An emerging TIMER-2C framework for addressing barriers to research culture and productivity among local healthcare providers in the Middle East and sub-Saharan Africa: a qualitative study and modified Delphi approach

Beryne Odeny[1], Dorothy Mangale[2], Dhananjaya Sharma[3], Mariam Balogun[4], Elizabeth Bukusi[5], Fatima Suleman[6], Miliard Derbew[7], Juliet Iwelunmor[2], Ermyas Birru[8], Isaac Che Ngang[1], Vaishnavi Mamillapalle[1], Akila Anandarajah[1], Wael Almahmeed[9]

Affiliations

[1]Department of Surgery, Washington University in St. Louis, MO, USA

[2]Department of Medicine, Washington University in St. Louis, MO, USA

[3]Department of Surgery, NSCB Medical College & Allied Hospitals, India

[4]Brown School, Washington University in St. Louis, MO, USA

[5]Kenya Medical Research Institute, Kenya

[6]School of Health Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa

[7]College of Health Sciences, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia

[8]Department of Global Health, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

[9]Heart and Vascular Institute, Cleveland Clinic Abu Dhabi, UAE

ABSTRACT

Background: Contributions from healthcare professionals in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) and sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) to research globally, are minimal when compared to other regions. We sought to identify barriers and facilitators of research productivity by local healthcare professionals from MENA and SSA.

Methods: We conducted qualitative interviews with a modified Delphi approach comprising two rounds of interviewing to: 1) identify barriers and facilitators, and 2) establish consensus on the most prominent factors. We purposively sampled 16 established and early career health researchers from Jordan, Qatar, Egypt, Lebanon, North Sudan, South Sudan, Nigeria, Ghana, Kenya, Uganda, Ethiopia, and South Africa. Interview guides were grounded in the "Capacity Strengthening of Health Research in Conflict" and the "Health Equity Implementation" frameworks. We explored barriers, facilitators, and recommendations for increasing research output. Transcripts were managed in ATLAS.ti and analyzed deductively and inductively to identify emerging themes.

Results: The most prominent barriers and facilitators are multilevel at individual, institutional, national, and global levels. At the individual level, researchers faced expertise and mentorship gaps, and time and funding constraints. Passion for research, protected time, and training facilitated research productivity. Institutional barriers included a greater emphasis on clinical service and teaching, limited research opportunities, and insufficient supportive policies to foster a research culture. Nationally, poor communication of research findings and a poor public image of research limited national investment in research and many countries need strategies to promote research and introduce national research funding mechanisms. Global barriers include inequitable funding and collaborations, bias from publishers, epistemic injustice, and parachute science. Addressing funding disparities, establishing fair collaborations, and giving greater recognition to local health researchers were key facilitators of research output. We identified six interlinked core themes and one overarching theme from which we coined the **TIMER-2C** conceptual framework to represent: 1) **T**ime, 2) **I**nstability, Interest & Infrastructure, 3) **M**oney & Resources, 4) **E**xpertise & Experience, 5) **R**ecognition, and 6) constructive **C**ollaboration, elements to generate a positive research **C**ulture. Building a research culture involves

establishing circles of support and building research capacity to create sustainable pipelines of researchers.

Conclusion: We identified the presence or lack of a research culture as an overarching factor for driving or impeding research contributions from MENA and SSA. We unveil an emerging conceptual framework, the *TIMER-2C framework*, that depicts and explains the relationship between factors associated with research productivity. This framework with its seven constructs can be a useful tool for evaluating local and global strategies to increase research output. We recommend further use of this framework to guide the design, implementation and evaluation of multi-pronged strategies to enhance research contributions from healthcare professionals in MENA, SSA, and other similar contexts.

BACKGROUND

Health research is an important tenet of productive health systems and communities. However, the underrepresentation of research by local healthcare professionals—individuals who are native to the region and/or working in local institutions in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) and sub-Saharan Africa (SSA)—in global health remains a significant concern. This calls for change in healthcare providers' perceptions, attitudes, and behaviors toward research in medical institutions, including fostering supportive institutional and societal norms, policies, and value systems that uphold research. By healthcare professionals, we are referring to clinicians and public health practitioners. While some progress has been made in recent decades, compared to other regions, research output from these regions remains low considering their disproportionate disease burden.[1,2] Ideally, health research output should reflect a nation's health needs, but still this research gap creates a situation where low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) primarily depend on research from elsewhere, often from high-income countries (HICs).[3] This disparity presents two key challenges: 1) a disconnect between the high disease burden in these countries and the global distribution of research funding and output, and 2) the superimposing of unfit or inapplicable research findings from different contexts to populations with varying characteristics and local realities, resulting in poor or unsuitable healthcare options.

Previous studies have been conducted to find and address this gap. Ignacio Atal et al., in a 2018 study, found a misalignment between the conduct of non-randomized control trials in LMICs and the distribution of major causes of burden, especially infectious diseases and neonatal disorders in SSA.[4] Unlike in HICs, the authors identified research gaps for common infectious diseases (CID) and neonatal disorders (NDs) in the SSA despite the region's burden of the diseases. In the MENA region, there is a substantial divide between Global Health Capacity Building (GHCB) initiatives to improve health workforce performance and health outcomes.[5] Women in the MENA region are underrepresented in research and are more likely than men to discontinue publishing over the course of their academic careers. It also found that men publish between 11 and 51% more than women, with an increasing gap over time.[6] In SSA region, the ratio of female to male health researchers was 9:17 in health research institutions, highlighting. [7]

Researchers within SSA and the MENA regions have low access to research funding and investments which hinders research capacity greatly in these regions. Despite the wealth of some countries in the MENA region, a 2020 study reported under-investment in biomedical research, a lack of suitably trained researchers, and limited government support for research.[8] Despite collaboration efforts with partners in HICs, researchers from SSA and MENA regions experience less representation and prominence in the authorship of joint publications. [9] These challenges leave MENA and SSA vulnerable to parachute or extractive science with limited involvement in local research conducted by foreign researchers.

To further explore and understand this issue, our landscape analysis aims to understand factors associated with sustained local research production in the MENA and SSA. By examining contextual factors and existing solutions implemented by individuals and institutions, we can identify strategic actions to empower local researchers to increase their contributions to the global health research landscape. The specific objectives of this inquiry were to identify the main barriers to research output—high-quality health research—from being conducted and published by healthcare professionals and researchers in LMICs in the MENA and SSA regions.

Conceptual Framework

This review was guided by a modified conceptual framework for strengthening of the capacity of health research in conflict regions in the MENA by El Achi et.al.[10] The framework summarizes core themes representing various macro and micro contributors, relevant mechanisms, processes, and intended impacts of research productivity including funding, permissible political environments, adaptability, and sustainability which are important pieces of the puzzle. We boosted this framework with constructs from the Health Equity Implementation Framework which seeks to address inequities in healthcare using an implementation science lens.[11]

METHOD

This was a qualitative study design consisting of interviews and a modified Delphi approach. Qualitative interview guides with prompts were created based on the domains and constructs of the conceptual frameworks. We purposively reached out to 36 potential participants, but only 16 key informants agreed to participate—8 from MENA and 8 from SSA. We recruited both leading

or emerging researchers/ publishers from Jordan, Qatar, Egypt, Lebanon, North Sudan, South Sudan, Nigeria, Ghana, Kenya, Uganda, Ethiopia and South Africa. We used emails to establish rapport and recruit key informants and explain the objective of our research. Interviews were scheduled at the key informants' availability. The majority of the key informants (n=12) had greater seniority and more extensive experience in research practice compared to the researcher team. Prior to the interviews, we introduced ourselves and shared our objectives for this research. We conducted 2 rounds of interviews via zoom call. The first round of interviews focused on gathering experiences and perspectives on barriers, facilitators, and recommendations for increasing research output by local healthcare professionals in the MENA and SSA. In the second round of interviews, a modified Delphi process was used to identify and rank top factors. Interviews took approximately 45 minutes and were audio-recorded for accurate transcription of data. No one else was present for the interview beside the interviewers and key informants.

Notes were taken during the interview and after the interview, interviewers debriefed on key topics that emerged from the in-depth interviews. By the 16th interview, we had achieved data saturation, as no new topics emerged; consequently, we ceased efforts to contact additional interviewees. The research team, in collaboration with the key informants, reached a consensus on the most prominent barriers and facilitators, and the most promising recommendations based on the provided rankings. Barriers, facilitators, and recommendations were explored at multiple levels (individual, institutional, national, and global levels). A heat map was created to summarize the most frequently identified barriers and facilitators.

Transcripts were uploaded to ATLAS.ti for qualitative analysis. Initial codes were informed by the conceptual frameworks that were then refined to produce a unified coding scheme with code groups and individual codes. We analyzed the qualitative data using deductive coding (based on a priori codes from the conceptual framework) and inductive coding to capture emerging themes in the data. The research team consisted of the principal investigator (BO), and co-investigator (DM) who coded and established intercoder agreement. Both BO and DM are female scientists trained in public health, qualitative and quantitative methods, and hold PhDs in Global health and Implementation Science. BO is a medical doctor and assistant professor of surgery at

Washington University in St. Louis. DM is a postdoctoral fellow in the department of medicine at Washington University in St. Louis.

This qualitative study's methods were conducted in line with the Consolidated criteria for Reporting Qualitative Research (COREQ) guidelines, a checklist for the reporting of qualitative studies (appendix 1).

Human subjects research statement: Ethical approval was not sought for this research as it did not involve research on human subjects or the collection of identifiable personal data. This study solely involved academic discussions with globally distributed researchers to identify barriers and facilitators of research productivity in the MENA and SSA regions. Informed consent was obtained orally from each participant prior to the commencement of each Zoom discussions.

RESULTS

At the individual level, a perceived lack of knowledge and expertise, mentorship (variability in the availability and quality), and a lack of confidence, passion, and commitment were the most prominent barriers. Participants noted that, in some settings particularly in SSA, healthcare professionals often did not know what they were doing, despite having some research training in medical school, and others felt that the quality of their research was not good enough for publication. The lack of confidence and imposter syndrome experienced by researchers contributed to a demotivated mindset, hindering their pursuit of training and knowledge in research. This, in turn, led to low research output and limited scientific publications. Some participants indicated that health professionals are trained primarily for service and not for research. Others noted the absence of training in research writing in universities, not being trained in university to write" while others pointed out that in the early stages of their involvement in research, nobody explained aspects like journal rankings or how to select a journal for publication. This was particularly the case in SSA and a few countries in MENA.

Co-occurring with the lack of expertise was the limited access to, or in some cases, poor quality of mentorship—or variable availability of—for emerging researchers. Many participants had trouble finding mentors while others were subject to mentors who did not always have the required expertise. In other instances, the power dynamics embedded in mentor-mentee relationships fostered mistreatment of students and early career researchers, leading to transactional exchanges lacking authentic relationships and supportive environments.

"Our system and culture are so authoritarian that we don't do very good mentoring. It's only a few people who do mentoring, a lot of professors who mentor people are doing it begrudgingly because it's part of the expectation and they terrorize a lot of people in that system. So, mentorship is not great, and people do not want to go in those fields where they drop out and only few go. There are only a few professors that people know that are good or are approachable or are even a little bit, kind of… inundated with requests..." [SSA]"
" …mentorship, mentorship-attitude, mentorship-growth. encouraging people to truly mentor and build the next generation… requires a little bit of selflessness… you have to be willing to allow someone else to overtake you... How do we grow that culture, in a world where everybody wants to be top dog." [SSA] "We are not training people in how to communicate and disseminate research especially in the written form. Oral is all very good and its excellent… but a lot of what goes to really change the research world needs to be written, needs to be published, needs to be in this peer reviewed format." [SSA]

Additionally, the language barrier, especially in non-English speaking regions, is a major challenge that has impeded the development of the technical skills needed to conduct research, especially writing papers.

A perceived lack of expertise and skills was fueled by limited access to resources to support training costs or fees to obtain things like the internet for virtual learning and publishing. In one setting, funding for researchers' fieldwork had been embezzled or redirected to other initiatives. Funding issues were associated with loss of talent through brain-drain and many skilled clinical researchers seeking opportunities outside their countries. Even when research funding was

available or accessible a considerable proportion of healthcare professionals were still not drawn to pursue research; this demonstrates that funding is not a sufficient driver of research engagement and productivity. There were sentiments that these barriers were not always insurmountable, particularly if local early career researchers possess a strong passion, interest, drive and are willing to learn. Passion was identified as a driving force that enabled researchers to make the most of limited opportunities.

"… brain drain. All the people who do good research—look at Pakistan, Aga Khan—I can give you examples at AUB as well. Aga Khan University, the person who did an amazing job … are recruited by the Gates Foundation, by the Sick Children in Canada..." [MENA]
"If I have opportunities but there is no passion, if there is no commitment then we are wasting time." [SSA]

At the institutional level, the absence of a research culture, supportive community or circles, limited funding, and a lack of institutional structure and policies to support research underpin research participation barriers. All participants across the regions pointed to the lack of a strong research culture as a crucial barrier to increasing research engagement. In certain countries or regions that had robust research, there was suppression of research findings or dissemination for sociopolitical reasons. Findings related to mortality and stigmatizing issues were suppressed and censored. In some countries, there was minimal emphasis on research and low appreciation for its utility, thus low curiosity and passion for it at institutional and national levels. Other institutional barriers were tied to broken systems, infrastructure, complex bureaucracies, and unsuitable university policies to enable research training at early stages of the educational journey.

"The issue is funding, stability, being able to have access. I cannot get any data in Pakistan. Nobody can get data from Pakistan. It's guarded like a secret. You can't get mortality data from the Gulf because they're afraid to share their—in many countries, there are a limitation for what you can do. Sexual transmitted diseases, you don't talk about. Sex, you don't talk about. Alcohol, you don't talk about. Drug, you don't talk—and the issues that—we have the data, and they collect it, but they don't share it and they don't talk about. It doesn't mean they're

not doing research on it. It doesn't mean they don't know what's happening. It means they don't want to advertise it."
[MENA]

“They do a lot of surveys. They do a lot of surveillance system. You can debate the quality as much as you want. Many of them don't release the data; that's the problem. You don't hear about it because data is power in the region. Many people don't like to share the data because they may lose some of that power. I wouldn't say there is no research. There is a lot of research. There is a lot of good researchers.” [MENA]

“We don’t train people to be researchers to start with. We don’t encourage curiosity..., the child who is curious that’s the child who is “naughty.” So, we don’t encourage curiosity, we don’t encourage experimentation, so we kill research very early on because to be a researcher, and to think research, you need to think out of the box... You need to ask questions of “why?” but people who ask why, our system wants to silence them, “Don’t ask why, follow the rules” [SSA]

The lack of supportive research communities and circles was something that most researchers had to contend with. Researchers who were well-trained or well-funded found it challenging to integrate into systems where there was no safe structure or circle to lean into and grow. For some, this was one of their most pressing impediments.

“It didn’t give me a circle that would allow me to flourish. It’s almost like you can’t do all, you can have more of that but if you don’t have one or the other, you lose out. So, I didn’t have...So why did I lose? I didn’t have the circle that was strong that would allow me to still continue to speak about my worth and dream.” [SSA]

At a national level, attention to urgent national priorities overshadows research agendas. Sociopolitical and economic instability and lack of interest in research hinder the engagement of government officials and policy makers who seek to address more emergent issues at any given time. Research takes the backseat due to lack of importance in emergency settings.

“Do research for preparedness for 20 years. What you mean preparedness? I'm already in the middle of a problem... What we are dealing with is maybe catchup game because of funding

and because of that stability in many parts. Not the in many parts. That stability I'm playing is a catchup game. All my devotion … is basically to take care of our people. Prevent deaths, prevent hunger, improve—so it's very hard to do research on how to reduce obesity… Nobody will give you money to how to increase physical activity …" [MENA]
"…the situation doesn't allow you to go and research while you are really struggling to pay your physicians to take care of patients or to keep your hospitals running." [MENA]

Limited funding was a barrier to research engagement. Participants noted that the lack of funding restricts how much time they can spend generating ideas or writing scientific articles, thereby limiting their contribution to various fields. Several participants explained that they had to maintain several professional appointments, mostly clinical, including running their private practices, to generate funds for their research. Self-funded researchers often face the challenge of balancing fundraising efforts with their actual research activities, which can lead to insufficient time for conducting research as illustrated by some researchers. The discussions revealed opposing views to the idea of funding as a barrier as others perceived it as an opportunity to fend for their research, eliminate donor-dependency, and find resourceful ways for institutions to match funding.

".. it's not lack intention. It's not lack motivation. It's lack of these issues. I can tell you, I train people in these... They can do research. They can do outbreak investigation. They're hired by WHO, and they leave the next day after we train them. If there is funding and there is money, and you could do what you want to do. If I can do what I'm doing here in my country, I wouldn't be here for a minute; I'd go back…" [MENA]
"So you have to find local solutions. None of my publications has received any funding: Zero funding, and we used the resource which is commonly available to everyone. Where is the resource? In between your two ears." [ASIA]
"I paid for my own research with my own personal funds that I generated from my clinical practice. It is the interest in research that drives you…" [MENA]
"African countries should not think of PEPFAR...the end of PEPFAR is inevitable" … We need to be funding ourselves but the same way we are dealing with research is the same way we are dealing with funding, we have not moved, we have not invested in the research." [SSA]

“Encourage them, it could even be co-funding by the way… if someone for example would apply and they get some kind of funding we match it, something that is pretty common in the United States… So in this way also we support their successes.” [MENA]

One participant pointed out that research has poor public relations (PR) indicating that communication via social media and marketing outlets about the benefits of research has been subpar. Consequently, compared to other institutional mandates, research is not prioritized, and budgetary allocations to run studies, protected research time, or train students are kept to a minimum despite health care being an important sector of society. Beyond institutions, research is not routinely disseminated to lay people and thus its societal relevance is low:

“Research has no PR, there is no connect to people either on an individual level or community level or even on a political level and so there is no money for it... you need money for research. You need money for research and so unfortunately until governments start funding this work, it is hard... That is the truth, I mean, at the end of the day, there is the money side of research, communication side of research that doesn’t have the strong hold where we talk... Until those two are addressed, we are where we are.” [SSA]
“.....Money, time hits all of it. So, money to me explains more of why you have X 10 hours, you can say they’ve been X paper or X grants in Kenya but a lot of it was externally facilitated because they have the culture and the funding, I am not even going to bring colonialist and white supremacy and all that in there. But even that aside, just the fact that the funding is there... at the government level, we don’t fund...” [SSA]

There are exceptional and high-functioning institutions that build and support research capacity in MENA and SSA like the Aga Khan University, American University of Beirut, and Makerere University, among others. However, several medical schools or universities were perceived to lack conducive research environments. The perceived lack of a research culture within some institutions was seen to contribute to weak policies, underdeveloped research support systems, and poor administrative infrastructure for training programs, mentorship support, and career opportunities for researchers. For instance, we learned that research professors often have

burdensome teaching or clinical loads, limited or no protected time for research, and often have challenges being absorbed into institutions, or compensated as research faculty.

“There's a lot of research. Whether it is published or not, that's totally different. If you're judging their research by publication, there's not much publications on Afghanistan people. If you take Iran, for example, there is a lot of research universities, publications, studies. In Pakistan, there is a lot of research. Most of the information we have about enteric diseases comes from one of the labs that is run by the Aga Khan University in Karachi… the American University of Beirut, for example. [MENA]
“You will be very surprised many universities did not even consider my application and they were very frank. Some of them say, ‘… really your career path is research, what we need are teachers, and you don’t have any teaching experience.’ It was indicated to me very explicitly. So, why? because there are huge teaching loads, universities are not sufficiently funded to allow time for research for the faculty. So, they teach a lot of courses. and that means there is no room for research over there or very, very limited research outside.” [MENA]
“They do a lot of surveys. They do a lot of surveillance system. You can debate the quality as much as you want. Many of them don't release the data; that's the problem… I wouldn't say there is no research. There is a lot of research. There are a lot of good researchers… Well, for example. Look, he did an amazing job during COVID. He was informing a lot of our programs” [MENA]

At the global levels, funding distribution, power imbalance and global inequities emerged as predominant reasons for the slow growth of research in MENA and the SSA region. Participants highlighted that most funding for research comes from HICs with very few examples of LMICs in SSA and MENA internally funding their research. LMICs have limited budgets for health which cascades to minimal funding for health research. Challenges accessing limited external funding in a competitive global environment, stringent requirements by granting institutions, and donor agendas that were misaligned with local research agendas were barriers. Research agendas that don’t center local interests may result in lower engagement, ownership and impact as local issues remain under-researched. One participant perceived that specific funders’ requests for

proposals were developed with specific countries in mind, resulting in unequal review and distribution of funding.

> “Challenges are, the first one, funding. They don't have access, like I do, to NIH as a funder. That's one. The funding from these agencies is very limited. Sometimes, it's conditional-only partnership Sometimes, it's not. If it's not at NIH, it's really peanuts. It's not much. That's one. The second, the local funding. The funding from the government for research is not that great. Many of their universities—except certain universities in the region—a professor is fully paid and doesn't have to—he or she—hustle for funds.” [MENA]

Another different aspect of global power imbalance leading to inequities in research contribution is ‘parachute’ science. The ill effects of parachute research where foreign researchers come to LMIC or MENA countries to collect data and then leave these countries to write scientific papers using the data without acknowledging the contributions of local researchers were discussed. Participants highlighted the issue of “white saviorism”[12] in the experience of LMIC and MENA researchers working with researchers from the HICs. This is exemplified in foreign researchers taking leading roles in proposing, implementing and publishing research without incorporating the lived experience and knowledge of local researchers. This was cited as a common reason why very few researchers in the developing world have first-author publications. It also contributes heavily to epistemic injustice, the devaluing of knowledge generated or presented by local researchers.

On the other hand, a few participants noted that there is a general preference for a Western approach to doing research which may contribute to the stifling of innovation among early career researchers.

> “The Western colleagues, I know they want to help us, they want to support us, maybe they have a savior syndrome whatever you call it. You call it they have colonial minds, whatever you call they are trying to help, let us put it that way. They can’t help me because they don’t have the lived-in experience, I am the person living in this experience. I must find my solution, unless they spend time here, they’ll just come in helicopter make few noises and go back. Maybe publishing because their papers are coming from a fancy university then they get

published...” [ASIA]

“For many of the international collaborations that happened in our region, it was more that they needed partners locally... It involves some capacity building but at the end of the day, really the research is American research or European research... I won’t say that it will necessarily build sufficient capacity. I prefer honestly the more indigenous, where the research is led by people here on the ground, they build their own career...” [MENA]

“It’s like the aid question again, if we had our own funding for health systems and our health programs, we would have our own target. We would be less at the disadvantage in determining the direction of our health programs ...but then when you have the external.... external funding itself is not bad, but the extent to which it funds research to a large proportion, it changes agendas, it changes the way of thinking, it changes the value system of what is valued. I can be in an international collaboration, and I tell you that more respect is given to the white people ... you will be sitting there wondering, who am I even in this thing? Nobody is even respecting what I am saying...” [SSA]

“Let me say we have the western approach to how everything should be done. We are still very focused on certain ways of doing things and disseminating information... Can we in this whole research economies start thinking about, what are the other ways in which research can be done, can be disseminated, can be utilized? Because I think we are still with those traditional Western ideas...” [SSA]

With regards to global factors like publishing, participants identified inequities in research and bias in publishing journals. Some authors felt that editors were unjustly filtering out manuscript submissions from certain countries especially those from non-English speaking backgrounds. More prevalent, were the prohibitive paywalls associated with high-ranking journals which often limited their ability to disseminate their research to a wider audience. Most participants noted that without publication fee waivers or collaborative agreements with other researchers from Western institutions, they are unable to afford to submit their work to reputable journals. Other facets of inequities were gender, racial, and career-stage oriented. Women were less likely to succeed in research given their gender roles and multiple demands at the family level. Regarding compensation, generally, research jobs attract lower pay than clinical jobs which is discouraging

for healthcare professionals who are interested in research. For many, the return on investment for research is seemingly low in the short-term.

“Journals reject and are suspicious of African articles [paraphrased]. If I just send an article to the Lancet from Ethiopia and say your name. They will say who is “so and so”? It’s very difficult, but if its someone like “John Miller” sends an article from Harvard, I don’t think it will have the same reception.” [SSA]
“But it is also that they have the capacity to have these kind of publication costs covered, so there are now open access publications and everything else... The university that I come from, you have got to pay a large portion of it, especially if it is a high-ranking international journal, out of your own research costs. The university will only cover a portion of it...” [SSA] “Female education, now, reduce—a recent article, about couple of months, in Lancet, show education, in general, reduce other mortality. Female education does even better. Because a woman who understands the sign of danger and seek medical care and follow the message, because she understands it way better than a woman who doesn't know the danger sign, doesn't know what a medical message is and how to follow it.” [MENA]
“Two, there has to be equity also in how much money people are making. Because for example, if you have two physicians, one is working clinically and one is working as a researcher, but the ones who are working clinically their income is double the one who’s doing research, then they would say well, what’s the point?” [SSA]

Emerging and overarching themes

Time (relevant to the individual/ interpersonal and institutional levels)

Various dimensions of time emerged connected to funding for protected time for research by healthcare professionals, the duration between research and impact (the need for patience and longsuffering to reap the rewards of research), and the sustainment or continuity of research. The

ability to maneuver these dimensions of time greatly dictates a healthcare professional's or institution's willingness to participate in meaningful and transformative research in LMICs. If given an option, some clinicians would opt for the immediate benefits of clinical work over the benefits of research which take a long time to realize.

Healthcare professionals also cite insufficient time to split between service (health care provision, or teaching) and research. For some, focus on one means a loss of the other and thus no middle ground. For professionals who contemplate research, there is a general feeling of fatigue attached to the lack of time. Health professionals experience high levels of exhaustion and therefore are unable to lend themselves to anything beyond clinical service. The sheer amount of time and work one needs to put in to establish and thrive as a researcher renders it an unsustainable pursuit for many.

Instability, Interest and Infrastructure (relevant to the individual/ interpersonal, institutional, and ecosystem/ national levels)

Political and economic instability are major barriers to research production. In unstable environments, some participants pointed out that safety and accessible infrastructure, there was low interest to pursue research at individual, institutional and national levels. In fragile environments, the focus for health care professionals is providing emergency care and survival; research becomes completely deprioritized.

Without infrastructure, accessible data and transparent systems, researchers face significant barriers in advancing impactful research. Participants demonstrated how research interest is linked to investment in availability of research infrastructure like research administrative offices, regulatory bodies, supportive institutional policies, and training resources. At a national level, commitment to research is low and public institutions often face limited funding allocation, making them doubly disadvantaged when compared to their HIC counterparts. In the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region, political instability further exacerbates these challenges, disrupting institutional operations, deterring investment, and limiting the ability to establish robust research ecosystems.

Two researchers from MENA reported how their interest was sparked through exposure to research during their formative years in high school. In addition to protected time, interest drives passion, sacrifice, and commitment to do research at the individual level. At the institutional level, there was mention of a lack of interest and a general feeling that "institutions don't care." We learned of researchers who were frustrated by the system, especially those who had international experiences. They noted that having protected time for research is not enough if the institution does not have an interest in advancing research.

Means of funding research (relevant to all levels - individual, institutional, and national, and global)

Funding is needed for training, infrastructure including administration, human resources, regulatory processes and protected research time. Health research budgets in LMICs are almost non-existent and only strong economies like Qatar and South Africa were found to have substantial health financing budgets that catered for healthcare research. Researchers have to join the competitive grant application world which leans in favor of applicants from HICs. Researchers in LMICs often lack the supportive infrastructure available to their counterparts in HICs, hindering their ability to effectively apply for large-scale funding opportunities. Another challenge is that external funding comes with strings attached, and donor agendas are not always aligned with the priorities of the countries being funded. Poor stewardship of financial resources has led to disappointment due to a lack of structures to absorb or manage grant funds, and in some instances, administrative structures are biased or corrupt. One opposing view to the money factor was that funding specifically is not necessary to conduct research. One KI mentioned their practice was to do "pure science/ research" free from contamination of donor-funded agendas – instead, they harnessed local resources to answer locally pertinent questions.

Expertise and Experience (relevant to individual/ interpersonal, and institutional levels)

This encompasses training, mentorship and sponsorship, and other opportunities needed to propel the research culture. Beyond access to protected time, interest (passion and commitment) and funding, training was the "link that connects what was in the heart (passion) and what was in the brain (research idea)", as said by one of KIs. Opportunities for training and mentorship set up individuals in their research career paths. There is a lot of research expertise in various parts of

MENA and SSA as illustrated by world renown health researchers and studies from these regions. Unfortunately, many healthcare professionals who would contribute to research lack the training, mentorship, and sponsorship needed and this has been a huge barrier for LMIC researchers. This was cited as one of the reasons why parachute research took root in LMICs as the expertise and experiences came from external or foreign researchers whereas indigenous/ local researchers were undermined.

Recognition (relevant to all levels – individual, institutional, ecosystem/ national and global)
Recognition is a multifaceted theme that captures research and researcher acknowledgment locally and globally. This domain encapsulates self-recognition (relating to self-confidence, and other mindsets related to the individual's self-concept), institutional recognition (acknowledgment of notable performance, promotion, and prestige), societal recognition, and global recognition. This theme revolved around the lack of recognition of local researchers and their research (epistemic injustice), inequities experienced by LMIC researchers (gender, regional, racial, implicit bias, funder bias, and publisher biases), and the pressure to break glass ceilings to achieve success or impact regardless of unfavorable societal and global structures. Further, inadequate communication around research outside academia reduces visibility and investment in research which negatively impacts research productivity.

Collaboration (relevant at all levels – individual, institutional, ecosystem/national, global)
Collaboration serves as the catalyst that brings together time, interest, infrastructure, institutions, money, expertise and experience, and recognition, to create a thriving research culture (Figure 1). Local and global partnerships, and networks are crucial for developing and supporting a positive research culture. Experiences such as joining collaborative projects are opportunities to gain research skills, apply for grants, mentor and publish. Safe supportive circles cited as crucial elements in research productivity. However, dissatisfaction with inequitable partnerships and collaborative systems that disenfranchise local researchers was evident. Participants stated that benefits and prestige were largely apportioned to HICs while LMICs bear the load of strenuous field work, with limited or no contribution to the science and publication content. In some settings in MENA, where research productivity is high, data sharing may be prohibited or limited for sociopolitical reasons.

“It’s also about finding the right collaborators, building those personal relationships with the funding agents… what makes you smart is building those relationships with NIH grant program officers, the Gates foundation with the EU, the Canadians, those interpersonal relationships and networks… that’s where you hear information and things you have not seen published online.” [SSA] “As part of this model, they contract several American universities to open campuses here and yah I got recruited fortunately in one of those universities.” [MENA]
“You don't hear about it because data is power in the region. Many people don't like to share the data because they may lose some of that power…” [MENA]

Research Culture

In our synthesis, we identified the *research culture* as either the overarching strength for highly productive institutions or the critical gap in low-output settings, cutting across multiple levels (individual to national) in SSA and MENA. The importance of research and what it offers institutions and communities is yet to be fully realized in these regions. There is more emphasis on clinical or teaching services for the immediate benefits to patients and medical institutions than research which has a long and winding path to success.

“At individual level, you are existing in a culture that has dichotomized research as for those with certain degrees and others and does not actually have the research training culture. It does not have a system where you can be paid for your time like clinical time, that’s your own research full time equivalent distribution. We don’t do that; we don’t have that.” [SSA]
“I think I would put some of that money in changing behaviors and mentorship model of potential young researchers, I am not giving up on the old ones but I think I would go to the ones that are still coming up. I will put significant resources in engaging them early, just as they are choosing careers to be letting them know where research is placed, whether you are doing medicine, public health, nursing even social sciences, similar thing.” [SSA]
“As part of this building, the ecosystem or the scientific community, they even give funding for high school students to do research, opportunities for internships, they actually ask us to go to schools sometimes and talk about research. They are trying really to encourage a lot of

research.” [MENA] “This is sort of a common understanding that these are things that you would not much need as a physician… it is a common culture. This is something that you are just doing for grades, you pass that year... we don’t see the value of it, we don’t use it, we don’t apply it as much.” [MENA]
“…the culture of the place is so you cannot do any research that's really—makes the government look bad. There is self-discipline in there. There is a lot of things that are going really bad. Why would I do that and pose problems?” [MENA]

Frequency of codes and themes

Using ATLAS, we further assessed the frequency of codes and corresponding themes were cited by the participants in the qualitative interviews. Time was cited with 29% frequency, Instability, Interest and Infrastructure (27%), Means of Funding (46%), Expertise and Experience (94%), Recognition (24%), and Collaboration (100%). The central theme overarching these themes was Culture.

MODIFIED DELPHI APPROACH RANKING AND RESULTS

Top factors exposed through the modified Delphi process are outlined and displayed in the heat map (Table 1) and mapped well onto the *TIMER-2C framework*. Colors were assigned to each barrier from 1-5 based on the number of votes received. Deeper green shades indicate a higher number of votes for a specific ranking (higher importance), while orange shades represent fewer votes, and red shades indicate the least number of votes.

Table 1: Modified Delphi Approach Ranking Heat Map by Individual, Institution, National & Global Level

Lack of funding				Means of funding
Facilitators:				
Confidence, passion and commitment				Instability, Interest & Infrastructure
Expertise and training				Expertise & Experience
Protected time and accountability				Time
Individual level (external/ extrinsic)				
Barriers:				
Hierarchy and power imbalance				Recognition
Competitive funding enviroment				Means of funding
Patriarchy and gender roles				Recognition
Lack of protected time				Recognition
Facilitators:				
Training				Expertise & Experience
Mentorship				Expertise & Experience
Institutional level				
Barriers:				
Lack of funding				Means of funding
Lack of protected time				Time
Lack of research culture				Culture
Facilitators:				
Research Culture and Sustainable **Research Pipeline**				Culture
Institutional policies				Instability, Interest & Infrastructure
Ecosystem/ national				
Barriers:				
Government funding				Means of funding
Lacks importance				Instability, Interest & Infrastructure
Communication and public relations				Recognition
Facilitators:				
Support research dissemination				Recognition
National funding or resource bank				Means of funding
Collaboration across institutions				Collaboration
Global level				
Barriers:				
Bias and inequity				Recognition
Epistemic injustice and Parachute science				Recognition
Funding biased to high income countries				Means of funding
Facilitators:				

At the individual level, researchers ranked expertise and experience gaps (such as lack of opportunities, lack of training, and language barriers), lack of passion, confidence and commitment, and insufficient funding as the most significant hurdles. Top-ranked facilitators at this level were passion and commitment, confidence, protected time, and training to promote research competency. Extrinsically, at the interpersonal level, the most crucial barriers were limited funding in competitive environments, inequitable recognition (patriarchy, gender bias, hierarchy, and power imbalances), and scarcity of protected research time. The top-rated facilitators included training and mentorship.

At the institutional level, the highest-ranked barriers were lack of dedicated research funding and limited protected time which stems from the lack of a research culture that contributes to research apathy, lack of interest, and low investment. The perceived lack of research culture could also reduce individual researchers' motivation and opportunities to engage in research. The top-most ranked facilitators included building a research culture and sustainable research pipeline in addition to creating supportive institutional policies (around promotion, compensation and incentives, research administration, and funding).

At the national or wider ecosystem level, limited government funding and commitment, lack of research importance, poor communication and public relations were the top-most barriers. Supporting research dissemination nationally to increase its visibility and recognition, establishing national mechanisms for research funding, and fostering collaboration across institutions were the top-ranked facilitators.

At the global level, the highest-ranked barriers were bias, inequity faced by local researchers in publishing, and funding that manifests as epistemic injustice, parachute science, and disproportionate funding of HICs, respectively. The highest-ranked facilitators of research were equitable collaborations and partnerships, scholarship and exchange programs, and autonomous funding free of external funder control and agendas.

Appendix 1 outlines the key themes, codes, and sample quotes.

The overarching theme of "research culture," was mapped onto six key domains or themes: **T**ime, **I**nstability, Interest and Infrastructure, **M**eans of funding research, **E**xpertise & **E**xperience, **R**ecognition, and **C**ollaboration, which we summarized by the mnemonic **TIMER-2C** hence the "*TIMER- 2C Conceptual Framework for Research Culture.*" (Figure 1)

Figure 1: TIMER- 2C Conceptual Framework for Research Culture



DISCUSSION

In this landscape analysis, we spoke to clinicians and public health practitioners in MENA and SSA to understand and prioritize barriers to, and recommendations for, enhancing research productivity. Promoting research productivity calls for a change in healthcare providers' knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors toward research, including fostering supportive institutional and societal norms, policies, and value systems that uphold research as an important tenet of productive health systems. While trained to some degree in health research, local healthcare professionals from these regions still contribute minimally to global research. There have been regional and international initiatives to improve research productivity in LMICs; however, these

efforts have not been as fruitful as expected in some regions as demonstrated by the imbalance in global research output. Furthermore, these initiatives have been variable, targetting various aspects of research capacity building, and frameworks for analyzing their progress and impact are urgently needed. We unveil an emerging conceptual framework, the *TIMER-2C framework for Research Culture*, that explains the relationship between themes associated with research productivity—specifically: 1) time; 2) instability, interest and infrastructure 3) means of funding research; 4) expertise and experience; 5) recognition; 6) collaboration; and 7) culture. Guided by our synthesis of this framework, we provide examples of generalizable strategies to improve research contributions from the MENA, SSA and other LMICs.

For underperforming institutions and institutions seeking to improve their research productivity in the MENA and SSA, there is need for a culture shift – one that prioritizes research and evidence-based practice as a cornerstone of healthcare, academia, and public health. We posit that the barriers and facilitators of a positive research culture are multi-level and encompass individual/ interpersonal, institutional, government, and global dimensions. As such, multi-level approaches and strategies are needed to address the complex web of factors affecting research culture and productivity[13] Understanding how these factors map onto the key themes of the *TIMER-2C framework* will aid in crafting recommendations that are relevant to aforementioned levels or dimensions.

We found variability in supportive institutional cultures across countries in MENA and SSA with some very supportive institutional environments and others not so. A positive research culture comprises the environment, values, norms, and practices that shape the behavior and attitudes within healthcare, academia or research institutions, including the prevailing tendencies and standards that guide how research is conducted, communicated, and evaluated.[14] We provide three recommendations that target factors affecting multiple levels of research culture and productivity: 1) Establishing learning communities and safe research circles with opportunities for research capacity building (this targets individual/ interpersonal and institutional levels); 2) autonomous leadership and funding untethered to politics or external forces (this is relevant to institutional, national levels, and global levels); and 3) creating sustainable pipelines of early career researchers (individual/ interpersonal, institutional and global levels). The most significant

barriers were posed by institutional structures (the boiling pot in which many challenges converged), thus our multi-level recommendations target the institutional level in conjunction with one or more additional levels – higher or lower.

Establishing learning communities and safe research circles with opportunities for research capacity building should be the goal for institutions seeking to nurture and promote research. This recommendation is grounded in the TIMER-2C constructs*: Instability, Interest and Infrastructure; Expertise and Experience; and Collaboration.* "Learning communities provide space and a structure for people to align around shared goals."[15] There needs to be sustained individual passion and institutional interest in research, demonstrable through institutional investment in resources and supportive policies. At the individual level, passion and interest in research are only as good as the opportunities—for research, training, mentorship, career, and capacity building—afforded to someone.[16]

We found that strategies to build individual self-efficacy (individual's belief and confidence in their capacity to execute specific functions) and institutional interest and capacity through training and collaboration were impactful. Successful programs like the Fogarty Global Health Fellows program funded by the National Institute of Health (NIH) and other research training programs like the Medical Education Partnership Initiative (MEPI)[17] serve as a blueprint for establishing research communities and hubs that connect trainees and mentees to clear career trajectories.[18] However, conventional training programs do not necessarily cater for post-training career pathways or funding resulting in junior researcher isolation, siloing or exiting the field. More thought is needed on where to transplant and nurture junior researchers alongside established researchers in MENA and SSA. Mentorship programs were recommended as vital pillars for building learning communities in which peer-to-peer mentorship and apprenticeship are fostered. For example, the CARTA program for African scientists,[19] facilitates peer mentorship, and avails avenues for discussing scientific work and journal-specific workshops.[20] Stepwise approaches to optimize the immediate research environment of early career or junior researchers (the individual level) and sequentially expand to higher levels at the institution and broader ecosystem can be most feasible.

These strategies may be difficult to implement in many low-resource settings—some politically unstable and fragile—and barely have a budget for health financing, let alone health research. These countries primarily rely on external funding to conduct research, and even so external funding is unequally distributed. According to the NIH reporter, between 2000-2024, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) gave ~ $2.5 billion and ~ $6 million united states dollars (USD) to countries in SSA and MENA, respectively; nearly 80% of SSA funding goes to South Africa (https://reporter.nih.gov/). In contrast, US has received over $56 billion USD from the NIH over the past 10 years. Other international donors have provided millions of USD funding to the MENA and SSA including European & Developing Countries Clinical Trials Partnership (EDCTP), UK Medical Research Council (MRC), Wellcome Trust, and the Gates Foundation (https://gfinderdata.impactglobalhealth.org/, and World RePORT).

Autonomous funding models and leadership, free from external political or governmental agendas, can significantly transform the research culture; but most countries in these regions are still dependent on external funding for research. We recognize that while autonomous funding is not a straightforward solution, there has been progress in this regard. Despite the challenges, some researchers have demonstrated the potential for autonomous research by leveraging local resources and innovations in the context of safe and stable environments.[23] Our findings highlight the importance of independent local leadership and ownership in fostering research that supports locally relevant health priorities[21]. We found that the biggest obstacles emanate from the institutional level, where leadership priorities and funding availability dictate access to protected time, and other pre-requisites for successful research.[22] Short-term funding—that does not support research translation, and tied aid are prominent barriers to research productivity as there is suboptimal follow through of research endeavors. Our data revealed that thriving learning research communities escaped the “funding trap”—complete reliance on donors, institutional funds and administrative structures—through autonomous funding mechanisms and low-cost innovation[23], while maintaining close partnerships with parent institutions for infrastructure support.[24] To avoid silos, we recommend building the capacity of researchers to collaborate closely with institutions to share innovations and leverage infrastructure.

Building a sustainable pipeline of researchers is an important strategy for safeguarding the continuity of the research culture. This recommendation is grounded in the TIMER-2C constructs*: Time; Instability, Interest, and Infrastructure; Means of funding; Expertise and Experience; and Recognition.* We found that the lack of sustainable research is detrimental to the research enterprise and thus healthcare providers need support to pursue research careers and maintain their commitment to research. In MENA and SSA, if research is not introduced early in formal education, young people, particularly women, may miss out on opportunities to develop an interest in research careers. To curb brain drain, there should be a focus on nurturing junior researchers' and providing attractive career opportunities with clear growth trajectories. Partnership programs that extend to high schools or colleges are needed to establish continuous entry points for trainees into research.[25] Using "Trainer of Trainee" models can greatly boost the sustainability of research by preparing the stage for the next generation of research leaders. For example, the Infectious Disease Institute (IDI) in Uganda[26] has successfully maintained its research pipeline of early and established researchers. Unsurprisingly, it is essential to connect junior researchers to sustainable funding mechanisms, such as seed grants or fund matching programs, and hence a base of financial support to facilitate long-term research careers. Another dimension of sustainable pipelines is focus on continuous societal recognition and public relations around research, its utility, and impact. Social media platforms can be utilized to foster discussions between scientists and communities about research in accessible language, thereby promoting public recognition of research as a valuable tool for healthcare and societal advancement., i.e. democratizing research.[27]

As we consider these factors in low resource contexts, the question of how to leverage Artificial Intelligence (AI) and technology to surmount these gaps arises. AI has a huge role to play in making the research field even for MENA and SSA researchers by automating routine administrative and supportive tasks and thus free up time for higher-level tasks to do with scientific inquiry, innovation, and conceptualization of research. AI tools can also streamline data collection (e.g., adapting data collection tools), data analysis and validation. Additionally, AI and technological advances have the potential to mitigate the impact of instability and infrastructure challenges by enabling remote collaboration and data sharing. Furthermore, AI can support administrative tasks such as budget generation, grant writing, reducing the administrative

burden on researchers. Other roles could include improving the quality of research by assisting with language editing, proofreading and journal submission processes.[28] While these facilitators can in turn promote interest and engagement in research by healthcare providers, we recognize that majority of these benefits depend on reliable internet broadband access which may not be guaranteed in fragile regions.

In conclusion, we describe the emerging *TIMER-2C framework* that depicts and explains the relationship between factors associated with research productivity among healthcare professionals in MENA and SSA. This framework identifies critical leverage points within the research ecosystem that can guide conceptualization, design and evaluation of multi-level strategies to foster a positive research culture. The TIMER-2C framework is a tool that can be used as a multi-level evaluation framework to evaluate the progress and impact of varied existing and future initiatives to enhance research culture and productivity among healthcare professionals. Future steps with this framework would include further testing, validation and adaption for context to support individuals, institutions, and countries to adopt the most meaningful strategies to enhance research output and productivity.

Acknowledgements: We would like to acknowledge all the key informants who agreed to participate in these interviews and modified Delphi process.

Human subjects research statement: This study involved academic discussions with researchers to identify barriers and facilitators of research productivity in the MENA and SSA regions. Ethical approval was not sought for this research as it did not involve research on human subjects or the collection of identifiable personal data. The study consisted solely of academic discourse among globally distributed researchers. Informed consent was obtained orally from each participant prior to the commencement of each Zoom discussions.

Author contributions: BO was responsible for acquisition, conception, design, analysis, preparation of figures and tables, and writing of the manuscript. DM contributed significantly to data analysis, the interpretation of the data, and writing. DS was involved in interpretation and critical review of the manuscript. MB contributed to the interpretation of the data and preparation

of the manuscript. ICN was responsible for the interpretation of data and writing. VM contributed to the interpretation of data, writing and the critical of the manuscript. WA was involved in the acquisition, conception, data analysis and critical review of the manuscript. EB, FS, MD, and JI contributed to interpretation of the data and provided critical review. All authors reviewed the manuscript and approved it for publication.

Funding: No funding was obtained for this research.

REFERENCES

1. World Bank. World Bank World Development Indicators. In: Encyclopedia of Quality of Life and Well-Being Research. 2023 doi:10.1007/978-3-031-17299-1_3278.

2. World Report shows scant research conducted in the Middle East region. July / August 2019 | Fogarty International Center Volume 18, Number 4 https://www.accesscommunity.org/sites/default/files/nih_blog_world_report.pdf

3. Ncayiyana DJ. Africa can solve its own health problems. *Br Med J*. 2002; 324. doi:10.1136/bmj.324.7339.688.

4. Atal, I., Trinquart, L., Ravaud, P., & Porcher, R. (2018). A mapping of 115,000 randomized trials revealed a mismatch between research efforts and health needs in non-high-income regions.. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, 98, 123-132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2018.01.006.

5. Naal, H., Koussa, M., Hamouch, M., Hneiny, L., & Saleh, S. (2020). A systematic review of global health capacity building initiatives in low-to-middle-income countries in the Middle East and North Africa region. *Globalization and Health*, 16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-020-00585-0.

6. El-Ouahi, J., Larivière, V. On the lack of women researchers in the Middle East and North Africa. *Scientometrics* 128, 4321–4348 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-023-04768-5

7. Kebede D, Zielinski C, Mbondji PE, Sanou I, Kouvividila W, Lusamba-Dikassa PS. Human resources in health research institutions in sub-Saharan African countries: results of a questionnaire-based survey. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*. 2014;107(1_suppl):85-95. doi:10.1177/014107681453060
https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0141076814530602

8. Aggarwal, A., Patel, P., Lewison, G., Ekzayez, A., Coutts, A., Fouad, F., Shamieh, O., Giacaman, R., Kutluk, T., Khalek, R., Lawler, M., Boyle, P., Sarfati, D., & Sullivan, R. (2020). The Profile of Non-Communicable Disease (NCD) research in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region: Analyzing the NCD burden, research outputs and international research collaboration. *PLoS ONE*, 15. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0232077

9. Mbaye R, Gebeyehu R, Hossmann S, Mbarga N, Bih-Neh E, Eteki L, Thelma O, Oyerinde A, Kiti G, Mburu Y, Haberer J, Siedner M, Okeke I, Boum Y. Who is telling the story? A systematic review of authorship for infectious disease research conducted in Africa, 1980-2016. *BMJ Global Health 2019*;4:e001855.

10. El Achi N, Papamichail A, Rizk A, Lindsay H, Menassa M, Abdul-Khalek RA et al. A conceptual framework for capacity strengthening of health research in conflict: The case of the Middle East and North Africa region. *Global Health* 2019; 15. doi:10.1186/s12992-019-0525-3.

11. Woodward EN, Matthieu MM, Uchendu US, Rogal S, Kirchner JE. The health equity implementation framework: Proposal and preliminary study of hepatitis C virus treatment. *Implementation Science* 2019; 14. doi:10.1186/s13012-019-0861-y.

12. Tiessen R. White Saviorism in international development: theories, practices and lived experiences. *Canadian Journal of Development Studies / Revue canadienne d'études du développement* 2023. doi:10.1080/02255189.2023.2253967.

13. Lengnick□Hall, R., Williams, N. J., Ehrhart, M. G., Willging, C. E., Bunger, A. C., Beidas, R. S., & Aarons, G. A. (2023). Eight characteristics of rigorous multilevel implementation research: a step-by-step guide. Implementation Science, 18(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-023-01302-2

14. Essien, U. R., He, W., Ray, A., & Boulware, L. E. (2021). Strengthening research capacity through faculty mentorship and training in the global health context. BMC Medical Education, 21(1), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-021-02552-9

15. Harvard University. (2015). Key Concept: Learning Communities. Center on the Developing Child at Harvard University. https://developingchild.harvard.edu/collective-change/key-concepts/learning-communities/

16. Cooke, J. A framework to evaluate research capacity building in health care. *BMC Fam Pract* 6, 44 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2296-6-44

17. MEPI Junior Faculty Research Training - Fogarty International Center @ NIH. (2024). Fogarty International Center. https://www.fic.nih.gov/Programs/Pages/mepi-junior-faculty.aspx
18. Fogarty seeks fresh perspectives in global health research and training - Fogarty International Center @ NIH. (2024). Fogarty International Center. https://www.fic.nih.gov/News/Pages/fogarty-seeks-fresh-perspectives-in-global-health-research-and-training.aspx
19. Gideon Kiprotich. (2024, August 14). Consortium for Advanced Research Training in Africa (CARTA). CARTA. https://cartafrica.org/
20. Krishna, L.K.R., Hamid, N.A.B.A., Phua, G.L.G. *et al*. Peer mentorship and professional identity formation: an ecological systems perspective. *BMC Med Educ* 24, 1007 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-024-05992-0
21. Christoplos, I., Zwi, A., & Lindegaard, L. (2015). Evaluation of the Consortium for Advanced Research Training in Africa (CARTA) Final Report. https://cdn.sida.se/publications/files/sida61905en-evaluation-of-the-consortium-for-advanced-research-training-in-africa-carta---final-report.pdf
22. Kengia, J.T., Kalolo, A., Barash, D. *et al.* Research capacity, motivators and barriers to conducting research among healthcare providers in Tanzania's public health system: a mixed methods study. *Hum Resour Health* **21**, 73 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-023-00858-w
23. Center for Global Surgical Innovations and Low-Cost Solutions :: Mission Statement. (2018. https://www.surgicalinnovations.in/
24. Bozeman, B., Fay, D. & Slade, C.P. Research collaboration in universities and academic entrepreneurship: the-state-of-the-art. *J Technol Transf* 38, 1–67 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-012-9281-8
25. AIR's Pipeline Partnership Program | American Institutes for Research. (2020). American Institutes for Research. https://www.air.org/project/airs-pipeline-partnership-program
26. Home «INFECTIOUS DISEASES INSTITUTE. (n.d.). INFECTIOUS DISEASES INSTITUTE. https://idi.mak.ac.ug/
27. London, L., & Kark, P. (2017). Public engagement in health research: Making the case for democratizing research. *South African Journal of Science*, *113*(3/4), 1-5. https://doi.org/10.17159/sajs.2017/a0185

28. Odeny, B., Balogun, M., Anidi, N., *et al.* Shaping a new era of global health for greater impact: CUGH 2024 highlights: BMJ Global Health 2024;9:e018005. https://gh.bmj.com/content/9/12/e018005

INSTABILITY/ INTEREST/ INFRASTRUCTURE	
Building research circle	"Surrounding yourself with the right people, I call it the circle of safety. Unfortunately, we tend to find ourselves with the wrong people, and the apathy of

Appendix 1: COREQ checklist (attached as a supplementary file)

Appendix 2: SAMPLE CODES AND EXEMPLARY QUOTES BY THEME

TIME	
Lack of Time/ fatigue	There are huge teaching loads, universities are not sufficiently funded to allow time for research for the faculty. So, they teach a lot of courses. and that of course means that there is no room for research over there or very, very limited research outside" MENA "that part of the world it is just crazy. In that context, I was saying that research falls at the bottom of the priorities unfortunately, research in the structured way that we were talking about, yah." (MENA) "We are overwhelmed... so you are really just barely surviving, just trying to stay from drowning in the service delivery work... You are actually overwhelmed… I would have burnt out completely if I had not left… it wasn't humanly sustainable."
Sustainability/ continuity	"mentored research is you know...something that is very important for the continuity, sustainability of research culture in the institutions." SSA "I have discovered the capacity to take people and developing that kind of expertise, the need to cascade them in order to have along the generation. So it's train the next trainer approach… the mentees become the mentors… each student should give back to the next." SSA "It's a mentorship thing. You are not going to grow good researcher in a day. Research is a commitment for a period of time,, mentorship-attitude, mentorship-growth. encouraging people to truly mentor and build the next generation." SSA
Time and competing interests	"There is another barrier, they cannot just leave there their families and go for a PhD somewhere else. The local PhD programs which are not the best, these are very new… I have an arrangement with the London school of hygiene and tropical medicine." MENA "When I come home, I can do some research work or play with my children but for a woman, the same responsibilities plus running the family... It will be a burden on the woman" SSA "I was busy with clinical activities. I didn't have time for research and also there was no opportunity." SSA

	the wrong people would drive your love for this work down." SSA
Lacks importance	"Culture around the research culture or lack of an appreciation for the utility of research." SSA "This is sort of a common understanding that these are things that you would not much need as a physician… it is a common culture. This is something that you are just doing for grades, you pass that year... we don't see the value of it, we don't use it, we don't apply it as much." MENA
Desire to make a difference	"I wanted to quantify the problem, so research was at the back of my mind... questions around how we provide services, how we organize the services production,… how we can really be truly responsive to people's needs. I wasn't equipped.. so, I trained…" SSA
Administration & compliance	"There is a certain level of research administration that people need to learn and they don't understand… what it takes to write to an IRB. You can have a scientist who knows how to do the science and doesn't know how to manage administration around it, that is not a good PI." SSA
Innovation/ nurture creativity	"This system was set up very much on colonial systems, the colonialists themselves have changed theirs, they have evolved and we are still sitting there. We need to change that." SSA "Unless you allow people to be curious, they can't be researchers even when they have great ideas they just can't be, because you have destroyed their innovation." SSA
Institutional/ Infrastructure/ DON'T CARE	"I tried different opportunities to come back… many universities did not even consider my application... your career path is a researcher what we need are teachers." MENA
Local agenda and ownership of research	"If we had our own funding for health systems and our health programs, we would have our own target. We would be less at the disadvantage in determining the direction of our health programs." SSA
Passion and resilience	"So you have to find local solutions. None of my publications has received any funding: Zero funding, and we used the resource which is commonly available to everyone. Where is the resource? In between your two ears."
Institutional policies	"We have a lot of good policies that we do as a country, like there is a national research ethnics group... We have a database where if you want a part do research in a province better get a proposal this province give you feedback, they give you all of that. So that system is nice." SSA
Self-made/ initiative	"At a certain point, you have to make a concerted effort to improve it. My writing has improved, because you read, you observe, you change but for some people who are stuck in that." SSA "So you have to find local solutions. I tell everyone we talk about funding, none of my publication has received any funding: Zero funding, and we used the resource

	which is commonly available to everyone. Where is the resource? In between your two ears." ASIA "There could be very good mentors that could be very good sponsors but if you yourself are not driven, and don't take advantage of that mentorship and sponsorship… you may become a researcher but that's not the ideal situation or you might not get to the potential that you might want to get to."
Resistance and negativity	"There is bureaucracy that you have to overcome, something you are supposed to do for five years, you spend four years overcoming bureaucracy and then by time you are finally able to train the person… you are able to do the research but can't do it as you wanted." ASIA "At institutional levels, we have already discussed. They have to support, they have to encourage, they have to record, they have to give protected time like every paper has been typed by me, I don't have a secretary… It takes a long time...I could have done more thinking but still I have to do all this." ASIA

MEANS OF FUNDING	
Corruption/ Accountability	"There was funding allocated for students to do their field work…and do the data collection, every student got some money to do that… We have been hearing different stories that there is corruption, money goes somewhere else unfortunately."
Finance/ funding	"There is no connect to people … even on a political level and so there is no money for it… people running research, but they don't have money. You need money for research and so unfortunately until governments start funding this work, it hard." "… has quite enlightened leadership... They decided to invest in science and in education, in fact I work here, and it is called education city. Literally it is a city for education science and research… Fortunately we have good access to funding… I am basically enjoying my career… We have had really extremely high productivity; I can tell you for sure if I was in the USA I could not have had this kind of success."
Incentives/Awards	"For each publication, you will get some incentive… based on the number of publications you have… so you will be recognized… you will also get some financial incentive and also get some promotion based on that."
Job satisfaction/ brain drain	"People that are trained are not into the system they are outside of the country, brain drain and so on, which affects research." MENA
Purity of science/ Tied Aid	"The thing is that they also come with their agendas. Agenda is not necessarily a bad thing but they also come with their agendas... Again there are others have had foundati grants where honestly they have no agenda except we have to fund you research" SSA
Resourcefulness	"Now when science is multidisciplinary and collaborative, we struggle because we are used to despising the other one, "I am the best in this, I am the best in that" but now yo have to work with everybody because it takes a whole village to do a clinical trial." SS

	“African countries should not think of PEPFAR (The President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief)...the end of PEPFAR is inevitable" … We need to be funding ourselves b the same way we are dealing with research is the same way we are dealing with fundin we have not moved, we have not invested in the research.” SSA “Encourage them, it could even be co-funding by the way… if someone for example would apply and they get some kind of funding we match it, something that is pretty common in the united states… So in this way also we support their successes.” MENA
Scholarship support	“… a lot of that was fueled by the research money and then of course Fogarty program nearly anyone who is anyone in Africa who is doing HIV work has the Fogarty training SSA “…reflecting on my very own experience. I don’t think I would have gotten to the plac where I am at now without the scholarship, honestly… part of the challenge is having t idea even before giving someone the scholarship. The selection criteria of the students should be students who represent institutions so that building that capacity of that individual ends in building the capacity of the institution that the individual represents. very much applaud the Kenyan experience with University of Washington… everyone who comes to have a masters or a PhD, they have already established positions. They finish their degree and then they go back and they are involved in teaching, training of trainers and all of that. That I think is the model to choose. In Sudan for example, the s reality is... the majority just applied as individuals and they qualified as individuals, the have good potential skills and all of that but not so much connection to an institution th they would invest in building.” MENA

EXPERTISE & EXPERIENCE	Quote
Parachute science/ Extractive practices	“Many of the international collaborations that actually happened in our region, it was really more they needed partners locally... It involves some capacity building but at the end of the day, really the research is American research or European research... I won’t say that it will necessarily build sufficient capacity. I prefer honestly the more indigenous, where the research is led by people here on the ground, they build their own career... The condition for international collaborations here, two thirds of the funds have to be spent locally...” MENA “Ideas of the projects were coming from high income countries… in low income countries we just become collectors and we would not be mentioned in articles… We put a standing rule...No research will be published in Africa without having an African contemporary as a partner.” SSA
language barrier/ Writing	“There is a language barrier to some extent… people have the perception that it all has to be in English and whenever it is in English then they don’t feel comfortable...” MENA “We are not taught how to write…, our writing is atrocious. I just have to say it… I think to have Americans or white people looking at it, they have to be polite because it can be a very sensitive thing for them to say anything. Our writing is atrocious.”

	SSA
Mentorship/ sponsorship/next gen	” …mentorship, mentorship-attitude, mentorship-growth. encouraging people to truly mentor and build the next generation… requires a little bit of selflessness… you have to be willing to allow someone else to overtake you... How do we grow that culture, In a world where everybody wants to be top dog.” SSA “Structured mentoring is very important where you have senior people mentoring the students then you are also having peer to peer mentoring among the students and the post-docs. So mentoring is going top-down but also bottom up and sideways, that’s very important.” SSA “Researcher is really an apprenticeship, its learning by apprenticeship, which is mentorship.”
Opportunities	“as part of this building, the ecosystem or the scientific community, they even give funding for high school students to do research, opportunities for internships. They go to schools sometimes and talk about research.” MENA “Unfortunately, in the region there aren’t really attractive opportunities. It’s hard to find good opportunities especially when you compare resources. It was actually a struggle to find such an opportunity.” MENA
Publishing	“So I have written in Lancet that we have to support our national journals because if we send our papers to national journals then they will readily accept it, welcome it and publish it… instead of running after fancy journals who are very snobbish and who are going to refuse our papers. In the world of social media, it doesn’t matter where the paper is published, once you have the DOI, you can share it on email, on twitter, on face book… It will be known to everybody that you have published this paper… it doesn't have to be New England Journal of Medicine, American Journal of Surgery, Lancet or JAMA.” ASIA
Research experience	“I had a really good training in different science cultures and of course part of the culture here… I think it’s really important to get this training because people get exposed to a different way of thinking, to have things really done in an established scientific program and then when they come back, they come back with that experience to use here.” MENA
Research for research sake	“Students reached out to me… interested in getting our internship, from hospitals and colleges based in Bahrain… the students don’t want to research… they want the benefit of research that will get attached on their C.V when they are applying to the U.S.A or UK or Europe.” ASIA
Training & excellence	“So how do you connect what is in your head with your heart for good. I think training... I am a living blue print of a star. It’s not that.....I am not making it up... I was trained as an undergraduate to do research and that I articulated to what I did in PhD.” SSA “We are not training people in how to communicate and disseminate research

	especially in the written form. Oral is all very good and its excellent… but a lot of what goes to really change the research world needs to be written, needs to be published, needs to be in this peer reviewed format." SSA
Trends and re-learning	"Nairobi University sits on their laurels that they are the best. Moi University came from being an underdog to trying to see what they could do, they were open to collaborations, they were open to more, they were open to opportunities … Moi definitely has given Nairobi a run for its money." SSA

RECOGNITION	**Quotes**
Self-recognition (confidence/ imposter syndrome)	"We have limitations, we have historical issues but you still have to learn and the thing is, our brains are just as set up as wired as anybody else. We have to get our minds to do the work." SSA "It is really more about the doubts that we have in ourselves you know, because you have been trained...some people will think like I am not cut out for this, I am not good enough, so it's real that lack of self-efficacy. lack of belief. I think it is really overcoming **that internalized goliath** we should start early... We have to make people know that you have something to contribute… that can make a difference." SSA
Inequity and bias (epistemic injustice)	"when I joined university, it was still very much patriarchal and like very condescending and don't see it disappeared because there are still some people who sort of say," you won't be able to do that, it's kind of impossible" So, I have taken it the other way round, every time somebody tells me you can't do it, it's impossible, I am like, "I am determined to show you that you are wrong," SSA "When I come home, I can do some research work or play with my children but for a woman, the same responsibilities plus running the family…, it is not taken very serious by institutions when a woman is asking for research funding and a man is different. It will be a burden on the woman" SSA "Journals reject and are suspicious of African articles [paraphrased]. If I just send an article to the Lancet from Ethiopia and say your "African name." They will say who is "African name"? It's very difficult, but if its someone like "John Miller" sending an article from Harvard sending, I don't think it will have the same acceptance." SSA "Funds are there but you know, funds are...should I speak really? Funds are given to their favorites. There is a lot of favoritism… Every nation has crafty institutes, Central institutes where important people go for their treatment. The funding goes to these big institutions because they are in the funding committee of all the government departments…, it hardly ever comes to grassroots, never." ASIA
Breaking glass ceilings	"But you have to break the glass ceiling. They will not offer it for you, they will not offer it for me. The global note, we have to break many glass ceilings and that is just one of them. Nobody is going to say, "Come on, welcome" Nobody is going to put a red carpet for you, come, you are welcome. You have to break each and every glass ceiling, but they will never talk about it, they will always say, "we are promoting women," but it is not going to happen." ASIA

Success definition	“if I stayed in the USA I would not have had the same success as I have it here, it’s definitely way more and the reason for this is because the resources here are better, in the USA, it is extremely competitive you know like getting a grant, it’s very competitive right now but here the competition is definitely less. So this allowed me to build much bigger things, to do lots of things yah. So, I have been very, very productive.” MENA “Of course I can talk of the papers, at personal level these things really give a lot of contentment. I mean just to see a whole generation you know, coming through, getting trained, and now they are actively working in many different places and they are active researchers and they are generating papers.” MENA “There needs to be high profiled status within publication groups and not like middle authorship… So for me it’s about the respect and then and the recognition of the partners in those groups.” SSA
Communication & Public relations	“PR for research is not good. We do not do enough story telling about our research… even lay people do not know what you are doing, so there is just not enough PR on research to let it garner the economics needed to do the work. Students do not know the value…, in the community people don’t understand it, politicians don’t even know it… You know when McDonald is coming to town; it has good PR… with beautiful colors that make people get attracted to. Beer, tobacco, all have got good PR, they call in what you want, they pick it up and people use it. Research has no PR.”
COLLABORATION	”It’s also about finding the right collaborators, building those personal relationships with the funding agents… what makes you smart is building those relationships with NIH grant program officers, the Gates foundation with the EU, the Canadians, those interpersonal relationships and networks… that’s where you hear information and things you have not seen published online.” “As part of this model, they contract several American universities to open campuses here and yah I got recruited fortunately in one of those universities called world Cornell medical college, which is part of the Cornel university in the USA."
CULTURE	“I think I would put some of that money in changing behaviors and mental model of potential young researchers, I am not giving up on the old ones but I think I would go to the ones that are still coming up. I will put significant resources in engaging the early, just as they are choosing careers to be letting them know where research is place, whether you are doing medicine, public health, nursing even social sciences, similar thing.” SSA ”You need to surround yourself with the people who understand. It might seem like it is work to you, but this is life and death for some of us (M: Yes) And so I want to be around people who value that, who appreciate that, it is not about 8-5, it is about life and death.” SSA