Time-Restricted Eating and Dietary Intake Shape 24-Hour Glycemic Patterns in Type 2 Diabetes: A Functional Data Analysis Approach

Wenxin Xu[1], Collin Sakal[1], Wei Zhang[1], Tong Chen[1], Congrong Wang[2]*, Qinpei Zhao[3]*, Xinyue Li[1]*

[1] Department of Data Science, College of Computing, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China
[2] Department of Endocrinology & Metabolism, Shanghai Fourth People's Hospital, School of Medicine, Tongji University, Shanghai, China
[3] School of Computer Science and Technology, Tongji University, Shanghai, China

* Correspondence:
Congrong Wang, Department of Endocrinology & Metabolism, Shanghai Fourth People's Hospital, School of Medicine, Tongji University, 1279 Sanmen Road, Shanghai 200434, China. Email: crwang@tongji.edu.cn

Qinpei Zhao, School of Computer Science and Technology, Tongji University, 4800 Caoan Highway, Shanghai 201804, China. Email: qinpeizhao@tongji.edu.cn

Xinyue Li, Department of Data Science, College of Computing, City University of Hong Kong, 83 Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong SAR, China. Email: xinyueli@cityu.edu.hk

Abstract

Background: Time-restricted eating (TRE) shows promise for metabolic health, but its effectiveness in glucose management among individuals with type 2 diabetes, and its role in modulating glucose responses to dietary intake remain poorly understood.

Objective: We aimed to identify temporal associations of TRE and dietary intake with 24-hour glucose dynamics. We further examined the interactions between eating windows and carbohydrate intake.

Methods: Clinical information, dietary records, and continuous glucose monitoring data from 90 Chinese adults with type 2 diabetes were analyzed. Two digital biomarkers were developed to characterize duration and regularity of eating patterns: a binary indicator for eating windows <10 hours (TRE10) and a continuous measure of deviation from an individual's median eating window (TWD). Linear mixed-effects models and functional data analysis were used to examine independent and temporal associations of glucose levels with TRE and dietary intakes.

Results: TRE10 was associated with reduced mean amplitude of glycemic excursions (MAGE) ($\beta = -7.15$, $P = 0.031$) and glucose standard deviation (SD) ($\beta = -2.16$, $P = 0.035$), with the strongest associations around 09:00. TWD was positively associated with glycemic coefficient of variation (CV) ($\beta = 0.36$, $P = 0.050$) and higher glucose levels between 07:00 and 08:00. Carbohydrate intake was significantly associated with time in range (TIR) and glycemic variability, with notable glucose changes at 10:00 and 21:00. Dietary vitamin D intake was linked to reduced glycemic area under the curve (AUC) ($\beta = -0.29$, $P = 0.040$), with pronounced effects at 12:00 and 20:00. Additionally, eating windows < 10 hours attenuated carbohydrate-induced glucose spikes in the morning but amplified glucose responses in the early afternoon.

Conclusions: In adults with type 2 diabetes, eating windows <10 hours and consistent eating windows improved glycemic control, with distinct time-of-day effects. These findings support integrating timing-based nutritional strategies into personalized diabetes management.

Keywords: time-restricted eating, type 2 diabetes, dietary intake, nutrition, functional data analysis

Introduction

Type 2 diabetes presents a major global health challenge, with its prevalence projected to reach 783.2 million by 2045 (1). In China, culturally specific dietary patterns high in carbohydrates contribute to the country's status as having the largest diabetes population worldwide (2). Dietary interventions are a fundamental component of first-line therapeutic approaches for type 2 diabetes management (3). Although conventional calorie-restricted diets have demonstrated efficacy in improving glycemic levels, their long-term adherence remains a major challenge due to the complexities of calorie tracking (4). Time-restricted eating (TRE) has emerged as a promising alternative by focusing on eating window limitation rather than calorie counting. Studies conducted among participants without diabetes suggest that TRE can enhance glucose regulation (5, 6). Additionally, modern irregular eating patterns and unrestricted food availability often disrupt circadian rhythms, contributing to metabolic health issues (7). TRE has shown potential in restoring circadian alignment (8). However, the effectiveness of TRE in individuals with type 2 diabetes remains poorly understood, and to our knowledge, no study has examined the interactions between TRE and dietary intake on glucose levels in this population.

Effective management of type 2 diabetes requires strategies that address not only chronic hyperglycemia but also glycemic variability, which have been linked to an increased risk of cardiovascular complications (9). Despite its importance, glycemic variability is often overlooked in practice, where Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) serves as the primary biomarker. While HbA1c is a reliable indicator of average glucose levels, it fails to capture short-term glucose fluctuations and acute excursions (10). Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) has demonstrated its effectiveness in diabetes management (11, 12), offering a powerful tool to overcome the limitations of traditional glycemic biomarkers. However, conventional CGM-derived metrics, such as in-range and

variability indices, reduce glucose data into summary statistics that fail to fully capture the complexity of temporal glycemic dynamics (13). Advanced analytical approaches, such as functional data analysis (FDA), offer a novel framework for modelling glucose data as continuous trajectories over time, enabling a detailed understanding of glycemic patterns.

In this study, we investigated the association of time-restricted eating and dietary intake with glycemic profiles among Chinese adults with type 2 diabetes under free-living conditions. Leveraging multi-modal data, including clinical information, food records, and CGM data, we applied advanced statistical methods to analyze temporal associations of time-restricted eating and nutritional content with glucose dynamics. We further examined the potential modulating effects of eating windows on glycemic responses to carbohydrate intake. This work provides new insights into how eating patterns and nutrient composition of are associated with blood glucose levels, highlighting the potential for personalized TRE-based and dietary strategies to improve glycemic management.

Methods

Study design and population

Data for this study were obtained from the ShanghaiT2DM Dataset, a publicly available dataset collected in Shanghai, China (14). The dataset includes 100 participants diagnosed with type 2 diabetes, all aged 18 years or older. Participants were recruited from the Diabetes Data Registry and Individualized Lifestyle Intervention (DiaDRIL) program at Shanghai Fourth People's Hospital (June 2021 to November 2021) and Shanghai East Hospital (September 2019 to March 2021). All participants wore FreeStyle Libre flash continuous glucose monitors (Abbott Diabetes Care, Witney, UK) to measure interstitial glucose levels over recording periods ranging from 3 to

14 days, with measurements taken at 15-minute intervals. A total of 112,475 CGM measurements were collected. Self-reported meal intake data was recorded under free-living conditions, including meal timings and weighted food records. In addition, the ShanghaiT2DM dataset includes demographic information, anthropometric measurements, and clinical test results obtained during hospital visits via questionnaires and medical records. This study utilized anonymized, publicly available data from the ShanghaiT2DM dataset, which was ethically approved by relevant ethics committees and obtained with informed consent.

For this study, data from multiple modalities were analyzed, including CGM sensor data, demographic information, and clinically documented medical examinations. Missing CGM records of no more than 2 hours were imputed using linear interpolation. Data cleaning was performed at the day level to align the modalities. Days were excluded if they met any of the following criteria: fewer than 96 CGM recordings after imputation, missing covariates, or incomplete meal recordings.

Nutritional composition and TRE digital biomarkers

A total of 3,061 self-reported, non-empty meal records were collected in the ShanghaiT2DM dataset. Each food ingredient was mapped to a standardized nutrient database to derive its nutritional content, including carbohydrate, protein, lipid, fiber, and vitamin D. Nutritional values for meals were calculated by summing the nutrient contents of all ingredients and adjusting proportionally based on reported food quantities. The nutritional information was obtained from FoodData Central from the U.S. Department of Agriculture (15), supplemented by two culturally relevant tools: Boohee App and the Centre for Food Safety, Hong Kong (16).

Meal timings were self-reported and aligned with CGM recordings. Food records reported within 30 minutes were merged. Meals with extreme nutritional content were excluded based on the

following thresholds: >1,500 kcal, >1,000 grams of carbohydrates, >1,000 grams of protein, >100 grams of lipid, >100 grams of dietary fibers, or >100 micrograms of dietary vitamin D. Processed meal-level data were aggregated at the daily level to compute total nutrient intake per participant per day. To ensure consistency with the three-meal dietary structure typical in Chinese culture and to avoid missing records, only days with at least three recorded meals were included in the analysis.

Two digital biomarkers were introduced to characterize time-restricted eating: a binary indicator for eating windows <10 hours (TRE10) and a continuous measure of deviation from an individual's median eating window (TWD). The daily eating window was calculated as the time elapsed between the first and last meal of the day. Consistent with two previous clinical trials in individuals with type 2 diabetes, a 10-hour threshold was used to define TRE10 (17, 18). Days were classified as having a "short" eating window (<10 hours) or a "long" eating window (≥10 hours). TWD (Time Window Difference) was computed in two steps. First, for each participant, the median eating window across all study days was calculated as a personalized reference. Second, for each day, TWD was calculated as the difference between the observed daily eating window and the participant's median eating window. The median eating window was chosen over the mean due to its robustness against outliers.

Standard CGM-derived summary statistics analysis

Day-based summary statistics were derived from the CGM data to capture both in-range metrics and glucose variability features. Specifically, the glycemic summary statistics included the percentage of time glucose levels were between 70 and 180 mg/dL (TIR), the percentage of time glucose levels exceeded 180 mg/dL (TAR), the percentage of time glucose levels were below 70 mg/dL (TBR), the hourly average area under the glucose curve (AUC), the coefficient of variation

(CV), the mean amplitude of glycemic excursions (MAGE), and the standard deviation (SD). Linear mixed-effects models with random intercepts were employed to assess the independent associations of time-restricted eating and nutritional content with each glycemic summary statistic. All models were adjusted for potential confounders, including age, sex, diabetes duration, body mass index, smoking status, and alcohol consumption.

Functional data analysis

Given the continuous nature of CGM data, consecutive measurements are naturally more dependent than independent of each other. To fully leverage the rich information in CGM recordings, we applied FDA to capture the detailed temporal effects of TRE and nutritional intake on CGM trajectories. Specifically, we employed Fast Univariate Inference to conduct epoch-by-epoch function-on-scalar regression (19), modelling CGM data as functional outcomes and exposures and covariates as scalar variables. The analysis proceeded through epoch-wise linear mixed-effect models, with random intercepts that accounting both within- and between- subject variability, explicitly incorporating the multilevel structure of CGM data. CGM recordings, collected at 15-minute intervals, resulted in 96 epochs per day. As recommended by Crainiceanu et al. (20) coefficient trajectories were smoothed using locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOWESS) with a span parameter of 1/24 for one-hour interval. Confidence intervals were derived using bootstrapping with 1,000 iterations.

We first examined the temporal associations between nutritional intake and CGM levels, adjusting for age, sex, diabetes duration, body mass index, smoking status, and alcohol consumption. Next, we investigated the temporal effects of two TRE digital biomarkers, TRE10 and TWD, while adjusting for nutritional intake. To explore whether eating window duration modulates the

141 glycemic response to carbohydrate intake, we introduced an interaction term between daily
142 carbohydrate consumption and TRE10 in the fully adjusted model.

143 **Sensitivity analysis**

144 Sensitivity analyses were performed to assess the robustness of our findings. We conducted
145 subgroup analyses stratified by sex (males and females) and age (older group ≥63 years; younger
146 group <63 years). The age cutoff of 63 years was chosen based on the median age of the study
147 cohort. The associations between eating windows and nutritional components with CGM levels
148 were evaluated within each subgroup using the same statistical methods as in the main analysis,
149 except for females, where smoking and alcohol drinking were not adjusted, as all females were
150 non-smokers and non-drinkers. The consistency of the direction and significance of the
151 associations across subgroups was compared to the main analysis results.

152

153 ## Results

154 **Baseline characteristics**

155 In this study, we included 90 Chinese adults from the ShanghaiT2DM Dataset (46% female; mean
156 age 60.2 ± 13.9 years; HbA1c 76.0 ± 27.1 mmol/mol; diabetes duration 8.9 ± 8.6 years; **Table 1**).
157 Over an average continuous glucose monitoring period of 10.0 ± 3.3 days, participants contributed
158 65,280 glucose measurements across 680 participant-days, with glucose values recorded at 15-
159 minute intervals. Complete baseline clinical and demographic characteristics alongside CGM
160 adherence are detailed in **Table 1** at participant level, and an inclusion flowchart is presented in
161 **Supplementary Figure 1**. Mean daily caloric intake was 1072.3 ± 469.8 kcal, with dietary patterns
162 characterized by macronutrient composition (carbohydrates, protein, lipids, and fiber) and dietary
163 vitamin D intake.

164 **Digital biomarkers for time-restricted eating**

165 Among participants with valid data, the mean ± SD eating window was 10.7 ± 1.5 hours with a
166 range of 7.5–19 hours. The mean time of the first recorded meal was 07:23, and the mean time of
167 the last recorded meal was 18:07. To evaluate the potential impact of TRE on glucose profiles, we
168 introduced two daily digital biomarkers: (i) TRE10 (Time-Restricted Eating <10h), a binary
169 indicator representing eating windows <10 hours, and (ii) TWD (Time Window Deviation), a
170 continuous variable that quantifies the difference between the observed daily eating window and
171 the participant's median eating window (see "Methods"). Complete day-level characteristics,
172 including dietary factors and CGM-derived glucose measures, are presented in **Table 2**.

173 **Independent associations of TRE and dietary intake with CGM summary statistics**

174 The independent associations of TRE and dietary intake with glycemic control were analyzed
175 using adjusted linear mixed-effects models, accounting for both between- and within-subject
176 variability. The analysis included a range of CGM-derived summary statistics, comprising in-range
177 as well as variability metrics. Having an eating window ≤ 10h (TRE10) was significantly
178 associated with lower glycemic variability, measured by mean amplitude of glycemic excursions
179 (MAGE) and glucose standard deviation (SD) (MAGE, $\beta = -7.14$, $P = 0.031$; SD, $\beta = -2.16$, $P =$
180 0.035). In contrast, the deviation in eating windows (TWD) showed a positive association with
181 glucose variability as measured by coefficient of variation (CV) of glucose levels ($\beta = 0.36$, $P =$
182 0.050). Carbohydrate consumption was significantly associated with the percentage of time
183 glucose levels between 70 and 180 mg/dL (TIR, $\beta = 0.31$, $P = 0.029$) and percentage of time
184 glucose levels exceeding 180 mg/dL (TAR, $\beta = 0.28$, $P = 0.039$). Additionally, it was associated
185 with variability measures, including the coefficient of variation (CV, $\beta = 0.16$, $P = 0.005$), the
186 mean amplitude of glycemic excursions (MAGE, $\beta = 0.71$, $P = 0.018$), and the standard deviation

(SD, β = 0.27, P = 0.005). Dietary vitamin D intake was negatively associated with hourly average area under the glycemic curve (AUC, β = -0.29, P = 0.040). Daily intake of dietary protein, lipid, and fiber was not significantly associated with any CGM-derived summary statistics (**Figure 1**, **Supplementary Table 1**).

Temporal associations of TRE and dietary intake with functional CGM data

To investigate the dynamic temporal effects of TRE and dietary intake on glucose levels, function-on-scalar regression analysis was performed (**Figure 2**). TRE10 was associated with decreases in CGM levels, with the most substantial reductions observed around 09:00 and smaller decreases between 16:00 and 17:00. TWD showed more modest effects, with the most evident positive associations occurring between 07:00 and 08:00. The morning effects of both TRE10 and TWD were more pronounced, as reflected by narrower confidence intervals. Daily carbohydrate consumption was primarily associated with breakfast postprandial hyperglycemia, with peak effects observed at 10:00 and 21:00. Lipid intake was associated with elevated glucose levels in the afternoon, primarily between 17:00 and 19:00. Dietary fiber was negatively associated with glucose levels around 12:30. Dietary vitamin D showed negative associations with glucose levels at 12:00 and 20:00. Minimal effects were observed for all nutritional variables during the nocturnal fasting period (00:00–06:00), except for protein, which demonstrated a weak positive association with glucose levels around 03:00. The interaction between TRE10 and carbohydrate intake, the primary nutritional determinant of CGM values, was also examined. TRE10 weakly attenuated carbohydrate-induced glycemic responses around 11:00. However, despite TRE10's overall protective effects on glycemic profiles, the interaction effect showed positive associations during the afternoon period (14:00–15:00), indicating an amplified glucose response to carbohydrate intake during this time.

210 **Sensitivity analysis**

211 Sensitivity analyses confirmed the robustness of our findings. Subgroup analyses by sex revealed
212 that eating windows within 10 hours were significantly associated with increased glycemic
213 variability in males, with a similar, though non-significant, trend observed in females
214 (**Supplementary Tables 2, 3**). Deviation from the median eating window showed moderate
215 associations with glycemic variability in males, while no evident associations were observed in
216 females. Carbohydrate intake consistently correlated with deteriorated in-range measures and
217 increased glycemic variability across both sexes, while dietary vitamin D intake demonstrated
218 associations in the same direction as the main analysis. Other nutritional components exhibited
219 slightly different effects between males and females, likely due to reduced sample sizes. Further
220 subgroup analysis by age (older group ≥63 years; younger group <63 years) produced consistent
221 results with the main analysis. Although associations between eating windows and glucose levels
222 were not statistically significant in the older group, the direction of effects remained consistent.
223 Carbohydrate intake and dietary vitamin D displayed similar associations in both age subgroups
224 (**Supplementary Tables 4, 5**).

225

226 **Discussion**

227 In this observational cohort study, we introduced two digital biomarkers, TRE10 and TWD, to
228 quantify eating windows and their variability, providing a novel framework to evaluate the
229 potential effectiveness of time-restricted eating among a Chinese cohort with type 2 diabetes under
230 free-living conditions. The findings suggest that these metrics could provide valuable insights into
231 glycemic variability control. Through the integration of CGM data and FDA, the temporal effects
232 of eating patterns and nutritional intake on glycemic levels were investigated, identifying distinct

233 glycemic patterns at different times of the day. Additionally, our analysis revealed a complex
234 interaction between carbohydrate intake and eating windows, suggesting that both the timing and
235 composition of meals play critical roles in glycemic control. While the study design does not allow
236 for causal conclusions, these findings underscore the potential clinical and public health
237 significance of integrating eating time windows and nutritional content into strategies for diabetes
238 management.

239 Our study extends the application of TRE to individuals with type 2 diabetes, and introduces two
240 novel digital biomarkers, TRE10 and TWD, to quantify eating patterns and their variability in free-
241 living conditions. Earlier studies demonstrated that TRE could improve metabolic biomarkers in
242 individuals without diabetes (5, 6). However, the relevance of these findings to type 2 diabetes has
243 remained limited due to the distinct pathophysiology of diabetes and the added complexity of
244 glycemic control in this population (21). In alignment with clinical trials conducted in participants
245 with type 2 diabetes (17, 18), we used a 10-hour cutoff to define the digital biomarker TRE10.
246 Building on evidence that variability in meal timing adversely affects glycemic regulation (22),
247 we introduced TWD as a novel measure to quantify the consistency of eating patterns. To further
248 account for individual differences in chrono-nutrition influenced by an individual's chronotype
249 (23), we calculated TWD using personalized median eating windows. By advancing digital
250 biomarkers to assess both eating window duration and regularity, our study contributes to the
251 growing field of chrono-nutrition.

252 We found significant associations between TRE10 and measures of glycemic variability and
253 provided the first evidence that the glucose-lowering effect of a short eating window was most
254 pronounced during the morning hours. While the international consensus has emphasized the
255 utility of CGM devices for assessing glycemic outcomes (24), few clinical trials have incorporated

256 CGM-derived metrics (18, 25, 26). Among these, one existing study notably reported a reduction
257 in median absolute deviation with a 9-hour eating window (25), which aligns with our findings
258 that shorter eating windows reduce glycemic variability. For in-range CGM metrics, our results
259 are consistent with the prior study that reported no significant increase in TIR after a 6-month
260 intervention (26). In contrast, two other trials observed significant increases in TIR (18, 25),
261 potentially due to shorter intervention durations of 3 weeks (18) and 4 weeks (25), compared to
262 the longer 6-month intervention (26) and the habitual observation period in our study. These
263 findings suggest that shorter interventions may capture initial physiological adaptations to TRE,
264 while longer durations are necessary to evaluate its sustained effects on glycemic control in free-
265 living conditions. This distinction is critical for designing future studies and translating TRE into
266 clinical practice. Furthermore, given that β-cell responsiveness exhibits a circadian peak in the
267 morning (27), it is physiologically plausible that the observed benefits of TRE10 are most evident
268 during this time of day. Overall, our findings emphasize the importance of both the duration and
269 regularity of eating windows in shaping glycemic outcomes and suggest that morning-focused
270 TRE strategies may improve glucose regulation in individuals with type 2 diabetes.
271 Greater daily irregularity in habitual eating windows, measured by TWD, was significantly
272 associated with increased glycemic variability, with FDA revealing an adverse impact in early
273 mornings. This finding supports and extends existing evidence on the negative effects of irregular
274 eating patterns and circadian misalignment on metabolic health (28). Recent study has also linked
275 irregular mealtimes to worse glycemic control in individuals with diabetes (29). While these
276 studies highlight the metabolic consequences of irregular or delayed eating, our study provides a
277 novel contribution by directly quantifying daily eating irregularity and its 24-hour glycemic effects.

278 These findings emphasize the importance of consistent meal timing as a strategy for improving
279 glycemic control.

280 Carbohydrate intake plays a critical role in glycemic control among individuals with type 2
281 diabetes, with our novel evidence highlighting the time-dependent effects of carbohydrates on
282 glucose dynamics. This is consistent with the previous study using CGM devices, where low-
283 carbohydrate diets have been shown to increase glycemic TIR and CV in populations with type 2
284 diabetes (30). Significant associations between carbohydrate intake and glucose levels were
285 observed across both in-range and variability CGM metrics in our study. Notably, our findings
286 revealed that the effects of carbohydrate consumption were most pronounced around 10:00, likely
287 reflecting postprandial glucose excursions following breakfast. This aligns with prior evidence
288 showing that reducing the carbohydrate content in breakfast improves glucose control more
289 effectively than adjusting lunch or dinner (31), and that a low-carbohydrate breakfast reduces 24-
290 hour glycemic AUC and MAGE (32). Collectively, these findings emphasize the importance of
291 targeting carbohydrate intake, particularly during breakfast, to optimize glycemic outcomes.

292 No significant associations were observed between dietary protein, lipid, or fiber intake and CGM
293 metrics, yet FDA analyses revealed temporal effects. Protein intake showed a weak positive
294 association with nocturnal glucose levels, potentially reflecting altered protein metabolism during
295 extended fasting periods, as proposed by one previous study (33). FDA detected minor positive
296 associations between total lipid intake and glucose levels in the afternoon. This observation may
297 be influenced by the varying effects of fat types on glucose metabolism, as suggested by prior
298 research (34). Our analysis examined total lipid intake as a whole to provide a broader perspective
299 on overall dietary patterns and their relationship with glucose levels, rather than focusing on
300 specific differences in fat quality. For dietary fiber, FDA revealed a significant negative

association with glucose levels around noon. Although stronger associations have been reported previously (35), the discrepancy may reflect differences in diabetes cohorts (type 1 diabetes versus type 2 diabetes) or dietary patterns (Western versus Chinese diets). These findings highlight the utility of FDA in capturing time-specific associations between macronutrient intake and glycemic dynamics that may be missed by summary-level analyses.

Dietary vitamin D intake was identified as a significant factor influencing glycemic outcomes, particularly glycemic AUC. FDA analyses further showed pronounced effects of dietary vitamin D intake around noon and during the night. Our findings align with prior research showing that vitamin D-rich foods can reduce blood glucose levels in individuals with type 2 diabetes (36). While most previous studies have focused on serum vitamin D concentrations, our findings provide direct evidence of the impact of dietary vitamin D on glycemic parameters. These findings offer actionable insights for nutritional interventions to improve glycemic control.

Advanced statistical approaches from FDA uncovered novel insights into the temporal effects of TRE and nutritional content on glucose levels. Conventional CGM-derived metrics may fail to account for the multilevel structure of CGM data (13), and may lead to inconsistent conclusions if the appropriate metrics are not selected (37). Our application of function-on-scalar regression overcame these limitations and offered a more detailed understanding of glycemic profiles. This approach aligns with previous studies that used FDA techniques to examine the relationship between CGM levels and large-for-gestational-age infants in women with gestational diabetes (38-40). However, to our knowledge, no prior studies have employed function-on-scalar regression models to investigate the temporal effects of TRE on glucose levels. The use of FDA to analyze associations between nutritional intake and CGM data is also limited, with the only prior study focusing on nutrient-CGM associations during a 6-hour post-dinner period (13). Our study extends

324 this analysis to a comprehensive 24-hour analysis of nutrient-glucose relationships, revealing
325 distinct temporal patterns for different nutrients. While previous research has described
326 postprandial responses to carbohydrates, lipids, and fiber, our analysis identifies precise temporal
327 windows of nutrient effects and includes an investigation of dietary vitamin D, a nutrient found to
328 be inadequate among the Chinese population (41).

329 This study is the first to examine the interplay between carbohydrate intake and a 10-hour eating
330 window (TRE10) in individuals with type 2 diabetes. We found that while TRE10 slightly
331 attenuated carbohydrate-induced glucose spikes in the morning, it amplified the glucose response
332 in the afternoon. The observed afternoon amplification may reflect a misalignment between food
333 intake timing and the body's endogenous circadian regulation of glucose metabolism. This
334 differential response pattern aligns with diurnal variations in glucose metabolism, where glucose
335 tolerance is significantly impaired in the evening compared to the morning (42). Supporting this,
336 a recent systematic review reported that early TRE is more effective than late TRE in improving
337 metabolic health among overweight and obese individuals (43). Collectively, these findings
338 underscore the importance of chrono-nutrition in diabetes management and suggest that aligning
339 carbohydrate intake with circadian rhythms may enhance metabolic outcomes.

340 This study has several strengths, including the introduction of novel digital biomarkers (TRE10
341 and TWD) to quantify eating patterns and their irregularity, and the application of advanced
342 statistical models from FDA to explore temporal glucose dynamics beyond conventional CGM
343 summary metrics. By integrating CGM data with dietary intake, we identified time-dependent
344 effects of TRE and nutrient consumption on glycemic control. These findings contribute to the
345 growing field of chrono-nutrition and support the development of personalized diabetes
346 management strategies. However, this study is not without limitations. First, the observational

design precludes causal inference, meaning that the identified associations between TRE10, TWD, and glycemic outcomes cannot be interpreted as evidence of direct effects. Further research into the causal relationship between short eating windows and glycemic control among individuals with type 2 diabetes should be conducted. Second, the reliance on participants' habitual eating patterns restricted our ability to explore alternative TRE cut-off hours or to differentiate between early and late TRE, both of which may have differing metabolic impacts. Controlled intervention studies are needed to evaluate these variations and identify the optimal timing and duration of eating windows. Additionally, our Chinese cohort may have unique culture, and future work should compare populations with different dietary habits, eating patterns, and cultural contexts.

357 **Acknowledgements**

All authors report no conflicts of interest.

Data Availability:

Data access to the ShanghaiT2DM Dataset are available from the repository at https://figshare.com/collections/Diabetes_Datasets_ShanghaiT1DM_and_ShanghaiT2DM/6310860/2

Funding:

This study was supported by City University of Hong Kong internal research grant #9610473, the Institute of Digital Medicine and the Hong Kong Innovation and Technology Commission (InnoHK Project CIMDA). The funders played no role in the design, analysis, or interpretation of the results in this study.

References

1. Sun H, Saeedi P, Karuranga S, Pinkepank M, Ogurtsova K, Duncan BB, et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates for 2021 and projections for 2045. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2022;183:109119. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109119.
2. Jia W, Chan JC, Wong TY, Fisher EB. Diabetes in China: epidemiology, pathophysiology and multi-omics. Nat Metab. 2025;7(1):16-34. doi: 10.1038/s42255-024-01190-w.
3. Baskin RG, Karp KA. Navigating the Spectrum of 4 Evidence-Based Nutrition Options for Type 2 Diabetes Management. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2025;110(Supplement_2):S112-S7. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgae646.
4. Das SK, Gilhooly CH, Golden JK, Pittas AG, Fuss PJ, Cheatham RA, et al. Long-term effects of 2 energy-restricted diets differing in glycemic load on dietary adherence, body composition, and metabolism in CALERIE: a 1-y randomized controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2007;85(4):1023-30. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/85.4.1023.
5. Xie ZB, Sun YN, Ye YQ, Hu DD, Zhang H, He ZYT, et al. Randomized controlled trial for time-restricted eating in healthy volunteers without obesity. Nature Communications. 2022;13(1). doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-28662-5.
6. Dote-Montero M, Clavero-Jimeno A, Merchan-Ramirez E, Oses M, Echarte J, Camacho-Cardenosa A, et al. Effects of early, late and self-selected time-restricted eating on visceral adipose tissue and cardiometabolic health in participants with overweight or obesity: a randomized controlled trial. Nat Med. 2025;31(2):524-33. doi: 10.1038/s41591-024-03375-y.
7. Manoogian ENC, Panda S. Circadian rhythms, time-restricted feeding, and healthy aging. Ageing Res Rev. 2017;39:59-67. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2016.12.006.
8. Halder SK, Melkani GC. The Interplay of Genetic Predisposition, Circadian Misalignment, and Metabolic Regulation in Obesity. Curr Obes Rep. 2025;14(1):21. doi: 10.1007/s13679-025-00613-3.
9. Ceriello A, Monnier L, Owens D. Glycaemic variability in diabetes: clinical and therapeutic implications. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2019;7(3):221-30. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(18)30136-0.
10. Battelino T, Danne T, Bergenstal RM, Amiel SA, Beck R, Biester T, et al. Clinical Targets for Continuous Glucose Monitoring Data Interpretation: Recommendations From the International Consensus on Time in Range. Diabetes Care. 2019;42(8):1593-603. doi: 10.2337/dci19-0028.
11. Davis GM, Spanakis EK, Migdal AL, Singh LG, Albury B, Urrutia MA, et al. Accuracy of Dexcom G6 Continuous Glucose Monitoring in Non-Critically Ill Hospitalized Patients With Diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2021;44(7):1641-6. doi: 10.2337/dc20-2856.
12. Seidu S, Kunutsor SK, Ajjan RA, Choudhary P. Efficacy and Safety of Continuous Glucose Monitoring and Intermittently Scanned Continuous Glucose Monitoring in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Interventional Evidence. Diabetes Care. 2024;47(1):169-79. doi: 10.2337/dc23-1520.
13. Matabuena M, Sartini J. Multilevel functional data analysis modeling of human glucose response to meal intake. ArXiv. 2024.
14. Zhao Q, Zhu J, Shen X, Lin C, Zhang Y, Liang Y, et al. Chinese diabetes datasets for data-driven machine learning. Sci Data. 2023;10(1):35. doi: 10.1038/s41597-023-01940-7.
15. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service [Internet]. FoodData Central [cited 2025 Jan 14]. Available from: https://fdc.nal.usda.gov/.
16. The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Centre for Food Safety [Internet]. Nutrient Information Inquiry [cited 2025 Jan 14]. Available from: https://www.cfs.gov.hk/english/nutrient/searchmenu.php.
17. Che T, Yan C, Tian D, Zhang X, Liu X, Wu Z. Time-restricted feeding improves blood glucose and insulin sensitivity in overweight patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomised controlled trial. Nutr Metab (Lond). 2021;18(1):88. doi: 10.1186/s12986-021-00613-9.
18. Andriessen C, Fealy CE, Veelen A, van Beek SMM, Roumans KHM, Connell NJ, et al. Three weeks of time-restricted eating improves glucose homeostasis in adults with type 2 diabetes but does not improve insulin sensitivity: a randomised crossover trial. Diabetologia. 2022;65(10):1710-20. doi: 10.1007/s00125-022-05752-z.
19. Cui E, Leroux A, Smirnova E, Crainiceanu CM. Fast Univariate Inference for Longitudinal Functional Models. J Comput Graph Stat. 2022;31(1):219-30. doi: 10.1080/10618600.2021.1950006.

20. Crainiceanu CM, Goldsmith J, Leroux A, Cui E. Edtion ed. Functional Data Analysis with R (1st ed): Chapman and Hall/CRC., 2024:143-70.
21. Petersen MC, Gallop MR, Flores Ramos S, Zarrinpar A, Broussard JL, Chondronikola M, et al. Complex physiology and clinical implications of time-restricted eating. Physiol Rev. 2022;102(4):1991-2034. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00006.2022.
22. Wirth MD, Zhao L, Turner-McGrievy GM, Ortaglia A. Associations between Fasting Duration, Timing of First and Last Meal, and Cardiometabolic Endpoints in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Nutrients. 2021;13(8):2686. doi: 10.3390/nu13082686.
23. Henry CJ, Kaur B, Quek RYC. Chrononutrition in the management of diabetes. Nutr Diabetes. 2020;10(1):6. doi: 10.1038/s41387-020-0109-6.
24. Danne T, Nimri R, Battelino T, Bergenstal RM, Close KL, DeVries JH, et al. International Consensus on Use of Continuous Glucose Monitoring. Diabetes Care. 2017;40(12):1631-40. doi: 10.2337/dc17-1600.
25. Parr EB, Steventon-Lorenzen N, Johnston R, Maniar N, Devlin BL, Lim KHC, et al. Time-restricted eating improves measures of daily glycaemic control in people with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2023;197:110569. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2023.110569.
26. Pavlou V, Cienfuegos S, Lin S, Ezpeleta M, Ready K, Corapi S, et al. Effect of Time-Restricted Eating on Weight Loss in Adults With Type 2 Diabetes: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Netw Open. 2023;6(10):e2339337. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.39337.
27. Poggiogalle E, Jamshed H, Peterson CM. Circadian regulation of glucose, lipid, and energy metabolism in humans. Metabolism. 2018;84:11-27. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2017.11.017.
28. Wilkinson MJ, Manoogian ENC, Zadourian A, Lo H, Fakhouri S, Shoghi A, et al. Ten-Hour Time-Restricted Eating Reduces Weight, Blood Pressure, and Atherogenic Lipids in Patients with Metabolic Syndrome. Cell Metab. 2020;31(1):92-104 e5. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2019.11.004.
29. Shimizu M, Oya J, Kondo Y, Katamine A, Hasegawa Y, Nakagami T. Cross-sectional association of irregular dietary habits with glycemic control and body mass index among people with diabetes. J Diabetes Invest. 2025;16(2):285-91. doi: 10.1111/jdi.14347.
30. Willis HJ, Asche SE, McKenzie AL, Adams RN, Roberts CGP, Volk BM, et al. Impact of Continuous Glucose Monitoring Versus Blood Glucose Monitoring to Support a Carbohydrate-Restricted Nutrition Intervention in People with Type 2 Diabetes. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2024. doi: 10.1089/dia.2024.0406.
31. Banholzer N, Herzig D, Piazza C, Alvarez-Martinez M, Nakas CT, Kosinski C, et al. Effect of nutrition on postprandial glucose control in hospitalized patients with type 2 diabetes receiving fully automated closed-loop insulin therapy. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2021;23(1):234-9. doi: 10.1111/dom.14187.
32. Chang CR, Francois ME, Little JP. Restricting carbohydrates at breakfast is sufficient to reduce 24-hour exposure to postprandial hyperglycemia and improve glycemic variability. Am J Clin Nutr. 2019;109(5):1302-9. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqy261.
33. Lundell LS, Parr EB, Devlin BL, Ingerslev LR, Altintas A, Sato S, et al. Time-restricted feeding alters lipid and amino acid metabolite rhythmicity without perturbing clock gene expression. Nature Communications. 2020;11(1). doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-18412-w.
34. Neuenschwander M, Barbaresko J, Pischke CR, Iser N, Beckhaus J, Schwingshackl L, et al. Intake of dietary fats and fatty acids and the incidence of type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. PLoS Med. 2020;17(12):e1003347. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003347.
35. de Wit DF, Fuhri Snethlage CM, Rampanelli E, Maasen K, Walpot N, van Raalte DH, et al. Higher fibre and lower carbohydrate intake are associated with favourable CGM metrics in a cross-sectional cohort of 470 individuals with type 1 diabetes. Diabetologia. 2024;67(10):2199-209. doi: 10.1007/s00125-024-06213-5.
36. Yu XF, Lim CED, Chen H. The role of vitamin D in glycaemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes-A pilot D4D trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2025;27(1):428-31. doi: 10.1111/dom.16023.
37. Keshet A, Shilo S, Godneva A, Talmor-Barkan Y, Aviv Y, Segal E, et al. CGMap: Characterizing continuous glucose monitor data in thousands of non-diabetic individuals. Cell Metab. 2023;35(5):758-69 e3. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2023.04.002.
38. Law GR, Alnaji A, Alrefaii L, Endersby D, Cartland SJ, Gilbey SG, et al. Suboptimal Nocturnal Glucose Control Is Associated With Large for Gestational Age in Treated Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Care. 2019;42(5):810-5. doi: 10.2337/dc18-2212.
39. Law GR, Ellison GT, Secher AL, Damm P, Mathiesen ER, Temple R, et al. Analysis of Continuous Glucose Monitoring in Pregnant Women With Diabetes: Distinct Temporal Patterns of Glucose Associated With Large-for-Gestational-Age Infants. Diabetes Care. 2015;38(7):1319-25. doi: 10.2337/dc15-0070.

40. Sibiak R, Mantaj U, Gutaj P, Richard Law G, Wender-Ozegowska E. Functional analysis of daily glycemic profiles and excessive fetal growth in pregnant patients with well-controlled type 1 diabetes: Retrospective cohort. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2024;207:111088. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2024.111088.
41. Liu W, Hu J, Fang Y, Wang P, Lu Y, Shen N. Vitamin D status in Mainland of China: A systematic review and meta-analysis. EClinicalMedicine. 2021;38:101017. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.101017.
42. Van Cauter E, Shapiro ET, Tillil H, Polonsky KS. Circadian modulation of glucose and insulin responses to meals: relationship to cortisol rhythm. Am J Physiol. 1992;262(4 Pt 1):E467-75. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.1992.262.4.E467.
43. Rovira-Llopis S, Luna-Marco C, Perea-Galera L, Banuls C, Morillas C, Victor VM. Circadian alignment of food intake and glycaemic control by time-restricted eating: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Rev Endocr Metab Disord. 2024;25(2):325-37. doi: 10.1007/s11154-023-09853-x.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants

Characteristic	Mean (SD/%)
Age (years)	60.22 (13.91)
Sex	
Female	41 (45.56%)
Male	49 (54.44%)
Duration of diabetes (years)	8.87 (8.56)
BMI (kg/m^2)	24.06 (3.13)
Smoking	
Non-smoker	76 (84.44%)
Smoker	14 (15.56%)
Alcohol	
Drinker	9 (10.00%)
Non-drinker	81 (90.00%)
FPG (mg/dL)	169.98 (66.59)
PPG (mg/dL)	268.94 (100.82)
HbA1c (mmol/mol)	75.99 (27.09)
CGM Recording period (days)	9.98 (3.30)

BMI, body mass index; CGM, continuous glucose monitoring; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c, Hemoglobin A1c; PPG, 2-hour postprandial plasma glucose.

Table 2. Day-level nutrition content and CGM-derived statistics summary categorized by eating windows duration

Characteristic	**Mean (SD) / Median [interquartile range]**	
Daily nutrition consumption	**Short eating window (N = 157 days)**	**Long eating window (N = 523 days)**
Energy (kcal)	1109.84 (359.81)	1253.24 (430.20)
Carbohydrate (g)	115.59 (50.39)	134.97 (56.64)
Protein (g)	64.10 (26.52)	70.16 (30.32)
Lipid (g)	42.31 (19.56)	47.88 (21.21)
Fibre (g)	10.10 (4.35)	12.24 (5.65)
Vitamin D (μg)	5.57 (5.54)	5.30 (5.11)
No. of meal records	3.24 (0.47)	3.35 (0.65)
Eating window (hours)	9.27 (0.51)	11.18 (1.41)
Deviation (hours)	-0.70 (0.92)	0.37 (1.15)
First mealtime	08:05:00 [07:32:00 - 08:47:00]	07:05:00 [06:50:00 - 07:35:00]
Last mealtime	17:28:00 [17:02:00 - 17:54:00]	17:58:00 [17:10:00 - 18:53:00]
CGM-derived summary statistics		
TIR (%)	80.88 (19.05)	78.21 (22.56)
TAR (%)	17.31 (19.06)	19.31 (22.40)
TBR (%)	1.81 (7.09)	2.49 (9.23)
AUC	139.53 (29.83)	140.58 (35.06)
CV (%)	24.17 (7.01)	24.99 (7.85)
SD	33.38 (11.41)	34.81 (13.62)
MAGE	88.49 (31.68)	94.57 (38.62)

Short eating windows were defined as <10 hours and long eating windows were defined as ⩾10 hours. AUC, hourly average area under the glucose curve; CV, coefficient of variation; MAGE, mean amplitude of glycemic excursions; SD, standard deviation; TAR, time above range (180 mg/dL); TBR, time below range (70 mg/dL); TIR, time in range (70 – 180 mg/dL).

Figures

Figure 1. Independent associations of time-restricted eating and nutritional contents with CGM-derived summary statistics.



The black squares and horizontal lines represent estimated coefficients and 95% CIs respectively in linear mixed-effect models. TRE10 defined eating windows into "short" (<10 hours) and "long" (⩾10 hours), with the "long" eating window group serving as the reference. TWD defined as the time difference between the observed daily eating window and the participant's median eating window. Asterisks identify statistical significance ($P < 0.05$). Daily carbohydrate and dietary vitamin D intakes were obtained from dietary records. The models were adjusted for age, sex, diabetes duration, BMI, alcohol, and smoking. AUC, hourly average area under the glucose curve; CV, coefficient of variation; MAGE, mean amplitude of glycemic excursions; SD, standard deviation; TAR, time above range (180 mg/dL); TBR, time below range (70 mg/dL); TIR, time in range (70 – 180 mg/dL). Asterisks identify statistical significance ($P < 0.05$).

Figure 2. Temporal associations of time-restricted eating and nutritional contents with CGM levels.



The solid curves and shaded area represent estimated coefficients and 95% CIs respectively in function-on-scalar regression and bootstrapping. Dashed horizontal lines represent coefficients equal to zero. Asterisks identify statistical significance using 95% CIs. A: TRE10 defined eating windows into "short" (<10 hours) and "long" (⩾10 hours), with the "long" eating window group serving as the reference. TWD defined as the time difference between the observed daily eating window and the participant's median eating window. B: Daily dietary nutritional contents. C: Interaction between TRE10 and daily carbohydrate intake. All models were adjusted for age, sex, diabetes duration, alcohol and smoking.