Nursing and midwifery students' perceptions and experiences of clinical learning in Papua New Guinea: A hermeneutic phenomenological study

Nikki Naki Joseph[1*], Gracelyn Potjepat[1], Marian Vowari Minnala[1], Paula Zebedee Aines[1], Lilian Temo[1], McKenzie Maviso[2,3*]

[1]Division of Nursing, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Papua New Guinea, Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea

[2]Division of Public Health, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Papua New Guinea, Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea

[3]School of Social Sciences, Faculty of Social Sciences, Arts and Humanities, University of Wollongong, NSW Australia

Correspondence:

Email: mckenzie.maviso@upng.ac.pg; mm268@uowmail.edu.au

Nursing and midwifery students' perceptions and experiences of clinical learning in Papua New Guinea: A hermeneutic phenomenological study

Abstract

Background

Clinical practice plays a vital role in developing the skills and competence of nursing and midwifery students. However, the clinical learning environment can significantly impact their ability to effectively translate theoretical knowledge into practice. This study aimed to investigate the perceptions and experiences of student nurses and midwives concerning their clinical learning in a large hospital in Papua New Guinea (PNG).

Design

A hermeneutic phenomenological study.

Methods

Purposive sampling was employed to recruit 18 nursing and midwifery students to participate in this study. Individual in-depth interviews were conducted with a semi-structured interview guide, and data were analyzed thematically, drawing on hermeneutic phenomenological principles.

Findings

Four themes emerged from the interview data, demonstrating the participants' perceptions and experiences during their clinical placements: a supportive learning environment, minimal clinical engagement and dismissive attitudes, poor clinical supervision and unmet learning outcomes, and inadequate clinical resources.

Conclusion

Findings showed that dismissive staff attitudes, lack of supervision, and inadequate resources negatively impacted students' clinical practice and learning. Enhancing capacity and promoting optimal clinical learning outcomes could be achieved through effective collaboration between training institutions and hospitals with sufficient resources available.

Keywords: Clinical learning; Clinical practice; Nursing students; Hermeneutics; Phenomenology; Papua New Guinea

1. Background

Clinical learning and practice constitute an indispensable component of nursing education, where students integrate cognitive, affective, and psychomotor skills to develop critical clinical competencies for practice (Jacob et al., 2023). Using the nursing competency scale, Meretoja et al. (2004) described clinical competence as the ability of students to integrate knowledge, skills, attitudes, and values into nursing practices, which remain important for professional standards. Nursing and midwifery students must apply their theoretical knowledge as they confront challenges that help build their courage and confidence in real-life clinical situations (Aryuwat et al., 2024). The skills students acquire in clinical practice, along with the difficulties they encounter, serve as catalysts for developing critical thinking, decision-making, and emotional resilience, which ultimately enhance adaptability and professional confidence, that are crucial for their seamless transition into practice (Jacob et al., 2023; Yu et al., 2021).

The clinical learning environment is the interactive arrangement of factors within the clinical setting where students finish their clinical courses, apply theoretical knowledge, gain clinical skills, and cultivate problem-solving and clinical reasoning capabilities (Zhang et al., 2022). A typical clinical learning environment includes health professionals, nurse educators, and patients, which affects nursing students' careers either positively or negatively by impacting their performance (Jacob et al., 2023). Four distinct attributes of a clinical learning environment are the physical environment, interpersonal and psychosocial aspects, organizational culture, and clinical teaching components (Flott & Linden, 2016). During clinical placements, the combination of practical skills and the application of theoretical knowledge, including the quality of mentorship received, significantly influences nursing students' motivation, promotes their confidence and engagement, and reinforces their commitment to the nursing profession (Wuni et al., 2025; Zhang et al., 2022).

Given the significance of clinical learning, a growing body of evidence highlights a strong correlation between the quality of the learning environment and nursing students’ satisfaction (Cant et al., 2021). A supportive clinical learning environment that encompasses effective supervision, constructive feedback, and a collaborative culture, enhances coping abilities, improves learning opportunities, and supports the growth of skilled and resilient practitioners (Cant et al., 2021; Jacob et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2022). A study conducted in Spain discovered that nursing students expressed high levels of satisfaction with their clinical learning environment and supervision at hospitals where they completed clinical placements, suggesting a positive relationship between these elements (Rodríguez-García et al., 2021). A strong sense of belonging during clinical placements can boost students’ confidence and enhance their motivation to learn (Levett-Jones et al., 2009). Additionally, Mikkonen et al. (2020)

highlighted that clinical mentoring is crucial for the professional development of nursing students and can significantly increase their motivation to enter and remain in the profession.

Students may struggle to apply theoretical knowledge in practice when the clinical learning environment lacks sufficient support or fails to foster a positive atmosphere. Research has shown that negative experiences and poor learning environments, marked by negative attitudes from staff toward working with seniors, adversely impact students' learning (Zhang et al., 2022). Students' clinical learning can also be influenced by interpersonal, sociocultural, instructional, environmental, emotional, and physical factors (Lee et al., 2018). A range of interpersonal and intrapersonal factors, including insufficient teaching and learning materials, a hostile work environment, inadequate communication and strained relationships between healthcare professionals and students, a lack of clinical supervision, limited availability of clinical resources, and feelings of insecurity, have been found to negatively impact students' clinical practice (Amoo et al., 2022; Mbakaya et al., 2020).

Papua New Guinea (PNG) has one of the world's most dispersed and isolated populations, characterized by its diverse cultures. The country has a decentralized healthcare system based on primary healthcare principles (Ambang, 2015; Grundy et al., 2019). Access to healthcare services is variable as it is often affected by poor health infrastructure, limited transport access, and a lack of healthcare workers (Grundy et al., 2019). Workforce demand is high and evolving due to aging populations and an aging health and care workforce, along with rising challenges from diseases and health emergencies. Chronic underinvestment, imbalances in workforce supply and demand, and poor working conditions have led to persistent shortages in the health and care workforce in PNG (Dimiri et al., 2022; Renton & Muddle, 2024). There is considerable demand for an improved health workforce and equitable healthcare service delivery in PNG. Disparities in healthcare delivery and the workforce underscore the necessity for the expansion of the health workforce through training (Renton & Muddle, 2024).

Previous studies on nursing and midwifery education in PNG focused on the challenges new graduate midwives face and the broad aspects of nursing and midwifery education and regulation (Goveh et al., 2022; Moores et al., 2015, 2016; Rumsey et al., 2013). There are currently no published studies that specifically examine the perceptions and experiences of nursing or midwifery students in their clinical learning environments in PNG. Additionally, there is a lack of literature focused on nursing and midwifery education in the country. Addressing this knowledge gap is crucial for enhancing the quality of nursing and midwifery education, improving clinical learning practices, and identifying areas that require attention within programs in PNG. Therefore, this study aims to explore the perceptions and experiences of nursing and midwifery students regarding their clinical learning environments and clinical practices.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design

Framed within an interpretive philosophical paradigm, a hermeneutic phenomenological approach informed by the work of (van Manen, 2016) was used in this study. This approach focused on the lived experiences related to the phenomenon being investigated (Laverty, 2003). To understand the essence of this phenomenon, it is important to explore these lived experiences through phenomenological descriptions. The hermeneutical aspect involves interpreting these descriptions based on the principle of understanding the experiences shared by participants (Laverty, 2003). Qualitative research seeks to explore the meaning of these experiences and places an emphasis on trust, transparency, verifiability, and flexibility. As a result, it was considered an appropriate method for developing insights and interpretations in nursing and midwifery education (Polit & Beck, 2008).

2.2. Sampling

A purposive sampling technique was employed to select 18 students from the Bachelor of Clinical Nursing programs: Child Health (n=3), Midwifery (n=6), Mental Health (n=2), and Critical Care (n=7) at the School of Medicine and Health Science, University of Papua New Guinea (UPNG). Purposive sampling is a type of non-probability sampling method that involves researchers selecting a specific group of individuals based on particular characteristics that are relevant to the study (Stratton, 2023). This approach was suitable for the current study because the researchers aimed to collect detailed information on the clinical learning experiences of student nurses and midwives. Students enrolled in other health disciplines were excluded from this study.

2.3. Data Collection

Following informed consent, the primary researchers used a semi-structured interview guide consisting of four main questions to guide the discussion. Participants were asked, ‘Please, tell us about your clinical experiences at the hospital. Tell us more about the…’ Follow-up questions were posed based on their statements and responses. Additionally, probing questions were asked regarding the participants’ responses and opinions (e.g., “Would you elaborate more on this?” or “What did you mean by saying…?”) to obtain in-depth information. The interviews provided participants with a platform to lead discussions and share their perceptions and experiences regarding clinical learning. In alignment with hermeneutic phenomenology (van Manen, 2016), interviews fostered the development of conversational relationships with participants, allowing for a deeper exploration and reflection on the significance of their experiences. All interviews were recorded and transcribed verbatim after the interview sessions. Each interview lasted approximately 30 to 50 minutes. The interview process continued until data saturation was achieved. The study’s credibility

was achieved by consistently checking the audio recordings and transcriptions for alignment with the data interpretation, identified codes, and themes. All audio-recorded interviews were transcribed verbatim.

2.4. Data Analysis

Using hermeneutic phenomenological data analysis techniques, recurring themes were identified, leading to a 'thick description' of participants' experiences (van Manen, 2016). A thematic analysis was conducted using a six-step process to examine qualitative data, systematically identifying and organizing patterns of meaning into themes that provide deeper insights into participants' perspectives and experiences (Braun & Clarke, 2022). Systematic readings of the transcripts were performed to achieve familiarity with the data through open-minded reading. All speech patterns (such as pauses, laughter, vocalizations, or facial expressions) were eliminated, and grammar was corrected to enhance readability without altering the underlying meaning of the participants' narratives. The data analyses were conducted manually and tabled in a Word document by outlining the notes, codes, and categories in each column based on the similarities in the meaning. The collated codes and categories were further analyzed for patterns of meaning that led to the identification of the sub-theme and themes. The themes were further refined and named to represent the participants' perceptions and experiences (Braun & Clarke, 2022). An independent reviewer (not involved in the study) examined the themes for validation. Reporting adheres to the Standards for Reporting Qualitative Research (SRQR) Checklist (O'Brien et al., 2014).

2.5. Rigor

This study used four criteria to establish rigor: (1) credibility, (2) transferability, (3) dependability, and (4) confirmability (Cypress, 2017). First, credibility was established based on several strategies throughout the study. During data collection, participants were actively engaged in interviews, followed by debriefing sessions to review the process. Four independent researchers were involved in the data analysis and coding of the themes. The researchers reviewed each theme to ensure that it accurately reflected the participants' narratives. Second, the transferability of the findings was ensured by providing a detailed description of the study context. Third, dependability was established through the dense description of the methodology used and the description of the data. All interview materials, transcriptions, findings, interpretations, and recommendations were kept accessible to the principal investigator and supervisor to allow for an audit trail; descriptions, codes, and themes were also confirmed (Cypress, 2017). Finally, confirmability was enhanced by using verbatim quotations from participants' narratives and incorporating field notes, which helped minimize researcher-induced biases (Cypress, 2017).The data were shared among colleagues for peer review and analysis to ensure trustworthiness.

2.6. Ethical considerations

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee of the School of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Papua New Guinea (02-07-2024). Students received information sheets and provided informed consent before participating in the study. They were also informed that their participation was voluntary, and they could withdraw from the study at any time without facing any consequences, such as affecting their grades or standing in the program.

3. Results

A total of 18 nursing and midwifery students (3 males and 15 females) participated in this study. Students were between the ages of 30 and 40 with more than five years of clinical experience in rural and urban healthcare facilities. Analysis of the data identified four overarching themes: (1) Supportive learning environment, (2) Minimal clinical engagement and dismissive attitudes, (3) Unsatisfactory clinical supervision, and (4) Clinical resource inadequacy.

3.1. Supportive learning environment

Participants indicated that the clinical environment, which was friendly and supportive, enabled them to translate theoretical knowledge into clinical competence and effectively apply practice, enhancing the learning experience:

> "*When I first arrived at my assigned clinical workplace, I realized it was a completely new environment. I was confused and anxious, not knowing where essential items like the emergency trolley were located…... But the staff were friendly and helpful… they showed me where medical or emergency equipment is kept... I could work confidently." (Participant 3)*
>
> *When I first entered my clinical workplace, I was shy and felt uncomfortable as the environment was new to me. However, I was greeted by a friendly staff who orientated me to the workplace setting… I felt welcomed and accepted to work and learn." (Participant 1)*

Additionally, other students reported that the staff were friendly and supportive and provided necessary clinical supervision and assessment. One student commented:

> *"The staff has been very supportive. They guided us through their workplace orientation and called us in whenever they needed to demonstrate a procedure… they also allowed us to perform some procedures. This helped us build our confidence as students." (Participant 10)*

3.2. Minimal clinical engagement and dismissive attitudes

Many students reported experiencing bias during clinical supervision and assessment from certain nurses, which contributed to their feelings of dissatisfaction. Similarly, other students commented that

some nurses seemed oblivious and were unsupportive in providing student clinical supervision, when necessary, as stated by two students:

> *"Some staff members were friendly, but I noticed that others were selective in their assistance when it came to assessing students' clinical competencies. Also, some staff members did not communicate well with us; they didn't greet us and responded to our questions in an unfriendly manner." (Participant 4)*

> *"Sometimes, we were not greeted by the staff in the ward; instead, they just ignored us, I do not know why this happened. Some would walk past us without acknowledging our presence… it was difficult to work with them." (Participant 5)*

3.3. Poor clinical supervision and unmet learning outcomes

Students expressed concerns and dissatisfaction about the clinical supervision and its potential effect on their skill competencies. In most instances, the provision of clinical supervision for nursing students during their clinical placements remains inadequate, as stated by a participant:

> *"We just do our clinical procedures on our own… there was no proper clinical supervision. Some nurses would tell us to do what we can with our procedures. After the procedure is completed, they just sign the clinical logbooks. This is not good!" (Participant 9)*

Other students revealed that they had to perform their clinical practice without being supervised. They were instructed to call the clinical supervisors or other health workers only when they required supervision. Consequently, students were challenged to link theoretical knowledge and skills during clinical practice. A student said:

> *"There is no (clinical) supervision… the nurses are just instructed to perform clinical procedures independently. If you feel confused or encounter an emergency, please call us for assistance. That was their advice to us…" (Participant 2)*

Only a few students revealed that they were adequately supervised and assessed during their clinical practice, especially in urban clinical healthcare facilities, as expressed by one of the students:

> *"They are willing to help us in clinical procedures and other areas when we ask questions or when in doubt. They help us, even though they are busy. At least they will come to us when we are in need." (Participant 15)*

3.4. Clinical resource inadequacy

Concerning the accessibility and use of clinical resources and equipment, students had differing views and experiences during their clinical placements. In most instances, the important clinical equipment needed for assessment and diagnosis, such as a stethoscope and sphygmomanometer, were unavailable or limited. A few students commented:

> *"We do not have enough resources, including sterile equipment, such as suture trays and gloves to do a vaginal examination, especially in the Labor ward…so most of the time we run out and use unsterile tools/equipment to do our procedures." (Participate 7)*

> *"We do not have enough resources, like the delivery trays in the labor ward. We wash them and use them. Also, we are not using the sterile technique. Instead, we often use what is available. Sometimes, we clean the trays with alcohol swabs or simply rinse them before using them again." (Participant 8)*

4. Discussion

The present study employed a hermeneutic phenomenological approach to elucidate the perceptions and experiences of nursing and midwifery students regarding clinical learning. The results showed that a supportive learning environment, minimal clinical engagement and dismissive attitudes, unsatisfactory clinical outcomes, and clinical resource inadequacy were significant factors influencing students' clinical learning outcomes.

A supportive clinical learning environment enables students to develop skills, link theory with practice, and enhance learning outcomes. They are more likely to practice and learn in a clinical environment that is safe, friendly, and welcoming. Similar to prior studies (Rodríguez-García et al., 2021; Saukkoriipi et al., 2020; Sundler et al., 2014), this study found that the clinical learning environment directly impacts clinical performance and learning, serving as a vital link between academic instruction and practical skill development. Evidence suggests that a supportive environment with a positive workplace culture allows nursing and midwifery students to engage in clinical learning, develop their clinical skills and competencies, and foster interpersonal and professional relationships (Arkan et al., 2018; McTier et al., 2023; Rajjoub & AlMukhtar, 2024). Other studies have found that nursing and midwifery students build on confidence and the willingness to learn when the clinical environment is supportive, as characterized by open communication and feedback, as well as trust and mutual respect among clinicians and students (Amimaruddin & RuditaIdris, 2021; Zhang et al., 2022). This study highlights how a supportive clinical learning environment improves student engagement, knowledge acquisition, clinical skills, and confidence.

In contrast, the study's findings indicated that clinical nurses exhibited prejudice and negative attitudes while supervising nursing and midwifery students. Unethical attitudes and behaviors in healthcare can affect student learning, performance, satisfaction, and patient outcomes (Oshodi & Sookhoo, 2025). Recent studies confirm that clinician biases and unsupportive attitudes toward clinical supervision can negatively affect students' clinical learning (Anagor et al., 2021). Negative attitudes from clinicians can impede nursing and midwifery students' learning in clinical settings by fostering an unsupportive environment and restricting opportunities for students to ask questions and practice their skills, ultimately affecting their learning and confidence (Günaydin & Arguvanli Çoban, 2021; Oshodi & Sookhoo, 2025). However, a supportive clinical environment with effective training can boost their confidence and improve clinical learning experiences (Jacob et al., 2023). Students who perceive positive attitudes and mentorship from staff engage actively in clinical practice, gain skills, and enhance their learning experience, while negative attitudes hinder development and decrease confidence in students (Li et al., 2024; Phuma-Ngaiyaye et al., 2017). Future studies could explore potential inhibitors to learn arising from nurses' lack of engagement and supervision in clinical settings.

Clinical supervisors play crucial roles in the development of students' clinical competence and confidence, guaranteeing safe and patient-centered care. Students in our study claimed that a lack of clinical supervision was the most significant factor contributing to their dissatisfaction, a finding that reflects recent studies on clinical learning (Atashi et al., 2024; Lee et al., 2018; Mahasneh et al., 2020). A possible reason for this issue is the lack of competency among clinical supervisors, who may not have the authority to effectively support nursing students during their placements. It can be difficult for nurses to provide effective supervision while also managing their daily patient care responsibilities (Tuomikoski et al., 2020). Another reason for inadequate clinical supervision could be the shortage of qualified supervisors and insufficient qualification requirements. This lack of oversight may stem from inadequate infrastructure and a lack of formal training, which can negatively impact students' learning and practice (Atashi et al., 2024). Clinical supervision is a key mechanism for enhancing professional knowledge and skills in nursing, improving practice effectiveness, and enforcing standards (Atashi et al., 2024; Driscoll et al., 2019). It can also improve patient care effectively by identifying needs, improving quality, and fostering better communication between nurses and patients (Inocian et al., 2022). Having well-trained clinical supervisors and facilitators is essential for enhancing the clinical learning experience of nursing students for competent clinical practice.

This study found that nursing and midwifery students lacked sufficient resources and equipment during their clinical placements, hindering their ability to effectively apply theoretical knowledge in real-world settings. The findings are in agreement with a similar study conducted in Tanzania (Jacob et al., 2023). This negative clinical experience may contribute to the theory-practice gap, resulting in incompetence and low confidence among nursing students after completing their studies. Additionally,

an increased application of non-sterile techniques for clinical procedures was reported by the students in this study. The issue likely stems from a lack of sterile equipment in clinical settings, as noted in a study from Malawi (Mbakaya et al., 2020). Limited clinical resources create substantial obstacles, thereby impeding the effectiveness of participation in clinical learning practice in order to attain the best possible clinical learning experiences. Adequate availability and accessibility of medical resources and sterile equipment in clinical settings can improve students' ability to engage effectively in clinical practice, which fosters self-motivation, confidence, and competency (Gemuhay et al., 2019). The study emphasized the demand for health institutions to provide adequate resources and build the capacity to improve students' learning in clinical settings.

The study explored the perceptions and experiences of nursing and midwifery students regarding clinical teaching and learning, which remained essential for improving their clinical practice. While this study's findings are consistent with other research regarding the clinical learning experiences of nursing and midwifery students in various settings, it does have some limitations. First, it focused solely on nursing and midwifery students from a single institution, which may not fully represent the views and experiences of students in equivalent programs at other institutions. Second, the study did not consider the perspectives of clinicians regarding clinical supervision, which could have provided valuable insights into their roles. Therefore, there is a need for a larger study to examine the impact of clinical learning experiences on both students and clinicians.

5. Conclusion

This study examined nursing and midwifery students' perceptions and experiences related to clinical learning and practice. Despite having a supportive clinical learning environment, students faced challenges with engaging with clinical supervisors and had insufficient resources. The study emphasizes the need for stronger collaboration between training institutions and hospitals to improve clinical preceptorship, enhance supervision quality, and align educational curricula with practical training requirements. In addition, investing in initiatives that build capacity and allocating sufficient resources for clinicians involved in supervision can substantially improve clinical learning outcomes. Future research is needed to explore the perspectives and experiences of clinical supervisors and educators regarding clinical learning and practice.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the School of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Papua New Guinea. Additionally, the authors wish to thank the nursing and midwifery students who participated in this research. Special acknowledgement to Dr. Carolyn Hastie from Griffith University, whose constructive feedback and suggestions significantly enhanced the quality of this manuscript.

Declaration of Interest statement

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Nikki Naki Joseph: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Project administration, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **Gracelyn Potjepat**: Visualization, Validation, Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. **Marian Vowari Minnala**: Visualization, Validation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. **Paula Zebedee Aines**: Visualization, Validation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. **Lilian Temo**: Writing – review & editing. **McKenzie Maviso**: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review & editing, Supervision.

Declaration of Generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process

No generative AI was used during the preparation of this work and in the writing process.

References

Ambang, T. (2015). Implementation of the national health plan in the PNG decentralised health system. *Contemporary PNG Studies*, *22*, 105–118.

Amimaruddin DK Siti Najibah Munjiyah Pg & RuditaIdris Deeni. (2021). Exploring Student Nurses' Learning Experience in the Clinical Setting: A Literature Review. *International Journal of Nursing Education*, *14*(1), 31–37. https://doi.org/10.37506/ijone.v14i1.17733

Anagor, C. R., Lukpata, F. E., Ikechukwu-Okoroezi, J. N., & Obiora, M. U. (2021). Attitude of Nursing Students Towards Work in the Clinical Learning Environment. *International Journal of Studies in Nursing*, *6*(1), 54. https://doi.org/10.20849/ijsn.v6i1.855

Arkan, B., Ordin, Y., & Yılmaz, D. (2018). Undergraduate nursing students' experience related to their clinical learning environment and factors affecting to their clinical learning process. *Nurse Education in Practice*, *29*, 127–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2017.12.005

Aryuwat, P., Holmgren, J., Asp, M., Radabutr, M., & Lövenmark, A. (2024). Experiences of Nursing Students Regarding Challenges and Support for Resilience during Clinical Education: A Qualitative Study. *Nursing Reports*, *14*(3), 1604–1620. https://doi.org/10.3390/nursrep14030120

Atashi, V., Movahedi Najafabadi, M., Afshari, A., & Ghafari, S. (2024). Barriers to effective clinical supervision from the perspective of nurses: A descriptive qualitative study. *Nursing Open*, *11*(1), e2028. https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.2028

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2022). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. SAGE.

Cant, R., Ryan, C., & Cooper, S. (2021). Nursing students' evaluation of clinical practice placements using the Clinical Learning Environment, Supervision and Nurse Teacher scale – A systematic review. *Nurse Education Today*, *104*, 104983. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2021.104983

Cypress, B. S. (2017). Rigor or Reliability and Validity in Qualitative Research: Perspectives, Strategies, Reconceptualization, and Recommendations. *Dimensions of Critical Care Nursing*, *36*(4). https://journals.lww.com/dccnjournal/fulltext/2017/07000/rigor_or_reliability_and_validity_in_qualitative.6.aspx

Dimiri, D., Mek, N., Apini, M. T., Ali, T., Pumuye, G. T., Laka, V. J., Jogo, R., Kari, P., Deki, Mollent, O., Luo, D., Maalsen, A., Yapi, K., & Madodo, R. (2022). Estimating staffing requirements using workload indicators of staffing need at Braun District Hospital in Morobe Province, Papua New Guinea. *Human Resources for Health*, *19*(1), 142. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-021-00677-x

Driscoll, J., Stacey, G., Harrison-Dening, K., Boyd, C., & Shaw, T. (2019). Enhancing the quality of clinical supervision in nursing practice. *Nursing Standard*, *34*(5), 43–50. https://doi.org/10.7748/ns.2019.e11228

Flanagan, J., Beck, C. T., & Polit, D. F. (2025). *Polit and Beck's nursing research: Generating and assessing evidence for nursing practice* (Twelfth edition). Wolters Kluwer.

Flott, E. A., & Linden, L. (2016). The clinical learning environment in nursing education: A concept analysis. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *72*(3), 501–513. https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.12861

Gemuhay, H. M., Kalolo, A., Mirisho, R., Chipwaza, B., & Nyangena, E. (2019). Factors Affecting Performance in Clinical Practice among Preservice Diploma Nursing Students in Northern Tanzania. *Nursing Research and Practice*, *2019*, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/3453085

Grundy, J., Dakulala, P., Wai, K., Maalsen, A., & Whittaker, M. (2019). *Independent state of Papua New Guinea health system review* (Vol. 9, Issue 1). World Health Organization. Regional Office for South-East Asia.

Günaydin, N., & Arguvanli Çoban, S. (2021). Experiences of nursing students during clinical education in mental health clinics: A phenomenological qualitative study. *Nurse Education in Practice*, *54*, 103113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2021.103113

Inocian, E. P., Hill, M. B., Felicilda-Reynaldo, R. F. D., Kelly, S. H., Paragas, E. D., & Turk, M. T. (2022). Factors in the clinical learning environment that influence caring behaviors of undergraduate nursing students: An integrative review. *Nurse Education in Practice*, *63*, 103391. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2022.103391

Jacob, A., Seif, S., & Munyaw, Y. (2023). Perceptions and experiences of diploma nursing students on clinical learning. A descriptive qualitative study in Tanzania. *BMC Nursing*, *22*(1), 225. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-023-01362-1

Laverty, S. M. (2003). Hermeneutic Phenomenology and Phenomenology: A Comparison of Historical and Methodological Considerations. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, *2*(3), 21–35. https://doi.org/10.1177/160940690300200303

Lee, J. J., Clarke, C. L., & Carson, M. N. (2018). Nursing students' learning dynamics and influencing factors in clinical contexts. *Nurse Education in Practice*, *29*, 103–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2017.12.003

Levett-Jones, T., Lathlean, J., Higgins, I., & McMillan, M. (2009). Staff – student relationships and their impact on nursing students' belongingness and learning. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *65*(2), 316–324. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2008.04865.x

Li, H., Xu, R., Gao, D., Fu, H., Yang, Q., Chen, X., Hou, C., & Gao, J. (2024). Evidence-based practice attitudes, knowledge and skills of nursing students and nurses, a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nurse Education in Practice*, *78*, 104024. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2024.104024

Mahasneh, D., Shoqirat, N., Al Hadid, L., Alja'afreh, M. A., & Shosha, G. M. A. (2020). Nursing students' experience of clinical supervision and contributing factors in Jordan. *Nurse Education Today*, *92*, 104515. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2020.104515

Mbakaya, B. C., Kalembo, F. W., Zgambo, M., Konyani, A., Lungu, F., Tveit, B., Kaasen, A., Simango, M., & Bvumbwe, T. (2020). Nursing and midwifery students' experiences and perception of their clinical learning environment in Malawi: A mixed-method study. *BMC Nursing*, *19*(1), 87. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-020-00480-4

McTier, L., Phillips, N. M., & Duke, M. (2023). Factors Influencing Nursing Student Learning During Clinical Placements: A Modified Delphi Study. *Journal of Nursing Education*, *62*(6), 333–341. https://doi.org/10.3928/01484834-20230404-01

Meretoja, R., Isoaho, H., & Leino-Kilpi, H. (2004). Nurse Competence Scale: Development and psychometric testing. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *47*(2), 124–133. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2004.03071.x

Mikkonen, K., Tomietto, M., Cicolini, G., Kaucic, B. M., Filej, B., Riklikiene, O., Juskauskiene, E., Vizcaya-Moreno, F., Pérez-Cañaveras, R. M., De Raeve, P., & Kääriäinen, M. (2020). Development and testing of an evidence-based model of mentoring nursing students in clinical practice. *Nurse Education Today*, *85*, 104272. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2019.104272

Moores, A., Puawe, P., Buasi, N., West, F., Samor, M. K., Joseph, N., Rumsey, M., Dawson, A., & Homer, C. S. E. (2016). Education, employment and practice: Midwifery graduates in Papua New Guinea. *Midwifery*, *41*, 22–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2016.07.006

Najafi Kalyani, M., Jamshidi, N., Molazem, Z., Torabizadeh, C., & Sharif, F. (2019). How do nursing students experience the clinical learning environment and respond to their experiences? A qualitative study. *BMJ Open*, *9*(7), e028052. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-028052

O'Brien, B. C., Harris, I. B., Beckman, T. J., Reed, D. A., & Cook, D. A. (2014). Standards for Reporting Qualitative Research: A Synthesis of Recommendations. *Academic Medicine*, *89*(9). https://journals.lww.com/academicmedicine/fulltext/2014/09000/standards_for_reporting_qualitative_research__a.21.aspx

Odei-Opoku Hd, V, B., K, A., Da, K.-A., A, L., & O, O. (2022). Nursing Students' Perception of the Clinical Environment in a Metropolitan Area in Ghana. *Acta Scientific Women's Health*, 50–56. https://doi.org/10.31080/ASWH.2022.04.0413

Oshodi, T. O., & Sookhoo, D. (2025). Nursing students' perceptions of inadequate nurse staffing in the clinical learning environment – a systematic narrative review. *Nurse Education in Practice*, *82*, 104221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2024.104221

Phuma-Ngaiyaye, E., Bvumbwe, T., & Chipeta, M. C. (2017). Using preceptors to improve nursing students' clinical learning outcomes: A Malawian students' perspective. *International Journal of Nursing Sciences*, *4*(2), 164–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnss.2017.03.001

Rajjoub, R., & AlMukhtar, S. (2024). Insights into Clinical Learning: Examining the Nursing Process as a Framework for Nursing Student Development - A Qualitative Study. *Mosul Journal of Nursing*, *12*(1), 210–217. https://doi.org/10.33899/mjn.2024.182904

Renton, N., & Muddle, G. (2024). Healthcare worker shortages in Papua New Guinea and the need to focus on employee engagement. *Journal of Management and Science*, *14*(1), 57–60. https://doi.org/10.26524/jms.14.7

Rodríguez-García, M. C., Gutiérrez-Puertas, L., Granados-Gámez, G., Aguilera-Manrique, G., & Márquez-Hernández, V. V. (2021). The connection of the clinical learning environment and supervision of nursing students with student satisfaction and future intention to work in clinical placement hospitals. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, *30*(7–8), 986–994. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.15642

Rumsey, M., & Brown, D. (2021). *Scoping Study: Improving the quality of nursing and midwifery education and regulation in Pacific Island countries and areas*. World Health Organization South Pacific Suva Fiji. http://hdl.handle.net/10453/150945

Rumsey, M., Thiessen, J., Sayers, J., & Kassian, C. (2013). Scaling-Up Nursing through Global Nursing and Midwifery Faculty Development: A Report on Nursing & Midwifery Education Programs, Faculty Staff and Nurse Educators in the Western Pacific. *WHO Collaborating Centre for Nursing, Midwifery and Health Development. University of Technology Sydney, Sydney*.

Saukkoriipi, M., Tuomikoski, A., Sivonen, P., Kärsämänoja, T., Laitinen, A., Tähtinen, T., Kääriäinen, M., Kuivila, H., Juntunen, J., Tomietto, M., & Mikkonen, K. (2020). Clustering clinical learning environment and mentoring perceptions of nursing and midwifery students: A cross-sectional study. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *76*(9), 2336–2347. https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.14452

Stratton, S. J. (2023). Population Sampling: Probability and Non-Probability Techniques. *Prehospital and Disaster Medicine*, *38*(2), 147–148. Cambridge Core. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049023X23000304

Sundler, A. J., Björk, M., Bisholt, B., Ohlsson, U., Engström, A. K., & Gustafsson, M. (2014). Student nurses' experiences of the clinical learning environment in relation to the organization of supervision: A questionnaire survey. *Nurse Education Today*, *34*(4), 661–666. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2013.06.023

Tuomikoski, A.-M., Ruotsalainen, H., Mikkonen, K., & Kääriäinen, M. (2020). Nurses' experiences of their competence at mentoring nursing students during clinical practice: A systematic review of qualitative studies. *Nurse Education Today*, *85*, 104258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2019.104258

Van Manen, M. (2016). *Researching lived experience: Human science for an action sensitive pedagogy* (2nd ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315421056

Wuni, A., Ibrahim, M. M., Diebieri, M., Nyarko, B. A., Salifu, M. A., Abdul-Karim, H. A., Atiku, G. P. K., Wepeba, T. W., Salisu, W. J., Suara, S. B., & Mohammed, I. S. (2025). Perceptions and satisfaction with the quality of clinical training placements among nursing and midwifery students in Northern Ghana. *BMC Nursing*, *24*(1), 245. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-025-02899-z

Yu, M., Tong, H., Li, S., Wu, X. V., Hong, J., & Wang, W. (2021). Clinical competence and its association with self-efficacy and clinical learning environments among Chinese undergraduate nursing students. *Nurse Education in Practice*, *53*, 103055. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2021.103055

Zhang, J., Shields, L., Ma, B., Yin, Y., Wang, J., Zhang, R., & Hui, X. (2022). The clinical learning environment, supervision and future intention to work as a nurse in nursing students: A cross-sectional and descriptive study. *BMC Medical Education*, *22*(1), 548. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-022-03609-y