EEG functional connectivity as a prognostic biomarker of adaptive function in autistic people

Chirag Mehra[1], Petroula Laiou[2,3], Pilar Garcés[4], Joshua B Ewen[5,6], Eva Loth[1], Mark H Johnson[7], Luke Mason[1], Emily JH Jones[8,9], Tony Charman[10], Thomas Bourgeron[11], Jan Buitelaar[12], Michael Absoud[13,14], Mark P Richardson[2], Declan Murphy[1], Jonathan O'Muircheartaigh[1,9,15]

1) Department of Forensic and Neurodevelopmental Sciences, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK
2) Department of Basic and Clinical Neuroscience, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK
3) Department of Biostatistics & Health Informatics, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK
4) Roche Pharma Research and Early Development, Neuroscience and Rare Diseases, Roche Innovation Center Basel, F. Hoffmann–La Roche Ltd., Basel, Switzerland
5) Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics, Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA
6) Department of Pediatrics at Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois, USA
7) Department of Psychology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
8) Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK
9) MRC Centre for Developmental Neurobiology, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK
10) Department of Psychology, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK
11) Human Genetics and Cognitive Functions, Institut Pasteur, UMR3571 CNRS, Université de Paris, 75015 Paris, France.
12) Department of Medical Neuroscience, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, Netherlands.
13) Department of Women and Children's Health, Faculty of Life Sciences and Medicine, School of Life Course Sciences, King's College London, London, UK
14) Children's Neurosciences, Evelina London Children's Hospital at Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, UK
15) Department of Early Life Imaging, School of Biomedical Engineering and Imaging Sciences, King's College London, UK

Correspondence to: Chirag Mehra

Department of Forensic and Neurodevelopment Sciences, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, 16 De Crespigny Park, London, SE5 8AF, UK

Email: chirag.1.mehra@kcl.ac.uk

Running Title: EEG biomarkers of adaptive function in autism

Keywords: autism, functional connectivity, adaptive function, prognostic biomarker, EEG

Abstract

Many autistic people have challenges with adaptive function, impacting education, employment and independent-living goals. Adaptive function outcomes of autistic people vary considerably, which makes planning for future needs challenging. Here, using a developmentally sensitive approach, we investigated if cortico-cortical functional connectivity – a core neurobiological feature that differs in autism – could predict longitudinal changes in adaptive function in autistic people. Using electroencephalography in 150 autistic and 159 non-autistic participants aged 6-31 years, we investigated if mean degree and network organisation (small-world index) predict longitudinal changes in adaptive function over 19-months. We assessed both metrics for properties desired in prognostic biomarkers: reliability and convergence with biology (polygenic variation). We found that small-world index significantly predicted changes in adaptive function in autistic people across the entire age-range. Predictive performance was best in 15-21-year-olds, where small-world index and mean degree explained 30-33% of additional variance in outcomes, outperforming measures of intelligence and autistic features. In categorising binary (improved versus not-improved) outcomes, the model containing mean degree had an AUC of 0.80 [95% CI: 0.63-0.97] in 15-21-year-olds, while that containing small-world index had an AUC of 0.76 [95% CI: 0.63-0.89] across the 6-31-year age-range. Both metrics demonstrated high test-retest reliability and significant associations with polygenic variation in brain volume. We demonstrate the first evidence that electroencephalography-derived functional connectivity metrics show promise as prognostic biomarkers of adaptive function in autistic people. Potential precision-medicine applications include stratifying participants in clinical trials and identifying those at risk of declining function in clinical settings.

Introduction

A minority of autistic adults work full-time (12-27%), are enrolled in or have completed postsecondary education (39%) or live independently (5-12%) (1–3). Consequently, identifying approaches to support function in these domains is a research priority of autistic people (4,5). The neurocognitive construct most strongly associated with these abilities in autistic people is adaptive function (1,3,6–8): the application of one's abilities to navigate the everyday environment, including in a self-sufficient manner (9). Indeed, adaptive function has been a key outcome measure of autism clinical trials (10–12). However, there is substantial variation in how adaptive function abilities of autistic people change over time (13–15). This poses a challenge in helping autistic young-people and adults plan requirements for future support or independence, and risks concealing important subgroup effects in clinical trials (16), highlighting a need for precision-medicine approaches.

Current behavioural predictors of improvements in adaptive function in autistic people – higher intelligence (1,17,18), higher baseline adaptive function (1,18) and speech abilities (18) – leave most of the variance in adaptive function outcomes unexplained (9,17,19–21). Existing putative neurobiological predictors – including the speed of neural responses to faces (the N170 event-related potential; (16) and cortical structural differences (22) – are either limited in the domains of adaptive function outcomes they predict (16) and/or only explain a small proportion of additional variance (6% each) in outcomes (16,22). This highlights the need for better prognostic biomarkers.

Several studies have demonstrated that brain connectivity is different in autistic compared to non-autistic people (23–33). Both the amount (often quantified as mean degree; (23,34,35) and organisation (24,36–38) of functional connectivity in large-scale brain networks have been associated with the extent of autistic social-communication and behavioural traits. An important feature of brain-networks across species is their small-world organisation (39–43), which facilitates specialised (segregated) and co-ordinated (integrated) function within and across neural systems (39,44). Altered small-world organisation has been found in autism (36–38). Therefore, these functional connectivity metrics may show promise as prognostic biomarkers for adaptive function in autistic people.

However, previous functional connectivity findings in autistic people have been heterogenous (25,28–32,45–51), limiting progress in biomarker discovery. Considering age-effects in autism research is especially important given that autism is associated with altered rates of white matter (24,25,52–54), brain volume (55) and behavioural (56) maturation. Yet, most prior studies have inadequately accounted for developmental stage (57), possibly contributing to heterogenous findings.

Here, we investigated the performance of functional connectivity-derived mean degree (MD) and small-world index (SWI) as candidate prognostic biomarkers for adaptive function outcomes in autistic people. We explicitly studied age effects in a large dataset comprising participants aged 6-31 years. A candidate prognostic biomarker needs to be statistically associated with an outcome, quantifiable in real-world settings, highly reliable, and capture underlying biological processes (58–60). Therefore, we measured neural activity using electroencephalography (EEG), a widely available and cost-effective method (61). EEG-based functional connectivity also reflects the mechanisms underlying neural interactions (62–67). Next, we quantified the reliability of MD and SWI. Finally, as autism (68,69) and functional connectivity (70–72) are both highly heritable, we assessed convergence of functional connectivity metrics with biological processes by quantifying associations with polygenic variation.

Participants and Methods

Details are included in the Supplementary Methods section.

Participants

We included 150 autistic (27% female) and 159 non-autistic (33% female) participants, aged 6-31 years, from five sites of the AIMS-2-TRIALS Longitudinal European Autism Project (73,74) (Table 1a). Participant consent was obtained in keeping with the Declaration of Helsinki. Autism was diagnosed per the DSM-IV (75), DSM-5 (76) or ICD-10 (77) criteria. Included participants had at least one 20-second epoch of good-quality eyes-closed resting-state EEG data and a good-quality T1 structural MRI image (for source reconstruction). Given that mental health and other developmental conditions commonly co-occur with autism (78), we did not exclude participants with these conditions. We excluded participants with

neurological conditions, gross structural brain lesions, diagnosed intellectual disability, a full-scale IQ < 75 or significant systemic disease.

Age-groups

For the age-group analyses, participants were split into 3 age-groups (Table 1b): children (6-14 years, n = 116), young-people (15-21 years, n = 115), and adults (22-31 years, n = 78). This split was chosen to allow for approximately an equal number of participants in each age-group and comparable age-group widths.

Table 1 - Demographics and clinical characteristics of participants. FSIQ = full-scale IQ. OCD = obsessive compulsive disorder. ADHD = attention deficit / hyperactivity disorder. GDD = global developmental delay. A = autistic, NA = non-autistic.

a) Demographics and clinical characteristics across all age-brackets			
	Autistic (n = 150)	**Non-autistic (n = 159)**	**Total (n = 309)**
Demographics			
Mean age (SD) – yrs	17.1 (5.8)	17.4 (5.9)	17.3 (5.8)
Age range – yrs	7.9 – 30.3	6.9 – 31.0	6.9 – 31.0
Female sex	41 (27%)	53 (33%)	94 (30%)
FSIQ			
Mean FSIQ (SD)	104.2 (14.8)	108.5(12.7)	106.4 (13.9)
FSIQ range	75 – 148	75 – 142	75 – 148
Mental health diagnoses			
Depression	11 / 135 (8%)	1/145 (1%)	12/280 (4%)
Anxiety	14 / 134 (10%)	2/146 (1%)	16/280 (6%)
OCD	6 / 134 (5%)	0/146 (0%)	6/280 (2%)
Developmental diagnoses			
ADHD	28 / 134 (21%)	5/146 (3%)	33/280 (12%)
Dyslexia / dyscalculia	5 / 56 (9%)	5/51 (10%)	10/107 (9%)
Dyspraxia	2 / 56 (4%)	1/51 (2%)	3/107 (3%)
GDD (previous)	6 / 55 (11%)	1/51 (2%)	7/106 (7%)

b) Demographic details within each age bracket						
	6 - 14 yrs		**15 - 21 yrs**		**22-31 yrs**	
	A n = 62	NA n = 54	A n = 52	NA n = 63	A n = 36	NA n = 42
Female Sex	32%	37%	25%	38%	22%	21%
Mean IQ	104	111	101	106	108	109

Behavioural measures

We measured adaptive function in autistic people (only) at two timepoints (T1 and T2) using the Vineland Adaptive Behaviour Scale, second edition (79). Adaptive function across the domains of communication, daily living and socialisation skills was quantified by the adaptive

behaviour composite score (ABC), standardised for age (mean 100, SD 15). The mean time interval between T1 and T2 ABC measurements was 19 months (SD = 3.5 months, range 12-30 months), Figure S1a. We calculated longitudinal changes in adaptive function (ΔABC) by subtracting T2 from T1 ABC scores, as done previously (16,22).

The age-standardised 'T-scores' of the Social Responsiveness Scale-Second Edition (SRS-2) (80) were used as trait measures of autistic behaviours. Intellectual ability was assessed using the Wechsler Abbreviated Scales of Intelligence-Second Edition (81), or shortened versions of the German, Dutch or Italian Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, third or fourth editions (82,83) or Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale third or fourth editions (84,85).

Electroencephalography methods

Four segments of 30-second, eyes-closed recordings were captured using 60–70 EEG electrodes (site dependent). We transformed EEG timeseries from sensor space to source space using individual-participant T1-weighted MRI images and a linearly constrained minimum variance beamformer (86), as per Garcés *et al.* (87).

We chose 20 second eyes-closed resting-state EEG epochs to produce functional connectivity matrices. This epoch length was a compromise between maximising the number of included participants and maximising network stability (88,89). We filtered signals between 6-9 Hz ('low-alpha').

Functional connectivity network analyses

For each 20-second epoch, we calculated functional connectivity between brain-regions using the phase locking value (PLV; (90). Scalp-EEG derived PLV has high test-retest reliability, concordance with its underlying structural connectivity and is sensitive to age-related connectivity changes (91).

We created weighted, undirected functional connectivity matrices with 68 nodes. Each node corresponded to a cortical region of the Desikan-Killiany atlas (92), while the edges were the PLV between pairs of nodes. The network metrics of interest were the mean degree and the small-world index, calculated using the brain connectivity toolbox (93).

The degree of each node was averaged over 68 nodes to obtain the mean degree, MD:

$$MD = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i k_i, (2)$$

where k_i denotes the number of edges of node i and $i = 1, \ldots, N = 68$.

We used a modified (for weighted networks) Humphries and Gurney (94) definition of the weighted SWI (95). The weighted SWI, σ, was calculated by dividing the normalised weighted clustering coefficient, C', by the normalised weighted pathlength, L':

$$\sigma = \frac{C'}{L'} \ (3)$$

If a participant had more than one usable 20-second EEG epoch, MD and weighted SWI were calculated for each epoch. Each participant had 1-4 usable epochs. The per-participant connectivity metrics used in analyses were calculated from the mean of 1-4 epochs, as the mean of multiple measures is more reliable than a single measure (96).

Test-retest reliability

We quantified the intrasession test-retest reliability between two epochs recorded between 1-3 minutes of each other. Reliability was calculated for metrics derived from one 20-second epoch using interclass correlation coefficient (ICC (2,1)) and metrics derived from the mean of two 20-second epochs using ICC(2,k). Results were interpreted as per Ku and Li (97).

Genetics

We calculated polygenic likelihood scores (PGS) using blood samples. Using reference genome-wide association study summary statistics, PGS were produced for autism (98) and brain volume (99). A more positive brain volume PGS is associated with more alleles for large brain volume.

Statistical analysis: general linear models

We adjusted the general linear models predicting ΔABC for participant age and age x T2 – T1 (time difference) interactions, as per Pretzsch *et al.* (22), as the rate of behavioural change differs by developmental stage (56). We selected models by comparing the Akaike information criterion (AIC) and the adjusted R^2 between models with different nuisance co-variates.

We evaluated the out-of-sample generalisability of the models predicting ΔABC using the connectome-based predictive modelling protocol (100).

We explored the ability of our models to predict categorical ΔABC on a per-participant basis, using leave-one-out cross-validation. We used an ΔABC cutoff of 6 to define improving, worsening or static outcomes, based on evidence that ΔABC greater than ±2.7-8 (101,102) in autistic people without intellectual disability are minimal clinically-important differences. We assessed if predictive ability was better than chance using permutation analyses. Then, to illustrate predictive performance using the area under the receiver operated characteristic curve (AUC), sensitivity and specificity, we binarized outcomes to increased ($\geq$ 6) or not-increased (< 6) ABC scores.

Results

Cross-sectional group differences in functional connectivity

We quantified the extent of cortico-cortical functional connectivity using mean degree. There was no main effect of diagnostic group on MD across the 6-31-year age-range (Table S1). However, age-associated increases in MD were slower in autistic than in non-autistic people (β = -0.03, SE = 0.017, p = .045, η^2 = 0.01), Fig. 1a. Given this interaction, compared to non-autistic people, MD was no different in autistic 6-14-year-olds (β = 0.11, SE = 0.18, p_{FDR} = .52), but lower in autistic 15-21-year-olds (β = -0.58, SE = 0.18, p_{FDR} = .005) and 22-31-year-olds (β = -0.50, SE = 0.23, p_{FDR} = .044), Fig. 1c. In autistic people aged 6-31-years, the presence of more autistic features (SRS T-score) correlated with lower MD, even when adjusting for site effects (partial r = -.31, n = 118, p < .001), Fig. 1e.

There was no main effect of group on small-world index, across the 6-31-year age-range (Table S2). However, age-associated decreases in SWI were slower in autistic than in non-autistic people (β = 0.036, SE = 0.017, p = .039, η^2 = 0.01). We found no differences in SWI in autistic 6-14-year-olds (β = -0.12, SE = 0.18, p_{FDR} = .51), 15-21-year-olds (β = 0.39, SE = 0.19, p_{FDR} = .13) or 22-31-year-olds (β = 0.38, SE = 0.22, p_{FDR} = .14) compared to non-autistic people (Fig. 1d). Within autistic people aged 6-31-years, there was a positive correlation between SRS

T-score and SWI, even when adjusting for site effects (partial $r = .30$, n = 118, $p < .001$), Fig. 1f.



Figure 1 - Cross-sectional relationships between functional connectivity metrics and autism diagnosis or autistic traits. In both autistic and non-autistic people, **a)** mean degree increased (β = .29, SE = .05, *p* <.001) and **b)** small-world index decreased (β = -.29, SE = .05, *p* <.001) with age from childhood to adulthood. **a-b)** There were significant group x age interactions in mean degree and small-world index: both changed more slowly from childhood to adulthood in autistic people (n = 309)**. c)** Mean degree was no different in autistic 6-14-year-olds (n = 116) but was lower in autistic 15-21-year-olds (n = 115) and 22-31-year-olds (n = 78), compared to non-autistic people. **d)** Small-world index did not differ by group in any age-group. In autistic people, **e)** lower mean degree and **f)** higher small-world index was associated with more autistic features (n = 118).

Functional connectivity predicts longitudinal changes in adaptive function

From the sample of 150 autistic people, we collected ABC score data at T1 from 122 people, at T2 from 90 people, and at both timepoints from 81 people, Table 2.

Table 2 – Vineland Adaptive Behaviour Composite (ABC) Scores by timepoint and age-bracket. T1 = timepoint 1, T2 = timepoint 2

	6-14 years		**15-21 years**		**22-31 years**		**6-31 years**	
Mean ABC T1 (SD) Range	77.9 (9.9) 58 – 100	n=48	69.7 (9.1) 46 – 101	n=42	70.2 (20.6) 30 – 121	n=32	73.0 (13.8) 30-121	n=122
Mean ABC T2 (SD) Range	77.2 (10.4) 59 – 102	n=46	72.8 (12.8) 20 – 91	n=30	74.6 (12.6) 45 – 95	n=14	75.3 (11.6) 20-102	n=90
Mean ABC change (SD) Range	-1.6 (9.0) -23 - +26	n=40	1.5 (9.9) -37 - +15	n=28	7.5 (11.7) -12 - +30	n=13	0.95 (10.1) -37 - +30	n=81

Cross-sectional analyses between functional connectivity and adaptive function

First, we examined if MD and SWI were associated with T1 adaptive function abilities in autistic people. Lower MD and higher SWI were associated with lower T1 ABC across 6-31-year-olds (Tables S3-S4).

Longitudinal analyses between functional connectivity and adaptive function

Next, we assessed the association between T1 functional connectivity metrics and longitudinal changes in adaptive function. ΔABC ranged from -37 to +30, Figure S1b, Table 2. There were no differences in the variance of ΔABC between age-groups ($F_{2/78} = .61$, $p = .55$).

MD did not predict ΔABC, when 6-31-year-old autistic people were analysed together (Table S5).

In 15-21-year-old autistic people, higher MD was associated with improvements in ABC, with a large effect size (n = 28, $\beta = 5.64$, SE = 1.44, $p_{FDR} = .003$, $p_{permute} = .017$, $\eta^2 = 0.18$, Fig. 2a-b, Figure S2). Adding the variable MD to a model predicting ΔABC (already containing full-scale IQ, T1 ABC, age and sex) increased the variance explained in ΔABC by 33% (model R^2_{adj} increased from .24 to .57).

a) Graph showing partial correlation



b) General linear model

N = 28 15-21-year-olds	Est. coeff.	Std. Error	t	*p*	η^2
Intercept	-172.10	127.20	-1.35	.193	
MD (standardised)	**5.64**	**1.44**	**3.92**	**.001**	**.18**
FSIQ	0.15	0.10	1.52	.145	.03
Current Vineland	-0.62	0.19	-3.30	.004	.13
Age	0.04	0.02	1.83	.084	.21
Age difference	0.40	0.21	1.96	.066	.11
Sex (male)	-4.89	3.22	-1.52	.147	.03
Site 2	5.47	3.03	1.81	.088	
Site 3	7.23	4.10	1.76	.095	.05
Age x age difference	0.00	0.00	-2.26	.037	.06
Vineland change ~ MD (standardised) + FSIQ + Current Vineland + Age + Age difference + Sex + Site + Age x Age difference. R^2 = .71, R^2_{adj} = .57, F(9,18) = 4.95, *p* = .0019					
Model statistics of a model where MD is omitted as a variable: R^2 = .47, R^2_{adj} = .24, F(8,19) = 2.08, *p* = .091. Change in R^2_{adj} on adding MD to the model = + .326.					

Figure 2 - Figure 2 – Mean degree predicts longitudinal changes in adaptive function in autistic people aged 15-21-years. a-b) higher mean degree at timepoint 1 predicted longitudinal improvements in adaptive function. The values in the graph are residualised for the co-variates listed in **b).** η^2 for main effect of MD shows a large effect size. Adding the variable mean degree to the general linear model improved the amount of explained variance in changes in adaptive behaviour composite (ABC) scores by 33% (n = 28).

MD did not predict ΔABC in 6-14-year-old and 22-31-year-old autistic people, Tables S6-S7.

SWI predicted ΔABC when 6-31-year-old autistic people were analysed together (n = 81, β = -2.36, SE = 1.02, *p* = .023, $p_{permute}$ = .024, η^2 = 0.04), Fig. 3a-b, Figure S2. Adding the variable SWI to a model predicting ΔABC (already containing full-scale IQ, T1 ABC and age) increased the variance explained in ΔABC by 4% (model R^2_{adj} increased from .30 to .34).

Taking each age-group in turn, in 15-21-year-old autistic people, lower SWI was associated with improvements in ABC, with a large effect size (n = 28, β = -5.60, SE = 1.48, p_{FDR} = .007, $p_{permute}$ = .017, η^2 = 0.21), Fig 3c-d. Adding the variable SWI to a model predicting ΔABC (already containing full-scale IQ, T1 ABC, age and sex) increased the variance explained in ΔABC by 30% (model R^2_{adj} increased from .23 to .53).

SWI did not predict ΔABC in 6-14-year-old or 22-31-year-old autistic people (Tables S8-S9).

We assessed the performance of the three models that significantly predicted ΔABC in autistic people on 'unseen' participants using 5-fold cross-validation. The cross validation R^2s were between .335 and .459 (Table S10).

A plausible trivial explanation for different effect sizes in each age-group could be a difference in the variances of SWI, MD or ΔABC in each age-group. Levene's test showed that this was not the case (ΔABC: $F_{2/78} = 0.61$, $p = .55$; SWI: $F_{2/147} = 2.86$, $p = .060$; MD: $F_{2/147} = .20$, $p = .82$). Additionally, we examined if the strong association between functional connectivity and ΔABC in 15-21-year-olds was specific to ΔABC, or if it was also found in other brain-behaviour associations. Associations between SRS T-score and SWI/MD were stronger in 6-14-year-olds and 22-31-year-olds than in 15-21-year-olds (Tables S11-S16).

a) Relationship between small-world index and change in ABC scores in autistic 6-31-year-olds



b) General linear model predicting longitudinal change in adaptive function in autistic people using small-world index, in 6-31-year-olds

N = 81 6-31-year-olds	Est. coeff	Std. Error	t	*p*	η^2
Intercept	-3.71	19.14	-0.19	.847	
SWI (standardised)	**-2.36**	**1.02**	**-2.32**	**.023**	**.04**
FSIQ	0.07	0.06	1.17	.245	.01
Current Vineland	-0.47	0.10	-4.61	.000	.18
Age	3.56	1.22	2.92	.005	.01
Age difference	0.05	0.03	1.62	.110	.07
Site 2	1.34	2.33	0.58	.566	
Site 3	5.45	2.86	1.90	.061	.03
Age x age difference	-0.01	0.00	-2.77	.007	.06
SWI (standardised) + FSIQ + Current Vineland + Age + Age difference + Site + Age x Age difference. R^2 = .40, R^2_{adj} = .34, F(8,72) = 6.08, p < .001.					
Model statistics of a model where SWI is omitted as a variable: R^2 = .36, R^2_{adj} = .30, F(7,73) = 5.83, p < .001. Change in R^2_{adj} on adding SWI to model = + .04.					

c) Relationship between small-world index and change in ABC scores in autistic 15-21-year-olds



d) General linear model predicting longitudinal change in adaptive function in autistic people using small-world index, in 15-21-year-olds

N = 28 15-21-year-olds	Est. coeff	Std. Error	t	*p*	η^2
Intercept	-159.15	132.13	-1.21	.242	
SWI (standardised)	**-5.60**	**1.48**	**-3.78**	**.001**	**.21**
FSIQ	0.15	0.10	1.45	.164	.03
Current Vineland	-0.57	0.19	-2.96	.008	.13
Age	12.45	7.61	1.64	.117	.16
Age difference	0.36	0.21	1.69	.106	.09
Sex (male)	-5.81	3.47	-1.68	.109	.04
Age x age difference	-0.02	0.01	-1.94	.066	.05
Vineland change ~ SWI (standardised) + FSIQ + Current Vineland + Age + Age difference + Sex + Age x Age difference. R^2 = .65, R^2_{adj} = .53, F(7,20) = 5.30, p = .002.					
Model statistics of a model where SWI is omitted as a variable: R^2 = .40, R^2_{adj} = .23, F(6,21) = 2.32, p = .07. Change in R^2_{adj} on adding SWI to model = + .30.					

Figure 3 – Small-world index predicts longitudinal changes in adaptive function in autistic people. a-d) lower small-world index at timepoint 1 predicted longitudinal improvements in adaptive function, both when **a-b)** the whole age-range was looked at together, and when **c-d)** young people were analysed by themselves. However, the effect size of the predictive ability was larger in **d)** young people than in the **b)** whole age-range. The values in the graphs **a)** and **c)** are residualised for the co-variates listed in **b)** and **d)** respectively**.** Adding the variable small-world index to each of the general linear models improved the amount of explained variance in changes in adaptive behaviour composite (ABC) scores by **(b)** 4% in the whole age-range (n = 81) and **(d)** 30% in 15-21-year-olds (n = 28).

Comparison against other prognostic variables

We compared the predictive performance of MD and SWI to that of T1 autistic features and T1 full-scale IQ. For the models with a significant main effect of functional connectivity metric on adaptive function outcomes, MD and SWI outperformed both behavioural predictors (Supplementary Results, Table S17).

Categorical prediction of adaptive function changes

We explored the ability of the three significant regression models to categorise ΔABC (into improved, worsened and static outcomes) on a per-participant basis, using leave-one-out cross-validation. The categorisation accuracy of MD in 15-21-year-olds was 64.3% ($p_{permute}$ = .006), SWI in 15-21-year-olds was 57.1% ($p_{permute}$ = .039); SWI in 6-31-year-olds was 60.4% ($p_{permute}$ < .001), Table 3. All models categorised ΔABC significantly better than chance. Then, we explored model performance in performing binary categorisation into increased (≥ 6) or not-increased (< 6) ΔABC. For the model containing MD in 15-21-year-olds, AUC = 0.80 [95% CI: 0.63-0.97], sensitivity = 0.63, specificity = 0.85; for SWI in 15-21-year-olds, AUC = 0.66 [95% CI: 0.45-0.87]; sensitivity = 0.38; specificity = 0.75; for SWI in 6-31-year-olds, AUC = 0.76 [95% CI: 0.63- 0.89]; sensitivity = 0.43; specificity = 0.93.

Table 3 - Comparing actual versus predicted changes in ABC score, using leave-one-out cross-validation. Category thresholds are defined using a minimal-clinically important difference value of ≥ 6. All included models categorise ABC score outcome into three categories significantly better than chance, as per permutation analyses.

	Actual	**Predicted**		
		Decrease	Increase	No change
15-21 MD n = 28	Decrease	2	1	1
	Increase	0	5	3
	No Change	3	2	11
	Prediction accuracy = 64.3% ($p_{permute}$ = .006)			
15-21 SWI n = 28	Decrease	3	1	0
	Increase	0	3	5
	No Change	2	4	10
	Prediction accuracy = 57.1% ($p_{permute}$ = .039)			
6-31 SWI n = 81	Decrease	7	0	11
	Increase	1	9	11
	No Change	5	4	33
	Prediction accuracy = 60.4% ($p_{permute}$ < .001)			

Properties desired in candidate prognostic biomarkers

MD and SWI had good test-retest reliability (n = 203), both when derived from one epoch and from the mean of 2 epochs. For MD, ICC (2,1) = 0.78 [95% CI: 0.72-0.82] and ICC (2,k) = 0.88 [95% CI: 0.84-0.91]. For SWI, ICC (2,1) = .77 [95% CI: 0.71-0.82] and ICC (2,k) = 0.87 [95% CI: 0.83-0.90].

To assess for convergence with biological processes, we investigated if MD and SWI were associated with polygenic variation. We found no associations between polygenic scores (PGS) for autism and MD or SWI (Tables S18-S19).

Given previous findings of altered brain volume in autistic people (55) and associations between cortical volume and adaptive function outcomes (22), we next investigated associations between MD/SWI and PGS for brain volume. PGS for brain volume was associated with variance in MD (n = 136, partial r^2 = .073, p_{FDR} = .004) and SWI (n = 136, partial r^2 = .090, p_{FDR} = .002), even when adjusting for age and sex, Fig. 4. Notably, no association between PGS for brain volume and MD/SWI was found in the non-autistic group, despite similar variances in PGS and MD/SWI between groups (Table S20).

Given the association between PGS for brain volume and functional connectivity metrics, we confirmed that ΔABC was not trivially associated with head circumference (a proxy of brain volume; (103), Tables S21-S23.



Figure 4 – Relationship between polygenic score for brain volume and functional connectivity metrics. Partial correlations adjusting for age and sex found that polygenic scores for brain volume were significantly associated with variance in mean degree (partial r^2 = .073) and SWI (partial r^2 = .090), n = 136. A more positive polygenic score is associated with more alleles for large brain volume. These gene-brain relationships were only found in autistic people.

Discussion

We provide the first evidence that EEG-derived functional connectivity metrics can predict longitudinal changes in adaptive function in autistic people. By explicitly considering age-effects in a large, multi-site cohort, we demonstrated that mean degree and small-world index explain 30-33% of additional variance in outcomes in 15-21-year-old autistic people. SWI also significantly predicted adaptive function outcomes across autistic people aged 6-31 years. Both metrics showed properties desired in prognostic biomarkers: convergence with underlying neurobiology (polygenic variation in brain volume) and high test-retest reliability.

Conflicting findings from previous autism functional connectivity studies has limited the development of functional connectivity metrics as biomarkers. Our cross-sectional analyses suggest that considering developmental stage in autism functional connectivity analyses may reconcile some of this heterogeneity, as has also been argued by Uddin *et al.* (57).

Utility

In 15-21-year-old autistic people and 6-31-year-old autistic people (for SWI only), we found that EEG-derived MD/SWI were better predictors of changes in adaptive function than were behavioural predictors: autistic features and/or full-scale IQ. In 15-21-year-olds, our metrics predicted greater variance in changes in adaptive function (30-33%) than the N170 (16) or cortical structure (22), which both explained 6% of additional variance. Our study expands on an important but small (n = 27) fMRI functional connectivity study in a homogenous sample of autistic males, which also predicted similar variance in outcomes (19).

We also explored the ability of models containing MD and SWI to *categorise* adaptive function outcomes on a per-person basis, using minimal-clinically important differences (101,102) in ABC scores. Models containing MD and SWI categorised outcomes as increased, static and decreased ABC scores significantly better than chance, even when including participants across the 6-31-year age-range. Further, in binary categorisation into “increase” versus “no-increase” groups, the model containing MD in 15-21-year-old autistic people had an AUC of 0.80 and the model containing SWI in 6-31-year-old autistic people had an AUC of 0.76, suggesting promise as prognostic biomarkers (104).

Together, these findings suggest that EEG-derived MD and SWI may be developed as prognostic biomarkers for both continuous and categorical changes in adaptive function, especially for autistic people aged 15-21-years. In clinical contexts, this may help identify groups at risk of declining adaptive function during a key transitional period of development – enabling early, targeted interventions of adaptive functioning (e.g. T-STEP; (105). In clinical trials aiming to improve adaptive function (10–12), these biomarkers may be used to stratify participants by their likely spontaneous outcomes. This could reduce trial costs and sample size requirements (16).

Brain-behaviour associations in different age groups

We identified the age-bracket of 15-21-years as that where MD and SWI are particularly effective predictors of adaptive function outcomes. The question is, *why*? Firstly, during late adolescence, precision in the development of functional connectivity could be particularly important for behavioural outcomes. Therefore, variation in functional connectivity between young people may have a large effect on outcomes. Consistently, cortical and subcortical functional connectivity organisation (106) – including that involved in social cognition (107–109) – is significantly disrupted and remodelled between the ages of 14-26 years (107,109). In other age-groups, conservative maturation (where strong connections become stronger and weak connections become weaker) may be more pervasive (106,109); therefore, variation across individuals may have a less profound impact. Secondly, functional connectivity derived MD and SWI may be key drivers of adaptive function outcomes in late adolescence, whereas other features are more important at other ages. For example, in 22-31-year-old autistic people, FSIQ predicted more variance in ΔABC than did MD or SWI (Tables S7 and S9). Thirdly, the effect size of mean degree differences between autistic and non-autistic people was greatest in the 15-21-year age-group (Figure 1c). That connectivity is most different from non-autistic people in this age-group may also suggest that it is most relevant.

Additionally, we consider two explanations that might arise due to peculiarities of our dataset. It could be that brain-behaviour associations *in general* are strongest in the 15–21-year age-group. If this were the case, associations between MD/SWI and other behavioural features (e.g. SRS T-scores) would also be higher in this age-group than others. We found the opposite (Tables S11-S16). Next, it could be that the variances in ΔABC, MD or SWI between age-groups were different, allowing associations to be found in some but not other groups. This was not found to be the case.

Genetic associations with MD and SWI

Polygenic scores for brain volume (99) explained a high proportion of variance (in the context of polygenic scores; (16,110) in MD and SWI in autistic people. Whole brain, white matter and cortical volume differences have been repeatedly found in autistic people (22,54,55). Twin studies have shown that the heritability of MD is approximately 37% (111), while SWI has a heritability of approximately 50% (70,71). Our findings suggest that the effects of genotype on MD and SWI could explained by polygenic variation in genes contributing to brain volume.

Interestingly, the associations between PGS for brain volume and MD / SWI were only found in autistic people. This may suggest that genetic influences on brain volume affect MD and SWI more strongly in the co-presence of genetic and environmental factors that autistic people (and not non-autistic people) are exposed to. It is plausible that genetic variants for brain volume and autistic features interact in complex ways.

Study strengths and limitations

Our study design had many strengths. We included autistic people at 5 study sites with co-occurring developmental and mental health conditions – reflecting autistic people in the general population (78). Thus, our findings may be applicable to a large group of autistic people. Second, we used the Vineland Adaptive Behaviour Scale (79,112) – shown to have high test-retest reliability (79), convergent validity and internal consistency (113) – to measure adaptive function. Third, EEG is a widely available and affordable measure of functional connectivity (61), further improving translation potential.

We showed the robustness of MD and SWI as prognostic biomarkers. MD showed sensitivity to diagnostic group and both MD and SWI varied with the extent of autistic features. Further, MD and SWI were associated with *current* adaptive function in the same direction as they were associated with changes in adaptive function. The models predicting longitudinal changes in adaptive function explained a high proportion of variance in outcome even in 'unseen' autistic participants, as shown by 5-fold-cross-validation.

Our study has important limitations. Although our overall sample of participants was large, we had a relatively small sample size of autistic participants aged 15-21-years with longitudinal Vineland data (n = 28). Further, we used the Humphries (94) method of quantifying SWI, which

is affected by network density (MD; (43). Networks with higher MD had lower SWI (Figure S3). Therefore, the two network metrics used are not independent. That said, this is true for most network metrics (114,115). Previous research has addressed this issue by thresholding network density (116). However, this has the effect of excluding weaker functional connections. We decided against this approach as weak functional connections play an important role in cognition (117,118).

Future work

Adaptive function skills are the amalgamation of many cognitive functions and their interactions with the environment. Future work could explore the cognitive abilities that mediate the effect of MD and SWI on longitudinal changes in adaptive function in autistic people. For example, recent findings from our group suggest that the organisation of EEG-derived large-scale functional connectivity networks is associated with complex facial emotion recognition in an age-sensitive manner (119).

Conclusion

Our study is the first to demonstrate that EEG-derived functional connectivity can explain a significant proportion of the variance in longitudinal changes in adaptive function in autistic people. Therefore, EEG-derived mean degree and small-world index may be developed as prognostic biomarkers for adaptive function outcomes, especially for autistic people aged 15-21-years. Potential precision-medicine applications include stratifying autistic people in clinical trials and identifying those at risk of declining adaptive function in clinical settings. Finally, our age-sensitive approach may reconcile the heterogenous findings in previous autism functional connectivity studies.

Data availability

The LEAP Study data is available here, subject to an approved data application: https://redcap.pasteur.fr/surveys/?s=YRFF78PH89

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the AIMS-2-TRIALS LEAP-group for data collection and quality control procedures. We are grateful to Dr Piotr J. Franaszczuk for his expert advice on signal analysis and filtering.

Funding

This work was supported by EU-AIMS (European Autism Interventions), which received support from the Innovative Medicines Initiative Joint Undertaking under grant agreement no. 115300, the resources of which are composed of financial contributions from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (grant FP7/2007-2013), from the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries and Associations companies' in-kind contributions, and from Autism Speaks. The results leading to this publication have also received funding from the Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 Joint Undertaking under grant agreement No 777394 for the project AIMS-2-TRIALS. This Joint Undertaking receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme and EFPIA and AUTISM SPEAKS, Autistica, and SFARI. This study was also delivered through the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre (BRC). JOM was funded by a Sir Henry Dale Fellowship jointly by the Wellcome Trust and the Royal Society (206675/Z/17/Z). JOM received support from the Medical Research Council Centre for Neurodevelopmental Disorders, King's College London [MR/N026063/1]. None of the funders had a role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results. Any views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of any of the funders, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Conflicts of interest

Joshua B Ewen previously consulted for Novartis. In the past 3 years, Jan K Buitelaar has been a consultant to / member of advisory board of / and/or speaker for Takeda, Medice,

Angelini, Neuraxpharm and Bitsphi. He is not an employee of any of these companies, and not a stock shareholder of any of these companies. He has no other financial or material support, including expert testimony, patents, royalties. Pilar Garcés is employed by Roche Innovation Center, Basel, Switzerland. Tony Charman has received consultancy fees from F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. and royalties from Sage Publishing and Guilford Press. No other author has competing interests to declare.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available online.

References

1. Farley MA, McMahon WM, Fombonne E, Jenson WR, Miller J, Gardner M, et al. Twenty-year outcome for individuals with autism and average or near-average cognitive abilities. Autism Research [Internet]. 2009 Apr 1 [cited 2024 Jul 30];2(2):109–18. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/aur.69
2. Farley M, Cottle KJ, Bilder D, Viskochil J, Coon H, McMahon W. Mid-life social outcomes for a population-based sample of adults with ASD. Autism Res [Internet]. 2018 Jan 1 [cited 2024 Jul 30];11(1):142–52. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29266823/
3. Forbes G, Kent R, Charman T, Baird G, Pickles A, Simonoff E. How do autistic people fare in adult life and can we predict it from childhood? Autism Research [Internet]. 2023 Feb 1 [cited 2025 May 15];16(2):458–73. Available from: /doi/pdf/10.1002/aur.2868
4. Roche L, Adams D, Clark M. Research priorities of the autism community: A systematic review of key stakeholder perspectives. https://doi.org/101177/1362361320967790 [Internet]. 2020 Nov 3 [cited 2024 Jul 30];25(2):336–48. Available from: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1362361320967790
5. Pellicano E, Dinsmore A, Charman T. What should autism research focus upon? Community views and priorities from the United Kingdom. Autism [Internet]. 2014 Oct 1 [cited 2024 Jul 30];18(7):756–70. Available from: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1362361314529627
6. de Bildt A, Sytema S, Kraijer D, Sparrow S, Minderaa R. Adaptive functioning and behaviour problems in relation to level of education in children and adolescents with intellectual disability. J Intellect Disabil Res [Internet]. 2005 Sep [cited 2024 Jul 30];49(Pt 9):672–81. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16108984/
7. Howlin P, Alcock J, Burkin C. An 8 year follow-up of a specialist supported employment service for high-ability adults with autism or Asperger syndrome. http://dx.doi.org/101177/1362361305057871 [Internet]. 2005 Dec 1 [cited 2024 Jul 31];9(5):533–49. Available from: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/1362361305057871
8. Magiati I, Tay XW, Howlin P. Cognitive, language, social and behavioural outcomes in adults with autism spectrum disorders: a systematic review of longitudinal follow-up studies in adulthood. Clin Psychol Rev [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2024 Jul 30];34(1):78–86. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24424351/
9. Liss M, Harel B, Fein D, Allen D, Dunn M, Feinstein C, et al. Predictors and Correlates of Adaptive Functioning in Children with Developmental Disorders. J Autism Dev Disord [Internet]. 2001 Apr [cited 2024 Jul 30];31(2):219–30. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1010707417274
10. Frye RE, Slattery J, Delhey L, Furgerson B, Strickland T, Tippett M, et al. Folinic acid improves verbal communication in children with autism and language impairment: a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. Molecular Psychiatry 2018 23:2 [Internet]. 2016 Oct 18 [cited 2025 Aug 21];23(2):247–56. Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/mp2016168

11. Sikich L, Kolevzon A, King BH, McDougle CJ, Sanders KB, Kim SJ, et al. Intranasal Oxytocin in Children and Adolescents with Autism Spectrum Disorder. New England Journal of Medicine [Internet]. 2021 Oct 14 [cited 2025 Aug 21];385(16):1462–73. Available from: https://www.nejm.org/doi/pdf/10.1056/NEJMoa2103583
12. Jacob S, Veenstra-VanderWeele J, Murphy D, McCracken J, Smith J, Sanders K, et al. Efficacy and safety of balovaptan for socialisation and communication difficulties in autistic adults in North America and Europe: a phase 3, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Psychiatry [Internet]. 2022 Mar 1 [cited 2025 Aug 21];9(3):199–210. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2215036621004296?via%3Dihub
13. Baird G, Charman T, Pickles A, Chandler S, Loucas T, Meldrum D, et al. Regression, developmental trajectory and associated problems in disorders in the autism spectrum: the SNAP study. J Autism Dev Disord [Internet]. 2008 Nov [cited 2024 Jul 30];38(10):1827–36. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18449635/
14. Seltzer MM, Shattuck P, Abbeduto L, Greenberg JS. Trajectory of development in adolescents and adults with autism. Ment Retard Dev Disabil Res Rev [Internet]. 2004 Nov 1 [cited 2024 Jul 30];10(4):234–47. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/mrdd.20038
15. Szatmari P, Georgiades S, Duku E, Bennett TA, Bryson S, Fombonne E, et al. Developmental trajectories of symptom severity and adaptive functioning in an inception cohort of preschool children with autism spectrum disorder. JAMA Psychiatry [Internet]. 2015 Mar 1 [cited 2024 Jul 30];72(3):276–83. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25629657/
16. Mason L, Moessnang C, Chatham C, Ham L, Tillmann J, Dumas G, et al. Stratifying the autistic phenotype using electrophysiological indices of social perception. Sci Transl Med [Internet]. 2022 Aug 17 [cited 2024 Jul 31];14(658). Available from: https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/scitranslmed.abf8987
17. McGovern CW, Sigman M. Continuity and change from early childhood to adolescence in autism. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry [Internet]. 2005 Apr 1 [cited 2024 Jul 30];46(4):401–8. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2004.00361.x
18. Billstedt E, Carina Gillberg I, Gillberg C. Autism in adults: symptom patterns and early childhood predictors. Use of the DISCO in a community sample followed from childhood. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry [Internet]. 2007 Nov 1 [cited 2024 Jul 30];48(11):1102–10. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2007.01774.x
19. Plitta M, Barnes KA, Wallace GL, Kenworthy L, Martin A. Resting-state functional connectivity predicts longitudinal change in autistic traits and adaptive functioning in autism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet]. 2015 Dec 1 [cited 2024 Jul 31];112(48):E6699–706. Available from: https://www.pnas.org/doi/abs/10.1073/pnas.1510098112
20. Tillmann J, San José Cáceres A, Chatham CH, Crawley D, Holt R, Oakley B, et al. Investigating the factors underlying adaptive functioning in autism in the EU-AIMS Longitudinal European Autism Project. Autism Res [Internet]. 2019 Apr 1 [cited

2024 Jul 30];12(4):645–57. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30741482/

21. Kanne SM, Gerber AJ, Quirmbach LM, Sparrow SS, Cicchetti D V., Saulnier CA. The role of adaptive behavior in autism spectrum disorders: Implications for functional outcome. J Autism Dev Disord [Internet]. 2011 Aug 2 [cited 2020 Nov 4];41(8):1007–18. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10803-010-1126-4
22. Pretzsch CM, Schäfer T, Lombardo M V., Warrier V, Mann C, Bletsch A, et al. Neurobiological Correlates of Change in Adaptive Behavior in Autism. Am J Psychiatry [Internet]. 2022 May 1 [cited 2024 Jul 31];179(5):336–49. Available from: https://psychiatryonline.org/doi/10.1176/appi.ajp.21070711
23. Holiga Š, Hipp JF, Chatham CH, Garces P, Spooren W, D'Ardhuy XL, et al. Patients with autism spectrum disorders display reproducible functional connectivity alterations. Sci Transl Med [Internet]. 2019 Feb 27 [cited 2024 Jul 30];11(481). Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30814340/
24. Watanabe T, Rees G. Age-associated changes in rich-club organisation in autistic and neurotypical human brains. Scientific Reports 2015 5:1 [Internet]. 2015 Nov 5 [cited 2024 Jul 30];5(1):1–13. Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/srep16152
25. O'Reilly C, Lewis JD, Elsabbagh M. Is functional brain connectivity atypical in autism? A systematic review of EEG and MEG studies. PLoS One [Internet]. 2017 May 1 [cited 2024 Jul 31];12(5). Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28467487/
26. Horwitz B, Rumsey JM, Grady CL, Rapoport SI. The Cerebral Metabolic Landscape in Autism: Intercorrelations of Regional Glucose Utilization. Arch Neurol [Internet]. 1988 Jul 1 [cited 2024 Jul 30];45(7):749–55. Available from: https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamaneurology/fullarticle/587845
27. Lukmanji S, Manji SA, Kadhim S, Sauro KM, Wirrell EC, Kwon CS, et al. The co-occurrence of epilepsy and autism: A systematic review. Epilepsy and Behavior [Internet]. 2019 Sep 1 [cited 2023 Jun 6];98:238–48. Available from: http://www.epilepsybehavior.com/article/S1525505019304949/fulltext
28. Murias M, Webb SJ, Greenson J, Dawson G. Resting State Cortical Connectivity Reflected in EEG Coherence in Individuals With Autism. Biol Psychiatry. 2007;62(3):270–3.
29. Courchesne E, Campbell K, Solso S. Brain growth across the life span in autism: Age-specific changes in anatomical pathology. Brain Res. 2011 Mar 22;1380:138–45.
30. Barttfeld P, Wicker B, Cukier S, Navarta S, Lew S, Sigman M. A big-world network in ASD: Dynamical connectivity analysis reflects a deficit in long-range connections and an excess of short-range connections. Neuropsychologia. 2011;49(2):254–63.
31. Nomi JS, Uddin LQ. Developmental changes in large-scale network connectivity in autism. Neuroimage Clin. 2015 Jan 1;7:732–41.
32. Courchesne E, Pierce K. Why the frontal cortex in autism might be talking only to itself: local over-connectivity but long-distance disconnection. Curr Opin Neurobiol [Internet]. 2005 [cited 2024 Jul 31];15(2):225–30. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15831407/

33. Mehra C, Absoud M. Commentary on... the overlapping and distinct resting functional connectivity between autism spectrum disorder and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. The British Journal of Psychiatry [Internet]. 2019 Jun 1 [cited 2024 Jul 30];214(6):345–6. Available from: https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/the-british-journal-of-psychiatry/article/commentary-on-the-overlapping-and-distinct-resting-functional-connectivity-between-autism-spectrum-disorder-and-attentiondeficit-hyperactivity-disorder/E51FDE4F6659F7D52DF490DB05E3E8AB
34. Oldehinkel M, Mennes M, Marquand A, Charman T, Tillmann J, Ecker C, et al. Altered Connectivity Between Cerebellum, Visual, and Sensory-Motor Networks in Autism Spectrum Disorder: Results from the EU-AIMS Longitudinal European Autism Project. Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging. 2019 Mar 1;4(3):260–70.
35. Ilioska I, Oldehinkel M, Llera A, Chopra S, Looden T, Chauvin R, et al. Connectome-wide Mega-analysis Reveals Robust Patterns of Atypical Functional Connectivity in Autism. Biol Psychiatry. 2023 Jul 1;94(1):29–39.
36. Soma D, Hirosawa T, Hasegawa C, An KM, Kameya M, Hino S, et al. Atypical Resting State Functional Neural Network in Children With Autism Spectrum Disorder: Graph Theory Approach. Front Psychiatry [Internet]. 2021 Dec 14 [cited 2024 Jul 31];12:790234. Available from: www.frontiersin.org
37. Zhang J, Zhang Z, Sun H, Ma Y, Yang J, Chen K, et al. Personalized functional network mapping for autism spectrum disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Translational Psychiatry 2024 14:1 [Internet]. 2024 Feb 12 [cited 2024 Jul 31];14(1):1–11. Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41398-024-02797-z
38. Barttfeld P, Amoruso L, Ais J, Cukier S, Bavassi L, Tomio A, et al. Organization of brain networks governed by long-range connections index autistic traits in the general population. J Neurodev Disord [Internet]. 2013 Jun 27 [cited 2024 Jul 31];5(1):1–9. Available from: https://jneurodevdisorders.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1866-1955-5-16
39. Bassett DS, Bullmore ET. Small-World Brain Networks Revisited. Neuroscientist [Internet]. 2017 Oct 1 [cited 2024 Jul 31];23(5):499–516. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27655008/
40. JG W, E S, JN T, S B. The structure of the nervous system of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci [Internet]. 1986 Nov 12 [cited 2024 Jul 31];314(1165):1–340. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22462104/
41. Zhang Y, Zhang Y, Cai P, Luo H, Fang F. The causal role of α-oscillations in feature binding. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet]. 2019 Aug 20 [cited 2024 Jul 31];116(34):17023–8. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC6708338/
42. Wang XJ, Kennedy H. Brain structure and dynamics across scales: in search of rules. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2016 Apr 1;37:92–8.
43. Muldoon SF, Bridgeford EW, Bassett DS. Small-World Propensity and Weighted Brain Networks. Scientific Reports 2016 6:1 [Internet]. 2016 Feb 25 [cited 2024 Jul 31];6(1):1–13. Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/srep22057

44. Medaglia JD, Lynall ME, Bassett DS. Cognitive network neuroscience. J Cogn Neurosci [Internet]. 2015 Aug 29 [cited 2024 Jul 30];27(8):1471–91. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25803596/
45. Just MA, Keller TA, Malave VL, Kana RK, Varma S. Autism as a neural systems disorder: A theory of frontal-posterior underconnectivity. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2012 Apr 1;36(4):1292–313.
46. Han YMY, Chan AS, Sze SL, Cheung MC, Wong CK, Lam JMK, et al. Altered immune function associated with disordered neural connectivity and executive dysfunctions: A neurophysiological study on children with autism spectrum disorders. Res Autism Spectr Disord. 2013 Jun 1;7(6):662–74.
47. Chan AS, Han YMY, Sze SL, Cheung MC, Leung WWM, Chan RCK, et al. Disordered connectivity associated with memory deficits in children with autism spectrum disorders. Res Autism Spectr Disord. 2011 Jan 1;5(1):237–45.
48. Orekhova E V., Elsabbagh M, Jones EJ, Dawson G, Charman T, Baron-Cohen S, et al. EEG hyper-connectivity in high-risk infants is associated with later autism. J Neurodev Disord [Internet]. 2014 Nov 7 [cited 2024 Jul 30];6(1):1–11. Available from: https://jneurodevdisorders.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1866-1955-6-40
49. Coben R, Clarke AR, Hudspeth W, Barry RJ. EEG power and coherence in autistic spectrum disorder. Clinical Neurophysiology. 2008;119(5):1002–9.
50. Duffy FH, Als H. A stable pattern of EEG spectral coherence distinguishes children with autism from neuro-typical controls - a large case control study. BMC Med. 2012;10.
51. Vasa RA, Mostofsky SH, Ewen JB. The Disrupted Connectivity Hypothesis of Autism Spectrum Disorders: Time for the Next Phase in Research [Internet]. Vol. 1, Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging. Elsevier Inc; 2016 [cited 2021 Jan 29]. p. 245–52. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC5222574/?report=abstract
52. Andrews DS, Lee JK, Harvey DJ, Waizbard-Bartov E, Solomon M, Rogers SJ, et al. A Longitudinal Study of White Matter Development in Relation to Changes in Autism Severity Across Early Childhood. Biol Psychiatry [Internet]. 2021 Mar 1 [cited 2024 Jul 31];89(5):424–32. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33349451/
53. Wolff JJ, Gu H, Gerig G, Elison JT, Styner M, Gouttard S, et al. Differences in white matter fiber tract development present from 6 to 24 months in infants with autism. Am J Psychiatry [Internet]. 2012 Jun 1 [cited 2024 Jul 31];169(6):589–600. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22362397/
54. Hazlett HC, Poe MD, Gerig G, Styner M, Chappell C, Smith RG, et al. Early Brain Overgrowth in Autism Associated with an Increase in Cortical Surface Area Before Age 2. Arch Gen Psychiatry [Internet]. 2011 May [cited 2024 Jul 30];68(5):467. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC3315057/
55. Courchesne E, Karns CM, Davis HR, Ziccardi R, Carper RA, Tigue ZD, et al. Unusual brain growth patterns in early life in patients with autistic disorder: an MRI study. Neurology [Internet]. 2001 Jul 24 [cited 2024 Jul 30];57(2):245–54. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11468308/
56. Jones W, Klin A. Attention to eyes is present but in decline in 2–6-month-old infants later diagnosed with autism. Nature 2013 504:7480 [Internet]. 2013 Nov 6

[cited 2024 Jul 30];504(7480):427–31. Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/nature12715
57. Uddin LQ, Supekar K, Menon V. Reconceptualizing functional brain connectivity in autism from a developmental perspective [Internet]. Vol. 7, Frontiers in Human Neuroscience. Frontiers Media S. A.; 2013 [cited 2021 Jan 31]. p. 458. Available from: www.frontiersin.org
58. Ewen J, Babiloni C, Collins G, Ethridge L, Gotman J, Ikeda A, et al. The GREENBEAN Checklist for Reporting Studies Evaluating the Effectiveness of EEG-Based Biomarkers. 2024 Jul.
59. FDA-NIH Biomarker Working Group. BEST (Biomarkers, EndpointS, and other Tools) Resource [Internet]. BEST (Biomarkers , EndpointS , and other Tools) Resource. Silver Spring: Food and Drug Administration (US); 2016 [cited 2024 Jul 26]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK326791/
60. Davis KD, Aghaeepour N, Ahn AH, Angst MS, Borsook D, Brenton A, et al. Discovery and validation of biomarkers to aid the development of safe and effective pain therapeutics: challenges and opportunities. Nature Reviews Neurology 2020 16:7 [Internet]. 2020 Jun 15 [cited 2024 Jul 31];16(7):381–400. Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41582-020-0362-2
61. Mclane HC, Berkowitz AL, Patenaude BN, Mckenzie ED, Wolper E, Wahlster S, et al. Availability, accessibility, and affordability of neurodiagnostic tests in 37 countries. Neurology [Internet]. 2015 Nov 11 [cited 2024 Jul 31];85(18):1614. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC4642148/
62. Lopes da Silva F. EEG and MEG: relevance to neuroscience. Neuron [Internet]. 2013 Dec 4 [cited 2024 Jul 30];80(5):1112–28. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24314724/
63. Singer W. Neuronal Synchrony: A Versatile Code for the Definition of Relations? Neuron [Internet]. 1999 Sep 1 [cited 2024 Jul 30];24(1):49–65. Available from: http://www.cell.com/article/S0896627300808211/fulltext
64. Buzsáki G. Rhythms of the Brain [Internet]. New York: Oxford Academic; 2006 [cited 2022 Aug 6]. Available from: https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=ldz58irprjYC&oi=fnd&pg=PA4&ots=Q3Xd56bNDP&sig=95tLOMYuIOWVdNlEQCVN5Y24sBw
65. Varela F, Lachaux JP, Rodriguez E, Martinerie J. The brainweb: phase synchronization and large-scale integration. Nat Rev Neurosci [Internet]. 2001 [cited 2024 Jul 31];2(4):229–39. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11283746/
66. Rodriguez E, George N, Lachaux JP, Martinerie J, Renault B, Varela FJ. Perception's shadow: long-distance synchronization of human brain activity. Nature 1999 397:6718 [Internet]. 1999 Feb 4 [cited 2024 Jul 31];397(6718):430–3. Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/17120
67. Bressler SL, Coppola R, Nakamura R. Episodic multiregional cortical coherence at multiple frequencies during visual task performance. Nature 1993 366:6451 [Internet]. 1993 [cited 2024 Jul 31];366(6451):153–6. Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/366153a0
68. Tick B, Bolton P, Happé F, Rutter M, Rijsdijk F. Heritability of autism spectrum disorders: a meta-analysis of twin studies. J Child Psychol Psychiatry [Internet].

2016 May 1 [cited 2024 Jul 30];57(5):585–95. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26709141/
69. Genovese A, Butler MG. The Autism Spectrum: Behavioral, Psychiatric and Genetic Associations. Genes (Basel) [Internet]. 2023 Mar 1 [cited 2024 Jul 30];14(3). Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC10048473/
70. Smit DJA, Stam CJ, Posthuma D, Boomsma DI, De Geus EJC. Heritability of "small-world" networks in the brain: A graph theoretical analysis of resting-state EEG functional connectivity. Hum Brain Mapp [Internet]. 2008 Dec [cited 2024 Jul 31];29(12):1368. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC6870849/
71. Sinclair B, Hansell NK, Blokland GAM, Martin NG, Thompson PM, Breakspear M, et al. Heritability of the Network Architecture of Intrinsic Brain Functional Connectivity. Neuroimage [Internet]. 2015 Nov 11 [cited 2024 Jul 31];121:243. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC4837693/
72. Reineberg AE, Hatoum AS, Hewitt JK, Banich MT, Friedman NP. Genetic and Environmental Influence on the Human Functional Connectome. Cereb Cortex [Internet]. 2020 Apr 14 [cited 2024 Jul 31];30(4):2099–113. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31711120/
73. Charman T, Loth E, Tillmann J, Crawley D, Wooldridge C, Goyard D, et al. The EU-AIMS Longitudinal European Autism Project (LEAP): Clinical characterisation. Mol Autism [Internet]. 2017 Jun 23 [cited 2024 Jul 30];8(1):1–21. Available from: https://molecularautism.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13229-017-0145-9
74. Loth E, Charman T, Mason L, Tillmann J, Jones EJH, Wooldridge C, et al. The EU-AIMS Longitudinal European Autism Project (LEAP): design and methodologies to identify and validate stratification biomarkers for autism spectrum disorders. Mol Autism [Internet]. 2017 Jun 23 [cited 2024 Jul 31];8(1). Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28649312/
75. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 4th ed. Washington: American Psychiatric Publishing, Inc; 1994.
76. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 2013 May 22;
77. Organisation WH. The ICD-10 Classification of mental and behavioural disorders: diagnostic criteria for research. WHO. 1993;
78. Lai MC, Kassee C, Besney R, Bonato S, Hull L, Mandy W, et al. Prevalence of co-occurring mental health diagnoses in the autism population: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Psychiatry [Internet]. 2019 Oct 1 [cited 2024 Jul 30];6(10):819–29. Available from: http://www.thelancet.com/article/S2215036619302895/fulltext
79. Sparrow SS, Balla DA, Cicchetti DV. Vineland II: Vineland adaptive behavior scales. American Guidance Service Publishing; 2005.
80. Bruni TP. Test Review: Social Responsiveness Scale–Second Edition (SRS-2). http://dx.doi.org/101177/0734282913517525 [Internet]. 2014 May 23 [cited 2024 Jul 31];32(4):365–9. Available from: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0734282913517525
81. Wechsler D. APA PsycTests. 2011 [cited 2024 Jul 31]. Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence--Second Edition. Available from: https://psycnet.apa.org/doiLanding?doi=10.1037%2Ft15171-000

82. The Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children—Fourth Edition. [Internet]. [cited 2024 Jul 30]. Available from: https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2010-04803-007
83. Woolger C. Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Third Edition (wisc-iii). Understanding Psychological Assessment [Internet]. 2001 [cited 2024 Jul 30];219–33. Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4615-1185-4_11
84. Ryan JJ, Lopez SJ. Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-III. Understanding Psychological Assessment [Internet]. 2001 [cited 2024 Jul 30];19–42. Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4615-1185-4_2
85. Wechsler D. Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Fourth Edition. 2008;
86. Anzolin A, Presti P, Van De Steen F, Astolfi L, Haufe S, Marinazzo D. Quantifying the Effect of Demixing Approaches on Directed Connectivity Estimated Between Reconstructed EEG Sources. Brain Topogr [Internet]. 2019 Jul 30 [cited 2024 Jul 31];32(4):655–74. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30972604/
87. Garcés P, Baumeister S, Mason L, Chatham CH, Holiga S, Dukart J, et al. Resting state EEG power spectrum and functional connectivity in autism: a cross-sectional analysis. Molecular Autism 2022 13:1 [Internet]. 2022 May 18 [cited 2022 Aug 6];13(1):1–16. Available from: https://molecularautism.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13229-022-00500-x
88. Fraschini M, Demuru M, Crobe A, Marrosu F, Stam CJ, Hillebrand A. The effect of epoch length on estimated EEG functional connectivity and brain network organisation. J Neural Eng [Internet]. 2016 May 3 [cited 2024 Jul 30];13(3). Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27137952/
89. Pullon RM, Yan L, Sleigh JW, Warnaby CE. Granger Causality of the Electroencephalogram Reveals Abrupt Global Loss of Cortical Information Flow during Propofol-induced Loss of Responsiveness. Anesthesiology [Internet]. 2020 Oct 1 [cited 2024 Jul 30];133(4):774. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7495984/
90. Lachaux JP, Rodriguez E, Martinerie J, Varela FJ. Measuring phase synchrony in brain signals. Hum Brain Mapp [Internet]. 1999 [cited 2024 Jul 31];8(4):194. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC6873296/
91. Mehra C, Beyh A, Laiou P, Garces P, Jones EJ, Mason L, et al. Zero-phase-delay synchrony between interacting neural populations: implications for functional connectivity derived biomarkers. Imaging Neuroscience [Internet]. 2025 Oct 17 [cited 2025 Oct 31]; Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.1162/IMAG.a.985
92. Desikan RS, Ségonne F, Fischl B, Quinn BT, Dickerson BC, Blacker D, et al. An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. Neuroimage [Internet]. 2006 Jul 1 [cited 2024 Jul 31];31(3):968–80. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16530430/
93. Rubinov M, Kötter R, Hagmann P, Sporns O. Brain connectivity toolbox: a collection of complex network measurements and brain connectivity datasets. Neuroimage. 2009 Jul 1;47:S169.
94. Humphries MD, Gurney K. Network 'Small-World-Ness': A Quantitative Method for Determining Canonical Network Equivalence. PLoS One [Internet]. 2008 Apr 30 [cited 2024 Jul 31];3(4):e0002051. Available from: https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0002051

95. Bolaños M, Bernat EM, He B, Aviyente S. A weighted small world network measure for assessing functional connectivity. J Neurosci Methods. 2013 Jan 15;212(1):133–42.
96. Noble S, Scheinost D, Constable RT. A decade of test-retest reliability of functional connectivity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Neuroimage. 2019 Dec 1;203:116157.
97. Koo TK, Li MY. A Guideline of Selecting and Reporting Intraclass Correlation Coefficients for Reliability Research. J Chiropr Med. 2016 Jun 1;15(2):155–63.
98. Grove J, Ripke S, Als TD, Mattheisen M, Walters RK, Won H, et al. Identification of common genetic risk variants for autism spectrum disorder. Nat Genet. 2019 Mar 25;51(3).
99. Biton A, Traut N, Poline JB, Aribisala BS, Bastin ME, Bülow R, et al. Polygenic Architecture of Human Neuroanatomical Diversity. Cerebral Cortex [Internet]. 2020 Apr 14 [cited 2024 Jul 30];30(4):2307–20. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhz241
100. Shen X, Finn ES, Scheinost D, Rosenberg MD, Chun MM, Papademetris X, et al. Using connectome-based predictive modeling to predict individual behavior from brain connectivity. Nature Protocols 2017 12:3 [Internet]. 2017 Feb 9 [cited 2024 Jul 30];12(3):506–18. Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/nprot.2016.178
101. Chatham CH, Taylor KI, Charman T, Liogier D'ardhuy X, Eule E, Fedele A, et al. Adaptive Behavior in Autism: Minimal Clinically-Important Differences on the Vineland-II. Autism Res [Internet]. 2018 Feb 1 [cited 2024 Jul 31];11(2):270. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC5997920/
102. Clinch S, Hudgens S, Gibbons E, Willgoss T, Smith J, Polek E, et al. Quantitative and Qualitative Exploration of Meaningful Change on the Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales (Vineland™-II) in Children and Adolescents with Autism Without Intellectual Disability Following Participation in a Clinical Trial. Patient Relat Outcome Meas [Internet]. 2023 Nov 20 [cited 2025 Apr 22];14:337–54. Available from: https://www.dovepress.com/quantitative-and-qualitative-exploration-of-meaningful-change-on-the-v-peer-reviewed-fulltext-article-PROM
103. Courchesne E, Carper R, Akshoomoff N. Evidence of Brain Overgrowth in the First Year of Life in Autism. JAMA [Internet]. 2003 Jul 16 [cited 2024 Jul 30];290(3):337–44. Available from: https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/196951
104. Mandrekar JN. Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve in Diagnostic Test Assessment. Journal of Thoracic Oncology [Internet]. 2010 Sep 1 [cited 2025 May 13];5(9):1315–6. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1556086415306043?via%3Dihub
105. T-STEP - TEACCH School Transition to Employment and Postsecondary Education | TEACCH® Autism Program [Internet]. [cited 2025 May 15]. Available from: https://teacch.com/adult_services/tstep/
106. Gracia-Tabuenca Z, Moreno MB, Barrios FA, Alcauter S. Development of the brain functional connectome follows puberty-dependent nonlinear trajectories. Neuroimage. 2021 Apr 1;229:117769.
107. Klapwijk ET, Goddings AL, Burnett Heyes S, Bird G, Viner RM, Blakemore SJ. Increased functional connectivity with puberty in the mentalising network

involved in social emotion processing. Horm Behav [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2024 Jul 30];64(2):314. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC4540076/

108. Kelly AMC, Di Martino A, Uddin LQ, Shehzad Z, Gee DG, Reiss PT, et al. Development of Anterior Cingulate Functional Connectivity from Late Childhood to Early Adulthood. Cerebral Cortex [Internet]. 2009 Mar 1 [cited 2024 Jul 30];19(3):640–57. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhn117
109. Váša F, Romero-Garcia R, Kitzbichler MG, Seidlitz J, Whitaker KJ, Vaghi MM, et al. Conservative and disruptive modes of adolescent change in human brain functional connectivity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet]. 2020 Feb 11 [cited 2024 Jul 30];117(6):3248–53. Available from: https://www.pnas.org/doi/abs/10.1073/pnas.1906144117
110. Lewis CM, Vassos E. Polygenic risk scores: From research tools to clinical instruments. Genome Med [Internet]. 2020 May 18 [cited 2025 May 13];12(1):1–11. Available from: https://genomemedicine.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13073-020-00742-5
111. Barber AD, Hegarty CE, Lindquist M, Karlsgodt KH. Heritability of Functional Connectivity in Resting State: Assessment of the Dynamic Mean, Dynamic Variance, and Static Connectivity across Networks. Cereb Cortex [Internet]. 2021 Jun 1 [cited 2025 Apr 18];31(6):2834–44. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33429433/
112. Sparrow S, Balla D, Cicchetti D. Vineland Adpative Behavior Scales: Interview edition survey form manual. [Internet]. Circle Press, MN. 1984 [cited 2024 Jul 30]. Available from: https://www.google.com/search?q=Sparrow%2C+S.+S.%2C+Balla%2C+D.%2C+%26+Cicchetti%2C+D.+V.+(1984).+Vineland+Adpative+Behavior+Scales%3A+Interview+edition+survey+form+manual.+Circle+Press%2C+MN%3A+American+Guidance+Service.&rlz=1C1UEAD_enUS1053US1053&oq=Sparrow%2C+S.+S.%2C+Balla%2C+D.%2C+%26+Cicchetti%2C+D.+V.+(1984).+Vineland+Adpative+Behavior+Scales%3A+Interview+edition+survey+form+manual.+Circle+Press%2C+MN%3A+American+Guidance+Service.&gs_lcrp=EgZjaHJvbWUyBggAEEUYOdIBBzY3M2owajeoAgCwAgA&sourceid=chrome&ie=UTF-8
113. Perry A, Flanagan HE, Dunn Geier J, Freeman NL. Brief report: the Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales in young children with autism spectrum disorders at different cognitive levels. J Autism Dev Disord [Internet]. 2009 Jul [cited 2024 Jul 31];39(7):1066–78. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19234777/
114. Bañuelos C, Verstynen T. How structural brain network topologies associate with cognitive abilities in a value-based decision-making task. bioRxiv [Internet]. 2019 Aug 22 [cited 2024 Jul 30];743245. Available from: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/743245v1
115. Richardson MP. Large scale brain models of epilepsy: Dynamics meets connectomics. Vol. 83, Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry. BMJ Publishing Group; 2012. p. 1238–48.
116. Bassett DS, Nelson BG, Mueller BA, Camchong J, Lim KO. Altered resting state complexity in schizophrenia. Neuroimage [Internet]. 2012 Feb 1 [cited 2024 Jul 30];59(3):2196–207. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22008374/

117. Schneidman E, Berry MJ, Segev R, Bialek W. Weak pairwise correlations imply strongly correlated network states in a neural population. Nature 2006 440:7087 [Internet]. 2006 Apr 1 [cited 2024 Jul 30];440(7087):1007–12. Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/nature04701
118. Santarnecchi E, Galli G, Polizzotto NR, Rossi A, Rossi S. Efficiency of weak brain connections support general cognitive functioning. Hum Brain Mapp [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2024 Jul 30];35(9):4566–82. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24585433/
119. de Jonge E, Garcés P, de Bildt A, Groen Y, Jones EJH, Mason L, et al. Atypical Resting-State EEG Graph Metrics of Network Efficiency Across Development in Autism and Their Association with Social Cognition: Results from the LEAP Study. J Autism Dev Disord [Internet]. 2025 Feb 14 [cited 2025 Apr 18];9:1–17. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10803-025-06731-0