Name: Antipsychotics Lower Peripheral Markers of Inflammation in Drug-naïve Early Psychosis: A Pilot Study

Authors: Nicole Šafářová[1,2,3] *, Marián Kolenič [1,2], Ivana Tašková [3,4], Václav Čapek [1], Petra Fürstová [1], Filip Španiel [1,2]

Affiliations:

[1] National Institute of Mental Health, Klecany, Czech Republic
[2] 3rd Faculty of Medicine at Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic
[3] Psychiatric Hospital Bohnice, Prague, Czech Republic
[4] Faculty of Pharmacy at Charles University, Hradec Králové, Czech Republic

*corresponding author

Abstract

Introduction: Neuroinflammation is increasingly recognized as a core pathophysiological mechanism in schizophrenia and can be indirectly assessed through peripheral inflammatory markers. Therefore, this pilot study investigated the impact of antipsychotic treatment on inflammation in patients with first-episode psychosis (FEP) who were antipsychotic-naive at study entry.

Methods: Thirty-three drug-naïve FEP patients provided blood samples upon admission (V0) and follow-up (V1), from which inflammatory markers—i.e., neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NLR), monocyte/lymphocyte ratio (MLR), platelet/lymphocyte ratio (PLR), and systemic immune-inflammation index (SII)—were calculated. Antipsychotic doses during continuous hospitalization between V0 and V1 (37.21 ± 19.85 days) were converted into cumulative chlorpromazine equivalents (cCHPMZ). Linear regression models correlated cCHPMZ with changes in markers, adjusting for sex, age, duration of untreated psychosis (DUP), and clozapine use.

Results: Higher cCHPMZ was significantly associated with reductions in NLR (p = 0.029), MLR (p = 0.016), PLR (p = 0.016), and SII (p = 0.010). Male sex predicted an increase in MLR (p = 0.026). After the Benjamini-Hochberg FDR correction, these associations remained significant (FDR < 0.05). Although clozapine use and DUP were not significant predictors, they slightly improved the model fit for PLR, SII, and MLR, respectively, as indicated by reductions in AIC and RSE values. Age did not predict changes in inflammatory markers in any of the models.

Conclusion: The observed correlation between cumulative antipsychotic exposure and reductions in hematologic inflammatory markers suggests that antipsychotics may exert anti-inflammatory effects, potentially in a dose-related manner. Larger studies are needed to confirm this and clarify the role of inflammation in schizophrenia pathophysiology.

Keywords:

neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio, systemic immune-inflammation index, neuroinflammation, early psychosis, first-episode schizophrenia, antipsychotic medication, cumulative chlorpromazine equivalents

Introduction

Schizophrenia is a severe mental illness affecting ~1% of the global population (Amelang 2023) with a significant functional impairment and great disability (Charlson et al. 2018; Vos 1990). Traditionally, schizophrenia's pathophysiology has been connected to the dopamine hypothesis, which suggests that hyperactivity of dopaminergic transmission in subcortical regions—particularly the mesolimbic pathway—underlies positive symptoms such as hallucinations and delusions (Toda and Abi-Dargham 2007). This theory guides current treatment centered on dopamine-2-receptor antagonism (Seeman 2021), which, while effective on positive symptoms, is largely ineffective in managing negative symptoms and cognitive decline (Marder 1999)—key determinants of functional outcomes. As a result, current treatment alleviates only a fraction of the total disease burden (Andrews et al. 2003; Fervaha et al. 2015).

In this context, the neuroinflammatory pathway offers a compelling framework for understanding the pathophysiology of schizophrenia. Similar to neurodegenerative diseases, schizophrenia is associated with neurodegenerative changes (Stone et al. 2022; Gupta and Kulhara 2010) linked to chronic, low-grade inflammation and may potentially result in symptom progression (Howes and McCutcheon 2017; Khandaker and Dantzer 2016). In this neurodegeneration-inflammation framework, diverse neurodegenerative processes induce cellular stress that releases damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) (Castro-Gomez and Heneka 2024). DAMPs activate pattern recognition receptors—such as Toll-like receptors (TLRs) on glial cells—initiating neuroinflammatory cascades marked not only by the central release but also the peripheral spillover of cytokines, including IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α, and chemokines CXCL8 (IL-8), CCL2 (MCP-1), and CXCL10 (IP-10). (Olson and Miller 2004; Sokol and Luster 2015). This cascade is increasingly recognized as a pathophysiological hallmark of neuropsychiatric disorders, including schizophrenia. (D. Singh 2022; Müller 2019; Ermakov et al. 2023; Ransohoff and Brown 2012).

Chemokines drive the recruitment and activation of neutrophils, monocytes, and lymphocytes, linking central neuroinflammation to peripheral immune responses (Sokol and Luster 2015). This connection is reflected in elevated peripheral inflammatory markers—such as NLR, MLR, PLR, and SII—consistently reported in schizophrenia (Mazza et al. 2020; Karageorgiou, Milas, and Michopoulos 2019; Sandberg, Steen, and Torsvik 2021). Such immune alterations are evident from the earliest stages of psychotic illnesses (Trovão et al. 2019)—individuals at clinical high risk (CHR) and with first-episode psychosis (FEP) show increased inflammatory markers, including IL-6 and NLR (Misiak et al. 2021; Dunleavy et al. 2022; Sandberg, Steen, and Torsvik 2021; Karageorgiou, Milas, and Michopoulos 2019; Capuzzi et al. 2017; Xin Zhou, Tian, and Han 2021). These changes may contribute to disease progression and greater disease severity (Perkins et al. 2015; Dunleavy et al. 2022; Xia Zhou et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2024; Šafářová, Kolenič, and Španiel 2025).

Although most second-generation antipsychotics (APs) exhibit anti-inflammatory action through the reduction of cytokines and chemokines in FEP (Capuzzi et al. 2017; Marcinowicz et al. 2021; Šafářová, Kolenič, and Španiel 2025)—their impact on peripheral

markers remains equivocal (Fernandes et al. 2016; Sandberg, Steen, and Torsvik 2021; Šafářová, Kolenič, and Španiel 2025).

Given these uncertainties, a more precise understanding of how AP treatment influences neuroinflammation in early psychosis is needed. Therefore, the present study aimed to evaluate whether cumulative AP treatment is associated with changes in NLR, MLR, PLR, and SII in drug-naïve FEP patients. To our knowledge, the present study is the first to explore the anti-inflammatory action of APs in FEP using cumulative chlorpromazine dose equivalents (cCHPMZ) as an assessment of drug exposure across diverse regimens while controlling for confounders such as age, sex, clozapine use, and duration of untreated psychosis (DUP). Moreover, we examined a comprehensive panel of hemato-immunological markers—including NLR, MLR, PLR, and SII—to more fully capture the peripheral immune response to antipsychotic treatment.

Methods

Study setting

This retrospective pilot study analyzed data from the ongoing ESO project at the National Institute of Mental Health, Klecany, Czech Republic.
Initial drug-naive blood samples (V0) were collected at the Psychiatric Hospital Bohnice in Prague, with follow-up assessments (V1) conducted at the National Institute of Mental Health in Klecany. All patients included in this study provided informed consent.

Data for this study were extracted from the ESO database in January 2023. All participants provided written informed consent, and the study was conducted in accordance with the latest version of the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Research Ethics Board of the National Institute of Mental Health in Klecany (Approval No. 127/17).

Inclusion criteria

- Drug-naïve patients with the first-episode psychosis (FEP)
- Continuous hospitalization between V0 and V1
- Medication and daily doses had to be consistently monitored throughout their hospitalization.
- No ongoing viral or bacterial infections between V0 and V1

These criteria led to the inclusion of 33 drug-naïve FEP patients in this study.

Data collection

Continuous hospitalization allowed for consistent monitoring of medication adherence. During the study period, administration of antipsychotic medication alongside dosage information was recorded daily. All doses were converted into chlorpromazine equivalents, and cumulative doses were calculated for each patient to facilitate comparison across all of the study participants.

Blood samples were collected at two predefined time points—V0 and V1. Standard complete blood counts (CBCs) were provided, including the absolute count of neutrophils, lymphocytes, monocytes, and platelets. Hemato-immunological markers, including NLR, MLR, PLR, and SII, were computed using the following formulas:

- NLR = neutrophils/lymphocytes
- MLR = monocytes/lymphocytes
- PLR = platelets/lymphocytes
- SII = (platelets × neutrophils)/lymphocytes

For each marker, the change between the two time points (Δ = V1 − V0) was calculated and used as the dependent variable in the subsequent analysis. The SII was included because it reflects a combined index of neutrophil, lymphocyte, and platelet counts, offering a broader and potentially more sensitive measure of systemic inflammation relevant to psychotic disorders. Higher values of NLR, MLR, PLR, and SII are generally interpreted as indicators of elevated peripheral inflammation. Therefore, in this study, a decrease in these markers is suggestive of a reduction in neuroinflammatory activity.

Data analysis

Stepwise linear regression with Akaike Information Criterion (AIC)-based model selection was employed to identify the most relevant predictors for each marker. Model selection prioritized improved overall model fit (as indicated by lower AIC), even if individual predictors were not statistically significant. When only one predictor was retained after the selection process, a simple linear regression model was applied; if multiple predictors remained, multiple linear regression was used.
Multicollinearity was assessed using Variance Inflation Factors (VIF). All final models demonstrated VIFs well below the conventional threshold of 5, indicating no problematic multicollinearity among predictors.

The primary predictor was **cCHPMZ**; all other variables (sex, age, duration of untreated psychosis, and clozapine use) were included to control for their potential confounding effects on inflammatory markers. Specifically, **sex** was included due to the known sex differences in immune response, with males typically exhibiting higher basal inflammation (Klein and Flanagan 2016; Martínez de Toda et al. 2023). **Age** was included given its established association with increased inflammation (A. Singh et al. 2024; Li et al. 2023). Although the evidence for duration of untreated psychosis (**DUP**) is inconclusive, it was included due to its possible association with inflammation in early psychosis (Prakash et al. 2025; Kim et al. 2023; Zoghbi, Lieberman, and Girgis 2023). **Clozapine** use was included to account for the potential confounding effect of clozapine-induced neutropenia (CIN) and its other known alterations of white blood cells.

All statistical analyses were performed using the R software (version 4.2.1). The dataset was screened for outliers and missing values; no patients were excluded, and no imputation was performed. Analyses included only complete cases. Statistical significance was set at $p <$

0.05 (two-tailed). Furthermore, to control for the false discovery rate across multiple regression analyses, all p-values were adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg correction.

Power and sample size

This study was designed as an exploratory pilot to evaluate feasibility and estimate effect sizes for future research; therefore, no a priori power analysis was conducted. However, post hoc sensitivity and power analyses were done in the R software. With a total sample size of N = 33 and 5 predictors (cCHPMZ, sex, age, DUP, and clozapine use), this study had 80% power ($\alpha = 0.05$) to detect effect sizes of approximately **Cohen's $f^2 = 0.475$** or larger—indicating that only large effects could be reliably detected in this sample. Smaller or moderate effects may have gone undetected due to limited power.

Results

Group analysis

The analysis included 33 drug-naïve FEP patients, of whom 11 were female and 22 were male. The mean age was 24.58 years (SD = 5.43). The average time between V0 and V1 was 37.21 days (SD = 19.85). For more demographic information, see *Table 1*.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics for demographic and clinical variables (N = 33)

	Mean ± SD
DUP (days)	100.15 ± 140.57
age at V0 (years)	24.58 ± 5.43
Length of V0 to V1 (days)	37.21 ± 19.85
Length of AP treatment to V0 (days)	6.33 ± 12.75

V0—the initial visit, V1—the follow-up visit, DUP—the duration of untreated psychosis, AP—antipsychotic

Table 2: Descriptive statistics for inflammatory markers at baseline (V0) and follow-up (V1)

Marker	**Mean V0 (SD)**	**Mean V1 (SD)**	**Mean Δ**	***p*-value**
NLR	1.89 (1.03)	2.02 (1.23)	0.13	0.576
MLR	0.228 (0.0831)	0.245 (0.114)	0.017	0.395
PLR	105 (31.1)	130 (41.8)	24.4	**0.002****
SII	421 (282)	453 (293)	31.6	0.605

*** statistical significance $p < 0.01$*

NLR—neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, MLR—monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio, PLR—platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio, SII—systemic immune-inflammation index, V0—the initial visit, V1—the follow-up visit

Descriptive statistics for inflammatory markers at V0 and V1 are depicted in *Table 2*. The overall changes in NLR (mean Δ = 0.13, p = 0.576), MLR (mean Δ = 0.017, p = 0.395), and SII (mean Δ = 31.6, p = 0.605) were minimal and not statistically significant. Only PLR showed a significant increase (mean Δ = 24.4, p = 0.002), likely influenced by the high variability across patients (Δ range: –48.6 to +170.0).

The median cumulative antipsychotic exposure expressed in chlorpromazine equivalents (cCHPMZ) in the whole cohort was 16331 mg (IQR: 8114–46076 mg), while the mean cCHPMZ was 28370.1 mg. The majority of patients were prescribed olanzapine (81.8%, n = 27) and risperidone (78.8%, n = 26). Aripiprazole was used in 30.3% of patients (n = 10), clozapine and haloperidol both in 24.2% (n = 8), amisulpride and levomepromazine in 18.2% (n = 6), paliperidone in 9.1% (n = 3), ziprasidone in 6.1% (n = 2), and zuclopenthixol in 3.0% (n = 1). Throughout the entire study period (V0 to V1), 42.4% of patients (n = 14) were treated with antipsychotic monotherapy. The distribution of antipsychotic use is depicted in *Figure 1*, highlighting predominant use of olanzapine and risperidone among the patients, both of which are recommended as first-line treatments in psychiatric guidelines (Keepers et al. 2020) for managing acute psychosis and schizophrenia.

Figure 1: Distribution of antipsychotic use in patient cohort (N = 33)



OLA-olanzapine, RISP-risperidone, ARI-aripiprazole, CLO-clozapine, HAL-haloperidol, AMI-amisulpride, LVP-levomepromazine, PALI-paliperidone, ZIPRA-ziprasidone, ZUC-zuclopenthixol

Markers vs. cCHPMZ

1. NLR

The stepwise regression eliminated sex, age, DUP, and clozapine use, leaving only cCHPMZ as the predictor of ΔNLR. A simple linear regression (*Figure 2*) confirmed this single□predictor model to be significant ($F(1, 31) = 5.28$, $p = 0.029$), explaining 15% of the variance ($R^2 = 0.15$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.12$). Specifically, each additional milligram of chlorpromazine equivalent was associated with a 2.06 × 10□□ unit reduction in NLR (β = –2.06 × 10□□, SE = 8.95 × 10□□; t = –2.30, p = 0.029; 95% CI [–3.88 × 10□□, –2.31 × 10□□]), corresponding to a medium effect size (Cohen's $f^2 = 0.17$).

Figure 2: Linear regression between ΔNLR and cCHPMZ



Each dot represents one patient. Lower Δ indicates a reduction in neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR).

2. MLR

Stepwise regression showed that sex, on top of cCHPMZ, is a predictor for the change in MLR. Although DUP was not a significant predictor, its inclusion enhanced the model fit by decreasing AIC from 244.27 to 243.37 and reducing residual standard error (RSE) from 39.3 to 38.2. Multiple regression analysis showed that the overall model was statistically significant ($F(3, 29) = 6.03$, $p = 0.003$). This model explained approximately 38.4% of the variance in ΔMLR ($R^2 = 0.38$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.32$).

Among the predictors, cCHPMZ (β = –1.70 × 10□□, SE = 6.64 × 10□□, $p = 0.016$, 95% CI: [–3.06 × 10□□, –3.46 × 10□□]) and sex (β = 0.082, SE = 0.035, $p = 0.026$, 95% CI: [0.011, 0.153]) were statistically significant, indicating that higher antipsychotic exposure was associated with a greater reduction in MLR, and male sex predicted an increase in ΔMLR. DUP did not show a significant association (β = 0.000196, SE = 1.17 x 10□□, $p = 0.106$, 95% CI: [–4.44 × 10□□, 4.36 × 10□□])—see *Table 3*. The overall model showed a large effect size (Cohen's $f^2 = 0.624$). VIFs for all predictors ranged from 1.01 to 1.02, indicating negligible multicollinearity.

Table 3: Multiple linear regression for ΔMLR

Predictor	Estimate (β)	Std. Error	t	*p*-value	95% CI
DUP (days)	$1.96 \times 10^{\square\square}$	$1.17 \times 10^{\square\square}$	1.667	0.106	[$-4.44 \times 10^{\square\square}$, $4.36 \times 10^{\square\square}$]
Sex (male)	0.0817	0.0347	2.352	**0.026 ***	[0.011, 0.153]
cCHPMZ	$-1.70 \times 10^{\square\square}$	$6.64 \times 10^{\square\square}$	–2.567	**0.016 ***	[$-3.06 \times 10^{\square\square}$, $-3.46 \times 10^{\square\square}$]

** statistical significance p<0.05*
DUP - duration of untreated psychosis, cCHPMZ - cumulative chlorpromazine dose equivalents

3. PLR

Stepwise regression indicated that clozapine use, while not statistically significant (β = 29.483, *p* = 0.1072, 95% CI: [–6.78, 65.7]), contributed to a stronger model predicting ΔPLR, as reflected by a decrease in AIC from 244.27 to 243.37 and a reduction in RSE from 39.32 to 38.25. The final model explained 18.28% of the variance in ΔPLR (R^2 = 0.18, adjusted R^2 = 0.13) and reached statistical significance ($F(2,30) = 3.36$, *p* = 0.048). Additionally, cCHPMZ was significantly associated with the change in PLR (β = $-7.846 \times 10^{\square\square}$, *p* = 0.0163, 95% CI: [–0.00141, –0.000155])—see *Table 4.* The model yielded a moderate effect size (Cohen's f^2 = 0.224). VIFs for both predictors were 1.31, indicating low multicollinearity.

Table 4: Multiple linear regression for Δ PLR

Predictor	Estimate (β)	Std. Error	t	*p*-value	95% CI
CLO (yes)	29.483	17.755	1.661	0.1072	[–6.78, 65.7]
cCHPMZ	$-7.846 \times 10^{\square\square}$	$3.084 \times 10^{\square\square}$	-2.544	**0.0163 ***	[–0.00141, –0.000155]

** statistical significance p<0.05*
CLO - clozapine use, cCHPMZ - cumulative chlorpromazine dose equivalents

Although the group-level analysis showed a statistically significant average increase in PLR (mean Δ = 24.4, *p* = 0.002), the regression model revealed that higher cCHPMZ was significantly associated with a decrease in PLR (β = $-7.846 \times 10^{\square\square}$, *p* = 0.0163). This apparent contradiction likely reflects inter-individual variability: while some patients experienced increases in PLR, those receiving higher doses of antipsychotics tended to show reductions. These findings suggest that simple group averages may obscure dose-dependent anti-inflammatory effects of antipsychotics, which become evident only when treatment intensity is statistically accounted for.

4. SII

As with the change in PLR, the stepwise model predicting ΔSII showed improved fit (decreased AIC from 384.33 to 383.77 and RSE from 325 to 321) when clozapine use was used as a predictor, although the effect of clozapine was not statistically significant (β = 231.738, p = 0.1304, 95% CI: [–72.6, 536.0]). Overall, the regression model was statistically significant ($F(2,30) = 3.81$, p = 0.034), explaining 20.25% of the variance in ΔSII ($R^2 = 0.203$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.149$). Among the two predictors, cCHPMZ reached a negative statistical significance (β = -7.112×10^{-3}, p = 0.0101, 95% CI: [–0.0124, –0.00183])—see *Table 5*. The model demonstrated a moderate-to-large effect size (Cohen's $f^2 = 0.254$), with VIFs around 1.31, suggesting no concerns about multicollinearity.

Table 5: Multiple linear regression for ΔSII

Predictor	Estimate (β)	Std. Error	t	*p*-value	95% CI
CLO (yes)	231.738	149.013	1.555	0.1304	[–72.6, 536.0]
cCHPMZ	-7.112×10^{-3}	2.588×10^{-3}	-2.748	**0.0101 ***	[–0.0124, –0.00183]

** statistical significance p<0.05*
CLO - clozapine use, cCHPMZ - cumulative chlorpromazine dose equivalents

5. Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate correction

Due to the number of predictors tested across all models, the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) correction was applied to control for the risk of false positives (Type I error). Unlike more conservative methods (e.g. Bonferroni), which aim to eliminate all false positives and thereby increase the risk of Type II errors (false negatives), FDR controls the proportion of false positives among the predictors that were retained in the final models after the step-wise regression. All p-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons. After correction, associations between cCHPMZ and ΔNLR (p = 0.046), ΔMLR (p = 0.043), ΔPLR (p = 0.043), and ΔSII (p = 0.043), as well as sex with ΔMLR (p = 0.046), remained statistically significant (FDR < 0.05) —see Table 6.

Table 6: Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate correction results

Predictor	**Raw *p*-value**	**FDR-adjusted *p*-value**
ΔNLR – cCHPMZ	**0.0290***	**0.0464***
ΔMLR – cCHPMZ	**0.0160***	**0.0435***
ΔMLR – sex	**0.0260***	**0.0464***
ΔMLR – DUP	0.1060	0.1225
ΔPLR – cCHPMZ	**0.0163***	**0.0435***

ΔPLR – CLO	0.1072	0.1225
ΔSII – cCHPMZ	**0.0101***	**0.0435***
ΔSII – CLO	0.1304	0.1304

** statistical significance p<0.05*
ΔNLR - delta neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, ΔMLR - delta monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio, ΔPLR - delta platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio, ΔSII - delta systemic inflammation index, DUP - duration of untreated psychosis, CLO - clozapine use, cCHPMZ - cumulative chlorpromazine dose equivalents, FDR - false discovery rate

Discussion

This pilot study provides a unique perspective on the intricate relationship between antipsychotic therapy and inflammation in patients with FEP. By examining within-subject changes in inflammatory markers throughout their treatment, we extend previous research by moving beyond static, cross-sectional comparisons to a more dynamic assessment of immunological shifts during antipsychotic therapy. Our findings indicate that cumulative chlorpromazine equivalent doses (cCHPMZ) consistently predicted reductions in peripheral inflammation markers (NLR, MLR, PLR, and SII), suggesting a dose-dependent anti-inflammatory effect of APs. Importantly, these associations remained statistically significant after adjusting for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini-Hochberg FDR correction, underscoring the robustness of the observed effects. Across all models, effect size estimates ranged from medium to large, and Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) diagnostics confirmed low multicollinearity. Notably, apart from the male sex, which was associated with an increased MLR, none of the other covariates (age, DUP, and clozapine use) significantly predicted changes in inflammation, diverging from the literature (A. Singh et al. 2024; Klein and Flanagan 2016; Martínez de Toda et al. 2023; Prakash et al. 2025; Zoghbi, Lieberman, and Girgis 2023). This discrepancy may be attributed to the limited sample size of this pilot study. Although clozapine use did not emerge as a significant predictor, its inclusion improved the model fit in the analyses of PLR and SII, highlighting its relevance. Interestingly, clozapine use was not retained in the model for NLR. Nonetheless, given its well-known adverse effects on white blood cells—particularly clozapine-induced neutropenia—the possibility that clozapine confounds neutrophil-based indices cannot be ruled out. Overall, larger, adequately powered studies are needed to replicate these findings and to clarify the role of clozapine and other potential covariates (DUP, age, sex, ...) in assessing the potential anti-inflammatory action of antipsychotic treatment.

Our findings of dose-dependent reductions in NLR, MLR, PLR, and SII following antipsychotic treatment in initially drug-naive patients suggest that these medications may mitigate neuroinflammation, which could represent a downstream component in the pathophysiological cascade of psychosis. One plausible mechanism is the modulation of the TLR-4/NF-κB cascade, through which antipsychotics may suppress glial activation and cytokine release (Matejuk and Ransohoff 2020; de Bartolomeis et al. 2022; Balaji et al. 2020; Weickert et al. 2024). In parallel, their anti-inflammatory action may involve dopaminergic modulation—particularly via D3 receptor-mediated astrocyte activation (Montoya et al. 2019; Pacheco 2017; Vidal and Pacheco 2020; Feng and Lu 2021) and subsequent NF-κB

signalling. Although the anti-inflammatory effects of antipsychotics may vary, particularly between the first- and second-generation agents—with evidence suggesting stronger anti-inflammatory action for SGAs (Capuzzi et al. 2017; Patlola, Donohoe, and McKernan 2023; Šafářová, Kolenič, and Španiel 2025)—we did not conduct a comparative analysis due to limited statistical power. Nonetheless, the observed dose-dependent effect based on cumulative chlorpromazine-equivalent dosing supports, at present, a general anti-inflammatory role for antipsychotics as a class, warranting further investigation.

Despite the promising findings, our study has several limitations. The small sample size (N = 33) limits the statistical power and generalizability—our study was only powered to detect large effects ($f^2 \approx 0.48$) with 80% power. As such, our findings should be interpreted with caution. The retrospective design introduces potential biases related to timing, unmeasured confounders, and variability in clinical or laboratory data. Although we adjusted for key covariates (age, sex, DUP, and clozapine use) and excluded patients with acute viral or bacterial infections, other factors—such as BMI, comorbidities, or somatic medications—could not be fully controlled for. These limitations call for more rigorous prospective research on larger cohorts. A further limitation is the treatment heterogeneity; all antipsychotics were grouped into a single cCHPMZ variable, regardless of their classification as either first-generation (FGA) or second-generation (SGA) subgroups. This approach facilitated standardized dose comparisons; however, it likely masked potential class-specific immunomodulatory effects, as previously noted (SGAs vs. FGAs). Future studies should stratify analyses by antipsychotic class to examine these differences more accurately.

Clinically, inflammatory markers, such as the NLR, MLR, PLR, and SII, are appealing due to their low cost and broad availability. If validated in larger, prospective cohorts, these markers could serve as accessible and cost-effective tools for early detection, prognostication, and personalized intervention—particularly in identifying individuals at high risk for psychosis or poor treatment response. They could also help in detecting subclinical relapses and guide personalized antipsychotic strategies. Elevated baseline levels of these hemato-immunological markers might be indicative of a heightened risk for the development of psychosis. Therefore, an early intervention—or even prevention—might be achievable through standard blood tests. Importantly, our findings underscore the need to further explore the anti-inflammatory potential of APs. By focusing on their immunomodulatory properties, we may be able to develop novel approaches and strategies that could potentially treat the refractory symptoms of schizophrenia.

Future research must focus on prospective, longitudinal studies to evaluate the response of inflammatory markers to treatment and their potential to predict long-term outcomes in schizophrenia, including symptom persistence, relapse, and alterations in brain structure. Integrating neuroimaging data may elucidate the relationship between sustained neuroinflammation and progressive brain structural changes, including grey matter loss and altered connectivity, thereby offering insights into the neuroimmune mechanisms underlying schizophrenia progression. These studies would enhance the predictive validity of these biomarkers and facilitate their wider application in psychiatric practice.

Conclusion

Our findings suggest that changes in hemato-immunological markers, such as NLR, MLR, PLR, and SII, may reflect neuroimmune modulation in response to antipsychotic treatment in FEP. Significant associations between cCHPMZ and ΔNLR, ΔMLR, ΔPLR, and ΔSII—even after controlling for age, sex, DUP, and clozapine use—support the hypothesis that current antipsychotics may exert anti-inflammatory effects. Based on the knowledge from previous literature, these changes could be caused by the potential underlying antipsychotic's mechanism of action. Our results align with the emerging view that antipsychotics may have a dual role in psychosis treatment—beyond their neurotransmitter effects, they could modulate inflammation, offering new insights into the pathophysiology and management of schizophrenia. While our study is limited by its small sample size and retrospective design, the findings contribute to a growing body of evidence supporting the role of neuroinflammatory processes in schizophrenia and highlight the potential of APs with pronounced anti-inflammatory action to mitigate these effects.

Approval of the Ethics committee

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Board of the National Institute of Mental Health in Klecany (Approval No. 127/17).

Funding sources

Supported by the Ministry of Health of the Czech Republic, grant no. NU22-04-00143.

Supported by the Johannes Amos Comenius Programme (P JAC) provided by MSMT, reg. number CZ.02.01.01/00/23_020/0008560

Declaration of interest

None.

Declaration of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process.
During the preparation of this work, the authors used QuillBot in order to improve the readability and language of this narrative review. After using this tool/service, the authors reviewed and edited the content as needed and take full responsibility for the content of the published article.

Contributors

NS performed the statistical analysis, calculated hemato-immunological ratios (NLR, MLR, PLR, and SII), computed cumulative chlorpromazine equivalent doses (cCHPMZ), applied the inclusion and exclusion criteria, and wrote the manuscript. **MK** and **IT** assisted with the statistical analysis and provided detailed proofreading and editorial suggestions to improve the manuscript. Additionally, **IT** prepared the STROBE check-list. **FS** conceived the original research idea, designed the overall study, supervised its implementation as head of the research group, and contributed to theoretical framing and manuscript revision. **VC** provided

statistical oversight by verifying the analyses. **PF** was responsible for data extraction from the ESO database.

All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Psychiatric Hospital Bohnice for their collaboration and support during the initial phase of data collection. We would also like to thank the National Institute of Mental Health in Klecany for providing access to the ESO database, which was essential for conducting this study.

References

1. Amelang, Sara. 2023. “The Epidemiology and Global Burden of Schizophrenia.” *Psychiatrist.com*, January. https://www.psychiatrist.com/jcp/epidemiology-global-burden-schizophrenia/.
2. Andrews, Gavin, Kristy Sanderson, Justine Corry, Cathy Issakidis, and Helen Lapsley. 2003. “Cost-Effectiveness of Current and Optimal Treatment for Schizophrenia.” *The British Journal of Psychiatry: The Journal of Mental Science* 183 (November):427–35; discussion 436.
3. Balaji, Renu, Manjula Subbanna, Venkataram Shivakumar, Fazal Abdul, Ganesan Venkatasubramanian, and Monojit Debnath. 2020. “Pattern of Expression of Toll like Receptor (TLR)-3 and -4 Genes in Drug-Naïve and Antipsychotic Treated Patients Diagnosed with Schizophrenia.” *Psychiatry Research* 285 (March):112727.
4. Bartolomeis, Andrea de, Annarita Barone, Licia Vellucci, Benedetta Mazza, Mark C. Austin, Felice Iasevoli, and Mariateresa Ciccarelli. 2022. “Linking Inflammation, Aberrant Glutamate-Dopamine Interaction, and Post-Synaptic Changes: Translational Relevance for Schizophrenia and Antipsychotic Treatment: A Systematic Review.” *Molecular Neurobiology* 59 (10): 6460–6501.
5. Capuzzi, Enrico, Francesco Bartoli, Cristina Crocamo, Massimo Clerici, and Giuseppe Carrà. 2017. “Acute Variations of Cytokine Levels after Antipsychotic Treatment in Drug-Naïve Subjects with a First-Episode Psychosis: A Meta-Analysis.” *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* 77 (June):122–28.
6. Castro-Gomez, Sergio, and Michael T. Heneka. 2024. “Innate Immune Activation in Neurodegenerative Diseases.” *Immunity* 57 (4): 790–814.
7. Charlson, Fiona J., Alize J. Ferrari, Damian F. Santomauro, Sandra Diminic, Emily Stockings, James G. Scott, John J. McGrath, and Harvey A. Whiteford. 2018. “Global Epidemiology and Burden of Schizophrenia: Findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016.” *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 44 (6): 1195–1203.
8. Dunleavy, Connor, Richard J. Elsworthy, Rachel Upthegrove, Stephen J. Wood, and Sarah Aldred. 2022. “Inflammation in First-Episode Psychosis: The Contribution of Inflammatory Biomarkers to the Emergence of Negative Symptoms, a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.” *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 146 (1): 6–20.
9. Ermakov, Evgeny A., Irina A. Mednova, Anastasiia S. Boiko, Valentina N. Buneva, and Svetlana A. Ivanova. 2023. “Chemokine Dysregulation and Neuroinflammation in Schizophrenia: A Systematic Review.” *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 24 (3): 2215.
10. Feng, Yifei, and Yan Lu. 2021. “Immunomodulatory Effects of Dopamine in Inflammatory Diseases.” *Frontiers in Immunology* 12 (April):663102.
11. Fernandes, B. S., J. Steiner, H-G Bernstein, S. Dodd, J. A. Pasco, O. M. Dean, P. Nardin, C-A Gonçalves, and M. Berk. 2016. “C-Reactive Protein Is Increased in Schizophrenia but Is Not Altered by Antipsychotics: Meta-Analysis and Implications.”

Molecular Psychiatry 21 (4): 554–64.
12. Fervaha, Gagan, George Foussias, Ofer Agid, and Gary Remington. 2015. “Motivational Deficits in Early Schizophrenia: Prevalent, Persistent, and Key Determinants of Functional Outcome.” *Schizophrenia Research* 166 (1-3): 9–16.
13. Gupta, Swapnil, and Parmanand Kulhara. 2010. “What Is Schizophrenia: A Neurodevelopmental or Neurodegenerative Disorder or a Combination of Both? A Critical Analysis.” *Indian Journal of Psychiatry* 52 (1): 21–27.
14. Howes, O. D., and R. McCutcheon. 2017. “Inflammation and the Neural Diathesis-Stress Hypothesis of Schizophrenia: A Reconceptualization.” *Translational Psychiatry* 7 (2): e1024–e1024.
15. Karageorgiou, Vasilios, Gerasimos P. Milas, and Ioannis Michopoulos. 2019. “Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio in Schizophrenia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.” *Schizophrenia Research* 206 (April):4–12.
16. Keepers, George A., Laura J. Fochtmann, Joan M. Anzia, Sheldon Benjamin, Jeffrey M. Lyness, Ramin Mojtabai, Mark Servis, et al. 2020. “The American Psychiatric Association Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients with Schizophrenia.” *The American Journal of Psychiatry* 177 (9): 868–72.
17. Khandaker, Golam M., and Robert Dantzer. 2016. “Is There a Role for Immune-to-Brain Communication in Schizophrenia?” *Psychopharmacology* 233 (9): 1559–73.
18. Kim, Honey, Seon-Hwa Baek, Ju-Wan Kim, Seunghyong Ryu, Ju-Yeon Lee, Jae-Min Kim, Young-Chul Chung, and Sung-Wan Kim. 2023. “Inflammatory Markers of Symptomatic Remission at 6 Months in Patients with First-Episode Schizophrenia.” *Schizophrenia (Heidelberg, Germany)* 9 (1): 68.
19. Klein, Sabra L., and Katie L. Flanagan. 2016. “Sex Differences in Immune Responses.” *Nature Reviews. Immunology* 16 (10): 626–38.
20. Li, Xia, Chentao Li, Wanying Zhang, Yanan Wang, Pengxu Qian, and He Huang. 2023. “Inflammation and Aging: Signaling Pathways and Intervention Therapies.” *Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy* 8 (1): 239.
21. Marcinowicz, Piotr, Magdalena Więdłocha, Natalia Zborowska, Weronika Dębowska, Piotr Podwalski, Błażej Misiak, Ernest Tyburski, and Agata Szulc. 2021. “A Meta-Analysis of the Influence of Antipsychotics on Cytokines Levels in First Episode Psychosis.” *Journal of Clinical Medicine Research* 10 (11). https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10112488.
22. Marder, S. 1999. “Limitations of Dopamine-D2 Antagonists and the Search for Novel Antipsychotic Strategies.” *Neuropsychopharmacology: Official Publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology* 21 (6): S117–21.
23. Martínez de Toda, Irene, Mónica González-Sánchez, Estefanía Díaz-Del Cerro, Gemma Valera, Julia Carracedo, and Natalia Guerra-Pérez. 2023. “Sex Differences in Markers of Oxidation and Inflammation. Implications for Ageing.” *Mechanisms of Ageing and Development* 211 (111797): 111797.
24. Matejuk, Agata, and Richard M. Ransohoff. 2020. “Crosstalk Between Astrocytes and Microglia: An Overview.” *Frontiers in Immunology* 11 (July):1416.
25. Mazza, Mario Gennaro, Sara Lucchi, Aurora Rossetti, and Massimo Clerici. 2020. “Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratio, Monocyte-Lymphocyte Ratio and Platelet-Lymphocyte Ratio in Non-Affective Psychosis: A Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review.” *The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry: The Official Journal of the World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry* 21 (5): 326–38.
26. Misiak, Błażej, Francesco Bartoli, Giuseppe Carrà, Bartłomiej Stańczykiewicz, Anna Gładka, Dorota Frydecka, Jerzy Samochowiec, Konrad Jarosz, Tomasz Hadryś, and Brian J. Miller. 2021. “Immune-Inflammatory Markers and Psychosis Risk: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.” *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 127 (May):105200.
27. Montoya, Andro, Daniela Elgueta, Javier Campos, Ornella Chovar, Paulina Falcón, Soledad Matus, Iván Alfaro, María Rosa Bono, and Rodrigo Pacheco. 2019. “Dopamine Receptor D3 Signalling in Astrocytes Promotes Neuroinflammation.”

Journal of Neuroinflammation 16 (1): 258.
28. Müller, Norbert. 2019. “The Role of Intercellular Adhesion Molecule-1 in the Pathogenesis of Psychiatric Disorders.” *Frontiers in Pharmacology* 10 (November):1251.
29. Nolan, R. A., K. L. Reeb, Y. Rong, S. M. Matt, H. S. Johnson, K. Runner, and P. J. Gaskill. 2020. “Dopamine Activates NF-κB and Primes the NLRP3 Inflammasome in Primary Human Macrophages.” *Brain, Behavior, & Immunity - Health* 2 (100030): 100030.
30. Olson, Julie K., and Stephen D. Miller. 2004. “Microglia Initiate Central Nervous System Innate and Adaptive Immune Responses through Multiple TLRs.” *Journal of Immunology* 173 (6): 3916–24.
31. Pacheco, Rodrigo. 2017. “Targeting Dopamine Receptor D3 Signalling in Inflammation.” *Oncotarget* 8 (5): 7224–25.
32. Patlola, Saahithh Redddi, Gary Donohoe, and Declan P. McKernan. 2023. “Anti-Inflammatory Effects of 2nd Generation Antipsychotics in Patients with Schizophrenia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.” *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 160 (April):126–36.
33. Perkins, Diana O., Clark D. Jeffries, Jean Addington, Carrie E. Bearden, Kristin S. Cadenhead, Tyrone D. Cannon, Barbara A. Cornblatt, et al. 2015. “Towards a Psychosis Risk Blood Diagnostic for Persons Experiencing High-Risk Symptoms: Preliminary Results from the NAPLS Project.” *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 41 (2): 419–28.
34. Prakash, Jaya, Sujita Kumar Kar, Wahid Ali, and Pronob Kumar Dalal. 2025. “Can Non-Specific Blood Inflammatory Biomarkers Predict Recovery in Acute Transient Psychotic Disorder? A Prospective Observational Study.” *Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine*, February, 02537176251317648.
35. Ransohoff, Richard M., and Melissa A. Brown. 2012. “Innate Immunity in the Central Nervous System.” *The Journal of Clinical Investigation* 122 (4): 1164–71.
36. Šafářová, Nicole, Marián Kolenič, and Filip Španiel. 2025. “Beyond Dopamine: Exploring Anti-Inflammatory Mechanisms of Antipsychotics.” *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry* 141 (111459): 111459.
37. Sandberg, Asbjørn Arnesen, Vidar M. Steen, and Anja Torsvik. 2021. “Is Elevated Neutrophil Count and Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio a Cause or Consequence of Schizophrenia?-A Scoping Review.” *Frontiers in Psychiatry / Frontiers Research Foundation* 12 (September):728990.
38. Seeman, Mary V. 2021. “History of the Dopamine Hypothesis of Antipsychotic Action.” *World Journal of Psychiatry* 11 (7): 355–64.
39. Severance, Emily G., Faith B. Dickerson, and Robert H. Yolken. 2018. “Autoimmune Phenotypes in Schizophrenia Reveal Novel Treatment Targets.” *Pharmacology & Therapeutics* 189 (September):184–98.
40. Singh, Amit, Shepherd H. Schurman, Arsun Bektas, Mary Kaileh, Roshni Roy, David M. Wilson 3rd, Ranjan Sen, and Luigi Ferrucci. 2024. “Aging and Inflammation.” *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine* 14 (6): a041197.
41. Singh, Deepali. 2022. “Astrocytic and Microglial Cells as the Modulators of Neuroinflammation in Alzheimer’s Disease.” *Journal of Neuroinflammation* 19 (1): 206.
42. Sokol, Caroline L., and Andrew D. Luster. 2015. “The Chemokine System in Innate Immunity.” *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology* 7 (5): a016303.
43. Stone, William S., Michael R. Phillips, Lawrence H. Yang, Lawrence S. Kegeles, Ezra S. Susser, and Jeffrey A. Lieberman. 2022. “Neurodegenerative Model of Schizophrenia: Growing Evidence to Support a Revisit.” *Schizophrenia Research* 243 (May):154–62.
44. Toda, Mitsuru, and Anissa Abi-Dargham. 2007. “Dopamine Hypothesis of Schizophrenia: Making Sense of It All.” *Current Psychiatry Reports* 9 (4): 329–36.
45. Trovão, Nuno, Joana Prata, Orlando VonDoellinger, Susana Santos, Mário Barbosa, and Rui Coelho. 2019. “Peripheral Biomarkers for First-Episode Psychosis-

Opportunities from the Neuroinflammatory Hypothesis of Schizophrenia." *Psychiatry Investigation* 16 (3): 177–84.
46. Vidal, Pia M., and Rodrigo Pacheco. 2020. "The Cross-Talk Between the Dopaminergic and the Immune System Involved in Schizophrenia." *Frontiers in Pharmacology* 11 (March):394.
47. Vos, T. 1990. "Global, Regional, and National Incidence, Prevalence, and Years Lived with Disability for 328 Diseases and Injuries for 195 Countries, 1990–2016: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016." *The Lancet*.
48. Wang, Xuan, Xiaofang Chen, Xiaoni Guan, and Zezhi Li. 2024. "The Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio Is Associated with Clinical Symptoms in First-Episode Medication-Naïve Patients with Schizophrenia." *Schizophrenia (Heidelberg, Germany)* 10 (1): 13.
49. Weickert, Thomas W., Ellen Ji, Cherrie Galletly, Danny Boerrigter, Yosuke Morishima, Jason Bruggemann, Ryan Balzan, et al. 2024. "Toll-Like Receptor mRNA Levels in Schizophrenia: Association With Complement Factors and Cingulate Gyrus Cortical Thinning." *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 50 (2): 403–17.
50. Zhou, Xia, Xiaolan Wang, Rui Li, Jun Yan, Ying Xiao, Weiguang Li, and Hong Shen. 2020. "Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio Is Independently Associated With Severe Psychopathology in Schizophrenia and Is Changed by Antipsychotic Administration: A Large-Scale Cross-Sectional Retrospective Study." *Frontiers in Psychiatry / Frontiers Research Foundation* 11 (October):581061.
51. Zhou, Xin, Bo Tian, and Hai-Bin Han. 2021. "Serum Interleukin-6 in Schizophrenia: A System Review and Meta-Analysis." *Cytokine* 141 (May):155441.
52. Zoghbi, Anthony W., Jeffrey A. Lieberman, and Ragy R. Girgis. 2023. "The Neurobiology of Duration of Untreated Psychosis: A Comprehensive Review." *Molecular Psychiatry* 28 (1): 168–90.




R = −0.38, p = 0.028
2.5
0.0
-2.5
Change in NLR (ΔNLR, V1 – V0)
20000
40000
60000
80000
Cumulative Chlorpromazine Equivalent Dose (mg)