Detection and quantification of key dental pathogens through wastewater monitoring

Olivia N. Birch[a], Sang C. Par[a], Justin C. Greaves[a]

[a]Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, School of Public Health

Indiana University-Bloomington

Corresponding Author:

Justin C.J. Greaves

jcgreave@iu.edu

Indiana University-School of Public Health

2719 E 10th Street, Innovation Center

Bloomington Indiana, 47408

Keywords

Wastewater surveillance, Oral pathogens, Water treatment, Persistence, Gum disease, Dental caries

Abstract

Wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) has been widely used to track viral pathogens like SARS-CoV-2 and polio, but its potential for monitoring common dental bacterial pathogens that infect the oral cavity has yet to be explored. *Streptococcus mutans* and *Porphyromonas gingivalis* are key oral bacterial pathogens that cause highly prevalent dental diseases worldwide, such as dental caries and gingivitis. Our main objective for this study was to investigate the presence and prevalence of these oral bacteria in wastewater to determine the feasibility of using WBE for oral pathogens. We measured *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis* nucleic acids in weekly samples for 24 months at a local wastewater treatment plant. A total of 100 untreated wastewater samples were collected once a week between June 2023 and May 2025. Samples were concentrated, extracted for DNA, then tested for each bacterium. Our results showed that 89% and 58% were positive for *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis*, respectively, which shows that wastewater surveillance is appropriate for oral bacteria. Average concentrations were 4.57 $\log_{10}$ genome copies/L and 3.03 $\log_{10}$ genome copies/L for *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis*, respectively. Detections of oral bacteria were observed in the primary and final effluent but concentrations were significantly lower in the final effluent than untreated wastewater. The high levels of oral bacteria in wastewater indicated a potential transmission mechanism for these bacteria through water, specifically for *S. mutans*. Additionally, this study underscores the unique potential for WBE to be used in the surveillance of oral bacterial pathogens.

1.0 Introduction

Dental caries, or tooth decay, is one of the most prevalent chronic diseases worldwide, affecting nearly 2.3 billion people with permanent tooth decay and over 530 million children with primary tooth loss, according to the World Health Organization (Organization, 2017). *S. mutans* is a major culprit in dental caries, playing a significant role in plaque formation and acid production that demineralizes tooth enamel (Caufield, 2000; Forssten et al., 2010; Lemos et al., 2019). *P. gingivalis*, on the other hand, is a key pathogen in periodontal disease, a severe gum infection that can lead to tooth loss and systemic health complications which can include cardiovascular disease and diabetes (Marutescu et al., 2023; Rafiei et al., 2017). The high global burden of these oral health issues underscores the importance of developing innovative disease surveillance methods to understand the spread of these diseases.

Wastewater Based Epidemiology (WBE) has become increasingly popular in recent years as a tool to monitor the spread of endemic and emerging pathogens passively in a community. WBE has already been successfully employed to monitor various pathogens, such as SARS-CoV-2 and antimicrobial resistance genes, yet its application to oral bacteria remains largely unexplored (Greaves et al., 2020b; Rodriguez et al., 2024). Given the widespread presence of these oral bacteria in human populations, understanding their prevalence in wastewater can provide insights into community-level oral health trends and transmission dynamics. Traditional surveillance methods, such as clinical examinations and self-reported surveys, have limitations in reach, accuracy, and cost-effectiveness (Pitiphat et al., 2002; Sekundo et al., 2019). WBE, on the other hand,

67 allows for large-scale, community-wide monitoring without the need for individual
68 participation, offering a more comprehensive and real-time picture of oral health
69 burdens (Cohen et al., 2024; Daughton, 2020). If a strong correlation between
70 wastewater bacterial levels and oral disease prevalence is established, this approach
71 could serve as an early warning system for emerging trends in oral health conditions,
72 prompting timely public health interventions (Kasprzyk-Hordern et al., 2022; Mao et al.,
73 2020). However, there is no current public health surveillance system for the oral
74 diseases caused by these specific dominant pathogens at the national or local level.
75 Hence, our current understanding on the prevalence of these diseases within the past 5
76 years has been severely limited. Therefore, the use of WBE is especially timely in filling
77 the gaps in information about these oral pathogens.

78 Beyond monitoring, the detection of oral bacteria in wastewater raises concerns about
79 their environmental persistence and potential transmission pathways (Chopra et al.,
80 2020; Galarde-López et al., 2024). Although wastewater treatment processes are
81 designed to remove or reduce microbial contaminants, some pathogens can survive or
82 be reintroduced into the environment through treated effluent and biosolids by attaching
83 to particles (Chahal et al., 2016; Marutescu et al., 2023). If *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis*
84 demonstrate resilience through treatment processes, there is a risk of environmental
85 exposure, which could have implications for public health (Huang et al., 2024; Li et al.,
86 2022; Rams et al., 2023; Sweeney et al., 2004; Teoh et al., 2018). Further studies are
87 needed to assess whether these bacteria can colonize environmental surfaces,
88 influence microbial ecosystems, or contribute to antimicrobial resistance.

In this study, we determined the concentration of *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis* in wastewater samples over one year. We also determined the concentration of *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis* in wastewater primary influent, primary effluent, and secondary effluent. Lastly, we observed the prevalence and persistence of *S. mutans* over time in influent wastewater by running a month-long decay experiment. By measuring the prevalence of *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis* in untreated wastewater and evaluating their reduction through treatment processes, this study aims to bridge a significant knowledge gap in WBE. If successful, integrating oral bacteria surveillance into WBE frameworks could revolutionize how we monitor, predict, and mitigate oral health diseases at a population level. Public health agencies could use wastewater data to design targeted interventions, such as fluoridation programs, oral health education campaigns, or improved access to dental care in communities with high oral bacterial loads (Melbye and Armfield, 2013).

2.0 Materials and Methods

<u>Study site & temporal sampling</u>:

100 sewage samples were collected from Blucher Poole Wastewater Treatment Plant, a local wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) in Bloomington, Indiana. Blucher Poole has a flow of more than 4.5 million gallons per day and caters to the northern part of Monroe County. This treatment plant was selected to represent the majority of the Bloomington, Indiana, population and to observe bacterial shedding rates in wastewater. These samples were collected weekly starting from June 2023 to May 2025. A second local WWTP was selected, Dillman Road Wastewater Treatment Plant, so that we were able

111 to compare and contrast between the bacterial quantification of the two plants. Dillman
112 Road is located on the south side of Bloomington, Indiana and has a peak hydraulic
113 capacity at 30 million gallons daily (Bloomington, 2023). For this WWTP, 13 weekly
114 sewage samples from December 2023 to February 2024 were collected and transported
115 to the lab. 24-hour composite influent (prior to primary treatment) wastewater samples
116 were collected in 500-milliliter sterile polypropylene bottles and transported in a cooler
117 and frozen to -20°C within 2 hours of collection. Once received, these samples are
118 immediately prepared for filtration.

119 Effluent Sampling

120 Composite samples of primary and secondary/final effluent wastewater were collected
121 from the local WWTP and placed at -20°C for storage until sample processing. These
122 samples were collected during a 2-week intensive study and in the month of February
123 when concentrations were highest. For the primary influent, a 500 mL 24-hour
124 composite sample was collected, this is the sewage sample that comes directly into the
125 treatment plant and has not received any treatment. We received a 100 mL sample of
126 the 24-hour composite primary effluent sample, which consists of the sewage sample
127 after primary sedimentation has occurred. For the 24-hour composite secondary/final
128 effluent, 500 mL was collected in 500 mL sterile polypropylene bottles. This sample is
129 the sewage sample post aeration, activated sludge, and secondary sedimentation. All
130 samples were transported in the same manner as mentioned previously.

131

132 Decay Experiments:

133 A decay experiment was conducted on Streptococcus mutans in wastewater over the
134 course of a month to observe its persistence. The experiment was conducted in
135 triplicates of 400 milliliters of composite influent wastewater in 500-milliliter flasks.
136 Flasks were then covered with parafilm and left in the dark for a month. 25 mL samples
137 were taken at each of the following time points: 2 hours, 1 day, 4 days, 7 days, 11 days,
138 and 27 days. Before taking each sample, the flasks were swirled to homogenize the
139 wastewater and bacteria inside. Samples were then processed using the methods
140 below and quantified for *S. mutans*.

141 Concentration and DNA Extraction:

142 For final effluent samples, 250 mL of the final effluent was taken to be filtered and for all
143 other samples, 50 mL was prepared to be filtered. All samples were acidified to a pH of
144 3.5. A known concentration of bovine coronavirus solution was also added to each
145 sample as a tracer. For filtration, an electronegative mixed cellulose ester (MCE) 47mm
146 diameter and 0.45 μm pore size filter was used to filter samples based on previous
147 studies (Bivins et al., 2020b; Greaves et al., 2022; Rodriguez et al., 2024). Once
148 filtration through the filter was complete, the filters were then transferred into 2.0 mL
149 PowerBead tubes and either further extracted immediately or stored at -20 °C for
150 extraction later. The DNA from the samples was then extracted in accordance to the
151 provided manufacturer instructions using the Allprep PowerViral DNA/RNA extraction kit
152 (Cat. No. 28000-50 Qiagen, Germantown, MD,USA). This kit resulted in the elution of

153 DNA in 100 µL of RNase-free water provided within the kit. Once eluted these samples
154 were then stored at -20°C in preparation for quantification.

155 Bacterial and Viral Quantification:

156 Bacterial quantification of the samples was performed using digital polymerase chain
157 reaction (dPCR) and the QIAcuity™ Four Platform System (Cat. No 911042, Qiagen,
158 Germantown, MD, USA), the QIAcuity Nanoplate 26k (Cat. No 250001, Germantown,
159 MD, USA), and the QIAcuity™ Probe PCR Kit (Cat. No. 250132 Qiagen, Germantown,
160 MD, USA) as described in previous studies (Rodriguez et al., 2024). The environmental
161 mix for each molecular testing consisted of 1X Qiacuity Probe PCR mix, 08 µM of each
162 forward and reverse primer, 0.4 µM of each probe, and 10 µL of the target DNA for a
163 total volume of 40 µL. The molecular primers and probes used for the detection of *S.*
164 *mutans* and *P. gingivalis* are described in **Table S1**. While the primer and probe sets
165 were chosen from peer-reviewed, previously validated assays, their specificity was
166 formally assessed through in silico screening using NCBI BLAST. Primer and probe
167 sets were also able to detect a diluted culture of each bacteria. All samples were tested
168 using a duplex, where *S. mutans* was detected in the green channel with the FAM probe
169 and *P. gingivalis* was tested in the yellow channel with the HEX probe. The primers and
170 probes were manufactured by IDT (Coralville, IA, USA). The Zen™ internal quencher
171 (IDTDNA.com) and the 3' Black Hole ™ quencher were used to double-quench the
172 probes. PCR was run using the following conditions: an initial denaturation step at
173 95 °C for 2 minutes then 45 cycles of 95 °C for 5 seconds and 60 °C for 30 seconds.
174 The lowest detection limit was estimated for each PCR assay using DNA controls and a

175 dilution series which resulted in a calculated 380 copies/L for both *S. mutans* and *P.*
176 *gingivalis*. CrAssphage was used as a molecular fecal indicator and quantified with
177 techniques, probes, and primers published previously (Bivins et al., 2020a; Greaves et
178 al., 2020a; Wu et al., 2020) The threshold for each PCR reaction was marked by the
179 top of the negative band of the negative control for the specific probe being tested.

180 Data analysis and statistics:

181 The following formula was used to calculate the concentration of oral bacteria in gene
182 copes/L:

183 $$\frac{gc}{L} = \frac{gc}{uL} X \frac{Vol\ PCR\ reaction\ (40uL)}{Vol.\ NA\ analyzed\ (5uL)} X \frac{Vol.\ NA\ eluted\ (50uL)}{vol\ sample\ \ (0.05L)}$$

184 The analyses for our data was conducted using ANOVA and was performed on
185 GaphPad Prism v 10 (Boston, MA, USA) where independent factors were taken into
186 consideration. Graphs and figures were made using GraphPad Prism v 10 (Boston,
187 MA, USA). **For calculating the rate of decay, the decay curve was fit to the**
188 **following formula:**

189 $$C_T = C_0 e^{-kt}$$

190 In this equation, C_T represents the concentration of the pathogen at time *T*, C_0
191 represents the initial concentration of the pathogen at time 0, and *k* is representative of
192 the decay rate.

193 **3.0 Results**

194 Bacterial and Viral Detection and quantification:

195 **Figure 1** shows the average monthly wastewater flow rates into the main local
196 wastewater treatment plant tested in this study. Values throughout the year show the
197 lowest value being in the summer and the highest values in the winter months. In
198 addition to monitoring flow rates, the fecal indicators crAssphage was measured in all
199 the samples throughout the duration of the experiments and concentrations remained
200 stable over the two year period (**Figure S1**). CrAssphage had an average detected
201 concentration of 8.50 $\log_{10}$ GC/L. Statistical tests were done using a one-way ANOVA
202 followed by tukey multiple comparisons to measure changes in monthly concentrations
203 crAssphage, and results showed no statistical differences between crAssphage
204 concentrations over the different months of the experiment.

205 **Figure 2** shows the monthly average concentrations over the span of two years
206 for *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis* in the main local wastewater treatment plant. Bacterial
207 concentrations were normalized by wastewater flow rates to accommodate for
208 population changes. The highest concentration of *S. mutans* was observed in February
209 of year 1 with a calculated monthly average concentration of 5.01 $\log_{10}$ GC/L and the
210 lowest detected concentration being in August of year 2 with 3.39 $\log_{10}$ GC/L. For *P.*
211 *gingivalis*, the month with the highest average concentration was November 2023 with a
212 calculated average monthly concentration of 3.81 $\log_{10}$ GC/L and the month with the
213 lowest values was September 2024 where none of the samples had detections and the
214 values were assumed to be the detection limit. Over the course of the entire selected
215 timeline, the average detected concentration of *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis* was 4.57
216 $\log_{10}$ GC/L and 3.03 $\log_{10}$ GC/L respectively. **Table 1** breaks down the concentration

values for *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis* into four different time periods within the year, corresponding with Spring (March-May), Summer (June-August), Fall (September-November), and Winter (December-February). Values are also the average of the two years. For *S. mutans* the highest average detected concentration was in the winter with a value of 4.78 $\log_{10}$ GC/L and a positive detection rate of 100%. Statistical tests showed winter concentrations were significantly higher than summer and spring concentrations for *S. mutans*. *P. gingivalis* also had the highest average detected concentration in the winter with a value of 3.12 $\log_{10}$ GC/L and a positive detection rate of 84% which was also the highest detection rate across all seasons. No significant differences were found between the concentrations across all seasons for *P. gingivalis*.

A comparison was also done between year 1 (June 2023 to May 2024) and year 2 (June 2024 to May 2025) through using Pearson's correlation r. Statistical analysis showed no correlation between the different years for both bacteria (**Figure S3**). Correlation analysis for crAssphage for the different years showed a strong positive correlation (r=0.77). A comparison was made between two different WWTP, Blucher Poole (BP) and Dillman Road (DR), for the two bacteria in the winter of year 2, which can be observed in **Figure S2**. For both WWTPs, *S. mutans* was observed to have a larger spread in concentrations than *P. gingivalis* which can be observed in the top two graphs within **Figure S2**. More samples of *P. gingvalis* were disregarded due to not being detected, in comparison to *S. mutans*. For BP, the average concentration of *S. mutans* was 4.93 $\log_{10}$ GC/L and for *P. gingivalis* it was 3.14 $\log_{10}$ GC/L. The average concentration of *P. gingivalis* for DR was higher at 3.53 $\log_{10}$ GC/L whereas *S. mutans* was relatively similar to BP with an average concentration of 4.86 $\log_{10}$ GC/L. *P.*

240 *gingivalis* was statistically higher in the DR WWTP compared to the BP WWTP whereas
241 there were no statistical differences between the concentrations of *S. mutans* in the DR
242 and BP WWTPs.

243 Effluent sampling:

244 In **Figure 3** the concentrations of *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis* in primary influent, primary
245 effluent, and secondary effluent are shown. For both species of oral bacteria, they were
246 most prevalent in the primary influent samples with *S. mutans* having an average
247 concentration of 3.87 $\log_{10}$ GC/L and for *P. gingivalis*, a concentration of 3.21 $\log_{10}$
248 GC/L. The difference in concentration between the primary influent and the primary
249 effluent samples was larger for *P. gingivalis* than it was for *S. mutans* with calculated
250 differences of 1.08 $\log_{10}$ GC/L and 0.106 $\log_{10}$ GC/L, respectively. The final effluent
251 samples still resulted in some detected concentrations, with *S. mutans* having an
252 average of 3.08 $\log_{10}$ GC/L and *P. gingivalis* having an average of 1.99 $\log_{10}$ GC/L. The
253 difference between primary influent and secondary effluent for both *S. mutans* and *P.*
254 *gingivalis* was significant ($p<0.0001$). For *S. mutans*, the average concentration for
255 primary effluent and secondary effluent was determined to be significant as well
256 ($p<0.0001$). The difference between the concentration of *P. gingivalis* in primary influent
257 and primary effluent samples was significant ($p=0.009$). There was determined to be no
258 significance in the concentration of *S. mutans* in the primary influent and the primary
259 effluent samples ($p=0.816$) as well as there being no significant difference in the
260 concentration of *P. gingivalis* between the primary effluent and secondary effluent
261 samples ($p=0.064$).
262

263 Decay Rate:

264 The decay rate of *S. mutans* at room temperature in the absence of sunlight was
265 observed over the span of one month, with a visual representation of the data being
266 shown in **Figure 3**. There was positive detection for *S. mutans* all the way up to 27
267 days with an average value of 4.05 $\log_{10}$ GC/L. Across all three replicates, the average
268 k_{obs} for *S. mutans* was -0.4786 d^{-1}. For *P. gingivalis*, the decay rate was unable to be
269 observed due to the low initial concentration of the bacteria in wastewater.

270

271 **4.0 Discussion**

272 To the best of the authors' knowledge, this study is the first study to detect and
273 quantify *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis* in wastewater samples. These two pathogens
274 cause two of the most common dental diseases that infect a majority of populations
275 worldwide. Even though these pathogens are highly prevalent in US populations,
276 routine surveillance on the prevalence of these different pathogens or the oral disease
277 they cause is not done, especially since the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic (Dani
278 et al., 2016; Yan et al., 2021). Through our study, we were able to show that both
279 pathogens were detected in more than 60% of the 65 samples tested in wastewater
280 over the 4 different seasons in a year. The high detection of these oral pathogens in
281 wastewater samples from a mid-size city (75,000 residents) shows that wastewater-
282 based epidemiology (WBE) is highly feasible for these dental pathogens and oral
283 health. WBE may also serve as a low-cost method to monitor the spread and
284 prevalence of these dental diseases when traditional monitoring methods are not done.

285 *S. mutans* had consistently higher concentrations than *P. gingivalis* in wastewater
286 throughout the sampling period. In some samples, concentrations of *S. mutans* reached
287 to more than 10 times higher than *P. gingivalis*. With numerous studies estimating
288 higher percentages of tooth decay (90%) than gum disease (50%) in adults (Research,
289 2022; United States. Public Health Service. Office of the Surgeon General and National
290 Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research (U.S.), 2021), our differential observations
291 of *S. mutans* (which associates with tooth decay) and *P. gingivalis* (which associates
292 with gum disease) is consistent with past clinical prevalences of these diseases in US
293 populations (Griffen et al., 1998; Rafiei et al., 2018; Thorild et al., 2002; Zubaidah et al.,
294 2022). Higher prevalences of a disease should result in higher concentrations in
295 wastewater (Rabe et al., 2023; Weidhaas et al., 2021). However, concentrations of *S.*
296 *mutans* could also be higher in wastewater due to the shedding dynamics from teeth as
297 opposed to the shedding dynamics of *P. gingivalis* from gums (Bugueno et al., 2020;
298 Liao et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2017). While studies have not specifically tested shedding of
299 each pathogen, previous studies have observed statistically higher concentrations of *S.*
300 *mutans* than *P. gingivalis* in dental samples from healthy patients, alluding to higher
301 shedding of *S. mutans* than *P. gingivalis* (Almeida et al., 2020; Dani et al., 2016; Davis
302 et al., 2012). Differential shedding could be due to the stark difference in oral hygiene
303 when it comes to the gums and teeth where cleaning of the teeth is done more
304 frequently than cleaning of the gums (Albertsson and Dijken, 2010; Deinzer et al., 2019;
305 Goryawala et al., 2016; Zimmermann et al., 2015). The variations in shedding might
306 also be simply attributed to the ease with which they attach or detach from different

307 surfaces, such as enamel versus gums (Gibbons, 1984; Mei et al., 2009; Vanhoute,
308 1983).
309 In addition to being shed in saliva, oral pathogens may also be swallowed, survive
310 passage through the gastrointestinal tract, and be excreted in feces. Several studies
311 have detected the DNA of oral microbes, including S. mutans, in human feces,
312 particularly through 16S rRNA gene sequencing (Abdelbary et al., 2022; Lin et al.,
313 2024). This fecal shedding pathway may significantly influence the concentration of
314 these bacteria in wastewater. For example, *S. mutans* may be more resilient than *P.*
315 *gingivalis* during gastrointestinal transit, potentially resulting in higher levels of S.
316 mutans in fecal matter. While feces are generally considered the primary route of
317 microbial contribution to wastewater, no dedicated studies have confirmed the specific
318 shedding patterns of *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis* (Newton et al., 2015). As a result,
319 there remains uncertainty about how their wastewater concentrations correlate with
320 actual infection prevalence in the population. Future studies should examine the
321 shedding dynamics of each oral pathogen so that improved connections between dental
322 health and wastewater concentrations can be developed.
323 <u>Seasonal Patterns</u>
324 WBE has been shown to be a very useful tool for understanding disease trends,
325 specifically over the different seasons of a year (Brinkman et al., 2017; Kuhn et al.,
326 2023). When trends for different seasons are determined, health agencies can then pick
327 specific seasons throughout the year to focus mitigation efforts on (dos Santos et al.,
328 2024; Mao et al., 2020). Our results showed seasonal trends for *S. mutans* and *P.*
329 *gingivalis,* with both oral bacteria having their highest average concentrations in the

330 winters over the two-year period. However, results for *P. gingivalis* were not significant,
331 potentially due to the low detection rate of this pathogen. Nevertheless, detections in
332 winter for *P. gingivalis* were also much higher than the detections in other months,
333 suggesting potential seasonality similar to *S. mutans*.

334 *S. mutans* showed significantly higher concentrations in the winter than in the
335 spring and summer. The highest temperature months of July and August exhibited
336 notably lower concentrations compared to the other months of the year, especially in
337 year 2. These results highlight that *S. mutans* and dental caries could be more prevalent
338 in months with colder weather. Prior studies have observed diminished oral health in
339 colder months due to drier mouths and immune system changes in the winter (Fares,
340 2013; Fisman, 2007). Drier mouths due to increased indoor heater use can reduce the
341 volume of saliva (known to prevent bacterial growth), which in turn can cause the
342 extended growth of pathogens like *S. mutans* (King et al., 2000; Ligtenberg et al., 2020;
343 Weng et al., 2022). Colder months can also lead to diet changes, lowering nutrient
344 levels, or less hydration which could potentially contribute to tooth decay and poorer
345 oral health in colder months compared to hotter months (Fares, 2013; Moynihan and
346 Petersen, 2004; Sheiham, 2001). Although we observed higher average concentrations
347 of oral pathogens during the winter months across both years, several outlier months in
348 each season deviated from this trend, suggesting that additional factors may be
349 influencing oral health dynamics. These findings are also region-specific; other areas
350 may exhibit different seasonal patterns for these two oral pathogens. Future studies
351 should integrate oral health indicators with behavioral and environmental factors to
352 uncover the underlying drivers of seasonal variation.

353 Though average concentrations in the different seasons across years show
354 potential seasonal trends, trends of bacteria in each year were not well correlated
355 (**Figure S3**). Specifically for S. mutans, our data observed significantly lower
356 concentrations in year 2 than in year 1 suggesting reduction in oral pathogen burden in
357 the community. P. gingivalis has statistically similar concentrations across both years
358 but concentrations over time were also not well correlated. A potential reason for
359 reduction in oral health burden is that COVID-19-related dental clinic closures and
360 delayed care likely worsened oral health, but as services resumed, individual dental
361 health may have improved, potentially lowering oral health-related markers in
362 wastewater over time (Brian and Weintraub, 2020; Dill et al., 2023). Prior clinical
363 studies have also showed lowering oral health burden over the past decade which
364 follow the trend in our study (Dai et al., 2025; Lagerweij and van Loveren, 2015; Qin et
365 al., 2022). Additionally, year to year patterns can significantly change depending on
366 healthcare access, economic conditions, and public health policies that affect
367 community oral health in a year (Cooray et al., 2025; Dunleavy et al., 2024; Lee et al.,
368 2021).

369 Variation in WWTP

370 Our study results showed that *S. mutans* concentrations in wastewater from both
371 WWTP's were not statistically different within the wintertime even though both WWTPs
372 serve different population numbers and types. These results suggest that *S. mutans* can
373 be prevalent in different WWTP and populations across geographical areas. The higher
374 observed concentration of *S. mutans* compared to *P. gingivalis* in the DP WWTP is
375 consistent with what was observed for BR WWTP. Our results do show statistically

376 higher concentrations of *P. gingivalis* in the DR WWTP compared to the BP WWTP
377 (p=0.0273). BP WWTP primarily serves the university campus community, which is
378 mostly comprised of young students between the ages of 18 and 24. DR WWTP serves
379 the rest of the local population which contains children and vulnerable groups that may
380 not be present in the other WWTP. As oral health significantly impacts those that are
381 most vulnerable, such as children (below 18) and elderly (above 65), it is expected that
382 there would be higher levels of reduced oral health in the DR WWTP which contains
383 these vulnerable groups (Bloomington, 2023; Weng et al., 2022; Xiao et al., 2020).
384 However, to specifically determine whether these factors affect oral health, further
385 analysis is needed on samples across the sewershed and in other geographic locations.
386 Fate in the wastewater treatment process
387 Throughout the wastewater treatment process, both *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis*
388 were detectable but at significantly lower concentrations. These data suggest that the
389 treatment process is reducing the oral bacterial population, but not completely. The
390 primary treatment step was able to significantly reduce *P. gingivalis* but the secondary
391 treatment step (both activated sludge and secondary sedimentation process) was
392 needed to reduce *S. mutans*. These differences are potentially due to how likely each
393 microbe is to stick to fecal particles in wastewater. Multiple prior studies have shown a
394 reduction of various pathogens in wastewater through the primary and secondary
395 treatment processes (Delanka-Pedige et al., 2020; Frigon et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2010;
396 Skouteris et al., 2020). The same studies also show that certain pathogens are able to
397 survive through the process and enter the environment at lower levels, similar to what
398 we are observing for *P. gingivalis* and *S. mutans* (Delanka-Pedige et al., 2020; Frigon et

399 al., 2013; Fu et al., 2010; Skouteris et al., 2020) (Cuevas-Ferrando et al., 2022). Beyond
400 assessing the fate of oral bacteria in the wastewater treatment plant, our study
401 assessed the decay of oral bacteria in wastewater. With *P. gingivalis* having very low
402 concentrations, assessing its decay in water would be difficult. Furthermore, as *P.*
403 *gingivalis* is an anaerobic bacteria, its persistence in wastewater would be lower than *S.*
404 *mutans* due to the presence of oxygen in wastewater (Diaz and Rogers, 2004; Lemos et
405 al., 2019; Rafiei et al., 2018; Skouteris et al., 2020). Our calculated decay rates (0.48 d^{-}
406 ^{1}) for *S. mutans* in wastewater are comparable with other types of common fecal
407 bacteria found in wastewater and the environment, such as E. coli (-0.14 to -1.13 day^{-1})
408 (Chan et al., 2015; Nakhle et al., 2021; Tiwari et al., 2023) and enterococci (-0.19 to -1.2
409 day^{-1})(Greaves et al., 2020b; Sagarduy et al., 2019; Tiwari et al., 2019; Tiwari et al.,
410 2023). This environmental and wastewater treatment plant survival, therefore, highlight
411 the potential novel transmission pathway of these oral pathogens through the
412 environment in contaminated waters.

413 <u>Limitations</u>

414 A key limitation of our study is the inability to compare our wastewater data with clinical
415 diseases that these pathogens cause (periodontal diseases and dental caries). The
416 main reason why we cannot access this data is because there is no clinical surveillance
417 of these pathogens in our region which highlights how important wastewater
418 surveillance can be to the monitoring of oral health. Future studies should try to
419 incorporate clinical studies or survey data with wastewater surveillance to better
420 connect wastewater data with the oral health of the community. Another major limitation
421 includes the use of only molecular methods to detect the various oral pathogens in this

study. While molecular methods offer fast and precise measurements for a diverse range of targets, they do not assess viability of specific organism. Subsequent studies should incorporate culturable or other viability assessment methods to measure the viable concentration of oral pathogens, especially when investigating persistence.

5.0 Conclusion

In conclusion, this study demonstrated the feasibility of using WBE for oral pathogen surveillance in a community. Additionally, our study showed a potential environmental transmission pathway for *S. mutans* through water. Overall, this research has the potential to provide critical insights into oral health trends, improve disease surveillance, and enhance public health strategies. By leveraging wastewater analysis, we can move toward a more proactive and non-invasive approach to oral disease monitoring, ultimately contributing to better health outcomes and reducing the global burden of dental and periodontal diseases.

6.0 References

Abdelbary, M.M.H., Hatting, M., Bott, A., Dahlhausen, A., Keller, D., Trautwein, C. and Conrads, G. 2022. The oral-gut axis: Salivary and fecal microbiome dysbiosis in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 12, 1010853.

Albertsson, K.W. and Dijken, J.W.V. 2010. Awareness of toothbrushing and dentifrice habits in regularly dental care receiving adults. Swed Dent J 34(2), 71-78.

Almeida, V.D.M., Azevedo, J., Leal, H.F., de Queiroz, A.T.L., da Silva, H.P. and Reis, J.N. 2020. Bacterial diversity and prevalence of antibiotic resistance genes in the oral microbiome. Plos One 15(9).

Bivins, A., Crank, K., Greaves, J., North, D., Wu, Z. and Bibby, K. 2020a. Cross-assembly phage and pepper mild mottle virus as viral water quality monitoring tools—potential, research gaps, and way forward. Current Opinion in Environmental Science & Health 16, 54-61.

Bivins, A., Greaves, J., Fischer, R., Yinda, K.C., Ahmed, W., Kitajima, M., Munster, V.J. and Bibby, K. 2020b. Persistence of SARS-CoV-2 in Water and Wastewater. Environ Sci Technol Lett 7(12), 937-942.

Bloomington, C.o. 2023 Dillman Road Wastewater Treatment Plant.

Brian, Z. and Weintraub, J.A. 2020. Oral Health and COVID-19: Increasing the Need for Prevention and Access. Prev Chronic Dis 17, E82.

Brinkman, N.E., Fout, G.S. and Keely, S.P. 2017. Retrospective Surveillance of Wastewater To Examine Seasonal Dynamics of Enterovirus Infections. Msphere 2(3).

Bugueno, I.M., Zobairi El-Ghazouani, F., Batool, F., El Itawi, H., Angles-Cano, E., Benkirane-Jessel, N., Toti, F. and Huck, O. 2020. Porphyromonas gingivalis triggers the shedding of inflammatory endothelial microvesicles that act as autocrine effectors of endothelial dysfunction. Sci Rep 10(1), 1778.

Caufield, P.W. 2000. Dental caries, an infectious and transmissible disease. J Dent Res 79(5), 1218-1218.

Chahal, C., van den Akker, B., Young, F., Franco, C., Blackbeard, J. and Monis, P. 2016. Pathogen and Particle Associations in Wastewater: Significance and Implications for Treatment and Disinfection Processes. Adv Appl Microbiol 97, 63-119.

Chan, Y.M., Thoe, W. and Lee, J.H.W. 2015. Field and laboratory studies of *Escherichia coli* decay rate in subtropical coastal water. J Hydro-Environ Res 9(1), 1-14.

Chopra, A., Bhat, S.G. and Sivaraman, K. 2020. Porphyromonas gingivalis adopts intricate and unique molecular mechanisms to survive and persist within the host: a critical update. J Oral Microbiol 12(1).

Cohen, A., Vikesland, P., Pruden, A., Lee, L.M., Krometis, L.A., Darling, A., Yancey, M., Helmick, M., Singh, R., Gonzalez, R., Meit, M., Degen, M. and Taniuchi, M. 2024. Making waves: The benefits and challenges of responsibly implementing wastewater-based surveillance for rural communities. Water Res 250.

Cooray, U., Singh, A., Aida, J., Tsakos, G. and Peres, M.A. 2025. Impact of Poverty Reduction on Oral Health Outcomes among US Adults. J Dent Res, 220345251323183.

Cuevas-Ferrando, E., Pérez-Cataluña, A., Falcó, I., Randazzo, W. and Sánchez, G. 2022. Monitoring Human Viral Pathogens Reveals Potential Hazard for Treated Wastewater Discharge or Reuse. Front Microbiol 13.

Dai, X., Dai, M., Liang, Y., Li, X. and Zhao, W. 2025. Global burden and trends of oral disorders among adolescent and young adult (10-24 years old) from 1990 to 2021. BMC Oral Health 25(1), 486.

Dani, S., Prabhu, A., Chaitra, K.R., Desai, N.C., Patil, S.R. and Rajeev, R. 2016. Assessment of *Streptococcus mutans* in healthy versus gingivitis and chronic periodontitis: A clinico-microbiological study. Contemp Clin Dent 7(4), 529-534.

Daughton, C.G. 2020. Wastewater surveillance for population -wide Covid-19: The present and future. Science of the Total Environment 736.

Davis, J.E., Freel, N., Findley, A., Tomlin, K., Howard, K.M., Seran, C.C., Cruz, P. and Kingsley, K. 2012. A molecular survey of *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis* oral microbial burden in human saliva using Relative Endpoint Polymerase Chain Reaction (RE-PCR) within the population of a Nevada dental school revealed disparities among minorities. Bmc Oral Health 12.

Deinzer, R., Cordes, O., Weber, J., Hassebrauck, L., Weik, U., Krämer, N., Pieper, K. and Margraf-Stiksrud, J. 2019. Toothbrushing behavior in children - an observational study of toothbrushing performance in 12 year olds. Bmc Oral Health 19.

Delanka-Pedige, H.M.K., Munasinghe-Arachchige, S.P., Zhang, Y.Y. and Nirmalakhandan, N. 2020. Bacteria and virus reduction in secondary treatment: Potential for minimizing post disinfectant demand. Water Res 177.

Diaz, P.I. and Rogers, A.H. 2004. The effect of oxygen on the growth and physiology of. Oral Microbiol Immun 19(2), 88-94.

Dill, J., Fontana, M., Bader, J., Chaffin, J., Strock, S. and Eckert, G. 2023. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on oral health care use in the United States through December 2021. J Am Dent Assoc 154(10), 937-947 e933.

dos Santos, M.C., Silva, A.C.C., Teixeira, C.D., Prazeres, F.P.M., dos Santos, R.F., Rolo, C.D., Santos, E.D., da Fonseca, M.S., Valente, C.O., Hodel, K.V.S., Fonseca, L.M.D., Fiuza, B.S.D., Bueno, R.D., de Andrade, J.B. and Machado, B.A.S. 2024. Wastewater surveillance for viral pathogens: A tool for public health. Heliyon 10(13).

Dunleavy, G., Verma, N., Raghupathy, R., Jain, S., Hofmeister, J., Cook, R., Vujicic, M., Kebschull, M., Chapple, I., West, N. and Pitts, N. 2024. Inequalities in oral health: estimating the longitudinal economic burden of dental caries by deprivation status in six countries. BMC Public Health 24(1), 3239.

Fares, A. 2013. Factors Influencing the Seasonal Patterns of Infectious Diseases. Int J Preventive Med 4(2), 128-132.

Fisman, D.N. 2007. Seasonality of infectious diseases. Annu Rev Publ Health 28, 127-143.

Forssten, S.D., Björklund, M. and Ouwehand, A.C. 2010. , Caries and Simulation Models. Nutrients 2(3), 290-298.

Frigon, D., Biswal, B.K., Mazza, A., Masson, L. and Gehr, R. 2013. Biological and Physicochemical Wastewater Treatment Processes Reduce the Prevalence of Virulent. Appl Environ Microb 79(3), 835-844.

Fu, C.Y., Xie, X., Huang, J.J., Zhang, T., Wu, Q.Y., Chen, J.N. and Hu, H.Y. 2010. Monitoring and evaluation of removal of pathogens at municipal wastewater treatment plants. Water Sci Technol 61(6), 1589-1599.

Galarde-López, M., Velazquez-Meza, M.E., Godoy-Lozano, E.E., Carrillo-Quiroz, B.A., Cornejo-Juárez, P., Sassoé-González, A., Ponce-de-León, A., Saturno-Hernández, P. and Alpuche-Aranda, C.M. 2024. Presence and Persistence of Bacteria before and after Hospital Wastewater Treatment. Microorganisms 12(6).

Gibbons, R.J. 1984. Adherent Interactions Which May Affect Microbial Ecology in the Mouth. J Dent Res 63(3), 378-385.

Goryawala, S.N., Chavda, P., Udhani, S., Pathak, N.V., Pathak, S. and Ojha, R. 2016. A survey on oral hygiene methods practiced by patients attending Dentistry Department at a Tertiary Care Hospital from Central Gujarat. J Int Soc Prev Commu 6(2), 115-119.

546 Greaves, J., North, D. and Bibby, K. 2022. Particle association and size fraction of molecular
547 viral fecal pollution indicators in wastewater. Environmental Science: Water Research &
548 Technology 8(9), 1814-1821.
549 Greaves, J., Stone, D., Wu, Z. and Bibby, K. 2020a. Persistence of emerging viral fecal
550 indicators in large-scale freshwater mesocosms. Water Research X 9.
551 Greaves, J., Stone, D., Wu, Z. and Bibby, K. 2020b. Persistence of emerging viral fecal
552 indicators in large-scale freshwater mesocosms. Water Res X 9, 100067.
553 Griffen, A.L., Becker, M.R., Lyons, S.R., Moeschberger, M.L. and Leys, E.J. 1998. Prevalence
554 of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and periodontal health status. J Clin Microbiol 36(11),
555 3239-3242.
556 Huang, S., Huang, J., Du, J.Y., Li, Y.J., Wu, M.J., Chen, S., Zhan, L. and Huang, X.J. 2024.
557 The LiaSR Two-Component System Regulates Resistance to Chlorhexidine in.
558 Microorganisms 12(3).
559 Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Adams, B., Adewale, I.D., Agunbiade, F.O., Akinyemi, M., Archer, E.,
560 Badru, F.A., Barnett, J., Bishop, I.J., Di Lorenzo, M., Estrela, P., Faraway, J., Fasona,
561 M.J., Fayomi, S.A., Feil, E.J., Hyatt, L.J., Irewale, A.T., Kjeldsen, T., Lasisi, A.K.S.,
562 Loiselle, S., Louw, T.M., Metcalfe, B., Nmormah, S.A., Oluseyi, T.O., Smith, T.R.,
563 Snyman, M.C., Sogbanmu, T.O., Stanton-Fraser, D., Surujlal-Naicker, S., Wilson, P.R.,
564 Wolfaardt, G. and Yinka-Banjo, C.O. 2022. Wastewater-based epidemiology in hazard
565 forecasting and early-warning systems for global health risks. Environment International
566 161.
567 King, J.A., Rosal, M.C., Ma, Y.S., Reed, G., Kelly, T.A., Stanek, E.J. and Ockene, I.S. 2000.
568 Sequence and seasonal effects of salivary cortisol. Behav Med 26(2), 67-73.
569 Kuhn, K.G., Shukla, R., Mannell, M., Graves, G.M., Miller, A.C., Vogel, J., Malloy, K.,
570 Deshpande, G., Florea, G., Shelton, K., Jeffries, E., De Leon, K.B. and Stevenson, B.
571 2023. Using Wastewater Surveillance to Monitor Gastrointestinal Pathogen Infections in
572 the State of Oklahoma. Microorganisms 11(9).
573 Lagerweij, M.D. and van Loveren, C. 2015. Declining Caries Trends: Are We Satisfied? Curr
574 Oral Health Rep 2(4), 212-217.
575 Lee, W.C., Li, C.Y., Serag, H., Tabrizi, M. and Kuo, Y.F. 2021. Exploring the Impact of ACA on
576 Rural-Urban Disparity in Oral Health Services Among US Noninstitutionalized Adults. J
577 Rural Health 37(1), 103-113.
578 Lemos, J.A., Palmer, S.R., Zeng, L., Wen, Z.T., Kajfasz, J.K., Freires, I.A., Abranches, J. and
579 Brady, L.J. 2019. The Biology of. Microbiol Spectr 7(1).
580 Li, X.W., Wang, Y.F., Jiang, X.L., Zeng, Y.H., Zhao, X.R., Washio, J., Takahashi, N. and Zhang,
581 L.L. 2022. Investigation of drug resistance of caries-related streptococci to antimicrobial
582 peptide GH12. Front Cell Infect Mi 12.
583 Liao, S.M., Klein, M.I., Heim, K.P., Fan, Y.W., Bitoun, J.P., Ahn, S.J., Burne, R.A., Koo, H.,
584 Brady, L.J. and Wen, Z.Z.T. 2014. Extracellular DNA Is Upregulated during Growth in
585 Biofilms, Actively Released via Membrane Vesicles, and Influenced by Components of
586 the Protein Secretion Machinery. J Bacteriol 196(13), 2355-2366.
587 Ligtenberg, A.J.M., Meuffels, M. and Veerman, E.C.I. 2020. Effects of environmental
588 temperature on saliva flow rate and secretion of protein, amylase and mucin 5B. Arch
589 Oral Biol 109, 104593.
590 Lin, G., Kageyama, S., Maeda, A., Sakamoto, E., Ma, J., Asakawa, M., Furuta, M., Yamashita,
591 Y. and Takeshita, T. 2024. Oral-to-rectum microbial transmission in orthopedic patients
592 without a history of intestinal disorders. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 14, 1358684.
593 Liu, J., Sun, L.P., Liu, W., Guo, L.H., Liu, Z.H., Wei, X. and Ling, J.Q. 2017. A Nuclease from
594 Streptococcus mutans Facilitates Biofilm Dispersal and Escape from Killing by
595 Neutrophil Extracellular Traps. Front Cell Infect Mi 7.

596 Mao, K., Zhang, K.K., Du, W., Ali, W., Feng, X.B. and Zhang, H. 2020. The potential of
597 wastewater-based epidemiology as surveillance and early warning of infectious disease
598 outbreaks. Curr Opin Env Sci Hl 17, 1-7.
599 Marutescu, L.G., Popa, M., Gheorghe-Barbu, I., Barbu, I.C., Rodriguez-Molina, D., Berglund, F.,
600 Blaak, H., Flach, C.F., Kemper, M.A., Spiessberger, B., Wengenroth, L., Larsson,
601 D.G.J., Nowak, D., Radon, K., Husman, A.M.D., Wieser, A., Schmitt, H., Gradisteanu,
602 G.P., Vrancianu, C.O. and Chifiriuc, M.C. 2023. Wastewater treatment plants, an
603 "escape gate" for ESCAPE pathogens. Front Microbiol 14.
604 Mei, L., Busscher, H.J., van der Mei, H.C., Chen, Y.X., de Vries, J. and Ren, Y.J. 2009. Oral
605 bacterial adhesion forces to biomaterial surfaces constituting the bracket-adhesive-
606 enamel junction in orthodontic treatment. Eur J Oral Sci 117(4), 419-426.
607 Melbye, M.L.R. and Armfield, J.M. 2013. The dentist's role in promoting community water
608 fluoridation A call to action for dentists and educators. J Am Dent Assoc 144(1), 65-73.
609 Moynihan, P. and Petersen, P.E. 2004. Diet, nutrition and the prevention of dental diseases.
610 Public Health Nutrition 7(1a), 201-226.
611 Nakhle, P., Boithias, L., Pando-Bahuon, A., Thammahacksa, C., Gallion, N., Sounyafong, P.,
612 Silvera, N., Latsachack, K., Soulileuth, B., Rochelle-Newall, E.J., Marcangeli, Y., Pierret,
613 A. and Ribolzi, O. 2021. Decay Rate of *Escherichia coli* in a Mountainous Tropical
614 Headwater Wetland. Water-Sui 13(15).
615 Newton, R.J., McLellan, S.L., Dila, D.K., Vineis, J.H., Morrison, H.G., Eren, A.M. and Sogin,
616 M.L. 2015. Sewage reflects the microbiomes of human populations. mBio 6(2), e02574.
617 Organization, W.H. 2017 Sugars and dental caries.
618 Pitiphat, W., Garcia, R.I., Douglass, C.W. and Joshipura, K.J. 2002. Validation of self-reported
619 oral health measures. J Public Health Dent 62(2), 122-128.
620 Qin, X., Zi, H. and Zeng, X. 2022. Changes in the global burden of untreated dental caries from
621 1990 to 2019: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease study. Heliyon
622 8(9), e10714.
623 Rabe, A., Ravuri, S., Burnor, E., Steele, J.A., Kantor, R.S., Choi, S., Forman, S., Batjiaka, R.,
624 Jain, S., León, T.M., Vugia, D.J. and Yu, A.T. 2023. Correlation between wastewater
625 and COVID-19 case incidence rates in major California sewersheds across three variant
626 periods. J Water Health 21(9), 1303-1317.
627 Rafiei, M., Kiani, F., Sayehmiri, F., Sayehmiri, K., Sheikhi, A. and Zamanian Azodi, M. 2017.
628 Study of Porphyromonas gingivalis in periodontal diseases: A systematic review and
629 meta-analysis. Med J Islam Repub Iran 31, 62.
630 Rafiei, M., Kiani, F., Sayehmiri, K., Sayehmiri, F., Tavirani, M., Dousti, M. and Sheikhi, A. 2018.
631 Prevalence of Anaerobic Bacteria (P.gingivalis) as Major Microbial Agent in the
632 Incidence Periodontal Diseases by Meta-analysis. J Dent (Shiraz) 19(3), 232-242.
633 Rams, T.E., Sautter, J.D. and van Winkelhoff, A.J. 2023. Emergence of Antibiotic-Resistant
634 *Porphyromonas gingivalis* in United States Periodontitis Patients. Antibiotics-Basel
635 12(11).
636 Research, N.I.o.D.a.C. 2022 Oral Health in America - April 2022 Bulletin.
637 Rodriguez, R.A., Garza, F.M., Birch, O.N. and Greaves, J.C.J. 2024. Co-occurrence of adeno-
638 associated virus 2 and human enteric adenovirus (group F) in wastewater after
639 worldwide outbreaks of acute hepatitis of unknown etiology (AHUE). Sci Total Environ
640 955, 176806.
641 Sagarduy, M., Courtois, S., Del Campo, A., Garmendia, J.M. and Petrau, A. 2019. Differential
642 decay and prediction of persistence of *Enterococcus spp*. and *Escherichia coli* culturable
643 cells and molecular markers in freshwater and seawater environments. Int J Hyg Envir
644 Heal 222(4), 695-704.

Sekundo, C., Stock, C., Jürges, H. and Listl, S. 2019. Patients' self-reported measures of oral health-A validation study on basis of oral health questions used in a large multi-country survey for populations aged 50+. Gerodontology 36(2), 171-179.

Sheiham, A. 2001. Dietary effects on dental diseases. Public Health Nutr 4(2B), 569-591.

Skouteris, G., Rodriguez-Garcia, G., Reinecke, S.F. and Hampel, U. 2020. The use of pure oxygen for aeration in aerobic wastewater treatment: A review of its potential and limitations. Bioresource Technol 312.

Sweeney, L.C., Dave, J., Chambers, P.A. and Heritage, J. 2004. Antibiotic resistance in general dental practice - a cause for concern? J Antimicrob Chemoth 53(4), 567-576.

Teoh, L., Stewart, K., Marino, R. and McCullough, M. 2018. Antibiotic resistance and relevance to general dental practice in Australia. Aust Dent J 63(4), 414-421.

Thorild, I., Lindau-Jonson, B. and Twetman, S. 2002. Prevalence of salivary Streptococcus mutans in mothers and in their preschool children. Int J Paediatr Dent 12(1), 2-7.

Tiwari, A., Kauppinen, A. and Pitkänen, T. 2019. Decay of *Enterococcus faecalis, Vibrio cholerae* and MS2 Coliphage in a Laboratory Mesocosm Under Brackish Beach Conditions. Front Public Health 7.

Tiwari, A., Kauppinen, A., Räsänen, P., Salonen, J., Wessels, L., Juntunen, J., Miettinen, I.T. and Pitkänen, T. 2023. Effects of temperature and light exposure on the decay characteristics of fecal indicators, norovirus, and *Legionella* in mesocosms simulating subarctic river water. Science of the Total Environment 859.

United States. Public Health Service. Office of the Surgeon General and National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research (U.S.) 2021 Oral health in America : advances and challenges : executive summary, pp. 1 online resource (1 PDF file (i, 22 pages)), National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research,, Bethesda (MD).

Vanhoute, J. 1983. Bacterial Adherence in the Mouth. Rev Infect Dis 5, S659-S669.

Weidhaas, J., Aanderud, Z.T., Roper, D.K., VanDerslice, J., Gaddis, E.B., Ostermiller, J., Hoffman, K., Jamal, R., Heck, P., Zhang, Y., Torgersen, K., Vander Laan, J. and LaCross, N. 2021. Correlation of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater with COVID-19 disease burden in sewersheds. Science of the Total Environment 775.

Weng, C.T., Huang, S.L., Yang, H.W., Kao, C.C., Wei, C.C. and Huang, Y.F. 2022. Oral microbiota in xerostomia patients-A preliminary study. J Dent Sci 17(1), 324-330.

Wu, Z., Greaves, J., Arp, L., Stone, D. and Bibby, K. 2020. Comparative fate of CrAssphage with culturable and molecular fecal pollution indicators during activated sludge wastewater treatment. Environmental International 136.

Xiao, J., Fiscella, K.A. and Gill, S.R. 2020. Oral microbiome: possible harbinger for children's health. Int J Oral Sci 12(1).

Yan, Y.J., Wang, B.W., Yang, C.M., Wu, C.Y. and Ou-Yang, M. 2021. Autofluorescence Detection Method for Dental Plaque Bacteria Detection and Classification: Example of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans*, and *Streptococcus mutans*. Dent J-Basel 9(7).

Zimmermann, H., Zimmermann, N., Hagenfeld, D., Veile, A., Kim, T.S. and Becher, H. 2015. Is frequency of tooth brushing a risk factor for periodontitis? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Community Dent Oral 43(2), 116-127.

Zubaidah, N., Dianawati, N., Ridwan, R.D., Shirakawa, T., Kuntaman, K., Setiawatie, E.M., Tanzil, M.I. and Kunarti, S. 2022. The Clinical Pattern and Prevalence of *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus sobrinus* among Adult and Children Patients with Dental Caries. Pesqui Bras Odontope 22.

Figures



Figure 1. Average wastewater flow rate per month for the local wastewater treatment plant monitored.



Figure 2. Concentrations of oral bacteria in wastewater. Top two figures show monthly average concentrations of *S.mutans* (A) and *P. gingivalis* (B) in Bloomington wastewater over the two-year duration normalized by wastewater flow. The bars are standard deviation for four samples collected each month (n=4-5). Middle figures show average concentrations for *S.mutans* (C) and *P. gingivalis* (D) in each season over the two years. Bottom figures show violin plots for both oral bacteria in year 1 and year 2 (E for *S.mutans* and F for *P. gingivalis*).



Figure 3. Occurrence of *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis* in wastewater treatment plant primary influent, primary effluent, and secondary effluent in 4 replicate samples.



Figure 4. Decay of *S. mutans* in wastewater primary influent at room temperature in the absence of sunlight.

718 **Tables**

719 **Table 1:** Detection rates and average concentrations of *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis* in
720 wastewater samples.
721

Target	Seasons	Sample period	No. of Positive Samples (%)	Concentration (Log_{10} copies/L)
S. mutans	Spring 2024 & 2025	March-May	19 (76)	4.37
	Summer 2023 & 2024	June-August	20 (80)	4.34
	Fall 2023 & 2024	Sept-Oct	25 (100)	4.35
	Winter 2024 & 2025	Jan-Feb & Dec previous year	25 (100)	4.78
	Total		89 (89)	4.57
P. gingivalis	Spring 2024 & 2025	March-May	12 (48)	2.93
	Summer 2023 & 2024	June-August	12 (48)	2.75
	Fall 2023 & 2024	Sept-Oct	13 (52)	3.04
	Winter 2024 & 2025	Jan-Feb & Dec previous year	21 (84)	3.12
	Total		58 (58)	3.03

722