Quality of life associated with clinical and sociodemographic determinants in the postpartum period of Mexican women living with HIV. A cross-sectional study

Mauricio Domínguez-Castro[1,¶], Alicia Ramírez-Ramírez[2,¶], Noemí G. Plazola-Camacho[2], Miroslava Avila-García[2], Margarita López-Martínez[1], Norah Lucky Katende-Kyenda[3], Ismael Mancilla-Herrera[4], Diana M. Soriano-Becerril[2], José Romo-Yáñez[5], Carmen S. García-Romero[2], Ricardo Figueroa-Damián[2] and Jessica Hernández-Pineda[2*]

[1]Departamento de Fisiología y Desarrollo Celular. Instituto Nacional de Perinatología, Lomas Virreyes, 11000, Mexico City, Mexico.

[2]Departamento de Infectología e Inmunología. Instituto Nacional de Perinatología, Lomas Virreyes, 11000, Mexico City, Mexico.

[3]Department of Internal Medicine and Pharmacology, Walter Sisulu University, School of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Mthatha, Eastern Cape, South Africa.

[4]Subdirección de Investigación Biomédica. Instituto Nacional de Perinatología, Lomas Virreyes, 11000, Mexico City, Mexico.

[5]Coordinación de Endocrinología Ginecológica y Perinatal. Instituto Nacional de Perinatología, Lomas Virreyes, 11000, Mexico City, Mexico.

*Corresponding author: Jessica Hernández-Pineda, MSc. ORCID ID: 0000-0003-3698-0912.

E-mail: jesspinq@yahoo.com.mx (JHP)

¶ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Running title: QoL in the postpartum period of Mexican WLWH

Abstract

The HIV epidemic remains a major public health challenge, particularly for women living with HIV (WLWH) who face vulnerabilities during pregnancy and motherhood. Addressing factors influencing quality of life (QoL) in this population is vital for improving health outcomes and guiding public health strategies. A cross-sectional study of 75 postpartum WLWH was conducted between 2020-22, using the WHOQoL-HIV-BREF instrument and statistical analyses to evaluate QoL and its associations with socio-demographic and clinical factors. Postpartum WLWH experienced overall medium QoL, with the psychological domain scoring lowest and physical health and independence scoring highest. Nearly half reported poor QoL, influenced by socio-demographic factors and CD4 counts. The WHOQoL-HIV-BREF instrument demonstrated strong reliability, highlighting the multifaceted challenges these women face in maintaining QoL during postpartum. HIV/AIDS affects QoL in postpartum WLWH, with antiretroviral therapy adherence and optimal CD4 levels improving outcomes. These findings support including QoL as a marker of success in WHO strategies.

Keywords: WHOQoL-HIV-Bref, stigma, poverty, vulnerability of women, fourth HIV target.

Introduction

The HIV epidemic continues to be one of the most significant public health challenges after more than 40 years of its emergence. To date, people living with HIV (PLWH) face challenges related to HIV infection, even when they have had sustained antiretroviral therapy (ART) and they achieve suppression of viral load (VL) of HIV [1–3]; two of the three 95-95-95 important UNIADS targets for eradicating HIV pandemic [4–6]. For instance, from the clinical point of view, PLWH faces chronicity of HIV infection and the effects of long ART treatment and their adherence, as well as the non-infectious comorbidities associated with both [7]. Therefore, from the social point of view, PLWH faces persistent stigma and discrimination [2]. Hence nowadays, clinical and social factors importantly affect their Quality of Life (QoL) [8,9]. Particularly, in specific highly vulnerable groups, as a woman living with HIV (WLWH) [10] in some stage of their life such as pregnancy, postpartum and motherhood, exist additional factors that can further affect their QoL, such as, pain and physical discomfort [11] while the environment, social relationships, and personal beliefs may lead to inherent vulnerability, stigma, discrimination, and an awful perception of their health status, and as consequently their QoL decreases, with negative consequences, such as poor care or less attention [12].

It is known that even in non-complicated perinatal period important physical and emotional changes occurs, and factors such as age, parity, social and economic issues, obstetric complications, obesity, history of alcohol dependence, sleep difficulties, stress, anxiety and postnatal depression affect the mother QoL [13,14]. Furthermore, in studies that assess QoL in mothers with chronic disease, their child also had a worse QoL [15,16]. Another study reports to assess health-related HR-QOL in mothers-to-child pairs with low-

income, in which their children had worse and behaviour disorder than children from the

general population [17]. There were significant associations of maternal postpartum

health, both mental and physical, with the health of their child [16]; therefore, the QoL of

mother could determine the QoL of her children as they grow up. In this regard, we

emphasise that the traditional approach to health has been based primarily on the clinical

point of view, based on the detection, treatment and cure of disease. However, health is

not simply the absence of disease; it affects the entire life of each patient, making this

approach insufficient. Assessment of QoL could be an indicator for self-perception of well-

being and health status, it also includes the terms of QoL. QoL had several definitions and

instruments to assess it [18]. Furthermore, according to experts, the assessment of QoL

should be evaluated with specialised and validated instruments for a population,

especially if it involves a population with chronic diseases [19,20]. According to the World

Health Organisation (WHO), QoL is the perception that a person has of their position in

life, in the context of the culture and value system in which they live, and concerning their

objectives, expectations, standards and concerns, and provides a QoL instrument for the

PLWH [8].

In this order of ideas, focusing in WLWH represent 53% of all PLWH [21], and most of

them are of reproductive age, implying an increased risk of becoming pregnant and

exposing HIV to their newborns [22] as well as, considering that each year there were 1.2

million (950,000–1.4 million) pregnant WLWH, and of those, 84% (72–98%) received

antiretroviral therapy (ART) to prevent mother-to-child transmission (MTCT) [22]. Expert

groups on HIV/AIDS had proposed including a fourth -95 target (%), focussing on the

evaluation of the QoL in PLWH as a vision of therapeutic success [8] and considering it

as a key component of public health [23] and providing the management strategies,

leading to the establishment of new health policies in PLWH, improving the medical care

of vulnerable groups such as WLWH. Therefore, aligning with important United Nations

Sustainable Development Goals, particularly within the context of countries facing

significant challenges related to social inequalities, are necessary. In Latin America

(LATAM), QoL among pregnant or postpartum WLWH have made significant progress,

but there are still some important gaps that could be addressed in future research, such

as QoL beyond the medical perspective, more exploration is required of aspects such as

emotional impact, social stigma and support networks and which characteristics of their

population influences these factors to understanding how women navigate their condition

and motherhood. The objectives of this study were to describe the QoL during postpartum

of Mexican WLWH and to determine its association with sociodemographic and

physiopathological factors.

Materials and methods

Study design

An observational, cross-sectional study was conducted at the Instituto Nacional de

Perinatología (INPer) in Mexico City, a renowned Institution for pregnancy care in WLWH.

The study was reviewed and registered by the Ethics and Research Committees of the

Institute with number 212250-3120771 and was carried out between December 09th, 2020

and June 17th, 2022. The sample size for this stage was calculated to include 28 women

using a probability finite sample for qualitative variables (Z^2_α = 1.96, p=0.5, d^2= 5%), after

delivery, women were referred to their urban medical center. It just came back only for

their baby's follow-up. We considered 50% losses in recruitment during the intervention in

postpartum, so the final sample size calculated was 42.

Women enrolment

Pregnant WLWH receiving ART treatment, over 18 years old, with prenatal care at the

INPer were invited to participate. Those who did not agree to participate received their

medical care as usual. The participants who accepted signed a written informed consent;

their anonymity and privacy were protected. After delivery, participants returned to our

facilities in the postpartum period for a clinical history that included sociodemographic,

nonpathological diseases, other infectious diseases, obstetric history, sexual behaviours

and QoL instrument explained below.

Quality of life assessment

QoL was assessed using the Spanish version of WHOQoL-HIV-BREF [24] a short version

of the WHOQoL-HIV instrument designed for people living with HIV (PLWH), which has

been validated in Spain and Latin America (LATAM) [15–17]. The HIV-specific items

extracted from WHOQOL-HIV long form were translated and integrated into the Spanish

version of WHOQoL-BREF to complete the 31 items of the WHOQoL-HIV-BREF

instrument [24], ensuring the instrument's relevance and reliability in our study.

This instrument measures the QoL through a 31-item scale grouped into six domains as

follows: 4-items on Physical health, 5-item on Psychological health, 4-items on level of

independence, 4-items on Social relationships, 8-items on Environment, 4-items on

Spirituality/personal beliefs and 2-items measure the total or general QoL through the rate

of perception of QoL and satisfaction with their health [18]. Individual items are rated on a Likert scale where 1 indicates low/negative perceptions, and 5 indicates high/positive perceptions. Items that ask about negative perceptions and experiences are reverse-coded for scoring. Therefore, higher scores for all items indicated better QoL. The average score for each domain was multiplied by four, producing domain scores ranging from 4 to 20, where 4 means the lowest QoL and 20 is the highest [24].

Considering the mean total QoL score obtained as a cut-off point, the participants' perceptions of QoL were classified as poor, medium, and high QoL for this study.

The modifications to the instrument consisted of 11 questions in addition to their standard sociodemographic information: type of employment, monthly income, and residence in a rural or urban zone. Additionally, information about clinical data on comorbidities, CD4 count, viral load, type of ART, dosage, and adherence. Eight specific questions related to gestational age, postpartum time, perception of complications in these periods, and support from social networks were added. Our instrument was validated by five HIV specialist partners, four Spanish speakers, and one English-Spanish speaker (**S1 File**). Patients identified as having physical or psychological problems during the intervention were referred to the specialty for additional medical care.

Statistical Analysis

Means and standard deviations for continuous variables were reported. For categorical variables, the data are presented as frequencies and percentages. The Jarque-Bera test was performed to determine the normality of the data obtained, and then nonparametric analysis was applied. Spearman's correlation coefficient was used to determine the

association of QoL instrument scores with demographic, nonpathological history, infectious history and ART management, obstetric history, and sexual behavior variables. U-Mann–Whitney and Kruskal–Wallis tests were performed; Dunn's and Bonferroni's post-hoc analysis tests were used. A statistical significance of $P \leq 0.05$ was considered in all cases. The Cronbach index (α) was calculated to determine the internal consistency or reliability of the WHOQoL-HIV-BREF instrument. From the α-index range (0-1), the acceptable benchmark value considered was 0.7, which is acceptable from 0.7 to 0.79. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism v7 (Dotmatics, UK).

Results

Characteristics of the study population

The sample consisted of 75 postpartum-WLWH undergoing different ART, with an average age of 29±5.4 years. Upon admission to INPer, most were in the third trimester of pregnancy, averaging 32±8 gestational weeks. Among these women, 76% had completed nine years of education, 47% cohabited with their partners, 69% were housewives, and 92% resided in urban areas, as presented in **Table 1**. Monthly incomes ranged from USD $29.2 to USD $1755.00, placing 97% in the low-income classification according to the National Institute of Statistics and Geography (INEGI, Mexico) [25]. Regarding drug use during their current pregnancies, participants denied current consumption of alcohol, tobacco, or illegal substances. However, they reported alcohol consumption in 21%, illegal drug use in 8%, and minimal cases of combined use (6%) histories, as detailed in **Table 1**.

187   Table 1. Demographic characteristics, obstetric history and sexual behavior of women living with

188   HIV in postpartum using ART

Characteristic	Mean±SD [range] Frequency (%) n=75
Age (years)	29±5.4 [19-41 years]
Gestational age at medical admission (weeks)	32±8 [9-40.2]
First Trimester (1-12 gweeks)	2 (3)
Second Trimester (13-26 gweeks)	18 (24)
Third Trimester (27-40 gweeks)	55 (73)
Educational status (years)	
≤ 6 years	14 (19)
9 years	36 (48)
12 years	21 (28)
>12 years	4 (5)
Marital status	
Single	22 (29)
Married	11 (14)
Cohabiting	35 (47)
Separated	5 (7)
Widow	2 (2)
Employment type	
Permanent	11 (15)
Casual	12 (16)
Housewife	52 (69)
Place of residence	
Urban	69 (92)
Rural	6 (8)
Place where they come from	
Mexico City	27 (36)
Metropolitan area	45 (60)
Another state	3 (4)
	n=64
Monthly income (USD$)	USD$269.4±252.00 [29.2-1,755]
Depends on his parents	3 (5)
<58.5 to 88	9 (14)
89 to 175.5	16 (25)
176 to 351	27 (42)
352 to 585	7 (11)
>586	3 (5)
Year of interview	
2020	20 (27)
2021	49 (65)
2022	6 (8)
Smoking habit	n=62
Yes	20 (32)
No	42 (68)
Alcoholism and drugs addiction	n=62
None	40 (65)
Alcoholism (in any degree)	13 (21)
Illegal drugs addiction	5 (8)
Both	4 (6)

189   SD: Standard deviation. ART: Antiretroviral therapy

Table 1. (*continued*)

Characteristic	Mean±SD [range] Frequency (%) n=75
Tattoos and piercings	n=57
None	35 (61)
Tattoos	14 (25)
Piercings	3 (5)
Both	5 (9)
Partner's addictions	n=54
None	21 (39)
Smoking	2 (4)
Alcoholism (in any degree)	7 (13)
Illegal drugs addiction	4 (7)
Alcoholism and drugs addiction	8 (15)
All above	3 (6)
Do not know	9 (17)
	n=58
Beginning of sexual life (years)	17±2 [11-25]
<15	7 (12)
15 to 17	36 (62)
≥18	15 (26)
	n=65
Number of sexual partners	4±4 [1-25]
1 to 2	19 (29)
3 to 5	36 (55)
6 to 10	6 (9)
>10	4 (6)
Obstetric history	
Gestations	2.61±1.46 [1-7]
Birth	0.91±1.02 [0-3]
Caesarean section	0.57±0.8 [0-4]
Abortions	0.55±1.04 [0-5]
Time of postpartum (months)	
Less than 12 months	55 (73)
More than 12 months	20 (27)
Current Birth Delivery	
Vaginal	10 (13)
Abdominal	65 (87)
Sexual abuse history	n=72
Yes	8 (11)
No	64 (89)

ART: Antiretroviral therapy.

Concerning the partner's drug addiction, participants denied knowledge of their current

partner's substance use (17%), while reporting smoking (4%), alcoholism (13%), drug

addiction (7%), combined alcohol and drug use (15%), and a mix of alcohol, smoking, and

drugs (6%) among those aware of it. Concerning tattoos and piercings, 25% had tattoos,

5% had piercings, and 9% reported both (**Table 1**).

In terms of sexual behavior, most of the participants initiated sexual activity in late

adolescence, with a history of 3 to 5 sexual partners until the study period. Unfortunately,

11% reported having been sexually abused. They were multigravida, delivered by

Caesarean section, and reported pregnancy loss (0.55±1.04) based on their

gynaecological and obstetric history (**Table 1**).

32% of our population were diagnosed with HIV during their current pregnancy, and most

of the cases they believed that it was sexually transmitted (**Table 2**).

All participants received ART immediately after the diagnosis and during their pregnancies

with effective clinical management, as indicated by CD4+ levels and undetectable viral

loads, preventing vertical transmission (**Table 2**). The most common ART regimen

included two reverse transcriptase inhibitors plus integrase inhibitors (63%) categorized

as group C (**Table 2**). According to the national guidelines for the care of WLWV [26],

during birth, 76% of participants received ART intrapartum as prophylaxis. Based on

questionnaires applied during postpartum period, the adherence to ART perception was

high (84%), though one in three women reported perinatal complications.

220   Table 2. Infectious history and ART management of women living with HIV in postpartum

Characteristic	Mean±SD [range] Frequency (%) N=75
Other chronic diseases	
Any	6 (8)
None	69 (92)
Perceived HIV transmission route	
Sexual relationships	64 (85)
Needles	3 (4)
Blood transfusion	3 (4)
Vertical	2 (3)
Do not know	3 (4)
Years since the HIV diagnosis (years)	6±5 [0-25]
0 to 2	24 (32)
3 to 5	18 (24)
6 to 10	20 (27)
11 to 15	9 (12)
16 to 20	3 (4)
More than 20	1 (1)
HIV Symptoms	
Asymptomatic	73 (97)
Symptomatic	2 (3)
	n=71
Last CD4 count during pregnancy (cells/mm^3)	471±253 [36-1,162]
More to 500 cells/mm^3	31 (41)
200 to 499 cells/mm^3	28 (37)
Less to 200 cells/mm^3	12 (16)
	n=71
Initial viral load (copies/mL)	17,869±31,217 [41-13'000,095]
Undetectable	41 (58)
Less 400 copies/mL	4 (6)
401 to 100 mil copies/mL	25 (35)
More 100 mil copies/mL	1 (1)
Previous HIV-infected children	n=74
Yes	7 (9)
No	67 (91)
Current partner HIV-status	n=66
Healthy	31 (47)
HIV+	18 (27)
Do not know	17 (26)
ART initial	n=74
Group a	17 (23)
Group b	8 (11)
Group c	49 (66)
Adherence to ART	
High	63 (84)
Medium	5 (7)
Low	7 (9)

 Table 2. (*continued*)

Characteristic	Frequency (%) n=75
Intrapartum prophylactic ART	
Yes	58 (77)
No	17 (23)
Efavirenz during pregnancy	
Yes	18 (25)
No	53 (75)
Perception of perinatal complications	n=74
Yes	26 (35)
No	48 (65)

225 SD: Standard deviation. ART: Antiretroviral therapy. ART Group a: 2 Nucleoside Reverse
226 Transcriptase Inhibitors (NRTIs) + 1 Non- Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor (NNRTI). ART
227 Group b: 2 NRTIs + 1 Protease Inhibitor (PI). ART Group c: 2 NRTIs + 1 Integrase Inhibitor (INI).

229 Quality of life in the Postpartum-WLWH

In our study population, the WHOQoL-HIV-BREF instrument demonstrated excellent

reliability (Cronbach's index, α= 0.8). The total QoL score was 15±2.0, with the physical

health and level of independence domains scoring highest (16±1.2) and the psychological

health domain scoring lowest (14±2.0) (**Table 3**).

Financial resources, recreation and leisure activities, forgiveness and blame, negative

emotions, future concerns, death and dying, and cognitive skills were the sub-domains

with the lowest scores (**Table 3**). A lack of adequate funds probably made it harder to find

time for relaxation and pleasure, which meant that worries and pessimistic thoughts went

unaddressed.

244   Table 3. WHOQOL-HIV BREF instrument scores of women living with HIV in postpartum

Domains Subdomains	WHOQoL-HIV Bref score transformed 4-20 mean ±SD
Overall QoL and General health	15.5 (±3.0)
Quality of Life	15.5 (±2.6)
Health status	15.6 (±3.4)
I. Physical Health	16 (±1.20)
Pain and Discomfort	14.8 (±4.3)
Symptoms of WLWH	15.6 (±5.4)
Energy and fatigue	14.7 (±3.8)
Sleep and rest	16.1 (±3.4)
II. Psychological Health	14 (±2.0)
Positive feelings	16.2 (±5.4)
Cognitive skills	12.9 (±6.2)
Bodily image and appearance	15.5 (±3.6)
Self-steem	15.9 (± 3.5)
Negative feelings	12.1 (±4.3)
III. Level of Independence	16 (±0.7)
Dependence of medication or treatments	15.4 (±6.0)
Mobility	16.6 (±3.0)
Activities of daily living	15.3 (±2.8)
Work capacity	15.6 (±3.6)
IV. Social Relationships	15 (±1.20)
Social inclusion	16.5 (±3.7)
Personal relationships	14.6 (±3.4)
Sexual activity	13.4 (±4.6)
Social support	14.6 (±4.3)
V. Environment	14 (±2.4)
Physical safety and security	14.8 (±3.5)
Home environment	14.8 (±3.8)
Financial resources	10.7 (±3.9)
New information and skills	16.5 (±4.3)
Recreation and leisure activities	10.8 (±5.0)
Physical environment	15.4 (±4.0)
Health and social care: accessibility and quality	17.2 (±2.6)
Transport	13.1 (±4.4)
VI. Spirituality, Religion and Personal beliefs	14 (±2.4)
Sense of life (SRPB)	17.0 (±3.5)
Forgiveness and blame	11.8 (±7.1)
Concerns about future	12.6 (±5.3)
Death and dying	12.3 (±6.6)
Total quality of life (QoL)	15 (±2.0)

Using a cut-off point of 15±2, QoL classifications were defined as poor (<15), medium

(15), and high (>15). Despite an overall medium QoL, 49% of WLWH perceived a poor

total QoL (**Table 4**). **S1 Table** indicates that the QoL score was not significantly influenced

by any of the three antiretroviral therapy groups.

About postpartum duration, most of the participants had less than a year since their last

obstetric event. There were not significantly differences in the QoL between postpartum

time groups (**S2 Table**). The QoL scores of this group of postpartum-WLWH might have

been affected because they were enrolled during the COVID-19 shutdown. The physical

health domain, which addresses issues including pain, discomfort, HIV symptoms, rest,

and sleep, notably decreased during this time, with the lowest ratings occurring in 2022

(Kruskal-Wallis, p= 0.0375) (**S2 Table**).

Table 4. Percentage of women living with HIV in postpartum with poor QOL scores

Domains	Mean scores transformed 4-20 (±SD)	QoL		
		Poor (%)	Medium (%)	High (%)
I Physical health	16 (±0.6)	35	11	55
II Psychological health	14 (±2)	59	11	31
III Independence level	16 (±0.7)	31	13	56
IV Social relationships	15 (±1.2)	41	11	48
V Environmental health	14 (±2.4)	53	13	33
VI Spirituality/Personal beliefs	14 (±3)	64	5	29
Total QoL	15 (±2)	49	20	31

CD4 T cells related to QoL in postpartum-WLWH

CD4 count was significantly correlated with four domains. Physical health (r_s=0.23, p=0.04), Psychological health (r_s=0.027, p=0.01), independence (r_s=0.025, p=0.03), and social relationships (r_s =0.34, p=0.003) as shown in **Table 5**. Other significant correlation included monthly income and psychological health (r_s=0.26, p=0.04), year of the interview with physical health (r_s=-0.37, p=<0.01) and the application of tattoos or piercings with the personal beliefs (r_s= -0.32, p=0.01) (**Table 5**).

286   Table 5. Association among the QOL domains scores and demographic-clinical variables of
287   women living with HIV in postpartum

	Physical health	Psychological health	Independence level	Social relationships	Environment	Personal beliefs
	rho	rho	rho	rho	rho	rho
Last CD4 count during pregnancy	**0.2392***	**0.2789***	**0.2534***	**0.3427****	0.1854	0.1903
Age of the beginning of sexual life	0.1171	**0.3420****	0.1646	0.0506	0.0406	**0.3250***
Year of interview	**-0.370****	0.0239	-0.1919	0.0581	-0.1673	-0.0567
Other chronic diseases	**-0.3319****	0.1075	-0.1545	0.0553	0.1313	-0.1096
Monthly income	0.0759	**0.2632***	0.1144	0.1998	0.1744	0.0404
HIV symptoms	-0.0866	-0.2269	**-0.2292***	-0.1840	-0.1458	-0.0940
Number of sexual partners	-0.2217	-0.2321	**-0.4206*****	-0.1020	0.0080	0.0014
Gestations	0.0647	0.2210	**0.2817***	0.1014	-0.1943	0.2254
Current birth Delivery	-0.1003	-0.0656	0.0054	**-0.2846***	-0.1482	-0.0390
Sexual abuse history	0.2271	0.0453	0.0704	**0.2355***	0.2254	0.0588
Tattoo and piercings	-0.239	-0.2535	-0.1687	0.0818	0.06501	**-0.3210***

rho: Spearman correlation test, *p* value is significant when it is <0.05. ART: Antiretroviral therapy. QoL:
Quality of life. WLWH: Women living with HIV.
*$p<0.05$
**$p<0.01$
***$p<0.001$

ART schemes showed no domain correlation though Efavirenz-based regimens, were

negatively and significantly associated with Physical health (r_s= -0.30, *p*=0.01) and

independence (r_s= -0.24, p=0.04) (**S3 Table**). Independence correlated negatively with

HIV symptoms (r_s= -0.23, p=0.04), while physical health correlated negatively with

comorbidities (r_s= -0.33; p <0.01). Social relationship correlated negatively with the route

of birth (r_s= -0.28, p=0.01). Initiation of the sexual life correlated positively with

psychological health (r_s=0.34, p<0.01) and personal beliefs (r_s=0.32, p=0.01)

Independence was negatively correlated with number of sexual partners (r_s= -0.42,

p<0.001) but positively with the number of gestations (r_s=0.28, p=0.01). Social

relationships showed a positive correlation with sexual abuse history (r_s=0.24, p=0.04).

Additional factors influencing HIV are detailed in **S3Table**.

306 Discussion

The UNAIDS 2030 95-95-95 targets do not include the 'fourth 95' target officially, which

envisions that 95% of people living with HIV (PLWH) achieve a QoL comparable to

individuals living without HIV. This target emphasises the identification of factors that

reduce QoL and the establishment of strategies to address them and support progress

toward its achievement [4–8].

Our participants received medical care at a tertiary medical institution in Mexico City, our

findings align with previous studies [27–34], showing that HIV/SIDA disproportionally

affects the population in disadvantaged socioeconomic strata. Limited education restricts

access to well-paid employment. These women live in marginalised housing areas around

Mexico City, lacking essential services like transport and security. This condition results

in discrimination, gender and social inequality, and economic dependence (**Table 1**).

Most participants received ART (2NRTI + 1 II) during their pregnancies; they perceived high adherence, which thrived until postpartum, as reported in their clinical file. Many also received intrapartum prophylactic ARTs according with the national guidelines for the care of WLWV [26], and underwent Caesarean sections (**Table 2**). Studies indicate a high adherence to ART during pregnancy, which decreases after delivery [30]. Pregnant WLWH prioritise adherence to prevent vertical transmission and ensure their health to care for their babies [31]. Most of the participants had a recent diagnosis, which may help patients remain physically well and focus on their ART, despite psychological distress [11].

In our sample, 47% of the couples were serodiscordant, (**Table 2**) and disclosed their diagnosis only to current partners or close family, no extended relatives. This behaviour coincides with previous studies, where PLWH only tell their partners or immediate family members about their diagnosis. Extended family members, such as parents-in-law, siblings, and grandparents, are not informed due to stigmatization [35,36]. Parental support from partners during pregnancy has been linked to better adherence to ART [37].

The QoL instrument demonstrated excellent internal consistency. In agreement with the findings of the English version in Ethiopia [28,32], as well as the Spanish version [38].

The Mexican postpartum WLWH group had an intermediate mean total QoL score (**Tables 3 and 4**) despite belonging to the lowest socioeconomic strata. Their undetectable viral load, adequate CD4 cell count, and adherence to ART contributed to an optimistic perception and HIV-free births. Nevertheless, the monthly family income influenced the QoL (**Table 5**), reflecting the impact of socioeconomic constraints despite the high Human Development Index (HDI) of Mexico (HDI, 0.781) [39]. Vulnerable groups face limited access to essential services, lowering QoL scores [38]. A study in India [27],

found higher QoL scores, despite the poverty of the non-pregnant-WLWH, attributing this to a structured programme for the distribution of ART, close monitoring of adherence, and strong community support, considering that India has a lower HDI (0.644) compared with Mexico. In contrast, in Ethiopia (HDI, 0.492), Iran (HDI, 0.780), Brazil (HDI, 0.760), and even Spain (HDI,0.911) [39], limited education, lack of community support, barriers to ART access due to gender, socioeconomic inequalities, stigma, and racism were related to poor QoL, low adherence, and severe health problems [28,29,34,38]. We suggest that HDI is not associated with the perception of QoL.

The total QoL comprises six domains (**Table 4**) with global differences most evident in mean scores. Our participants reported energy for daily tasks (physical and independence level domains). And satisfaction with their relationships due to partners, friends, or family support (relationship domain). However, the stigma surrounding their condition often led to secrecy, anxiety, and reduced QoL scores in the domains of psychological health and personal beliefs. According to a study in northern Mexico, stigma and a lack of societal acceptance of people living with HIV/AIDS (PLWH) discourage them from disclosing their status to family, friends, and coworkers [40].

Our postpartum-WLWH expressed dissatisfaction with their living conditions due to insufficient services and transportation, in addition to financial constraints that limit access to health care and leisure activities, as reflected in a low environmental domain score (**Table 3**). Moreover, their wages were lower than men's when they were employed; likewise, the low psychological health scores highlight ongoing stigma and discrimination, with perception of judgment tied to forgiveness and guilt, as reported in Spain [38], Ethiopia [28,32], Iran [33], Brazil [29], and India [27]. Also, we could say that the QoL

perceptions varied according to cultural, traditions, and religious factors. In this respect,

Haraldstat *et al*. concluded that the concept of QoL may vary across cultures, with unclear

cross-cultural relevance. Further studies in Asian and non-Western cultures are needed

to explore QOL and its cultural manifestations [18].

Our findings showed that CD4 cell count influenced four of six QOL domains (**Table 5**),

the acceptable counts that could reduce their perception of fear, anxiety and may improve

well-being for postpartum WLWH and their children, increasing their QOL in many

domains. Consistent with previous research [27,38], acceptable levels of CD4 cells from

adherence to ART are associated with better perception of QoL.

The global population experienced significant impacts from the SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19)

pandemic, including economic, social, psychological, environmental, and public health

challenges. The disease posed life-threatening risks and immense psychological stress

worldwide. The relationship between the perception of vulnerability (e.g., unemployment,

food, job insecurity, and illness) and low QoL is widely accepted [41]. Teotonio *et al*.

reported that females presented worse QoL than males, due to predominantly manage

family food purchases, meal preparation, and household decisions. During social

distancing, women bore most domestic chores, childcare, education, and professional

responsibilities, adversely affecting their QoL [41]. Mexican postpartum WLWH were not

the exception, they had reduced scores in the physical health domain in 2022 compared

to 2020-2021 scores (**Table 5**). In accordance with other studies [41–47] also the

increased stress, isolation, gender inequities, and reduced physical activity during

lockdown, exacerbated vulnerability and wellness perceptions among WLWH.

No single instrument can fully evaluate all QoL factors. WHOQOL-HIV-BREF, although

robust, does not fully assess barriers, such as mental illness and addictions or specific

aspects related to ART (e.g., adherence, duration and type). More research should use

specialised tools to assess adherence to ART and mental health. It is important to stablish

that this instrument assesses the QoL and include two questions about self-perceived QoL

and health status, which score we called overall QoL and general health (**Table 3**).

This study had several limitations. First, obtaining a large sample of women living with HIV

(WLWH) was challenging due to the specificity of their characteristics and vulnerability.

Second, the cross-sectional design prevented the establishment of causality between

variable associations. Third, ART adherence was obtained through clinical interviews

rather than specialized instruments. Fourth, quality of life (QoL) behavior may have been

influenced by the lockdown and post-pandemic period of the SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19)

pandemic.

Despite its limitations, this study provides valuable information on highly vulnerable

populations such as postpartum WLWH and their QOL scores. The sample size is

representative of the Mexican population because the study was conducted at a tertiary

medical institution. It emphasises identifying and addressing the factors affecting the

perception of QoL to propose strategies for medical attention and therapeutic success,

overall well-being in PLWH.

Advocate for including QoL evaluation in WHO strategies, the fourth 95 target, and

government and society efforts to reduce stigma, foster acceptance, and improve

environments for WLWH, future generations, including exposed newborns.

Conclusions

HIV/AIDS negatively affects the QoL of postpartum WLWH and potentially their babies. Despite poverty, stigma, and discrimination, they remain motivated, especially when their child is born HIV-free. They perceived their QoL as moderate, hindered by low-income. Clinical factors, particularly optimal CD4 cell count through ART and high adherence, which are closely linked to improved QoL. These findings emphasise the importance of formally including QoL assessment as the fourth target of the '95' in WHO strategies for PLWH.

Acknowledgments

The authors are also deeply grateful to all the women who participated in this work, and we hope that our efforts will improve their quality of life in all respects. The authors thank to INPer (approval number 212250-3120771), as well as their colleagues, Estela Y. Godínez-Martínez, Virginia E. Santillán-Palomo, María del Pilar Meza-Rodríguez, Carmen Flores-Cisneros, Cecilia Mota-González, Rosa Georgina Rodríguez-Delgado, and Edgar Bonilla-Reyes, for their excellent technical assistance, interest in this work, and helpful discussions.

References

1. Soomar SM, Qamar W, Azizullah Z, Ali TS. Challenges in healthcare access for young people living with HIV: A review. J Glob Health Econ Policy. 2023 Feb 24;3:e2023001.

2. Bouabida K, Chaves BG, Anane E. Challenges and barriers to HIV care engagement and care cascade: viewpoint. Front Reprod Health [Internet]. 2023 Jul 20 [cited 2025 Apr 22];5. Available from:

https://www.frontiersin.orghttps://www.frontiersin.org/journals/reproductive-
health/articles/10.3389/frph.2023.1201087/full

3. Unoki Y. Overcoming Obstacles: The Challenges Faced by People Living With
HIV/AIDS. Trop Med Surg. 2023 Apr 3;11(2):1–1.

4. Frescura L, Godfrey-Faussett P, Feizzadeh A. A, El-Sadr W, Syarif O, Ghys PD.
Achieving the 95 95 95 targets for all: A pathway to ending AIDS. PLoS ONE. 2022
Aug 4;17(8):e0272405.

5. New report from UNAIDS shows that AIDS can be ended by 2030 and outlines the
path to get there [Internet]. [cited 2023 Oct 26]. Available from:
https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/presscentre/pressreleaseandstatementarchive
/2023/july/unaids-global-aids-update

6. Bekker LG, Alleyne G, Baral S, Cepeda J, Daskalakis D, Dowdy D, et al. Advancing
global health and strengthening the HIV response in the era of the Sustainable
Development Goals: the International AIDS Society-Lancet Commission. Lancet
Lond Engl. 2018 Jul 28;392(10144):312–58.

7. Other Health Issues of Special Concern for People Living with HIV [Internet].
HIV.gov. [cited 2025 Apr 22]. Available from: https://www.hiv.gov/hiv-basics/staying-
in-hiv-care/other-related-health-issues/other-health-issues-of-special-concern-for-
people-living-with-hiv

8. Antela A, Rivero A, Llibre JM, Moreno S, RET Group. Redefining therapeutic
success in HIV patients: an expert view. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2021 Sep
15;76(10):2501–18.

9. Barger D, Hessamfar M, Neau D, Farbos S, Leleux O, Cazanave C, et al. Factors
associated with poorer quality of life in people living with HIV in southwestern
France in 2018–2020 (ANRS CO3 AQUIVIH-NA cohort: QuAliV study). Sci Rep.
2023 Oct 2;13(1):16535.

10. Volkow-Fernández P, Rodríguez de Viana A, Balandrán-Duarte D. La
vulnerabilidad femenina frente al VIH en América Latina. SIDA STUDI [Internet].
SIDASTUDI. [cited 2024 Sep 10]. Available from:
https://www.sidastudi.org/es/registro/ff8081813ef0c7fe013ef4957474000a

11. Meza-Rodríguez MDP, Farfan-Labonne B, Avila-García M, Figueroa-Damian R,
Plazola-Camacho N, Pellón-Díaz G, et al. Psychological distress, anxiety,
depression, stress level, and coping style in HIV-pregnant women in Mexico. BMC
Psychol. 2023 Nov 1;11(1):366.

12. Quality of life among chronic disease patients. Nurs Care Open Access J [Internet].
2017 Dec 7 [cited 2024 Sep 12];Volume 4(Issue 2). Available from:
https://medcraveonline.com/NCOAJ/NCOAJ-04-00103.pdf

13. Lagadec N, Steinecker M, Kapassi A, Magnier AM, Chastang J, Robert S, et al.
Factors influencing the quality of life of pregnant women: a systematic review. BMC
Pregnancy Childbirth. 2018 Nov 23;18(1):455.

14. Al Rehaili BO, Al-Raddadi R, ALEnezi NK, ALYami AH. Postpartum quality of life
and associated factors: a cross-sectional study. Qual Life Res Int J Qual Life Asp
Treat Care Rehabil. 2023 Jul;32(7):2099–106.

15. Guo VYW, Wong CKH, Wong RSM, Yu EYT, Ip P, Lam CLK. Spillover Effects of
Maternal Chronic Disease on Children's Quality of Life and Behaviors Among Low-
Income Families. Patient - Patient-Centered Outcomes Res. 2018 Dec 1;11(6):625–
35.

16. Walker LO. Maternal postpartum health and its impact on health and development
of young children. Womens Health Nurs. 2024 May 24;30(2):96–100.

17. Wong RSM, Guo VY, Ip P, Wong CKH, Yu EYT, Fung CSC, et al. Mothers' health-
related quality of life: Its relationship with children's health-related quality of life and
behavior in low-income families. Fam Med Community Health [Internet]. 2016 Dec 1
[cited 2025 Jun 18];4(4). Available from: https://fmch.bmj.com/content/4/4/4

18. Haraldstad K, Wahl A, Andenæs R, Andersen JR, Andersen MH, Beisland E, et al.
A systematic review of quality of life research in medicine and health sciences. Qual
Life Res. 2019 Oct 1;28(10):2641–50.

19. Jones-Caballero M, Peñas PF. Calidad de vida (I). Generalidades. Actas Dermo-
Sifiliográficas. 2002 Aug 1;93(7):421–31.

20. Meneguin S, Mourão IB, Pollo CF, Miot HA, Garuzi M, de Oliveira C. Comparison of
generic and specific instruments to assess the quality of life in patients with
melasma. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2022 Apr 20;22(1):117.

21. Hoja informativa — Últimas estadísticas sobre el estado de la epidemia de sida |
UNAIDS [Internet]. [cited 2025 Apr 22]. Available from:
https://www.unaids.org/es/resources/fact-sheet

22. HIV – Estimated percentage of pregnant women living with HIV who received
antiretrovirals for preventing mother-to-child transmission [Internet]. [cited 2025 Apr
22]. Available from: https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/indicators/indicator-
details/GHO/estimated-percentage-of-pregnant-women-living-with-hiv-who-
received-antiretrovirals-for-preventing-mother-to-child-transmission

23. McGee HM. Chronic Illness: Quality of Life. In: Smelser NJ, Baltes PB, editors.
International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences [Internet]. Oxford:
Pergamon; 2001 [cited 2025 Apr 22]. p. 1779–82. Available from:
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B0080430767038250

24. WHOQOL-HIV instrument : scoring and coding for the WHOQOL-HIV instruments :
users manual, 2012 revision [Internet]. [cited 2023 Oct 26]. Available from:
https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/WHO-MSD-MER-Rev-2012-03

25. Geografía (INEGI) IN de E y. Clase media [Internet]. Encuesta Nacional de
Ingresos y Gastos de los Hogares 2010. ENIGH. Instituto Nacional de Estadística y
Geografía. INEGI; [cited 2023 Oct 23]. Available from:
https://www.inegi.org.mx/investigacion/cmedia/

26. sida CN para la P y C del V y el. Guía de Manejo Antirretroviral de las Personas
con VIH - México 2021 [Internet]. gob.mx. [cited 2025 Jun 18]. Available from:
http://www.gob.mx/censida/documentos/guia-de-manejo-antirretroviral-de-las-
personas-con-vih-mexico-2021-297710

27. Alexander G, Thomas RA. Health-Related Quality of Life in HIV-Positive Women on
Long-Term Antiretroviral Therapy—A Study from Bangalore, South India. World J
AIDS. 2022 Apr 11;12(2):97–110.

28. Abebe Weldsilase Y, Likka MH, Wakayo T, Gerbaba M. Health-Related Quality of
Life and Associated Factors among Women on Antiretroviral Therapy in Health
Facilities of Jimma Town, Southwest Ethiopia. Adv Public Health. 2018 Sep
16;2018:e5965343.

29. Herta H de OA, Galvão MTG, Cunha GH da. Qualidade de vida e diagnósticos de
enfermagem de mulheres com AIDS. Enferm Glob. 2017 Oct 1;16(4):121–50.

30. Adeniyi OV, Ajayi AI. Level and determinants of postpartum adherence to
antiretroviral therapy in the Eastern Cape, South Africa. PloS One.
2020;15(2):e0229592.

31. Shore JE, Paun O, Vonderheid SC. The Lived Experience of Antiretroviral Therapy
for Pregnant Women: Antiretroviral Therapy Adherence as a Balancing Act. J Assoc
Nurses AIDS Care. 2020 Aug;31(4):448.

32. Surur AS, Teni FS, Wale W, Ayalew Y, Tesfaye B. Health related quality of life of
HIV/AIDS patients on highly active anti-retroviral therapy at a university referral
hospital in Ethiopia. BMC Health Serv Res. 2017 Nov 15;17(1):737.

33. Khademi N, Zanganeh A, Saeidi S, Teimouri R, Khezeli M, Jamshidi B, et al.
Quality of life of HIV-infected individuals: insights from a study of patients in
Kermanshah, Iran. BMC Infect Dis. 2021 Feb 23;21(1):203.

34. Girma D, Dejene H, Geleta LA, Tesema M, Legesse E, Nigussie T, et al. Health
related quality of life of HIV-positive women on ART follow-up in north Shewa zone
public hospitals, central Ethiopia: Evidence from a cross-sectional study. Heliyon.
2023 Feb;9(2):e13318.

35. Santos ECM dos, França Junior I, Lopes F. Qualidade de vida de pessoas vivendo
com HIV/Aids em São Paulo. Rev Saúde Pública. 2007 Dec;41:64–71.

36. Rubin LH, Maki PM, Springer G, Benning L, Anastos K, Gustafson D, et al.
Cognitive trajectories over 4 years among HIV-infected women with optimal viral
suppression. Neurology. 2017 Oct 10;89(15):1594–603.

37. Silveira ML, Ertel KA, Dole N, Chasan-Taber L. The role of body image in prenatal
and postpartum depression: a critical review of the literature. Arch Womens Ment
Health. 2015 Jun;18(3):409–21.

38. Fuster-RuizdeApodaca MJ, Laguía A, Safreed-Harmon K, Lazarus JV, Cenoz S,
Del Amo J. Assessing quality of life in people with HIV in Spain: psychometric
testing of the Spanish version of WHOQOL-HIV-BREF. Health Qual Life Outcomes.
2019 Aug 19;17(1):144.

39. Nations U. Human Development Index [Internet]. Human Development Reports.
United Nations; [cited 2025 May 6]. Available from: https://hdr.undp.org/data-
center/human-development-index

40. Pitpitan EV, Mittal ML, Smith LR. Perceived Need and Acceptability of a
Community-Based Peer Navigator Model to Engage Key Populations in HIV Care in
Tijuana, Mexico. J Int Assoc Provid AIDS Care. 2020;19:2325958220919276.

41. Teotônio I, Hecht M, Castro LC, Gandolfi L, Pratesi R, Nakano EY, et al.
Repercussion of COVID-19 Pandemic on Brazilians' Quality of Life: A Nationwide
Cross-Sectional Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020 Nov;17(22):8554.

42. Azzouzi S, Stratton C, Muñoz-Velasco LP, Wang K, Fourtassi M, Hong BY, et al.
The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Healthy Lifestyle Behaviors and
Perceived Mental and Physical Health of People Living with Non-Communicable
Diseases: An International Cross-Sectional Survey. Int J Environ Res Public Health.
2022 Jan;19(13):8023.

43. Huy NT, Nguyen Tran MD, Mohammed Alhady ST, Luu MN, Hassan AK, Giang TV,
et al. Perceived Stress of Quarantine and Isolation During COVID-19 Pandemic: A
Global Survey. Front Psychiatry [Internet]. 2021 May 25 [cited 2024 Sep 20];12.
Available from:
https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychiatry/articles/10.3389/fpsyt.2021.656664/fu
ll

44. Flor LS, Friedman J, Spencer CN, Cagney J, Arrieta A, Herbert ME, et al.
Quantifying the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on gender equality on health,
social, and economic indicators: a comprehensive review of data from March, 2020,
to September, 2021. Lancet Lond Engl. 2022 Jun 25;399(10344):2381–97.

45. Çerezci-Duygu S, Özdemir F, Karakaş G. Relationship Between Physical Activity
Level and Stress Perception: Exploring Factors During COVID-19 Pandemic. J Res
Health Sci. 2023 Sep 29;23(3):e00585.

46. Stratton C, Fourtassi M, Ramia I, Pandiyan U, Cooper R, Hajjioui A, et al. Changes
to physical activity behavior during the COVID-19 pandemic and their associated
factors: a cross sectional survey of Mexican women. BMC Womens Health. 2023
May 11;23(1):254.

47. Hong C, Queiroz A, Hoskin J. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental
health, associated factors and coping strategies in people living with HIV: a scoping
review. J Int AIDS Soc. 2023 Mar;26(3):e26060.

Supporting information

S1 File. WHOQoL-HIV BREF instrument (Spanish version)

S1 Table. Comparison of mean scores of domains for QOL in postpartum-WLWH using

three different combinations of ART

S2 Table. QOL scores by domains in different postpartum times and years of interviews

of postpartum-WLWH

S3 Table. Association among the QOL domain scores and sociodemographic-clinical

variables in postpartum-WLWH

Authors' Contributions

Conceptualization by Hernández-Pineda J. **Data curation** was performed by

Domínguez-Castro M, Ramírez-Ramírez A, Avila-García M, Hernández-Pineda J **Formal**

analysis was performed by Ramírez-Ramírez A, Domínguez-Castro M, Avila-García M,

López-Martínez M, Hernández-Pineda J, Mancilla-Herrera I, and Figueroa-Damián R.

Investigation was performed by Domínguez-Castro M, Ramírez-Ramírez A, Plazola-

Camacho NG, Figueroa-Damián R, Hernández-Pineda J, Romo-Yáñez J, and García-

Romero CS **Methodology** was performed by Ramírez-Ramírez A, Domínguez-Castro M,

Hernández-Pineda J, Plazola-Camacho NG, López-Martínez M, Mancilla-Herrera.

Project administration was performed by Soriano-Becerril, DM, and Figueroa-Damián,

R. Medical attention was provided by Plazola-Camacho, NG. **Supervision** of the project

was performed by Ramírez-Ramírez A, Domínguez-Castro M, Plazola-Camacho N,

Romo-Yáñez J, Katende-Kyenda NL, and Hernández-Pineda J. **Validation** was

performed by Domínguez-Castro M, Hernández-Pineda J, Avila-García M, Katende-

Kyenda NL, Soriano-Becerril DM, García-Romero CS, López-Martínez M and Figueroa-

Damián R. **Visualization** was performed by Ramírez-Ramírez A, Domínguez-Castro M,

Hernández-Pineda J, Plazola-Camacho NG, López-Martínez M, Mancilla-Herrera, and

Romo-Yáñez J. **Writing**-**Original draft preparation** by Ramírez-Ramírez A, Domínguez-

Castro M, Avila-García M, and Hernández-Pineda J**. Writing-Review and editing** of the

manuscript were performed by all authors.

Author Disclosure Statement

The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding Statement

This study was supported by the Instituto Nacional de Perinatología (Mexico City) under

approval number 212250-3120771.

Ethical statement

This study was conducted according with the ethical standards set by the Institutional and

National Research Committees, and the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later

amendments. All women provided informed consent prior to their involvement in the

research. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the INPer Ethics Committee;

and all data collected were treated with confidentiality and used solely for this research.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding

author upon reasonable request.