Adverse Psychosocial Trajectory in Bipolar Disorder: Novel Genetic Links to ADHD and Anxiety

Tracey van der Veen[1,2], Nick Bass[2], Andrew McQuillin[2]

[2]Molecular Psychiatry Laboratory, Division of Psychiatry, University College London, London, UK

[1] Correspondence to: Tracey van der Veen, Molecular Psychiatry Laboratory, Division of Psychiatry, University College London, London, WC1E 6BT, UK. t.veen@ucl.ac.uk.

Abstract

Background: Bipolar disorder (BD) factor models offer limited dimensional understanding due to incomplete integration of psychosocial deficits, long-term outcomes, and transdiagnostic genetics, thus restricting personalised interventions. This study aimed to provide a holistic understanding of BD psychopathology, overcoming this limitation.

Methods: Exploratory Factor Analysis of 77 OPCRIT items revealed four psychopathological dimensions, and Confirmatory Factor Analysis validated a 20-item, four-factor BD model. Polygenic Risk Scores for five relevant disorders were calculated, and Structural Equation Modelling analysed the genetic contributions to this dimensional model. The study applied Inverse Probability Weighting to address biases in a sample of 4992 participants.

Results: Confirmatory Factor Analysis revealed a novel Adverse Psychosocial Trajectory (APT) dimension, characterised by the co-occurrence of premorbid deficits and poorer long-term outcomes in individuals with BD. Structural Equation Modelling further showed distinct patterns of genetic liability: BD PRS for mania, Schizophrenia (SCZ) PRS for psychosis, and Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) PRS for depression. Notably, the APT dimension exhibited a positive association with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD**)** and anxiety PRSs, and an inverse relationship with BD PRS.

Conclusions: This study offers a novel and clinically relevant dimensional model of BD by identifying the APT dimension, which uniquely integrates crucial premorbid psychosocial factors and outcomes. The identified direct genetic link between ADHD and anxiety with APT (a trajectory associated with poorer BD outcomes) provides important new insight into a challenging illness course. This potentially enables earlier identification and facilitates targeted interventions to improve long-term psychosocial outcomes and overall quality of life in BD.

Key terms: bipolar disorder, ADHD, anxiety, psychosocial, dimensions, transdiagnostic polygenic risk scores

Introduction

Limitations of Categorical Diagnosis

Bipolar disorder (BD) shows diverse outcomes influenced by genetics beyond current subtyping (BD1, BD2). Traditional classifications often overlook the critical impact of premorbid psychosocial factors on long-term outcomes. While course specifiers aim to improve treatment alignment (Regier, Kuhl, & Kupfer, 2013; OConnell & Coombes, 2021), this study proposes a novel dimensional approach for a more nuanced understanding of BDs inherent heterogeneity beyond categorical diagnoses.

Bipolar Disorder: A Symptom Continuum

Many BD patients experience continuous symptoms beyond discrete episodes: cognitive deficits in remission are reported, with prevalence as high as 70% (Pavlova et al., 2017; Sanches et al., 2015; Mignogna & Goes, 2024); 20-50% experience inter-episodic symptoms (Grunze & Born, 2020), highlighting limitations of episodic models. Even during euthymia, executive dysfunction and anxiety persist, indicating vulnerability (Altshuler et al., 2004; Robinson et al., 2006). Personality traits also influence BDs onset, progression, and course (Sparding, Pålsson, Joas, Hansen, & Landén, 2017).

Dimensional Frameworks in Bipolar Disorder

Dimensional approaches dissect BDs heterogeneity, allowing researchers to identify potentially more genetically similar subgroups based on specific symptom profiles. Acknowledging this heterogeneity, research increasingly focuses on genetic differences within more homogeneous subgroups (Schulze, 2010) to understand genetic contributions to diverse presentations. While specific BD course specifiers show familiality (Saunders, Scott, McInnis, & Burmeister, 2008), and genetic liabilities for subphenotypes are being identified, single regression models can complicate interpretation (Allardyce, McCreadie, Morrison, & van Os, 2007; Ruderfer et al., 2014; Reininghaus et al. (2016); Qiu, Akiskal, Kelsoe, & Greenwood, 2017; Allardyce et al., 2018; Bipolar Disorder and Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium et al., 2018; Grigoroiu-Serbanescu et al., 2020; Coombes et al., 2020; Richards et al., 2022; Allardyce et al., 2023; Grigoroiu-Serbanescu et al., 2024; Song et al., 2024; Lawrence, Breunig, Foote, Tallis, & Grotzinger, 2024). A dimensional framework offers a powerful alternative by examining psychopathology along continuous axes,

enabling nuanced analysis of specifier interrelations and combined genetic liabilities for a holistic understanding of BD heterogeneity.

Impact of Psychosocial Deficits on Bipolar Disorder

Cognitive and psychosocial deficits, not fully recognised specifiers (DSM-5; American Psychiatric Association, 2013; Regier et al., 2013), contribute to BD variability and impair quality of life, even during mood stability (Sanches, Bauer, Galvez, Zunta-Soares, & Soares, 2015; Miskowiak, Hansen, Mariegaard, & Kessing, 2023). These deficits exist on a spectrum, negatively impacting relationships and productivity, often leading to social withdrawal (Judd et al., 2005; Bennett et al., 2019) and affecting 30-60% of adults with BD (Bennett et al., 2019). Early onset of these deficits links to worse outcomes like anxiety, substance use, and suicidality, with increased childhood risk (Carballo et al., 2020; Rohde, Lewinsohn, Seeley, Klein, Andrews, & Small, 2007). Recognising genetic predisposition could potentially reduce diagnostic delays (Scott et al., 2022) and suicide rates in BD (Dong et al., 2019). Examining these deficits within a broader psychopathological spectrum may also clarify connections to other disorders. While research on psychosis (Allardyce, McCreadie, Morrison, & van Os, 2007) explored premorbid risk factors, their specific impact on long-term BD outcomes remains less understood.

Genetic Contributions and Polygenic Risk Scores

Genetic factors substantially contribute to BD comorbidity (Léda-Rêgo et al., 2024), with approximately 35-65% of individuals with BD meeting criteria for another psychiatric condition (Salvi, Ribuoli, Servasi, Orsolini, & Volpe, 2021), indicating complex psychopathology interplay. This high comorbidity suggests single-disorder analyses might miss critical genetic factors contributing to this broader spectrum of co-occurring conditions. Symptoms often begin early and persist, worsened by environmental factors (Aas et al., 2020; Park, Shekhtman, & Kelsoe, 2020; Schoeler et al., 2019; Baldwin et al., 2021; Yao, van der Veen, Thygesen, Bass, & McQuillin, 2023).

Polygenic risk scores (PRSs) are valuable tools for investigating the genetic basis of BD heterogeneity and comorbidities (Wang, Tsuo, Kanai, Neale, & Martin, 2022; Mitchell et al., 2023). Analysing symptom clusters may reveal stronger genetic associations than isolated disorder

analyses. For example, higher SCZ PRS is linked to mood-incongruent psychotic symptoms and earlier BD onset (Allardyce et al., 2018; Grigoroiu-Serbanescu et al., 2024). Higher ADHD and anxiety risk correlates with rapid cycling (Coryell et al., 2003; Kupka et al., 2005; Coombes et al., 2020). ADHD increases multimorbidity risk, worsening symptom severity and functional impairment (Du Rietz et al., 2018; Vannucchi et al., 2019; Mignogna & Goes, 2024). Polygenic ADHD burden has been linked to earlier BD onset and lithium resistance, while lithium response can be influenced by family history and absence of anxiety or rapid cycling (Dunner & Fieve, 1974; van Hulzen et al., 2017; Grigoroiu-Serbanescu et al., 2020; Coombes, Millischer, Batzler, Larrabee, Hou, Papiol, Heilbronner, Adli, Akiyama, Akula et al., 2021; Lin et al., 2021; Patel et al., 2024). Factor analysis can simplify complex relationships between symptoms and disorders, revealing underlying factors and their genetic contributions within a spectrum framework.

Introducing Adverse Psychosocial Trajectory (APT)

Building on prior BD modelling using OPCRIT items (Allardyce et al., 2023), this study introduces a novel four-factor model. By combining OPCRIT items and PRS, we identified an Adverse Psychosocial Trajectory (APT) dimension, demonstrating correlations between premorbid psychosocial deficits and adverse BD outcomes, with shared genetic burdens for ADHD and anxiety prominently associated with APT, thus emphasising its role and genetic links for advancing BD understanding, classification, and intervention.

Methods

Study Design and Materials

This cross-sectional study examined relationships between clinical symptoms and genotypes linked to bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, depression, anxiety, and ADHD. All participants provided written informed consent. Research psychologists or psychiatrists conducted semi-structured interviews with bipolar disorder patients using the well-validated 90-item OPCRIT (Williams, Farmer, Ackenheil, Kaufmann, & McGuffin, 1996), which assesses premorbid functioning (personality, social and occupational adjustment) and longitudinal course (inter-episode patterns and chronicity), central aspects of our novel Adverse Psychosocial Trajectory (APT) dimension. This systematic approach enabled investigation of the interrelationship and genetic underpinnings of these crucial factors.

Participants

Genotype data from 4992 individuals was analysed post-quality control. Clinical symptoms were assessed in 2590 individuals with DSM-IV bipolar disorder diagnoses (BD1, BD2, Bipolar Disorder Not Otherwise Specified [BD-NOS], or schizoaffective bipolar type (SZA)). Age of onset was determined by the first manic, mixed, or major depressive episode. Healthy controls were research volunteers screened for the absence of mental illness (and several unscreened random blood donors).

Polygenic Risk Score Profiling

Genotyping was performed at the Broad Institute using Affymetrix Gene Chip 500k, Illumina PsychArray, and Illumina Global Screening Array (GSA). Post-QC variants and individuals were retained. The median number of post-QC variants was 3,164,648, used for polygenic risk score (PRS) analysis with imputed dosage files, genome-wide summary statistics for each psychiatric disorder, and a linkage disequilibrium (LD) reference panel. Continuous-shrinkage PRS (PRS-CS-auto) software (Ge, Chen, Ni, Feng, & Smoller, 2019), a Bayesian framework with default automatic shrinkage, calculated five trait PRSs per participant using summary statistics from the largest, most recent GWAS for BD (OConnell et al., 2025; *N*=840,309), depression (Howard et al., 2019; *N*=500,199), schizophrenia (Trubetskoy et al., 2022; *N*=130,644), anxiety disorder (Purves et al.,

2020; *N*=114,091), and ADHD (Demontis et al., 2023; *N*=225,534). These GWASs were selected for their size and recency. The BD GWAS had the largest sample size. SCZ, MDD, ADHD, and anxiety frequently co-occur with BD and share genetic risk factors, making their PRS relevant for investigating BDs transdiagnostic genetic architecture. See Supplementary Sections S1-S15 for more detailed methods information.

Controlling for Observational Study Biases

To mitigate confounders and ascertainment bias, Inverse Probability Weighting (IPW) using propensity scores (Robins, 1986; van der Wal & Geskus, 2011) was applied to cases and controls. This method adjusted for imbalances between groups and accounted for varying symptom severity in cases, ensuring more reliable genetic risk comparisons by reducing false associations from study inclusion. Stabilised weights were used. Additional covariates of age at interview, sex, and genotyping array were included with IPW propensity scores, with population stratification further accounted for by regressing out the first 10 ancestry-specific principal components (S14-15). Sensitivity analyses further confirmed result robustness (Mitchell et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2022).

Statistical analyses

The primary aim of this study was to investigate the underlying structure of clinical symptoms in our bipolar disorder sample using factor analysis. Given the comprehensive nature of the OPCRIT instrument and our interest in identifying latent dimensions of psychopathology, we employed both Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) to uncover potential factors and Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) to test the replicability and fit of a predefined factor structure. This approach allows for a data-driven exploration of symptom co-occurrence followed by a rigorous assessment of the identified factors.

Data for 77 clinical symptoms with adequate sample sizes were included for analysis (S7). Balanced clinical sample splits were used for exploratory and confirmatory phases. Items with zero- or near zero-variance (low frequency) were removed for latent factor modelling convergency. Low-frequency items were subsequently analysed separately via regression. Redundancy (multi-collinearity) was assessed using hetcor in the polycor (Olsson, 1979; Fox, 2022) R package. Highly correlated items

(0.7+) were reviewed, retaining the least missing and most clinically relevant. Missingness was low (8%), with 92% data present in 77 items (var_miss in Naniar (Tierney, Cook, & Lumley, 2023)). Missing at Random (MAR) was indicated by large *p*-values in chi-square tests (missing_compare in finalfit (finalfit team, 2023)). Imputation was avoided due to potential overfitting (Enders, 2022). The createDataPartition in Caret (Kuhn, 2008) created balanced 60/40 splits stratified by sex within subtypes.

Initial exploratory factor analysis (EFA) identified common factors from 77 OPCRIT items using efa in Lavaan (Rosseel, 2012). Higher factor loadings indicated stronger associations (S6-7). EFA included 1554 BD patients (60% calibration subsample) (S7). Four factors were retained based on parallel analysis (fa.parallel in psych (Revelle, 2023)), Scree Plot (S5), lower RMSEA, and clinical relevance. Ordinal categorical items were analysed with WLSMV estimator; *post hoc* Maximum Likelihood (ML) comparison showed no differences. Geomin rotation allowed factor correlation, while loadings remained uncorrelated (S6).

Confirmatory factor analysis, cfa in Lavaan, tested the reproducibility of factor loadings for 20 items within a four-factor framework (1036 validation sample). Twenty symptoms were selected based on a median 0.6+ factor loading and literature relevance. Model fit was assessed with EFA indices. No multicollinearity was suggested by Eigenvalue indices. Linearity assumption was checked via factor scores (lavPredict), and lavaanPlot was used for visualising the path diagrams (Lishinski, 2024) (Figure 1, S8).

Items related to elevated mood loaded to the mania dimension (Regier et al., 2013). Psychotic features inclusion in BD modelling is supported by genetic liability research (Allardyce et al., 2018). Low mood/anhedonia items loaded to the depression dimension (Regier et al., 2013). Premorbid social/occupational/outcome items inclusion addressed and loaded exclusively to the APT dimension (Regier et al., 2013; Bennett et al., 2019; Judd et al., 2005). All statistical analyses were performed using R (version 4.4.2) (R Core Team, 2024).

Sensitivity Analyses

To test EFA factor loading sensitivity, items with a cutoff of 0.4 (aligned with Allardyce et al., 2023) were later assessed. EFA item loadings (< 0.6) aligned with existing findings (Allardyce et al., 2007). Notably, Carey et al. (2024) also used a lower threshold of 0.1 for including variables in their confirmatory factor analysis. The expected correlation of rapid cycling and substance use disorders with APT dimension items is notable, as these factors are associated with poorer outcomes in BD (Lee et al., 2010; Rohde et al., 2007), potentially contributing to the lower loading reliability observed in APT (S7). Two additional sensitivity analyses assessed the robustness of symptom-dimension relationships at the individual level using factor and PRS scores (S1). All analyses were in R (version 4.4.2) with Lavaan and Bonferroni correction.

Results

(i) Clinical Characteristics

We examined 77 clinical symptoms and estimated five psychiatric disorder PRS in 2590 individuals with BD and 2402 healthy controls. The sample consisted of 61% females and 39% males, with no sex distribution differences across BD subtypes. A difference in age of onset was found across BD subtypes between SZA and BD2 (Table 1).

(ii) EFA

We evaluated 77 clinical symptoms in a calibration sample of 1554 BD patients (60%). Seventy-six symptoms loaded ($p < 0.05$) across four factors; Family history of schizophrenia (OPCRIT 13) was the exception. Symptoms exceeding 0.4 were visualised (S6-7). A four-factor EFA model fit best (χ^2 = 304, RMSEA = 0.033 [90% Confidence Intervals [CI] 0.024–0.037], CFI = 0.989, and TLI = 0.986). Four factors were retained based on the scree plot, parallel analysis, and lower RMSEA (S3-S5).

(iii) CFA

We identified items with a median threshold of 0.6 for EFA factor loadings to each of four dimensions, to ensure a parsimonious CFA model with literature-relevant items. Twenty core symptoms formed a four-factor model validated by CFA. The 4-factor CFA model using 20 clinical symptoms indicated a good fit (χ^2 = 505.88, RMSEA = 0.03 [90% CI 0.03–0.04], CFI = 0.99, TLI = 0.99; supplementary S8). Factors, defined by highest EFA loadings, showed robust associations ($p < 0.05$) with all 20 symptoms. CFA generated a four-factor model with interrelated mania, psychosis, depression, and APT symptom dimensions. Lower covariances between dimensions compared to dimension items indicated distinct structures with minimal overlap.

(iv) SEM Multiple Indicator Multiple Cause (MIMIC) model

This model indicated distinct genetic liabilities across the four clinical dimensions (Figure 1). The mania dimension, strongest associated with BD PRS, associated positively with psychosis and depression, and inversely with APT symptoms which correlated with worse outcomes. The PRS

correlated strongest with their symptom dimensions; SCZ with psychosis, BD with mania, MDD with depression, and ADHD and anxiety with APT. The MIMIC (Jöreskog & Goldberger, 1975) model fit acceptably (χ^2 = 348.45, RMSEA = 0.04 [90% CI 0.04–0.04], CFI = 0.92, TLI = 0.90) but with less reliability than the CFA.

(v) Sensitivity analyses

Individual-level factor (dimension) scores

To analyse each of the 20 core items independent contribution to the CFA model, we performed regression analyses using individual-level factor scores. Factor scores were estimated using leave-one-out CFA analyses. The median RMSEA remained relatively stable within the full CFA models confidence intervals, indicating a robust model. The 20 items were each predicted by one of the individual-level factor scores for each dimension. Participants in the top 10% of scores were more likely to report the symptom compared to those in the lower 90%. Their factor score for the symptom-related dimension was a better predictor than scores from other dimensions. The odds ratio (OR) of reporting symptoms was increased for participants in the top 10% of scores (Figure 2).

Individuals PRS Scores

To ensure the five genetic contributions at the global SEM level held for each dimension item, we performed regression analyses using each item and individual-level PRS scores in turn. Participants with the top 10% compared to the lower 90% of scores for the respective dimension, were associated with a higher risk (OR) for dimension-related symptoms. Separation of global effects revealed a mixture of effect directions related to ANX and SCZ PRS for the APT dimensions (Figure 3).

(vi) *Post hoc* Regression Analyses

Rapid cycling (RC) considered a chronic form of BD (Coryell et al., 2003; Kupka et al., 2005), positively associated with the psychosocial dimension in EFA and inversely with mania (S7). RC also showed a positive association with premorbid social adjustment (OPCRIT 10) (OR 1.185, $P = 1.04 \times 10^{-10}$) and personality disorders (OR 1.391, $P = 1.288 \times 10^{-10}$). Premorbid personality disorder was associated with substance abuse (OPCRIT 80) (OR 1.160, $P = 4.98 \times 10^{-10}$) and suicidal ideation

(OPCRIT 43) (OR 1.140, $P = 1.28 \times 10^{-10}$). Both personality disorder and RC were associated with a higher ADHD PRS (1.325 and OR 1.209, respectively, both $P < 5 \times 10^{-5}$) and an earlier onset of BD ($F = -3.782$, $P = 6.46 \times 10^{-6}$ and $F = -3.026$, $P = 4.821 \times 10^{-5}$, respectively).

Discussion

A Novel Four-Factor Model and the Adverse Psychosocial Trajectory Dimension

Our novel four-factor MIMIC model of BDs genetic architecture uniquely incorporates transdiagnostic genetic risk on core symptoms and an APT dimension linked to poorer outcomes. Unlike prior BD dimensional models focused on mood and psychosis (Allardyce et al., 2023), our model specifically addresses the under-examined association between premorbid psychosocial deficits and a chronic BD course.

Genetic Links to ADHD and Anxiety

This model uniquely links a genetically influenced APT dimension, connecting premorbid deficits and adverse long-term outcomes to genetic risk for ADHD and anxiety, highlighting a distinct pathway to illness severity and their contribution to social functioning, work, personality, and a less stable BD course (Yao et al., 2023). Identifying this APT dimension and its genetic links offers a new understanding of challenges beyond BD mood episodes, suggesting a biological basis emphasising transdiagnostic risks in BDs spectrum and variable outcomes.

Our finding that a higher ADHD PRS is associated with a more adverse psychosocial trajectory (APT) in bipolar disorder aligns with evidence from Agnew-Blais et al. (2021), who demonstrated that higher ADHD genetic risk is associated with a more persistent course of ADHD into young adulthood. Supporting this, Duffy (2012) also suggests that childhood ADHD may be linked to a subtype of BD with a more severe course and poorer treatment response (Coombes et al., 2021).

Parental BD elevates child ADHD risk (Birmaher et al., 2010) and early psychosocial challenges. These factors and inherited genetic predisposition may heighten suicidality risk (McClelland, Cleare, & OConnor, 2023).

The APT dimension and its genetic links provide a new framework for understanding diverse BD clinical presentations. This highlights the need for integrated assessment and treatment, particularly when addressing co-occurring ADHD and anxiety to improve long-term psychosocial outcomes. For

BD individuals with psychosocial/cognitive deficits, clinicians could tailor integrated treatment plans for optimal outcomes (McMahon, 2014).

Dimensional Assessment and Early Intervention Implications

Our findings support dimensional assessment in BD. Evaluating an individuals psychosocial trajectory and genetic risk for associated conditions could inform more comprehensive, personalised treatment plans, suggesting earlier identification of individuals predisposed to a more challenging BD course. This could enable preventative or early intervention strategies focused on bolstering cognitive and psychosocial functioning (Bennett et al., 2019; Krug et al., 2024). The strong genetic associations with the APT dimension, particularly with ADHD and anxiety (Duffy, 2012; Salvi et al., 2021), further underscore the potential for early intervention, as these often present in childhood and adolescence.

Four versus a Three-Factor Model

Building on dimensional approaches, our study used more OPCRIT measures than a prior study (Allardyce et al., 2023), yielding a fourth APT dimension, validated in both our and their (eResults 4) parallel analysis. We confirmed three clinical dimensions (mania, depression, psychosis) and their genetic associations. Importantly, additional measures (S13) and PRSs loaded exclusively on our novel APT dimension, thus a four-factor model better accounts for genetic signatures in BD course specifiers. Additionally, our propensity scores adjusted for potentially inflated effect sizes.

Predictive Utility of the PRS

Sensitivity analyses confirmed symptom strength independent of global dimensions. Factor and PRS scores better predicted risk for four dimension symptoms within than across dimensions in unseen data. PRS provided incremental predictive value to clinical data, with a median positive predictive value (PPV) at a 0.8 clinical utility threshold (S1) (Colquhoun, 2014).

Factor Loading Thresholds

We set a 0.6 factor loading threshold for OPCRIT items due to clinical relevance and parsimony, though prior analyses used lower thresholds (Allardyce et al., 2007; Allardyce et al., 2023; Krug et al.,

2024). Here, EFA robustness at 0.4 suggests future studies could use a lower threshold. While model fit and sensitivity were adequate, more items do not guarantee better accuracy and risk overfitting, reducing generalisability (Carey et al., 2024).

Genetics of the APT Dimension

The novel APT dimension showed distinct genetic signatures. Higher BD burden indicated resilience against premorbid psychosocial deficits and chronic illness progression, predicting higher functioning in an independent BD dataset (Song et al., 2024) and an inverse relationship with rapid cycling (Coombes et al., 2020). Similarly, the mania dimension positively associated with BD PRS was inversely related to the APT dimension.

Genetics of the APT Dimension Symptoms

Symptoms associated with BD and SCZ PRS linked to higher inter-episode remission, unlike MDD, ANX, and especially ADHD, which positively associated with reduced inter-episode remission. Higher BD PRS predicted inter-episode remission and reduced anxiety in an independent BD dataset (Song et al., 2024). Depression, anxiety, and cognitive issues are often early BD symptoms (Mignogna & Goes, 2024; Oliva et al., 2024). Rapid cycling (RC) correlated with higher ANX or ADHD PRS but inversely with BD in a prior study (Coombes et al., 2020). Co-occurring ADHD and anxiety elevate the risk for BD onset (Meier et al., 2018; Nunez et al., 2022), suggesting a less favourable trajectory.

Severe incapacity (OPCRIT 87) linked primarily to mania and psychotic features, less to APT symptoms, and least to depressive symptoms (S7). BD PRS correlated with increased symptom severity and lower depression polygenic burden in multiplex BD families (Guzman-Parra et al., 2021). Here, strongest associations existed between premorbid occupational (OPCRIT 9) and social adjustment (OCPRIT 10), and ADHD or SCZ PRS. Our finding of a negative association between APT (including premorbid adjustment) and mania aligns with the inverse relationship found by Allardyce et al. (2007). Novel to our study, is the inverse association between genetic liability to BD PRS and APT, and the inclusion of illness chronicity and personality within the APT dimension.

Longitudinal data suggests enduring psychosocial and cognitive deficits in BD (Mignogna & Goes, 2024). APT impairments affect (30-60)% of adults with BD (Bennett et al., 2019), especially with

comorbid anxiety and ADHD (Salvi et al., 2021). Sensitivity analysis showed ADHD PRS consistently positive with the APT dimension symptoms, while ANX and SCZ PRS effects were more complex across indicators, suggesting nuanced relationships needing further granular investigation.

The higher BD1 proportion of cases here, linked to lower anxiety, might have limited ANX PRS and APT dimension item-level associations. Prior factor analyses found the largest BD subgroup to be characterised by affective stability with low anxiety and low risk for ADHD-like behaviours, supporting our genetic findings (Qiu et al., 2017).

ADHD PRS uniquely correlated here with a higher risk for premorbid personality disorders (OPCRIT 11) and other APT dimension symptoms. ADHD and BD comorbidity increases the risk for personality disorder and more frequent episodes, leading to poorer functioning (Miller et al., 2008). Childhood ADHD is associated with higher borderline personality disorder (BPD) risk (Miller et al., 2008).

Limitations

Our sample, while large, primarily comprised individuals recruited through clinical settings, potentially overrepresenting those with more severe or chronic forms of BD who are more likely to seek and remain in treatment. While Inverse Probability Weighting (IPW) was applied to mitigate ascertainment, bias related to hospitalisation and symptom severity, the generalisability of our findings to community-based populations or individuals with milder presentations of BD warrants further investigation. Our decision to exclude OPCRIT items with low frequency (less than 8% missingness) could potentially limit the generalisability of our findings to individuals presenting with rarer symptoms. The cross-sectional nature of our data limits our ability to infer the temporal relationships between genetic risk, premorbid psychosocial factors, and the longitudinal course of BD. It is important to note that current PRSs for complex psychiatric disorders, including BD, explain a modest proportion of the overall variance in these conditions, and our findings, while informative at a group level, reflect trends rather than definitive individual-level predictions (Wang et al., 2022). Further research efforts, including larger genome-wide association studies and the inclusion of more diverse ancestral populations, are needed to enhance the predictive power of PRSs for clinical applications.

Future Studies

Future research should focus on validating the four-factor models reproducibility across independent ancestral datasets, ideally utilising the same OPCRIT items to ensure comparability. Furthermore, the collection and analysis of longitudinal data will be essential for further understanding the temporal dynamics between genetic risk, the emergence of premorbid psychosocial factors, and the subsequent longitudinal course of bipolar disorder. By tracking individuals over extended periods, future studies can help to establish the precise temporal order of these events and to identify potential causal pathways. Longitudinal data incorporating detailed symptom scales could also be invaluable in identifying specific temporal links and triggers for mood episodes, especially targeting those individuals at elevated risk of suicidality.

While an individuals underlying genetic code remains relatively stable throughout their lifespan, environmental factors can influence how these genes are expressed (through epigenetic mechanisms) and interact with one another to either increase or decrease the likelihood of developing bipolar disorder. Investigating the specific mechanisms through which ADHD and anxiety might trigger or exacerbate mood episodes in BD, especially in rapid cycling, could be a logical next step, potentially involving neuroimaging or neurochemical studies to explore underlying brain circuitry.

Conclusion

Our analysis indicates a broader transdiagnostic genetic signature, beyond traditional mood disorders, contributes to a more adverse BD trajectory, potentially worsening long-term outcomes due to psychosocial and cognitive deficits, notably linked to higher ADHD and anxiety polygenic burden. The MIMIC model revealed a complex interplay between mania and the novel APT dimension. While ADHD PRS showed a consistent positive association with APT, ANX and SCZ PRS effects on APT items were more nuanced, requiring further research. These findings underscore the importance of considering transdiagnostic genetic risks in understanding BD heterogeneity.

Clinical Practice

This study suggests early identification of psychosocial difficulties in individuals with higher genetic burden for ADHD and anxiety offers a crucial opportunity for interventions to improve long-term BD outcomes. Our findings underscore the potential utility of incorporating comprehensive assessments for premorbid psychosocial functioning and any co-occurring symptoms of ADHD and anxiety in individuals with or at risk for BD. This more holistic approach could facilitate the earlier identification of those individuals who may be on a more adverse psychosocial trajectory, allowing for the implementation of proactive and personalised interventions that may ultimately improve the overall course of their illness and their quality of life.

Additional information

Supplementary materials: Supplementary.docx

Data availability: Data are available from AM upon reasonable request.

Research material availability: GWAS summary statistics are publicly available.

Analytic code availability: Code is available upon request.

Author contribution: TV: led design and writing. AM: supported analyses. NB: revisions.

Financial support: Stanley Centre for Psychiatric Research (control genotyping). AM & NB: UCLH NIHR BRC. TV: NIH GPP.

Ethical standards: The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008. Approved by NHS MREC (MREC/03/11/090).

Competing interests: None.

Declaration of generative AI: No AI used.

Acknowledgements: Participants data collected with support from Neuroscience Research Charitable Trust, Central London NHS Blood Transfusion Service, Camden and Islington NHS Foundation Trust, Priory Hospitals, NIHR MHRN.

Transparency declaration: TV affirms honest, accurate, transparent account; no omissions or unexplained discrepancies.

Author details: Correspondence email and address: t.veen@ucl.ac.uk, Molecular Psychiatry Laboratory Division of Psychiatry University College London London, WC1E 6BT UK

References

Aas, M., Bellivier, F., Bettella, F., Henry, C., Gard, S., Kahn, J. P., Lagerberg, T. V., Aminoff, S. R., Melle, I., Leboyer, M., Jamain, S., Andreassen, O. A., & Etain, B. (2020). Childhood maltreatment and polygenic risk in bipolar disorders. *Bipolar disorders*, *22*(2), 174–181. https://doi.org/10.1111/bdi.12851

Agnew-Blais, J. C., Belsky, D. W., Caspi, A., Danese, A., Moffitt, T. E., Polanczyk, G. V., Sugden, K., Wertz, J., Williams, B. S., Lewis, C. M., & Arseneault, L. (2021). Polygenic Risk and the Course of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder From Childhood to Young Adulthood: Findings From a Nationally Representative Cohort. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *60*(9), 1147–1156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2020.12.033

Allardyce, J., Cardno, A. G., Gordon-Smith, K., Jones, L., Di Florio, A., Walters, J. T. R., Holmans, P. A., Craddock, N. J., Jones, I., Owen, M. J., Escott-Price, V., & ODonovan, M. C. (2023). Specificity of polygenic signatures across symptom dimensions in bipolar disorder: an analysis of UK Bipolar Disorder Research Network data. *The lancet. Psychiatry*, *10*(8), 623–631. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(23)00186-4

Allardyce, J., Leonenko, G., Hamshere, M., Pardiñas, A. F., Forty, L., Knott, S., Gordon-Smith, K., Porteous, D. J., Haywood, C., Di Florio, A., Jones, L., McIntosh, A. M., Owen, M. J., Holmans, P., Walters, J. T. R., Craddock, N., Jones, I., ODonovan, M. C., & Escott-Price, V. (2018). Association Between Schizophrenia-Related Polygenic Liability and the Occurrence and Level of Mood-Incongruent Psychotic Symptoms in Bipolar Disorder. *JAMA psychiatry*, *75*(1), 28–35. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.3485

Allardyce, J., McCreadie, R. G., Morrison, G., & van Os, J. (2007). Do symptom dimensions or categorical diagnoses best discriminate between known risk factors for psychosis?. *Social psychiatry and psychiatric epidemiology*, *42*(6), 429–437. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-007-0179-y

Altshuler, L. L., Ventura, J., van Gorp, W. G., Green, M. F., Theberge, D. C., & Mintz, J. (2004). Neurocognitive function in clinically stable men with bipolar I disorder or schizophrenia and normal control subjects. *Biological psychiatry*, *56*(8), 560–569. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.08.002

American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425596

Baldwin, J. R., Caspi, A., Meehan, A. J., Ambler, A., Arseneault, L., Fisher, H. L., Harrington, H., Matthews, T., Odgers, C. L., Poulton, R., Ramrakha, S., Moffitt, T. E., & Danese, A. (2021). Population vs Individual Prediction of Poor Health From Results of Adverse Childhood Experiences Screening. *JAMA pediatrics*, *175*(4), 385–393. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.5602

Bennett, F., Hodgetts, S., Close, A., Frye, M., Grunze, H., Keck, P., Kupka, R., McElroy, S., Nolen, W., Post, R., Schärer, L., Suppes, T., & Sharma, A. N. (2019). Predictors of psychosocial outcome of bipolar disorder: data from the Stanley Foundation Bipolar Network. *International journal of bipolar disorders*, *7*(1), 28. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40345-019-0169-5

Bipolar Disorder and Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. Electronic address: douglas.ruderfer@vanderbilt.edu, & Bipolar Disorder and Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (2018). Genomic Dissection of Bipolar Disorder and Schizophrenia, Including 28 Subphenotypes. *Cell*, *173*(7), 1705–1715.e16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.05.046

Birmaher, B., Axelson, D., Goldstein, B., Monk, K., Kalas, C., Obreja, M., Hickey, M. B., Iyengar, S., Brent, D., Shamseddeen, W., Diler, R., & Kupfer, D. (2010). Psychiatric disorders in preschool offspring of parents with bipolar disorder: the Pittsburgh Bipolar Offspring Study (BIOS). *The American journal of psychiatry*, *167*(3), 321–330. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.09070977

Carballo, J. J., Llorente, C., Kehrmann, L., Flamarique, I., Zuddas, A., Purper-Ouakil, D., Hoekstra, P. J., Coghill, D., Schulze, U. M. E., Dittmann, R. W., Buitelaar, J. K., Castro-Fornieles, J., Lievesley, K., Santosh, P., Arango, C., & STOP Consortium (2020). Psychosocial risk factors for suicidality in children and adolescents. *European child & adolescent psychiatry*, *29*(6), 759–776. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-018-01270-9

Carey, C. E., Shafee, R., Wedow, R., Elliott, A., Palmer, D. S., Compitello, J., Kanai, M., Abbott, L., Schultz, P., Karczewski, K. J., Bryant, S. C., Cusick, C. M., Churchhouse, C., Howrigan, D. P., King, D., Davey Smith, G., Neale, B. M., Walters, R. K., & Robinson, E. B. (2024). Principled distillation of

UK Biobank phenotype data reveals underlying structure in human variation. *Nature human behaviour*, *8*(8), 1599–1615. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-024-01909-5

Colquhoun D. (2014). An investigation of the false discovery rate and the misinterpretation of p-values. *Royal Society open science*, *1*(3), 140216. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.140216

Coombes, B. J., Markota, M., Mann, J. J., Colby, C., Stahl, E., Talati, A., Pathak, J., Weissman, M. M., McElroy, S. L., Frye, M. A., & Biernacka, J. M. (2020). Dissecting clinical heterogeneity of bipolar disorder using multiple polygenic risk scores. *Translational psychiatry*, *10*(1), 314. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-020-00996-y

Coombes, B. J., Millischer, V., Batzler, A., Larrabee, B., Hou, L., Papiol, S., Heilbronner, U., Adli, M., Akiyama, K., Akula, N., Amare, A. T., Ardau, R., Arias, B., Aubry, J. M., Backlund, L., Bauer, M., Baune, B. T., Bellivier, F., Benabarre, A., Bengesser, S., … Biernacka, J. M. (2021). Association of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Depression Polygenic Scores with Lithium Response: A Consortium for Lithium Genetics Study. *Complex psychiatry*, *7*(3-4), 80–89. https://doi.org/10.1159/000519707

Coryell, W., Solomon, D., Turvey, C., Keller, M., Leon, A. C., Endicott, J., Schettler, P., Judd, L., & Mueller, T. (2003). The long-term course of rapid-cycling bipolar disorder. *Archives of general psychiatry*, *60*(9), 914–920. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.60.9.914

Demontis, D., Walters, G. B., Athanasiadis, G., Walters, R., Therrien, K., Nielsen, T. T., Farajzadeh, L., Voloudakis, G., Bendl, J., Zeng, B., Zhang, W., Grove, J., Als, T. D., Duan, J., Satterstrom, F. K., Bybjerg-Grauholm, J., Bækved-Hansen, M., Gudmundsson, O. O., Magnusson, S. H., Baldursson, G., … Børglum, A. D. (2023). Genome-wide analyses of ADHD identify 27 risk loci, refine the genetic architecture and implicate several cognitive domains. *Nature genetics*, *55*(2), 198–208. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-022-01285-8

Dong, M., Lu, L., Zhang, L., Zhang, Q., Ungvari, G. S., Ng, C. H., Yuan, Z., Xiang, Y., Wang, G., & Xiang, Y. T. (2019). Prevalence of suicide attempts in bipolar disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Epidemiology and psychiatric sciences*, *29*, e63. https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045796019000593

Duffy A. (2012). The nature of the association between childhood ADHD and the development of bipolar disorder: a review of prospective high-risk studies. *The American journal of psychiatry*, *169*(12), 1247–1255. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2012.11111725

Dunner, D. L., & Fieve, R. R. (1974). Clinical factors in lithium carbonate prophylaxis failure. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *30*(2), 229–233.

Du Rietz, E., Coleman, J., Glanville, K., Choi, S. W., OReilly, P. F., & Kuntsi, J. (2018). Association of Polygenic Risk for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder With Co-occurring Traits and Disorders. *Biological psychiatry. Cognitive neuroscience and neuroimaging*, *3*(7), 635–643. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2017.11.013

Enders, C. K. (2022). *Applied missing data analysis* (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.

finalfit team. (2023). *finalfit: Fit and Present Regression Models*. Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=finalfit

Fox, J. (2022). *polycor: Polychoric and Polyserial Correlations*. Retrieved from https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/polycor/polycor.pdf

Grigoroiu-Serbanescu, M., Giaroli, G., Thygesen, J. H., Shenyan, O., Bigdeli, T. B., Bass, N. J., Diaconu, C. C., Neagu, A. I., Forstner, A. J., Degenhardt, F., Herms, S., Nöthen, M. M., & McQuillin, A. (2020). Predictive power of the ADHD GWAS 2019 polygenic risk scores in independent samples of bipolar patients with childhood ADHD. *Journal of affective disorders*, *265*, 651-659. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2019.11.109

Grigoroiu-Serbanescu, M., van der Veen, T., Bigdeli, T., Herms, S., Diaconu, C. C., Neagu, A. I., Bass, N., Thygesen, J., Forstner, A. J., Nöthen, M. M., & McQuillin, A. (2024). Schizophrenia polygenic risk scores, clinical variables and genetic pathways as predictors of phenotypic traits of bipolar I disorder. *Journal of affective disorders*, *356*, 507–518. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2024.04.066

Grunze, H., & Born, C. (2020). The Impact of Subsyndromal Bipolar Symptoms on Patients Functionality and Quality of Life. *Frontiers in psychiatry*, *11*, 510. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00510

Guzman-Parra, J., Streit, F., Forstner, A. J., Strohmaier, J., González, M. J., Gil Flores, S., Cabaleiro Fabeiro, F. J., Del Río Noriega, F., Perez Perez, F., Haro González, J., Orozco Diaz, G., de Diego-Otero, Y., Moreno-Kustner, B., Auburger, G., Degenhardt, F., Heilmann-Heimbach, S., Herms, S., Hoffmann, P., Frank, J., Foo, J. C., … Rietschel, M. (2021). Clinical and genetic differences between bipolar disorder type 1 and 2 in multiplex families. *Translational psychiatry*, *11*(1), 31. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-020-01146-0

Howard, D. M., Adams, M. J., Clarke, T. K., Hafferty, J. D., Gibson, J., Shirali, M., Coleman, J. R. I., Hagenaars, S. P., Ward, J., Wigmore, E. M., Alloza, C., Shen, X., Barbu, M. C., Xu, E. Y., Whalley, H. C., Marioni, R. E., Porteous, D. J., Davies, G., Deary, I. J., Hemani, G., … McIntosh, A. M. (2019). Genome-wide meta-analysis of depression identifies 102 independent variants and highlights the importance of the prefrontal brain regions. *Nature neuroscience*, *22*(3), 343–352. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0326-7

Jöreskog, K. G., & Goldberger, A. S. (1975). Estimation of a Model with Multiple Indicators and Multiple Causes of a Single Latent Variable. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *70*(351), 631–639. https://doi.org/10.2307/2285946

Kuhn, M. (2008). Caret package. *Journal of Statistical Software, 28*(5). Retrieved from https://www.jstatsoft.org/v28/i05/

Judd, L. L., Akiskal, H. S., Schettler, P. J., Endicott, J., Leon, A. C., Solomon, D. A., Coryell, W., Maser, J. D., & Keller, M. B. (2005). Psychosocial disability in the course of bipolar I and II disorders: a prospective, comparative, longitudinal study. *Archives of general psychiatry*, *62*(12), 1322–1330. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.62.12.1322

Krug, A., Stein, F., David, F. S., Schmitt, S., Brosch, K., Pfarr, J. K., Ringwald, K. G., Meller, T., Thomas-Odenthal, F., Meinert, S., Thiel, K., Winter, A., Waltemate, L., Lemke, H., Grotegerd, D., Opel, N., Repple, J., Hahn, T., Streit, F., Witt, S. H., … Forstner, A. J. (2024). Factor analysis of

lifetime psychopathology and its brain morphometric and genetic correlates in a transdiagnostic sample. *Translational psychiatry*, *14*(1), 235. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-024-02936-6

Kupka, R. W., Luckenbaugh, D. A., Post, R. M., Suppes, T., Altshuler, L. L., Keck, P. E., Jr, Frye, M. A., Denicoff, K. D., Grunze, H., Leverich, G. S., McElroy, S. L., Walden, J., & Nolen, W. A. (2005). Comparison of rapid-cycling and non-rapid-cycling bipolar disorder based on prospective mood ratings in 539 outpatients. *The American journal of psychiatry*, *162*(7), 1273–

1280. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.162.7.1273

Lawrence, J. M., Breunig, S., Foote, I. F., Tallis, C. B., & Grotzinger, A. D. (2024). Genomic SEM applied to explore etiological divergences in bipolar subtypes. *Psychological medicine*, *54*(6), 1152–1159. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291723002957

Léda-Rêgo, G., Studart-Bottó, P., Abbade, P., Rabelo-Da-Ponte, F. D., Casqueiro, J. S., Sarmento, S., Dallalana, C., Troesch, M., Prates, S., & Miranda-Scippa, Â. (2024). Lifetime prevalence of psychiatric comorbidities in patients with bipolar disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychiatry research*, *337*, 115953. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2024.115953

Lee, S., Tsang, A., Kessler, R. C., Jin, R., Sampson, N., Andrade, L., Karam, E. G., Mora, M. E., Merikangas, K., Nakane, Y., Popovici, D. G., Posada-Villa, J., Sagar, R., Wells, J. E., Zarkov, Z., & Petukhova, M. (2010). Rapid-cycling bipolar disorder: cross-national community study. *The British journal of psychiatry : the journal of mental science*, *196*(3), 217–
225. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.109.067843

Lin, Y., Maihofer, A. X., Stapp, E., Ritchey, M., Alliey-Rodriguez, N., Anand, A., Balaraman, Y., Berrettini, W. H., Bertram, H., Bhattacharjee, A., Calkin, C. V., Conroy, C., Coryell, W., DArcangelo, N., DeModena, A., Biernacka, J. M., Fisher, C., Frazier, N., Frye, M., Gao, K., … Kelsoe, J. R. (2021). Clinical predictors of non-response to lithium treatment in the Pharmacogenomics of Bipolar Disorder (PGBD) study. *Bipolar disorders*, *23*(8), 821–831. https://doi.org/10.1111/bdi.13078

Lishinski, A. (2024). *lavaanPlot: Path Diagrams for Structural Equation Models* (Version 0.8.1) [R package]. https://github.com/alishinski/lavaanPlot

McClelland, H., Cleare, S., & OConnor, R. C. (2023). Suicide Risk in Personality Disorders: A Systematic Review. *Current psychiatry reports*, *25*(9), 405–417. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-023-01440-w

McMahon F. J. (2014). Prediction of treatment outcomes in psychiatry--where do we stand ?. *Dialogues in clinical neuroscience*, *16*(4), 455–464. https://doi.org/10.31887/DCNS.2014.16.4/fmcmahon

Meier, S. M., Pavlova, B., Dalsgaard, S., Nordentoft, M., Mors, O., Mortensen, P. B., & Uher, R. (2018). Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and anxiety disorders as precursors of bipolar disorder onset in adulthood. *The British journal of psychiatry : the journal of mental science*, *213*(3), 555–560. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.2018.111

Mignogna, K. M., & Goes, F. S. (2024). Characterizing the longitudinal course of symptoms and functioning in bipolar disorder. *Psychological medicine*, *54*(1), 79–89. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291722001489

Miller, C. J., Flory, J. D., Miller, S. R., Harty, S. C., Newcorn, J. H., & Halperin, J. M. (2008). Childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and the emergence of personality disorders in adolescence: a prospective follow-up study. *The Journal of clinical psychiatry*, *69*(9), 1477–1484. https://doi.org/10.4088/jcp.v69n0916

Miskowiak, K. W., Hansen, K. B., Mariegaard, J., & Kessing, L. V. (2023). Association between childhood trauma, cognition, and psychosocial function in a large sample of partially or fully remitted patients with bipolar disorder and healthy participants. *International journal of bipolar disorders*, *11*(1), 31. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40345-023-00311-w

Mitchell, R. E., Hartley, A. E., Walker, V. M., Gkatzionis, A., Yarmolinsky, J., Bell, J. A., Chong, A. H. W., Paternoster, L., Tilling, K., & Smith, G. D. (2023). Strategies to investigate and mitigate collider bias in genetic and Mendelian randomisation studies of disease progression. *PLoS genetics*, *19*(2), e1010596. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010596

Nunez, N. A., Coombes, B. J., Romo-Nava, F., Bond, D. J., Vande Voort, J., Croarkin, P. E., Leibman, N., Gardea Resendez, M., Veldic, M., Betcher, H., Singh, B., Colby, C., Cuellar-Barboza, A., Prieto, M., Moore, K. M., Ozerdem, A., McElroy, S. L., Frye, M. A., & Biernacka, J. M. (2022). Clinical and Genetic Correlates of Bipolar Disorder With Childhood-Onset Attention Deficit Disorder. *Frontiers in psychiatry*, *13*, 884217. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2022.884217

OConnell, K. S., & Coombes, B. J. (2021). Genetic contributions to bipolar disorder: current status and future directions. *Psychological medicine*, *51*(13), 2156–2167. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291721001252

OConnell, K. S., Koromina, M., van der Veen, T., Boltz, T., David, F. S., Yang, J. M. K., Lin, K. H., Wang, X., Coleman, J. R. I., Mitchell, B. L., McGrouther, C. C., Rangan, A. V., Lind, P. A., Koch, E., Harder, A., Parker, N., Bendl, J., Adorjan, K., Agerbo, E., Albani, D., … Bipolar Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (2025). Genomics yields biological and phenotypic insights into bipolar disorder. *Nature*, *639*(8056), 968–975. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-08468-9

Oliva, V., Fico, G., De Prisco, M., Gonda, X., Rosa, A. R., & Vieta, E. (2024). Bipolar disorders: an update on critical aspects. *The Lancet regional health. Europe*, *48*, 101135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanepe.2024.101135

Olsson, U. (1979). *Maximum likelihood estimation of the polychoric correlation coefficient*. *Psychometrika*, *44*(4), 443-460.

Park, Y. M., Shekhtman, T., & Kelsoe, J. R. (2020). Interaction between adverse childhood experiences and polygenic risk in patients with bipolar disorder. *Translational psychiatry*, *10*(1), 326. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-020-01010-1

Patel, K. H. S., Walters, G. B., Stefánsson, H., Stefánsson, K., Degenhardt, F., Nothen, M., Van Der Veen, T., Demontis, D., Borglum, A., Kristiansen, M., Bass, N. J., & McQuillin, A. (2024). Predicting ADHD in alcohol dependence using polygenic risk scores for ADHD. *American journal of medical genetics. Part B, Neuropsychiatric genetics : the official publication of the International Society of Psychiatric Genetics*, *195*(4), e32967. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.32967

Pavlova, B., Perlis, R. H., Mantere, O., Sellgren, C. M., Isometsä, E., Mitchell, P. B., Alda, M., & Uher, R. (2017). Prevalence of current anxiety disorders in people with bipolar disorder during euthymia: a meta-analysis. *Psychological medicine*, *47*(6), 1107–1115. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291716003135

Purves, K. L., Coleman, J. R. I., Meier, S. M., Rayner, C., Davis, K. A. S., Cheesman, R., Bækvad-Hansen, M., Børglum, A. D., Wan Cho, S., Jürgen Deckert, J., Gaspar, H. A., Bybjerg-Grauholm, J., Hettema, J. M., Hotopf, M., Hougaard, D., Hübel, C., Kan, C., McIntosh, A. M., Mors, O., Bo Mortensen, P., … Eley, T. C. (2020). A major role for common genetic variation in anxiety disorders. *Molecular psychiatry*, *25*(12), 3292–3303. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-019-0559-1

Qiu, F., Akiskal, H. S., Kelsoe, J. R., & Greenwood, T. A. (2017). Factor analysis of temperament and personality traits in bipolar patients: Correlates with comorbidity and disorder severity. *Journal of affective disorders*, *207*, 282–290. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.08.031

R Core Team. (2024). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing* (Version 4.4.2) [Computer software]. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/

Regier, D. A., Kuhl, E. A., & Kupfer, D. J. (2013). The DSM-5: Classification and criteria changes. *World psychiatry : official journal of the World Psychiatric Association (WPA)*, *12*(2), 92–98. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20050

Reininghaus, U., Böhnke, J. R., Hosang, G., Farmer, A., Burns, T., McGuffin, P., & Bentall, R. P. (2016). Evaluation of the validity and utility of a transdiagnostic psychosis dimension encompassing schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *The British journal of psychiatry : the journal of mental science*, *209*(2), 107–113. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.115.167882

Revelle, W. (2022). *psych: Procedures for Psychological, Psychometric, and Personality Research* (Version 2.2.9) [R package]. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=psych

Richards, A. L., Cardno, A., Harold, G., Craddock, N. J., Di Florio, A., Jones, L., Gordon-Smith, K., Jones, I., Sellers, R., Walters, J. T. R., Holmans, P. A., Owen, M. J., & ODonovan, M. C. (2022). Genetic Liabilities Differentiating Bipolar Disorder, Schizophrenia, and Major Depressive Disorder,

and Phenotypic Heterogeneity in Bipolar Disorder. *JAMA psychiatry*, *79*(10), 1032–1039. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2022.2594

Robins, J. M. (1986). A new approach to causal inference in mortality studies with sustained exposure periods - applications to control of the healthy worker survivor effect. *Mathematical Modeling*, *7*, 1393-1512.

Robinson, L. J., Thompson, J. M., Gallagher, P., Goswami, U., Young, A. H., Ferrier, I. N., & Moore, P. B. (2006). A meta-analysis of cognitive deficits in euthymic patients with bipolar disorder. *Journal of affective disorders*, *93*(1-3), 105–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2006.02.016

Rohde, P., Lewinsohn, P. M., Seeley, J. R., Klein, D. N., Andrews, J. A., & Small, J. W. (2007). Psychosocial functioning of adults who experienced substance use disorders as adolescents. *Psychology of addictive behaviors : journal of the Society of Psychologists in Addictive Behaviors*, *21*(2), 155–164. https://doi.org/10.1037/0893-164X.21.2.155

Rosseel, Y. (2012, May 24). lavaan: An R Package for Structural Equation Modeling. *J Stat Softw* [Internet], *48*, 1–36. Retrieved from: https://www.jstatsoft.org/index.php/jss/article/view/v048i02

Ruderfer, D. M., Fanous, A. H., Ripke, S., McQuillin, A., Amdur, R. L., Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Bipolar Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Cross-Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Gejman, P. V., ODonovan, M. C., Andreassen, O. A., Djurovic, S., Hultman, C. M., Kelsoe, J. R., Jamain, S., Landén, M., Leboyer, M., Nimgaonkar, V., Nurnberger, J., Smoller, J. W., … Kendler, K. S. (2014). Polygenic dissection of diagnosis and clinical dimensions of bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. *Molecular psychiatry*, *19*(9), 1017–1024. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2013.138

Salvi, V., Ribuoli, E., Servasi, M., Orsolini, L., & Volpe, U. (2021). ADHD and Bipolar Disorder in Adulthood: Clinical and Treatment Implications. *Medicina (Kaunas, Lithuania)*, *57*(5), 466. https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina57050466

Sanches, M., Bauer, I. E., Galvez, J. F., Zunta-Soares, G. B., & Soares, J. C. (2015). The management of cognitive impairment in bipolar disorder: current status and perspectives. *American journal of therapeutics*, *22*(6), 477–486. https://doi.org/10.1097/MJT.0000000000000120

Saunders, E. H., Scott, L. J., McInnis, M. G., & Burmeister, M. (2008). Familiality and diagnostic patterns of subphenotypes in the National Institutes of Mental Health bipolar sample. *American journal of medical genetics. Part B, Neuropsychiatric genetics : the official publication of the International Society of Psychiatric Genetics*, *147B*(1), 18–26. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.30558

Schoeler, T., Choi, S. W., Dudbridge, F., Baldwin, J., Duncan, L., Cecil, C. M., Walton, E., Viding, E., McCrory, E., & Pingault, J. B. (2019). Multi-Polygenic Score Approach to Identifying Individual Vulnerabilities Associated With the Risk of Exposure to Bullying. *JAMA psychiatry*, *76*(7), 730–738. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.0310

Schulze T. G. (2010). Genetic research into bipolar disorder: the need for a research framework that integrates sophisticated molecular biology and clinically informed phenotype characterization. *The Psychiatric clinics of North America*, *33*(1), 67–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psc.2009.10.005

Scott, J., Graham, A., Yung, A., Morgan, C., Bellivier, F., & Etain, B. (2022). A systematic review and meta-analysis of delayed help-seeking, delayed diagnosis and duration of untreated illness in bipolar disorders. *Acta psychiatrica Scandinavica*, *146*(5), 389–405. https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.13490

Song, J., Jonsson, L., Lu, Y., Bergen, S. E., Karlsson, R., Smedler, E., Gordon-Smith, K., Jones, I., Jones, L., Craddock, N., Sullivan, P. F., Lichtenstein, P., Di Florio, A., & Landén, M. (2024). Key subphenotypes of bipolar disorder are differentially associated with polygenic liabilities for bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, and major depressive disorder. *Molecular psychiatry*, *29*(7), 1941–1950. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-024-02448-1

Sparding, T., Pålsson, E., Joas, E., Hansen, S., & Landén, M. (2017). Personality traits in bipolar disorder and influence on outcome. *BMC psychiatry*, *17*(1), 159. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-017-1332-0

Tierney, N., Cook, D., & Lumley, T. (2023). *Naniar: Data Screening and Exploration*. Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=naniar

Trubetskoy, V., Pardiñas, A. F., Qi, T., Panagiotaropoulou, G., Awasthi, S., Bigdeli, T. B., Bryois, J., Chen, C. Y., Dennison, C. A., Hall, L. S., Lam, M., Watanabe, K., Frei, O., Ge, T., Harwood, J. C., Koopmans, F., Magnusson, S., Richards, A. L., Sidorenko, J., Wu, Y., … Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (2022). Mapping genomic loci implicates genes and synaptic biology in schizophrenia. *Nature*, *604*(7906), 502–508. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04434-5

van Hulzen, K. J. E., Scholz, C. J., Franke, B., Ripke, S., Klein, M., McQuillin, A., Sonuga-Barke, E. J., PGC ADHD Working Group, Kelsoe, J. R., Landén, M., Andreassen, O. A., PGC Bipolar Disorder Working Group, Lesch, K. P., Weber, H., Faraone, S. V., Arias-Vasquez, A., & Reif, A. (2017). Genetic Overlap Between Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Bipolar Disorder: Evidence From Genome-wide Association Study Meta-analysis. *Biological psychiatry*, *82*(9), 634–641. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2016.08.040

Vannucchi, G., Medda, P., Pallucchini, A., Bertelli, M., Angst, J., Azorin, J. M., Bowden, C., Vieta, E., Young, A. H., Mosolov, S., Perugi, G., & BRIDGE-II-Mix Study Group (2019). The relationship between attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, bipolarity and mixed features in major depressive patients: Evidence from the BRIDGE-II-Mix Study. *Journal of affective disorders*, *246*, 346–354. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2018.12.089

Wang, Y., Tsuo, K., Kanai, M., Neale, B. M., & Martin, A. R. (2022). Challenges and Opportunities for Developing More Generalizable Polygenic Risk Scores. *Annual review of biomedical data science*, *5*, 293–320. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biodatasci-111721-074830

Williams, J., Farmer, A. E., Ackenheil, M., Kaufmann, C. A., & McGuffin, P. (1996). A multicentre inter-rater reliability study using the OPCRIT computerized diagnostic system. *Psychological medicine*, *26*(4), 775–783. https://doi.org/10.1017/s003329170003779x

Yao, K., van der Veen, T., Thygesen, J., Bass, N., & McQuillin, A. (2023). Multiple psychiatric polygenic risk scores predict associations between childhood adversity and bipolar disorder. *Journal of affective disorders*, *341*, 137–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2023.08.116

Table 1. Participants characteristics stratified by bipolar disorder subtypes

CHARACTERISTIC	OVERALL, *N* = 2590	SZA, *N* = 332	BD1, *N* = 1475	BD2, *N* = 387	BD-NOS, *N* = 204	P-VALUE[2]
Psychosis -						1.70E-77
No	34% (879 / 2590)[1]	14% (47 / 332)	36% (525 / 1475)	79% (307 / 387)		
Yes	51% (1315 / 2590)	86% (285 / 332)	64% (950 / 1475)	21% (80 / 387)		
Rapid cycling -						6.80E-06
No	58% (1506 / 2590)	76% (252 / 332)	69% (1024 / 1475)	59% (230 / 387)		
Yes	27% (688 / 2590)	24% (80 / 332)	31% (451 / 1475)	41% (157 / 387)		
BD Age Onset	-	25 (9)	26 (11)	28 (11)		2.10E-04
Sex -						2.60E-01
Female	51.3% (1333 / 2590)	59% (197 / 332)	62% (913 / 1475)	58% (223 / 387)		
Male	33.2% (861 / 2590)	41% (135 / 332)	38% (562 / 1475)	42% (164 / 387)		
Age interviewed	-	46 (12)	49 (13)	51 (14)		3.10E-07

[1] Median (IQR) or Frequency (%)

[2] Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test; Pearson's Chi-squared test.

Abbreviations: SZA, schizoaffective disorder, BD1, bipolar disorder I, BD2, bipolar disorder II, BD-NOS, bipolar disorder not otherwise specified.

Note: Of the 396 participants initially categorised as 'Other', 204 were diagnosed with BD-NOS, and information was missing for the remaining 192 participants.



Figure 1. Structural equation (MIMIC) model (SEM) path diagram and fit indices This figure illustrates the results of the Structural Equation Model (SEM) using the Multiple Indicator Multiple Cause (MIMIC) approach. Rectangles represent the five Polygenic Risk Scores (PRSs) included in the model: BD (Bipolar Disorder), SCZ (Schizophrenia), MDD (Major Depressive Disorder), ADHD (Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder), and ANX (Anxiety). Circles indicate the four latent symptom dimensions identified through Confirmatory Factor Analysis: Mania, Psychosis, Depression, and Adverse Psychosocial Trajectory (APT). Squares represent the OPCRIT item numbers that load onto each of the four dimensions; the corresponding OPCRIT item descriptions can be found in Supplementary S9, and their Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) loadings are in Supplementary S7. Arrows on the left-hand side of the figure depict the associations between each of the five PRSs and the four latent symptom dimensions. Arrows on the right-hand side of the figure are representative of the associations between the latent dimensions and their respective OPCRIT items. The numerical values shown with arrows pointing between the dimension circles (on the right-hand side) indicate the covariances between the Mania dimension and the other three dimensions. The fit indices for the model are also displayed within the figure.



Figure 2. Core OPCRIT items associations using individuals leave-one-out factor scores The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals represent the strength of association (x-axis) between the 20 core items (y- axis) using participants factor scores for one of the four factor dimensions (individuals with the 10% scores compared to the remaining 90% of scores). Each point reflects a logistic regression model adjusted for inclusion propensity, age, age-squared and sex. An OR greater than 1 indicated an increased risk of the symptom, an OR less than 1 represented a decreased risk. The shapes denote the different (factor) dimensions. Hollow points represent tests that did not surpass the Bonferroni correction criteria.



Figure 3. Core OPCRIT predictions using five transdiagnostic individual-level PRS scores The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals represent the strength of association (x-axis) between the 20 core items (y- axis) as a function of the bipolar disorder participants five PRS scores (individuals with the 10% compared to the remaining 90% of scores). Each point reflects a logistic regression model for one core item, adjusted for inclusion propensity, age, age-squared, sex, genetic ancestry and genotype array. An OR greater than 1 indicated an increased risk of the item, while an OR less than 1 represented a decreased risk. The shapes denote the different transdiagnostic PRS. Hollow points represent tests that did not surpass the Bonferroni correction criteria.