# Factors associated with influenza vaccination among urban community-dwelling Chinese elderly: results from a multicity cross-sectional study

Jiayue Guo[a], Lili You[a]*

[a] Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, School of Health Policy and Management, Beijing 100080, China

# Abstract

**Objective:**

Vaccinations against influenza reduce morbidity and mortality among older adults. We identified characteristics and factors associated with influenza vaccination that might inform strategies for increasing coverage.

**Methods:**

We performed a cross-sectional questionnaire study recruiting urban elder people from six cities in China in December 2024. Demographics, awareness status, vaccination status, intention and reasons to receive or not receive the vaccine were analyzed. Continuous and categorical variables were compared using unpaired z-test and Chi-square test, respectively. Potential confounders were adjusted using multivariable logistic regression.

**Results:**

Among 113363 adults aged ≥60 years, the overall awareness rate of influenza vaccine was 78.6%, with the vaccination coverage of 34.0%. Among vaccinated individuals, 75.8% expressed willingness to receive revaccination in the following year, while only 9.8% of unvaccinated individuals considered vaccination for the upcoming year. Higher education level, higher income level, marriage, having health care coverage, having poor self-care ability, having chronic obstructive pulmonary disease were independently associated with influenza vaccination among older adults. Health beliefs, perception of influenza vaccine safety and efficacy, awareness of vaccine benefits, physician recommendations, and influence from family and friends were primary motivators for vaccination.

**Conclusion:**

The Government should improve influenza vaccination acceptance among elderly people through public education using diverse channels.

**Keywords:** China, elderly; factors; influenza; vaccination

# Introduction

Influenza is a highly contagious yet preventable viral respiratory illness that poses a substantial global health burden, contributing to significant annual morbidity and mortality worldwide(1). Recent estimates indicate approximately one billion seasonal influenza cases occur globally each year, including 3 to 5 million severe cases, resulting in 290,000 to 650,000 influenza-associated respiratory deaths(2). Notably, hospitalizations and mortality are predominantly concentrated in adults aged 60 years and older, who face increased vulnerability due to age-related immune decline and higher prevalence of chronic comorbidities(3). A China-based study covering influenza seasons from 2010–2011 to 2014–2015 estimated an annual average of 88,100 excess respiratory deaths associated with influenza, with the elderly accounting for 80% of these fatalities(4).

Influenza vaccination is a cost-effective strategy for reducing hospitalization and mortality rates among adults aged 65 and above(5). Routine immunization also decreases outpatient visits for influenza-like symptoms and reduces expenditures on both prescription and over-the-counter medications. Therefore, promoting vaccination among older adults with chronic conditions represents a critical public health initiative. Although both the World Health Organization (WHO) and China's Technical Guidelines for Influenza Vaccination recommend prioritizing adults aged 60 and older for influenza immunization(6), vaccination coverage among Chinese older adults remains suboptimal at 10%-30%, falling substantially below desired targets(7-10).

In many countries, annual influenza vaccination programs have been successfully integrated into national immunization strategies(11, 12). However, it is noteworthy that in China, the influenza vaccine has not yet been included in the national immunization program and remains self-paid, with costs ranging from 60 to 80 RMB (approximately 9–15 USD). Only a few major cities offer local government-subsidized vaccination initiatives(13-16). This situation significantly limits vaccine accessibility, particularly among elderly populations with limited awareness of influenza and constrained financial resources.

This study aims to characterize the demographic profile of older adults receiving influenza vaccination in China and to analyze factors associated with vaccination uptake or non-vaccination, thereby providing evidence for optimizing future influenza vaccination strategies.

# Methods

## Subjects

In December 2024, we conducted a cross-sectional study across six Chinese cities—Beijing

(North), Hangzhou (East), Qingdao (East), Shenzhen (South), Chongqing (Southwest), and Chengdu (Southwest)—selected to ensure broad geographical representation. Self-reported data were collected through on-site surveys in these locations. In each city, five to eight Community Health Service Centers (CHSCs), which are responsible for providing vaccination services to urban residents, were randomly selected from a comprehensive local list using simple random sampling. Each CHSC was required to invite 300 eligible individuals.

Elderly residents aged 60 years or older who visited the CHSCs for either free physical examinations or vaccinations were randomly invited to participate in the study. Interviewers consecutively approached eligible individuals in waiting areas and invited them to take part. All questionnaires were administered face-to-face by trained interviewers using a digital system configured to require completion of all items before submission. Participants provided digital informed consent on the first page of the online questionnaire. Each completed questionnaire was assigned a unique reference number and recorded in the system.

During the data processing phase, we applied strict inclusion criteria and logical consistency checks to screen the collected questionnaires. Invalid samples—such as those from respondents who did not meet the age threshold or provided contradictory responses—were removed to ensure the validity of the dataset. A total of 13,363 valid questionnaires were ultimately included, resulting in a valid response rate of 97.2%.

## Questionnaire

The questionnaire comprised four sections: (i) socioeconomic and health status; (ii) awareness of influenza vaccination; (iii) influenza vaccination status and reasons for vaccination or non-vaccination; and (iv) intention for revaccination. Socioeconomic independent variables included region, gender, age, education level, personal income, marital status, and health insurance coverage. Health status variables covered self-care ability, self-rated health status, number of chronic diseases, and self-reported indications for immunization.

Chronic conditions included hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and other cardiovascular diseases such as coronary heart disease; cerebrovascular diseases including stroke; respiratory diseases such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD); and tumors. Attitudes were assessed using 5-point Likert scales: vaccinated respondents rated the perceived benefit of the vaccine on a scale ranging from "very beneficial" to "very useless," while unvaccinated respondents indicated their perceived need for vaccination using a scale from "very needed" to "completely unneeded." Future vaccination intention was measured using a 3-point scale for both groups. Multi-select questions with ranking options were employed to further explore specific reasons behind vaccination behaviors.

## Data analysis

Descriptive statistics are presented as means and frequencies. An independent samples chi-square test was used to compare differences in categorical variables between (i) aware and unaware groups, and (ii) vaccinated and unvaccinated groups. To explore factors associated with influenza vaccination among adults aged 60 years and older, univariate logistic regression analysis was performed. The dependent variable in the model was defined as previous influenza vaccination (yes/no), based on the question: "Have you been vaccinated against influenza in the past 12 months?" Self-report has been demonstrated to be a highly accurate method for assessing prior vaccination behavior in older populations[17]. Independent variables included sociodemographic characteristics and health-related factors.

All variables showing statistical significance or marginal significance ($p < 0.250$ based on the Hosmer and Lemeshow criterion) in the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate logistic regression model using the Enter method. Results are expressed as adjusted odds ratios (aOR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI), with a reference category specified for each variable. An aOR greater than 1 indicates a facilitating factor for awareness/vaccination behavior, while an aOR less than 1 suggests an inhibiting factor. A two-tailed p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Frequency analyses (expressed as percentages and counts) were conducted for multi-select responses regarding reasons for vaccination or non-vaccination among both vaccinated and unvaccinated participants. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics software, version 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).

# Results

## Subject characteristics

The mean age of the respondents was 69.53 years, with 45.3% being male and 54.7% female. The geographical distribution included Chongqing (18.9%), Shenzhen (16.9%), Hangzhou (16.5%), Beijing (16.3%), Qingdao (16.0%), and Chengdu (15.4%). 66.64% of the elderly participants had at least one chronic condition, with hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and stroke being the most prevalent comorbidities (see Table 1 for further sample characteristics).

Overall, 78.6% (n = 10501) of the respondents reported having heard of the influenza vaccine. The following characteristics were significantly associated with vaccine awareness: region, age, marital status, education level, income, self-care ability, self-rated health status, and the presence of hypertension or hyperlipidemia. In contrast, gender and certain chronic conditions such as diabetes, stroke, and COPD were not associated with the awareness rate of influenza vaccine (Table 1).

**Vaccination uptake**

Overall, 34.0% of respondents reported receiving influenza vaccination within the past year. Among the vaccinated group, 75.8% expressed willingness to be revaccinated the following year, while only 9.8% of unvaccinated individuals considered vaccination in the next year. The vaccination rate among those aware of the vaccine was 40.3%.

Table 2 presents the analysis of influenza vaccination rates by sociodemographic characteristics. The influenza vaccination rates differed across regions ($p<0.001$), with 45.9% in Hangzhou, 28.0% in Qingdao, and 34.8%, 32.5%, 31.7%, 31.3% in Shenzhen, Beijing, Chongqing, Chengdu respectively. No significant gender difference was observed in vaccination rates ($p=0.064$), though males showed a slightly higher rate of 34.9% compared to 33.4% in females. The influenza vaccination rates across age groups were as follows: 34.2% in the 60-65 years group, 34.5% in the 65-70 years group, 33.1% in the 70-75 years group, 35.2% in the 75-80 years group, 32.0% in the 80-85 years group, and 42.3% among those aged 85 years or older, with no significant differences between groups ($p=0.204$). Vaccination rates increased with education level ($p<0.001$), with the lowest rate of 28.0% among those with primary education or less. Subjects with higher personal income demonstrated significantly higher influenza vaccination rates ($p<0.001$). Vaccination rates varied by marital status ($p< 0.001$), with adults who had a spouse or cohabitant showing a higher rate of 34.7%, compared to 29.9% among those who were single or widowed. The vaccination rate was 36.0% among urban employee basic medical insurance holders and 35.1% among urban-rural resident basic medical insurance holders, both significantly higher than that of uninsured individuals.

Older adults with hypertension and diabetes showed higher influenza vaccination rates, at 33.8% and 35.4%, respectively. Significant differences in vaccination rates were observed based on the presence of hyperlipidemia and respiratory diseases or COPD. Overall, respondents with chronic conditions had higher vaccination rates: rates among those with one, two, and three chronic diseases were 33.7%, 34.7%, and 37.9%, respectively (Table 3). Participants reporting better self-care ability had a significantly lower vaccination rate ($p = 0.033$). A statistically significant difference in vaccination was also found according to self-rated health status ($p = 0.031$): those reporting "good" health had a vaccination rate of 35.1%, compared to 32.9% among those with "fair" health and 33.1% among those reporting "poor" health. As expected, older adults who met the criteria for immunization had significantly higher vaccination rates.

**Determinants of influenza vaccination**

Regarding the socio-geographic factors affecting influenza vaccination among Chinese adults aged 60 years or older, individuals with medium-low education had 18.1% higher odds of vaccination (95% CI: 1.062-1.313, *p*=0.002), those with medium-high education had 19.3% higher odds (95% CI: 1.060-1.344, *p*=0.004), and those with high education had 38.2% higher odds (95% CI: 1.196-1.597, *p*<0.001), compared to those with low education. Higher monthly income was associated with increased vaccination rates. Compared to the ≤2,500 CNY group, the 2,501-5,000 CNY group had 58.9% higher odds (95% CI: 1.432-1.764, *p*<0.001), the 5,001-7,500 CNY group had 58.3% higher odds (95% CI: 1.383-1.813, *p*<0.001), and the >7,500 CNY group had 46.8% higher odds (95% CI: 1.236-1.743, *p*<0.001). Married/cohabiting individuals showed 1.15 times higher vaccination odds than single/widowed persons (95% CI: 1.026-1.296, *p*=0.016). Regarding health insurance, the OR for vaccination was 1.27 times higher (95% CI: 1.111-1.452, *p*<0.001) for those with Urban-Rural Resident Basic Medical Insurance, while Urban Employee Basic Medical Insurance showed no significant association with vaccination rate (95% CI: 0.983-1.287, *p*=0.088). No significant associations were observed between influenza vaccination rates and either gender or age after multivariate adjustment (Table 4).

Insert Table 4.

Table 5 shows the health status factors that affect influenza vaccination among Chinese adults aged 60 years older. After multivariate adjustment, individuals with poor self-care ability had 15.4% higher vaccination odds compared to those with self-care ability (95% CI: 1.041-1.280, *p*=0.006). Regarding self-assessed health status, those reporting good health showed 21.8% higher vaccination odds than those with poor health (95% CI: 1.067-1.391, *p*=0.003). For chronic conditions, the OR was 1.13 times higher (CI: 1.026-1.251, *p*=0.014) in the presence of hyperlipidemia and 1.294 times higher (95% CI: 1.101-1.521, *p*=0.002) in the presence of respiratory diseases or COPD. However, no significant associations were found for hypertension, diabetes, and cerebrovascular disease or stroke after multivariate adjustment.

Insert Table 5.

**Reasons for vaccination behavior**

Of those (n= 4550) who get vaccinated in the last 12 months, vast majority (80.5%) believed that vaccination is beneficial for themselves. The facilitators to influenza vaccination included belief in its safety and effectiveness (n=2222, 48.8%), recommendations from family (n=1617, 35.5%) and doctors (n=1125, 24.7%), positive past experience (n=790, 17.4%), government recommendation (n=522, 11.5%), and the desire to protect family (n=484, 10.6%) (Fig.1). Among the 1617 vaccinated persons citing multiple reasons, safety and effectiveness beliefs were the single most influential facilitator (33.8%), followed by recommendations from

family (19%), then by having found previous vaccination effective (16.5%), doctor-recommended vaccination (12.7%), and to protect family (7.9%). (Fig. 2).

Insert Figure1.

Insert Figure 2.

Among 8,813 unvaccinated elderly individuals, few (3.5%) considered it "very necessary," while 39.9% felt it was "somewhat necessary." 12.7% deemed it "not very necessary," 9.3% "completely unnecessary," and 34.6% were uncertain about the need. The barriers to influenza vaccination included the belief that influenza symptoms are not severe enough to warrant vaccination (n=3,544, 40.2%), conformity with unvaccinated family or friends (n=2,079, 23.6%), concerns about potential adverse reactions (n=1,642, 18.6%), skepticism about vaccine effectiveness (n=1,526, 17.3%) (Fig. 3). Among the 2,076 unvaccinated individuals citing multiple reasons, concern about adverse reactions was the single most influential barrier (n=646, 22.6%), followed by the perception that influenza symptoms are not severe (n=458, 22.1%), conforming to unvaccinated peers (14.7%). and vaccine effectiveness (13.1%) (Fig. 4).

Insert Figure3.

Insert Figure 4.

## Discussion

This study utilized representative survey data from six Chinese cities to investigate influenza vaccine awareness rates, vaccination rates, associated factors, and specific reasons for vaccination behavior among community dwelling respondents aged 60 years or older who responded to a questionnaire about influenza vaccination history in the last one year.

This study reveals that influenza vaccination coverage among urban Chinese adults aged 60 and above remains suboptimal (28.0%-45.9%), falling substantially below the World Health Organization's 75% target and lower than vaccination rates observed in developed countries (18, 19). Previous research has also shown generally low vaccination coverage among older populations in China(15). A systematic review reported an average vaccination rate of 51.29% among adults aged 60 and above, with Belgium ranking highest at 72.73%, followed by Hong Kong SAR, China, at 54%(20). These findings underscore the urgent need to identify factors associated with vaccination behavior and implement community-based policy promotion to improve immunization uptake.

China has implemented heterogeneous reimbursement policies for influenza vaccination across different regions. In most areas, vaccination remains self-paid. Since 2020, Hangzhou

has provided free influenza vaccines to adults aged 70 and above. This study demonstrates that Hangzhou achieved significantly higher vaccination coverage compared to other cities, indicating that policy-driven financial accessibility serves as a core driver for vaccine uptake(21). Although the COVID-19 pandemic heightened public willingness for vaccination, only cost-free provision effectively translates this intention into actual vaccination behavior(22). Governments or other service organizations should consider subsidized services and vigorously promote free influenza vaccination policies(10).

This study demonstrates that educational attainment, income/socioeconomic status, marital status, and health insurance coverage are significant determinants of influenza vaccination among older adults in China. Insured individuals showed higher vaccination rates, consistent with findings from North American studies(23). Substantial evidence confirms the role of socio-geographic factors(24): those cohabiting with spouses or family members exhibited higher vaccination rates(25, 26), as social networks and family recommendations positively influence health information acquisition(27). Increased age was associated with increased vaccination uptake, while higher income(25) and elevated educational attainment(25, 28, 29) or socioeconomic status(30, 31) were identified as potential facilitators for vaccination among the elderly. Notably, we found no significant association between gender and vaccination rates, whereas international evidence remains divergent: multiple studies report higher coverage among males(32-36), others indicate female predominance(23, 37-39), and some show no significant gender differences(40).

Poorer health status—including limited self-care ability, multiple chronic diseases, hyperlipidemia, and respiratory conditions—increases vaccination uptake among older adults. These results are consistent with studies from Europe(41) and Singapore(42), where more chronic diseases correlated with higher vaccination rates. Multiple studies confirm that health conditions, especially specific chronic diseases(39, 43-47), influence vaccination in the elderly. A systematic review also found higher flu vaccination rates in chronic disease patients globally than in the general population(20). Due to their greater vulnerability to flu and higher risk of severe complications—such as worsening of existing diseases, pneumonia, multi-organ failure, and death—vaccination is especially important for this group. COPD stood out as a key factor, likely because these patients have weaker cellular immune responses to flu and depend more on vaccine-protected antibody immunity. To enhance vaccination coverage, targeted strategies should focus on high-risk populations, including those with chronic conditions such as hyperlipidemia or COPD, as well as socioeconomically disadvantaged groups. As this study draws on representative samples from six major Chinese cities, its findings demonstrate robust credibility and reliability, providing valuable supplementary evidence to existing research.

Beyond these epidemiological and health parameters, health beliefs and perceptions regarding

influenza vaccine safety and efficacy also influence vaccination rates. In terms of health beliefs, failure to recognize the increased susceptibility to influenza resulting from the combined effects of age and medical risk factors is a primary reason for reduced vaccination willingness. Low intention to vaccinate was also associated with insufficient awareness of the benefits of influenza vaccination and concerns about vaccine safety. Such health beliefs have indeed been identified in international studies as prominently affecting influenza vaccination behaviors among the general older population(48, 49). This study extends existing knowledge and highlights the additional role of these health beliefs in shaping vaccination intent. The study also revealed that recommendations from healthcare providers and influence from family and friends are key factors promoting vaccination behavior. Social integration was found to positively contribute to vaccination uptake(50). Therefore, the next critical step to improving vaccination rates lies in leveraging the endorsement and recommendations of medical professionals to address the two major barriers—misconceptions about influenza and concerns about vaccine safety—while also improving knowledge and attitudes. Emphasis should be placed on the supportive role of family and informal social networks. Primary healthcare institutions should not only ensure service accessibility but also allocate sufficient consultation time to thoroughly discuss the benefits and risks of vaccine protection with patients. Furthermore, regular health education initiatives on influenza and its vaccination should be conducted to enhance awareness, attitudes, and practices among older adults.

Consistent with previous research findings(51, 52), we find that prior influenza vaccination experience significantly promotes subsequent vaccination behaviour among older adults in China. A notable cognitive difference was also observed between vaccinated and unvaccinated groups: over 70% of vaccinated individuals affirmed the vaccine's effectiveness and expressed willingness to be revaccinated the following year, whereas nearly 90% of unvaccinated older adults reported no intention to vaccinate in the coming year. These results suggest that health administrative departments should seize critical windows for intervention. Encouraging currently unvaccinated individuals to receive the vaccine may initiate a "carry-over effect", promoting sustained vaccination in subsequent seasons.

The routine medical follow-ups received by older adults present a valuable opportunity for promoting vaccination. Organized immunization counseling in primary healthcare settings can provide evidence-based information to correct misconceptions, biases, and negative attitudes toward vaccination. Counseling should include objective facts such as that influenza vaccines are not 100% effective(53) and may have side effects(54). Emphasis should be placed on educating high-risk groups about how influenza can exacerbate their underlying conditions, while annual vaccination can effectively reduce infection and prevent severe complications. Simple interventions such as personalized postcards or telephone reminders(55), as well as recommendations from healthcare professionals(56-61), can

positively influence vaccination rates. Practice has demonstrated that home-visit vaccination services can also effectively improve coverage. Godoy et al. (62) specifically highlighted that patients whose physicians had been vaccinated showed significantly higher vaccination rates than those whose physicians had not. At the systems level, policy interventions may further enhance vaccination rates. Population-based influenza vaccination strategies can also leverage information systems to enable efficient tracking, vaccination, and monitoring of high-risk groups.

## Limitations

This study had a few limitations. Primarily, the survey was only representative of the non-institutionalized population, and levels of vaccination in community-dwelling elderly people could be different from that in the institutionalized population. Secondly, convenience sampling was more likely to lead to limited representative of our results. However, we included participants from six administrative regions in China with a relatively large sample size to minimize this limitation. Another issue that should be mentioned is the cross-sectional nature of the survey used as the source of information; this means that any association found between vaccination uptake and some variables does not involve a causal relationship. It could not be ascertained whether unvaccinated people had been offered vaccination and refused, or whether vaccination was not offered at all. All these factors indicate that we should be cautious about the interpretation of the results of this study.

## Conclusions

Our findings indicate that influenza vaccination rates among older adults in China remain below the target set by the World Health Organization. Higher vaccination rates were observed among socioeconomically advantaged groups with better access to healthcare resources, though often accompanied by poorer functional health status. Targeted interventions are recommended for unmarried, less-educated, and uninsured older individuals. Major barriers to vaccination include misconceptions about influenza, social influence from unvaccinated peers or family members, and concerns regarding vaccine safety and effectiveness. Recommendations from community physicians and structured immunization counseling can help address misunderstandings and improve attitudes toward vaccination. Long-term strategies should leverage multimedia campaigns (e.g., short videos, advertisements, leaflets) and government-led awareness initiatives, complemented by subsidized vaccination services, to enhance immunization coverage in the older population.

# References

1.  Iuliano AD, Roguski KM, Chang HH, Muscatello DJ, Palekar R, Tempia S, et al. Estimates of global seasonal influenza-associated respiratory mortality: a modelling study. Lancet. 2018;391(10127):1285-300.

2.  Bartoszko J, Loeb M. The burden of influenza in older adults: meeting the challenge. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2021;33(3):711-7.

3.  Cheng PY, Palekar R, Azziz-Baumgartner E, Iuliano D, Alencar AP, Bresee J, et al. Burden of influenza-associated deaths in the Americas, 2002-2008. Influenza Other Respir Viruses. 2015;9 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):13-21.

4.  Li L, Liu Y, Wu P, Peng Z, Wang X, Chen T, et al. Influenza-associated excess respiratory mortality in China, 2010-15: a population-based study. Lancet Public Health. 2019;4(9):e473-e81.

5.  Rondy M, El Omeiri N, Thompson MG, Levêque A, Moren A, Sullivan SG. Effectiveness of influenza vaccines in preventing severe influenza illness among adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis of test-negative design case-control studies. J Infect. 2017;75(5):381-94.

6.  Vaccines against influenza WHO position paper – November 2012. Wkly Epidemiol Rec. 2012;87(47):461-76.

7.  Dilokthornsakul P, Lan LM, Thakkinstian A, Hutubessy R, Lambach P, Chaiyakunapruk N. Economic evaluation of seasonal influenza vaccination in elderly and health workers: A systematic review and meta-analysis. EClinicalMedicine. 2022;47:101410.

8.  Ting EEK, Sander B, Ungar WJ. Systematic review of the cost-effectiveness of influenza immunization programs. Vaccine. 2017;35(15):1828-43.

9.  Zheng J, Zhou Y, Wang H, Liang X. The role of the China Experts Advisory Committee on Immunization Program. Vaccine. 2010;28 Suppl 1:A84-7.

10. Yang J, Atkins KE, Feng L, Pang M, Zheng Y, Liu X, et al. Seasonal influenza vaccination in China: Landscape of diverse regional reimbursement policy, and budget impact analysis. Vaccine. 2016;34(47):5724-35.

11. Global burden and strength of evidence for 88 risk factors in 204 countries and 811 subnational locations, 1990-2021: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. Lancet. 2024;403(10440):2162-203.

12. Control ECfDPa. Seasonal influenza vaccination and antiviral use in Europe – Overview of vaccination recommendations and coverage rates in the EU Member States for the 2013–14 and 2014–15 influenza seasons (Technical Report).

13. Li Q, Zhang M, Chen H, Wu F, Xian J, Zheng L, et al. Influenza Vaccination Coverage among Older Adults with Hypertension in Shenzhen, China: A Cross-Sectional Survey during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Vaccines (Basel). 2021;9(10).

14. Ye C, Zhu W, Yu J, Li Z, Hu W, Hao L, et al. Low coverage rate and awareness of influenza vaccine among older people in Shanghai, China: A cross-sectional study. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2018;14(11):2715-21.

15. Wu S, Su J, Yang P, Zhang H, Li H, Chu Y, et al. Factors associated with the uptake of seasonal influenza vaccination in older and younger adults: a large, population-based survey in Beijing, China. BMJ Open. 2017;7(9):e017459.

16. Zhou L, Su Q, Xu Z, Feng A, Jin H, Wang S, et al. Seasonal influenza vaccination

coverage rate of target groups in selected cities and provinces in China by season (2009/10 to 2011/12). PLoS One. 2013;8(9):e73724.

17. Zimmerman RK, Raymund M, Janosky JE, Nowalk MP, Fine MJ. Sensitivity and specificity of patient self-report of influenza and pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccinations among elderly outpatients in diverse patient care strata. Vaccine. 2003;21(13-14):1486-91.

18. Statista. Influenza vaccination coverage rate among older adults in selected developed countries 2022 2023 [Available from: https://www.statista.com/statistics/283283/vaccination-coverage-against-influenza/.

19. Karki S, Dyda A, Newall A, Heywood A, MacIntyre CR, McIntyre P, et al. Comparison of influenza vaccination coverage between immigrant and Australian-born adults. Vaccine. 2016;34(50):6388-95.

20. Chen C, Liu X, Yan D, Zhou Y, Ding C, Chen L, et al. Global influenza vaccination rates and factors associated with influenza vaccination. Int J Infect Dis. 2022;125:153-63.

21. Wang X, Jiang W, Yu Z, Jiang W, Zhu X, Wang Z, et al. Government livelihood project - free influenza vaccination for the older population in China: the example of Zhejiang Province. Front Public Health. 2025;13:1571499.

22. Zhou Y, Tang J, Zhang J, Wu Q. Impact of the coronavirus disease 2019 epidemic and a free influenza vaccine strategy on the willingness of residents to receive influenza vaccines in Shanghai, China. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2021;17(7):2289-92.

23. Takayama M, Wetmore CM, Mokdad AH. Characteristics associated with the uptake of influenza vaccination among adults in the United States. Prev Med. 2012;54(5):358-62.

24. Roller-Wirnsberger R, Lindner S, Kolosovski L, Platzer E, Dovjak P, Flick H, et al. The role of health determinants in the influenza vaccination uptake among older adults (65+): a scope review. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2021;33(8):2123-32.

25. Khan SR, Hall AG, Tanner RJ, Marlow NM. Association between race/ethnicity and disability status and receipt of vaccines among older adults in Florida. Disabil Health J. 2018;11(3):339-44.

26. Gazibara T, Kovacevic N, Kisic-Tepavcevic D, Nurkovic S, Kurtagic I, Gazibara T, et al. Flu vaccination among older persons: study of knowledge and practices. J Health Popul Nutr. 2019;38(1):2.

27. Cho HM, Kim JY, Hwang SE, Kim JC, Kim MY, Lee SH. Association between Living Arrangements and Influenza Vaccination Rates among Elderly South Korean People: The Fifth Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES V-2). Korean J Fam Med. 2015;36(4):186-90.

28. Lau JT, Yang X, Tsui HY, Kim JH. Prevalence of influenza vaccination and associated factors among community-dwelling Hong Kong residents of age 65 or above. Vaccine. 2006;24(26):5526-34.

29. Roy M, Sherrard L, Dubé È, Gilbert NL. Determinants of non-vaccination against seasonal influenza. Health Rep. 2018;29(10):12-22.

30. Seo J, Lim J. Trends in influenza vaccination coverage rates in South Korea from 2005 to 2014: Effect of public health policies on vaccination behavior. Vaccine. 2018;36(25):3666-73.

31. Kwon DS, Kim K, Park SM. Factors associated with influenza vaccination coverage among the elderly in South Korea: the Fourth Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES IV). BMJ Open. 2016;6(12):e012618.

32. Nagata JM, Hernández-Ramos I, Kurup AS, Albrecht D, Vivas-Torrealba C, Franco-Paredes C. Social determinants of health and seasonal influenza vaccination in adults ≥65 years: a systematic review of qualitative and quantitative data. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:388.

33. Endrich MM, Blank PR, Szucs TD. Influenza vaccination uptake and socioeconomic determinants in 11 European countries. Vaccine. 2009;27(30):4018-24.

34. Francisco PM, Borim FS, Neri AL. Vaccination against influenza in the elderly: data from FIBRA, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil. Cien Saude Colet. 2015;20(12):3775-86.

35. Martínez-Baz I, Aguilar I, Morán J, Albéniz E, Aldaz P, Castilla J. Factors associated with continued adherence to influenza vaccination in the elderly. Prev Med. 2012;55(3):246-50.

36. Wershof Schwartz A, Clarfield AM, Doucette JT, Valinsky L, Karpati T, Landrigan PJ, et al. Disparities in pneumococcal and influenza immunization among older adults in Israel: a cross-sectional analysis of socio-demographic barriers to vaccination. Prev Med. 2013;56(5):337-40.

37. Mo PK, Lau JT. Influenza vaccination uptake and associated factors among elderly population in Hong Kong: the application of the Health Belief Model. Health Educ Res. 2015;30(5):706-18.

38. Oh MG, Han MA, Yun NR, Park J, Ryu SY, Kim DM, et al. A Population-Based, Nationwide Cross-Sectional Study on Influenza Vaccination Status among Cancer Survivors in Korea. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2015;12(8):10133-49.

39. Dyda A, MacIntyre CR, McIntyre P, Newall AT, Banks E, Kaldor J, et al. Factors associated with influenza vaccination in middle and older aged Australian adults according to eligibility for the national vaccination program. Vaccine. 2015;33(29):3299-305.

40. Okoli GN, Lam OLT, Racovitan F, Reddy VK, Righolt CH, Neilson C, et al. Seasonal influenza vaccination in older people: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the determining factors. PLoS One. 2020;15(6):e0234702.

41. Zürcher K, Zwahlen M, Berlin C, Egger M, Fenner L. Trends in influenza vaccination uptake in Switzerland: Swiss Health Survey 2007 and 2012. Swiss Med Wkly. 2019;149:w14705.

42. Ho HJ, Tan YR, Cook AR, Koh G, Tham TY, Anwar E, et al. Increasing Influenza and Pneumococcal Vaccination Uptake in Seniors Using Point-of-Care Informational Interventions in Primary Care in Singapore: A Pragmatic, Cluster-Randomized Crossover Trial. Am J Public Health. 2019;109(12):1776-83.

43. Aguilar I, Reyes M, Martinez-Baz I, Guevara M, Albeniz E, Belza M, et al. Use of the vaccination register to evaluate influenza vaccine coverage in seniors in the 2010/11 influenza season, Navarre, Spain. Euro Surveill. 2012;17(17).

44. Banach DB, Ornstein K, Factor SH, Soriano TA. Seasonal influenza vaccination among homebound elderly receiving home-based primary care in New York City. J Community Health. 2012;37(1):10-4.

45. Barbadoro P, Recanatini C, Ponzio E, Illuminati D, D'Errico MM, Prospero E. Barriers to influenza vaccine uptake in obese people in Italy: Changes 2005-2013. Eur J Intern Med. 2016;34:34-8.

46. Byeon KH, Kim J, Choi B, Choi BY. The coverage rates for influenza vaccination and

related factors in Korean adults aged 50 and older with chronic disease: based on 2016 Community Health Survey data. Epidemiol Health. 2018;40:e2018034.

47. Hellfritzsch M, Thomsen RW, Baggesen LM, Larsen FB, Sørensen HT, Christiansen CF. Lifestyle, socioeconomic characteristics, and medical history of elderly persons who receive seasonal influenza vaccination in a tax-supported healthcare system. Vaccine. 2017;35(18):2396-403.

48. Chi RC, Neuzil KM. The association of sociodemographic factors and patient attitudes on influenza vaccination rates in older persons. Am J Med Sci. 2004;327(3):113-7.

49. Kwong EW, Lam IO, Chan TM. What factors affect influenza vaccine uptake among community-dwelling older Chinese people in Hong Kong general outpatient clinics? J Clin Nurs. 2009;18(7):960-71.

50. Vukovic V, Lillini R, Asta F, Chini F, C DEW. Analysis of influenza vaccination coverage among the elderly living in Rome, based on a deprivation index, 2009-2013. J Prev Med Hyg. 2018;59(4 Suppl 2):E31-e7.

51. Sherman SM, Sim J, Amlôt R, Cutts M, Dasch H, Rubin GJ, et al. Intention to have the seasonal influenza vaccination during the COVID-19 pandemic among eligible adults in the UK: a cross-sectional survey. BMJ Open. 2021;11(7):e049369.

52. Burns VM, Castillo FM, Coldren RL, Prosser T, Howell RL, Kabbur MB. Perceptions of Seasonal Influenza Vaccine Among U.S. Army Civilians and Dependents in the Kaiserslautern Military Community: A Mixed-Methods Survey. Mil Med. 2022;187(3-4):e394-e403.

53. Cartter ML, Renzullo PO, Helgerson SD, Martin SM, Jekel JF. Influenza outbreaks in nursing homes: how effective is influenza vaccine in the institutionalized elderly? Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 1990;11(9):473-8.

54. Chernesky M, O'Neill D, Pickard L, Castriciano S, Kraftcheck D, Sellors J, et al. Immunogenicity and adverse reactions of influenza vaccination in elderly patients given acetaminophen or placebo. Clin Diagn Virol. 1993;1(2):129-36.

55. Thomas RE, Russell M, Lorenzetti D. Interventions to increase influenza vaccination rates of those 60 years and older in the community. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010(9):Cd005188.

56. Rusli KDB, Bryar R. Maximising influenza vaccination awareness and uptake among older adults in Singapore. Br J Community Nurs. 2018;23(6):296-301.

57. McIntyre A, Zecevic A, Diachun L. Influenza vaccinations: older adults' decision-making process. Can J Aging. 2014;33(1):92-8.

58. Leung KC, Mui C, Chiu WY, Ng YY, Chen MHY, Ho PH, et al. Impact of patient education on influenza vaccine uptake among community-dwelling elderly: a randomized controlled trial. Health Educ Res. 2017;32(5):455-64.

59. Regan AK, Bloomfield L, Peters I, Effler PV. Randomized Controlled Trial of Text Message Reminders for Increasing Influenza Vaccination. Ann Fam Med. 2017;15(6):507-14.

60. Yokum D, Lauffenburger JC, Ghazinouri R, Choudhry NK. Letters designed with behavioural science increase influenza vaccination in Medicare beneficiaries. Nat Hum Behav. 2018;2(10):743-9.

61. Doherty M, Schmidt-Ott R, Santos JI, Stanberry LR, Hofstetter AM, Rosenthal SL, et al. Vaccination of special populations: Protecting the vulnerable. Vaccine. 2016;34(52):6681-90.

62. Godoy P, Castilla J, Mayoral JM, Martín V, Astray J, Torner N, et al. Influenza vaccination of primary healthcare physicians may be associated with vaccination in their patients: a vaccination coverage study. BMC Fam Pract. 2015;16:44.

Table 1. Awareness rates of influenza vaccine according to main sociodemographic and health-related characteristics among a representative sample of older Chinese. (n=13363)

| Variable | | N (%) | Aware | Test | $P^C$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Socio-demographic | Region | | | | |
| | Beijing | 2180 | 2027 | | |
| | Chengdu | 2059 | 1527 | | |
| | Chongqing | 2523 | 1665 | 702.21 | <0.001 |
| | Hangzhou | 2208 | 1959 | | |
| | Qingdao | 2140 | 1567 | | |
| | Shenzhen | 2253 | 1756 | | |
| | Gender | | | | |
| | Male | 6048 | 4712 | 2.970 | 0.086 |
| | Female | 7315 | 5789 | | |
| | Age (year) | | | | |
| | 60~<65 | 3825 | 3063 | | |
| | 65~<70 | 3843 | 3052 | | |
| | 70~<75 | 2755 | 2141 | 17.826 | <0.001 |
| | 75~ < 80 | 1547 | 1206 | | |
| | 80~ < 85 | 785 | 582 | | |
| | 85 及以上 | 598 | 457 | | |
| | Education [A] | | | | |
| | Low | 3720 | 2429 | | |
| | Medium-low | 3992 | 3125 | 667.742 | <0.001 |
| | Medium-high | 3461 | 2959 | | |
| | High | 2190 | 1978 | | |
| | Monthly Personal Income | | | | |
| | ≤2,500 CNY | 3850 | 2440 | | |
| | 2,501-5,000 CNY | 5829 | 4836 | 771.335 | <0.001 |
| | 5,001-7,500 CNY | 2457 | 2145 | | |
| | 7,500 CNY | 1227 | 1080 | | |
| | Marital Status | | | | |
| | Married/Cohabiting | 11658 | 9284 | 60.270 | <0.001 |
| | Single/widowed | 1705 | 1217 | | |
| | Urban Employee Basic | | | | |
| | Yes | 7919 | 6779 | 572.58 | <0.001 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| No | | 5444 | 3722 | | |
| Urban-Rural Resident Basic | | | | | |
| Yes | | 4840 | 3391 | 327.35 | <0.001 |
| No | | 8523 | 7110 | | |
| Health-related | Self-care ability | | | | |
| Yes | | 11319 | 9061 | 94.828 | <0.001 |
| No | | 2044 | 1440 | | |
| Self-assessed health status | | | | | |
| Poor | | 1403 | 968 | 100.434 | <0.001 |
| Fair | | 5367 | 4192 | | |
| Good | | 6593 | 5341 | | |
| Hypertension | | | | | |
| Yes | | 6234 | 4993 | 15.839 | <0.001 |
| No | | 7129 | 5508 | | |
| Diabetes | | | | | |
| Yes | | 3186 | 2518 | 0.505 | 0.477 |
| No | | 10177 | 7983 | | |
| Hyperlipidemia | | | | | |
| Yes | | 2183 | 1840 | 50.459 | <0.001 |
| No | | 11180 | 8661 | | |
| Stroke/Cerebrovascular | | | | | |
| Yes | | 517 | 389 | 3.567 | 0.059 |
| No | | 12846 | 10112 | | |
| COPD/Respiratory diseases | | | | | |
| Yes | | 676 | 526 | 0.252 | 0.616 |
| No | | 12687 | 9975 | | |

[A]Educational level was categorized as follows: Low (primary school degree or below), Medium-low (junior high school degree), Medium–high (high school or technical secondary school or vocational school degree), High (college/university degree or above); [B]We categorized the following chronic diseases: hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, stroke/cerebrovascular disease, coronary heart disease and other cardiovascular diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and other respiratory diseases, kidney diseases, immune system disorders, and tumors; [C]Chi square test result for the association between vaccine awareness and individuals' characteristics.

Table 2. Influenza vaccination rates by sociodemographic factors among a representative sample of older Chinese. (n=13363)

| Variable | Vaccinated (%) | Test Value | $P^C$ |
|---|---|---|---|

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Region | | | |
| Beijing | 708 (32.5) | 187.684 | <0.001 |
| Chengdu | 645 (31.3) | | |
| Chongqing | 801 (31.7) | | |
| Hangzhou | 1013 (45.9) | | |
| Qingdao | 600 (28.0) | | |
| Shenzhen | 783 (34.8) | | |
| Gender | | | |
| Male | 2110 (34.9%) | 3.458 | 0.064 |
| Female | 2440 (33.4%) | | |
| Age (in years) | | | |
| 60-<65 | 1313 (34.2) | 7.226 | 0.204 |
| 65-<70 | 1327 (34.5) | | |
| 70-<75 | 911 (33.1) | | |
| 75-<80 | 545 (35.2) | | |
| 80-<85 | 251 (32.0) | | |
| ≥85 | 44 (42.3) | | |
| Education [A] | | | |
| Low | 1043 (28.0) | 98.662 | <0.001 |
| Medium-low | 1383 (34.6) | | |
| Medium-high | 1256 (36.3) | | |
| High | 868 (39.6) | | |
| Monthly Personal Income | | | |
| ≤2,500 CNY | 1001 (26.0) | 157.152 | <0.001 |
| 2,501-5,000 CNY | 2153 (36.9) | | |
| 5,001-7,500 CNY | 937 (38.1) | | |
| 7,500 CNY | 459 (37.4) | | |
| Marital Status | | | |
| Married/Cohabiting | 4040 (34.7) | 14.897 | <0.001 |
| Single/widowed | 510 (29.9) | | |
| Urban Employee Basic Medical Insurance | | | |
| Yes | 2850 (36.0) | 32.869 | <0.001 |
| No | 1700 (31.2) | | |
| Urban-Rural Resident Basic Medical Insurance | | | |
| Yes | 1560 (35.1) | 11.167 | <0.001 |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| No | 2990 (32.2) | | |

Table 3. Influenza vaccination rates by health status factors among a representative sample of older Chinese. (n=13363)

| Variable | Vaccinated (%) | Test Value | $P^B$ |
|---|---|---|---|
| Self-care ability | | | |
| Yes | 3812 (33.7) | 4.544 | 0.033 |
| No | 738 (36.1) | | |
| Self-assessed health status | | | |
| Poor | 465 (33.1) | 6.957 | 0.031 |
| Fair | 1768 (32.9) | | |
| Good | 2317 (35.1) | | |
| Number of comorbidities[A] | | | |
| zero | 1470 (33.0) | 12.040 | 0.007 |
| One | 1721 (33.7) | | |
| Two | 833 (34.7) | | |
| Three or more | 526 (37.9) | | |
| Hypertension | | | |
| Yes | 2169 (33.8) | 2.879 | 0.090 |
| No | 2381 (33.4) | | |
| Diabetes | | | |
| Yes | 1128 (35.4) | 3.424 | 0.064 |
| No | 3422 (33.6) | | |
| Hyperlipidemia | | | |
| Yes | 795 (36.4) | 6.518 | 0.011 |
| No | 3755 (33.6) | | |
| Stroke/Cerebrovascular | | | |
| Yes | 517 (31.1) | 2.025 | 0.155 |
| No | 12846 (34.2) | | |
| COPD/Respiratory diseases | | | |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Yes | 263 (38.9) | 7.477 | 0.007 | |
| No | 4287 (33.8) | | | |
| Self-reported Indicative of | | | | |
| Eligible | 3377 (74.2) | 2033.006 | 0.000 | |
| Ineligible | 1173 (25.8) | | | |

[A]We categorized the following chronic diseases: hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, stroke/cerebrovascular disease, coronary heart disease and other cardiovascular diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and other respiratory diseases, kidney diseases, immune system disorders, and tumors; [B]Chi square test result for the association between vaccine uptake and individuals' characteristics.

Table 4. Socio-geographic factors affecting influenza vaccine uptake in the previous 12 months among a representative sample of older Chinese. (n=13363)

| Variables | Count | Univariate logistic regression | | | Multivariate logistic | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | OR | 95% CI | *P* | OR | 95% CI | *P* |
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| Female | 7315 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | |
| Male | 6048 | 1.071 | 0.996-1.150 | 0.063 | 1.029 | 0.956-1.107 | 0.445 |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| 60-<65 | 3835 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | |
| 65-<70 | 3843 | 1.013 | 0.922-1.113 | 0.787 | 1.107 | 0.925-1.118 | 0.729 |
| 60-<75 | 2755 | 0.949 | 0.855-1.053 | 0.322 | 0.982 | 0.884-1.091 | 0.737 |
| 75-<80 | 1547 | 1.045 | 0.923-1.182 | 0.388 | 1.123 | 0.990-1.275 | 0.071 |
| 80-<85 | 785 | 0.903 | 0.766-1.064 | 0.222 | 0.990 | 0.837-1.171 | 0.908 |
| ≥85 | 104 | 1.409 | 0.949-2.090 | 0.09 | 1.114 | 0.920-1.348 | 0.269 |
| Education [A] | | | | | | | |
| Low | 3720 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | |
| Medium-low | 3992 | 1.361 | 1.235-1.499 | <0.001 | 1.181 | 1.062-1.313 | 0.002 |
| Medium-high | 3461 | 1.462 | 1.321-1.615 | <0.001 | 1.193 | 1.060-1.344 | 0.004 |
| High | 2190 | 1.462 | 1.507-1.884 | <0.001 | 1.382 | 1.196-1.597 | <0.001 |
| Monthly Personal | | | | | | | |
| ≤2,500 CNY | 3850 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | |
| 2,501-5,000 CNY | 5825 | 1.667 | 1.524-1.823 | <0.001 | 1.589 | 1.432-1.764 | <0.001 |
| 5,001-7,500 CNY | 2457 | 1.755 | 1.574-1.956 | <0.001 | 1.583 | 1.383-1.813 | <0.001 |
| 7,500 CNY | 1227 | 1.701 | 1.484-1.949 | <0.001 | 1.468 | 1.236-1.743 | <0.001 |

| Marital Status | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Single/widowed | 1705 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | |
| Married/Cohabiting | 11658 | 1.243 | 1.113-1.388 | <0.001 | 1.153 | 1.026-1.296 | 0.016 |
| Urban Employee | | | | | | | |
| No | 5446 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | |
| Yes | 7917 | 1.239 | 1.152-1.334 | <0.001 | 1.124 | 0.983-1.287 | 0.088 |
| Urban-Rural | | | | | | | |
| No | 8523 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | |
| Yes | 4840 | 1.136 | 1.054-1.225 | <0.001 | 1.270 | 1.111-1.452 | <0.001 |

Table 5. Health status factors affecting influenza vaccine uptake in the previous 12 months among a representative sample of older Chinese. (n=13363)

| Risk factor | Count | Univariate logistic | | | Multivariate logistic | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | OR | 95% CI | *P* | OR | 95% CI | *P* |
| Self-care ability | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 11319 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | |
| No | 2044 | 1.113 | 1.009-1.228 | 0.033 | 1.154 | 1.041-1.280 | 0.006 |
| Self-assessed health | | | | | | | |
| Poor | 1403 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | |
| Average | 5367 | 0.991 | 0.875-1.123 | 0.887 | 1.044 | 0.917-1.187 | 0.517 |
| Good | 6593 | 1.093 | 0.967-1.235 | 0.153 | 1.218 | 0.067-1.391 | 0.003 |
| Hypertension | | | | | | | |
| No | 7129 | 1 | 1 | 0.090 | 1 | 1 | |
| Yes | 6234 | 1.064 | 0.990-1.143 | | 1.068 | 0.991-1.152 | 0.084 |
| Diabetes | | | | | | | |
| No | 10177 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | |
| Yes | 3186 | 1.082 | 0.995-1.176 | 0.064 | 1.083 | 0.993-1.182 | 0.073 |
| Hyperlipidemia | | | | | | | |
| No | 11180 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | |
| Yes | 2183 | 1.133 | 1.029-1.246 | 0.010 | 1.133 | 1.026-1.251 | 0.014 |
| Stroke/Cerebrovascular | | | | | | | |
| No | 12846 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | |
| Yes | 517 | 0.871 | 0.721-1.053 | 0.155 | 0.848 | 0.697-1.031 | 0.098 |
| COPD/Respiratory | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| No | 12687 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | |
| Yes | 676 | 1.248 | 1.064- | 0.006 | 1.294 | 1.101-1.521 | 0.002 |

Figure1. Distribution of reasons for influenza vaccination among urban older persons based on their multi-selected opinions (n= 4550).

Figure 2. Ranked influencing factors for influenza vaccination among multi-reason vaccinated

respondents (n=1617).

Figure3. Distribution of reasons for influenza non-vaccination among urban older persons

based on their multi-selected opinions (n=8813).

Figure 4. Ranked influencing factors for influenza non-vaccination among multi-reason unvaccinated respondents (n=2076)