Reducing unnecessary caesarean sections: an action research from a semi-urban hospital in Dhaka, Bangladesh

Anjuman Ara[1][¶], Khurshid Talukder[2][¶]*, Sanjida Akhter[1][&], Abdullah Asif[2][&], Saria Tasnim[1], Mohammad Khairul Islam[2], Soofia Khatoon[3], Khairun Nahar[2], Ferdousi Begum[1]

[1]Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Ashulia Women and Children Hospital, Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh

[2]Research Department, Ashulia Women and Children Hospital, Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh

[3]Department of Paediatrics, Ashulia Women and Children Hospital, Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh

*Corresponding author

E-mail: khurshidtalukder@yahoo.co.uk (KT)

[¶]These authors contributed equally to this work.

[&]These authors also contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Introduction: C-section rates in Bangladesh have risen sharply, with facility-based rates reaching 90%, raising concerns regarding unnecessary C-sections and associated maternal and neonatal risks. This study aimed to evaluate whether a targeted intervention package, monthly monitoring of C-section rates, and implementation of the Robson Ten Group Classification System could reduce C-section rates in a semi-urban hospital in Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Methods: An action research was conducted at Ashulia Women and Children Hospital from May 2017 to February 2019. Data was collected from delivering mothers at the hospital in two phases, a baseline and endline phase. Data collected consisted of mode of delivery, indication for C-section, and six obstetric variables for Robson classification. A package of 11 evidence-based interventions was implemented to promote safe normal vaginal deliveries, including antenatal counselling, improved labour monitoring, and promotion of vaginal birth after caesarean. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS v26 and p-values compared through Pearson's chi-squared tests.

Results: The overall C-section rate reduced significantly from 52% in Phase 1 (n=1,116) to 42% in Phase 2 (n=1,252; p<0.001), representing a 20% relative reduction. Reductions were observed across key Robson groups, including Groups 2a (p=0.017), 2b (p<0.001), 4a (p<0.001), 4b (p<0.001), and 5 (p=0.004). The intervention successfully increased the proportion of women in Groups 1 and 3 undergoing spontaneous labour. Promotion of vaginal birth after caesarean and improved induction practices contributed to the reduction in repeat and unnecessary C-sections in multiparous mothers with previous C-sections.

Conclusions: A structured, evidence-based intervention package, integrated with Robson classification, can effectively reduce C-sections in a facility-based setting while maintaining maternal and neonatal safety. This study provides practical evidence for hospitals in similar

47 low-resource settings to address this growing epidemic through targeted, data-driven

48 strategies.

49 **Keywords:** caesarean section, Robson classification, normal vaginal delivery, VBAC, action

50 research, Bangladesh

Introduction

The caesarean section (CS) is one of the most commonly performed surgical interventions

worldwide[1], with significant implications for maternal and neonatal health. While essential in

managing complications, its overuse has become a growing concern. The World Health

Organization recommends that regional CS rates should remain between 10–15% to strike a

balance between medical necessity and safety[2]. However, in the last three decades, CS

rates have risen dramatically worldwide. Between 1990 and 2014, the global average

increased from 6.7% to 19%, with an annual growth rate of 4.4%[3]. Regions such as Latin

America and Asia have experienced the highest increases, reflecting changes in obstetric

practices, healthcare systems, and societal preferences[3].

Although surgical techniques and anaesthesia have advanced, unnecessary CS still poses

significant risks compared to normal vaginal delivery (NVD)[4]. Studies indicate that

unnecessary CS increases maternal morbidity, including infections, haemorrhage, and

thromboembolism, along with long-term complications such as uterine rupture in subsequent

pregnancies and greater incidence of post-partum depression[4–7]. NVD, on the other hand,

remains safer and more cost-effective in uncomplicated deliveries, with median costs of NVD

in Bangladesh estimated to be up to five times lower than CS procedures[8]. Distressingly,

over one-third of the 18.5 million annual CS procedures conducted worldwide in 2008 were

considered unnecessary, emphasizing the need for effective reduction strategies[9].

Bangladesh exemplifies the complexities of rising CS rates in low- and middle-income countries. Over the past two decades, the national CS rate has rapidly escalated from 12% in 2010 to 31% in 2016[8], and in 2023 reached a staggering rate of 51%[10]. Alarmingly, facility-based CS rates in Bangladesh have reached unprecedented levels, with private facilities reporting rates as high as 90%, compared to 55% in government facilities and 56% in NGO settings[10]. Urban women are twice as likely as their rural counterparts to deliver via CS (37% vs. 18%)[11,12], and is most prevalent among women from wealthier, educated backgrounds or those attending frequent antenatal visits[10–12]. Projections suggest that, without targeted interventions, nearly all facility-based deliveries in the country could involve CS by 2030[13].

Despite substantial advocacy from media[14,15], professional bodies[16,17], scientific groups[18,19], and civil society[20,21], active hospital-based efforts to reduce unnecessary CS remain largely underexplored in Bangladesh. A mix of factors, including the false perception of CS being safer[22], patient preferences driven by family pressure and fear of labour pain[23], and financial incentives for healthcare providers[22], contribute to the high rates of CS in the country.

The Ashulia Women and Children Hospital (AWCH), a non-profit organization based in Ashulia, Dhaka, manages approximately 1,500 deliveries annually. Between 2008 and 2016, AWCH recorded CS rates ranging from 58% to 73% per year. Recognizing the need to address the high rates of CS, AWCH commenced a two-year action research in January 2017 to reduce CS rates, with the following objectives:

1. Increase safe NVDs through a package of 11 interventions implemented within AWCH's existing maternity services.
2. Implement the Robson Ten Group Classification System (TGCS).
3. Monitor the monthly rate and indications of CS.

This study evaluates the outcomes of the intervention programme, focusing on its effectiveness in reducing CS rates through evidence-based practices and systematic classification using TGCS. Introduced in 2001[24], the TGCS classifies deliveries into mutually exclusive categories based on parity, gestational age, labour onset, and foetal presentation, offering a practical tool for identifying and addressing drivers of CS[25]. Its adaptability has proven particularly valuable in resource-limited settings, enabling targeted interventions to address excessive CS rates[26,27]. By integrating TGCS with the tailored interventions, AWCH sought to reduce maternal and neonatal morbidities associated with unnecessary CS while promoting safer delivery practices.

The findings of this study offer critical insights into the effectiveness of these interventions in reducing CS rates and their broader implications for addressing excessive CS rates in Bangladesh and similar settings. Given the rapid rise in CS rates and the limited hospital-based efforts to counter this trend, this study contributes towards understanding the complexities involved in reducing unnecessary CS by implementing evidence-based strategies.

Methods

Study design and setting

This was a cross-sectional, action research of a package of 11 maternity interventions designed to increase safe NVDs at AWCH. Data collection was conducted in two rounds: baseline and endline. The data collected consisted of routine service data to monitor the mode of delivery and indications of CS. The project was divided into three phases – a baseline (Phase 1), an intervention, and an endline phase (Phase 2). The baseline phase, from 29 May 2017 to 01 February 2018, involved collecting data before and immediately after the intervention phase. The intervention phase consisted of providing training to healthcare

118 workers from 7 to 22 October 2017. The endline phase consisted of collecting data in the last

119 eight months of the study, from 11 June 2018 till 10 February 2019. The overall CS rate

Participants

121 The eligible study population included all women delivering at AWCH between 29 May 2017

122 and 10 February 2019, during Phase 1 and 2. Women who declined consent to participate in

123 the study were excluded.

Data collection

Routine delivery service data was collected at regular time intervals. This included variables

such as monthly data on the total number of deliveries, the mode of delivery (CS or NVD), and

the indication for CS if CS was done. For TGCS classification, six basic obstetric variables

were collected by maternity unit doctors on duty using a structured questionnaire, which

included: parity (nullipara or multipara), previous CS (yes or no), onset of labour (spontaneous,

induced, pre-labour CS), number of foetuses (singleton or multiple), gestational age (preterm

or term), and foetal lie and presentation (cephalic presentation, breech presentation, or

transverse lie).

Ethics statement

134 Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Ethical Review Committee of AWCH.

135 Written informed consent was obtained from all participants before data collection.

Interventions

A total of 12 maternity doctors and 15 maternity nurses from AWCH were provided training on

how to safely increase NVDs by AWCH's Head of Department for Obstetrics and Gynaecology

as well as other consultants. Topics that were covered through the three 10-hour interactive

workshops on 7-8, 14-15 and 21-22 October 2017 included antenatal care (ANC), partograph

use, cardiotocography (CTG) interpretation, data collection, TGCS classification and

immediate newborn care. These training topics were subsequently discussed in daily

departmental morning sessions and ward rounds over the entire project duration.

The intervention package included 11 evidence-based maternity practices:

1. ANC counselling for expecting mothers.

2. Waiting up to 41 weeks of gestation for spontaneous onset of labour.

3. Risk screening for NVD and induction of labour at term.

4. Assessment of Bishop Score on admission.

5. Supportive care during labour and delivery.

6. Monitoring with CTG.

7. Use of partograph

8. Induction or augmentation of labour as indicated, using prostaglandin or oxytocin for

induction, based on Bishop Score.

9. Consultant review of CS indications during ward rounds.

10. Vaginal birth after caesarean (VBAC) for selected cases with proper monitoring.

11. Immediate care of the newborn.

Data analysis

The primary outcomes were the monthly and annual CS rates, calculated from routine service

data collected during the two data collection phases. TGCS classifications were assigned to

every single birth based on the six collected obstetric parameters. CS indications were divided

into two categories of absolute and non-absolute indications, as defined by Stanton et al[28].

Absolute indications are situations where vaginal delivery is not possible or poses a highly

significant risk to the mother or baby. Non-absolute indications are situations where a C-

section might be considered based on the potential risks and benefits for both the mother and

the baby, and vaginal delivery may still be an option.

Data was cleaned for any outliers and missing data before analysis. For entries that had

missing variables, attempts were made to rectify the data by going through the raw data.

Pearson's chi-squared tests were performed to calculate p-values in order to assess statistical

significance for the parameters between the two phases. For our study, a p-value less than

0.05 was considered statistically significant for a confidence interval of 95%. All analyses were

conducted using SPSS version 18 (IBM Corp., Armond, New York)

Results

Prior to the intervention, service data from AWCH indicated that the incidence of CS remained

consistently high between 2008 and 2016, ranging annually from 58% to 73% (Fig 1).

Following the intervention training conducted in October 2017, the CS rate declined to 55%

among 1,577 deliveries at AWCH in 2017, with a further reduction to 42% among 1,728

deliveries in 2018.

Fig 1. Yearly trends in total and caesarean deliveries at CWCH 2008-18.

A total of 1,116 women were included in Phase 1, while 1,252 women were included in the Phase 2. A detailed comparison of CS incidence and indications is presented in Table 1. During Phase 1, 52% of women delivered by CS, compared to 42% in Phase 2, representing a statistically significant reduction (p <0.001). In both phases, approximately 5% of all CS were performed for absolute indications, with the remainder attributed to non-absolute indications as defined by Stanton et al[28].

Table 1. Comparison of CS incidence and indications between Phase 1 and 2.

Parameters	Phase 1 (N=1,116)		Phase 2 (N=1,252)		p-value
	n	%	n	%	
Caesarean Deliveries	**581**	**52.1**	**521**	**41.6**	**<0.001***
Absolute indications for CS	**29**	**5.0**	**34**	**6.5**	**0.273**
Obstructed Labour	14	48.3	13	38.2	0.422
Grade 3 or 4 placenta praevia	4	13.8	2	5.9	0.401
Impending uterine rupture	3	10.3	12	35.3	0.036*
Malpresentation (transverse, oblique, face)	8	27.6	7	20.6	0.516
Non-absolute indications for CS	**552**	**95**	**487**	**93.5**	**0.273**
Failure to progress in labour	14	2.5	26	5.3	0.019*
Prolonged labour	19	3.4	25	5.1	0.177
Failed induction	15	2.7	2	0.4	0.003*
Previous caesarean delivery	253	45.8	220	45.2	0.832
Antepartum haemorrhage	2	0.4	0	0	0.502
Preeclampsia/eclampsia	13	2.4	19	3.9	0.150
Psychological indication	2	0.4	0	0	0.502
Maternal request	33	6.0	24	4.9	0.458
Precious (valuable) pregnancy	24	4.3	17	3.5	0.479
Foetal compromise including foetal distress, cord prolapse, severe IUGR	152	27.5	125	25.7	0.497
Breech presentation	25	4.5	29	6.0	0.302

*Statistically significant (p<0.05)

Among absolute indications, a significant increase was noted in Phase 2 for impending uterine rupture (Phase 1: 10% vs Phase 2: 35%; p = 0.036). For non-absolute indications, significant differences were observed in the rates of CS for failure to progress in labour (2.5% vs 5.3%; p = 0.019) and failed induction (2.7% vs 0.4%; p = 0.003) between phases. In both phases,

previous CS remained the most common indication (46% vs 46%; p = 0.958), followed by foetal compromise (28% vs 26%; p = 0.497).

The distribution of deliveries by the TGCS classification for both phases is provided in Table 2, following the World Health Organization's Robson Implementation Manual[29]. In Phase 1, Group 5 (multiparous women with a previous CS, singleton, term, cephalic) comprised the largest proportion at 23%, followed by Group 3 (multiparous women without previous CS, singleton, term, cephalic, in spontaneous labour) at 22% and Group 1 (nulliparous women, singleton, term, cephalic, in spontaneous labour) at 16%. Group 5 also contributed the highest absolute and relative proportions to the overall CS rate at 23% and 44%, respectively. In Phase 2, Group 1 was the largest group at 32%, followed by Group 3 at 26%, with Group 5 falling to 20%. Similar to Phase 1, Group 5 continued to contribute the highest absolute and relative rates of CS, although the absolute rate declined to 18% while the relative contribution remained similar at 43%.

Table 2: Robson Classification for all deliveries in Phase 1 and 2

Robson TGCS Group	No. of women	No. of CS	Group Size[a] (%)	Group CS Rate[b] (%)	Absolute contribution to overall CS[c] (%)	Relative contribution to overall CS[d] (%)
Phase 1 Total	**1,116**	**581**	**100**	**52.1**	**52.1**	**100**
1. Nulliparous, single cephalic, >=37 weeks, spontaneous labour	180	34	16.1	18.9	3.0	5.9
2a. Nulliparous, single cephalic, >=37 weeks, induced labour	91	46	8.2	50.5	4.1	7.9
2b. Nulliparous, single cephalic, >=37 weeks, CS before labour	63	63	5.6	100	5.6	10.8
3. Multiparous (without previous CS), single cephalic, >=37 weeks, spontaneous labour	241	26	21.6	10.8	2.3	4.5
4a. Multiparous (without previous CS), single cephalic, >=37 weeks, induced labour	105	41	9.4	39.0	3.7	7.1
4b. Multiparous (without previous CS), single cephalic, >=37 weeks, CS before labour	60	60	5.4	100	5.4	10.3

Robson TGCS Group	No. of women	No. of CS	Group Size[a] (%)	Group CS Rate[b] (%)	Absolute contribution to overall CS[c] (%)	Relative contribution to overall CS[d] (%)
5. Multiparous, previous CS, single cephalic, >=37 weeks	259	254	23.2	98.1	22.8	43.7
6. Nulliparous with single breech	9	9	0.8	100	0.8	1.5
7. Multiparous with single breech (including previous CS)	17	15	1.5	88.2	1.3	2.6
8. All multiple pregnancies (including previous CS)	6	3	0.5	50.0	0.3	0.5
9. All abnormal lies (including previous CS)	8	8	0.7	100	0.7	1.4
10. All single cephalic, <37 weeks (including previous CS)	77	22	6.9	28.6	2.0	3.8
Phase 2 Total	**1,252**	**521**	**100**	**41.6**	**41.6**	**100**
1. Nulliparous, single cephalic, >=37 weeks, spontaneous labour	394	107	31.5	27.2	8.5	20.5
2a. Nulliparous, single cephalic, >=37 weeks, induced labour	51	30	4.1	58.8	2.4	5.8
2b. Nulliparous, single cephalic, >=37 weeks, CS before labour	34	34	2.7	100	2.7	6.5
3. Multiparous (without previous CS), single cephalic, >=37 weeks, spontaneous labour	327	39	26.1	11.9	3.1	7.5
4a. Multiparous (without previous CS), single cephalic, >=37 weeks, induced labour	23	8	1.8	34.8	0.6	1.5
4b. Multiparous (without previous CS), single cephalic, >=37 weeks, CS before labour	19	19	1.5	100	1.5	3.6
5. Multiparous, previous CS, single cephalic, >=37 weeks	251	226	20.0	90.0	18.1	43.4
6. Nulliparous with single breech	13	5	1.0	38.5	0.4	1.0
7. Multiparous with single breech (including previous CS)	29	23	2.3	79.3	1.8	4.4
8. All multiple pregnancies (including previous CS)	10	6	0.8	60.0	0.5	1.2
9. All abnormal lies (including previous CS)	4	4	0.3	100	0.3	0.8
10. All single cephalic, <37 weeks (including previous CS)	97	20	7.7	20.6	1.6	3.8

206   [a] no. of women in the group/total N women delivered in the hospital x 100
207   [b] no. of CS in the group/total N of women in the group x 100
208   [c] no. of CS in the group/total N of women delivered in the hospital x 100
209   [d] n of CS in the group/total N of CS in the hospital x 100

Two-tailed chi-square tests were performed to assess differences in CS rates between phases within each TGCS group (Table 3). Among non-breech, nulliparous women, significant differences were observed between phases in Group 1 (3.0% vs 8.5%; $p < 0.001$), where Phase 2 had a higher CS rate, and in Groups 2a (4.1% vs 2.4%; $p = 0.017$) and 2b (5.6% vs 2.7%; $p < 0.001$), where Phase 2 had lower CS rates than Phase 1. For multiparous women, CS rates were significantly lower in Phase 2 compared to Phase 1 in Group 4a (3.7% vs 0.6%; $p < 0.001$), Group 4b (5.4% vs 1.5%; $p < 0.001$), and Group 5 (22.8% vs 18.0%; $p = 0.004$). No significant differences were noted in the other remaining groups between the two phases.

Table 3: Comparison of absolute CS rate between Phase 1 and Phase 2 deliveries

Robson TGCS Group	Phase 1 (N=1,116)		Phase 2 (N=1,252)		p-value
	No. CS	%	No. CS	%	
1. Nulliparous, single cephalic, >=37 weeks, spontaneous labour	34	3.0	107	8.5	<0.001*
2a. Nulliparous, single cephalic, >=37 weeks, induced labour	46	4.1	30	2.4	0.017*
2b. Nulliparous, single cephalic, >=37 weeks, CS before labour	63	5.6	34	2.7	<0.001*
3. Multiparous (without previous CS), single cephalic, >=37 weeks, spontaneous labour	26	2.3	39	3.1	0.243
4a. Multiparous (without previous CS), single cephalic, >=37 weeks, induced labour	41	3.7	8	0.6	<0.001*
4b. Multiparous (without previous CS), single cephalic, >=37 weeks, CS before labour	60	5.4	19	1.5	<0.001*
5. Multiparous, previous CS, single cephalic, >=37 weeks	254	22.8	226	18.1	0.004*
6. Nulliparous with single breech	9	0.8	5	0.4	0.283
7. Multiparous with single breech (including previous CS)	15	1.3	23	1.8	0.341
8. All multiple pregnancies (including previous CS)	3	0.3	6	0.5	0.514
9. All abnormal lies (including previous CS)	8	0.7	4	0.3	0.247
10. All single cephalic, <37 weeks (including previous CS)	22	2.0	20	1.6	0.491

Discussion

There have been many reports in the media related to dangerous increases in rates of unnecessary caesarean sections throughout the country over the past decade. In addition, stakeholder and professional body discussions have taken place about these increases and strategies to decrease them. In 2019, a Public Interest Litigation petition was filed, and the High Court issued a rule upon the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Directorate General of Health Services, and Bangladesh Medical and Dental Council to explain why they had not taken action to stop unnecessary C-sections in hospitals and clinics, and why this failure should not be considered illegal[16]. The Directorate General of Health Services' reply was submitted but as of 2025 has yet to be assessed by the High Court.

To our knowledge, this action research represents the first systematic effort to reduce facility-based CS rates in Bangladesh. This study demonstrates that a multifaceted intervention strategy at AWCH was effective in reducing the overall CS rate by 20%, from 52% in baseline to 42% in endline. In comparison, latest available data indicates national CS averages of 90% in private health facilities and 56% in NGO- run hospitals[10]. This reduction aligns with findings from intervention studies conducted in other countries to reduce CS rates, where reductions have ranged from 34% in a Slovakian study to 12% in a Brazilian study[30,31].

The use of the TGCS was particularly valuable in our study for systematically monitoring caesarean section trends across defined obstetric groups. A similar study from India utilizing the TGCS as part of an intervention package reported a 63% reduction in the CS rate over a span of seven years, decreasing from 52% to 19%[32]. The TGCS provides valuable data for groups such as multiparous women with no previous CS undergoing a CS, large numbers of nulliparous women having CS before labour, and large numbers of multiparous women having CS due to previous uterine scar, allowing us to design targeted interventions for specific groups.

A principal component of the intervention package was antenatal counselling regarding the benefits and safety of NVD, which proved instrumental in encouraging both nulliparous and multiparous women without a previous CS (i.e. TGCS Groups 2b and 4b) to await spontaneous onset of labour up to 41 weeks rather than opting for early elective CS. As a result, the number of women classified within Groups 1 and 3 increased substantially in Phase 2 compared to Phase 1, reflecting a transfer from Groups 2b and 4b to Groups 1 and 3, respectively. Similarly, women who would otherwise have undergone early induction and been categorised in Groups 2a and 4a were encouraged to await spontaneous labour, contributing further to the increased number of women in Groups 1 and 3 in Phase 2.

However, this approach increased the CS rate within Group 1, a difference that was statistically significant, with a modest but statistically insignificant increase in Group 3. This increase is attributed to a subset of women who, despite awaiting spontaneous labour up to 41 weeks, failed to progress to delivery and required CS, often after failed induction or without the opportunity for timely induction. This is supported by the significant rise in CS indications for "Failure to progress in labour" in Phase 2 compared to Phase 1 (Table 1). Despite CS rate increases within Groups 1 and 3, the overall CS rate decreased across almost every other TGCS group, contributing to the net reduction in CS rates across the hospital.

Additionally, significant reductions in CS rates were observed within Groups 2a and 4a (nulliparous and multiparous women undergoing induction), underscoring the effectiveness of improved induction and labour management practices introduced during the intervention. These practices included induction at term, systematic monitoring using partograph and CTG, assessment of cervical readiness using the Bishop score, and the use of prostaglandins or oxytocin for induction based on the Bishop score. Such measures increased the likelihood of successful NVD following induction, thereby reducing unnecessary CS in these groups.

Importantly, the intervention also led to a significant reduction in the CS rate within Group 5 (multiparous women with a previous CS). This outcome highlights the successful implementation of strategies to promote VBAC in selected cases. Consultant-led reviews of CS indications during ward rounds, coupled with close intrapartum monitoring, facilitated the safe conduct of VBAC, contributing to a reduction in repeat CS while maintaining maternal and neonatal safety.

The use of TGCS throughout this study provided a structured and transparent method for monitoring CS trends, enabling the identification of groups where reductions were most effective and where additional interventions may be required. The observed reduction in overall CS rates, particularly in groups where CS is often performed for non-absolute indications, suggests that a judicious, data-driven approach can effectively address unnecessary CS while ensuring safety in facility-based deliveries.

Overall, these findings suggest that a targeted, context-specific intervention package incorporating antenatal counselling, evidence-based induction practices, and promotion of VBAC can effectively reduce unnecessary caesarean deliveries while maintaining the safety of mothers and newborns.

Limitations

This study has certain limitations that should be acknowledged. First, as an action research conducted in a single semi-urban, non-profit hospital, the external generalisability of the results is limited. Facilities with different case-mixes, resource availability or provider incentives may not achieve similar reductions in CS rates. Second, the pre-post design lacked a concurrent control group. Without randomisation or parallel comparison, we cannot fully exclude secular trends or other external influences that may have contributed to the observed decline in CS rates. In addition, the relatively short follow-up may not capture longer-term sustainability of practice changes. Third, the multifaceted intervention obscures identification of the individual

contribution of each component. It is unclear which specific element or elements of the 11-package intervention were most effective in reducing CS rates. Finally, patient-level factors such as women's preferences, socio-economic status and background were not formally assessed. Future studies should consider incorporating mixed-methods approaches and multi-centre designs to validate and extend these findings.

Conclusion

This action research conducted at AWCH demonstrates that a targeted, evidence-based intervention package can effectively reduce unnecessary CS within a facility-based setting in Bangladesh. The implementation of these interventions not only promoted NVDs but also ensured maternal and neonatal safety, particularly by supporting VBAC in selected cases. Given the global and national concerns surrounding the overuse of CS, this study contributes valuable insights into practical, scalable strategies for promoting safe, facility-based deliveries that can be adopted by other hospitals in their efforts to reduce CS to a medically justifiable rate.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Dr Dabir Uddin Ahmed, CEO of AWCH, for his unwavering support and guidance throughout the planning and implementation of this study. We are deeply grateful to all the maternity ward and labour room doctors, nurses, and midwives for their dedication in delivering high-quality care, for embracing the new interventions, and their commitment to meticulous data collection. Special thanks are due to the customer care and administrative staff of AWCH for their assistance in scheduling training sessions and for ensuring smooth logistics throughout the study period. Finally, we extend our gratitude to the women who participated in this study. Without their willingness and trust, this work would not have been possible. Their cooperation and feedback have been instrumental in shaping the interventions and improving our maternity services.

References

1. Albarqouni L, Abukmail E, MohammedAli M, Elejla S, Abuelazm M, Shaikhkhalil H, et al. Low-Value Surgical Procedures in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Scoping Review. JAMA Netw Open. 2023 Nov 7;6(11):e2342215.

2. World Health Organization. WHO Statement on Caesarean Section Rates [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015 [cited 2024 Dec 2]. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-RHR-15.02

3. Betrán AP, Ye J, Moller AB, Zhang J, Gülmezoglu AM, Torloni MR. The Increasing Trend in Caesarean Section Rates: Global, Regional and National Estimates: 1990-2014. Zeeb H, editor. PLoS ONE. 2016 Feb 5;11(2):e0148343.

4. Kamilya G, Seal SL, Mukherji J, Bhattacharyya SK, Hazra A. Maternal mortality and cesarean delivery: An analytical observational study. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research. 2010 Apr 1;36(2):248–53.

5. Liu S, Liston RM, Joseph KS, Heaman M, Sauve R, Kramer MS, et al. Maternal mortality and severe morbidity associated with low-risk planned cesarean delivery versus planned vaginal delivery at term. CMAJ. 2007 Feb 13;176(4):455–60.

6. Souza J, Gülmezoglu A, Lumbiganon P, Laopaiboon M, Carroli G, Fawole B, et al. Caesarean section without medical indications is associated with an increased risk of adverse short-term maternal outcomes: the 2004-2008 WHO Global Survey on Maternal and Perinatal Health. BMC Medicine. 2010 Nov 10;8(1):71.

7. Smithson S, Mirocha J, Horgan R, Graebe R, Massaro R, Accortt E. Unplanned Cesarean delivery is associated with risk for postpartum depressive symptoms in the immediate postpartum period. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine. 2022 Oct 18;35(20):3860–6.

8. Ahmed S, Ahsan K, Curtis S, Hoover W, Khan S, Lance P, et al. Bangladesh Maternal Mortality and Health Care Survey (BMMS) 2016: Final Report. 2019.

9. Gibbons L, Belizán JM, Lauer JA, Betrán AP, Merialdi M, Althabe F. The global numbers and costs of additionally needed and unnecessary caesarean sections performed per year: overuse as a barrier to universal coverage. World health report. 2010;30(1):1–31.

10. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. Bangladesh Sample Vital Statistics 2023 [Internet]. Statistics and Informatics Division, Ministry of Planning, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh; 2024 Aug [cited 2024 Dec 5] p. 100–3. Available from: http://nsds.bbs.gov.bd/storage/files/1/Publications/SVRS/SVRS%202023%20Final%20Report_web.pdf

11. Ahmmed F, Manik MMR, Hossain MJ. Caesarian section (CS) delivery in Bangladesh: A nationally representative cross-sectional study. PLOS ONE. 2021 Jul 15;16(7):e0254777.

12. United States Agency for International Development (USAID), MEASURE Evaluation. Bangladesh Maternal Mortality and Health Care Survey 2016: Summary [Internet]. Data for Impact (D4I); 2017 [cited 2024 Dec 5]. Available from:

https://www.data4impactproject.org/publications/bangladesh-maternal-mortality-and-health-care-survey-2016-summary/

13. National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT), ICF. Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey. Dhaka, Bangladesh, and Rockville, Maryland, USA; 2000 2004, 2007, 2011, 2014, 2018, 2022.

14. Abu Jamil Faisel, Noushin Mouli Waresi. Reining in the culture of unnecessary C-sections. The Business Standard [Internet]. 2023 Dec 19 [cited 2024 Dec 5]; Available from: https://www.tbsnews.net/thoughts/reining-culture-unnecessary-c-sections-760354

15. SM Najmus Sakib. Unnecessary C-sections rampant for lax monitoring. The Financial Express [Internet]. 2022 Jul 13 [cited 2024 Dec 5]; Available from: https://thefinancialexpress.com.bd/health/unnecessary-c-sections-rampant-for-lax-monitoring-1656902760

16. Bangladesh Legal Aid and Services Trust (BLAST). Press Release - High Court issues a rule to the concerned respondents regarding their failure to prevent medically unnecessary Caesarean Sections - and directing them to form a committee of relevant stakeholders to formulate guidelines and submit the same within 6 months. [Internet]. 2019. Available from: https://www.blast.org.bd/content/pressrelease/30-06-2019-Press-Release-HC-Rule-C-Sec-Eng.pdf

17. Saria Tasnim. Society News Vol. 36(1) [Internet]. Bangladesh Journal Of Obstetrics And Gynaecology; 2023. Available from: https://www.banglajol.info/index.php/BJOG/article/view/65987

18. Shirin H, Moriyama M, Huq KATME, Rahman MM, Masud SB, Begum RA, et al. Association of Ultrasonography and Health Education during Antenatal Visits among Pregnant Women to Reduce Unnecessary Caesarean Section in Bangladesh: Study Protocol for a Cluster Randomized Control Trial. Methods and Protocols. 2022 Dec;5(6):101.

19. Josi R. Caesarean section epidemic: Tackling the rise of unnecessary cuts. European Journal of Midwifery [Internet]. 2019;3(March). Available from: https://doi.org/10.18332/ejm/105892

20. TBS Report. Experts discuss ways to stop unnecessary C-sections in Bangladesh. The Business Standard [Internet]. 2023 Sep 17 [cited 2024 Dec 8]; Available from: https://www.tbsnews.net/bangladesh/health/experts-discuss-ways-stop-unnecessary-c-sections-bangladesh-702174

21. Taskin Rahman, Tahrim Chaudhury, Aritra Ankan Mitra, Fariha Binte Ershad. Stop Unnecessary C-Section Campaign Impact Story [Internet]. Save the Children; 2020 [cited 2024 Dec 8]. Available from: https://bangladesh.savethechildren.net/sites/bangladesh.savethechildren.net/files/library/STOP%20UnCS%20Impact%20story.pdf

22. Neuman M, Alcock G, Azad K, Kuddus A, Osrin D, More NS, et al. Prevalence and determinants of caesarean section in private and public health facilities in underserved South Asian communities: cross-sectional analysis of data from Bangladesh, India and Nepal. BMJ Open. 2014 Dec 1;4(12):e005982.

23. Md. Murtaja Reza Linkon K, Akter M, Akther Urmi A, Jahidul Islam Md, Faridul Islam Md, Alam M, et al. A Study on Factors Associated with Caesarean Section Delivery at Tangail District of Bangladesh. JHER. 2022;8(1):22.

24. Robson M. Classification of caesarean sections. Fetal and Maternal Medicine Review. 2001/01/17 ed. 2001;12(1):23–39.

25. World Health Organization Human Reproduction Programme. WHO Statement on caesarean section rates. Reproductive Health Matters. 2015 Apr 10;23(45):149–50.

26. Vogel JP, Betrán AP, Vindevoghel N, Souza JP, Torloni MR, Zhang J, et al. Use of the Robson classification to assess caesarean section trends in 21 countries: a secondary analysis of two WHO multicountry surveys. Lancet Glob Health. 2015 May;3(5):e260-270.

27. Jadoon B, Assar TM, Nucier AAAR, Raziq HEA, Abd El-Azym Saad AS, Megahed Amer W. Analysis of the caesarean section rate using the 10-Group Robson classification at Benha University Hospital, Egypt. Women and Birth. 2020 Mar 1;33(2):e105–10.

28. Stanton C, Ronsmans C, the Baltimore Group on Cesarean. Recommendations for Routine Reporting on Indications for Cesarean Delivery in Developing Countries. Birth. 2008 Sep;35(3):204–11.

29. World Health Organization. Robson classification: implementation manual [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017 [cited 2025 Jul 8]. 51 p. Available from: https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/259512

30. Zahumensky J, Psenkova P, Dolezal P, Otapkova P, Papcun P, Ferianec V, et al. Impact of implementing a multifaceted intervention to reduce rates of cesarean section: A quality- improvement study. Intl J Gynecology & Obste. 2020 Nov;151(2):244–8.

31. Negrini R, Ferreira RDDS, Albino RS, Daltro CAT. Reducing caesarean rates in a public maternity hospital by implementing a plan of action: a quality improvement report. BMJ Open Qual. 2020 May;9(2):e000791.

32. Bhartia A, Sen Gupta Dhar R, Bhartia S. Reducing caesarean section rate in an urban hospital serving women attending privately in India – a quality improvement initiative. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2020 Dec;20(1):556.