Title: Estimating Excess Mortality Among People Living with HIV/AIDS During the

COVID-19 Pandemic in the USA

Running Head: US Excess Mortality Among PWHA During COVID-19

Lindsay M. HALL[1*], Hamed KARAMI[1,2], Gerardo CHOWELL[1,3*]

[1] Affiliation: Department of Population Health Sciences, Georgia State University,

Atlanta, GA, USA

[2] Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA,

USA

[3] Department of Applied Mathematics, Kyung Hee University, Yongin, 17104, Korea

*Corresponding Author. Contact Information:

11   Lindsay Hall: lhall63@student.gsu.edu

12   Gerardo Chowell: gchowell@gsu.edu, 404-413-9322

13   140 Decatur St. SE, Atlanta, GA 30303, USA

<u>Author's Highest Degree:</u>

Lindsay Hall: B.S., Biomedical Engineering

Hamed Karami: PhD, Mathematics

Gerardo Chowell: PhD, Biometry

Summary Word Count: 26

Abstract Word Count: 249

Text Word Count: 3500

Number of References: 30

Number of Figures/Tables: 5 Figures, 16 Supplementary Figures

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Sources of Funding: No funding was received for this study.

Summary: A study of people living with HIV/AIDS in the United States found

substantial excess mortality during COVID-19, with marked disparities by age,

race, sex, and region.

Abstract:

Background: Understanding syndemic effects of COVID-19 and HIV/AIDS can

inform future public health preparedness. This study quantifies the all-cause

excess death rate of people living with HIV/AIDS (PWHA) during the 2020-2022

COVID-19 pandemic in the United States (U.S.), including stratifications by sex,

age, race/ethnicity, and region.

Methods: Using publicly available data from the CDC AtlasPlus dashboard, we

employed ensemble n-subepidemic modeling framework (*SubEpiPredict* toolbox).

This dynamic parametric approach is well suited for counterfactual forecasting with

relatively short time series and was used to generate expected deaths among

PWHA for 2020–2022 based on pre-pandemic trends. The models were calibrated

using 12 years of pre-pandemic mortality trends (2008-2019), with the median

excess death rate calculated as the difference between forecasted and observed

death rates.

Results: Overall excess mortality among PWHA was estimated at 7,783 crude

excess deaths (95% prediction interval [PI]:5,098–10,525), corresponding to 2.77

excess deaths per 100,000 people (95% PI:1.81–3.75), with the largest burden

observed in 2021. Excess death rates were highest among male (3.39), age 55–64

(4.94), multiracial (12.82), and Northeast (4.12) groups. The largest crude number

of excess deaths occurred among male (4,692), age 65 + (2,560), Black/African

American (3,969), and Southern (4,025) groups.

Conclusions: These systematic, model-based results reveal stark heterogeneities

among PWHA by exposing recent mortality patterns that may not be captured by

disease-specific mortality reporting alone. These findings provide a robust,

reproducible benchmark for assessing pandemic-related disparities and can inform

future public health resource allocation and tailored interventions for vulnerable

populations.

Key Words: HIV/AIDS; excess mortality; COVID-19; forecasting; health

disparities; syndemic; United States.

Introduction:

The convergence of two public health crises—COVID-19 and HIV— raises important questions about the intersectional impact of COVID-19 on people living with HIV/AIDS (PWHA), especially within already marginalized groups. Between 2020 and 2022, COVID-19 was listed as an underlying or contributing cause of over one million U.S. deaths—approximately 10.8% of all-cause mortality during that period [1]. Meanwhile, the U.S. mortality rate due to HIV has continued its gradual decline, dropping from 2.6 per 100,000 in 2010 to 1.3 per 100,000 in 2021 [2]. Despite this progress, HIV-related deaths remain disproportionately concentrated among specific populations, particularly males (76%), Black/African American individuals (49%), residents of the South (55%), and adults aged 45 or older (73%) [2]. Examining excess mortality among PWHA during the pandemic— across demographic groups—is essential to understanding potential compounded disparities and to inform more equitable public health responses in the future [3,4].

Evidence for increased mortality risk among PWHA stems from both biological vulnerabilities—such as potential immunosuppression due to low CD4 cell counts [5,6]—and a range of indirect pandemic effects, including reduced access to care and service interruptions [6,7]. Several studies report a moderately increased risk of COVID-19 mortality among people living with HIV (PWH) (Hazard ratios of 1.60-2.08) [5,8,9].

Overwhelmed healthcare systems diverted resources away from routine HIV prevention, testing, and treatment, while lockdowns and clinic closures disrupted

antiretroviral therapy (ART) adherence and viral suppression efforts—especially in

already resource-constrained communities [4,7]. These indirect effects likely

contributed to elevated HIV/AIDS mortality during this period—deaths often termed

"second-order deaths"—that may not be fully captured in standard cause-of-death

records [7,10]. Viewed through a syndemic lens, the interaction between HIV and

COVID-19 extends beyond biological co-infection risks to encompass

compounding structural and social stressors, including reduced access to

healthcare, social disruption, and economic instability [11].

Standard cause-of-death records are often insufficient to capture this complexity,

as misclassification and underreporting are common during public health

emergencies [12,10]. In this context, all-cause excess mortality serves as a more

comprehensive metric, capable of capturing both direct and indirect deaths,

including those not explicitly attributed to HIV/AIDS or COVID-19 [13].

Prior studies estimating excess deaths have relied on simple mathematical

calculations among people living with HIV (PWH) [6,7]. However, they exclude

regional stratifications and do not include deaths coded among people living with

AIDS (PWA). Importantly, these analyses are restricted to the year 2020, capturing

only the initial phase of the pandemic and omitting the broader compounding

effects of subsequent waves, healthcare disruptions, and policy shifts [14,6,7].

Understanding demographic patterns in excess deaths is critical for identifying

disparities and improving public health preparedness. This study addresses gaps in

prior estimates by applying n-sub-epidemic modeling to quantify excess mortality

among PWHA in the U.S. during the COVID-19 pandemic (2020-2022), stratified

by sex, age, race/ethnicity, and region. By generating model-based counterfactual

forecasts from pre-pandemic trends, this approach captures both direct and

indirect mortality impacts while accommodating nonlinear dynamics in relatively

short time series, while also contributing to the growing validation of the modeling

framework itself [3,15,16,17]. These estimates provide a systematic and

reproducible benchmark for assessing pandemic-related disparities and extend

prior work by offering multi-year, demographically stratified insights to inform future

public health response strategies.

Materials and Methods:

Data Collection and Preparation

Yearly all-cause death rates and crude deaths (2008–2022) for PWHA were

obtained from the CDC NCHHSTP AtlasPlus dashboard (accessed on February

25th, 2025), which provides overall and one-way stratified mortality data (i.e.,

stratified by a single demographic variable at a time). This database compiles de-

identified HIV/AIDS surveillance data reported to the CDC through the National HIV

Surveillance System (NHSS) by demographic category. CDC provides additional

data collection details in their *Technical Notes [18].* At the time of analysis, death

data for 2022 were considered preliminary and based on death data received by

the CDC as of December 2023. Since the data is publicly accessible and de-

identified, Institutional review board approval was not required.

Excess Mortality Estimation

To estimate all-cause excess mortality among PWHA, we compared observed

deaths during years 2020–2022 to counterfactual forecasts generated using the

ensemble n-sub-epidemic modeling framework [15] calibrated to the pre-pandemic

period (2008–2019). We computed both excess death rates and crude excess

deaths yearly by demographic category.

Because AtlasPlus data are available only as overall or one-way stratified

summaries, models were fitted separately for each stratum rather than jointly

across demographic dimensions; consequently, stratified estimates are internally

consistent but not additive across strata. The original dataset distinguished

between all-cause deaths of PWH versus PWA; this would be expected to have

relevance on mortality rates. Thus, for each demographic category, three separate

(3) models were generated across disease indicators: 1) deaths among PWH, 2)

deaths among PWA, and 3) combined deaths among PLHA.

The dynamic of the n-sub-epidemic framework is described by the following ODE

system:

$$\frac{dC_i(t)}{dt} = A_i(t) r_i C_i{}^{p_i}(t) \left(1 - \frac{C_i(t)}{K_{0_i}} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n,$$

142 In this model, C_i represents cumulative number of deaths, r_i denotes growth rate,

143 p_i is scale of growth and K_{0_i} is epidemic size for the i_{th} sub-epidemic, where

144    $1 \leq i \leq n$. Given $A_1(t) = 1$, the indicator function A_i characterizes the onset timing

145   of the rest i_{th} sub-epidemic and is defined by:

146
$$A_i(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & C_{i-1}(t) > C_{thr} \\ 0 & Otherwise \end{cases}, \quad i = 2,3,\dots,n,$$

Where threshold C_{thr} triggers sub-epidemic i when $C_{i-1}(t) > C_{thr}$. Therefore, the

initial condition in this model is given by $(C_1(0), 0, \dots, 0)$ where $C_1(0)$ is the first

reported data. The threshold values C_{thr} are obtained by evenly dividing the

cumulative sum of the smoothed signal into the same number of levels as there are

data points. This method treats C_{thr} as a series of possible threshold values,

allowing the model to be tested at each fixed level instead of estimating the

threshold directly from the data. The corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AIC_c)

is then used to identify the best-performing sub-epidemic models. Specifically,

AIC_c values are computed for the best-fitting models corresponding to different C_{thr}

values. AIC_c is calculated as follows [19]:

157
$$AIC_c = -2log(likelihood) + 2m + \frac{2m(m+1)}{n_d - m - 1},$$

where m is the total number of data points and n_d is the total number of data points

calibrated.

Although annual HIV-related death rates exhibit relatively slowly changing trends,

the n-sub-epidemic framework remains appropriate because its primary purpose is

to generate probabilistic (uncertainty-quantified) forecasts, rather than to identify

distinct epidemic waves. The n-sub-epidemic framework captures nonlinear trends,

offers semi-mechanistic interpretability, and supports uncertainty quantification,

making it well suited for counterfactual forecasting with limited data. Even under

approximately linear baselines, the framework provides principled probabilistic

estimates against which deviations during 2020–2022 can be formally assessed,

with model flexibility constrained (maximum two sub-epidemics) to avoid overfitting.

Across strata, the best-fitting models included both one- and two-sub-epidemic

formulations.

Model Calibration and Forecasting

Models were calibrated using observed death rates from 2008–2019 (baseline

period). Model fitting was conducted using nonlinear least squares, assuming

normally distributed errors. We assessed distributional assumptions using residual

diagnostics (normal Q–Q plots and residuals versus fitted values). Residuals

approximated a normal distribution, with minor departures at the extreme tails

consistent with empirical aggregated mortality data. Of note, the model

demonstrated good agreement between fitted and observed pre-pandemic trends,

supporting its suitability for generating counterfactual forecasts.

Yearly forecasts of death rates by demographic category were then generated for

2020-2022. Models include a median trendline of death rate, as well as a 95%

prediction interval (PI) to capture uncertainty. The 95% prediction interval bounds

of the median death rates were used to compute the excess death rate intervals,

using previously established methodology [16,20], as described below.

Let $\hat{y}_t$ represent the forecasted death rate per 100,000 population in year t $\in$

{2020, 2021, 2022}, and y_t the corresponding observed death rate. The annual

excess death rate (EDR) is calculated as:

188
$$EDR = max(0, y - \hat{y}),$$

where EDR_t denotes the excess death rate per 100,000 people for year t

compared to expected levels based on pre-pandemic trends. The non-negativity

constraint reflects the standard interpretation of excess mortality as deaths above

an expected counterfactual baseline. However, for cumulative burden estimation,

negative values were set to zero so that periods of lower-than-expected mortality

do not offset excess deaths. Here, "burden" refers to total deaths above the

expected baseline (not net change), consistent with standard excess mortality

reporting [20]. Thus, results should be interpreted as estimates of excess mortality

burden rather than net mortality deviation. Since our forecasted trend $\hat{y}_t$ includes a

95% prediction interval (PI), the lower and upper bounds of the excess death rate

are computed as follows:

200
$$EDR_t^{LB} = max(0, y - \hat{y}_t^{UB}), \quad EDR_t^{UB} = max(0, y - \hat{y}_t^{LB}).$$

201   Hence, we ensure that the uncertainty from the forecast is propagated into the

202   excess mortality estimates.

203 To calculate crude excess deaths, each excess death rate was multiplied by the

204 respective population subgroup size N⬚ given in the original dataset:

205
$$CED \; = \; EDR \; \times \; \left(\frac{N_t}{100,000}\right).$$

206 Lower and upper bounds for crude excess deaths were calculated analogously,

207 using the corresponding bounds of EDR⬚.

208
$$CED_t^{LB} = EDR_t^{LB} \times \left(\frac{N_t}{100,000}\right), \quad CED_t^{UB} = EDR_t^{UB} \times \left(\frac{N_t}{100,000}\right).$$

It is worth noting that this method allows for quantifying excess mortality across

demographic groups while incorporating forecast uncertainty. Importantly, excess

death rates are interpreted as the additional deaths per 100,000 people beyond

expected mortality, capturing both direct and indirect effects of the pandemic.

Ensemble Construction

A weighted (W) ensemble was derived from the n-sub-epidemic framework to

produce all forecasts [15]. This framework aggregates multiple asynchronous sub-

epidemic models and creates forecasted trajectories. In this analysis, sub-

epidemics are modeled as generalized logistic growth models and assume a

maximum of 2 sub-epidemics in the trajectory to reduce overfitting and improve

stability, given the limited number of annual observations. Model parameters are

estimated using the nonlinear least squares method. To estimate parameters with

known uncertainty, 300 bootstrap samples per model were generated using the

approach described by Hastie et al. [21].

We constructed two ensemble model variations from the top two ranked models

based on AIC_c. We restricted the ensemble to the top two ranked models to

preserve parsimony and forecast stability given the short annual time series. The

weighted (W) ensemble model utilized relative likelihood to determine the weights

of the top two ranked models, and the unweighted (UW) ensemble model assumed

equal weight for the top two ranked models.

Software

Analyses were performed using MATLAB (R2023a).

Results:

Primary results presented in this study are derived from the weighted (W)ensemble

models due to their superior calibration performance. Trends from unweighted

(UW) models closely mirrored those of W models but exhibited wider uncertainty

intervals; full UW results are provided in Supplementary Figures S1–S5.

Overall Patterns

Weighted (W) ensemble models forecast a continued decline in death rates for

HIV, AIDS, and combined HIV/AIDS during the pandemic period (Figure 1,

Supplementary Figures S6-S9). In contrast, observed death rates during 2020–

2022 exceeded the model-based 95% prediction intervals (PI) across all disease

categories. Excess mortality peaked in 2021, with estimated increases of 1.80

(95% PI: 1.23–2.39),1.19 (95% PI: 0.80–1.61), and 2.77 (95% PI: 1.81–3.75)

deaths per 100,000 population above expected pre-pandemic levels for respective

HIV, AIDS, and combined HIV/AIDS (Figure 2). Correspondingly, crude excess

deaths in 2021 were highest for the combined HIV/AIDS category at 7,793 deaths

(95% PI: 5,098–10,525) (Supplementary Figure S10). Residual diagnostics

supported the assumed error structure, with approximately normal residual

distributions and minor departures at extreme values (Supplementary Figure S11).

Stratified Results

By sex, males consistently experienced higher excess death rates than females

across all disease indicators, with the largest excess observed in 2021 for the male

combined HIV/AIDS group (3.39 per 100,000; 95% PI: 1.69–4.99) (Figure 3).

Crude excess deaths among males in 2021 reached 4,692 (95% PI: 2,338–6,907)

(Supplementary Figure S12).

Age-stratified analyses revealed the greatest excess mortality burden among older

adults. Individuals aged 55–64 exhibited the highest excess death rate for

combined HIV/AIDS (4.94 per 100,000; 95% PI: 3.24–6.68), while the largest

absolute number of excess deaths occurred among those aged 65 years and older

in 2021 (2,560 deaths; 95% PI: 1,391–3,616) (Figure 4; Supplementary Figure

S13).In contrast, younger age groups exhibited minimal or zero excess mortality.

Racial disparities were pronounced. Multiracial and Black/African American

populations experienced the highest excess death rates for combined HIV/AIDS, at

12.82 (95% PI: 5.44–20.38) and 11.42 (95% PI: 7.07–16.10) per 100,000,

respectively (Figure 5). The largest crude excess mortality burden occurred among

Black/African American individuals in 2021, with 3,969 excess deaths (95% PI:

2,455–5,591) (Supplementary Figure S14).

Regional analyses indicated heterogeneous timing and magnitude of excess

mortality. The Northeast experienced the highest excess death rate in 2020 (4.12

per 100,000; 95% PI: 2.76–5.49), whereas the South accounted for the largest

absolute number of excess deaths in 2021 (4,025 deaths; 95% PI: 2,450–5,729)

(Supplementary Figures S15-S16).

274
Discussion:

Our results highlight stark heterogeneities in pandemic-related excess mortality.

Overall, we estimated excess deaths among PWHA at 7783 crude excess deaths,

or a rate of 2.77 excess deaths per 100,000 people, peaking in 2021. The highest

excess death rates were observed among males (3.39), those aged 55-64 (4.94),

multiracial (12.82), and among those in the Northeast U.S. region (4.12). When

considering the U.S. population at large, crude deaths were highest among the

following categories: Males (4,692), age group 65+ (2,560), Black/African

Americans (3,969), and among those in the South U.S. region (4,025). Generally,

amongst demographic groups in the forecasted pandemic period (2020-2022),

excess death rates and crude estimates peak in year 2021, highlighting the

effectiveness of ongoing dual interventions such as COVID-19 vaccine uptake and

improved access to medical care for PWHA after COVID-19 pandemic disruptions

settled in 2022 [22,23].

This analysis uniquely contributes to the literature by quantifying all-cause excess

deaths among PWHA during the COVID-19 pandemic via an n-sub-epidemic

forecasting method—stratified by race, sex, age, and region. The aforementioned

syndemic conceptual framework [11] not only contextualizes the complex interplay

of multiple epidemics but also enhances the interpretive value of our stratified

excess mortality estimates. This analysis of all-cause excess mortality incorporates

deaths that may have been exacerbated by other interacting syndemic risk factors

and thus offers a nuanced quantitative view of how overlapping structural, social,

and biological vulnerabilities may have compounded mortality risks in marginalized

populations. Our stratified results underscore the need for tailored interventions in

future pandemics, especially for populations already burdened by systemic health

disparities.

Demographic Disparities

Our study reinforces well-documented disparities in HIV-related outcomes across

key demographic groups. HIV is well known to have a disproportionate impact on

certain populations, particularly people of color, men who have sex with men, and

transgender women. In 2022, Black Americans made up 40% of people living with

HIV and 43% of HIV-related deaths, despite representing only 12% of the

population. Similarly, Hispanic/Latino individuals comprised 26% of people living

with HIV, exceeding their 19% share of the population [24]. The burden is

especially severe in the Southern U.S., where eight of the ten states with the

highest diagnosis rates are located—driven by limited Medicaid expansion,

healthcare provider shortages, low health literacy, and HIV stigma [24,25]. These

disparities persist in both infection rates and outcomes, with lower survival rates

and higher HIV-related mortality among Black and Latino populations [24]. Well-

established racial barriers to care have been identified as causes of these

inequities, including disparate access, knowledge, and prioritization to PrEP and

treatment as prevention (TasP) [26,27,28]. These disparities in HIV outcomes

cannot be explained by access to care alone; they are also shaped by entrenched

systemic issues like racism and stigma surrounding HIV [29,28,25].

While pre-existing demographic disparities embedded in baseline mortality are not

inherently captured in excess death rate estimates, they may still contribute to

higher observed death rates and thus amplify estimated excess mortality. In fact,

these disparities may be amplified due to the dual burden of living with HIV/AIDS

and experiencing the biological, psychological, social, and systemic impacts of

COVID-19.

Literature Comparisons

Comparisons to previously published estimated excess deaths can be made only

for 2020, since prior research is limited to that year. When interpreting these

comparisons, it is important to recognize key methodological differences. Previous

estimates relied primarily on simple comparisons to short historical baselines and

were restricted to people living with HIV, excluding individuals diagnosed with

AIDS, whereas our approach generates probabilistic counterfactuals conditioned

on long-term pre-pandemic trends and includes both PWH and PWA.

Compared with Viguerie et al. [7], our estimated all-cause crude excess deaths for

2020, when restricted to comparable demographic groups (i.e., individuals with HIV

who are Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino, or White; all ages, sexes, and

U.S. regions), was 3,296 (95% PI: 1,150–5,070) (Supplementary Figure S14),

representing a 2.1-fold increase compared to Viguerie et al.'s estimate of 1,550

(95% CI: 1,229–1,877). This difference likely reflects differences in baseline

construction, as Viguerie et al. compare 2020 outcomes to 2018–2019 mortality

levels, whereas our framework forecasts counterfactual mortality using 12 years of

pre-pandemic data (2008–2019) and explicitly propagates uncertainty. Across sex,

age, and race strata, this ratio remains broadly consistent, with the upper bound of

the Viguerie et al. CI generally falling near or within our 95% PI lower bound [7].

Only a limited comparison can be made to Zhu et al. [6], who estimate COVID-19–

specific excess deaths among PWH, rather than all-cause excess mortality.

Because their analysis includes all demographic groups without stratification, our

2020 crude excess death estimate (3,622, 95% PI: 2,050–5,287) reflects a 2.5-fold

increase over their reported estimate of 1,457 (Supplementary Figure S10). This

difference is expected, as our estimates capture both direct and indirect mortality

effects, including deaths from non-COVID-19 causes exacerbated by pandemic-

related healthcare disruptions.

Although Ashraf et al. [14] examined only standard (non-excess) HIV-coded death

rates through 2020, we observe consistent qualitative trends across sex, age, race,

and region when comparing their findings with the fitted and observed trajectories

from our models, providing additional face validity.

Taken together, these comparisons indicate that our excess mortality estimates are

higher than several previously published values, but this should not be interpreted

as inconsistency or overestimation. Rather, our results represent upper-bound

burden estimates that incorporate long-term pre-pandemic trends, multi-year

pandemic effects, and uncertainty propagation, offering a complementary

perspective to earlier, more conservative approaches. By applying a validated,

model-based forecasting framework to all-cause mortality data, we extend prior

efforts [15] by capturing trends over a longer time horizon and across key

demographic groups, providing a transparent benchmark for future studies of

pandemic-related disparities and syndemic impacts.

Limitations

Our study is not exempt from limitations. Because AtlasPlus data are available only

as overall or one-way stratified summaries, models were estimated independently

by sex, age, race/ethnicity, and region; thus, stratified crude excess deaths are not

expected to sum to the overall estimate and should be interpreted as parallel,

internally consistent assessments. In addition, all-cause excess mortality could not

be disaggregated by cause of death, precluding attribution to HIV/AIDS-, COVID-

19-, or other cause-specific pathways [2].

Importantly, this study does not include a comparison group without HIV/AIDS;

therefore, it does not estimate the causal effect of HIV status on excess mortality,

but rather quantifies deviations from expected mortality trends within the PWHA

population. However, excess mortality was widely observed across the general

U.S. population during the COVID-19 pandemic, reflecting both direct and indirect

impacts of the pandemic on mortality. Moreover, qualitatively similar patterns of

excess mortality observed in other chronic disease populations during the COVID-

19 pandemic suggest that healthcare disruptions broadly affected medically

vulnerable groups [30]. Stratification by comorbidities (e.g., cardiovascular disease,

diabetes, mental illness, or substance use disorders) was not feasible due to data

aggregation, although these conditions are more prevalent among PWHA and

likely contributed to excess mortality. Within this broader context, our findings

highlight substantial heterogeneity in excess mortality among PWHA across

demographic groups, rather than quantifying differences relative to the general

population. Future work incorporating appropriate comparison groups would help

clarify the extent to which HIV/AIDS contributed to excess mortality beyond

broader pandemic-related effects.

Year-to-year comparisons, particularly between 2020 and 2021, should be

interpreted cautiously, as pandemic-related disruptions to HIV testing, diagnosis,

reporting, and care likely affected both observed deaths and population

denominators, with higher excess mortality in 2021 reflecting delayed care,

improved ascertainment, and accumulated indirect effects.

Additionally, this study was not designed to identify causal mechanisms underlying

observed disparities in excess mortality across demographic groups. Although we

discuss plausible explanations based on prior literature, the observed patterns

should be interpreted cautiously, as they likely reflect a combination of pre-existing

health disparities and pandemic-related disruptions in healthcare access, rather

than direct causal effects that can be inferred from the present analysis.

Conclusions

Our results underscore the urgent need to account for the compounded

vulnerabilities faced by PWHA during public health crises. By applying a model-

based excess mortality framework, we reveal recent mortality patterns that may not

be captured by disease-specific mortality reporting alone, thereby quantifying the

cumulative impact of overlapping epidemics. Understanding how structural

inequities, healthcare disruptions, and broader biopsychosocial stressors influence

mortality among PWHA can guide policymakers in designing more equitable and

resilient emergency response plans. These findings provide actionable evidence to

inform future public health programming, resource allocation, and policy decisions

aimed at protecting PWHA and other medically vulnerable populations during

future pandemics.

Acknowledgements

1. Author Contributions: L.H. performed data analysis and contributed to manuscript drafting and editing. H.K. assisted with generating figures in MATLAB. G.C. conceived the project, provided general guidance, and revised the manuscript.

2. Research presented at Emory University Summer Institute in Statistics and Modeling in Infectious Diseases (SSMID) Conference. July 2025. Atlanta, Georgia, USA.

3. Original data & analytical code can be found at: https://github.com/lhall63/U.S.-Excess-Death-Rates-among-PLHA-during-COVID-19/

References:

1. Ahmad F, Cisewski J. Provisional COVID-19 Mortality Surveillance. National Center for Health Statistics. 2025.

2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (2021). HIV Mortality,

2021. [PowerPoint slides] Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/hiv-

data/media/pdfs/nhss/nhss-surveillance-slideset-mortality-2021.pdf

3. Bleichrodt A, Okano J, Fung I, et al. The future of HIV: challenges in meeting

the 2030 Ending the HIV Epidemic in the US (EHE) reduction goal. AIDS

39(6):p 708-718, May 01, 2025. |

4. Chen J. T., Krieger N. (2021). *Revealing the unequal burden of COVID-19*

by income, race/ethnicity, and household crowding: US county versus zip

code analyses. Journal of Public Health Management and Practice,

27(Supplement 1), S43-S56.

5. Mellor M, Bast A, Jones N, et al. Risk of adverse coronavirus disease 2019

outcomes for people living with HIV. *AIDS*. 2021;35(4):F1-F10.

6. Zhu W, Huang Y. A., Song R, et al (2023). An estimate of excess deaths

among people with HIV during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic in

the United States, 2020. *AIDS (London, England)*, *37*(5), 851–853.

7. Viguerie A, Song R, Bosh K, et al (2024). *Mortality Among Persons With HIV*

in the United States During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Population-Level

Analysis. Retrieved January 25, 2025,

from https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/159428

8. Boffito M, Waters L. (2021). More evidence for worse COVID-19 outcomes

in people with HIV. *The Lancet HIV*, *8*(11), e661–e662.

9. Yang X, Sun J, Patel R. C., et al (2021b). Associations between HIV

infection and clinical spectrum of COVID-19: A population level analysis

based on US National COVID Cohort Collaborative (N3C) data. *The*

Lancet HIV, *8*(11), e690–e700.

10. Woolf□S,□Masters□R,□Aron□L.□Effect of the covid-19 pandemic in 2020

on life expectancy across populations in the USA and other high

income countries: simulations of provisional

mortality data□ BMJ□2021;□373□:n1343□

11. Shiau S., Krause K. D., Valera P., et al (2020). The Burden of COVID-19 in

People Living with HIV: A Syndemic Perspective. *AIDS and Behavior*, *24*(8),

2244–2249.

12. Leon D. A., Shkolnikov V. M., Smeeth L., et al (2020). *COVID-19: a need for*

real-time monitoring of weekly excess deaths. The Lancet, 395(10234),

e81.

13. World Health Organization. Methods for estimating the excess mortality

associated with the COVID-19 pandemic. Accessed April 3, 2026.

https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/methods-for-estimating-the-excess-

mortality-associatedwith-the-covid-19-pandemic

14. Ashraf H., Nadeem A., Ashfaq H., et al (2024). Disparities in mortality trends

of adults with HIV in the USA: A comprehensive examination across 2

decades. *Medicine*, *103*(25), e38570.

15. Chowell G., Dahal S., Bleichrodt A., et al (2024). *SubEpiPredict:* A tutorial-

based primer and toolbox for fitting and forecasting growth trajectories using

the ensemble *n*-sub-epidemic modeling framework. *Infectious Disease*

Modelling, *9*(2), 411–436.

16. Karami H, Mamelund S, Smirnova A, Chowell G. *"Global Excess*

Tuberculosis Mortality During COVID-19: A Country-Level Modeling Study of

Policy and Development Correlates." BMC Public Health, 2025.

17. Chowell G, Dahal S, Tariq A, Roosa K, Hyman JM, Luo R. An ensemble n-

sub-epidemic modeling framework for short-term forecasting epidemic

trajectories: Application to the COVID-19 pandemic in the USA. *PLoS*

Comput Biol. 2022;18(10):e1010602.

18. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Technical Notes. 2024.

Available at: https://gis.cdc.gov/grasp/nchhstpatlas/main.html. [Accessed 25

February 2025].

19. Sugiura N. Further Analysis of the Data by Akaike's Information Criterion

and the Finite Corrections: Communications in Statistics-theory and

Methods. 1978;7(1):13–26.

20. Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (2025). Excess Deaths

Associated with COVID-19. Accessed April 12, 2026.

https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/vsrr/covid19/excess_deaths.htm

21. Hastie T, Tibshirani R, Friedman JH. *The elements of statistical learning:*
data mining, inference, and prediction. Springer; 2009.

22. French G., Hulse M., Nguyen D, et al. Impact of Hospital Strain on Excess
Deaths During the COVID-19 Pandemic — United States, July 2020–July
2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2021;70.

23. Mirzaei H, Moradi Y, Abbaszadeh S, et al. The Impact of COVID-19 on
Disruptions of HIV-related Services: A Rapid Review. *Med J*
Islam Repub Iran. 2022;36:98. Published 2022 Aug 29.

24. *KFF. (2024, October 15). The impact of HIV on Hispanic/Latino people in the*
United States. KFF. *https://www.kff.org/hivaids/fact-sheet/the-impact-of-hiv-*
on-hispanic-latino-people-in-the-united-states/

25. Sullivan P. S., Satcher Johnson A., Pembleton E. S., et al (2021).
Epidemiology of HIV in the USA: epidemic burden, inequities, contexts, and
responses. *Lancet (London, England),* *397*(10279), 1095–1106.

26. Borsa A., Siegel K. (2023). Barriers to Treatment as Prevention Adoption
Among Sexual and Gender Minority Individuals Who Have Sex with Men in
the United States. *AIDS patient care and STDs,* *37*(5), 268–277.

27. Elopre L., Ott C., Lambert C., et al (2021). Missed Prevention Opportunities:
Why Young, Black MSM with Recent HIV Diagnosis did not Access HIV
Pre-exposure Prophylaxis Services. *AIDS and behavior,* *25*(5), 1464–
1473.

28. Matacotta, J. J., Rosales-Perez, F. J., Carrillo, C. M. (2020*). HIV*

Preexposure Prophylaxis and Treatment as Prevention - Beliefs and Access

Barriers in Men Who Have Sex With Men (MSM) and Transgender Women:

A Systematic Review. Journal of patient-centered research and

reviews, 7(3), 265–274.

29. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2024). Ending the HIV

epidemic in the US: Goals. https://www.cdc.gov/ehe/php/about/goals.html.

[Accessed 31 May 2025].

30. Hacker KA. COVID-19 and Chronic Disease: The Impact Now and in the

Future. Prev Chronic Dis. 2021;18.

Figures

Figure 1. Death rates (deaths per 100,000 people) for HIV, AIDS, and HIV/AIDS combined by year using the weighted ensemble model. The solid red line represents the modeled median death rate, with the dotted black line representing 95% Prediction Interval (PI). Data points shown in black represent observed data used for the calibration period, while solid-filled green/yellow data points in years 2020-2022 represent forecasted data. Forecasted data in green represents excess death rates outside of the 95% PI, while forecasted data in yellow, if present, represents excess data rates within the 95% PI. The blue dotted vertical line denotes year 2019, which is the end of the calibration period, and before COVID-19.

Figures

Figure 2. Excess death rate (per 100,000 people) for HIV, AIDS, and HIV/AIDS Combined for 2020-2022 Using Weighted Ensemble Forecasting. Top to bottom: years 2020, 2021, 2022. *The 95% upper and lower bounds for median excess death rates are displayed in black bars.*

Figures

Figure 3. Excess death rate (per 100,000 people) for HIV, AIDS, and HIV/AIDS Combined by Sex for 2020-2022 Using Weighted Ensemble Forecasting. Top to bottom: years 2020, 2021, 2022. *The 95% upper and lower bounds for median excess death rates are displayed in black bars.*

Figures

Figure 4. Excess death rate (per 100,000 people) for HIV, AIDS, and HIV/AIDS Combined by Age for 2020-2022 Using Weighted Ensemble Forecasting. Top to bottom: years 2020, 2021, 2022. *The 95% upper and lower bounds for median excess death rates are displayed in black bars.*

Figures

Figure 5. *Excess death rate (per 100,000 people) for HIV, AIDS, and HIV/AIDS Combined by Race for 2020-2022 Using Weighted Ensemble Forecasting.* Top to bottom: years 2020, 2021, 2022. *The 95% upper and lower bounds for median excess death rates are displayed in black bars.*