Administration Technique of Intranasal Corticosteroid Sprays among Nepali Pharmacists: A Cross-Sectional Study

Amar Prashad Chaudhary[1], Suraj Thakur[1], Shiv Kumar Sah[1]

[1] Department of Pharmacy Practice, Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal

Abstract

Background: Allergic rhinitis is a common condition affecting up to 40% of people worldwide, with a notably high prevalence in South Asia. The primary treatment for moderate to severe allergic rhinitis is intranasal corticosteroid sprays, typically demonstrated to patients by registered pharmacists. However, many patients do not use these sprays correctly.

Objective: This study evaluated the proficiency of pharmacists in demonstrating the correct technique for using intranasal corticosteroid sprays and the factors contributing to proper technique.

Methods: In a cross-sectional survey of 365 registered pharmacists in the Kathmandu Valley, Nepal, a trained observer utilized a standardized 12-step checklist to assess each pharmacist's technique for using intranasal corticosteroid sprays. Demographics, education, experience, previous training, and instructional materials use were recorded. Proficiency was classified as "adequate" if more than 6 out of 12 marks were obtained.

Results: Out of 365 pharmacists, 65.5% were male, and approximately 59% were aged 26 or younger. About 70% of pharmacists hold a diploma in pharmacy. More than half of the pharmacists demonstrated inadequate technique, while 47.1% showed adequate skill overall. However, only 6% could demonstrate all 5 critical steps. The likelihood of providing appropriate counselling on the use of intranasal corticosteroid sprays was significantly correlated with male gender, older age, experience, use of educational materials, conducting moderate counselling sessions weekly, and higher qualifications.

Conclusions: More than half of the registered pharmacists in Nepal demonstrated inadequate technique of intranasal corticosteroid sprays. There is a strong need for educational intervention and policy change for improved proficiency.

Keywords: Pharmacist education, Intranasal corticosteroids, Allergic rhinitis, Patient Counselling, Continuing Pharmacy Education

Introduction

A chronic inflammatory condition of the nasal mucosa, allergic rhinitis (AR) is brought on by immunoglobulin E (IgE) mediated responses to allergens breathed. There are many causes of allergic rhinitis, including Pollen, dust mites, Cockroach waste, animal dander, fumes and odors, changes in environment, smoke, and certain foods or spices. The most common symptoms of AR are sneezing, stuffy nose, runny nose, Itchy nose, throat, eyes, and ears, nosebleeds, clear drainage from the nose, snoring, and breathing through the mouth.

AR affects 10% to 40% of the world's population, and its prevalence is increasing in many countries [1,2]. AR and other allergy disorders are also common in Nepal and the surrounding South Asian nations. A recent school-based study in Nepal, for example, found rhino conjunctivitis symptoms in 28% of children [3]. AR was responsible for almost 25% of allergy illnesses in Nepal's Gandaki Province [3]. Adolescent AR prevalence in India is estimated at 22%, whereas in adults it was found to be 11% and 33.3% in asthmatics [4,5]. Similarly, a large-scale study conducted in Europe discovered that up to 20% of the population is impacted by AR [6]. The prevalence of allergic rhinitis in the United States of America is slightly lower, is 7.7% in adults and 7.2% in children [7].

Therefore, the treatment of AR is very important as it impacts daily life activities. The objective of AR treatment is to control the disease. Antihistamines, leukotriene receptor antagonists, azelastine, and intranasal corticosteroid (INCS) sprays are used for treating AR according to the Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) guidelines 2019 [8]. Effective pharmacotherapy is crucial for symptomatic control of AR. INCS sprays are the most potent medications for moderate to severe AR and are recommended as first-line therapy [9]. When used correctly, INCS reduce nasal congestion, rhinorrhea, sneezing, and itching by suppressing mucosal inflammation.

.

The most common adverse drug reactions of INCS include dyspnea, anosmia, ageusia/dysgeusia, epistaxis, and headache [10]. A study conducted at the ENT outpatient clinic at Aberdeen Royal Infirmary found that 15.5% reported epistaxis due to an ipsilateral hand technique [11]. Similarly, a study in Thailand discovered a 3.6 times higher risk of adverse events in patients who did not point the tip of the spray away from the nasal septum [12]. Maintaining a neutral head position and exhaling through the mouth are crucial for proper drug disposition and enhanced efficacy [13]. Therefore, using the correct technique is vital for better efficacy and a reduced risk of side effects. Standard guidelines recommend instructing patients to shake the spray, maintain a neutral head position, insert the tip slightly upward and laterally (away from the septum), close the opposite nostril, inhale gently while actuating the spray, and then exhale through the mouth [12,14].

However, a study conducted by Rattanawang et al. found that only 4% of patients performed all twelve steps, while only 29% completed all the crucial steps [12]. Similarly, a study by Gurung et al. in Nepal revealed that only 7.2% of patients executed all the steps correctly, and 18.2% managed to perform all five critical steps accurately [15]. A systematic review indicated that approximately 73% of patients did not receive proper advice regarding nasal corticosteroid sprays [16].

Healthcare professionals, especially pharmacists, are responsible for counselling the patient regarding the drugs dispensed by them. Given this context, it is essential to assess how well Nepali registered pharmacists themselves understand and can demonstrate correct INCS technique. No prior studies have examined this. By identifying gaps in pharmacist knowledge and technique, targeted interventions (e.g., curriculum changes or training modules) can be designed to improve AR care. This study therefore evaluated the proficiency of registered pharmacists in Kathmandu Valley in demonstrating INCS administration, and analyzed professional factors associated with adequate technique. The findings have important implications for Nepal's healthcare and pharmacy education systems: improving pharmacist competency could raise the standard of AR management nationally.

Methods

Study design and Study period

A cross-sectional observational study was performed from November 2023 to May 2024 through interviews of registered pharmacists. They answered a semi-structured questionnaire containing questions about their sociodemographic, professional details, and INCS counselling steps. STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) principles were adhered to in the study's reporting [17,18].

Study population and study site

The sample was selected from pharmacists registered at the Nepal Pharmacy Council working at community pharmacies in Kathmandu, Nepal. Being Nepal's capital, Kathmandu is a heavily populated city. The respective site had a large number of community pharmacies, about 4000, with many registered pharmacists [19].

Sampling method

Simple random sampling of the community pharmacies available in different wards of Kathmandu district of Nepal was done. This cross-sectional study identified potential participants from the registered pharmacists working at community pharmacies. These potential participants were approached and explained the study's purpose, procedures, and potential risks

and benefits. The same interviewer interviewed all the participants to overcome the interobserver variability in participants' responses.

Sample size

The survey study was completed using the Raosoft sample size calculator to capture the appropriate sample size [20]. A minimum of 363 samples was required for a 95% confidence interval and a 5% margin of error for the population distribution of 21000 students at a 40% response distribution [21]. Thus, a total of 365 registered pharmacists participated in this study.

Measures

After the individuals' sociodemographic and professional information was obtained through interviews, the 12-step nasal spray application technique as given in Table 1 was demonstrated by the participant and was examined [12,13,22–24]. Each correct step was assigned 1 mark, and the incorrect or missed step was assigned 0 marks. Hence, the maximum score obtained was 12 marks. Five steps in INCS counselling were considered critical steps that impact the patient outcome and risk of adverse drug reactions. Based on the study conducted by Binita et al., expert suggestions, and the median value, 6 marks was established as the cut-off score [25]. The median value of the total marks scored was 6. Consequently, anyone with a score higher than 6 marks was categorized as performing adequately, and anyone with marks equal to or lower than 6 was categorized as performing inadequately.

Table 1: Steps for the administration of the INCS spray

S. N	**Steps for the administration of the INCS spray**
1.	Shake the spray in a vertical plane
2.	Remove the dust cap
3.	Blow the nose*
4.	Hold the spray bottle, pointing the tip of the nozzle up with the hand
5.	Replace the index and middle finger on the pusher and the thumb at the bottom of a spray bottle
6.	Put the tip of the nozzle into the nostril and close the other side
7.	Neutral head or slightly tilt the head forward*

8.	Point the tip slightly outwards, away from the septum*
9.	Squirt the spray in the nose while breathing in*
10.	Breathe out through the mouth*
11.	Wipe the nozzle with a tissue or handkerchief
12.	Replace the cap

= *essential steps

Reliability and Validity

The initial questionnaire was validated by a subject expert, composed of advisors, professors, and teachers, for correctness, clarity, appropriateness, and jargon use. This validation was conducted using face validity approaches. An inter-rater reliability test was conducted on 15 participants and found a Cronbach's alpha value of 0.758.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

This study only took into account pharmacists aged 18 years and above who were registered with the Nepal Pharmacy Council and employed in community pharmacies. Specifically, those with a Diploma in Pharmacy (DPharm) degree, Bachelor in Pharmacy (BPharm) degree, Doctor in Pharmacy (PharmD) degree, and Master in Pharmacy (MPharm) degree. Participants should have a minimum of one year of experience. No unregistered pharmacists, Pharmacy students, or Interns were considered for this study.

Data Collection Procedure

The essential information was then gathered from participants using a semi-structured questionnaire through in-person interview. The standardized protocol was followed during an interview. Prior to their enrolment in the study, all participants were informed of its purpose, and their consent was acquired.

Statistical analysis

Utilizing Microsoft Excel and the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26 software, the gathered data was analyzed. Factors related to the administration technique were evaluated using univariate binary logistic regression to understand their independent impact. The decision tree analysis was done using Chi square Automatic Interaction Detector (CHAID) to

compliment the findings of binary logistic regression. When the P-value was less than 0.05 and the confidence level was 95%, it was deemed statistically significant.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval reference no. 210 (6-11) E2, 080/081 was taken from the Institutional Review Committee before the commencement of the study. Informed consent was taken from the participant before any data were collected from the study site.

Results

Participant Characteristics

Pharmacists' professional and demographic traits are listed in Table 2. The study involved 365 registered pharmacists as participants. Most were under 26 years old (59.2%), and most were men (65.5%). Approximately two-thirds of those who took part were single. Only roughly one-third of participants (30%) had a Bachelor of Pharmacy degree or above, whereas the majority (70%) had a Diploma in Pharmacy degree. Moreover, over three-fourths of the participants had early careers (1-4 years), whereas about one-fourth had mid or late pharmaceutical careers (5 years and above). More than half have counselled patients on intranasal corticosteroids one to four times a week, but only 8.2% acknowledged any formal training in INCS administration. Additionally, just 20.5% of participants used informative resources.

Table 2. Demographic and professional characteristics of pharmacists (N=365).

Variables	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
Sex		
Male	239	65.5
Female	126	34.5
Age		
Less than and equal to 26	216	59.2

More than and equal to 27	149	40.8
Marital status		
Unmarried	244	66.8
Married	121	33.2
Qualification		
D pharm	255	69.9
B pharm and above	110	30.1
Years of experience		
1-4 years	267	73.2
5 years and above	98	26.8
INCS counseling (Weekly)		
Occasionally	119	32.6
1-4 times	194	53.2
More than 4 times	52	14.2

Received training		
Yes	30	8.2
No	335	91.8
Use of information material		
Yes	75	20.5
No	290	79.5

Administration Technique Adherence and Proficiency Level

Among 365 participating pharmacists, adherence to INCS administration steps varied widely, as shown in Table 3. High adherence (>80%) was observed in four basic steps: removing the dust cap, replacing the cap, shaking the spray, and holding the bottle upright. In addition, moderate adherence (40–80%) was noted in three steps involving inhaling while spraying, finger positioning, and nozzle insertion. However, low adherence (<40%) was seen in five steps, among which four were critical: blowing the nose, pointing the nozzle away from the septum, exhaling through the mouth, proper head positioning, and wiping the nozzle post-use.

The participants' median score across all marks was 6. However, the five crucial steps only had a median score of 1. Twelve points were awarded for INCS counselling, and five points were awarded for the five critical steps. Just 6% of participants were able to accurately complete all five critical steps. More than half of the registered pharmacists were found to be inadequately knowledgeable on INCS patient counselling. Only 46.3% of respondents knew enough about INCS counselling, as seen in Figure 1.

Table 3: Performance of each administration step by pharmacists (N=365).

S. N	Steps for the administration of the INCS spray	Frequency	Percentage
1.	Shake the spray in a vertical plane	309	84.7
2.	Remove the dust cap	365	100

3.	Blow the nose*	39	10.7
4.	Hold the spray bottle, pointing the tip of the nozzle up with the hand	293	80.3
5.	Replace the index and middle finger on the pusher and the thumb at the bottom of a spray bottle	220	60.3
6.	Put the tip of the nozzle into the nostril and close the other side	146	40
7.	Neutral head or slightly tilt the head forward*	122	33.4
8.	Point the tip slightly outwards, away from the septum*	36	9.9
9.	Squirt the spray in the nose while breathing in*	287	78.6
10.	Breathe out through the mouth*	43	11.8
11.	Wipe the nozzle with a tissue or handkerchief	123	33.7
12.	Replace the cap	359	98.4

Fig 1: Proficiency level of registered pharmacists regarding the proper administration technique of INCS sprays



Factors associated with proper administration technique

Several professional and sociodemographic factors were shown to be substantially correlated with the degree of administration technique proficiency by the univariate binary logistic regression analysis (Table 4). Training and marital status did not show statistically significant relationships, while sex, age, qualification, years of experience, frequency of patient counselling weekly, and the utilization of information material were found to be significant predictors.

The likelihood of men pharmacists exhibiting proper technique was about four times higher than that of female pharmacists. The probabilities of using an appropriate INCS counselling technique were 78% higher for individuals who were older than 27. Proficiency was substantially predicted by having used educational materials. Pharmacists who used educational materials had a 99.3% higher chance of becoming proficient than those who did not. Pharmacists with a BPharm degree or higher were also around 99.98% more likely to counsel appropriately than those with a DPharm degree.

Years of experience, however, had also been crucial to achieving sufficient proficiency. Participants with less than five years of experience were found to have a 48.6% reduced chance of correctly counselling patients regarding INCS. According to this study, individuals who advise patients on INCS one to four times per week have roughly twice the likelihood of achieving appropriate proficiency as opposed to those who counsel more than four times.

Table 4: Binary logistic regression analysis of proficiency level of administration technique and different sociodemographic and professional details variables

Variable	OR	CI	P-value
Sex			

Male	4.04	2.508 – 6.508	**.000**
Female	**Ref**		
Age			
less than or equal to 26 years	.221	.141 - .346	**.000**
More than 26 years	**Ref**		
Marital Status			
Unmarried	.743	.480 – 1.151	.183
Married	**Ref**		
Training			
No	-	-	.998
Yes	**Ref**		
Use of Information Material			
No	.007	.001 – .050	**.000**
Yes	**Ref**		
Qualification			
DPharm	0.01	.003 – .031	**.000**
BPharm and above	**Ref**		
years of experience			
1-4 years	.514	0.321 – .822	**.006**
5 years and above	**Ref**		
INCS counseling (weekly)			
Occasionally	.679	.359 – 1.285	.234
1- 4 times	1.889	1.065 – 3.349	**.029**
Above 4 times	**Ref**		

Fig 2: Classification Tree model of predictors for proficient INCS counselling among pharmacists.



The classification tree (CHAID method), as shown in Figure 2, was developed to identify key predictors of pharmacist proficiency in INCS counselling. The final pruned classification included five levels with nine terminal nodes, achieving an overall classification accuracy of 81.6%.

The first and the most significant split was based on the educational qualification. The pharmacist with a DPharm degree had less proficiency (Fail: 74.5%). However, pharmacists with a BPharm degree or higher had a high proficiency rate (Pass: 97.3%). Among the Dpharm group, age was another significant predictor. Those aged less than 26 years had a pass rate of 12%, whereas the older peers had a pass rate of 50. Similarly, among the Bpharm group, another major factor was gender. Male pharmacists were found to be 100% proficient in INCS counseling, whereas females were found to be only 80% proficient.

Finally, for older Dpharm degree holders (≥ 26 years old) frequency of INCS counselling was another predictor. Those older participants who counseled occasionally or more than four times a week had significantly low proficiency (Fail: 97.7%) compared to those who counseled 1 to 4 times weekly (Fail: 76.9%).

Discussion

This study aims to understand the patient counseling skills of registered pharmacists concerning INCS and to identify the factors that influence these skills. This research is one of a kind, conducted in Nepal. The survey revealed a significant gap in the participants' understanding of INCS counseling. Approximately 50% of the pharmacists lacked adequate INCS counseling abilities. According to this study, only 6% of pharmacists were able to complete all the essential patient counseling steps, which are crucial for appropriate drug disposal and minimizing the risk of adverse drug reactions. Classification tree analysis showed that educational degree was the primary predictor for the INCS counselling proficiency. BPharm degree or higher degree holders were far more proficient than DPharm degree holders.

The survey's conclusions about the inadequate INCS administration abilities of Nepali registered pharmacists are in line with the findings of patients and medical professionals worldwide [2,14,26]. And only 6% of pharmacists performed all recommended steps correctly, which was also similar to the study of healthcare workers in Thailand [14]. However, even in a developed country like the Netherlands, it is found that only about 36% of the healthcare workers were able to complete all the critical steps [26]. These observations suggest that there is a major gap in skill related to INCS counseling in across the nations, rather than just a local issue. The educational system must be improved to include simulation-based training and mandatory hands-on workshops that allow students and professionals to practice essential steps repeatedly and understand their rationale.

The high proportion of pharmacists demonstrating steps 1,2,4, and 12 correctly (>80%) likely reflects common-sense knowledge (shake, remove dust cap, hold the bottle, replace the cap) that is often taught in basic therapy discussions. However, steps like bending the head forward or cleaning the nozzle were rarely done correctly (<40%). This may cause improper drug disposition, irritation in the throat, and increased risk of contamination [27]. Similarly, only about 10% of participants were counselled about the nozzle being away from the nasal septum, which reduces the risk of nasal irritation, dryness, and epistaxis, and improves drug absorption from the lateral nasal wall [12,27]. In addition, the steps necessary to remove mucus or debris or obstruction from the nose and reduce throat irritation, i.e, blowing the nose before use and exhaling through the mouth, were only performed by about 10% of participants [12]. Patients who are not taught to clean the spray tip may experience clogging or contamination.

These differences align with prior studies indicating that procedural complexity and lack of Continuing Medical Education (CME) or training contribute to inconsistent adherence to medical device protocols [28]. The current study highlights that even pharmacists, who are trained professionals, often lack full mastery of device use and suggests there is a need to improve the curriculum of Pharmacy and improve the landscape of CME in Nepal.

One of the important differences was qualification. BPharm graduates were about 99% more likely to demonstrate correct technique than DPharm graduates. The latter finding reflects the differences in Nepal's educational system. The 3-year Dpharm program in Nepal has traditionally emphasized dispensing skills, whereas the Bpharm and PharmD curricula include more clinical training.

Shrestha et al. found that Nepal's conventional pharmacy education is mostly lecture-based and industrially oriented, with limited practical training in hospitals [29]. Bhuvan and Subish also documented the challenges in transitioning to PharmD in Nepal with a focus on patient care and pharmaceutical care [30]. This highlights a need for a gradual change in current policy. Medical devices training should be included in the Dpharm degree, and seminars or workshops should be carried out involving Dpharm students and graduates. Pharmacy regulators in Nepal, like the Nepal Pharmacy Council (NPC) or the Department of Drug Administration (DDA), may consider upgrading community pharmacists' credentials or introducing minimum competency assessments for patient counseling.

Educational aids were also very important in the improvement of INCS counselling. In this study, it was found that pharmacists who used educational materials were much more proficient. This is similar to findings of other studies where pharmacist-led interventions with practical demonstrations and the use of leaflets dramatically improved patient technique in [25,31]. These educational leaflets significantly reduce the cognitive load of pharmacists and ensure the completeness of all steps. These aids also engage patients through teach-back, reinforce learning beyond completeness and boost the pharmacist's confidence and professionalism.

In our study, both the increasing age (> 26 years old) and greater years of experience (≥ 5 years) were significantly associated with improved INCS counselling proficiency. The study conducted in Korea also found that with increased years of experience, the proficiency in patient counselling regarding topical corticosteroids significantly improved [32]. Thus, suggesting increased clinical exposure, mature communication skills and more frequent patient interaction may contribute to their better proficiency.

Interestingly, participants counselling INCS 1 to 4 times weekly have a higher proficiency of almost two times compared with that of participants counselling more than four times. This inverted-U relationship likely reflects that a moderate counselling volume provides sufficient repetition to hone skills, while excessive patient load and task interruptions reduce time for careful demonstration and feedback. The prior studies and literature review also advocate that a high workload significantly reduces the quality of pharmacy services [33,34].

The analysis of this survey revealed that males have four times higher odds of proficiency than females regarding INCS counselling among the Bpharm graduates. It reflects that there is a difference in the opportunity for workshops and CME or cultural bias. There is a need for the investigation to analyze the barriers associated with the female Bpharm graduates during training or a hands-on workshop.

In our study, most pharmacists (90%) lacked specific training. This recommends that continuing professional development (CPD) for pharmacists in Nepal is sorely needed. According to a recent analysis of CPD in Nepal, continuing pharmacy education (CPE) is still in its infancy; therefore, working pharmacists are not informed of the latest treatments or best practices [35]. Establishing regular INCS technique workshops or integrating device training into the curriculum could narrow the gap. Given pharmacists' accessibility in rural and urban Nepal [36,37]. Such measures could rapidly propagate correct practice.

The poor skills in pharmacists' INCS technique are concerning but can be resolved. Targeted training in Nepal could help pharmacists improve their skills quickly. Emphasizing AR and device technique in undergraduate pharmacy programs and requiring competency demonstration during examinations could have a lasting impact. In addition, public health campaigns might encourage patients to ask pharmacists for a demonstration of INCS counselling. In the long term, strengthening pharmacy education and integrating pharmacists into asthma/allergy care pathways will benefit Nepal's healthcare system by improving primary-level management of chronic respiratory diseases.

Limitations

This study was conducted in urban Kathmandu, so it may not generalize to rural areas, where pharmacies are fewer and mainly operated by trained dispensers. This study is a cross-sectional design, so it cannot prove causality. Some of the findings may be extreme due to small subgroups or model overfitting. Finally, due to the presence of an interviewer might have influenced the

performance, i.e, the Hawthorne effect, possibly inflating technique scores. However, due to the low proficiency observed among the participants, any such effect was limited.

Conclusion

This study highlights that more than half of the participants don't have adequate skills to demonstrate a proper intranasal corticosteroid spray usage technique. It is mainly caused due to the poor exposure to this topic in their curriculum during their diploma or graduation classes. In addition, there is very limited training and seminars during their college days or after registration as a pharmacist. Resolving this problem should be one of the important tasks for the Nepal Pharmacy Council and the Health Ministry, as allergic rhinitis is very common in Nepal. Upgrading pharmacy curricula, mandating continuing education, and providing standardized counseling materials may empower pharmacists to counsel correct technique.

Acknowledgement: I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my professors, teachers and academic staff of Tribhuvan University for their immense support and guidance. I am also thankful to the pharmacist of Tribhuvan University Teaching Hospital and my beloved friends.

Data Availability Statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declaration of conflicting interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest between them could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper or the publication of the paper.

Funding Statement: The research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Generative AI use Statement: During the preparation of the manuscript, authors used language assistance tools such as Grammarly and QuillBot to improve grammar, clarity and sentence structure. No generative AI tools were used for content creation, data analysis, or interpretation. The final content reflects the authors own work, ideas and conclusions.

References

[1] García-Almaraz R, Reyes-Noriega N, Del-Río-Navarro BE, Berber A, Navarrete-Rodríguez EM, Ellwood P, et al. Prevalence and risk factors associated with allergic rhinitis in Mexican school children: Global Asthma Network Phase I. World Allergy Organization Journal. 2021 Jan 1;14(1). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.waojou.2020.100492

[2] Akhouri S, House SA, Doerr C. Allergic rhinitis (nursing). InStatPearls [Internet] 2023 Jul 16. StatPearls Publishing. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/books/NBK568690/

[3] Nepali R, Sigdel B, Dubey T, KC N, Gurung B, Baniya P. Common allergens in patients of allergic rhinitis in Gandaki province of Nepal. Journal of Gandaki Medical College-Nepal. 2022 Jul 25;15(1):32–6. https://doi.org/10.3126/jgmcn.v15i1.42141

[4] Moitra S, Mahesh PA, Moitra S. Allergic rhinitis in India. Clinical & Experimental Allergy. 2023 Jul;53(7):765–76. https://doi.org/10.1111/cea.14295

[5] Sinha B, Singla R, Chowdhury R. Allergic Rhinitis: A neglected disease-A community based assessment among adults in Delhi. Journal of postgraduate medicine. 2015 Jul 1;61(3):169-75. https://doi.org/10.4103/0022-3859.159418

[6] Bauchau V, Durham SR. Prevalence and rate of diagnosis of allergic rhinitis in Europe. European Respiratory Journal. 2004 Nov 1;24(5):758-64. https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.04.00013904

[7] Allergic Rhinitis: Practice Essentials, Background, Pathophysiology. https://emedicine.medscape.com/article/134825-overview#a6 (accessed 2025 Jun 1).

[8] Klimek L, Bachert C, Pfaar O, Becker S, Bieber T, Brehler R, Buhl R, Casper I, Chaker A, Czech W, Fischer J. ARIA guideline 2019: treatment of allergic rhinitis in the German health system. Allergo journal international. 2019 Nov;28:255-76. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40629-019-00110-9

[9] Neffen H, Wingertzahn MA. Ciclesonide, a hypotonic intranasal corticosteroid. InAllergy and asthma proceedings 2010 May 1 (Vol. 31, No. 3, p. 29). OceanSide Publications. https://doi.org/10.2500/aap.2010.31.3348

[10] Ahsanuddin S, Povolotskiy R, Tayyab R, Nasser W, Barinsky GL, Grube JG, Paskhover B. Adverse events associated with intranasal sprays: an analysis of the Food and Drug Administration database and literature review. Annals of Otology, Rhinology & Laryngology. 2021 Nov;130(11):1292-301. https://doi.org/10.1177/00034894211007222

[11] Ganesh V, Banigo A, McMurran AE, Shakeel M, Ram B. Does intranasal steroid spray technique affect side effects and compliance? Results of a patient survey. The Journal of Laryngology & Otology. 2017 Nov;131(11):991-6. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022215117002080

[12] Rattanawong S, Wongwattana P, Kantukiti S. Evaluation of the techniques and steps of intranasal corticosteroid sprays administration. Asia Pacific Allergy. 2022 Jan 1;12(1):e7. https://doi.org/10.5415/apallergy.2022.12.e7

[13] Benninger MS, Hadley JA, Osguthorpe JD, Marple BF, Leopold DA, Derebery M J, Hannley M. Techniques of intranasal steroid use. Otolaryngology--Head and Neck Surgery. 2004 Jan;130(1):5-24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otohns.2003.10.007

[14] Rollema C, van Roon EN, de Vries TW. Inadequate quality of administration of intranasal corticosteroid sprays. Journal of asthma and allergy. 2019 Apr 3:91-4. https://doi.org/10.2147/JAA.S189523

[15] Gurung U, Khadgi S. Intranasal Corticosteroid Spray Usage in Patients with Allergic Rhinitis: Correctness in Technique and Compliance. Journal of Institute of Medicine Nepal. 2024 Apr 30;46(1):1–6. https://doi.org/10.59779/jiomnepal.1308

[16] Al-Taie A. A Systematic Review for Improper Application of Nasal Spray in Allergic Rhinitis: A Proposed Role of Community Pharmacist for Patient Education and Counseling in Practical Setting. Asia Pacific Allergy. 2025:10-5415. https://doi.org/10.5415/apallergy.0000000000000173

[17] STROBE Statement: Home. https://www.strobe-statement.org (accessed 2024 May 30).

[18] Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. The lancet. 2007 Oct 20;370(9596):1453-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61602-X

[19] Department of Drug Administration. https://dams.dda.gov.np/manLogin/searchPharmacy (accessed 2025 May 4)

[20] Sample Size Calculator by Raosoft, Inc. http://www.raosoft.com (accessed 2024 Nov 10)

[21] Nepal Pharmacy Council | index. https://nepalpharmacycouncil.org.np/ (accessed 2025 May 3)

[22] Rollema C, van Roon EN, van Boven JF, Hagedoorn P, Klemmeier T, Kocks JH, Metting EI, Oude Elberink HN, Peters TT, San Giorgi MR, de Vries TW. Pharmacology, particle deposition and drug administration techniques of intranasal corticosteroids for treating allergic rhinitis. Clinical & Experimental Allergy. 2022 Nov;52(11):1247-63. https://doi.org/10.1111/cea.14212

[23] How and when to use mometasone nasal spray - NHS. https://www.nhs.uk/medicines/mometasone-nasal-spray/how-and-when-to-use-mometasone-nasal-spray/ (accessed 2025 May 5).

[24] Rollema C, van Roon EM, Schilder AG, de Vries TW. Evaluation of instructions in patient information leaflets for the use of intranasal corticosteroid sprays: an observational study. BMJ open. 2019 Jan 1;9(1):e026710. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-026710

[25] Kc B, Khan GM, Shrestha N. Nasal spray use technique among patients attending the out-patient department of a tertiary Care Hospital, Gandaki Province, Nepal. Integrated Pharmacy Research and Practice. 2020 Sep 22:155-60. https://doi.org/10.2147/IPRP.S266191

[26] de Boer M, Rollema C, van Roon E, de Vries T. Observational study of administering intranasal steroid sprays by healthcare workers. BMJ open. 2020 Aug 1;10(8):e037660. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-037660

[27] Intranasal Spray Technique - National Asthma Council Australia. https://www.nationalasthma.org.au/living-with-asthma/resources/health-professionals/information-paper/intranasal-spray-technique (accessed 2025 May 8).

[28] Bosnic-Anticevich SZ, Sinha H, So S, Reddel HK. Metered-dose inhaler technique: the effect of two educational interventions delivered in community pharmacy over time. Journal of Asthma. 2010 Apr 1;47(3):251-6. https://doi.org/10.3109/02770900903580843

[29] Shrestha S, Shakya D, Palaian S. Clinical pharmacy education and practice in Nepal: a glimpse into present challenges and potential solutions. Advances in Medical Education and Practice. 2020 Aug 14:541-8. https://doi.org/10.2147/AMEP.S257351

[30] Bhuvan KC, Subish P, Izham MM. PharmD education in Nepal: the challenges ahead. American journal of pharmaceutical education. 2011 Mar 10;75(2). https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe75238c

[31] Chew CC, Lim XJ, Letchumanan P, George D, Rajan P, Chong CP. The effectiveness of pharmacist-led educational model in adult patients with allergic rhinitis: a single-center randomized control trial protocol (AR-PRISE RCT). Trials. 2024 Apr 25;25(1):279. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-024-08111-y

[32] Kang MJ, Park JH, Park S, Kim NG, Kim EY, Yu YM, Kim DY, Lee JY, Shin WG, Choi SA. Community pharmacists' knowledge, perceptions, and practices about topical corticosteroid counseling: A real-world cross-sectional survey and focus group discussions in Korea. PLoS One. 2020 Jul 29;15(7):e0236797. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236797

[33] Shao SC, Chan YY, Lin SJ, Li CY, Kao Yang YH, Chen YH, Chen HY, Lai EC. Workload of pharmacists and the performance of pharmacy services. PLoS One. 2020 Apr 21;15(4):e0231482. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231482

[34] Lea VM, Corlett SA, Rodgers RM. Workload and its impact on community pharmacists' job satisfaction and stress: a review of the literature. International Journal of Pharmacy Practice. 2012 Aug;20(4):259-71. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2042-7174.2012.00192.x

[35] Khatiwada AP, Shrestha S, Sapkota B, Shakya S, Shrestha R, Roien R, Ozaki A, Mohamed Ibrahim MI. Continuing pharmacy education: exploring the Status and future prospects in Nepal. Advances in Medical Education and Practice. 2022 Apr 28:419-25. https://doi.org/10.2147/AMEP.S353455

[36] Lourenço O, Bosnic-Anticevich S, Costa E, Fonseca JA, Menditto E, Cvetkovski B, Kritikos V, Tan R, Bedbrook A, Scheire S, Bachert C. Managing allergic rhinitis in the pharmacy: an ARIA guide for implementation in practice. Pharmacy. 2020 May 16;8(2):85. https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmacy8020085

[37] Ikhile I, Anderson C, McGrath S, Bridges S. Is the global pharmacy workforce issue all about numbers?. American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education. 2018 Aug;82(6):6818. https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe6818