Visual Mental Imagery and Aphantasia Lesions Map onto a Convergent Brain Network

Julian Kutsche[1,2,3], Calvin Howard[1,4], William Drew[1,5], Matthias Michel[6], Alexander L. Cohen[1,7], Michael D. Fox[1,4], Isaiah Kletenik[1,4]

1 Center for Brain Circuit Therapeutics, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts

2 Department of Neurology with Experimental Neurology, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany

3 Berlin School of Mind and Brain, Department of Philosophy, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany

4 Department of Neurology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts

5 Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, New York City, New York

6 Department of Linguistics and Philosophy, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts

7 Department of Neurology, Boston Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts

Abstract

Background

Visual mental imagery, the ability to volitionally form perceptual representations without corresponding external stimuli, allows reliving of past events, solving problems and imagining the future. The absence of visual mental imagery, called aphantasia, has recently been recognized to occur in about 1% of the general population but the cause is uncertain. By studying rare cases of acquired aphantasia we can identify regions that when injured cause loss of visual mental imagery.

Methods

We analyzed aphantasia lesions, identified from case reports by systematic review, and previously studied control lesions causing other neuropsychiatric symptoms (n=887). The network of brain regions connected to each lesion location was computed using resting-state functional connectivity from healthy subjects (n=1000). First, we tested the connectivity of aphantasia lesions and controls to the *fusiform imagery node,* a region in the ventral visual pathway which is active during visual mental imagery tasks. Then, we performed a data-driven analysis assessing whole brain lesion connectivity that was sensitive (100% overlap) and specific (family-wise error $p<0.05$) for aphantasia. Finally, we compared our aphantasia lesion network to activations previously associated with visual mental imagery tasks.

Results

We identified 12 cases of lesion-induced aphantasia which occurred in multiple different brain regions. However, 100% of these lesion locations were functionally connected to a region in the left fusiform gyrus, recently termed the *fusiform imagery node*. Connectivity to this region was both sensitive (100% overlap) and specific (family-wise error $p<0.05$) for aphantasia. The aphantasia lesion network aligned with functional connections previously associated with visual mental imagery tasks.

Conclusions and Relevance

Lesions causing aphantasia are located in many different brain regions but are all functionally connected to a specific location in the left fusiform gyrus. These findings support the hypothesis that the *fusiform imagery node* is a key brain region involved in visual mental imagery and offers clinical insight into which locations of brain injury are likely to cause aphantasia.

Introduction

Visual mental imagery, the ability to volitionally form perceptual representations without corresponding external sensory stimuli, is a unique cognitive function that allows for reliving past events, solving problems and envisioning the future.[1] Recent work has highlighted a broad range of visual mental imagery abilities across the human population identifying some extremes. The absence of voluntary visual mental imagery, called 'aphantasia,' has been recognized to occur in about 1% of the general population.[2]

Recent work in healthy participants has identified a focal region in the ventral visual pathway thought to be specialized for visual mental imagery termed the "fusiform imagery node."[3] Some studies have shown this region to be active during mental imagery tasks.[2] While these studies demonstrate correlative evidence for this region, causal inference is lacking.[4] If the fusiform imagery node is specific for visual mental imagery then damage or disconnection of this region would interrupt this function. By studying rare cases of lesion-induced aphantasia, we can: 1) assess evidence for brain regions causally relevant for visual mental imagery, and 2) provide guidance on which brain locations are likely to cause loss of visual mental imagery when injured by stroke or traumatic brain injury.

Since lesions causing aphantasia are in different locations across the brain, we apply the technique of *lesion network mapping*[5,6], which allows mapping the connectivity of lesion locations based on a large resting-state connectivity atlas. Here, we provide the first group-level network approach to mapping acquired aphantasia and identify regions specific for visual mental imagery.

Methods

We conducted a systematic literature review on PubMed following PRISMA guidelines using search terms related to stroke or other brain injury and aphantasia or visual mental imagery (see PRISMA checklist and eMaterials) and checked our results with an automated search tool.[7] Our inclusion criteria were: 1) a loss of visual mental imagery, 2) after a brain injury and 3) a published image of sufficient quality for mapping. We found 254 papers for review which identified 9 cases, and 3 additional cases were found through related citations (**see Figure 1, eFigure 1 and eTable 1**). Brain lesions were traced by JK and reviewed for accuracy by two experienced neurologists (IK, CH).

Hypothesis-driven approach: Lesion connectivity to fusiform imagery node

To test our hypothesis that disruption of the fusiform imagery node (FIN) causes loss of visual mental imagery, we analyzed both the lesion intersection and lesion connectivity to this location. We defined the fusiform imagery node as the conjunction between the significant clusters from a recent ALE meta-analysis[3] and cytoarchitectonic area FG4 from JuBrain atlas[8] consistent with recent work.[3,4,9] We calculated the ROI-to-ROI correlation between the FIN and aphantasia lesions and controls which included all symptom-causing lesions analyzed in our lab (n=887) using a large-scale functional connectome (n=1000 subjects) to obtain lesion-wise average fz-values.[5] Then we performed a two-tailed 2-sample *t*-test of average *fz*-values to this region for aphantasia lesions versus controls.

Data-driven approach: Whole-brain lesion network mapping

Functional connectivity was calculated using a previously validated method termed *lesion network mapping*[5,6,10], where for each patient's lesion or set of lesions, average connectivity at each voxel in the brain is calculated using a publicly available 1000-subject resting-state functional connectome **(Figure 2A**).

Sensitivity and Specificity

Sensitivity was assessed by calculating a *network overlap map*, where each lesion's connectivity *t*-map is thresholded ($t \geq 7$)[11] binarized, and overlaid to show overlap connectivity (**Figure 2B, top**). *To test for specificity,* network maps for aphantasia were compared via two-tailed 2-sample *t*-test employing Permutation Analysis of Linear Models[12] to all symptom-causing lesions analyzed in our lab (n=887), controlling for group membership, and with a threshold for significance of family-wise error (FWE) $p<0.05$ (**Figure 2B, bottom**).[5,11] The conjunction of these two maps defines a sensitive and specific map for aphantasia (**Figure 2C**).

We then calculated the functional connectivity of our sensitive and specific aphantasia location, using the same connectome, to produce an aphantasia network. We compared this aphantasia network to: 1) the functional connections of the independently derived *a priori* FIN using spatial correlation and 2) we overlaid the deactivation foci from a previous functional imaging study of a person with acquired aphantasia (who was not included in our lesion network analysis) performing visual mental imagery tasks[13] to assess intersection with our aphantasia network.

Results

We identified 12 cases of lesion-induced aphantasia (mean age 59.25 year [SD 17.8], 4 female) which were primarily due to stroke (**Figure 1 and eTable 1**). Lesions were mostly left lateralized but otherwise occurred in many different regions including frontal (4/12), parietal (9/12), temporal (11/12) and occipital lobes (12/12) and subcortical locations (8/12).

Hypothesis-driven approach: lesions causing aphantasia are connected to the fusiform imagery node

While only 42% of aphantasia lesions directly intersected the fusiform imagery node, 100% were functionally connected to this node in our region of interest analysis. Aphantasia lesions also showed statistically significant connectivity to the fusiform imagery node, compared to control lesions ($t_{11.104}=3.7442$, $p<0.005$, Cohen's $d=1.81$, **Figure 3A**). In addition, aphantasia lesions had a stronger connectivity to the fusiform imagery node than any of the other neuropsychiatric symptoms; some visual syndromes, especially prosopagnosia, also showed connectivity to this region (**eFigure 2**), consistent with prior work.[14]

Data-driven approach: The fusiform imagery node was sensitive and specific for aphantasia

We then used a whole-brain approach to determine locations sensitive and specific for aphantasia without *a priori* assumptions. On sensitivity analysis, 100% of aphantasia lesions were functionally connected to the fusiform gyri (**Figure 2B, top**). For our specificity analysis, we compared aphantasia lesions to controls with 24 diverse neuropsychiatric symptoms employing whole-brain voxel-wise 2-sample *t*-test; aphantasia lesions were connected to the left temporo-occipital fusiform cortex (FWE $p<0.05$, **Figure 2B, bottom**). The conjunction of

these networks identified a region both sensitive and specific for aphantasia in the left inferior fusiform gyrus (MNI: *x*=–39, *y*=–56, *z*=–18, **Figure 2C**), of which 85% of voxels were within the area of the "fusiform imagery node" (MNI: *x*=–42 , *y*=–54, *z*=–18, **Figure 3B**).[3] Functional connectivity with this location defined an aphantasia network.

Our aphantasia lesion network showed strong spatial correlation with the functional connectivity of the ALE meta-analysis[3] fusiform peak from studies of normal subjects performing visual mental imagery tasks (r=0.86; see eFigure 3). Finally, our aphantasia lesion network aligned with deactivation locations on functional imaging (21/24 foci) from a person with acquired aphantasia (Figure 3C).[13]

Discussion

Lesions causing loss of visual mental imagery were all functionally connected to the left fusiform imagery node. This location defined a brain network that was sensitive and specific for aphantasia.

All lesions causing aphantasia connected to the fusiform imagery node adding disease-associated, causal data to prior work on the importance of this location's connections for mental imagery tasks in healthy participants.[3] Also consistent with prior work, our findings lateralized to the left hemisphere and our sensitive and specific results were limited to the ventral visual association cortex and not the primary visual cortex.[2,3] We did not identify any significant locations in the prefrontal lobes. While prefrontal activation may be part of a general process to initiate visual mental imagery tasks,[2] it was not specific to lesion-induced aphantasia compared to controls. These findings are relevant for discussions of the neural correlates of consciousness as lesions connected to the fusiform imagery node are able to disrupt conscious visual imagery experience.

Limitations

Our analysis relied on cases from the medical literature, so our study had a small number of participants, and most participants did not have full vividness scales. There were also case-based differences in imagery terminology and we relied on limited images.[10,11,15] Despite this, our results were statistically robust in a whole-brain analysis with a conservative threshold, but our small sample size may have limited our significant results to peak regions such as the fusiform imagery node. More rigorous testing of visual mental imagery abilities in patients with stroke-related onset of aphantasia using validated measures is needed.

Conclusion

Lesions causing aphantasia were all functionally connected to a region in the left ventral visual pathway, termed the *fusiform imagery node.* Our results provide causal evidence supporting the hypothesis that this region's connections are key for visual mental imagery.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: JK reports receiving support from the German Academic Exchange Service's Biomedical Education Program. IK received support from NIH NINDS L30 NS134024. CWH reports receiving support from the Canadian Clinician Investigator Program. MDF reported receiving grants from the NIH (R01MH113929, R21MH126271, R56AG069086, R21NS123813, R01NS127892, R01MH130666, UM1NS132358) Neuronetics, the Kaye Family Research Endowment, the Ellison/Baszucki Family Foundation, and the Manley Family outside the submitted work; holding intellectual property on the use of brain connectivity imaging to analyze lesions and guide brain stimulation; and consulting for Magnus Medical, Soterix, Abbott, Boston Scientific, and Tal Medical. No other disclosures were reported.

Data availability

To compute functional connectivity, we used a publicly available resting-state functional connectome: https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/ILXIKS. Lesion and fMRI data used in this analysis are publicly available (previous ALE meta-analysis[3] for fusiform imagery node mask, eMaterials for lesion studies). Statistical neuroimaging analyses were performed in Matlab, version R2024b (Mathworks Inc) and using FSL (version 6.0.7.16). Brain images were created using FSLeyes (version 1.13.0).

Acknowledgments: We thank the many patients who participated in the included studies and to the researchers who publicly shared their results.

Figures



Figure 1: Lesion induced aphantasia: Mapped locations (red) of 12 cases of lesion-induced aphantasia. Most lesions were left-lateralized.



Figure 2: Lesion network mapping of aphantasia methodology. (A) Three representative lesions causing loss of visual mental imagery (left) show injury to multiple different brain regions. Functional connectivity of each lesion location (right) was calculated using a large resting-state functional connectivity atlas. (B) Sensitivity analysis (top) and specificity analysis (bottom) show that aphantasia lesions are connected to the fusiform gyrus. (C) Conjunction of the sensitivity and specificity analyses identifies a focal region in the left fusiform gyrus that defines an aphantasia-causing network.



Figure 3. Lesions causing aphantasia are all functionally connected to the left fusiform imagery node. (A) Comparison of fusiform imagery node connectivity to lesions causing aphantasia versus 887 control lesions causing diverse neuropsychiatric symptoms. Lesions causing aphantasia show a significant functional connectivity correlation to the fusiform imagery node compared to 887 control lesions causing diverse neuropsychiatric symptoms ($t=3.7442$, $p<0.005$). (B) The whole-brain, data-driven, aphantasia network demonstrates a region that all aphantasia-causing lesions (FWE $p<0.05$, >100% of lesion network overlap) are functionally connected to (yellow) which is primarily within an independently derived region recently termed the “fusiform imagery node” (outlined in green, per Spagna et al., 2021). (C) Locations of decreased activity (green) during an fMRI visual mental imagery task from a person with aphantasia (Zeman et al., 2010) show an intersection with lesion connections that cause aphantasia.

References

1. Pearson J, Naselaris T, Holmes EA, Kosslyn SM. Mental Imagery: Functional Mechanisms and Clinical Applications. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2015;19(10):590–602. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2015.08.003
2. Zeman A. Aphantasia and hyperphantasia: exploring imagery vividness extremes. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2024;28(5):467–480. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2024.02.007
3. Spagna A, Hajhajate D, Liu J, Bartolomeo P. Visual mental imagery engages the left fusiform gyrus, but not the early visual cortex: A meta-analysis of neuroimaging evidence. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2021;122:201–217. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2020.12.029
4. Hajhajate D, Kaufmann BC, Liu J, Siuda-Krzywicka K, Bartolomeo P. The connectional anatomy of visual mental imagery: evidence from a patient with left occipito-temporal damage. *Brain Structure and Function*. 2022;227(9):3075–3083. doi:10.1007/s00429-022-02505-x
5. Kutsche J, Taylor JJ, Erkkinen MG, et al. Mapping Neuroimaging Findings of Creativity and Brain Disease Onto a Common Brain Circuit. *JAMA Network Open*. 2025;8(2)e2459297. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.59297
6. Schaper FLWVJ, Nordberg J, Cohen AL, et al. Mapping Lesion-Related Epilepsy to a Human Brain Network. *JAMA Neurology*. 2023;80(9):891–902. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2023.1988
7. *Calvinwhow/ReviewPyper: Review PyPer v1.0*. 2025.
8. Lorenz S, Weiner KS, Caspers J, et al. Two New Cytoarchitectonic Areas on the Human Mid-Fusiform Gyrus. *Cerebral Cortex*. 2017;27(1):373–385. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhv225
9. Spagna A, Heidenry Z, Miselevich M, et al. Visual mental imagery: Evidence for a heterarchical neural architecture. *Physics of Life Reviews*. 2024;48:113–131. doi:10.1016/j.plrev.2023.12.012
10. Kletenik I, Gaudet K, Prasad S, Cohen AL, Fox MD. Network Localization of Awareness in Visual and Motor Anosognosia. *Annals of Neurology*. 2023;doi:10.1002/ana.26709
11. Friedrich MU, Baughan EC, Kletenik I, et al. Lesions Causing Alice in Wonderland Syndrome Map to a Common Brain Network Linking Body and Size Perception. *Annals of Neurology*. 2024;96(4):662–674. doi:10.1002/ana.27015
12. Winkler AM, Ridgway GR, Webster MA, Smith SM, Nichols TE. Permutation inference for the general linear model. *NeuroImage*. 2014;92(100):381–397. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.01.060
13. Zeman A, Della Sala S, Torrens LA, Gountouna V-E, McGonigle DJ, Logie RH. Loss of imagery phenomenology with intact visuo-spatial task performance: A case of 'blind imagination'. *Neuropsychologia*. 2010;48:145–155. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2009.08.024
14. Zeman A, Milton F, Della Sala S, et al. Phantasia – The psychological significance of lifelong visual imagery vividness extremes. *Cortex*. 2020;130:426–440. doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2020.04.003
15. Kletenik I, Ferguson MA, Bateman JR, et al. Network Localization of Unconscious Visual Perception in Blindsight. *Annals of Neurology*. 2022;91:217–224. doi:10.1002/ana.26292