TITLE PAGE

Topic: Utility of ultrasound in assessing Sarcopenia in patients with cirrhosis: A Cross-Sectional study.

Authors:
1. Dr. Sarthak Gaur, Resident, Department of Internal Medicine, AIIMS Rishikesh
   Email Id:
2. Dr. Minakshi Dhar, Professor, Department of Geriatric Medicine, AIIMS Rishikesh
   Email Id: minakshi.dhar@rediffmail.com
3. Dr. Anand Sharma, Assistant Professor, Department of Gastroenterology, AIIMS Rishikesh, *Email Id: andy.mmc@gmail.com*
4. Dr. Sonal Saran, Associate Profesor, Department of Diagnostic & Interventional Radiology, AIIMS Rishikesh, *Email Id: sonalsaranmalik@gmail.com*
5. Dr. Mukesh Chand Bairwa, Additional Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, AIIMS Rishikesh, *Email Id: drmukeshbairwa1982@gmail.com*
6. Dr. Monika Pathania, Additional Professor, Department of Geriatric Medicine, AIIMS Rishikesh, *Email Id: anshupathania27@gmail.com*

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Minakshi Dhar
Professor, department of Geriatric Medicine
AIIMS Rishikesh
Email Id: *minakshi.dhar@rediffmail.com*
Phone No: +917060002778

Word Count: 1614

Tables: 3

Figures: 3

Key words: sarcopenia, ultrasound, cirrhosis, handgrip, MELD score

ABSTRACT

Background Sarcopenia is a frequent complication of cirrhosis and is closely linked with adverse medical consequences. This study evaluated relationship between the Quadriceps Muscle Index (QMI), measured via ultrasound, and the Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) score, as well as handgrip strength (HGS).

Methodology The cross-sectional observational study was carried out at a tertiary care teaching hospital from northern India. Patients having cirrhosis were screened based on predefined eligibility criteria. The assessment followed a multi-step approach, including cirrhosis severity evaluation using the MELD score, muscle mass measurement via the ultrasound-derived Quadriceps Muscle Index (QMI), and muscle strength assessment using hand grip dynamometry.

Results The study involved 102 patients with cirrhosis. The correlation analysis found moderate negative association among the MELD score and QMI (Spearman rho $= -0.55$, $p < 0.001$). Similarly, substantial positive connection was reported between HGS and QMI (Pearson $r = 0.63$, $p < 0.001$).

Conclusions Present study highlights the Quadriceps Muscle Index (QMI) as a reliable and clinically relevant tool for assessing sarcopenia in cirrhotic patients. The significant correlation between QMI and the MELD score underscores its potential for identifying patients at risk of complications of cirrhosis.

INTRODUCTION

Sarcopenia has been characterized by the slow loss of muscular mass, strength, and function and is increasingly recognized as a crucial determinant of severe health effects, which includes disablement, fractures, plus prolonged stay in the hospital. (1–3). It is categorized

into primary sarcopenia, which occurs with aging, and secondary sarcopenia, which results from chronic conditions such as cirrhosis, heart failure, and CKD (4,5). Sarcopenia in patients having cirrhosis leads to poor outcomes, such as a higher risk of infections, variceal bleeding, hepatic encephalopathy, and unfavourable post-transplant prognosis.(6)

The most accurate diagnostic modalities for assessing muscle mass are Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and Computed tomography (CT). However, they are costly, time-consuming, and require exposure to radiation (7). Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) is extremely reliable way to determine muscle mass.; however, its availability is limited (8). Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA), while accessible, is affected by hydration status and ascites, limiting its accuracy in cirrhotic patients (8).

Ultrasound has emerged as a promising, cost-effective, and bedside modality for assessing muscle mass, making it a valuable tool for detecting sarcopenia (1,7). Muscle mass in this study was measured using ultrasound-derived Quadriceps Muscle Index (QMI).

The purpose of this study was to determine the relationship between QMI, as determined by ultrasound, and the MELD score among cirrhosis patients. Additionally, it explores the relationship between QMI and handgrip strength, assessing whether QMI can serve as a reliable marker of sarcopenia as well. Early identification of muscle loss using ultrasound could allow for timely nutritional and rehabilitative interventions, ultimately improving risk stratification, treatment planning, and transplant outcomes in cirrhotic patients.

METHODOLOGY

A observational cross-sectional study was undertaken in the outpatient departments for General Medicine, Geriatric Medicine, and Gastroenterology at a tertiary centre of North India. Data collection was carried out over an 18-month period. Before the study began, the institutional ethics committee approved it, and all participants gave their informed consent in compliance with ethical standards. Patients aged 18 and older with a definitive diagnosis of cirrhosis, established through radiological, endoscopic, histological, or clinical criteria were used for the study. Patients having malignancies, including hepatocellular carcinoma, Organ failure affecting nutritional well-being (for example, CKD necessitating haemodialysis, heart failure, or respiratory impairment), Acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF) or acute decompensation, HIV or tuberculosis infection, diabetes, neurological or musculoskeletal conditions preventing muscle strength or function assessment, chronic pancreatitis were omitted.

Sample Size Calculation

To ensure statistical robustness and reproducibility, the sample size was estimated using data from a recently published study (7), which found a correlation coefficient of 0.53 between Quadriceps Muscle Index (QMI) and MELD scores and 0.82 between QMI and HGS in patients with cirrhosis. (7) Assuming a statistical power of 80% and a 5% alpha error, the required sample size for achieving statistical significance was determined to be 102 participants.

Data Collection

Detailed data on demographic and clinical characteristics were collected from all participants, including medical history, cirrhosis aetiology, and MELD score calculations based on serum bilirubin, creatinine, and INR values.

Muscle strength was assessed using the JAMAR dynamometer. Participants were instructed to apply maximum force while gripping the device, with each hand tested 3 times. The maximum recorded value was used for muscle strength assessment.

Muscle mass was assessed using the ultrasound-based Quadriceps Muscle Index (QMI). Participants were positioned supine lower limbs relaxed and flexed, while upper limbs supinated. The anterior mid-thigh point was determined as the intersection of the greater trochanter and lateral knee joint line, and right and left thigh thickness of the quadriceps was also taken. (7)

QMI = (Quadriceps thickness (Right) + Quadriceps thickness (Left)) / Height² (m²)

Statistical Analysis

Pearson's correlation was used for normally distributed variables, whereas Spearman's correlation was used for non-normally distributed variables. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 23, with p-values <0.05 indicating significance.

RESULTS

A total of 102 cirrhotic patients were selected from 208 screened according to predetermined criteria in the study. Table 1 shows the demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population. 45.56 ± 11.08 years was the mean age with most (32.4%) aged 41–50. Males comprised 74.5% of the sample. Fig 1 depicts the underlying causes of cirrhosis in this

population showing alcohol use (46.1%) as most common cause which was followed by hepatitis C virus (30.4%). Most patients (77.5%) had decompensated cirrhosis.

A moderate negative correlation (ρ = -0.55) between MELD and QMI (cm/m²) was observed, which was statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) (Table 2). Spearman's test was used as MELD was not normally distributed. Figure 2 showing scatterplot illustrates the correlation between MELD score and QMI (cm/m²), with a blue trendline indicating the relationship and a shaded grey area representing its 95% confidence interval. For every 1 unit increase in MELD, the QMI (cm/m2) decreases by 0.04 units.

QMI (cm/m2) = 2.35 + 0.04*MELD

A positive correlation (r = 0.63) was found among HGS and QMI (cm/m²) using Pearson's test, which was statistically significant ($p < 0.001$), with both variables following a normal distribution. (Table 3) For every 1 unit increase in HGS, the QMI (cm/m2) increases by 0.02 units.

QMI (cm/m2) = 1.16 + 0.02*HGS

Figure 3 showing scatterplot illustrates the correlation between HGS and QMI (cm/m²), with a blue trendline indicating the relationship and a shaded grey area representing its 95% confidence interval.

DISCUSSION

The study attempted to evaluate the relationship between QMI and both the MELD score and HGS, which are key clinical indices of liver disease severity and muscular strength. There was a substantial negative association between QMI and MELD score (rho = -0.55, p < 0.001), indicating that lower muscle mass, as measured by QMI, is associated with more severe liver dysfunction. This finding corroborates existing literature that links sarcopenia with worse liver function and poor prognosis in cirrhotic patients. As cirrhosis progresses, there is often a substantial depletion of skeletal muscle, which further exacerbates the clinical course of liver disease. Thus, detecting sarcopenia at an early stage in these patients enables clinicians to recognize those at higher risk of adverse outcomes and tailor interventions accordingly.

The study also found a substantial positive correlation among QMI and HGS (r = 0.63, p < 0.001). This relationship lends credence to the idea that measuring both muscle mass and strength provides a fuller picture of sarcopenia in cirrhosis patients. While muscle mass assessment helps identify those at risk for sarcopenia, HGS is a functional measure that

reflects muscle strength, which is critical for maintaining mobility and independence in cirrhotic patients. Our findings suggest that combining both measures may improve the accuracy of sarcopenia diagnosis at the bedside, allowing for more precise identification of patients requiring intervention.

Additionally, in our study, patients with decompensated cirrhosis showed considerably lower QMI values than those with compensated cirrhosis (Mann-Whitney U = 1172, p = 0.035) further highlighting QMI as a predictor of severity of cirrhosis. Decompensated cirrhosis is frequently linked to declining liver function, and the presence of sarcopenia may further deteriorate the prognosis. These findings highlight the importance of monitoring QMI in decompensated cirrhosis to enable early detection of sarcopenia and timely intervention, helping to prevent its adverse effects on patient outcomes.

These results align with prior studies. **Nevian El-Liethy et al.** performed a study on 101 cirrhotic patients, revealing significant negative correlation between QMI and MELD score (R = -0.532, p < 0.001) and a considerable positive correlation among QMI as well as HGS (R = 0.812, p < 0.001) (7). Similarly, **Mandill et al.** evaluated Quadriceps Muscle Layer Thickness (QMLT) in 93 individuals and found a significant positive correlation between QMLT and HGS (r = 0.423, p = 0.002 in males; r = 0.761, p = 0.001 in females). Furthermore, significant negative correlation between QMLT and Na-MELD scores in men (r = -0.460, p = 0.001) was reported. (9)   These observations further support our study's conclusions, reinforcing the utility of ultrasound-derived muscle measurements in assessing sarcopenia severity and its clinical implications in cirrhotic patients.

The study's findings contribute to the growing evidence supporting ultrasound as a reliable and non-invasive tool for detecting sarcopenia in cirrhosis. Ultrasound offers several advantages, including its widespread availability, low cost, and ease of use, making it an attractive alternative to more expensive and resource-intensive imaging techniques. Furthermore, its ability to assess muscle mass and strength in real-time provides clinicians with a valuable tool for monitoring disease progression and response to treatment.

However, this study does have some limitations. To begin, the study's cross-sectional design limits its ability to detect cause-and-effect relationships between sarcopenia and liver disease outcomes. A longitudinal study would provide a more complete knowledge of how changes in muscle mass over time affect disease development and clinical outcomes. Second, because this study was carried at a single tertiary care centre, its findings may not be fully generalizable to broader cirrhosis populations. The patient cohort may not represent the full diversity of cirrhosis cases encountered in other healthcare settings, potentially limiting the

external validity of the results. Lastly, while ultrasound-based QMI measurement is a safe and convenient approach for evaluating muscle mass, future research comparing it with gold-standard methods such as CT or MRI will be essential to further validate its accuracy and reliability.

CONCLUSIONS

This study highlights the Quadriceps Muscle Index (QMI), measured via ultrasound, as an effective way for assessing muscle mass and disease severity among cirrhosis patients. The moderate negative correlation between QMI and MELD score underscores its potential in predicting liver disease progression and patient outcomes. Given the rising global burden of liver disease, early identification and intervention for sarcopenia are crucial for improving patient prognosis and quality of life. Future multicentre trials and longitudinal research are needed to enhance the validation of ultrasound-based QMI measurements in routine clinical settings.

Acknowledgement: We thank Dr. Shalini Sharma for giving her valuable inputs while editing the draft.

Conflict of interest: None

Author statement:

Dr. Sarthak Gaur: Protocol writing, Data collection and analysis, Prepared the final draft for the article and approved it

Dr. Minakshi Dhar: Conceived the idea, protocol design and writing, analysis of data, final preparation of draft and approved it

Dr. Anand Sharma: Data collection, intellectual inputs in the protocol design writing and inputs for final draft of article and approved it.

Dr. Sonal Saran: Data collection, inputs in data analysis and final draft of article and approved it.

Dr. Mukesh Chand Bairwa: Data collection, article writing and approved it

Dr. Monika Pathania: Data collection, analysis of data and final article writing and approved the final draft.

Data statement: Data will be uploaded on the data repository

Funding: No funding was received for this research

Strengths & limitation:

- This study provides the valuable insight into the fact that ultrasound can be used as a valuable tool to assess the sarcopenia in patients with cirrhosis.

- Ultrasound being cost effective and easily available modality can help primary care physicians to plan early intervention in these group of patients.
- Cross-sectional design of the study limits its ability to detect cause-and-effect relationships between sarcopenia and liver disease outcomes.

REFERENCES:

1. Li S, Li H, Hu Y, Zhu S, Xu Z, Zhang Q, et al. Ultrasound for Measuring the Cross-Sectional Area of Biceps Brachii Muscle in Sarcopenia. Int J Med Sci [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2024 Nov 13];17(18):2947. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7646111/

2. de Souza VA, Oliveira D, Cupolilo EN, Miranda CS, Colugnati FAB, Mansur HN, et al. Rectus femoris muscle mass evaluation by ultrasound: facilitating sarcopenia diagnosis in pre-dialysis chronic kidney disease stages. Clinics [Internet]. 2018 Oct 29 [cited 2024 Nov 13];73:e392. Available from: https://www.scielo.br/j/clin/a/ddN6d6JMCRbXDGGRHrfmnsH/?lang=en

3. Correa-De-Araujo R, Hadley E. Skeletal Muscle Function Deficit: A New Terminology to Embrace the Evolving Concepts of Sarcopenia and Age-Related Muscle Dysfunction. The Journals of Gerontology: Series A [Internet]. 2014 May 1 [cited 2024 Nov 28];69(5):591–4. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glt208

4. Morikami A, Nishida Y. Sarcopenia□: European consensus on definition and diagnosis. Report of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People. Age Ageing [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2024 Nov 13];39(1):412–23. Available from: https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1570854174306953344

5. Bellar A, Welch N, Dasarathy S. REVIEW Synthesis Exercise and physical activity in cirrhosis: opportunities or perils. J Appl Physiol [Internet]. 2020 May 1 [cited 2024 Nov 13];128(6):1547–67. Available from: https://journals.physiology.org/doi/10.1152/japplphysiol.00798.2019

6. Kim HY, Jang JW. Sarcopenia in the prognosis of cirrhosis: Going beyond the MELD score. World Journal of Gastroenterology□: WJG [Internet]. 2015 Jul 1 [cited 2024 Nov 13];21(25):7637. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4491953/

7. El-Liethy NE, Kamal HA. Value of ultrasound in grading the severity of sarcopenia in patients with hepatic cirrhosis. Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine

[Internet]. 2021 Dec 1 [cited 2024 Nov 13];52(1):1–14. Available from: https://link.springer.com/articles/10.1186/s43055-021-00638-3

8.   Ciocîrlan M, Cazan AR, Barbu M, Mănuc M, Diculescu M, Ciocîrlan M. Subjective Global Assessment and Handgrip Strength as Predictive Factors in Patients with Liver Cirrhosis. Gastroenterol Res Pract [Internet]. 2017 Jan 1 [cited 2024 Nov 13];2017(1):8348390. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1155/2017/8348390

9.   Mandill J, Hilwah M, Sinclair L, Hussam Eddin A, Brown C, Anwar M, et al. A272 Utilizing Bedside Ultrasound To Assess Muscle Mass In Cirrhotic Patients Assessed For Liver Transplantation. J Can Assoc Gastroenterol [Internet]. 2019 Mar 15 [cited 2024 Nov 13];2(Supplement_2):537–8. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jcag/gwz006.271

Table 1:Patients demographics and Clinical Characteristics

Characteristics	Value
Age (Mean ± SD)	45.56 ± 11.08
Age Group (Years) - N (%)	
18-30	9 (8.8%)
31-40	24 (23.5%)
41-50	33 (32.4%)
51-60	27 (26.5%)
61-70	9 (8.8%)
Gender - N (%)	
Male	76 (74.5%)
Female	26 (25.5%)
Cirrhosis Type - N (%)	
Compensated	23 (22.5%)
Decompensated	79 (77.5%)
MELD Score (Mean ± SD)	13.08 ± 3.34
Anthropometry (Mean ± SD)	
Height (cm)	Male: 165.87 ± 5.68, Female: 150.08 ± 5.78
Weight (kg)	Male: 64.89 ± 11.50, Female: 54.92 ± 9.19
BMI (kg/m²)	Male: 23.57 ± 3.92, Female: 24.04 ± 3.30

MUAC (cm)	Male: 25.33 ± 3.84, Female: 24.35 ± 3.19
Muscle Strength & Mass	
QMI (cm/m²) (Mean ± SD)	1.82 ± 0.27
HGS (Mean ± SD)	27.57 ± 7.06

Figure 1: Chart depicting various aetiologies of CLD

Table 2:Correlation between Quadriceps muscle index (cm/m²) and MELD score

Correlation	Spearman Correlation Coefficient	P Value
MELD vs QMI (cm/m2)	-0.55 (95%CI: -0.68 to -0.39)	<0.001

Figure 2: The scatterplot illustrates the correlation between MELD and QMI (cm/m²)

Table 3:Correlation between 'Hand grip strength' and 'Quadriceps muscle index'(cm/m²)

Correlation	Pearson's Correlation Coefficient	P Value
HGS vs QMI (cm/m2)	0.63 (95%CI: 0.49 to 0.73)	<0.001

Figure 3:The scatterplot illustrates the correlation between HGS and QMI (cm/m²)

◼

Figure 1: Chart depicting various aetiologies of CLD

Table 1:Patients demographics and Clinical Characteristics

Characteristics	Value
Age (Mean ± SD)	45.56 ± 11.08
Age Group (Years) - N (%)	
18-30	9 (8.8%)
31-40	24 (23.5%)
41-50	33 (32.4%)
51-60	27 (26.5%)
61-70	9 (8.8%)
Gender - N (%)	
Male	76 (74.5%)
Female	26 (25.5%)
Cirrhosis Type - N (%)	
Compensated	23 (22.5%)
Decompensated	79 (77.5%)
MELD Score (Mean ± SD)	13.08 ± 3.34
Anthropometry (Mean ± SD)	
Height (cm)	Male: 165.87 ± 5.68, Female: 150.08 ± 5.78
Weight (kg)	Male: 64.89 ± 11.50, Female: 54.92 ± 9.19
BMI (kg/m²)	Male: 23.57 ± 3.92, Female: 24.04 ± 3.30
MUAC (cm)	Male: 25.33 ± 3.84, Female: 24.35 ± 3.19
Muscle Strength & Mass	

QMI (cm/m²) (Mean ± SD)	1.82 ± 0.27
HGS (Mean ± SD)	27.57 ± 7.06

Correlation of Quadriceps muscle index (cm/m²) and MELD score in patients with cirrhosis.

Table 1:Correlation between Quadriceps muscle index (cm/m²) and MELD score

Correlation	Spearman Correlation Coefficient	P Value
MELD vs QMI (cm/m2)	-0.55 (95%CI: -0.68 to -0.39)	<0.001

Correlation between 'Hand grip strength' and 'Quadriceps muscle index'(cm/m²) cirrhosis patients.

Table 1:Correlation between 'Hand grip strength' and 'Quadriceps muscle index'(cm/m²)

Correlation	Pearson's Correlation Coefficient	P Value
HGS vs QMI (cm/m2)	0.63 (95%CI: 0.49 to 0.73)	<0.001

Figure 1:The above scatterplot illustrates the correlation between HGS and QMI (cm/m²)