Original Article

Title: Positive effects of functional electrical stimulation-assisted cycling on perception of effort, cerebral blood flow and cognition in post-stroke patients

Short Title: Functional electrical stimulation-assisted cycling on stroke patients

Author Names: Maël Descollonges (PhD)[1,2,3#], Julie Di Marco (MD)[2,3#], Ehsan Jafari (PhD)[2,4], Pierre-Henri Pouillart (MSc)[3], Julien V. Brugniaux (PhD)[5], Benjamin Pageaux (PhD)[6,7,8] and Gaëlle Deley (PhD)[1]

Affiliations:

[1] INSERM UMR 1093 – Laboratoire CAPS, Université de Bourgogne, UFR des Sciences du Sport, Dijon, France

[2] Kurage, Lyon, France

[3] SMR Val Rosay, Saint-Didier au Mont d'Or, France

[4] Université de Lyon, ENS de Lyon, CNRS, Laboratoire de Physique, F-69342 Lyon, France

[5] HP2 laboratory, Univ. Grenoble Alpes, INSERM, CHU Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France

[6] Centre de recherche de l'Institut universitaire de gériatrie de Montréal (CRIUGM), Canada

[7] École de kinésiologie et des sciences de l'activité physique (EKSAP), Faculté de médecine, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Canada

[8] Centre Interdisciplinaire de Recherche sur le Cerveau et l'Apprentissage (CIRCA), Montréal, QC, Canada

[#] First co-authors.

Correspondence address: Maël Descollonges, 64b rue de sully, 21000 – Dijon, Inserm CAPS u1093 +33 6 79 64 57 39, descollonges.mael@gmail.com

Submission Summary

[Abstract word count: **172**/200]

[Lay Abstract word count: **129**/150]

[Introduction to conclusion word count: **3583**]

[Manuscript word count: **5474**]

[Number of Tables: **1**]

[Number of Figures: **4**]

[Number of References: **31**]

[Number of Supplementary Materials Submitted: **1 – 1** table, **3** figures]

Abstract

Objective: To test the effect of functional electrical stimulation-assisted cycling on stroke patients' perception of effort (primary aim), cerebral blood flow, and cognitive performance (exploratory aims).

Design: Randomized controlled study.

Subjects/Patients: Fifteen post-stroke patients completed functional electrical stimulation-assisted and traditional cycling sessions separated by 72h.

Methods: Perceived effort, cardio, and cerebrovascular parameters were monitored during exercise. Cognitive performance was assessed before and after each session. Qualitative data were reported after both sessions.

Results: Patients reported a lower perceived effort during functional electrical stimulation-assisted cycling than traditional cycling. Both sessions increased heart rate, end-tidal CO_2, cardiac output, and cerebral artery blood flow velocity, with higher blood lactate levels after functional electrical stimulation-assisted cycling. Functional electrical stimulation-assisted cycling positively impacted cognitive performance.

Conclusion: Traditional and functional electrical stimulation-assisted cycling induced similar increased cardio and cerebrovascular responses. However, patients perceived functional electrical stimulation-assisted cycling as less effortful than traditional cycling. As effort is a barrier to regular exercise engagement and adherence, these results are promising for implementing functional electrical stimulation-assisted cycling in stroke patients' rehabilitation.

Key words: Cerebral blood flow, cognition, functional electrical stimulation, rating of perceived exertion, stroke

Lay Abstract

This study explored whether cycling assisted by functional electrical stimulation (FES) could make exercise easier for people who have had a stroke, while also providing benefits to the brain. Fifteen stroke survivors completed two cycling sessions, one with FES and one without, spaced 72 hours apart. We measured perceived effort, cardiovascular and cerebral responses during exercise, as well as cognitive performance before and after each session. Patients reported that FES-assisted cycling felt less difficult and less tiring than traditional cycling, even though both sessions triggered similar heart and brain blood flow responses. Cognitive performance also improved more after the FES-assisted session. Since perceived effort is often a major barrier to rehabilitation after stroke, these findings suggest that FES-assisted cycling could promote better engagement in physical activity and support recovery.

Introduction

Stroke is a major cause of long-term disability affecting walking, postural control, muscle strength, cerebrovascular and cardiovascular systems, and cognition. To counteract cardiorespiratory system deconditioning and experience the positive effect of physical exercise on cognition (1), stroke patients must engage in regular aerobic exercise during their rehabilitation. Due to hemiparesis and fatigue leading to increased effort perception (2), and the proposed key role of effort and its perception in the engagement in physical and cognitive activities (3), post-stroke individuals experience difficulty engaging in and performing physical exercise. Therefore, developing and implementing methods of rehabilitation that minimize the perception of effort experienced during physical exercise is crucial.

To this aim, functional electrical stimulation (FES)-assisted cycling is a promising method. Clinical evidence shows that FES-assisted cycling activates paralyzed muscles (4) and improves aerobic capacity and cardiopulmonary function (5). By evoking muscle contraction

superimposed on top of the impaired voluntary contractions, FES is known to assist in movement execution (4). As the evoked contraction induced by FES pre-activates the muscles, the individual engaged in FES-assisted cycling must voluntarily produce a lower muscle force to reach the targeted exercise intensity. As effort perception is proposed to be generated by signals related to the magnitude of the motor command (6,7) and the lower muscle force to be voluntarily produced during FES should be associated with a lower motor command compared to traditional cycling, using FES-assisted cycling is a promising tool to decrease the level of perceived effort experienced during rehabilitation sessions. To date, it has been reported that FES application was associated with a reduced perception of effort in stroke or multiple sclerosis patients during walking tasks (8,9). However, the effectiveness in reducing perceived effort during cycling in stroke patients remains to be validated. As cycling is a key aerobic exercise in rehabilitation, there is an urgent need for such a study.

In this context, this study aimed to compare the perceived effort during an FES-assisted cycling session, compared to a traditional cycling session, in stroke patients. We hypothesized that stroke patients would perceive a lower effort during FES-assisted cycling compared to traditional cycling, performed at the same workload. In addition, considering recent research suggesting a positive effect of electrical muscle stimulation on cerebral blood flow velocity (CBFv, (10,11)) and potentially cognitive performance, we also explored, in a subsample of patients, changes in CBFv to the FES- and traditional cycling exercises, and cognitive performance pre-post exercises.

Methods

Fifteen post-stroke patients volunteered in this experiment (8♂; 7♀; age: 53 ± 13 years, height: 167 ± 0 cm, mass: 69 ± 10 kg, body mass index: 25 ± 3, 148 ± 108 days after stroke). Patients' characteristics stratified by sex are available in Table 1.

Table 1: Patient's characteristics. I: Ischemic; H: Hemorrhagic.

Females							
Patients	**Range of age**	**Size** (cm)	**Weight** (kg)	**BMI**	**Stroke type**	**Days after stroke**	**Hemiparetic side**
1	35-40	150	67	29.8	H	189	Left
2	35-40	169	69	24.2	I	40	Right
3	75-80	170	64	22.1	I	90	Right
4	50-55	155	63	26.1	I	90	Right
5	35-40	160	79	30.9	I	80	Right
6	35-40	170	74	25.6	H	237	Right
7	55-60	158	48	19.2	I	385	Left
8	65-70	163	68	25.5	I	213	Left
Mean	**51**	**160**	**66**	**25**	**-**	**166**	**-**

Males							
9	50-60	175	67	21.9	I	330	Left
10	70-75	165	66	24.2	I	38	Left
11	60-65	175	78	25.5	I	90	Left
12	35-40	162	74	28.1	H	97	Left
13	55-60	167	66	23.7	I	104	Right
14	45-50	186	91	26,4	H	207	Left
15	55-60	180	60	20.1	I	33	Right
Mean	**55**	**173**	**72**	**24**	**-**	**128**	**-**

Each patient provided written informed consent describing the procedure and potential risks of the study. The sample size was based on patients' availability at the time of the experiment and an expected large effect size of FES-assisted cycling on the perception of effort. Inclusion criteria were diagnosis of an ischemic (n=11) or hemorrhagic (n=4) stroke resulting in hemiparesis; adequate cognitive abilities to engage in rehabilitation activities; able to sit up to 30 minutes; joint mobility ranges that would not preclude pedaling. The study was supported by UGECAM Rhône-Alpes and received ethical approval from the Comité de Protection des Personnes Sud-Est II (French Institutional Review Board). The study was approved under the clinical trial registration number NCT06230796.

Patients visited the hospital on six occasions. In visit 1, participants performed an incremental test to determine their peak power output (PPO). The incremental test started at 25 watts, with the power output increasing by 10 watts every minute until exhaustion. Exhaustion was defined as voluntary disengagement from the task or an inability to maintain a cadence above 50 revolutions/minute (rpm) for more than 3 seconds, despite verbal encouragement. In visits 2-3-4, patients were extensively familiarized with FES, the cognitive tasks, and the psychophysical scale used to report their perceived effort. Each familiarization visit was separated by 48 hours. In visits 5-6, participants performed two testing sessions in a randomized order: FES-assisted cycling and traditional cycling. Testing sessions were separated by 72 hours to control for the presence of muscle fatigue and soreness in the second testing session (visit 6).

During FES-assisted cycling, electrical stimulation was applied to the quadriceps, hamstrings, and gluteus muscles of the hemiparesis side during cycling using a stimulator (Motimove, 3F-Fabricando, Belgrade, Serbia) and an electrical stimulation-assisted cycle ergometer (Kurage, HephaBike, Lyon, France) (Figure 1). The paretic leg was secured in alignment using an orthotic pedal, ensuring stabilization of the foot and leg during the exercise (Figure 1). A

computerized program linked to an encoder fixed in the pedal axis was employed to stimulate the muscles in the movement's proper phase. The appropriate phase corresponds to the knee extension and knee flexion (pedaling movement). Quadriceps and gluteus muscles were stimulated during extension, and hamstrings during flexion. The stimulation frequency was fixed at 40 Hz, with a pulse width of 400 μs, and the stimulation intensity (mA) was constantly adjusted to the individual maximum tolerable level throughout the session. The maximum tolerable intensity of stimulation was determined by gradually increasing the current intensity until an effective contraction was achieved and further increased to elicit the maximal pain intensity tolerated by the participant. Each session began with a 5-minute warm-up consisting of a progressive increase in stimulation intensity and cycling resistance to reach 50% PPO. Afterwards, the resistance was fixed at 50% PPO for 20 minutes, and

patients had to maintain a cadence of 50 ± 3 rpm.

Figure 1: Experimental set-up. Electrical stimulation was applied to the paretic leg, on the quadriceps, hamstrings, and gluteus muscles.

Measurements and data analysis

Perception of effort

The perception of effort is defined as “the conscious sensation of how hard, heavy, and strenuous the physical task is” (3,12). Participants reported the intensity of their perceived effort to cycle via the following question: ‘‘How hard is it for you to drive your legs?”. The intensity of the perceived effort was reported every 5 minutes during the cycling exercise, using the validated CR100 scale (13). The scale was presented to the patient on a laminated sheet, allowing them to report the intensity of their perceived effort in real time. This scale ranges from 0 for "nothing at all" to 100 for "maximal". The scale contains verbal anchors such as light (13), moderate (23), strong (50), or very strong (70). The maximal intensity (100) was anchored based on previous experience and defined as “the maximal effort experienced in your life, for most people it corresponds to the most strenuous exercise previously experienced.” Patients were familiarized with the scale during the incremental test and the familiarization sessions (visits 2-3-4).

Cardio- and cerebrovascular parameters

Heart rate (HR) was recorded continuously using a heart rate monitor (Forerunner 630, Garmin, USA), mean arterial blood pressure (MAP = Diastolic Pressure + 1/3 (Systolic Pressure – Diastolic Pressure) and cardiac output (CO) were monitored using finger photoplethysmography attached to the non-dominant hand (Finometer PRO; Finapres Medical Systems, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). The blood flow velocity in the right middle cerebral artery (MCAv) was continuously monitored using a 2 MHz pulsed Doppler ultrasound system (TCD, Multi-Dop X4, DWL Elektroniche System, Singen, Germany). Nine patients

volunteered for cerebral blood flow velocity monitoring. Each session began with confirmation of artery insonation, relying on expected signal depth, velocity, visual signal along, and carotid compression for validation. The Doppler probe was placed on the right transtemporal window and secured with a DiaMon headband (DWL) throughout all experimental sessions. The placement of the probe and the depth of the artery were carefully recorded to be the same for each condition.

Beat-to-beat data were continuously sampled at 1 kHz using an analog-to-digital converter (PowerLab/16SP ML795; AD Instruments, Oxford, UK) and stored for offline analysis on a computer (Chart version 7.2.2, AD Instruments, Oxford, UK). Cardio and cerebrovascular data were averaged for the last 30 seconds of each 5-minute interval period (before, during, and after the exercise) as done in a previous study (11).

Cognitive tasks

Cognitive tasks were conducted on a table and in a seated position, both before (PRE) and immediately after (POST) each experimental condition. The selected cognitive tasks included a Stroop Task and the Trail Making Tests (TMT-A and TMT-B), as performed in previous studies (11,14). Firstly, participants completed a three-phase Stroop task. These three phases included three versions of the Stroop tasks, referred to as the congruent, neutral, and incongruent conditions, respectively (11,14). Each task contained 100 items (words or crosses). On the first page, the words "blue," "red," "yellow," and "green" were printed in black ink (congruent task – reading task). On the second page, "XXXX" was printed in blue, red, yellow, and green colors (neutral task). The last page displayed a color name written in a different font color from the printed word, and the participant had to name the ink color (incongruent task). Participants were instructed to read the words from left to right, aloud, as quickly and accurately as possible for 45 seconds. The number of words and errors was counted by the experimenter and recorded. Finally, these Stroop tasks were displayed on a 42-

inch screen set to maximum brightness, with a standard distance of 150 cm between participants and the screen.

Lastly, the Trail Making Test (TMT) was performed. This test is separated into two parts. The Trail Making Test-A (TMT-A) consists of rapidly connecting a sequence of randomly placed numbers in ascending order from 1 to 25. The Trail Making Test-B (TMT-B) requires participants to alternately connect numbers and letters in sequence (e.g., 1 A, 2 B, 3 C, ... 13). TMT performance was defined as the time (seconds) taken to complete the task. The test was performed with the patient's unaffected hand due to spasticity in the affected hand.

Blood lactate

Given that blood lactate has been proposed as a potential key factor mediating the cognitive benefits of physical exercise (15,16), blood lactate concentration was measured. Blood lactate was collected using an automated analyzer (Lactate Pro, Scout 4), with a 5-µl blood sample collected from the tip of the non-paretic index finger (due to spasticity in the affected hand), at the beginning and 2 minutes after the end of exercise to assess peak concentration within an optimal window (17).

Patients' experience with FES-assisted cycling – Qualitative data

Following both testing sessions, the researcher informed each patient that if they want, they are free to report to their experience with FES-assisted cycling and traditional cycling. No predefined questions or interview guide were used. With the patients' explicit consent, their comments were manually and anonymously transcribed on paper, verbatim.

Statistical analysis

Mean (SD). Statistical significance was determined at $\alpha < 0.05$. The Shapiro–Wilk test confirmed data normality. Two-way repeated-measures ANOVAs (condition × time) were used. Post-hoc tests were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni correction. Effect sizes are reported with the partial eta square (partial η2), with values of 0.01, 0.06, and above 0.14 denoting small, medium, and large differences, respectively.

Results

The average peak power output was 72 ± 36 watts, and the cycling exercises were performed at 36 ± 18 watts.

Changes in stimulation intensity during FES-assisted cycling, for each muscle, are available in the supplemental materials (Figure S1).

The perceived effort to cycle increased over time (time: $P < 0.001$; $\eta_p^2 = 0.497$) and was lower for FES-assisted cycling compared to traditional cycling (condition: $P < 0.001$; $\eta_p^2 = 0.800$) (Figure 2).



Figure 2: Perceived effort (A) and associated individual data (B) in response to FES-assisted (black) and traditional (white) cycling. Values are mean (SD). *n*, sample size for the analysis.

MCAv data is available for nine patients, as only these individuals volunteered for cerebral blood flow velocity monitoring. MCAv increases during both sessions (time: $P = 0.026$; $\eta_p^2 = 0.407$), with no difference between conditions (condition: $P = 0.129$; $\eta_p^2 = 0.263$) (Figure 3).



Figure 3: Middle cerebral artery velocity [MCAv (A)] and MCAv associated individual data (B), in response to FES-assisted (black) and traditional (white) cycling. (C) MCAv at rest (triangle), during exercise (rectangle), and associated individual data (white squares). Values are mean (SD). *n*, sample size for the analysis.

Due to technical issues relative to cable disconnection, PETCO measurements were available for only 12 patients, and mean arterial pressure (MAP) and cardiac output (CO) for

7 patients. Blood lactate levels were available for 13 patients.

As shown in Figure 4, HR, mean arterial blood pressure (MAP), cardiac output (CO), $PETCO_2$, and blood lactate increased during exercise (Figure 3, all $P < 0.001$ and $\eta_p^2 \geq 0.327$). Blood lactate levels were higher in the FES-assisted cycling session compared to the traditional cycling session ($P = 0.018$; $\eta_p^2 = 0.384$). CO and MAP are presented in supplemental materials—Figure S2 and increased in both sessions (all $P \leq 0.001$ and $\eta_p^2 \geq 0.327$).



Figure 4: HR (A) and associated heart rate individual data (B), PETCO2 (C) and associated PETCO2 individual data (D), and blood lactate (E) in response to FES-assisted (black) and traditional (white) cycling. Data are presented as mean (SD). *n*, sample size for the analysis.

Due to fatigue or inability to complete the tasks, cognitive test data were available for 14 participants in the congruent Stroop condition, 13 in the neutral and incongruent conditions, and 12 for the TMT-B test. Details of the cognitive performance data is available in

supplemental materials. Briefly, the results suggest that FES-assisted cycling induced similar effects of traditional cycling, with a potential positive effect specific to the Neutral Stroop task.

Eleven patients freely reported their experience with FES-assisted cycling. The verbatim of the experience reported is available in the supplemental materials—Table S1. The most recurring theme among the responses was a perceived benefit of the FES-assisted session compared to traditional cycling. Most of the participants reported that the FES made pedaling easier by inducing additional force during cycling, which reduced fatigue, and by improving coordination and fluidity of movement on the affected limb.

Discussion

The increased perceived effort over the 20 minutes of FES-assisted and traditional cycling is caused by the progressive development of muscle fatigue, requiring an increased motor command to recruit additional motor units, leading to higher perceived effort levels (3,6,7). According to our hypothesis, when cycling at the same workload, the perceived effort was lower during FES-assisted compared to traditional cycling. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to observe in stroke patients the positive effect of FES-assisted cycling on the perception of effort. This result replicates previous observations in healthy individuals (18,19) and conceptually replicates previous observations of a lower perceived effort during a FES-walking exercise in multiple sclerosis (8) or stroke patients (9). It is well accepted that applying electrical stimulation to a muscle increases afferent feedback (20). Our results, combined with the studies observing a lower perception of effort during FES of the lower limb (i.e., cycling or walking), provide further evidence that the perception of effort scales with the magnitude of the motor command rather than afferent feedback (for review see (7)). However, the mechanisms underlying the FES-induced reduction in perceived effort remain

to be fully understood and warrant further investigation. Brain imaging techniques would be of interest to better elucidate the relationship between the motor command and perceived effort during physical exercise involving electrical stimulation.

In our study, the lower perceived effort is likely linked to the force evoked by FES, which helped the patients by requiring only a small additional voluntary engagement to cycle. This interpretation is further supported by the patients' experience qualitatively reported. Indeed, most of the patients reported perceiving clear assistance of the stimulation, helping the movement and facilitating the exercise. A limitation to this observation is that our study was not a-priori designed for a qualitative analysis. As our qualitative analysis to describe the patients experience with FES-assisted cycling was exploratory, we encourage future research to replicate our results by adopting a-priori a mixed-methods design. Doing so will allow a better interpretation on the relationship between quantitative measurement of effort and its perception, and the patients' perspectives and experience. Moreover, we were unable to directly assess the actual contribution of FES to muscle force production and to measure the force output produced by the stimulator relative to the ergometer resistance. Thus, we cannot precisely quantify the extent to which FES contributes to force generation at different intensities. In the future, using force sensors attached directly to the pedals would allow for a more accurate assessment of this parameter, providing a better understanding of the role of FES in the perception of effort, in force generation, and its effective contribution to the cycling motion.

As a high perceived effort is a barrier to engagement in physical exercise and a key determinant of adherence to rehabilitation programs (3,21), the observed low perceived effort is of crucial interest for supporting the development and implementation of FES-assisted cycling in stroke patients' rehabilitation. Future studies should now implement FES-assisted cycling during a rehabilitation program, and not only a single training session, to test the

possibility that the effects of FES-assisted cycling on stroke patients' rehabilitation could be superior to those induced by traditional cycling.

As cerebral blood flow has been proposed as one of the mechanisms underlying neuroplasticity (22), we also explored the CBF responses to FES-assisted cycling and its potential priming effect on cognitive performance in a subsample of voluntary patients. As previously observed during an isometric NMES session (11), our results suggest that FES-assisted cycling can increase CBF in the same proportions as cycling with lower perceived effort. These increases in blood velocity in the middle cerebral artery are not surprising, since similar results have been observed on pedaling tasks (23–26) and could be explained by the increases in cardiovascular parameters observed during exercise. As the literature has reported that the recruitment of a large muscle volume through electrical stimulation leads to a higher cardiorespiratory demand (5,27,28), we hypothesized that CBF would be higher in the FES condition. However, it was not the case in our study, and this could potentially be explained by the muscle volume stimulated in our FES condition. It is well established that the muscle mass engaged during physical exercise is a key factor influencing cerebral blood flow (29). Although a substantial muscle volume of paretic leg was stimulated in this study (quadriceps, hamstrings, and gluteal muscles), future research could explore the effects of stimulating an even greater number of muscles, including the entire lower limb (e.g., quadriceps, hamstrings, gluteus, tibialis anterior, etc.), rather than focusing solely on the paretic limb. Such an approach could enhance the effects of stimulation on CBF. However, further research is needed to optimize stimulation parameters and muscle selection, ensuring that potential adverse effects are avoided. One limitation of this study is that CBF was measured only on the right MCA, without distinguishing between the affected (ipsilateral) and unaffected (contralateral) sides. Thus, future research should consider measuring bilateral cerebral blood

flow at the middle cerebral artery level to better understand the differences between the affected and unaffected sides.

Our results also suggest that cycling, whether assisted by FES or not, has limited and inconsistent effects on cognitive performance in stroke patients. Although both types of cycling sessions led to some improvements in cognitive performance, these effects were not consistently observed across all cognitive tasks (e.g., the incongruent condition of the Stroop task). These partial effects—improvements seen only in specific tasks—are consistent with the existing literature reporting mixed findings (10). For instance, recent studies have shown that an acute NMES protocol (11,14) can enhance cognitive performance, but only under certain conditions of the Stroop task in healthy individuals. Conversely, other studies have found no improvement in cognitive performance, particularly in tasks such as the Go/No-Go, among healthy participants (30,31). To address this discrepancy in the literature, we encourage future research to focus on the effect of FES-assisted cycling on cognitive performance as primary outcome of interest and design the study a-priori for this purpose.

Moreover, as shown in the present study, where elevated blood lactate levels were observed following FES, recent literature has reported that NMES applied to the quadriceps increases blood lactate levels in both humans and rats (14). Notably, higher blood lactate levels were associated with improved Stroop Task performance in healthy humans and increased hippocampal BDNF expression in rats following a single NMES session (14). These findings support the hypothesis that lactate may act as a key exerkine mediating the cognitive benefits of electrical stimulation. However, the underlying mechanisms remain poorly understood and warrant further investigation.

Lastly, we would like to remind the reader that our sample size was determined by our primary aim, i.e., testing the difference in perceived effort between FES-assisted cycling and traditional cycling. Due to the likely smallest effect size of FES-assisted cycling on CBF and

cognitive performance, future studies should replicate our results with a specific a priori approach to CBF and cognitive performance and a higher sample size. Such studies could also unravel a potentially greater positive effect of FES-assisted cycling compared to traditional cycling, on CBF and cognitive performance.

To conclude, this study is the first to demonstrate a reduced perceived effort during FES-assisted cycling, compared to traditional cycling, in stroke patients. This study also suggests a potential positive effect of FES-assisted cycling on CBF, as observed in traditional cycling. Given that perceived effort is a significant barrier to engagement in and adherence to rehabilitation programs, this study highlights the strong potential of implementing FES-assisted cycling in stroke patients' rehabilitation programs.

Acknowledgements

We thank Jean-Serein Mbende for co-designing the illustration included in this article.

Conflict of interest declaration: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding/ financial support: Inserm CAPS u1093, SMR Val Rosay UGECAM Rhône-Alpes, Kurage

Contributors:

Conceptualization, M.D, J.DM, J.V.B & G.D; **methodology**, M.D, J.DM, J.V.B, B.P & G.D; **formal analysis**, M.D; **investigation**, M.D, J.DM & PH.P; **data curation**, M.D; **writing—original draft preparation**, M.D, B.P & G.D; **writing—review and editing**, M.D, J.DM, E.J, J.V.B, B.P & G.D; **supervision**, M.D, J.DM, J.V.B & G.D; **figure designing**, M.D. & B.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Declaration of Generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process: No generative AI or AI-assisted technologies were used in the writing process, according to the authors.

Ethical Clearance: The study was supported by UGECAM Rhône-Alpes and received ethical approval from the Comité de Protection des Personnes Sud-Est II (Institutional Review Board). The study was approved under the clinical trial registration number NCT06230796.

References

1. Cumming TB, Marshall RS, Lazar RM. Stroke, cognitive deficits, and rehabilitation: Still an incomplete picture. Int J Stroke. 2013;8(1):38–45.

2. Kuppuswamy A, Clark E V., Turner IF, Rothwell JC, Ward NS. Post-stroke fatigue: a deficit in corticomotor excitability? Brain. 2015;138(2014):136–48.

3. Pageaux B. Perception of effort in Exercise Science: Definition, measurement and perspectives. Eur J Sport Sci. 2016;16(8):885–94.

4. Ambrosini E, Ferrante S, Ferrigno G, Molteni F, Pedrocchi A. Cycling induced by electrical stimulation improves muscle activation and symmetry during pedaling in hemiparetic patients. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng. 2012;20(3):320–30.

5. Murillo Frazão, Luis Augusto Werlang, Peltz M. Metabolic, ventilatory and cardiovascular responses to FES-cycling: A comparison to NMES and passive cycling. Technol Heal care. 2021;

6. de Morree HM, Klein C, Marcora SM. Perception of effort reflects central motor command during movement execution. Psychophysiology. 2012;49(9):1242–53.

7. Bergevin M, Steele J, Payen de la Garanderie M, Feral-Basin C, Marcora SM, Rainville P, et al. Pharmacological Blockade of Muscle Afferents and Perception of Effort: A Systematic Review with Meta-analysis. Vol. 53, Sports Medicine. 2023. 415–435 p.

8. Khurana SR, Beranger AG, Felix ER. Perceived Exertion Is Lower When Using a Functional Electrical Stimulation Neuroprosthesis Compared with an Ankle-Foot Orthosis in Persons with Multiple Sclerosis: A Preliminary Study. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2017;96(3):133–9.

9. Heller BW, Clarke AJ, Good TR, Healey TJ, Nair S, Pratt EJ, et al. Automated setup of functional electrical stimulation for drop foot using a novel 64 channel prototype stimulator and electrode array: Results from a gait-lab based study. Med Eng Phys [Internet]. 2013;35(1):74–81. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.medengphy.2012.03.012

10. Descollonges M, Chaney R, Garnier P, Pirgent-Tessier A, Brugniaux J V., Deley G. Electrical stimulation□: a potential alternative to positively impact cerebral health□? Front Physiol. 2024;15:1–11.

11. Descollonges M, Marmier P, Marillier M, Jafari E, Brugniaux J V., Deley G. Effect of electrical muscle stimulation on cerebrovascular function and cognitive performance. Am J Physiol - Hear Circ Physiol. 2024;326(4):H923–8.

12. Halperin I, Emanuel A. Rating of Perceived Effort: Methodological Concerns and Future Directions. Sport Med [Internet]. 2020;50(4):679–87. Available from:

https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-019-01229-z

13. Borg E, Kaijser L. A comparison between three rating scales for perceived exertion and two different work tests. Scand J Med Sci Sport. 2006;16(1):57–69.

14. Chaney R, Leger C, Wirtz J, Fontanier E, Méloux A, Quirié A, et al. Cerebral Benefits Induced by Electrical Muscle Stimulation: Evidence from a Human and Rat Study. Int J Mol Sci. 2024;25(3).

15. Hashimoto T, Tsukamoto H, Ando S, Ogoh S. Effect of exercise on brain health: The potential role of lactate as a myokine. Metabolites. 2021;11(12).

16. Huang Z, Zhang Y, Zhou R, Yang L, Pan H. Lactate as Potential Mediators for Exercise-Induced Positive Effects on Neuroplasticity and Cerebrovascular Plasticity. Front Physiol. 2021;12(July).

17. Freund H, Zouloumian P. Lactate After Exercise in Man: Evolution Kinetics in Arterial Blood. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol. 1981;46(2):121–33.

18. Watanabe K, Yoshimura A, Nojima H, Hirono T, Kunugi S, Takada T, et al. Physiological adaptations following vigorous exercise and moderate exercise with superimposed electrical stimulation. Eur J Appl Physiol [Internet]. 2023;123(1):159–68. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-022-05065-4

19. Pageaux B, Bergevin M, Mangin T, Lepers R, Marcora SM. The central motor command, but not the muscle afferent feedback, is necessary to perceive effort. Int J Psychophysiol [Internet]. 2023;188:29. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2023.05.072

20. Bergquist AJ, Clair JM, Lagerquist O, Mang CS, Okuma Y, Collins DF. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation: Implications of the electrically evoked sensory volley. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2011;111(10):2409–26.

21. Cheval B, Boisgontier MP. The Theory of Effort Minimization in Physical Activity. Exerc Sport Sci Rev. 2021;49(3):168–78.

22. Cefis M, Chaney R, Wirtz J, Méloux A, Quirié A, Leger C, et al. Molecular mechanisms underlying physical exercise-induced brain BDNF overproduction. 2023;(October):1–18.

23. Willie CK, Colino FL, Bailey DM, Tzeng YC, Binsted G, Jones LW, et al. Utility of transcranial Doppler ultrasound for the integrative assessment of cerebrovascular function. J Neurosci Methods [Internet]. 2011;196(2):221–37. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2011.01.011

24. Lucas SJE, Ainslie PN, Murrell CJ, Thomas KN, Franz EA, Cotter JD. Effect of age on exercise-induced alterations in cognitive executive function: Relationship to cerebral perfusion. Exp Gerontol [Internet]. 2012;47(8):541–51. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2011.12.002

25. Brugniaux J V., Marley CJ, Hodson DA, New KJ, Bailey DM. Acute exercise stress reveals cerebrovascular benefits associated with moderate gains in cardiorespiratory fitness. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab [Internet]. 2014;34(12):1873–6. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/jcbfm.2014.142

26. Mulser L, Moreau D. Effect of acute cardiovascular exercise on cerebral blood flow: A

systematic review. Brain Res. 2023;1809(November).

27. Harrington AT, McRae CGA, Lee SCK. Evaluation of Functional Electrical Stimulation to Assist Cycling in Four Adolescents with Spastic Cerebral Palsy. Int J Pediatr. 2012;2012:1–11.

28. Medrinal C, Combret Y, Prieur G, Robledo Quesada A, Bonnevie T, Gravier FE, et al. Comparison of exercise intensity during four early rehabilitation techniques in sedated and ventilated patients in ICU: A randomised cross-over trial. Crit Care. 2018;22(1):1–8.

29. Montalvo S, Gomez M, Lozano A, Arias S, Rodriguez L, Morales-Acuna F, et al. Differences in Blood Flow Patterns and Endothelial Shear Stress at the Carotid Artery Using Different Exercise Modalities and Intensities. Front Physiol. 2022;13(May):1–10.

30. Sudo M, Kitajima D, Takagi Y, Mochizuki K, Fujibayashi M, Costello JT, et al. Effects of voluntary exercise and electrical muscle stimulation on reaction time in the Go/No-Go task. Eur J Appl Physiol [Internet]. 2024;(0123456789). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-024-05562-8

31. Ando S, Ishioka Y, Kambayashi S, Kano K, Fujibayashi M, Costello JT, et al. Combined effects of electrical muscle stimulation and cycling exercise on cognitive performance. 2024;(May):1–7.

Tables and Figures

Table I. [Patient's characteristics. I: Ischemic; H: Hemorrhagic.]

Fig. 1. [Experimental set-up. Electrical stimulation was applied to the paretic leg, on the quadriceps, hamstrings, and gluteus muscles.]

Fig. 2. [Perceived effort (A) and associated individual data (B) in response to FES-assisted (black) and traditional (white) cycling. Values are mean (SD). *n*, sample size for the analysis.]

Fig. 3. [Middle cerebral artery velocity [MCAv (A)] and MCAv associated individual data (B), in response to FES-assisted (black) and traditional (white) cycling. (C) MCAv at rest (triangle), during exercise (rectangle), and associated individual data (white squares). Values are mean (SD). *n*, sample size for the analysis.]

Fig. 4. [HR (A) and associated heart rate individual data (B), PETCO2 (C) and associated PETCO2 individual data (D), and blood lactate (E) in response to FES-assisted (black) and traditional (white) cycling. Data are presented as mean (SD). *n*, sample size for the analysis.]

Supplementary Material

SUPPLEMENTRY FIGURE LEGENDS*

Fig. S1. [Stimulation intensities (mA) used over the FES-assisted cycling modality.]

Fig. S2. [MAP (A) and associated individual data (B), CO (C) and associated individual data (D) in response to FES-assisted (black) and traditional (white) cycling. Data are presented as mean ± SE. *n*, sample size for the analysis.]

Fig. S3. [Cognitive performances in response to FES-assisted and traditional cycling. A) Congruent Stroop task; B) Neutral Stroop Task; C) Incongruent Stroop Task; D) TMT-A and E) TMT-B performances. PRE (triangle) and POST (square). Values are mean ± SE. n, sample size for the analysis. *: significant difference pre-post within the same condition.]

SUPPLEMENTRY TABLE LEGENDS*

Table SI. [Voluntary patient feedback following completion of both cycling sessions.]


A
Condition (P < .001; ηp2 = 0.800)
Time (P < .001; ηp2 = 0.497)
Interaction (P = 0.898; ηp2 = 0.019)
Perceived effort (0-100)
N = 15
Time (min)
B
FES-assisted cycling
Traditional cycling
Time (min)


A
Condition (P = 0.129; ηp2 = 0.263)
Time (P = 0.026; ηp2 = 0.407)
Interaction (P = 0.124; ηp2 = 0.188)
MCAv (cm.s-1)
75
70
65
60
55
0
n = 9
Rest
5
10
15
20
25
Time (min)
B
FES-assisted cycling
Traditional cycling
100
80
60
40
Rest
5
10
15
20
25
Time (min)
C
MCAv (cm.s-1)
100
80
60
40
20
n = 9
Rest
During exercise
Condition (P = 0.170; ηp2 = 0.221)
Time (P < .001; ηp2 = 0.876)
Interaction (P = 0.08; ηp2 = 0.328)
FES-assisted cycling
Traditional cycling


A
Condition (P = 0.093; ηp² = 0.188)
Time (P <.001; ηp² = 0.816)
Interaction (P = 0.018; ηp² = 0.205)
Heart rate (bpm)
N = 15
Time (min)
B
FES-assisted cycling
Traditional cycling
Time (min)
C
Condition (P = 0.719; ηp² = 0.012)
Time (P <.001; ηp² = 0.568)
Interaction (P = 0.056; ηp² = 0.165)
PETCO₂ (mmHg)
n = 12
Time (min)
D
Time (min)
E
Blood lactate (mmol/L)
PRE
POST
Condition (P = 0.018; ηp² = 0.384)
Time (P <.001; ηp² = 0.791)
Interaction (P = 0.218; ηp² = 0.124)
n = 13
FES-assisted cycling
Traditional cycling