Factors associated with influenza vaccination among urban community-dwelling Chinese elderly: results from a multicity cross-sectional study

Jiayue Guo[a], Lili You[a*]

[a] *Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, School of Health Policy and Management, Beijing 100080, China*

Abstract

To understand the influenza vaccination rates and factors associated with vaccination behavior of urban elder people in China. We performed a cross-sectional questionnaire study recruiting urban elder people from six cities in China between 9 December and 30 December 2024 in community. Demographics, knowledge about influenza, vaccination status, intention and reasons to receive or not receive the vaccine, and planning to be re-vaccinated were analyzed. Continuous and categorical variables were compared using unpaired z-test and Chi-square test, respectively. Potential confounders were adjusted using multiple logistic regression. 13363 urban elder people participated, with a mean age of 70 years, of which 54.7% were female, 15.4% in Chengdu, 16.3% of were in Beijing, 16.0% in Qingdao, 16.5% in Hangzhou, 16.9% in Shenzhen and 18.9% in Chongqing. The primary chronic conditions included hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and stroke. The overall awareness rate of the influenza vaccine was 78.6%. Of the aware population, 40.3% received the vaccination and 78.8% were willing to be re-vaccinated. The overall vaccination rate was 34.0%, and 80.3 % were willing to be re-vaccinated. Higher education level (OR 1.40), higher income level (OR 1.52), marriage (OR 1.71), having health insurance (OR 1.72), having poor self-care ability (OR 1.30), having comorbidities (OR 1.18) were independently associated with influenza vaccination among older adults. Misconception of influenza, conformity with unvaccinated family or friends, and effectiveness and safety concerns of influenza vaccine were main barriers to influenza vaccination. The Government should improve influenza vaccination acceptance among elderly people through public education using diverse channels.

Keywords: China, elderly; factors; influenza; vaccination

Introduction

Influenza imposes a significant global health burden, annually resulting in a substantial toll of morbidity and mortality(1). Recent estimates indicate an alarming prevalence of severe illness, encompassing 3–5 million cases, alongside a staggering 290,000–650,000 annual respiratory-related fatalities. Most of the hospitalization and deaths occur among over 60 years old people.(2) This vulnerability stems from a weakened immune system compounded by the presence of chronic diseases.(3) A study conducted in China unveiled a disconcerting annual average of 88,100 excess deaths attributed to influenza-associated respiratory diseases during the seasons spanning from 2010–2011 to 2014–2015. Older people accounted for a significant 80% of these fatalities.(4)

To reduce the impact of seasonal influenza outbreaks, many countries have implemented annual vaccination programs.(5, 6) Immunization is the paramount strategy for influenza prevention, substantially mitigating the risk of influenza-related hospitalization among older adults by an average of 40%.(7) The World Health Organization (WHO) and the *Guidelines for Influenza Vaccination in China* both endorse prioritizing individuals aged 60 and above for influenza vaccination.(8) Meanwhile, studies indicated that influenza vaccination in the old adult is also cost-effective from a societal perspective.(9, 10) However, influenza vaccine (IV) uptake among older people in China remains low in China. (11-14) Significantly, the influenza vaccine is not integrated into China's national immunization program and is available only upon request, incurring out-of-pocket expenses [60–80 RMB ($9–15 USD)] for vaccination with the exception of local government-subsidized influenza vaccine programs in a few large urban areas.(15, 16) Consequently, accessibility to vaccines may be markedly restricted for older adults who possess limited knowledge about influenza and are economically disadvantaged.

Paying special attention to the older adults is of great significance. The objective of this study was to describe population patterns of influenza vaccination among older Chinese and to identify factors associated with vaccination/non-vaccination.

Methods

Subjects

This cross-sectional study was conducted during the flu season from December 2024 to February 2025. Elderly people aged 60 years old or above living in urban areas are eligible.

Participants were recruited by our staff from the community across the six main districts in China (Beijing, Chengdu, Chongqing, Hangzhou, Qingdao, Shenzhen). Participants were able to access the questionnaire through a web link or a QR code displayed in the public areas where they commonly had social gatherings. The elderly aged 60 years and above who took free medical examinations in the CHSCs were randomly invited and included in

the study. All questionnaires were completed by face-to-face interview by trained interviewers. Participants were required to give their consent digitally on the first page of the online questionnaire. A unique reference number will be generated for every completed questionnaire and recorded in our system. The response rate was estimated by the total number of completed questionnaires divided by the number of elder people approached by our staff during the study period.

Questionnaire

The questionnaire consisted of four sections: (i) Demographics and basic health status,(ii) influenza vaccine awareness, (iii) influenza vaccination status and reasons for vaccination or non-vaccination, and (iv) intention to be re-vaccinated. Attitudes were assessed using a 5-point Likert scales. Specifically, for vaccinated participants, a 5-point scale ranging from "very beneficial" to "very useless" was used to rate the perceived benefits of the vaccine, and for unvaccinated participants, perceived personal need for the vaccine using a 5-point scale ranging from “very needed” to “completely unneeded”. A three-point scales for both vaccinated participants and unvaccinated participants were used for future intentions. Multi-select questions with subsequent prioritization were used to understand reasons for vaccination behavior.

Data analysis

Descriptive statistics for demographic characteristics and health status were presented as means, numbers, percentages, and standard deviations (SD). Unpaired Chi-square test to compare continuous and categorical variables, respectively, between (i) aware and unaware groups, (ii) vaccinated and unvaccinated groups.

Moreover, we tested the interaction between the presence of chronic diseases indicative of flu immunization and demographic and clinical characteristics of study participants. To determine factors associated with influenza vaccination among older persons, we performed univariate logistic regression analyses. The dependent variables in these models were being ever vaccinated against fluenza (yes/no): "Did you get vaccinated against influenza in the last 12 months?". Self-report has been shown to be a highly accurate way of assessing past vaccination behavior in elderly populations(17). Independent variables (risk factors) were socio-demographic (gender, age, education level, marital status, monthly personal income, having health insurance), need factors (self-rated and health-related (self-care ability, self-assessed health, number of comorbidities, presence of chronic diseases, self-reported indicative of flu immunization). All variables univariately statistically significant and marginally significant (according to Hosmer and Lemeshow variables with $p < 0.250$) entered the multivariate logistic regression models (Enter method). Results were expressed as adjusted odds ratios (aOR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI), taking a reference category for each variable. An aOR > 1 indicates a promoting factor for awareness/vaccination, while aOR < 1 indicates a barrier. A two-tailed p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically

significant.

Frequency analyses (expressed as percentages and counts) were conducted for multi-select responses regarding reasons for vaccination/non-vaccination among vaccinated and unvaccinated participants. All analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics software version 25 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).

Results

Total 13757 urban elder people were investigated, and 13363 elder people completed the questionnaire, giving an estimated response rate of 97.1%. The mean age was 69.53, 45.3% were male and 54.7% were female, 18.9% from Chongqing, 16.9% from Shenzhen, 16.5% from Hangzhou, 16.3% from Beijing, 16.0% from Qingdao, and 15.4% from Chengdu. 66.64% had ≥1 chronic condition. Hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and stroke were the most prevalent comorbidities. (see Table 1 for further characteristics of the sample).

Overall, 78.6% (n=10501) of the sample have ever heard of the influenza vaccine. The following characteristics were associated with awareness of vaccine: region, age, married, education, income, self-care ability, self-assessed health, chronic medical conditions (hypertension, hyperlipidemia), and morbidity. Gender, diseases like diabetes, stroke, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) were not associated with the awareness rate of influenza vaccine (Table 1).

Table 1. Awareness rates of influenza vaccine according to main sociodemographic and health-related characteristics among a representative sample of older Chinese. (n=13363)

Variable			N (%)	Aware (%)	Test Value	P^C
Socio-demographic characteristic	Region					
		Beijing	2180 (16.3)	2027 (93.0)	702.21	<0.001
		Chengdu	2059 (15.4)	1527 (74.2)		
		Chongqing	2523 (18.9)	1665 (66.0)		
		Hangzhou	2208 (16.5)	1959 (88.7)		
		Qingdao	2140 (16.0)	1567 (73.2)		
		Shenzhen	2253 (16.9)	1756 (77.9)		
	Gender					
		Male	6048 (45.3)	4712 (77.9)	2.970	0.086
		Female	7315 (54.7)	5789 (79.1)		
	Age (year)					
		60~<65	3825 (28.7)	3063 (80.1)	17.826	<0.001
		65~<70	3843 (28.8)	3052 (79.4)		
		70~<75	2755 (20.6)	2141 (77.7)		
		75~＜80	1547 (11.6)	1206 (78.0)		

	80~＜85	785 (5.9)	582 (74.1)		
	85及以上	598 (4.5)	457 (76.4)		
	Education [A]				
	Low	3720 (27.8)	2429 (65.3)	667.742	<0.001
	Medium-low	3992 (29.8)	3125 (78.3)		
	Medium-high	3461 (25.9)	2959 (85.5)		
	High	2190 (16.4)	1978 (90.3)		
	Monthly Personal Income				
	≤2,500 CNY	3850 (28.8)	2440 (63.4)	771.335	<0.001
	2,501-5,000 CNY	5829 (43.6)	4836 (83.0)		
	5,001-7,500 CNY	2457 (18.4)	2145 (87.3)		
	7,500 CNY	1227 (9.2)	1080 (88.0)		
	Marital Status				
	Married/Cohabiting	11658 (87.2)	9284 (79.6)	60.270	<0.001
	Single/widowed	1705 (12.8)	1217 (71.4)		
	Urban Employee Basic Medical Insurance				
	Yes	7919 (59.2)	6779 (85.6)	572.58	<0.001
	No	5444 (40.8)	3722 (68.4)		
	Urban-Rural Resident Basic Medical Insurance				
	Yes	4840 (36.2)	3391 (70.1)	327.35	<0.001
	No	8523 (63.8)	7110 (83.4)		
Health-related characteristic	Self-care ability				
	Yes	11319 (84.7)	9061 (80.0)	94.828	<0.001
	No	2044 (15.3)	1440 (70.5)		
	Self-assessed health status				
	Poor	1403 (10.5)	968 (69.0)	100.434	<0.001
	Fair	5367 (40.2)	4192 (78.1)		
	Good	6593 (49.3)	5341 (81.0)		
	Number of comorbidities[B]				
	zero	4458 (33.4)	3428 (76.9)	31.343	<0.001
	One	5112 (71.6)	3982 (77.9)		
	Two	2404 (18.0)	1937 (80.6)		
	Three or more	1389 (10.4)	1154 (83.1)		
	Hypertension				
	Yes	6234 (46.7)	4993 (80.1)	15.839	<0.001
	No	7129 (53.3)	5508 (77.3)		
	Diabetes				
	Yes	3186 (23.8)	2518 (79.0)	0.505	0.477
	No	10177 (76.2)	7983 (78.4)		
	Hyperlipidemia				
	Yes	2183 (16.3)	1840 (84.3)	50.459	<0.001

No	11180 (83.7)	8661 (77.5)		
Stroke/Cerebrovascular Disease				
Yes	517 (3.9)	389 (75.2)	3.567	0.059
No	12846 (96.1)	10112 (78.7)		
COPD/Respiratory diseases				
Yes	676 (9.1)	526 (77.8)	0.252	0.616
No	12687 (94.9)	9975 (78.6)		

[A]Educational level was categorized as follows: Low (primary school degree or below), Medium-low (junior high school degree), Medium–high (high school or technical secondary school or vocational school degree), High (college/university degree or above); [B]We categorized the following chronic diseases: hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, stroke/cerebrovascular disease, coronary heart disease and other cardiovascular diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and other respiratory diseases, kidney diseases, immune system disorders, and tumors; [C]Chi square test result for the association between vaccine awareness and individuals' characteristics.

Overall, 34.0% of the sample reported having an influenza vaccination within one year. In vaccinated group, 75.8% chose to re-vaccinate next year; While only 9.8% considered to get the shot next year in unvaccinated group. In aware group, 40.3% were vaccinated.

The analysis of vaccine uptake rates according to study variables are presented in Table 2. The influenza vaccination rate differed across regions (p<0.001), with 45.9% in Hangzhou, 28.0% in Qingdao, and 34.8%, 32.5%, 31.7%, 31.3% in Shenzhen, Beijing, Chongqing, Chengdu respectively. A higher immunization rate was observed among those older persons who had a higher education (p<0.001), being married (p< 0.001), had a higher income (p<0.001), and had health insurance (p<0.001). Also, regarding health status factors. older persons who were less likely to report having self-care ability (p= 0.033), more often reported average health status (p=0.031), more often reported hyperlipidemia (0.011), and had a higher proportion with comorbidities (p=0.007) were significantly more likely to have been vaccinated. As expected, vaccinated individuals were overwhelmingly classified as eligible for immunization (p =0.001). However, we did not observe the difference in vaccination behavior in terms of gender (p= 0.064), age (p= 0.204), and presence of hypertension (p= 0.09), diabetes (p= 0.064), and stroke/Cerebrovascular disease (p= 0.155).

Table 2. Awareness rates of influenza vaccine according to main sociodemographic and health-related characteristics among a representative sample of older Chinese. (n=13363)

Variable			Vaccinated (%)	Test Value	P^C
Socio-demographic characteristic	Region				
		Beijing	708 (32.5)	187.684	<0.001

Chengdu	645 (31.3)		
Chongqing	801 (31.7)		
Hangzhou	1013 (45.9)		
Qingdao	600 (28.0)		
Shenzhen	783 (34.8)		
Gender			
Male	2110 (34.9%)	3.458	0.064
Female	2440 (33.4%)		
Age (in years)			
60-<65	1313 (34.2)	7.226	0.204
65-<70	1327 (34.5)		
60-<75	911 (33.1)		
75-<80	545 (35.2)		
80-<85	251 (32.0)		
≥85	44 (42.3)		
Education [A]			
Low	1043 (28.0)	98.662	<0.001
Medium-low	1383 (34.6)		
Medium-high	1256 (36.3)		
High	868 (39.6)		
Monthly Personal Income			
≤2,500 CNY	1001 (26.0)	157.152	<0.001
2,501-5,000 CNY	2153 (36.9)		
5,001-7,500 CNY	937 (38.1)		
7,500 CNY	459 (37.4)		
Marital Status			
Married/Cohabiting	4040 (34.7)	14.897	<0.001
Single/widowed	510 (29.9)		

	Urban Employee Basic Medical Insurance			
	Yes	2850 (31.2)	32.869	<0.001
	No	1700 (36.0)		
	Urban-Rural Resident Basic Medical Insurance			
	Yes	1560 (35.1)	11.167	<0.001
	No	2990 (32.2)		
Health-related characteristic	Self-care ability			
	Yes	3812 (33.7)	4.544	0.033
	No	738 (36.1)		
	Self-assessed health status			
	Poor	465 (33.1)	6.957	0.031
	Fair	1768 (32.9)		
	Good	2317 (35.1)		
	Number of comorbidities[B]			
	zero	1470 (33.0)	12.040	0.007
	One	1721 (33.7)		
	Two	833 (34.7)		
	Three or more	526 (37.9)		
	Hypertension			
	Yes	2169 (33.8)	2.879	0.090
	No	2381 (33.4)		
	Diabetes			
	Yes	1128 (35.4)	3.424	0.064
	No	3422 (33.6)		
	Hyperlipidemia			
	Yes	795 (36.4)	6.518	0.011

No	3755 (33.6)		
Stroke/Cerebrovascular Disease			
Yes	517 (31.1)	2.025	0.155
No	12846 (34.2)		
COPD/Respiratory diseases			
Yes	263 (38.9)		
No	4187 (33.8)		
Self-reported Indicative of flu immunization			
Eligible	3377 (74.2)	2033.006	0.000
Ineligible	1173 (25.8)		

[A]Educational level was categorized as follows: Low (primary school degree or below), Medium-low (junior high school degree), Medium–high (high school or technical secondary school or vocational school degree), High (college/university degree or above); [B]We categorized the following chronic diseases: hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, stroke/cerebrovascular disease, coronary heart disease and other cardiovascular diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and other respiratory diseases, kidney diseases, immune system disorders, and tumors; [C]Chi square test result for the association between vaccine uptake and individuals' characteristics.

To verify whether or not the presence of chronic diseases indicative of flu immunization had an influence on demographic and clinical variables that could be potentially associated with flu vaccination, we performed testing for interaction (see Additional file 1). Univariate logistic regression analysis (Table 3) showed that male sex, longer formal education, higher education, higher personal income, married, having health insurance, poor self-care ability, and having three or more comorbidities, having hyperlipidemia were statistically significantly associated with receiving the vaccine. Multivariate logistic regression analysis (Table 3) demonstrated that higher education level, higher income level, marriage, having health insurance, having poor self-care ability, having one or more comorbidities, and having not stroke/ cerebrovascular disease were independently associated with influenza vaccination among older persons.

Table 3 Associations between socio-demographic factors and influenza vaccine uptake in the previous 12 months among a representative sample of older Chinese (N=13363)

Risk factor	Count	Univariate logistic regression			Multivariate logistic regression		
		OR	95% CI	*P*	OR	95% CI	*P*

Gender							
Female	7315	1	1		1	1	
Male	6048	1.071	0.996-1.150	0.063	1.030	0.955-1.110	0.447
Age							
60-<65	3835	1	1		1	1	
65-<70	3843	1.013	0.922-1.113	0.787	0.994	0.903-1.094	0.902
60-<75	2755	0.949	0.855-1.053	0.322	0.955	0.859-1.063	0.400
75-<80	1547	1.045	0.923-1.182	0.388	1.076	0.946-1.223	0.267
80-<85	785	0.903	0.766-1.064	0.222	0.919	0.774-1.091	0.334
≥85	104	1.409	0.949-2.090	0.089	1.401	0.935-2.099	0.102
Education [A]							
Low	3720	1	1		1	1	
Medium-low	3992	1.361	1.235-1.499	<0.001	1.204	1.079-1.343	<0.001
Medium-high	3461	1.462	1.321-1.615	<0.001	1.204	1.065-1.36	0.003
High	2190	1.462	1.507-1.884	<0.001	1.401	1.207-1.627	<0.001
Monthly Personal Income							
≤2,500 CNY	3850	1	1		1	1	
2,501-5,000 CNY	5825	1.667	1.524-1.823	<0.001	1.528	1.374-1.7	<0.001
5,001-7,500 CNY	2457	1.755	1.574-1.956	<0.001	1.521	1.324-1.747	<0.001
7,500 CNY	1227	1.701	1.484-1.949	<0.001	1.405	1.178-1.675	<0.001
Marital Status							
Single/widowed	1705	1	1		1	1	
Married/Cohabiting	11658	1.243	1.113-1.388	<0.001	1.171	1.036-1.325	0.012
Urban Employee Basic Medical Insurance							
No	5446	1	1		1	1	
Yes	7917	1.239	1.152-1.334	<0.001	1.172	1.021-1.346	0.024

Urban-Rural Resident Basic Medical Insurance							
No	8523	1	1		1	1	
Yes	4840	1.136	1.054-1.225	<0.001	1.286	1.122-1.475	<0.001
Self-care ability							
Yes	11319	1	1		1	1	
No	2044	1.113	1.009-1.228	0.033	1.299	1.160-1.454	<0.001
Self-assessed health status							
Poor	1403	1	1		1	1	
Average	5367	0.991	0.875-1.123	0.887	0.99	0.865-1.134	0.887
Good	6593	1.093	0.967-1.235	0.153	1.066	0.925-1.228	0.378
Number of comorbidities[B]							
Zero	4458	1	1		1	1	
One	5112	1.032	0.947-1.123	0.474	1.077	0.946-1.228	0.262
Two	2404	1.078	0.971-1.197	0.161	1.186	0.962-1.461	0.11
Three or more	1389	1.239	1.093-1.404	<0.001	1.443	1.066-1.954	0.018
Hypertension							
No	7129	1	1	0.090	1	1	
Yes	6234	1.064	0.990-1.143		0.977	0.863-1.105	0.708
Diabetes							
No	10177	1	1		1	1	
Yes	3186	1.082	0.995-1.176	0.064	0.97	0.856-1.1	0.637
Hyperlipidemia							
No	11180	1	1		1	1	
Yes	2183	1.133	1.029-1.246	0.011	0.936	0.812-1.079	0.364
Stroke/Cerebrovascular							

Disease							
No	12846	1	1		1	1	
Yes	517	0.871	0.721-1.053	0.155	0.802	0.643-0.999	0.049

Of those (n= 4550) who get vaccinated in the last 12 months, vast majority (80.5%) believe that vaccination is beneficial for themselves. The facilitators to influenza vaccination included belief in its safety and effectiveness (n=2222, 48.8%), recommendations from family (n=1617, 35.5%) and doctors (n=1125, 24.7%), positive past experience (n=790, 17.4%), government recommendation (n=522, 11.5%), and the desire to protect family (n=484, 10.6%) (Fig.1). Among the 1617 vaccinated persons citing multiple reasons, safety and effectiveness beliefs were the single most influential facilitator (33.8%), followed by recommendations from family (19%), then by having found previous vaccination effective (16.5%),



doctor-recommended vaccination (12.7%), and to protect family (7.9%). (Fig. 2).

Figure1. Distribution of reasons for influenza vaccination among urban older persons based on their multi-selected opinions (n= 4550).



Figure 2. Ranked influencing factors for influenza vaccination among multi-reason vaccinated respondents (n=1,617)

Among 8,813 unvaccinated elderly individuals, few (3.5%) considered it "very necessary," while 39.9% felt it was "somewhat necessary." 12.7% deemed it "not very necessary," 9.3% "completely unnecessary," and 34.6% were uncertain about the need. The barriers to influenza vaccination included the belief that influenza symptoms are not severe enough to warrant vaccination (n=3,544, 40.2%), conformity with unvaccinated family or friends (n=2,079, 23.6%), concerns about potential adverse reactions (n=1,642, 18.6%), skepticism about vaccine effectiveness (n=1,526, 17.3%) (Fig. 3). Among the 2,076 unvaccinated individuals citing multiple reasons, concern about adverse reactions was the single most influential barrier (n=646, 22.6%), followed by the perception that influenza symptoms are not severe (n=458, 22.1%), conforming to unvaccinated peers (14.7%). and vaccine effectiveness (13.1%) (Fig. 4).



Figure3. Distribution of reasons for influenza non-vaccination among urban older persons based on their multi-selected opinions (n=8813).



Figure 4. Ranked influencing factors for influenza non-vaccination among multi-reason unvaccinated respondents (n=2076)

Discussion

In our study of community dwelling older adults in China, we observed moderate awareness of the influenza vaccine. 78.6% said they had heard of the vaccine. Awareness was higher among those aged 60–69 years, married, better educated, better self-care ability, better

self-assessed health, and those with some chronic medical conditions.

In this study, the vaccination rate is 28.0% to 45.9% for those aged ≥60 years, far lower than the WHO recommendation of 75% and lower than the vaccination rate in developed countries. (18, 19) This gap may come from limited public funding that only covers some regions.

Due to the cost of influenza vaccines, diverse reimbursement strategies have been used across China. In our studied regions, Hangzhou had a higher vaccination rate than other cities, this suggests that having access to favorable policies can be the main factor affecting vaccination propensity. (20) Hit by COVID-19 led to residents expressing an increased positive inclination toward influenza vaccines, but actions would be catalyzed only if vaccines were available at no cost.(21) The government or other service organizations should consider subsidized services.(16)

The results showed that, socio-demographic characteristics consistently associated with receiving influenza vaccination among older persons included higher education level, higher income level, marriage and having health insurance. Health-related factors included having poor self-care ability, having three or more medical condition such as hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, stroke/cerebrovascular disease.

We found that being female was not significantly associated with increased influenza vaccinate uptake. however, findings from studies in Hong Kong(22) found that being female was associated with reporting an influenza vaccination. Internationally, findings have been mixed with several studies reporting men are more likely to receive vaccination than women (23, 24), others reporting women as more likely(25), while some show no significant difference(26).

In our study, the influence of education was also found to be significantly associated with higher influenza immunisation among older participants and this was similar to finding from previous studies(27-29). Those with higher incomes was significantly more likely to report influenza vaccination and this similar association was also found in South Korea(30, 31) and North America(28). The finding of having a chronic disease(s) was associated with increased influenza vaccine intake also aligned with previous studies in Europe(32) and Singapore(33). Having health insurance was associated with an increased vaccination uptake, similar to results in North America(25). We found that being married are more likely to be vaccinated than unmarried persons, as has been identified previously(28, 34).

In line with previous evidence,(35, 36) vaccination history in former seasons is conducive to future seasons. This hints to administrative departments to take action before it is too late. Practical issues including vaccination expense, accessing vaccination services and relative service experience are antecedents influencing influenza vaccination.

Views of the vaccine effectiveness were positive for most vaccinated participants, about three in four chose to re-vaccinate nest year, while were negative in unvaccinated group, merely

9.8% considered to get the shot next year. 40.2% perceived low severity of influenza, and about one in four doubted the safety of influenza vaccine. Therefore, addressing the two major barriers, misconceptions of influenza and uncertainty of vaccine safety will be an important next step to increase vaccination uptake. Healthcare professionals' endorsement and recommendation could eliminate those barriers and significantly increase vaccination uptake. Primary care professionals should be accessible to the population, but they also need enough time to discuss the benefits and risks of the vaccine with their patients.

Identifying groups of the population who are less likely to be vaccinated could allow those groups to be targeted. Organized immunization counseling could therefor provide accurate, evidence-based information in order to transform misbeliefs, prejudice, and negative attitude towards vaccination. The contents may include the fact that the influenza vaccine is not 100% efficacious(37) and may have some side effects(38). Simple interventions(39), such as personalized postcards or phone calls are effective, and home visits, and facilitators, have proven to be effective. Population-based influenza vaccination strategies could also benefit from computer systems developed to trace, immunize and monitor high-risk people efficiently.

Our study has practical implications for influenza vaccine promotion. First, factors that impact the urban elderly's attitudes have been brought into focus. A gap still exists in awareness, willingness, and vaccination. Influencing factors of those who had differences also maintained close relationships. Awareness and knowledge are necessary but not the trigger to act.(40, 41) Moreover, behavioral and social science research proposed miscellaneous theories to build the foundation of intervention strategies. Identifying factors at different levels in this study-intrapersonal, interpersonal, organizational, and governmental is essential to clear intervention function and develop programs and incorporate resources.

Limitations

This study had a few limitations. Primarily, the survey was only representative of the non-institutionalized population, and levels of vaccination in community-dwelling elderly people could be different from that in the institutionalized population. Secondly, convenience sampling was more likely to lead to limited representative of our results. However, we included participants from six administrative regions in China with a relatively large sample size to minimize this limitation. Another issue that should be mentioned is the cross-sectional nature of the survey used as the source of information; this means that any association found between vaccination uptake and some variables does not involve a causal relationship. It could not be ascertained whether unvaccinated people had been offered vaccination and refused, or whether vaccination was not offered at all. All these factors indicate that we should be cautious about the interpretation of the results of this study.

Conclusions

Our findings indicate that the proportion of older persons vaccinated against influenza was

lower than that in industrialized countries. Factors most strongly associated with vaccination were education, income, health insurance and having ≥3 comorbidities, suggesting higher uptake among socioeconomically advantaged individuals with greater healthcare access but poorer functional status. Among those eligible for vaccination, interventions could be targeted towards unmarried, less educated and uninsured elderly. Misconception of influenza, conformity with unvaccinated family or friends, and effectiveness and safety concerns of influenza vaccine were main barriers to influenza vaccination. The practical recommendation from physicians in community care centers may help to enhance acceptance of influenza vaccination. Furthermore, organized immunization counseling would provide accurate, evidence-based information in order to transform misbeliefs, prejudice, and negative attitude towards vaccination. To achieve long-term results, mobilization of media (in form of video clips, billboards, banners, leaflets, etc.) can be effective, and government institutions would be beneficial for developing program to improve influenza-related awareness and considering subsidized service with the goal to improve influenza vaccination in older population groups.

References

1. Iuliano AD, Roguski KM, Chang HH, Muscatello DJ, Palekar R, Tempia S, et al. Estimates of global seasonal influenza-associated respiratory mortality: a modelling study. Lancet. 2018;391(10127):1285-300.
2. Cheng PY, Palekar R, Azziz-Baumgartner E, Iuliano D, Alencar AP, Bresee J, et al. Burden of influenza-associated deaths in the Americas, 2002-2008. Influenza Other Respir Viruses. 2015;9 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):13-21.
3. Bartoszko J, Loeb M. The burden of influenza in older adults: meeting the challenge. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2021;33(3):711-7.
4. Li L, Liu Y, Wu P, Peng Z, Wang X, Chen T, et al. Influenza-associated excess respiratory mortality in China, 2010-15: a population-based study. Lancet Public Health. 2019;4(9):e473-e81.
5. Global burden and strength of evidence for 88 risk factors in 204 countries and 811 subnational locations, 1990-2021: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. Lancet. 2024;403(10440):2162-203.
6. Control ECfDPa. Seasonal influenza vaccination and antiviral use in Europe – Overview of vaccination recommendations and coverage rates in the EU Member States for the 2013–14 and 2014–15 influenza seasons (Technical Report).
7. Rondy M, El Omeiri N, Thompson MG, Levêque A, Moren A, Sullivan SG. Effectiveness of influenza vaccines in preventing severe influenza illness among adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis of test-negative design case-control studies. J Infect. 2017;75(5):381-94.
8. Vaccines against influenza WHO position paper – November 2012. Wkly Epidemiol Rec. 2012;87(47):461-76.
9. Dilokthornsakul P, Lan LM, Thakkinstian A, Hutubessy R, Lambach P, Chaiyakunapruk N. Economic evaluation of seasonal influenza vaccination in elderly and health workers: A systematic review and meta-analysis. EClinicalMedicine. 2022;47:101410.

10. Ting EEK, Sander B, Ungar WJ. Systematic review of the cost-effectiveness of influenza immunization programs. Vaccine. 2017;35(15):1828-43.
11. Li Q, Zhang M, Chen H, Wu F, Xian J, Zheng L, et al. Influenza Vaccination Coverage among Older Adults with Hypertension in Shenzhen, China: A Cross-Sectional Survey during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Vaccines (Basel). 2021;9(10).
12. Ye C, Zhu W, Yu J, Li Z, Hu W, Hao L, et al. Low coverage rate and awareness of influenza vaccine among older people in Shanghai, China: A cross-sectional study. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2018;14(11):2715-21.
13. Wu S, Su J, Yang P, Zhang H, Li H, Chu Y, et al. Factors associated with the uptake of seasonal influenza vaccination in older and younger adults: a large, population-based survey in Beijing, China. BMJ Open. 2017;7(9):e017459.
14. Zhou L, Su Q, Xu Z, Feng A, Jin H, Wang S, et al. Seasonal influenza vaccination coverage rate of target groups in selected cities and provinces in China by season (2009/10 to 2011/12). PLoS One. 2013;8(9):e73724.
15. Zheng J, Zhou Y, Wang H, Liang X. The role of the China Experts Advisory Committee on Immunization Program. Vaccine. 2010;28 Suppl 1:A84-7.
16. Yang J, Atkins KE, Feng L, Pang M, Zheng Y, Liu X, et al. Seasonal influenza vaccination in China: Landscape of diverse regional reimbursement policy, and budget impact analysis. Vaccine. 2016;34(47):5724-35.
17. Zimmerman RK, Raymund M, Janosky JE, Nowalk MP, Fine MJ. Sensitivity and specificity of patient self-report of influenza and pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccinations among elderly outpatients in diverse patient care strata. Vaccine. 2003;21(13-14):1486-91.
18. Statista. Influenza vaccination coverage rate among older adults in selected developed countries 2022 2023 [Available from: https://www.statista.com/statistics/283283/vaccination-coverage-against-influenza/.
19. Karki S, Dyda A, Newall A, Heywood A, MacIntyre CR, McIntyre P, et al. Comparison of influenza vaccination coverage between immigrant and Australian-born adults. Vaccine. 2016;34(50):6388-95.
20. Wang X, Jiang W, Yu Z, Jiang W, Zhu X, Wang Z, et al. Government livelihood project - free influenza vaccination for the older population in China: the example of Zhejiang Province. Front Public Health. 2025;13:1571499.
21. Zhou Y, Tang J, Zhang J, Wu Q. Impact of the coronavirus disease 2019 epidemic and a free influenza vaccine strategy on the willingness of residents to receive influenza vaccines in Shanghai, China. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2021;17(7):2289-92.
22. Mo PK, Lau JT. Influenza vaccination uptake and associated factors among elderly population in Hong Kong: the application of the Health Belief Model. Health Educ Res. 2015;30(5):706-18.
23. Nagata JM, Hernández-Ramos I, Kurup AS, Albrecht D, Vivas-Torrealba C, Franco-Paredes C. Social determinants of health and seasonal influenza vaccination in adults ⩾65 years: a systematic review of qualitative and quantitative data. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:388.
24. Endrich MM, Blank PR, Szucs TD. Influenza vaccination uptake and socioeconomic determinants in 11 European countries. Vaccine. 2009;27(30):4018-24.

25. Takayama M, Wetmore CM, Mokdad AH. Characteristics associated with the uptake of influenza vaccination among adults in the United States. Prev Med. 2012;54(5):358-62.
26. Okoli GN, Lam OLT, Racovitan F, Reddy VK, Righolt CH, Neilson C, et al. Seasonal influenza vaccination in older people: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the determining factors. PLoS One. 2020;15(6):e0234702.
27. Lau JT, Yang X, Tsui HY, Kim JH. Prevalence of influenza vaccination and associated factors among community-dwelling Hong Kong residents of age 65 or above. Vaccine. 2006;24(26):5526-34.
28. Khan SR, Hall AG, Tanner RJ, Marlow NM. Association between race/ethnicity and disability status and receipt of vaccines among older adults in Florida. Disabil Health J. 2018;11(3):339-44.
29. Roy M, Sherrard L, Dubé È, Gilbert NL. Determinants of non-vaccination against seasonal influenza. Health Rep. 2018;29(10):12-22.
30. Seo J, Lim J. Trends in influenza vaccination coverage rates in South Korea from 2005 to 2014: Effect of public health policies on vaccination behavior. Vaccine. 2018;36(25):3666-73.
31. Kwon DS, Kim K, Park SM. Factors associated with influenza vaccination coverage among the elderly in South Korea: the Fourth Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES IV). BMJ Open. 2016;6(12):e012618.
32. Zürcher K, Zwahlen M, Berlin C, Egger M, Fenner L. Trends in influenza vaccination uptake in Switzerland: Swiss Health Survey 2007 and 2012. Swiss Med Wkly. 2019;149:w14705.
33. Ho HJ, Tan YR, Cook AR, Koh G, Tham TY, Anwar E, et al. Increasing Influenza and Pneumococcal Vaccination Uptake in Seniors Using Point-of-Care Informational Interventions in Primary Care in Singapore: A Pragmatic, Cluster-Randomized Crossover Trial. Am J Public Health. 2019;109(12):1776-83.
34. Gazibara T, Kovacevic N, Kisic-Tepavcevic D, Nurkovic S, Kurtagic I, Gazibara T, et al. Flu vaccination among older persons: study of knowledge and practices. J Health Popul Nutr. 2019;38(1):2.
35. Sherman SM, Sim J, Amlôt R, Cutts M, Dasch H, Rubin GJ, et al. Intention to have the seasonal influenza vaccination during the COVID-19 pandemic among eligible adults in the UK: a cross-sectional survey. BMJ Open. 2021;11(7):e049369.
36. Burns VM, Castillo FM, Coldren RL, Prosser T, Howell RL, Kabbur MB. Perceptions of Seasonal Influenza Vaccine Among U.S. Army Civilians and Dependents in the Kaiserslautern Military Community: A Mixed-Methods Survey. Mil Med. 2022;187(3-4):e394-e403.
37. Cartter ML, Renzullo PO, Helgerson SD, Martin SM, Jekel JF. Influenza outbreaks in nursing homes: how effective is influenza vaccine in the institutionalized elderly? Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 1990;11(9):473-8.
38. Chernesky M, O'Neill D, Pickard L, Castriciano S, Kraftcheck D, Sellors J, et al. Immunogenicity and adverse reactions of influenza vaccination in elderly patients given acetaminophen or placebo. Clin Diagn Virol. 1993;1(2):129-36.
39. Thomas RE, Russell M, Lorenzetti D. Interventions to increase influenza vaccination

rates of those 60 years and older in the community. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010(9):Cd005188.
40. Isler O, Isler B, Kopsacheilis O, Ferguson E. Limits of the social-benefit motive among high-risk patients: a field experiment on influenza vaccination behaviour. BMC Public Health. 2020;20(1):240.
41. Ishola DA, Jr., Permalloo N, Cordery RJ, Anderson SR. Midwives' influenza vaccine uptake and their views on vaccination of pregnant women. J Public Health (Oxf). 2013;35(4):570-7.