the taxonomic counts. We adapted a previously described

approach (Symul et al., 2023) in which topics were constrained to be fully composed of either

Lactobacillus or non-*Lactobacillus* species. Four non-*Lactobacillus* topics were inferred from the data

(**Figure S2A, B**) and we defined four *Lactobacillus*-dominated topics, including three exclusively

composed of a single *Lactobacillus* species (*L. crispatus*, *L. iners*, and *L. jensenii/mulieris*) and one

composed of a mixture of the remaining *Lactobacillus* species (**Figure S2C**). **Figure 2A** shows inferred

composition of each non-*Lactobacillus* topic, while **Figure 2B** displays topic proportions for each

participant throughout the trial.

Three non-*Lactobacillus* topics were highly prevalent and abundant in both arms at the pre-MTZ visit,

including topics in which the predominant taxa were *Candidatus* Lachnocurva vaginae (BVAB1),

Gardnerella swidsinskii/leopoldii, and *Prevotella amnii* (**Figure 2C** and **S2D**). Microbiota composition

shifted substantially at the post-MTZ visit (**Figure 2D**), where besides high *L. iners* relative abundance,

the non-*Lactobacillus* topic with the highest relative abundance was the topic in which *Gardnerella*

swindsinskii/leopoldii was predominant (**Figure 2C** and **S2D**). Another major shift in microbiota

composition occurred between the post-MTZ visit and the week 4 visit, which was larger in the LBP arm

(median pairwise Bray-Curtis dissimilarity: 0.81; IQR: 0.50 - 0.97) than the placebo arm (median Bray-

Curtis dissimilarity: 0.58; IQR 0.41 - 0.80), driven primarily by increased *L. crispatus* abundance among

LBP recipients (**Figure 2C-D** and **S2D**). Microbiota composition was more stable after week 4, with

median Bray-Curtis dissimilarity <0.55 at week 8 and all subsequent visits, which was comparable

between arms (**Figure 2D**). LBP recipients who achieved *L. crispatus*-dominant colonization had more

stable microbiota than their counterparts (**Figure S2E, SI**).

The observation that microbiota composition became more stable after week 4 was also supported by

longitudinal patterns in microbiota categories. Among 115 participants with available data from both week

4 and week 12 visits, 71% (25 of 35; 95% CI: 53%-85%) of LBP recipients who achieved ≥50% *L.*

crispatus at week 12 also had *L. crispatus*-dominance at week 4 (**Figure 2B, Table S4**). Similarly, 71%

(27 of 38; 95% CI: 54%-84%) of LBP recipients who achieved *L. crispatus*-dominance at week 24 also

had *L. crispatus*-dominance at week 4 (**Figure 2B**, **Table S5**). However, early *L. crispatus*-dominant

colonization did not guarantee persistence, as only 49% (25 of 51) and 57% (27 of 47) of LBP recipients

with *L. crispatus*-dominance at week 4 retained it at weeks 12 and 24, respectively. By contrast, among

38 LBP recipients with non-*Lactobacillus*-dominant microbiota at week 4, 79% remained non-

Lactobacillus dominant at week 12 compared to just 10.5% each with *L. crispatus*-dominance or other

Lactobacillus-dominant colonization (**Table S4**). Thus, microbiota communities established early tended

to persist and early *Lactobacillus*-dominance – particularly *L. crispatus*-dominance – was strongly linked

to ongoing *Lactobacillus*-dominance.

Metagenomic identification of the CTV-05 strain and assessment of *L. crispatus* strain dynamics

The observation that LBP treatment promoted *L. crispatus* colonization prompted us to examine what

fraction of *L. crispatus* observed in LBP recipients represented the CTV-05 strain versus non-LBP native

strains. We used metagenomic sequencing to assess *L. crispatus* strain dynamics, with strain genotypes

and proportions inferred using StrainFacts (Smith et al., 2022). In a separate analysis, simulations and

experimental spike-in data showed that StrainFacts performs well at inferring LBP (including CTV-05)

strain genotypes and proportions in samples with ≥5% overall relative abundance of *L. crispatus* (Shih et

al., 2025). In the LACTIN-V trial samples, *L. crispatus* strain inference was successful in 313 samples,

identifying 24 genotypically distinct strains with at least 10% fractional strain abundance in at least one

sample. To determine which strain represented CTV-05, we generated a closed assembly of the CTV-05

genome, which nearly perfectly matched the StrainFacts genotype of a widely prevalent inferred strain

within the metagenomic dataset (Jaccard similarity >0.999). Among 57 samples with inferred *L. crispatus*

strain composition from placebo recipients (any visit) or from LBP recipients prior to LBP administration,

StrainFacts inferred CTV-05 presence in just 5 total samples from 5 unique participants (**Figure 3A**). This

result suggested our strain inference approach had a low false positive CTV-05 detection rate; we cannot

rule out that some "false positives" represented native strains with high genotypic similarity to CTV-05.

Collectively, these results support that CTV-05 detection was achieved with high accuracy in sample

metagenomes.

To further characterize fidelity of the strain-tracing approach, we analyzed inference results for native *L.*

crispatus strains, supplemented by targeted bacterial isolations and genome sequencing to

experimentally test bioinformatic predictions. Most participants in whom a native strain was inferred

exhibited colonization by only a single native strain at any point during the study, with a few participants

colonized by two or three native strains (**Figure 3B**). Since StrainFacts inferences are performed blinded

to whether samples are derived from the same participant (Shih et al., 2025; Smith et al., 2022),

consistent detection of the same 1-3 native strains in multiple samples from the same participant

additionally supported validity of the inferences. We also performed targeted bacterial isolations of *L.*

crispatus from a subset of samples inferred to contain CTV-05, one or more native strains, or a mixture

of CTV-05 and native strains. The resulting isolates were highly genotypically similar to inferred strains

from the same samples, including both CTV-05 and native strains (results for an example participant

shown in **Figure 3C-E**, with additional participants detailed in **Figure S3A**). These experimental results

further validated our metagenomic strain inference approach.

We examined *L. crispatus* strain dynamics by classifying samples with inferred strain data into three

categories: samples with >90% CTV-05 fractional strain abundance ("High-CTV-05"), samples with 10-

90% CTV-05 ("Mixed"), and samples with <10% CTV-05 ("Low-CTV-05"; **Figure 3A**). These thresholds

were defined based on simulations to determine parameters maximizing reliability of strain detection

(Shih et al., 2025). At each post-randomization timepoint, the majority of LBP recipients with analyzable

L. crispatus strains had high-CTV-05 strain abundance, but the proportion with high-CTV-05 or mixed

strains decreased over time, while the proportion with high native *L. crispatus* strain abundance (*i.e.*, low-

CTV-05) increased (**Figure 3A**). At week 4, 96.1% (n=74) of LBP recipients with ≥5% *L. crispatus* had

high-CTV-05 or mixed strains, while just 3.9% (n=3) had high native strains. However, by week 24 only

63.2% (n=31) had high-CTV-05 or mixed strains while those with high native strains increased to 36.8%

(n=18). To characterize this phenomenon in greater detail, we examined CTV-05 fractional strain

abundance for each pair of consecutive post-randomization visits (**Figure 3F-G**). Among 144 visits with

high-CTV-05, two main outcomes were observed at the next visit: 68% (n=98) maintained high-CTV-05

and 26% (n=38) transitioned to <5% total *L. crispatus* colonization (strain composition undetermined).

Among the 18 visits with high native strain abundance, 61% (n=11) retained high native strain abundance

at the next visit and none transitioned to CTV-05 dominance. Interestingly, among 23 visits with mixed

CTV-05/native strain composition, only one (4%) transitioned to high-CTV-05, while 39% (n=9) remained

mixed and 35% (n=8) transitioned to high native strain abundance, including some transitions to high

native strain abundance that occurred while participants were still receiving LACTIN-V (**Figure S3B**).

These results show CTV-05 was frequently the sole or dominant *L. crispatus* strain in LBP recipients, but

when native strains dominated or co-occurred with CTV-05, they often replaced CTV-05 at subsequent

visits but were almost never replaced by it.

Intervention effects on vaginal inflammation

We assessed the effects of MTZ and LBP treatment on vaginal mucosal immune state and inflammation

by measuring cytokine and chemokine concentrations in vaginal swab eluates using a previously

described custom Luminex assay (Gosmann et al., 2017; Symul et al., 2023). Concentrations of 18

cytokines and chemokines were log-transformed for variance stabilization and imputed when outside

their respective limits of quantification (**Figure S4A**). Concentrations of all chemokines and cytokines

were strongly correlated, with the first principal component (PC1) accounting for 50% of the total variation

(**Figure S4B**). This phenomenon suggested a size-effect (Jolicoeur and Mosimann, 1960) that we

attributed to the (unmeasured) variation in biomass collection between swabs, which was addressed

through PC1-subtraction (**SI**). Seven cytokines were excluded from analysis because a large proportion

of values were outside the quantification range (**Figure S4A**). Mucosal immune dynamics during

treatment were determined by comparing adjusted concentrations of each cytokine or chemokine from

each participant's baseline (pre-MTZ) visit with concentrations at subsequent visits.

We first examined how chemokines and cytokines with known relationships to BV and vaginal microbiota

composition (Anahtar et al., 2015; Gosmann et al., 2017; Masson et al., 2019, 2014) changed during

treatment. IL-1β concentrations decreased post-MTZ in both arms, while IP-10 showed the opposite

pattern, consistent with previously reported pattern with BV treatment (Masson et al., 2014) (**Figure 4A-**

B). These effects persisted in both arms through week 12, but IL-1β and IP-10 returned to pre-MTZ levels

by week 24 in placebo recipients, leading to a difference of -0.39 (95% CI: -0.66 – -0.14) between

adjusted concentrations in the LBP versus placebo arm for IL-1β and of 0.51 (95% CI: 0.21 - 0.79) for IP-

10. By contrast, IL-6 concentrations – which have been reported not to differ between women with and

without BV (Masson et al., 2014) – remained unchanged from pre-MTZ levels in both treatment arms

throughout the study (**Figure 4C**). Sensitivity analyses examining the data prior to adjustment for size-

effect via PC1-subtraction revealed findings that were qualitatively similar, but with reduced magnitude

(**SI**).

The reversion of treatment-induced effects for IL-1β and IP-10 concentrations in placebo recipients by

week 24 suggested an association with total *Lactobacillus* relative abundance, which differed between

treatment arms at this visit (**Table 1**). We therefore examined the correlation of microbiota composition

(summarized by topic proportions) with mucosal cytokine/chemokine concentrations. Their RV coefficient

was 0.18 with p-value <0.005 (permutation test), indicating significant correlation. Similar RV coefficient

values were obtained when repeating analysis independently for each visit (**Figure S4C**) except for a

substantially lower correlation at the post-MTZ visit (where microbiota absolute abundance varied widely,

Figure 1D), suggesting microbiota-immune correlation was not driven primarily by a participant effect.

To characterize the microbiota-immune relationship in greater detail, we employed DiSTATIS (Abdi et

al., 2009, 2005), a method that uses between-sample dissimilarity matrices to infer a consensus matrix

across two datasets and associated latent components. Projecting microbiota topic relative abundances

and transformed cytokine/chemokine concentrations onto this latent subspace using correlation circles

revealed that the first latent dimension discriminated *Lactobacillus*-dominated samples from non-

Lactobacillus-dominated samples (**Figure 4D-F**). Chemokines MIG (CXCL9), IP-10 (CXCL10), and ITAC

(CXCL11) had high positive correlation along the first latent dimension, indicating positive covariation

with *Lactobacillus*, while IL-1β, TNF-α, and IL-1α had high negative correlations, indicating positive

covariation with non-*Lactobacillus* species (**Figure 4E**). The second latent dimension discriminated *L.*

crispatus from *L. iners* but most cytokines and chemokines had little or no correlation with that

component, indicating minimal association of inflammatory markers with individual *Lactobacillus* species

(**Figure 4E**). Most cytokines and chemokines had high correlations with the 3rd and/or 4th latent

components but the microbiota topics did not, indicating a degree of immune variation that was

microbiota-independent (**Figure 4F**). In these dimensions, IFNγ and IL-17 correlated with each other but

were anti-correlated with IL-6, MIP-3α, MIP-1β, and MIP-1α. Notably, although IP-10 levels were

previously reported to differ in a small subset of LACTIN-V recipients based on whether participants were

highly colonized by CTV-05 versus non-CTV-05 *L. crispatus* strains (as measured by qPCR) (Armstrong

et al., 2022), we observed no clear differences in microbiota correlation with cytokine/chemokine levels

(including IP-10) in analysis that distinguished CTV-05 from other *L. crispatus* strains (**Figure S4D-G**).

Heterogeneity in LBP treatment effect based on baseline microbiota composition

We next performed exploratory *post-hoc* analyses to investigate whether differences in pre-MTZ

microbiota composition corresponded to differences in response to the LBP (*i.e.,* heterogeneity of

treatment effect). We stratified participants by their most abundant pre-MTZ taxon (aggregated at the

genus-level), identifying four groups for analysis: *Lactobacillus*-predominant, *Gardnerella*-predominant,

Prevotella-predominant, and *Ca.* Lachnocurva vaginae (BVAB1)-predominant. LBP treatment benefit

was evaluated for each group with respect to two distinct outcomes: ≥50% *L. crispatus* colonization (at

week 12 or 24) and BV recurrence (by week 12 or 24) (**Figure 5A**). Heterogeneity in benefit among pre-

MTZ microbiota groups (**Figure 5B**) was tested using an analysis of deviance comparing nested logistic

regression models allowing (or not) for heterogeneity and adjusting for multiple testing by controlling for

the false discovery rate (Methods, **SI**).

Analysis of the relationship between pre-MTZ microbiota groups and establishment of *L. crispatus*-

dominant colonization suggested some groups benefitted more from LBP treatment than others (adjusted

p-values = 0.11 at week 12 and 0.03 at week 24; **Figure 5C, D**). LACTIN-V recipients with pre-MTZ

predominance of *Lactobacillus*, *Gardnerella*, or *Prevotella* attained higher rates of *L. crispatus*-dominant

microbiota at both weeks 12 and 24 compared to their placebo counterparts, with modestly larger rate

differences at week 24 (**Figure 5D**). In contrast, participants with pre-MTZ *Ca.* Lachnocurva vaginae

(BVAB1) did not differ in *L. crispatus*-dominant colonization between LBP and placebo arms. Analysis of

BV recurrence also showed differences in benefit from LBP treatment based on pre-MTZ microbiota

(adjusted p-values <0.05 at week 12 and <0.01 at week 2; **Figure 5E-F**). Among LBP recipients with pre-

MTZ *Prevotella*-predominance, BV recurred in almost all placebo recipients by week 24, compared to

just over half of LBP recipients. By contrast, LBP recipients whose most prevalent taxa pre-MTZ was Ca.

Lachnocurva vaginae (BVAB1) were unique in exhibiting slightly higher absolute rates of rBV than those

who received placebo (**Figure 5E-F**). We used similar methods to perform a sensitivity analysis in which

participants were grouped based on pre-MTZ CSTs (France et al., 2020) or using a model-based

approach relying on topic relative abundances. Findings from this analysis were largely consistent with

results of our predominant-taxon analysis above (**Figure S5, SI**). Together, these exploratory analyses

showed that benefits of LBP treatment in achieving *L. crispatus*-dominance and preventing BV recurrence

differed depending on pre-MTZ microbiota composition, with participants who had pre-MTZ

predominance of *Ca.* Lachnocurva vaginae (BVAB1) exhibiting a lack of benefit compared to placebo,

whereas other participants experienced more favorable responses.

Factors associated with achieving *L. crispatus* dominance in LBP recipients

To identify factors associated with establishing *L. crispatus* dominance among LBP recipients, we

performed a series of multiblock partial least square discriminant analyses (MB-PLS-DA) on the

longitudinal LACTIN-V arm data (**Figure 6A-C**, **S6**, **S7A**). Based on the observation that early *L.*

crispatus-dominant colonization correlated with *L. crispatus* colonization at later visits (**Table S4**, **S5**), we

analyzed factors from prior visits that associated with the participants' microbiota category by week 4, as

well as separate analyses of factors associated with microbiota category at ensuing visits. We defined

three models corresponding to the different phases of the trial: the "initial phase" spanned from the post-

MTZ visit to week 4, the "continuation phase" spanned from week 4 to week 12, and the "follow-up phase"

spanned from week 12 to week 24 (**Figure S6A**). For each phase, factors of interest (*i.e.,* explanatory

variables) were grouped into 13 thematic blocks (**Figure 6A**, **Table S6**). The first block characterized

participant demographics, blocks 2-4 described participant baseline vaginal ecosystem (*i.e.*, pre-MTZ

microbiota composition and diversity, pH, cytokines concentrations), blocks 5-8 quantified the vaginal

ecosystem at the previous visit (*e.g.,* at the post-MTZ visit in the initial phase, when the response is week

4 colonization status), while blocks 9-13 characterized participant sexual behavior, douching/bleeding,

antibiotic use, and product adherence (**Table S6**). For each phase, MB-PLS-DA was used to evaluate

the ability of these variables to discriminate between the following microbiota categories at each visit

starting from week 4: ≥50% *L. crispatus*, ≥ 50% *Lactobacillus* but <50 % *L. crispatus*, or < 50%

Lactobacillus (see also **Figure 1B**). We also used nested models to assess the additional contribution of

specific blocks in discriminating colonization status (**SI**, **Figure S6A-C**).

In the model for the LBP arm initial phase, the most important block for discriminating week 4 colonization

categories was the previous (*i.e.,* post-MTZ) vaginal environment (**Figure 6A**, left panel). This block

included post-MTZ total bacterial load, α-diversity, and pH. Lower values of these three factors were

associated with higher chances of ≥50% *L. crispatus* at week 4, and were among the 5 most important

variables in the model (**Figure 6B-C** and **S7A**). The next most important blocks were demographics and

blocks characterizing participant pre-MTZ vaginal environment, including pre-MTZ vaginal pH, α-

diversity, cytokine concentrations, and microbiota composition (as described by topic proportions, **Figure**

6A and **S7A**). Specifically, high pre-MTZ α-diversity and pH were negatively associated with *L. crispatus*

colonization at week 4 (**Figure 6B**). Interestingly, pre-MTZ adjusted concentrations of the chemokine IL-

1β were positively associated with achieving *L. crispatus*-dominance at week 4 while pre-MTZ IP-10 and

MIG levels showed an opposite association (**Figure 6B**, **6D**). Self-declared race and education were the

most important demographic block variables, with white and more highly educated participants having

higher rates of *L. crispatus*-dominance (**Figure S7A**). However, race and education were highly

correlated in the cohort (**Figure S7B**) such that their individual contributions to explaining *L. crispatus*

colonization could not be clearly distinguished. While these two demographic variables were also

correlated with several pre-MTZ vaginal characteristics that also explained colonization such as pre-MTZ

α-diversity (**Figure S7C**), they still mildly contributed to model explanatory power (**Figure S6C**). The

remaining blocks or variables were not important for discriminating between initial phase colonization

categories (**Figure 6A-B**, **Figure S7A**), possibly because variables in these blocks contributed limited

information as overall adherence was high, use of antibiotics and douching was relatively rare, and some

birth control options were rare in this cohort (**SI**). In contrast, within these blocks, factors with higher

heterogeneity across participants such as bleeding and sexual activity showed greater variable

importance (**Figure S7A**).

In the continuation phase model for the LBP arm (from week 4 to week 12), the colonization category at

the previous visit was by far the most important block associated with colonization category at the

following visit (**Figure 6A**), consistent with higher stability of microbiota composition from week 4 to 12

(**Figure 2D**). While no other blocks significantly improved model performance (**Figure S6C**), individual

variables including sexual activity, bleeding, douching, and non-hormonal IUDs were all negatively

associated with high-level *L. crispatus* colonization (**Figure S7A**). In the model for the LBP follow-up

phase (from week 12 to week 24), the colonization category at the previous visit (*i.e.,* week 12) was again

strongly associated with the colonization category at week 24. However, in contrast to the previous phase,

sexual behavior and antibiotic use only mildly contributed in explaining week 24 colonization categories

(**Figure 6A**, right panel, **Figure S6C**, **S7A**).

Analogous analyses for placebo recipients did not show significant predictive value for either the initial

phase or the follow-up phase in cross-validation (**Figure S8A-C**, **S9**). The placebo continuation phase

model had modest predictive value, with microbiota category at the previous visit serving as the best

predictor of microbiota category at the next visit (**Figure S8A-C**, **S9**).

Discussion

Treatment with vaginal live biotherapeutic products (LBPs) offers significant promise to improve health,

but mechanisms, correlates, and strain dynamics of LBP colonization and efficacy remain incompletely

understood (Bradshaw et al., 2025). We performed comprehensive analyses of samples and data from

a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 2b trial of LACTIN-V, a single-strain *L. crispatus*

LBP for prevention of BV recurrence (Cohen et al., 2020). We employed microbiome sequencing, strain

tracing, and immunologic characterization together with multi-block analysis of biological, clinical,

demographic, and behavioral parameters to investigate LBP effects and correlates of treatment success.

LACTIN-V treatment resulted in *L. crispatus*-dominant vaginal bacterial communities in 30% and 35% of

recipients at weeks 12 and 24, respectively, representing 3.4- and 4.5-fold higher rates than in placebo

recipients. *L. crispatus* colonization among LBP recipients was substantially due to the LACTIN-V strain

CTV-05, although dominance by native *L. crispatus* strains increased over time. Microbiota trajectory

analysis showed that microbial communities established early frequently persisted. Achieving

Lactobacillus-dominance – particularly *L. crispatus*-dominance – at early timepoints was strongly linked

to persistence of *Lactobacillus*-dominance, while early non-*Lactobacillus*-dominance also tended to be

maintained. In exploratory post-hoc analyses, we found that the LBP's benefits differed based on baseline

vaginal microbiota composition, and we identified specific microbial, immune, demographic, and

behavioral factors associated with high-level *L. crispatus* colonization among LBP recipients.

To enhance resolution and power, we relied, for most of our analyses, on the observed relative

abundances of species, genera, or *de novo* topics rather than on *de novo* clusters or assignment to

reference community state types (CSTs). This approach is based on prior work showing that diverse

vaginal microbiotas do not exhibit well-defined clusters (Lebeer et al., 2023; Symul et al., 2023) which we

also observed in this cohort. We also favored taxa-based reporting to facilitate interpretation and

comparison with past or future studies, noting that CST definitions remain in flux as datasets expand

beyond predominantly U.S. populations.

To elucidate mechanisms by which the LBP promoted *L. crispatus* colonization, we investigated *L.*

crispatus strain dynamics using both metagenomic analysis and cultivation-based strain characterization.

Metagenomic strain inferences showed the CTV-05 strain accounted for the majority of *L. crispatus*

colonization among LBP recipients, but native *L. crispatus* strains were also observed. Interestingly,

among LBP recipients with ascertainable *L. crispatus* strain composition, 96.1% had CTV-05 as their

dominant or co-dominant strain at week 4, but this proportion progressively declined, while the number

of participants with dominance by one or more native *L. crispatus* strains rose from 3.9% at week 4 to

36.7% at week 24. Recipients with CTV-05 strain dominance at a given visit tended to either maintain

CTV-05 dominance or exhibit substantial loss of *L. crispatus* at the ensuing visit. However, recipients with

co-dominance of CTV-05 and native strains frequently experienced replacement of CTV-05 by the native

strain at the next visit, but very rarely exhibited replacement of the native strain by CTV-05. Further

research is needed to determine whether native *L. crispatus* strains that outcompeted CTV-05 have

functional characteristics providing selective advantages and whether initial CTV-05 colonization

establishes a more permissive environment for eventual transition to native *L. crispatus* strains.

We also examined impacts of MTZ and LBP treatment on mucosal inflammation by measuring vaginal

cytokines and chemokines. Significant changes in several cytokines and chemokines were observed in

participants for whom treatment successfully shifted microbiota composition to *Lactobacillus*-dominance,

and these changes reverted in participants who shifted back to non-*Lactobacillus*-dominance. Most

notably, inflammatory cytokines including IL-1α, IL-1β, and TNFα increased as *Lactobacillus* abundance

decreased, whereas IP-10, MIG, and ITAC showed the opposite pattern, consistent with prior reports

(Anahtar et al., 2015; Gosmann et al., 2017; Masson et al., 2019, 2014). These effects appeared primarily

driven by genus-level *Lactobacillus* abundance rather than a particular species. A prior study examining

a subset of LACTIN-V trial participants in whom strain abundance was assessed by qPCR reported that

IP-10 levels were lower at week 24 in participants with CTV-05 colonization than those colonized by other

L. crispatus strains (Armstrong et al., 2022), but we did not observe a similar association in our analysis

of the full cohort. Treatment-induced cytokine changes persisted in the LBP arm at week 24 compared

to placebo, but this was driven primarily by the LBP's effect in promoting higher rates of *Lactobacillus*-

dominance rather than a strain-specific effect of CTV-05.

Our *post-hoc* analysis of treatment outcomes showed LACTIN-V's incomplete efficacy in achieving high-

level *L. crispatus* colonization and preventing BV recurrence was linked to differences in pre-MTZ

microbiota composition. Stratifying participants by their predominant bacterial genus at the pre-MTZ visit

revealed between-group differences in achieving *L. crispatus*-dominance at week 24 and in preventing

rBV by both weeks 12 and 24. Most prominently, these analyses showed a particular benefit from the

LBP in preventing BV recurrence among participants with pre-MTZ *Prevotella*-predominance, whereas

participants with pre-MTZ *Ca.* Lachnocurva vaginae (BVAB1)-predominance uniquely exhibited a trend

toward higher BV recurrence rates with LBP treatment and little or no benefit from the LBP in achieving

L. crispatus-dominance. The reasons for these patterns are unclear, but may reflect greater competitive

ability or MTZ resistance in *Ca.* Lachnocurva vaginae or its co-occurring species (Alauzet et al., 2010;

Aldridge et al., 2001). Since *Ca.* Lachnocurva vaginae remains uncultured (Fredricks et al., 2005; Holm

et al., 2020), experimentally testing its antimicrobial susceptibility and ability to compete with other

species is currently infeasible, but our results highlight its cultivation and phenotypic characterization as

key research priorities. However, we emphasize that these results showing pre-MTZ microbiota effects

on LBP efficacy are based on exploratory analyses of a relatively small study cohort, which can introduce

arm imbalances through *post-hoc* stratification. They should therefore be regarded as hypothesis-

generating observations that require replication and validation in future studies.

Our integrated multiblock analysis identified both expected and unanticipated biological, clinical,

demographic, and behavioral correlates of *L. crispatus*-dominant colonization in LBP recipients. Low total

bacterial load, low vaginal pH, and low microbiota α-diversity at the post-MTZ visit were associated with

L. crispatus-dominant colonization, findings that help elucidate microbiota dynamic underlying a *post hoc*

analysis of clinical parameters which showed that participants achieving BV cure at the post-MTZ visit

had lower rates of recurrence at later timepoints (Hemmerling et al., 2024). Our analysis also showed

low pre-MTZ vaginal concentrations of MIG and IP-10 and high concentrations of IL-1β were also

associated with *L. crispatus*-dominance at week 4 – an unexpected finding given their opposite

correlations with *Lactobacillus* abundance during concurrent visits. The explanation for this observation

is unclear, but may involve a more vigorous pre-MTZ mucosal immune response helping to clear BV-

associated bacteria, presence of inflammatory but MTZ- or LBP-responsive bacteria in some participants

at baseline, or other mechanisms. After week 4, the strongest predictor of a participants' microbiota

category was their microbiota category at the previous timepoint, indicating that microbiota composition

established early after LBP treatment best predicts subsequent outcomes. Demographic data including

self-declared race and education levels were also linked to microbiota composition at week 4 and beyond,

but since race and education were closely correlated and likely associated with unmeasured variables,

this precluded clear mechanistic interpretation. Despite evidence for sexual activity as a significant

influence on BV and vaginal microbiota composition (Vodstrcil et al., 2025), we did not identify a strong

signal for sexual behavior as an explanatory variable for microbiota composition in LBP recipients. This

may be because of little variation in self-reported sexual behavior throughout the trial such that effects of

protected or unprotected sex may have occurred early and been obscured by one of the many factors

associated with early *L. crispatus* colonization. It is also recognized that self-reported sexual activity in

clinical trials may not be a reliable measure of actual sexual activity (Jewanraj et al., 2020; Schroder et

al., 2003a, 2003b; Zenilman et al., 1995), and reporting biases or inaccuracies can decrease power to

detect effects.

In summary, our detailed analysis of the vaginal microbiota effects of a single-strain *L. crispatus* LBP

reveals patterns of microbiota composition and strain dynamics underlying the clinical effects of LACTIN-

V. We identify key microbiota and host factors associated with treatment success and *L. crispatus*

colonization. If validated, these findings could help identify patients most likely to benefit from LBP

treatment and guide the discovery of novel therapeutic targets to enhance LBP efficacy and improve

women's health outcomes globally.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, samples from a few participants in the primary clinical trial were

not available for microbiome and cytokine analysis (samples were unavailable from 10 of 152 originally

reported participants in the LBP arm and 5 of 76 participants in the placebo arm). In addition, technical

failures of 16S rRNA gene sequencing precluded microbiota compositional analysis in four additional

samples, so our analysis cohort was slightly smaller than the primary trial cohort. Second, the limited size

of this Phase 2b study reduced statistical power, especially for heterogeneity and between-arm *L.*

crispatus colonization comparisons. Third, the study's relatively infrequent sampling schedule did not

permit high temporal resolution to assess microbiota and strain dynamics. Finally, although detailed data

were available regarding sexual, contraceptive, hygiene, and clinical parameters, participants were

surveyed on only a narrow range of environmental and sociodemographic variables, precluding detailed

investigation of factors that might help explain associations with microbiota composition.

Methods

Study design and sample collection

Samples analyzed in this study were obtained as part of a previously reported phase 2b, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of the *L. crispatus* LBP LACTIN-V (Osel, Inc., Mountain View, CA) for prevention of rBV (Cohen et al., 2020). Use of samples was approved by the Mass General Brigham Institutional Review Board (IRB Protocol #:2020P002237) as well as the UCSF Institutional Review Board (IRB Protocol#: 19-28337). LACTIN-V is a single-strain LBP formulated as a powder containing a preservation matrix and $2x10^9$ colony-forming units (CFU) per dose of the *L. crispatus* strain CTV-05, which was isolated from a human vaginal sample (Cohen et al., 2020). CTV-05 is administered using a pre-filled vaginal applicator, and was compared in the trial to a placebo formulation consisting of the preservation matrix without CTV-05. The trial was conducted at four centers within the USA and enrolled premenopausal, non-pregnant women aged 18-45 years. Eligibility criteria were previously described (Cohen et al., 2020). Briefly, potential participants attended a screening (pre-MTZ) visit at which they were determined to be eligible for the study if testing revealed presence of BV as determined by both a Nugent score of 4-10 on Gram stain of a vaginal smear (Nugent et al., 1991) and presence of at least three of four Amsel criteria (characteristic vaginal discharge, >20% clue cells on microscopy of a vaginal wet prep, vaginal fluid pH >4.5, and presence of a fishy odor upon addition of 10% potassium hydroxide to a vaginal specimen) (Amsel et al., 1983), as well as negative testing for HIV, syphilis, gonorrhea, chlamydia, trichomonas, and urinary tract infection. Women found to be eligible based on this evaluation completed 5 days of intravaginal MTZ therapy within 30 days of their pre-MTZ visit (**Figure 1A, S1A**). They then returned to the trial clinic within 48 hours of completing antibiotics (post-MTZ visit) and were randomized in a 2:1 ratio to receive either LBP or placebo after providing written informed consent. The first dose of LBP or placebo was clinician-administered at the randomization (post-MTZ) visit, then doses were vaginally self-delivered daily for the next four days, then twice weekly for ten additional weeks. In-person study visits were scheduled 4, 8, 12, and 24 weeks after randomization, at which vaginal swabs

were collected and stored (details below) and clinical report forms (CRFs) were completed. In addition,

two phone visits were planned at week 16 and 20 during which a subset of the clinical report forms were

filled to capture information on adverse events, menstruation, concomitant medication use, and sexual

behavior protected or unprotected by condoms. Participants who desired additional in-person visits were

invited to present to the clinics. Swabs and CRFs were collected at these additional visits.

Clinician-collected vaginal swab samples were obtained via speculum exam at in-person study visits.

Two types of swabs were collected in parallel for analysis (Cohen et al., 2020). One set of swabs was

collected at all in-person visits including the pre-MTZ (screening) visit using the Starplex™ Scientific

Multitrans™ Collection and Transportation System (Starplex™ Scientific S1600), which comprises a

plastic-shaft Dacron™-tipped swab stored in a glass bead-containing transport medium consisting gelatin

(5.0 g/L), sucrose (68.46 g/L), glutamic acid (0.70 g/L), HEPES sodium salt (3.4 g/L), modified Hank's

balanced salts (9.8 g/L), sodium bicarbonate (0.35 g/L), bovine serum albumin (10 g/L) and the

antimicrobial agents vancomycin (0.1 g/L), amphotericin B (2.5 mg/L), and colistin (0.015 g/L) at a pH of

7.2-7.8. The other set of swabs was collected at the post-MTZ (randomization) visit and all subsequent

visits in the ESwab® Liquid Based Collection and Transport System (Copan ESwab 480C®). Samples

were stored at room temperature for 1-4 hours after collection, then frozen at -80°C.

Microbiota sequencing, bacterial isolation, and cytokine analysis was performed on samples stored using

the Starplex™ system. Samples were thawed on ice, vortexed at maximum speed for 5 seconds, then

the swabs were removed from the transport media and media was divided into aliquots and re-frozen at

-80°C. Subsequent processing was performed as described below. Measurement of bacterial load via

qPCR was performed using swabs collected via the Copan ESwab® system.

Bacterial isolations and cultivation

Bacterial isolation and cultivation was performed under anaerobic conditions at 37°C in an AS-580 anaerobic chamber (Anaerobe Systems) with an atmosphere of 5% carbon dioxide, 5% hydrogen, and 90% nitrogen (Airgas, Inc.). All culture media was pre-reduced prior to use by being placed in the anaerobic chamber overnight. Bacteria were isolated and cultivated on solid media including *Lactobacillus* MRS agar (Hardy Diagnostics, #G117), Columbia Blood Agar ("CBA", Hardy Diagnostics, #A16), or CDC Anaerobe Laked Sheep Blood Agar with Kanamycin and Vancomycin ("LKV", BD BBL™ Prepared Plated Media, #221846). Known *L. crispatus* strains and not-yet-identified isolates obtained on *Lactobacillus* MRS agar were expanded by culture in liquid media consisting of *Lactobacillus* MRS broth (BD #288130) prepared according to manufacturer instructions. Isolates obtained on CBA agar or LKV agar were expanded in liquid media consisting of either Wilkins-Chalgren Anaerobe Broth (Thermo Scientific™ Oxoid™, #CM0643B; prepared according to manufacturer instructions) or of NYCIII broth (American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) medium 1685), whichever produced better growth. NYCIII broth was prepared using a slightly modified version of the standard ATCC protocol (Bloom et al., 2022). Pre-media consisted of 4 g/L HEPES (Fisher Scientific, #BP310-500), 15 g/L proteose peptone no. 3 (BD Biosciences, #BD 211693), and 5 g/L sodium chloride in 875 ml distilled water, which was pH-adjusted to 7.3 and autoclaved on liquid protocol at 121°C for 15 minutes, then cooled and stored at 4°C. One day before use, complete NYCIII broth was prepared from the autoclaved, cooled pre-media by adding dextrose (from a stock of 3 g per 45 ml; Fisher Chemical™, #D16-500) at 7.5% v/v, yeast extract solution (Gibco, #18180-059) at 2.5% v/v, and heat-inactivated horse serum (Gibco, #26050070) at 10% v/v, then sterilized by passage through a 0.22 μm vacuum filter.

To establish a genome sequence for the CTV-05 strain, a cryopreserved pure culture of CTV-05 was obtained from Osel, Inc. The strain was streaked for isolation on *Lactobacillus* MRS agar and cultured for 48 hours, then a single colony was picked into *Lactobacillus* MRS broth and incubated for 20 hours.

The broth culture was harvested by centrifugation to obtain bacterial pellets for genomic DNA extraction

and sequencing.

Bacterial isolations were performed from twelve selected trial samples (see **Figure 3C-E**, **S3A**) using a

modification of previously described methods (Bloom et al., 2022). Since samples had been collected

into Starplex™ transport medium containing antibiotics (see above), the samples were thawed on ice,

immediately diluted into pre-reduced Dulbecco's Phosphate Buffered Saline ("PBS", Millipore Sigma,

#D8537) at 1:12 v/v, centrifuged for 10 minutes at 10,000 rcf, then supernatant was removed. Pellets

were re-suspended in PBS and re-centrifuged with removal of supernatant two more times, then

resuspended in PBS, diluted in serial 10-fold dilutions, and 100 μl aliquots from each dilution were plated

evenly on MRS, LKV, and CBA agar in parallel. Plates were incubated for 7 days and multiple examples

of each distinct colony morphology from each sample were picked and subcultured onto solid media of

the same type as the source media, with an emphasis on colonies from MRS agar with characteristic *L.*

crispatus morphology (e.g., **Figure 3D**). After sub-culture for 3-7 days, colonies of the sub-cultured

bacteria were picked into MRS broth (for colonies isolated on MRS agar) or into both NYCIII broth and

Wilkens-Chalgren broth in parallel and incubated for 1-4 days, depending on growth rate. The resulting

liquid cultures were then cryopreserved, with aliquots of each culture centrifuged and pellets saved for

genomic DNA extraction and sequencing (see below).

Nucleic acid extraction for short-read sequencing

Total nucleic acids (TNA) extraction from cervicovaginal swabs for microbiota profiling was performed via

a phenol-chloroform method, which includes a previously described bead beating process to disrupt

bacteria[70] and modified for processing in 96-well plate format (Phenol:Chloroform:IAA, 25:24:1, pH 6.6,

Invitrogen, #AM9730, which has since been discontinued; Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate 20% Solution, Fisher

Scientific, #BP1311-200; EDTA, Invitrogen, #AM9260G; 2-Propanol, Sigma, #I9516-500ML; 3M Sodium

Acetate, pH 5.5, Life Technologies, #AM9740). Aliquoted samples were thawed on ice, then TNA

extraction was performed using 200uL of well-mixed Star media. The extracted TNA sample was eluted

into 80uL of TE buffer (Promega, #V6321).

To extract bacterial genomic DNA (gDNA) for genome sequencing of cultured bacterial strains, each

isolated strain was streaked on the indicated solid media and a single, clonal colony was picked into broth

culture and incubated in static culture under anaerobic conditions for between 18 and 120 hours

(depending on strain growth kinetics). Cultures were centrifuged and gDNA was extracted from the pellets

using a plate-based protocol including a bead beating process and combining phenol-chloroform isolation

(Anahtar et al., 2016) with QIAamp 96 DNA QIAcube HT kit (Qiagen, # 51331) procedures.

Bacterial 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene amplification and sequencing

Bacterial microbiota taxonomic composition in cervicovaginal samples was determined by sequencing

the V4 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene. The V4 region was amplified via polymerase chain reaction

(PCR) using the primer set 515F/806R at 200 pM each (515F primer sequence

5'-　　AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGACGTACGTACGGT<u>GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA</u>-3'　　and

barcoded　　　　　806R　　　　　primer　　　　　sequence　　　　　5'-

CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATXXXXXXXXXXXXAGTCAGTCAGCC<u>GGACTACHVGGGTWTCT</u>

<u>AAT</u>-3', in which the underlined sequences in each primer represent the regions of complementarity to

5' and 3' ends of the V4 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene, respectively, and the barcode positions

in the 806R primer are indicated by X; IDT), with the 806R primers barcoded for multiplexing (Bloom et

al., 2022; Caporaso et al., 2011). PCR was performed in 25 µl reactions containing 1X Q5 reaction buffer

(NEB, #B9027), 0.2 mM of dNTPs (NEB, #N0447), 0.2 µM of each primer, 0.5 unit of Q5 high-fidelity

DNA polymerase (NEB, #M0491), and 2 µl of the TNA sample. PCR was performed in triplicate for each

sample in the following program: 98°C for 30 seconds, followed by 30 cycles of 98°C for 10 seconds,

60°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 20 seconds, followed by a final extension at 72°C for 2 minutes. The

triplicate PCR reactions for each sample were combined and amplicon production and size were

confirmed on an agarose gel. Negative controls with PCR-quality water (Invitrogen, #10977) as a

template were amplified in parallel for each primer barcode mix and assessed in parallel by gel

electrophoresis to confirm absence of contamination and non-specific amplification. PCR products were

pooled, with the amount for each sample semi-quantitatively adjusted based on its gel band intensity,

then purified with a QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, #28104) and quality controlled with the Qubit^{TM}

4 Fluorometer (Invitrogen #Q33226), and TapeStation (Agilent Technologies, 4200 TapeStation).

Libraries were mixed with 10% PhiX and single-end sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq using a 300-cycle

v2 kit (Illumina, #MS-102-2002) employing the custom Earth Microbiome Project sequencing primers

(Read 1 sequencing primer sequence: 5'-ACGTACGTACGGTGTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3'; read 2

sequencing   primer   sequence: 5'-ACGTACGTACCCGGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3';   index

sequencing primer sequence: 5'- ATTAGAWACCCBDGTAGTCCGGCTGACTGACT-3'; IDT) (Caporaso

et al., 2011). Negative controls for TNA extractions and PCRs were included in each sequencing library.

Study samples were sequenced in a total of six libraries. Samples with read counts < 10,000 in initial

libraries were re-amplified and re-pooled into subsequent libraries and data from the run producing the

highest number of reads for each sample (if the sample was sequenced multiple times) were selected for

subsequent analysis. Analyzable 16S rRNA gene data was generated from a total of 1152 out of 1156

available trial samples, with the remaining 4 samples failing due to technical challenges with extraction,

amplification, or sequencing.

Bacterial short-read shotgun whole genome library preparation and sequencing

Shotgun metagenomic and genomic libraries were prepared following a modified protocol of Baym et. al

(Baym et al., 2015), using the Nextera DNA Library Preparation Kit (Illumina, #20034211) and KAPA HiFi

Library Amplification Kit (Kapa Biosystems, #KK2602). In brief, DNA from each sample was standardized

to a concentration of 1ng/mL after quantification with SYBR Green (Invitrogen, #S7653), followed by

simultaneous fragmentation and sequencing adaptor incorporation by mixing 1ng of DNA (1 mL) with

1.25 ml TD buffer and 0.25 mL TDE1 provided in the Nextera kit and incubating for 9min at 55°C.

Tagmented DNA fragments were amplified in PCR using the KAPA high fidelity library amplification

reagents, with Illumina adaptor sequences and sample barcodes incorporated in primers. PCR products

were pooled, purified with magnetic beads (MagBio Genomics #AC-60050) and paired-end sequenced

on Illumina NovaSeq X with a 300-cycle kit (Psomagen, Inc.).

Bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequence processing and annotation

Demultiplexing of Illumina MiSeq bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequence data was performed using QIIME

1 version 1.9.188 (Caporaso et al., 2010). Mapping files created in QIIME 1 format were validated using

validate_mapping_file.py, then sequences were demultiplexed with split_libraries_fastq.py using

parameter store_demultiplexed_fastq and no quality filtering or trimming, and demultiplexed sequences

were organized into individual fastq files using split_sequence_file_on_sample_ids.py. Sequence reads

were trimmed and filtered using dada2 version 1.6.0 (Callahan et al., 2016), trimming at positions 10 (left)

and 230 (right) using the filterAndTrim function with truncQ = 11, MaxEE = 2, and MaxN = 0. Sequences

were then inferred, then initial taxonomy assigned using the dada2 assignTaxonomy function with the

RDP training database rdp_train_set_16.fa.gz (https://www.mothur.org/wiki/RDP_reference_files).

Amplicon sequence variant (ASV) taxonomic assignments were refined via extensive manual review. The

resulting annotated sequences were analyzed in R using phyloseq version 1.30.0 (McMurdie and

Holmes, 2013) and custom R scripts. Sequence processing and taxonomy assignment was performed

blinded to information about participants' and samples' clinical and demographic characteristics,

treatments, and trial outcomes.

Total bacterial load via qPCR

Quantification of total bacterial load via qPCR was performed and reported as part of the initial LACTIN-

V clinical trial[36]. In brief, DNA extracted from samples stored in the Copan ESwab® system were amplified

using bacterial 16S rRNA gene primers targeting total bacteria (16S ribosomal DNA,

AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG, GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT, 312bp). Bacterial concentration was

calculated using a standard curve based on serial dilutions of the CTV-05 strain as previously described

(Cohen et al., 2020).

Assessing balance between arms at the pre- and post-MTZ visits.

Since pre-intervention (*i.e.,* pre-MTZ or post-MTZ) microbiota composition may be associated with

differential microbiota composition post-intervention, imbalances between arms could lead to biases in

our primary outcome benefit ratio estimates. To assess whether significant imbalances in microbiota

composition existed in this cohort, we performed a PERMANOVA analysis, as implemented in the vegan

R package, to test whether the intervention arm (LBP *vs* placebo) explained significant variability in

microbiota β-diversity, computed using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity on ASV relative abundances.

Benefit Ratios

Benefit ratios, and associated confidence intervals and *p*-value were computed using Wald's method as

implemented in the epitools R package (Aragon, 2004).

Identification of microbiota topics from 16S rRNA gene sequencing count data

Microbiota topics were identified using a modification of a previously described approach (Symul et al.,

2023). One main difference is that here, *Lactobacillus* topics (i.e., topics composed exclusively of

Lactobacillus species) were defined independently from non-*Lactobacillus* topics (*i.e.,* topics composed

exclusively of non-*Lactobacillus* species), which were identified by fitting a Latent Dirichlet Allocation

model (Blei et al., 2003) to the non-*Lactobacillus* ASV counts aggregated at the species level. This was

done to facilitate the interpretation of topic composition and, specifically, to allow for "pure" topics for the

most prevalent *Lactobacillus* species in this cohort (*L. crispatus*, *L. iners*, and *L. jensenii*).

To determine *K*, the optimal number of non-*Lactobacillus* topics, we relied on a method called "topic

alignment" (Fukuyama et al., 2023) which examines the robustness of topics across resolutions

(increasing values of *K*) and provides diagnostics scores that facilitate the identification of spurious topics.

We selected *K* to minimize the number of spurious topics (flagged by low coherence scores) and such

that the number of paths (collection of similar topics across resolution) in the alignment presented a

plateau (Fukuyama et al., 2023) (**Figure S2A-B**). The estimated proportions (relative abundances) of

non-*Lactobacillus* topics in each sample ($\hat{p}_{ki}$ where k is the topic and i is the sample) were computed by

multiplying the proportions estimated by the model on non-*Lactobacillus* counts ($\hat{\pi}_{ki}$) by the total non-

Lactobacillus proportions in each samples ($\hat{\Pi}_i = \sum_{v \in V} p_{vi}$ where p_{vi} is the observed proportion of ASV

v in sample i and V is the set of non-*Lactobacillus* ASVs, such that $\hat{p}_{ki} = \hat{\pi}_{ki} \hat{\Pi}_i$).

Lactobacillus topics were defined as follows: *Lactobacillus* species which reached 50% of a microbiota

composition in at least 10 samples made up their own topic, while the remaining *Lactobacillus* species

were grouped into a single topic ("Other *L.*") as their total prevalence and abundance was overall small

(**Figure S2C**). The composition of this topic was estimated from the species average prevalences in this

cohort. The estimation of proportions of *Lactobacillus* topics in each sample was straightforward: they

were computed from the proportions of the corresponding species in each sample.

Isolate genome assemblies from short-read sequencing

Paired-end short-read genomic sequencing data from bacterial isolates were processed through a quality

control and assembly pipeline. Raw reads were first trimmed for adapter sequences using Cutadapt v4.6

with the Nextera adapter sequence (CTGTCTCTTAT). Quality filtering was then performed using Sickle-

trim v1.33 with a quality threshold of Q20 and minimum read length of 50 bp after trimming. Read quality

was assessed using FastQC. De novo genome assembly was performed using Unicycler v0.5.0 in

standard mode with default parameters. Unicycler produces high-quality assemblies from short reads

alone by optimizing SPAdes assembly, followed by graph simplification. The resulting assemblies were

annotated using Bakta v1.9.4 with the Bakta database v5, which provides comprehensive bacterial

genome annotation including coding sequences, rRNAs, tRNAs, and other genomic features. Assembly

completeness and quality were evaluated using BUSCO v5.5 with the Bacteroidales lineage dataset

(bacteroidales_odb10), providing a measure of genome completeness based on conserved single-copy

orthologs.

Strain CTV-05 long-read DNA extraction, sequencing, and completed genome assembly

For long-read (Oxford Nanopore Technologies) genome sequencing of the CTV-05 strain, a bacterial

pellet was prepared from a clonal colony cultured in *Lactobacillus* MRS broth media as described above.

gDNA was extracted using a non-bead-beating protocol to minimize shearing of DNA fragments, with

extractions performed and sequenced using five parallel aliquots of the same original broth culture to

maximize yield and consistency. Since *L. crispatus* is known to have a thick cell wall containing surface-

layer (S-layer) proteins, cell pellets were resuspended with 5M lithium chloride (Molecular Dimensions

#MD2-100-43) and then washed with PBS to begin to degrade the cell wall. Using the manufacturer's

protocol for the MasterPureTM Gram Positive DNA Purification Kit (Biosearch Technologies

#MGP04100), the bacterial cells were then lysed, proteins and RNA were digested, and genomic DNA

(gDNA) was extracted. Extracted gDNA concentration was diluted 1:5 and measured using the Qubit™

4 Fluorometer, then DNA libraries were prepared using Oxford Nanopore Sequencing's Rapid Barcoding

Kit (Oxford Nanopore Technologies #SQK-RBK004; note that this product has been discontinued as of

March 2024). In brief, 400 ng of gDNA from five replicate extractions was barcoded, pooled, purified, and

then loaded onto the MinION Flow Cell using R9.4.1 chemistry (Oxford Nanopore Technologies #FLO-

MIN106D; note that this product has been discontinued as of July 2024) in the MinION Sequencing

Device (Oxford Nanopore Technologies #MIN-101B). To produce the maximum number of reads possible

using the Rapid Barcoding Kit, the sequencing run was continued for a full 72 hours.

Demultiplexed genomic long-reads from MinKNOW v2.2 were concatenated, and reads were separately

filtered for quality and length using Filtlong v0.2.1 (https://github.com/rrwick/Filtlong). Filtered reads were

subsampled to make 12 different read sets using Trycycler v0.5.3 (Wick et al., 2021) and duplicate reads

from each read set were removed using BBMap v39.00 (Bushnell, 2014). The read sets were assembled

using Flye v2.9.1 (Kolmogorov et al., 2019), Minipolish v0.1.3 (Wick and Holt, 2021), Raven v1.8.1 (Vaser

and Šikić, 2021), and Canu v2.2 (Koren et al., 2017). Contigs from different assembly methods were

clustered based on similarity to generate consensus sequences and improve assembly quality using

Trycycler. Clusters were manually chosen and Trycycler was used to reconcile the contigs within each

cluster. Multiple sequence alignment and read partitioning were performed on the reconciled contigs

using Trycyler to understand sequence variation within each cluster and assign each read to the cluster

it best aligned with respectively. Trycycler was then used to generate a consensus contig sequence for

each cluster based on the multiple sequence alignment and read partitioning steps. Medaka v1.7.2

(https://github.com/nanoporetech/medaka), a tool for creating consensus sequences specifically from

nanopore sequence data, was used to polish the Trycycler consensus sequences. The consensus

sequences were then combined, after which Polypolish v0.5.0 (Wick and Holt, 2022) was used to polish

the long-read sequence with Illumina short-read data generated from an aliquot of the same clonal culture

of CTV-05 (extracted and sequenced as described above). The complete CTV-05 genome was annotated

using Bakta v1.7.0 (Schwengers et al., 2021) and published online as part of this manuscript under NCBI

BioProject reference number: PRJNA1303956.

Construction of *L. crispatus* core SNP database for strain analysis

A GT-Pro database of biallelic core sites was constructed using 236 *L. crispatus* genomes using k-mers

with thresholds for SNP prevalence of 0.95 and minor allele frequencies of 0.02 with MAAST (Shi et al.,

2023, 2022; Shih et al., 2025). Then k-mers for samples were counted to generate a metagenotype, or a

matrix of the number of reads at biallelic SNP sites for a single species (Smith et al., 2022). This matrix

was then used by StrainFacts to infer suitable allele and relative abundance matrices that represent

strains across all given samples (Shih et al., 2025).

L. crispatus **strain estimation in metagenomes**

Raw metagenomic paired-end reads from vaginal swab samples were quality-trimmed and adapter-

filtered using Cutadapt (v3.9) (Martin, 2011). Human reads were depleted by aligning reads to the T2T-

CHM13 reference genome using HISAT2 (v2.2.1) (Kim et al., 2019). Processed reads were profiled using

GT-Pro (v1.0.1) against the custom SNP database (Shi et al., 2022). Resulting metagenotype profiles

were analyzed with StrainFacts (v0.6.0) to infer strain genotypes and abundances for *L. crispatus*,

excluding samples with <25% coverage of the biallelic SNPs (Smith et al., 2022). The fit was then run

through a cleanup step to remove low abundance strains and strains with extremely similar genotypes

using sfacts cleanup_fit --abundance 0.01 --dissimilarity 0.01 --discretized. Analysis was performed on

samples with ≥5% overall relative abundance of *L. crispatus* as determined by 16S rRNA gene

sequencing based on evaluations of parameters supporting accurate StrainFacts LBP strain inference

(Shih et al., 2025). *L. crispatus* strain inference was successful in 313 of 343 samples in which species

relative abundance exceeded the analysis threshold, while strain analysis was unsuccessful in the

remaining 30 samples due to failed or inadequately deep shotgun metagenomic sequencing. A 10%

strain proportional abundance was used as a threshold for confident strain identification based on prior

simulations and experimental validation (Shih et al., 2025).

Strain analysis of isolate genomes and comparison to metagenomically inferred strain genotypes

Isolate genomes were taxonomically identified using GTDB-Tk v2 (Chaumeil et al., 2022). Gene-calling

was performed for *L. crispatus* isolate genomes, including the completed CTV-05 genome (see above)

using Prodigal (Hyatt et al., 2010). Core ribosomal proteins were identified using HMMER (Eddy, 2023),

aligned using mafft (Katoh et al., 2019; Kuraku et al., 2013), then concatenated and a phylogenetic tree

was constructed using fasttree (Price et al., 2010, 2009), which was used to compute branch lengths to

identify isolates representing distinct strains and determine which isolates represented CTV-05 or

endogenous strains. GT-Pro (Shi et al., 2022) was then used to calculate genotypes from representative

isolate reads for comparison to metagenomically inferred strain genotypes, calculated based on Jaccard

similarity of the StrainFacts genotypes (Shih et al., 2025).

Measurement of vaginal mucosal cytokines and chemokines.

Cytokines and chemokines were measured using a previously described custom 20-plex High Sensitivity

Luminex Assay Kit from EMD Millipore that measures interferon gamma-induced protein (IP-10),

interleukin 8 (IL-8), interleukin 6 (IL-6), monokine induced by interferon gamma (MIG), interferon-

inducible T cell alpha chemoattractant (ITAC), interleukin 1 alpha (IL-1α), interleukin 1 beta (IL-1β),

macrophage inflammatory protein-1 alpha (MIP-1α), macrophage inflammatory protein-1 beta (MIP-1β),

macrophage inflammatory protein-3 alpha (MIP-3α), tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNFα), interleukin 21

(IL-21), interleukin 17 (IL-17), interferon gamma (IFNγ), interleukin 23 (IL-23), interleukin 12 (IL-12 p70),

interleukin 13 (IL-13), interleukin 10 (IL-10), interleukin 4 (IL-4), and interleukin 5 (IL-5) (Gosmann et al.,

2017). Reagents were used as supplied by the manufacturer, except the Mixed Beads solution, detection

antibody mixture, and Streptavidin-phycoerythrin (Strep-PE) were diluted 3-fold (1:2 volume:volume ratio)

for use. The Mixed Beads were diluted in Bead Diluent, while the detection antibodies and Strep-PE were

diluted individually in Assay Buffer. All reagents were from a single manufacturer lot to minimize batch

effects.

Samples were first pre-processed to remove interfering mucus and debris. In brief, 200 μL aliquots of

each vaginal swab supernatant were thawed on ice, vortexed for 10 seconds to resuspend, then

centrifuged at 1000 rcf for 15 minutes at 4°C to pellet mucous and cells. The maximum amount of

supernatant recoverable from each sample without disturbing the resulting pellet was then transferred to

a well of a 0.22 m PVDF filter plate (EMD Millipore #MSGVS2210), filtered by centrifugation at 2,451 rcf

for 1 hour at 4°C, then transferred to freezer-safe tubes and stored at -80°C until assayed. Samples were

assayed in 96-well plates as per manufacturer protocol with the addition of periodic sonication steps to

minimize bead clumping. Each plate assay included one blank background control, 7 standard serial

dilutions assayed in duplicate, two manufacturer-supplied Quality Control (QC) samples assayed in

duplicate, 72 experimental samples, and 4 biological control samples used as internal quality controls on

all plates. Batches of standards and QC samples were prepared from the kit on the day of the assay

according to manufacturer protocol. For each sample or standard, 25 μL each of Assay Buffer, Mixed

Beads, and sample were aliquoted into each plate well. After the addition of Mixed Beads, all incubation

steps were performed while the plate was protected from light. Plates were sonicated for 30 seconds at

room temperature in a bath sonicator, then incubated for 16-18 hours on a horizontal plate shaker at 600

rpm in 4°C. An additional 30 second sonication at room temperature was then performed. A handheld

plate magnet was applied to the base of the plate to retain the magnetic beads and excess sample and

reagents were removed via three consecutive washes using manufacturer-supplied 1X Wash Buffer.

Detection antibodies were added as per manufacturer protocol and plates were incubated for 1 hour at

room temperature on a horizontal plate shaker at 600 rpm. Next, Strep-PE reagent was added, followed

by a 30 minute incubation at room temperature on a horizontal plate shaker at 600 rpm. Plates were

washed 3 times as above using the magnet. After the final wash, 150 μL of sheath fluid was added to all

wells and a final 30 second sonication at room temperature was performed. Analyte concentrations were

measured using a FLEXMAP 3D instrument with Luminex xPONENT software.

Cytokine and chemokine concentration transformations

Cytokine and chemokine concentrations were log-transformed (log) to stabilize the mean-variance

relationship. Compounds with concentration values below the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) were

imputed at half the LLOQ; those with concentration values above the upper limit of quantification (ULOQ)

were imputed at the ULOQ (**Figure S4A**).

Measurement of soluble molecules in swab samples can be complicated by a "size effect" phenomenon

whereby a component of between-swab variation in concentrations is due to technical differences in the

amount of material collected on each swab. In such situations, the first principal component (PC1), which

reflects the "size" of observations (Jolicoeur and Mosimann, 1960), can primarily be driven by the amount

of material on the swab rather than biological variation. Consistent with this size effect phenomenon, we

observed that per-sample cytokine/chemokine concentrations were highly collinear, with PC1 of the

standardized log-transformed cytokine concentrations accounting for over 50% of the total variance

(**Figure S4B**) but showed no substantial correlation with clinical and biological factors such as proportions

of total *Lactobacillus* or of *Lactobacillus crispatus*, or participants' contraceptives (**SI**). Such size effects

can be addressed by subtracting PC1 from the data. We therefore performed PC1 subtraction by re-

assigning scores corresponding to the 1st PC to a value of 0, then transforming the data back to its

original variable space using the transposed rotation matrix (**SI**). Sensitivity analyses were performed to

assess the impact of this transformation on findings (**SI**). Finally, due to the large uncertainty regarding

their distributions, cytokines with values below the LLOQ in ≥60% of the samples or with values above

the ULOQ in ≥30% of the samples were excluded from the analysis (**Figure S4A**).

Associations between microbiota composition and cytokine profiles

To quantify the association between microbiota composition and cytokine profiles, we computed the RV

coefficient between the microbiota composition as expressed as topic proportions and cytokine

transformed $\log_{10}$-concentrations. Associated *p*-value was computed with a permutation test (Bougeard

and Dray, 2018; Dray and Dufour, 2007). To confirm that correlation between these tables was not driven

by potential subject (longitudinal) effects, we also computed correlation between the two tables at each

visit independently. If correlations were found significant, further analyses were carried out to characterize

the relationship between tables. Specifically, DISTATIS (Abdi et al., 2012, 2005), as implemented in the

distatisR R package, was used. This method presents the advantage of estimating compromise scores

(and partial residual scores) directly from dissimilarity matrices such that ecological measures of

(dis)similarity, such as the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, can be used for representing microbiota composition.

Associations with the original variables can then be assessed using correlations and displayed in

correlation circles as typically done with PCA, PCoA, or NMDS results. The minimum number of

components used for display was chosen based on the presence of an elbow in the DiSTATIS scree plot.

Estimating effect heterogeneity

Binary outcomes (Y: ≥50% colonization by *L. crispatus* at week 12 or 24 or absence of rBV by week 12

or 24) were predicted using logistic regression (logit link function) with input variables representing the

intervention arm (*A*: LBP or placebo), the baseline (pre-MTZ) microbiota (*V*: stratified by most prevalent

genus, CST, or topic proportions), and their interactions (*A:V*). In stratification analyses, strata with less

than two participants per arm were excluded from the analysis and visualizations. Since *Lactobacillus*

species other than *L. iners* had low pre-MTZ relative abundance, prior to determining fits relying on topic

proportions, *Lactobacillus*-dominated topics were agglomerated such that the proportion of total

Lactobacillus was considered, which improved fit convergence. The statistical significance of effect

heterogeneity was tested by comparing the null model (which only includes the intervention arm as

predictor) with the full model where pre-MTZ microbiota and interaction effects were included (analysis

of deviance F test). *P*-values were adjusted to account for multiple hypotheses testing using the

Benjamini-Hochberg procedure (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). For the stratified analyses, CI were

computed using Wilson scores due to the small sample size in each stratum. When pre-MTZ microbiota

composition was included as a quantitative multivariate variable, counterfactual probabilities of success

and associated 95% confidence intervals were predicted from the logistic regression fitted model by

setting the intervention arm to LBP or placebo. The predicted participant-level odd ratios were computed

as the ratio between predicted odds of *L. crispatus* colonization at week 12 and 24 or rBV by week 12 or

24 had participants been receiving LBP or the placebo.

Identifying factors associated with successful *L. crispatus* colonization in intervention arm

To identify demographic, clinical, behavioral, or microbiologic factors associated with successful *L.*

crispatus colonization (≥ 50%) in the intervention arm, we relied on a multiblock partial least square

discriminant analysis (MB-PLS-DA) (Brandolini-Bunlon et al., 2019) where the 3-category response

variable indicated whether (1) relative abundance of *L. crispatus* was ≥50%, (2) relative abundance of

total *Lactobacillus* (any species) was ≥50% but relative abundance of *L. crispatus* was <50%, or (3) the

relative abundance of total *Lactobacillus* was <50%. MB-PLS-DA relies on the same principles as PLS-

DA but allows for explanatory variables to be grouped into several thematic blocks, which enables the

computation of block importance indices and covariances with the response block (Bougeard et al., 2011;

Brandolini-Bunlon et al., 2019). The description of each block and associated variables is provided in

Table S6.

Several explanatory variables were correlated. For example, the abundances of some cytokines

correlated with microbiota composition (**Figure 4**), and the baseline α-diversity was lower in White

participants (**Figure S7C**, p-value < 0.05). We addressed these existing correlations between explanatory

blocks and/or variables in two different ways depending on our assumptions on the underlying correlation

source or cause. We either relied on nested models to evaluate the additive predictive power of specific

blocks (*e.g.,* demographics) that had variables correlated with microbiological blocks or used one variable

or one block to predict the values of another one and included the residuals instead of the observed

values in the model. This was indicated by the symbol (r) in the variable or block names (see **SI** for

details). We used this approach for the cytokine blocks whose residuals were computed using their PLS-

predicted abundances based on microbiota composition (**SI**). Similarly, we computed residual microbiota

composition, α-diversity, and pH at the previous visit such that topic proportions, α-diversity, or pH were

relative to those expected based on the participants' colonization status at the same visit.

Categorical variables such as race or birth control were included using one-hot encoding, and variables

were standardized. To ensure convergence of the fits in cross-validation or using the bootstrap, we added

Gaussian noise with very small variance ($\sigma_i^2 = 10^{-3}S_i^2$ where σ_i^2 is the variance of the Gaussian noise

for variable i, and S_i^2 the empirical variance of the ith variable) to one-hot encoded variables that had

few participants in some categories.

Given that our categorical response had three categories, we selected two latent components for the MB-

PLS-DA models to avoid overfitting. Further, we performed cross-validation analyses (40 random 75-

25% split into calibration and validation sets) and found that two latent components maximized the mean

average F1 score on the validation sets for all models except for the initial phase of the placebo in which

no variables were found to predict our response, leading to poor and unstable performances in cross-

validation (**Figure S6B** and **S8A**). This was consistent with the presence of an elbow in the screeplots

and robust to other choices of metrics such as accuracy or RMSE (**SI**). Relative cumulative block

importance indices were computed by dividing cumulative block importance, the BIPC as in (Brandolini-

Bunlon et al., 2019), by the total inertia of each block, which corresponds to the expected BIPC if all

variables had a similar importance (**SI**).

Acknowledgments

We thank members of the Vaginal Microbiome Research Consortium for helpful discussions. Funding

was obtained by D.S.K from the Gates Foundation (INV-033690). S.M.B. was partially supported by NIH

grant 1K08AI171166.

Author Contributions

D.S.K., S.P.H., S.M.B., and L.S. conceptualized the research with input from J.E., C.M.M., A.H., and

C.R.H.; D.S.K. and S.P.H. supervised the project; S.M.B., L.S., J.E., J.X., S.H., J.S., and S.P.H.

developed and validated methods and models; S.M.B., J.X., S.H., A.S., C.M.M., T.P.P., A.K., and F.A.H.

generated samples and performed experiments; L.S., S.M.B., J.E., J.S., A.H., A.K., F.A.H., and S.P.H.

performed data analysis; L.S., S.M.B., and D.S.K. led writing of the manuscript with contributions from

S.P.H., J.E., J.X., S.H., C.M.M., A.H., T.P.P., A.K., F.A.H., and C.R.H.; All authors provided critical

feedback on methods, results, analysis, and writing.

Declaration of Interests

C.M.M. has a financial interest in Ancilia Biosciences, a company developing a new class of Live

Biotherapeutics and other bacterial products. C.M.M.'s interests were reviewed and are managed by

MGH and Mass General Brigham in accordance with their conflict-of-interest policies. C.M.M. serves on

the scientific advisory board for Concerto Bio, has served as a consultant for Scynexis and Ancilia

Biosciences, and has received royalties from Up to Date. C.R.C. has served as a scientific advisor for

Osel, Inc, and Evvy and has stock options from both. The UCSF Conflict of Interest Committee approved

a plan to minimize his potential conflict of interest. T.P.P. is an employee of Osel Inc, and inventor on US

patents US20210330721A1, US20200164007A1, and US20110066137A1 related to formulation and

application of LACTIN-V.

Data and code availability

Sequencing data and genome assemblies generated as part of this study are posted under NCBI

BioProject reference number: PRJNA1303956. Analysis scripts and SI are available on our publicly

available GitHub repository (https://github.com/lasy/IIb-LACTIN-V-omics-reanalysis/).

References

Abdi, H., Dunlop, J.P., Williams, L.J., 2009. How to compute reliability estimates and display confidence
and tolerance intervals for pattern classifiers using the Bootstrap and 3-way multidimensional
scaling (DISTATIS). Neuroimage 45, 89–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.11.008

Abdi, H., O'Toole, A.J., Valentin, D., Edelman, B., 2005. DISTATIS: The Analysis of Multiple Distance
Matrices, in: 2005 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern
Recognition (CVPR'05) - Workshops. Presented at the 2005 IEEE Computer Society Conference
on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR'05) - Workshops, IEEE, San Diego, CA,
USA, pp. 42–42. https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2005.445

Abdi, H., Williams, L.J., Valentin, D., Bennani-Dosse, M., 2012. STATIS and DISTATIS: optimum
multitable principal component analysis and three way metric multidimensional scaling. WIREs
Computational Stats 4, 124–167. https://doi.org/10.1002/wics.198

Alauzet, C., Mory, F., Teyssier, C., Hallage, H., Carlier, J.P., Grollier, G., Lozniewski, A., 2010.
Metronidazole resistance in Prevotella spp. and description of a new nim gene in Prevotella
baroniae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54, 60–64. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01003-09

Aldridge, K.E., Ashcraft, D., Cambre, K., Pierson, C.L., Jenkins, S.G., Rosenblatt, J.E., 2001. Multicenter
survey of the changing in vitro antimicrobial susceptibilies of clinical isolates of Bacteroides
fragilis group, Prevotella, Fusobacterium, Porphyromonas, and Peptostreptococcus species.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother 45, 1238–1243. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.45.4.1238-
1243.2001

Allsworth, J.E., Peipert, J.F., 2007. Prevalence of bacterial vaginosis: 2001-2004 National Health and
Nutrition Examination Survey data. Obstet Gynecol 109, 114–120.
https://doi.org/10.1097/01.AOG.0000247627.84791.91

Amsel, R., Totten, P.A., Spiegel, C.A., Chen, K.C.S., Eschenbach, D., Holmes, K.K., 1983. Nonspecific
vaginitis: Diagnostic criteria and microbial and epidemiologic associations. The American Journal
of Medicine 74, 14–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9343(83)91112-9

Anahtar, M.N., Bowman, B.A., Kwon, D.S., 2016. Efficient Nucleic Acid Extraction and 16S rRNA Gene
Sequencing for Bacterial Community Characterization. J Vis Exp 53939.
https://doi.org/10.3791/53939

Anahtar, M.N., Byrne, E.H., Doherty, K.E., Bowman, B.A., Yamamoto, H.S., Soumillon, M., Padavattan,
N., Ismail, N., Moodley, A., Sabatini, M.E., Ghebremichael, M.S., Nusbaum, C., Huttenhower, C.,
Virgin, H.W., Ndung'u, T., Dong, K.L., Walker, B.D., Fichorova, R.N., Kwon, D.S., 2015.
Cervicovaginal bacteria are a major modulator of host inflammatory responses in the female
genital tract. Immunity 42, 965–976. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2015.04.019

Aragon, T.J., 2004. epitools: Epidemiology Tools. https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.epitools

Armstrong, E., Hemmerling, A., Miller, S., Burke, K.E., Newmann, S.J., Morris, S.R., Reno, H., Huibner,
S., Kulikova, M., Nagelkerke, N., Coburn, B., Cohen, C.R., Kaul, R., 2022. Sustained effect of

LACTIN-V (Lactobacillus crispatus CTV-05) on genital immunology following standard bacterial
vaginosis treatment: results from a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Microbe 3, e435–
e442. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247(22)00043-X

Atashili, J., Poole, C., Ndumbe, P.M., Adimora, A.A., Smith, J.S., 2008. Bacterial vaginosis and HIV
acquisition: a meta-analysis of published studies. AIDS 22, 1493–1501.
https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e3283021a37

Baym, M., Kryazhimskiy, S., Lieberman, T.D., Chung, H., Desai, M.M., Kishony, R., 2015. Inexpensive
multiplexed library preparation for megabase-sized genomes. PLoS One 10, e0128036.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0128036

Benjamini, Y., Hochberg, Y., 1995. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful
Approach to Multiple Testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)
57, 289–300. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x

Bilardi, J.E., Walker, S., Temple-Smith, M., McNair, R., Mooney-Somers, J., Bellhouse, C., Fairley, C.K.,
Chen, M.Y., Bradshaw, C., 2013. The burden of bacterial vaginosis: women's experience of the
physical, emotional, sexual and social impact of living with recurrent bacterial vaginosis. PLoS
One 8, e74378. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0074378

Blei, D.M., Ng, A.Y., Jordan, M.I., 2003. Latent Dirichlet Allocation. Journal of Machine Learning
Research 30.

Bloom, S.M., Mafunda, N.A., Woolston, B.M., Hayward, M.R., Frempong, J.F., Abai, A.B., Xu, J., Mitchell,
A.J., Westergaard, X., Hussain, F.A., Xulu, N., Dong, M., Dong, K.L., Gumbi, T., Ceasar, F.X.,
Rice, J.K., Choksi, N., Ismail, N., Ndung'u, T., Ghebremichael, M.S., Relman, D.A., Balskus, E.P.,
Mitchell, C.M., Kwon, D.S., 2022. Cysteine dependence of Lactobacillus iners is a potential
therapeutic target for vaginal microbiota modulation. Nat Microbiol 7, 434–450.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01070-7

Bougeard, S., Dray, S., 2018. Supervised Multiblock Analysis in *R* with the **ade4** Package. J. Stat. Soft.
86. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v086.i01

Bougeard, S., Qannari, E.M., Rose, N., 2011. Multiblock redundancy analysis: interpretation tools and
application in epidemiology. J. Chemometrics 25, 467–475. https://doi.org/10.1002/cem.1392

Bradshaw, C.S., Brotman, R.M., 2015. Making inroads into improving treatment of bacterial vaginosis -
striving for long-term cure. BMC Infect Dis 15, 292. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-015-1027-4

Bradshaw, C.S., Morton, A.N., Hocking, J., Garland, S.M., Morris, M.B., Moss, L.M., Horvath, L.B.,
Kuzevska, I., Fairley, C.K., 2006. High recurrence rates of bacterial vaginosis over the course of
12 months after oral metronidazole therapy and factors associated with recurrence. J Infect Dis
193, 1478–1486. https://doi.org/10.1086/503780

Bradshaw, C.S., Plummer, E.L., Muzny, C.A., Mitchell, C.M., Fredricks, D.N., Herbst-Kralovetz, M.M.,
Vodstrcil, L.A., 2025. Bacterial vaginosis. Nat Rev Dis Primers 11, 43.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-025-00626-1

Bradshaw, C.S., Sobel, J.D., 2016. Current Treatment of Bacterial Vaginosis—Limitations and Need for
Innovation. J Infect Dis. 214, S14–S20. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiw159

Brandolini-Bunlon, M., Pétéra, M., Gaudreau, P., Comte, B., Bougeard, S., Pujos-Guillot, E., 2019. Multi-block PLS discriminant analysis for the joint analysis of metabolomic and epidemiological data. Metabolomics 15, 134. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-019-1598-y

Brusselaers, N., Shrestha, S., van de Wijgert, J., Verstraelen, H., 2019. Vaginal dysbiosis and the risk of human papillomavirus and cervical cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Obstet Gynecol 221, 9-18.e8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2018.12.011

Brusselmans, J., De Sutter, A., Devleesschauwer, B., Verstraelen, H., Cools, P., 2023. Scoping review of the association between bacterial vaginosis and emotional, sexual and social health. BMC Womens Health 23, 168. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-023-02260-z

Bushnell, B., 2014. BBMap: A Fast, Accurate, Splice-Aware Aligner, in: Report Number: LBNL-7065E. Research Org.: Lawrence Berkeley National Lab. (LBNL), Berkeley, CA (United States).

Callahan, B.J., McMurdie, P.J., Rosen, M.J., Han, A.W., Johnson, A.J.A., Holmes, S.P., 2016. DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods 13, 581–583. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869

Caporaso, J.G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F.D., Costello, E.K., Fierer, N., Peña, A.G., Goodrich, J.K., Gordon, J.I., Huttley, G.A., Kelley, S.T., Knights, D., Koenig, J.E., Ley, R.E., Lozupone, C.A., McDonald, D., Muegge, B.D., Pirrung, M., Reeder, J., Sevinsky, J.R., Turnbaugh, P.J., Walters, W.A., Widmann, J., Yatsunenko, T., Zaneveld, J., Knight, R., 2010. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat Methods 7, 335–336. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.f.303

Caporaso, J.G., Lauber, C.L., Walters, W.A., Berg-Lyons, D., Lozupone, C.A., Turnbaugh, P.J., Fierer, N., Knight, R., 2011. Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108 Suppl 1, 4516–4522. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000080107

Chaumeil, P.-A., Mussig, A.J., Hugenholtz, P., Parks, D.H., 2022. GTDB-Tk v2: memory friendly classification with the genome taxonomy database. Bioinformatics 38, 5315–5316. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btac672

Chetty, C., Mafunda, N.A., Happel, A.-U., Khan, A., Demidkina, B.C., Yende-Zuma, N., Saidi, Y., Polliah, A.M., Lewis, L., Osman, F., Radebe, P., Passmore, J.-A.S., Kwon, D.S., Ravel, J., Ngcapu, S., Liebenberg, L., Symul, L., Holmes, S., Mitchell, C.M., Potloane, D., 2025. Randomized Trial of Multi-Strain Lactobacillus crispatus Vaginal Live Biotherapeutic Products after Antibiotic Therapy for Bacterial Vaginosis: Study Protocol for VIBRANT (Vaginal Live Biotherapeutic RANdomized Trial). https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5261044

Cohen, C.R., Wierzbicki, M.R., French, A.L., Morris, S., Newmann, S., Reno, H., Green, L., Miller, S., Powell, J., Parks, T., Hemmerling, A., 2020. Randomized Trial of Lactin-V to Prevent Recurrence of Bacterial Vaginosis. N Engl J Med 382, 1906–1915. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1915254

Colbert, L.E., El Alam, M.B., Wang, R., Karpinets, T., Lo, D., Lynn, E.J., Harris, T.A., Elnaggar, J.H., Yoshida-Court, K., Tomasic, K., Bronk, J.K., Sammouri, J., Yanamandra, A.V., Olvera, A.V., Carlin, L.G., Sims, T., Delgado Medrano, A.Y., Napravnik, T.C., O'Hara, M., Lin, D., Abana, C.O., Li, H.X., Eifel, P.J., Jhingran, A., Joyner, M., Lin, L., Ramondetta, L.M., Futreal, A.M., Schmeler, K.M., Mathew, G., Dorta-Estremera, S., Zhang, J., Wu, X., Ajami, N.J., Wong, M., Taniguchi, C.,

Petrosino, J.F., Sastry, K.J., Okhuysen, P.C., Martinez, S.A., Tan, L., Mahmud, I., Lorenzi, P.L., Wargo, J.A., Klopp, A.H., 2023. Tumor-resident Lactobacillus iners confer chemoradiation resistance through lactate-induced metabolic rewiring. Cancer Cell 41, 1945-1962.e11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2023.09.012

DiGiulio, D.B., Callahan, B.J., McMurdie, P.J., Costello, E.K., Lyell, D.J., Robaczewska, A., Sun, C.L., Goltsman, D.S.A., Wong, R.J., Shaw, G., Stevenson, D.K., Holmes, S.P., Relman, D.A., 2015. Temporal and spatial variation of the human microbiota during pregnancy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 112, 11060–11065. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1502875112

Dray, S., Dufour, A.-B., 2007. The **ade4** Package: Implementing the Duality Diagram for Ecologists. J. Stat. Soft. 22. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v022.i04

Eddy, S.R., 2023. HMMER.

Ferris, M.J., Norori, J., Zozaya-Hinchliffe, M., Martin, D.H., 2007. Cultivation-independent analysis of changes in bacterial vaginosis flora following metronidazole treatment. J Clin Microbiol 45, 1016–1018. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02085-06

France, M.T., Ma, B., Gajer, P., Brown, S., Humphrys, M.S., Holm, J.B., Waetjen, L.E., Brotman, R.M., Ravel, J., 2020. VALENCIA: a nearest centroid classification method for vaginal microbial communities based on composition. Microbiome 8, 166. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-020-00934-6

Fredricks, D.N., Fiedler, T.L., Marrazzo, J.M., 2005. Molecular Identification of Bacteria Associated with Bacterial Vaginosis. N Engl J Med 353, 1899–1911. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa043802

Fukuyama, J., Sankaran, K., Symul, L., 2023. Multiscale analysis of count data through topic alignment. Biostatistics 24, 1045–1065. https://doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/kxac018

Gosmann, C., Anahtar, M.N., Handley, S.A., Farcasanu, M., Abu-Ali, G., Bowman, B.A., Padavattan, N., Desai, C., Droit, L., Moodley, A., Dong, M., Chen, Y., Ismail, N., Ndung'u, T., Ghebremichael, M.S., Wesemann, D.R., Mitchell, C., Dong, K.L., Huttenhower, C., Walker, B.D., Virgin, H.W., Kwon, D.S., 2017. Lactobacillus-Deficient Cervicovaginal Bacterial Communities Are Associated with Increased HIV Acquisition in Young South African Women. Immunity 46, 29–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2016.12.013

Hemmerling, A., Harrison, W., Schroeder, A., Park, J., Korn, A., Shiboski, S., Cohen, C.R., 2009. Phase 1 dose-ranging safety trial of Lactobacillus crispatus CTV-05 for the prevention of bacterial vaginosis. Sex Transm Dis 36, 564–569. https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ.0b013e3181a74924

Hemmerling, A., Wierzbicki, M.R., Armstrong, E., Cohen, C.R., 2024. Response to Antibiotic Treatment of Bacterial Vaginosis Predicts the Effectiveness of LACTIN-V (Lactobacillus crispatus CTV-05) in the Prevention of Recurrent Disease. Sex Transm Dis 51, 437–440. https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ.0000000000001962

Hillier, S.L., Martius, J., Krohn, M., Kiviat, N., Holmes, K.K., Eschenbach, D.A., 1988. A case-control study of chorioamnionic infection and histologic chorioamnionitis in prematurity. N Engl J Med 319, 972–978. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM198810133191503

Holm, J.B., France, M.T., Ma, B., McComb, E., Robinson, C.K., Mehta, A., Tallon, L.J., Brotman, R.M., Ravel, J., 2020. Comparative Metagenome-Assembled Genome Analysis of "Candidatus Lachnocurva vaginae", Formerly Known as Bacterial Vaginosis-Associated Bacterium-1 (BVAB1). Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10, 117. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.00117

Hyatt, D., Chen, G.-L., LoCascio, P.F., Land, M.L., Larimer, F.W., Hauser, L.J., 2010. Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. BMC Bioinformatics 11, 119. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-119

Jewanraj, J., Ngcapu, S., Osman, F., Mtshali, A., Singh, R., Mansoor, L.E., Abdool Karim, S.S., Abdool Karim, Q., Passmore, J.-A.S., Liebenberg, L.J.P., 2020. The Impact of Semen Exposure on the Immune and Microbial Environments of the Female Genital Tract. Front. Reprod. Health 2, 566559. https://doi.org/10.3389/frph.2020.566559

Joag, V., Obila, O., Gajer, P., Scott, M.C., Dizzell, S., Humphrys, M., Shahabi, K., Huibner, S., Shannon, B., Tharao, W., Mureithi, M., Oyugi, J., Kimani, J., Kaushic, C., Ravel, J., Anzala, O., Kaul, R., 2019. Impact of Standard Bacterial Vaginosis Treatment on the Genital Microbiota, Immune Milieu, and Ex Vivo Human Immunodeficiency Virus Susceptibility. Clinical Infectious Diseases 68, 1675–1683. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciy762

Jolicoeur, P., Mosimann, J.E., 1960. Size and Shape Variation in The Painted Turtle. A Principal Component Analysis. Growth.

Josse, J., Holmes, S., 2016. Measuring multivariate association and beyond. Statist. Surv. 10. https://doi.org/10.1214/16-SS116

Katoh, K., Rozewicki, J., Yamada, K.D., 2019. MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. Briefings in Bioinformatics 20, 1160–1166. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbx108

Kenyon, C., Colebunders, R., Crucitti, T., 2013. The global epidemiology of bacterial vaginosis: a systematic review. Am J Obstet Gynecol 209, 505–523. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2013.05.006

Kim, D., Paggi, J.M., Park, C., Bennett, C., Salzberg, S.L., 2019. Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. Nat Biotechnol 37, 907–915. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0201-4

Kindinger, L.M., Bennett, P.R., Lee, Y.S., Marchesi, J.R., Smith, A., Cacciatore, S., Holmes, E., Nicholson, J.K., Teoh, T.G., MacIntyre, D.A., 2017. The interaction between vaginal microbiota, cervical length, and vaginal progesterone treatment for preterm birth risk. Microbiome 5, 6. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-016-0223-9

Kolmogorov, M., Yuan, J., Lin, Y., Pevzner, P.A., 2019. Assembly of long, error-prone reads using repeat graphs. Nat Biotechnol 37, 540–546. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0072-8

Koren, S., Walenz, B.P., Berlin, K., Miller, J.R., Bergman, N.H., Phillippy, A.M., 2017. Canu: scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer weighting and repeat separation. Genome Res. 27, 722–736. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.215087.116

Kuraku, S., Zmasek, C.M., Nishimura, O., Katoh, K., 2013. aLeaves facilitates on-demand exploration of
metazoan gene family trees on MAFFT sequence alignment server with enhanced interactivity.
Nucleic Acids Research 41, W22–W28. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt389

Lebeer, S., Ahannach, S., Gehrmann, T., Wittouck, S., Eilers, T., Oerlemans, E., Condori, S., Dillen, J.,
Spacova, I., Vander Donck, L., Masquillier, C., Allonsius, C.N., Bron, P.A., Van Beeck, W., De
Backer, C., Donders, G., Verhoeven, V., 2023. A citizen-science-enabled catalogue of the vaginal
microbiome and associated factors. Nat Microbiol 8, 2183–2195. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-
023-01500-0

Leitich, H., Kiss, H., 2007. Asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis and intermediate flora as risk factors for
adverse pregnancy outcome. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 21, 375–390.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2006.12.005

Lev-Sagie, A., Goldman-Wohl, D., Cohen, Y., Dori-Bachash, M., Leshem, A., Mor, U., Strahilevitz, J.,
Moses, A.E., Shapiro, H., Yagel, S., Elinav, E., 2019. Vaginal microbiome transplantation in
women with intractable bacterial vaginosis. Nat Med 25, 1500–1504.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-019-0600-6

Marconi, C., Duarte, M.T.C., Silva, D.C., Silva, M.G., 2015. Prevalence of and risk factors for bacterial
vaginosis among women of reproductive age attending cervical screening in southeastern Brazil.
Int J Gynaecol Obstet 131, 137–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2015.05.016

Martin, M., 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet
j. 17, 10. https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200

Masson, L., Barnabas, S., Deese, J., Lennard, K., Dabee, S., Gamieldien, H., Jaumdally, S.Z.,
Williamson, A.-L., Little, F., Van Damme, L., Ahmed, K., Crucitti, T., Abdellati, S., Bekker, L.-G.,
Gray, G., Dietrich, J., Jaspan, H., Passmore, J.-A.S., 2019. Inflammatory cytokine biomarkers of
asymptomatic sexually transmitted infections and vaginal dysbiosis: a multicentre validation
study. Sex Transm Infect 95, 5–12. https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2017-053506

Masson, L., Mlisana, K., Little, F., Werner, L., Mkhize, N.N., Ronacher, K., Gamieldien, H., Williamson,
C., Mckinnon, L.R., Walzl, G., Abdool Karim, Q., Abdool Karim, S.S., Passmore, J.-A.S., 2014.
Defining genital tract cytokine signatures of sexually transmitted infections and bacterial vaginosis
in women at high risk of HIV infection: a cross-sectional study. Sex Transm Infect 90, 580–587.
https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2014-051601

McMurdie, P.J., Holmes, S., 2013. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and
graphics of microbiome census data. PLoS One 8, e61217.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217

Mitchell, C., Manhart, L.E., Thomas, K., Fiedler, T., Fredricks, D.N., Marrazzo, J., 2012. Behavioral
predictors of colonization with Lactobacillus crispatus or Lactobacillus jensenii after treatment for
bacterial vaginosis: a cohort study. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol 2012, 706540.
https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/706540

Munoz, A., Hayward, M.R., Bloom, S.M., Rocafort, M., Ngcapu, S., Mafunda, N.A., Xu, J., Xulu, N., Dong,
M., Dong, K.L., Ismail, N., Ndung'u, T., Ghebremichael, M.S., Kwon, D.S., 2021. Modeling the
temporal dynamics of cervicovaginal microbiota identifies targets that may promote reproductive
health. Microbiome 9, 163. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-021-01096-9

Nilsen, T., Swedek, I., Lagenaur, L.A., Parks, T.P., 2020. Novel Selective Inhibition of Lactobacillus iners
by Lactobacillus-Derived Bacteriocins. Appl Environ Microbiol 86, e01594-20.
https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01594-20

Norenhag, J., Du, J., Olovsson, M., Verstraelen, H., Engstrand, L., Brusselaers, N., 2020. The vaginal
microbiota, human papillomavirus and cervical dysplasia: a systematic review and network meta-
analysis. BJOG 127, 171–180. https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.15854

Nugent, R.P., Krohn, M.A., Hillier, S.L., 1991. Reliability of diagnosing bacterial vaginosis is improved by
a standardized method of gram stain interpretation. J Clin Microbiol 29, 297–301.
https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.29.2.297-301.1991

Peebles, K., Velloza, J., Balkus, J.E., McClelland, R.S., Barnabas, R.V., 2019. High Global Burden and
Costs of Bacterial Vaginosis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Sexual Trans Dis 46, 304–
311. https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ.0000000000000972

Price, M.N., Dehal, P.S., Arkin, A.P., 2010. FastTree 2 – Approximately Maximum-Likelihood Trees for
Large Alignments. PLoS ONE 5, e9490. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009490

Price, M.N., Dehal, P.S., Arkin, A.P., 2009. FastTree: Computing Large Minimum Evolution Trees with
Profiles instead of a Distance Matrix. Molecular Biology and Evolution 26, 1641–1650.
https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msp077

Ravel, J., Brotman, R.M., Gajer, P., Ma, B., Nandy, M., Fadrosh, D.W., Sakamoto, J., Koenig, S.S., Fu,
L., Zhou, X., Hickey, R.J., Schwebke, J.R., Forney, L.J., 2013. Daily temporal dynamics of vaginal
microbiota before, during and after episodes of bacterial vaginosis. Microbiome 1, 29.
https://doi.org/10.1186/2049-2618-1-29

Ravel, J., Gajer, P., Abdo, Z., Schneider, G.M., Koenig, S.S.K., McCulle, S.L., Karlebach, S., Gorle, R.,
Russell, J., Tacket, C.O., Brotman, R.M., Davis, C.C., Ault, K., Peralta, L., Forney, L.J., 2011.
Vaginal microbiome of reproductive-age women. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences 108, 4680–4687. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1002611107

Ravel, J., Simmons, S., Jaswa, E.G., Gottfried, S., Greene, M., Kellogg-Spadt, S., Gevers, D., Harper,
D.M., 2025. Impact of a multi-strain L. crispatus-based vaginal synbiotic on the vaginal
microbiome: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes 11, 158.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-025-00788-6

Robert, P., Escoufier, Y., 1976. A Unifying Tool for Linear Multivariate Statistical Methods: The RV-
Coefficient. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series C (Applied Statistics) 25, 257–265.
https://doi.org/10.2307/2347233

Sankaran, K., Holmes, S.P., 2019. Latent variable modeling for the microbiome. Biostatistics 20, 599–
614. https://doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/kxy018

Schroder, K.E.E., Carey, M.P., Vanable, P.A., 2003a. Methodological challenges in research on sexual
risk behavior: I. Item content, scaling, and data analytical options. Annals of Behavioral Medicine
26, 76–103. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15324796ABM2602_02

Schroder, K.E.E., Carey, M.P., Vanable, P.A., 2003b. Methodological challenges in research on sexual
risk behavior: II. Accuracy of self-reports. Annals of Behavioral Medicine 26, 104–123.
https://doi.org/10.1207/S15324796ABM2602_03

Schwebke, J.R., Lensing, S.Y., Lee, J., Muzny, C.A., Pontius, A., Woznicki, N., Aguin, T., Sobel, J.D.,
2021. Treatment of Male Sexual Partners of Women With Bacterial Vaginosis: A Randomized,
Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. Clin Infect Dis 73, e672–e679.
https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1903

Schwengers, O., Jelonek, L., Dieckmann, M.A., Beyvers, S., Blom, J., Goesmann, A., 2021. Bakta: rapid
and standardized annotation of bacterial genomes via alignment-free sequence identification:
Find out more about Bakta, the motivation, challenges and applications, here. Microbial Genomics
7. https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000685

Shi, Z.J., Dimitrov, B., Zhao, C., Nayfach, S., Pollard, K.S., 2022. Fast and accurate metagenotyping of
the human gut microbiome with GT-Pro. Nat Biotechnol 40, 507–516.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-021-01102-3

Shi, Z.J., Nayfach, S., Pollard, K.S., 2023. Maast: genotyping thousands of microbial strains efficiently.
Genome Biol 24, 186. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-023-03030-8

Shih, J., Bloom, S.M., Xu, J., Mitchell, C.M., Elsherbini, J., Kwon, D.S., 2025. StrainFacts accurately
quantifies both endogenous and live biotherapeutic product strain abundances in simulated and
clinical vaginal microbiota samples. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.08.15.670563

Smith, B.J., Li, X., Shi, Z.J., Abate, A., Pollard, K.S., 2022. Scalable Microbial Strain Inference in
Metagenomic Data Using StrainFacts. Frontiers in Bioinformatics 2.

Srinivasan, S., Liu, C., Mitchell, C.M., Fiedler, T.L., Thomas, K.K., Agnew, K.J., Marrazzo, J.M., Fredricks,
D.N., 2010. Temporal variability of human vaginal bacteria and relationship with bacterial
vaginosis. PLoS One 5, e10197. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010197

Symul, L., Jeganathan, P., Costello, E.K., France, M., Bloom, S.M., Kwon, D.S., Ravel, J., Relman, D.A.,
Holmes, S., 2023. Sub-communities of the vaginal microbiota in pregnant and non-pregnant
women. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 290, 20231461.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2023.1461

Tamarelle, J., Shardell, M.D., Ravel, J., Brotman, R.M., 2022. Factors Associated With Incidence and
Spontaneous Clearance of Molecular-Bacterial Vaginosis: Results From a Longitudinal Frequent-
Sampling Observational Study. Sex Transm Dis 49, 649–656.
https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ.0000000000001662

van Houdt, R., Ma, B., Bruisten, S.M., Speksnijder, A.G.C.L., Ravel, J., de Vries, H.J.C., 2018.
Lactobacillus iners-dominated vaginal microbiota is associated with increased susceptibility to
Chlamydia trachomatis infection in Dutch women: a case-control study. Sex Transm Infect 94,
117–123. https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2017-053133

Vaser, R., Šikić, M., 2021. Time- and memory-efficient genome assembly with Raven. Nat Comput Sci
1, 332–336. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43588-021-00073-4

Verwijs, M.C., Agaba, S.K., Darby, A.C., Van De Wijgert, J.H.H.M., 2020. Impact of oral metronidazole
treatment on the vaginal microbiota and correlates of treatment failure. American Journal of
Obstetrics and Gynecology 222, 157.e1-157.e13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2019.08.008

Vodstrcil, L.A., Plummer, E.L., Fairley, C.K., Hocking, J.S., Law, M.G., Petoumenos, K., Bateson, D.,
Murray, G.L., Donovan, B., Chow, E.P.F., Chen, M.Y., Kaldor, J., Bradshaw, C.S., 2025. Male-
Partner Treatment to Prevent Recurrence of Bacterial Vaginosis. N Engl J Med 392, 947–957.
https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2405404

Wick, R.R., Holt, K.E., 2022. Polypolish: Short-read polishing of long-read bacterial genome assemblies.
PLoS Comput Biol 18, e1009802. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1009802

Wick, R.R., Holt, K.E., 2021. Benchmarking of long-read assemblers for prokaryote whole genome
sequencing. F1000Res 8, 2138. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.21782.4

Wick, R.R., Judd, L.M., Cerdeira, L.T., Hawkey, J., Méric, G., Vezina, B., Wyres, K.L., Holt, K.E., 2021.
Trycycler: consensus long-read assemblies for bacterial genomes. Genome Biol 22, 266.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-021-02483-z

Workowski, K.A., Bachmann, L.H., Chan, P.A., Johnston, C.M., Muzny, C.A., Park, I., Reno, H.,
Zenilman, J.M., Bolan, G.A., 2021. Sexually Transmitted Infections Treatment Guidelines, 2021.
MMWR Recomm Rep 70, 1–187. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.rr7004a1

Yockey, L.J., Hussain, F.A., Bergerat, A., Reissis, A., Worrall, D., Xu, J., Gomez, I., Bloom, S.M.,
Mafunda, N.A., Kelly, J., Kwon, D.S., Mitchell, C.M., 2022. Screening and characterization of
vaginal fluid donations for vaginal microbiota transplantation. Sci Rep 12, 17948.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-22873-y

Zenilman, J.M., Weisman, C.S., Rompalo, A.M., Ellish, N., Upchurch, D.M., Hook, E.W.I., Celentano, D.,
1995. Condom Use to Prevent Incident STDs: The Validity of Self-Reported Condom Use.
Sexually Transmitted Diseases 22, 15.

Zhu, M., Frank, M.W., Radka, C.D., Jeanfavre, S., Xu, J., Tse, M.W., Pacheco, J.A., Kim, J.S., Pierce,
K., Deik, A., Hussain, F.A., Elsherbini, J., Hussain, S., Xulu, N., Khan, N., Pillay, V., Mitchell, C.M.,
Dong, K.L., Ndung'u, T., Clish, C.B., Rock, C.O., Blainey, P.C., Bloom, S.M., Kwon, D.S., 2024.
Vaginal Lactobacillus fatty acid response mechanisms reveal a metabolite-targeted strategy for
bacterial vaginosis treatment. Cell 187, 5413-5430.e29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2024.07.029
1302

1303

1304 **Main Tables and Figures**

1305 **Table 1: Benefit ratios for LBP microbiota effects**

Taxon	Week	Microbiota Endpoint	LACTIN-V	Placebo	Benefit Ratio (95% CI)	P Value
L. crispatus	Week 12	≥ 50% *L.c.*	37 (30%)	5 (9%)	3.37 (1.40 - 8.11)	< 0.005
		< 50% *L.c.*	86 (70%)	51 (91%)		
	Week 24	≥ 50% *L.c.*	39 (35%)	4 (8%)	4.49 (1.69 - 11.90)	
		< 50% *L.c.*	74 (65%)	48 (92%)		
Lactobacillus	Week 12	≥ 50% *Lacto*	69 (56%)	27 (48%)	1.16 (0.85 - 1.59)	
		< 50% *Lacto*	54 (44%)	29 (52%)		
	Week 24	≥ 50% *Lacto*	65 (58%)	17 (33%)	1.76 (1.15 - 2.68)	
		< 50% *Lacto*	48 (42%)	35 (67%)		

1306 Benefit Ratios and associated 95% confidence intervals were computed using Wald's method.

1307 **Figure 1: LACTIN-V trial design and microbiota endpoints**

1308

A. Schematic of LACTIN-V trial study design and sampling visit timing.

B. Participants were grouped into three microbiota categories: *L. crispatus*-dominant colonization (≥

50% *L. crispatus* relative abundance), *Lactobacillus* (non-*crispatus*)-dominant colonization (≥

50% *Lactobacillus,* < 50% *L. crispatus*), or non-*Lactobacillus*-dominant (< 50% *Lactobacillus*)

based on results of bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequencing. The Sankey diagram displays how

participants transitioned between these three categories throughout the trial.

C. Microbiota composition and BV diagnosis at week 12 for each participant in the LBP and placebo

treatment arms. Relative abundances of the 15 most abundant taxa are shown, with remaining

taxa summed as "Other". Participants are grouped by treatment arm into the categories defined

as in **Figure 1B,** with BV diagnosis indicated along the top margin.

D. Total bacterial load (measured by qPCR) at each scheduled visit in each arm. Boxplots (here and

in subsequent figures) represent the median (middle horizontal line), the 25th and 75th percentiles

(lower and upper boundaries of boxes, respectively, "IQR"), measurements that fall within 1.5

times the IQR (whiskers), and any individual measurement outside that range (dots).

Figure 2: Microbiota trajectories throughout the trial

1324

A. Proportion of each taxon (y-axis) in each non-*Lactobacillus* topic (x-axis) as estimated by Latent

Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) from 16S rRNA gene sequencing data. Proportions sum to one for each

topic. Taxa were included if they made up at least 1% of any topic and non-*Lactobacillus* topics

are named according to their most predominant taxon. "*G. s/l*": *Gardnerella swidsinskii-leopoldii*.

"*P. amnii*": *Prevotella amnii*. "*Ca.* L. v. (BVAB1)": *Candidatus* Lachnocurva vaginae (BVAB1). "*P.*

bivia": *Prevotella bivia*.

B. Microbiota composition expressed as topic relative abundance (x-axis) for each participant at

each scheduled visit. Results are depicted for participants with microbiota compositional data for

at least three of the six visits. Results are grouped by visit (horizontal panels) and treatment arm

(vertical panels), and the y-axis is ordered by microbiota composition trajectories (see **SI**).

C. Relative abundance of each topic (horizontal panels) in each arm (vertical panels) at each visit.

Samples from the same participant are connected by a line.

D. Bray-Curtis dissimilarity between each participant's microbiota composition at the two indicated

consecutive visits, calculated from 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequence variant (ASV) relative

abundances. For each pair of consecutive visits, values are shown only for participants with

available microbiota data from both visits.

Figure 3: *L. crispatus* strain dynamics among LBP recipients

A. Sankey diagram showing dynamics of CTV-05 versus non-CTV-05 *L. crispatus* strain colonization among LBP recipients. Strains were inferred from shotgun metagenomic whole genome sequencing (mWGS) using StrainFacts for all samples with ≥5% total *L. crispatus* relative abundance as determined from 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Samples were categorized based on proportional *L. crispatus* strain abundance as >90% CTV-05 ("High CTV-05"), 10-90% CTV-05 ("Mixed"), <10% CTV-05 ("Low CTV-05"). Strain inference was unsuccessful for a small fraction of samples (depicted in green) due to technical failures of metagenomic sequencing.

B. Histograms showing the number (percentage) of study participants in each treatment arm with the indicated number of inferred native (non-CTV-05) *L. crispatus* strains detected at least once during the trial.

C. Metagenomically inferred *L. crispatus* strain composition throughout the trial in an example participant from whom targeted isolations were performed at weeks 8, 12, and 24. The plot depicts overall microbiota relative abundance, with non-*L. crispatus* species summed (gray) and inferred *L. crispatus* strains shown individually in red (CTV-05 strain) and blue (a native strain: "Strain 14").

D. Example *Lactobacillus* MRS agar plate from bacterial isolations showing characteristic *L. crispatus* colony morphology.

E. Genomes of *L. crispatus* isolated from the week 8, 12, and 24 visits of the participant in **Figure 3C** identified two strains nearly identical to the metagenomically inferred strains in these samples (assessed by Jaccard similarity to StrainFacts-inferred genotypes) and dissimilar from all other inferred strains in the cohort, none of which were detected in this participant's samples. The isolated strains included the CTV-05 strain isolated from week 8 and 12 samples (red), and native Strain 14 isolated from week 12 and 24 samples (blue; see also **Figure S3A**).

F. Transition plot for LBP recipients showing total *L. crispatus* relative abundance (determined by 16S rRNA gene sequencing, top and bottom rows) and strain category proportions (third and

fourth rows) at each pair of consecutively scheduled post-randomization visits with available strain

inference data or at which strain inference was not performed due to <5% total *L. crispatus* relative

abundance. Strain categories in the third and fourth rows show proportions of observed *L.*

crispatus representing CTV-05 versus the sum of the native strain(s) at each visit; "undetermined"

refers to samples in which strain inference was not performed. Pairs including a visit in which

strain inference was unsuccessful due to technical failures of metagenomic sequencing are not

shown. For each pair of visits, the top three panels show *L. crispatus* relative abundance, visit

week, and strain category at the first visit in the pair ("Visit t") and the bottom two panels show

strain category and relative abundance at the subsequent visit ("Visit t+1"). Visit pairs are grouped

along the horizontal axis by strain category at Visit t and ordered within groups by CTV-05 strain

proportion at Visit t+1.

G. Pie charts for each Visit t strain category from **Figure 3F**, summarizing subsequent *L. crispatus*

strain category frequencies at Visit t+1.

Figure 4: Treatment effects on mucosal inflammation and relationship to microbiota composition.

A. Differences in log10-transformed, adjusted IL-1β concentrations between participants' pre-MTZ visit and their ensuing post-MTZ, week 12, and week 24 visits (horizontal panels) in both treatment arms. Each dot represents a single visit per participant.

B. Same as **Figure 4A**. for IP-10 adjusted concentrations.

C. Same as **Figure 4A**. and **4B** for IL-6 adjusted concentrations. Similar displays are available for all cytokine adjusted concentrations and non-adjusted concentrations in the **SI**.

D. DiSTATIS screeplot: eigenvalues (a.u.) of DiSTATIS compromise for the first 10 latent components.

1390   E.   DiSTATIS correlation circle showing the correlations between the 1st (x-axis) and 2nd (y-axis)

1391   DiSTATIS compromise latent dimension and the microbiota topic proportions (red) or cytokine

1392   transformed concentrations (blue).

1393   F.   Same as **Figure 4E** for DiSTATIS 3rd and 4th latent components.

Figure 5: Heterogeneity in intervention effects with respect to pre-MTZ microbiota

1395

A. Participant-level observed outcomes for attainment of *L. crispatus*-dominance at weeks 12 and

24 (dark orange: ≥50% *L. crispatus*; light orange <50% *L. crispatus*) and BV recurrence by weeks

12 and 24 (dark blue: rBV; light blue: no rBV) for each participant with week 12 and/or week 24

data. White indicated data not available. Participants are grouped according to treatment arm

and, within treatment arm, by the most predominant bacterial genus at their pre-MTZ visit ("L."

indicates *Lactobacillus*; "BVAB1" indicates *Ca.* Lachnocurva vaginae). Predominant-genus

groupings lacking at least two participants in each treatment arm were excluded.

B. Pre-MTZ microbiota composition for each participant in **Figure 5A** (x-axis ordered and vertically

aligned as in **5A**), expressed as topic proportions (see **Figure 2A** for topic definitions).

C. Rates and associated 95% CI of *L. crispatus*-dominance (≥50% *L. crispatus*) at week 12 or 24

(horizontal panels) by pre-MTZ microbiota group in each arm. Participants are grouped based on

their most predominant genus as defined in **Figure 5A-B**. CI are computed using Wilson's scores

due to low sample sizes in some groups.

D. Rate differences (rate in LBP arm - rate in placebo arm) and associated 95% CI (Wilson's score

method) between arms for results in **Figure 5C**. Color scale shows degree of benefit (defined as

the difference in rates between the two arms) in achieving *L. crispatus*-dominance, with blue

indicating benefit with LBP treatment and red indicating benefit with placebo. *P*-values adjusted

for multiple testing (across panel **5D** and **5F**) using the Benjamini-Hochberg correction of the

analysis of deviance test for heterogeneity in treatment effects when stratifying participants

according to their most predominant genus at the pre-MTZ visit are shown in upper right corner.

E. Same as (**Figure 5C**), but for BV recurrence by week 12 or 24.

F. Same as (**Figure 5D**), but for BV recurrence by week 12 or 24. Color scale shows degree of

benefit in reducing rBV, with blue indicating benefit with LBP treatment and red indicating benefit

with placebo.

Figure 6: Multiblock analysis of factors associated with microbiota categories in LACTIN-V

recipients.

A. Multiblock partial least squared discriminant analysis (MB-PLS-DA, **Figure S6A**) of factors

predictive of microbiota categories (≥50% *L. crispatus*; ≥50% *Lactobacillus* and <50% *L.*

crispatus; or <50% *Lactobacillus*) at the indicated visits. Relative cumulative importance indices

(x-axis, Methods) are shown for each thematic block of explanatory variables (y-axis, color) for

the initial phase model (post-MTZ to Week 4; left panel), continuation phase model (Week 4 to 8

to 12; middle panel), and follow-up phase model (Week 12 to 24; right panel). Dots indicate point

estimates and translucent horizontal bars represent bootstrapped 95% CI. Vertical lines at x = 1

show the expected value under the (null) hypothesis that all variables have the same importance.

Block definitions along with the description of the variables included in each block are provided in

Table S6. Horizontal dashed lines separate blocks included in the nested models (**Figure S6A**,

SI, Methods).

B. Bi-plot for the MB-PLS-DA initial phase model (from post-MTZ to week 4). Dots represent scores

(each dot is a participant) while arrows represent loadings (each arrow is an explanatory variable,

see **Figure S7A**) for the most important variables (Methods) in the space of the 2 first latent

variables. Dots (participants) are colored by their corresponding response categories (*i.e.,* their

colonization status at week 4). Perfect separation of the categories would indicate perfect

prediction of colonization status at the week 4 visit. Arrows (explanatory variables) are colored by

the block to which they belong to - **Figure 6A** and **Table S6** serve as color legend.

C. Scree-plot of the first 15 eigenvalues of the model from **Figure 6B**. The first two latent variables

(selected by cross-validation) are shown in dark gray.

D. Transformed $\log_2$-concentrations (y-axis) of two cytokines (MIG and IL-1b) whose pre-MTZ levels

(left panel) were identified as associated with participants' colonization status at week 4 (x-axis,

colors) by the model (**Figure 6A** and **S7A**). Concentrations at the post-MTZ and week 4 visits are

shown in the middle and right panels. Each dot is a participant, colored by the colonization status

at week 4 for each panel.

Supplementary Tables and Figures

Table S1

	At least one visit	Pre-MTZ	Post-MTZ	Week 4	Week 8	Week 12	Week 24	All planned in-person visits
Total	211	208	203	181	172	179	165	139
LACTIN-V	142	140	138	124	120	123	113	99
Placebo	69	68	65	57	52	56	52	40

1450 Number of participants in each study arm (rows) with available 16S rRNA gene sequencing data at any,

1451 each, or all planned in-person visits (unscheduled clinic visits not shown).

1452   **Table S2**

	% (n) [both arm]	% (n) [LACTIN-V]	% (n) [Placebo]
≥ 50% *L. iners*	52 % (106)	52 % (72)	52 % (34)
≥ 50% *L. jensenii*	8 % (16)	9 % (13)	5 % (3)
≥ 50% *L. crispatus*	1 % (2)	1 % (1)	2 % (1)
≥ 50% *Lactobacillus* (no individual species ≥ 50%)	13 % (27)	14 % (20)	11 % (7)
< 50% *Lactobacillus*	26 % (52)	23 % (32)	31 % (20)

1453   At the post-MTZ visit, number and proportion of samples dominated by one of the top *Lactobacillus*

1454   species (top 3 rows), a mixture of *Lactobacillus* (4th row), or by non-*Lactobacillus* species in both arms

1455   (2nd column), the LACTIN-V arm (3rd column), or the placebo arm (4th column).

1456 **Table S3**

Microbiota outcome (16S rRNA sequencing-based)	Clinical diagnosis	
	no rBV n (%)	rBV n (%)
< 50% *Lactobacillus*	162 (50 %)	164 (50 %)
≥ 50% *Lactobacillus, <50% L. crispatus*	192 (99 %)	2 (1 %)
≥ 50% *L. crispatus*	209 (100 %)	0 (0 %)

1461

1462 Number of visits (n) at which participants did not (left column) or did (right column) meet diagnostic criteria

1463 for recurrent BV (rBV). Participants are shown according to microbiota category. Percentages sum to

1464 100% for each category.

1465   **Table S4**

Category at week 4	Category at **week 12**			Total
	≥ 50% *L. crispatus*	≥ 50% *Lactobacillus*, < 50% *L. crispatus*	< 50% *Lactobacillus*	
≥ 50% *L. crispatus*	25	14	12	51
≥ 50% *Lactobacillus*, < 50% *L. crispatus*	6	12	8	26
< 50% *Lactobacillus*	4	4	30	38
Total	35	30	50	115

1466   Contingency table showing the correspondence between Week 4 and Week 12 microbiota categories for

1467   LACTIN-V recipients with available data from both visits.

1468 **Table S5**

Category at week 4	Category at week 24			
	≥ 50% *L. crispatus*	≥ 50% *Lactobacillus*, < 50% *L. crispatus*	< 50% *Lactobacillus*	Total
≥ 50% *L. crispatus*	27	9	11	47
≥ 50% *Lactobacillus*, < 50% *L. crispatus*	6	6	11	23
< 50% *Lactobacillus*	5	10	22	37
Total	38	25	44	107

1469 Contingency table showing the correspondence between Week 4 and Week 24 microbiota categories for

1470 LACTIN-V recipients with available data from both visits.

 Table S6

Block	Variable	Description
Demographics	Age	Age of the participant at enrollment.
	N past BV	Number of past BV episodes (coded as a number on the following scale: (1) None, (2) 1-2, (3) Unknown, (4) 3-4, (5) 5 or more).
	Education level	Education level of the participant (coded as a number on the following scale: (1) Did not complete high school, (2) Completed high school, (3) Completed junior college, (4) Completed college (undergraduate degree), (5) Completed graduate degree).
	Race	Self-declared race of the participant (Categories with few participants were merged into "Other").
Vag. env. pre-MTZ	α diversity	Shannon diversity index of baseline (pre-MTZ) microbiota, computed on taxa proportions quantified from 16S rRNA gene sequencing data.
	pH	Vaginal pH at the pre-MTZ visit, measured at study sites.
Microbiota pre-MTZ		Pre-MTZ microbiota composition described as topic proportions.
Cytokine (r) pre-MTZ		Pre-MTZ cytokine residual levels. These values are computed as the difference between the transformed cytokine/chemokines levels and their predicted value based on microbiota composition expressed as topic proportions.
Coloniz. cat. prev. v.		Colonization category at the previous visit. One of these mutually exclusive categories: ≥ 50% *L. crispatus*, ≥ 50% *Lactobacillus* and < 50% *L. crispatus*, or < 50% *Lactobacillus*.
Vag. env. prev. v.	α diversity (r)	Difference between the Shannon diversity index at the previous visit (w.r.t the visit of the response variable), computed on taxa proportions quantified from 16S rRNA gene sequencing data, and its expected value based on colonization category at the same visit.
	pH (r)	Difference between vaginal pH at the previous visit, measured at study sites, and its expected value based on colonization category at the same visit.
	log10(bact. load)	$\log_{10}$ total bacterial load at the previous visit, quantified by qPCR.
Microbiota (r) prev. v.		Difference between the observed microbiota composition at the previous visit described as topic proportions and the average microbiota composition within each colonization category.
Cytokines (r) prev. v.		Cytokine residual levels at the previous visit. These values are computed as the difference between the transformed cytokine/chemokines levels and their predicted value based on microbiota composition expressed as topic proportions.
Birth control	Non-hormonal	A binary variable indicating whether participants reported exclusively using one of the following non-hormonal birth control methods including abstinence, use of condoms, or fertility awareness methods.
	IUD (Hormonal)	Same as above for hormonal intra-uterine device.
	IUD (Non-hormonal)	Same as above for non-hormonal intra-uterine device (copper IUD).

	Combined	A binary variable indicating whether participants reported using a combination of hormonal contraceptives or switched contraceptive method between the last visit and this one.
	P only	A binary variable indicating whether participants reported exclusively using progestin-only hormonal contraceptive, including the pill, patches, and implants.
	unknown	A binary variable indicating whether a participant's birth control is unknown (missing data). Each participant belongs to only one of each birth control category.
Sexual behavior	N new partners	Number of new sexual partners since the last visit.
	Any condomless sex	A binary flag indicating whether the participant reported any sexual intercourse without condoms since the last visit.
	Any condom sex	A binary flag indicating whether the participant reported any sexual intercourse with condoms since the last visit.
Perturbations	N douching	Number of days participant reported any douching episode since the last visit.
	N bleeding	Number of days participant reported vaginal bleeding since the last visit.
Antibiotics	N vag. abx	Number of days participant reported using vaginal antibiotics since the previous visit.
	N oral abx	Number of days participant reported taking oral antibiotics since the previous visit.
Adherence	N missed doses	Difference between the number of LACTIN-V doses that should have been taken between the previous visit and the current visit if the study protocol had been strictly followed and the actual number of doses that were taken.
	Days since last dose	Number of days since the most recent LACTIN-V dose was taken.

1472

1473 **Figure S1**

1474

A. Time-line of collected swabs from which 16S rRNA gene sequencing data could be generated.

B. Balance between treatment arms in terms of microbiota composition at the pre-MTZ visit. The left

panel shows the top 20 eigenvalues of the PCoA (Principal Coordinate Analysis) performed on

the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix, computed from the relative abundances of ASVs. The next

two panels show the PCoA scores (projection of the samples, each dot is a sample) colored by

the intervention arm for the first 4 principal coordinates. The *p*-value in the upper right corner

corresponds to the PERMANOVA test on the study arm. The right panels show the same

projections as the middle panels, but samples are here colored by topic relative abundance

(**Figure 2A-B**).

C. Balance between treatment arms in terms of microbiota composition at the post-MTZ visit, labeled

and arranged as for **Figure S1B**.

Figure S2

1487

1488 A. "Transport" alignment between topics identified by LDA models fitted on the non-*Lactobacillus*

1489   taxa counts for varying total number of topics (K, x-axis). Each rectangle represents a topic; the

1490   height of that rectangle represents the summed prevalence of that topic in the population, and the

1491   size of the connection between topics is proportional to the degree of similarity between the

1492   composition of two given topics.

1493 B. The number of paths (y-axis) identified at each resolution (K, the number of topics considered by

1494   the LDA models, x-axis) from the "product" alignment of topics; for details see (Fukuyama et al.,

1495   2023). A path identifies a series of topics with high alignment scores throughout resolutions. A

1496   plateau in the number of paths (here at K = 4) suggests that the 4-topic model is the model that

1497   identifies most robust topics (i.e., topics that remain similar across resolution despite the

1498   introduction of new, potentially spurious, topics).

1499 C. Proportion (x-axis) of each *Lactobacillus* species (y-axis) detected across all samples. Species

1500   with a relative abundance > 50% in at least 10 samples made up their own topic.

1501 D. Relative abundance of taxon or topic (x-axis, colors) in each arm at each visit.

1502 E. Microbiota stability by arm, visit, and microbiota category. Stability is quantified using the Bray-

1503   Curtis dissimilarity, described as relative abundances at the ASV level at the participant's current

1504   visit (vertical panels) and subsequent scheduled visit. At each visit, participants are grouped into

1505   one of the three categories (colors, x-axis) defined earlier (*L. crispatus*-dominant, *Lactobacillus*-

1506   dominant but low-level *L. crispatus*, and low-level *Lactobacillus*).

Figure S3

A. Results of targeted isolations from LACTIN-V trial samples, showing source participant, visit

number, sample *L. crispatus* relative abundance as estimated by 16S rRNA gene sequencing,

CTV-05 proportional strain abundance, and the numbers and taxonomic assignments of

genome-sequenced isolates from each sample. *L. crispatus* isolates are separated by whether

genome sequencing showed them to be CTV-05 or non-CTV-05 (native) strains. Multiple

isolates of genotypically identical strains (including of *L. crispatus* isolates) were obtained from

some samples.

B. Pie charts for each Visit t strain category from **Figure 3F** summarizing *L. crispatus* strain category

frequencies at Visit t+1, shown individually for each Visit t.

Figure S4

A. Distribution of unadjusted cytokine and chemokine concentrations within the dataset, with values

below the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) imputed at half the LLOQ and values above the

upper limit of quantification (ULOQ) imputed at the ULOQ. Analytes were excluded from further

analysis if >60% of values were below the LLOQ or >30% were above the ULOQ.

B. Pair-wise Pearson correlation coefficients between log10-transformed concentrations of each

cytokine. All correlations were significant ($p < 0.05$).

C. RV coefficients (y-axis) between microbiota composition described in terms of topic proportions

and cytokine/chemokine transformed concentrations at each visit (x-axis). Permutation test *p*-

values were adjusted for multiple testing to control for the false discovery rate. The size of the dot

is inversely proportional to the $\log_{10}$ of the associated adjusted p-value. The horizontal dashed

line provides the value of the RV coefficient when computed on all visits combined.

D. IP-10 adjusted $\log_{10}$(concentrations) (y-axis) by microbiota composition categories (x-axis) at

each visit (horizontal panels). Microbiota categories are mutually exclusive such that the first

category (≥ 50% CTV-05) encompasses samples in which the relative abundance of CTV-05

(within the overall microbiota) is larger or equal to 50%; the second category encompasses

samples with ≥50% total *L. crispatus* but <50% CTV-05 relative abundance within the microbiota;

the third category includes samples in which total *L. crispatus* relative abundance is <50%, but

the total *Lactobacillus* relative abundance is ≥50%, and the remaining category includes samples

with <50% *Lactobacillus*. Participants from both arms are included.

E. DISTATIS screeplot from repeated DiSTATIS analysis, similar to analysis in **Figure 4D** but

differentiating between CTV-05 and summed other *L. crispatus* strains. Eigenvalues (a.u.) of

DISTATIS compromise for the first 10 latent components are shown.

F. DISTATIS correlation circle for the analysis in **Figure S4E**, showing the 1st and 2nd latent

1543   components (similar to **Figure 4E**).

1544   G. DISTATIS correlation circle for the analysis in **Figure S4E**, showing the 3rd and 4th latent

1545   components (similar to **Figure 4F**).

1546   **Figure S5**

1547

Rates and associated 95% CI of high-level *L. crispatus* colonization (≥50% of relative abundance) (y-

axis) at week 12 or 24 (left two panels, top row) or rBV by Week 12 or 24 (right two panels, top row) by

pre-MTZ CST in each arm (colors), and the corresponding rate differences and 95% CI between arms

(bottom row), analyzed as in **Figure 5C-F**. Analysis is restricted to CSTs with at least two participants in

each arm (*i.e.,* CSTs IV-A and IV-B). The color scale in the bottom row shows the degree of benefit

(defined as the difference in rates between the two arms) in achieving *L. crispatus*-dominance (left

panels) or reducing rBV (right panels), with blue indicating benefit with LBP treatment and red indicating

benefit with placebo. *P*-values adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg correction of

the analysis of deviance test for heterogeneity in treatment effects when stratifying participants by CST

1557 at the pre-MTZ visit. The centroid definition of CST IV-A includes *Ca*. Lachnocurva vaginae (BVAB1) as

1558 a predominant taxon while CST IV-B has higher abundance of *Gardnerella* and both share moderate

1559 *Prevotella* abundance (France et al., 2020).

Figure S6

A. Diagram depicting MB-PLS-DA nested models. To assess whether blocks contributed to

explaining colonization, we compared a series of nested models (far-right labels). The full model

includes all blocks and variables, while the "self-predicting" model solely includes the microbiota

categories at the previous visit.

B. Number of latent component selection by cross-validation for the initial phase (left), continuation

phase (middle), and follow-up phase models (S6A). Mean (dots) and 95% CI (vertical segment)

of the average F1 score (y-axis) obtained when including k latent components (x-axis) on 20

random calibration (black) or validation (turquoise) set. For reference, values obtained for random

permutations of the response labels are shown by the horizontal gray line and band. The number

of selected latent components is shown by the light turquoise vertical band (here $k = 2$ for all

phases).

C. Contribution of nested models for the initial, continuation, and follow-up phase models. Mean and

95%CI of the average F1 score (y-axis) on the calibration (black, "c"), validation (turquoise, "v"),

or for random predictions (gray, "r") for each nested model (x-axis) including the number of latent

components shown on the corresponding upper-panel.

Figure S7: Variables associated with microbiota categories in LACTIN-V recipients

1578

A. Coefficient of association (dots, x-axis) and associated bootstrapped 95% CI (horizontal segments) between explanatory variables (y-axis) and microbiota categories (colors, horizontal panels, see **Figure 6A**) for each phase of the trial (horizontal panels) for MB-PLS-DA models fitted on LBP recipient data (**Figure S6A**). Variables whose 95% CI include 0 are shown in transparent/lighter shades. Variables are shown grouped according to their assigned thematic blocks (**Figure 6A**, **Table S6**).

B. Self-reported education levels are significantly associated with self-reported race (χ^2 *p*-value < 0.01)

C. Pre-MTZ α-diversity is higher in Black or African American participants than in White participants (*p*-value of *t*-test on association coefficient < 0.05).

Figure S8: Multiblock analysis of factors associated with microbiota categories in placebo

recipients

    A. Number of latent component selection by cross-validation for the initial (left), continuation

        (middle), and follow-up phase (right) model fitted on the placebo arm data. Reads as **Figure S6B**.

B. Contribution of nested models fitted on the placebo arm data for the initial (left), continuation (missing) and follow-up phase (right). Reads as **Figure S6C.**

C. Relative cumulative importance indices in the placebo arm (x-axis, Methods) for each block (y-axis, color) for the initial phase model (post-MTZ to Week 4; left), continuation phase model (Week 4 to 8 to 12; middle), and follow-up phase model (Week 12 to 24; right), analogous to portrayal of LBP arm in **Figure 6A**.

Figure S9: Variables associated with microbiota categories in placebo recipients

1601

Coefficient of association (dots, x-axis) and associated bootstrapped 95% CI (horizontal segments) between explanatory variables (y-axis) and microbiota categories (colors, horizontal panels) for each phase of the trial (horizontal panels) when MB-PLS-DA models are fitted on the placebo arm participants' data. Variables whose 95% CI include 0 (suggesting no statistically significant associations) are shown

1606   in transparent/lighter shades. Variables are shown grouped according to their assigned thematic blocks

1607   (**Figure 6A**, **S8C Table S6**).