Determining the Efficacy, Feasibility, and Impact of Storage Conditions on At-Home Blood Collection Kits for Proteomic Studies

Caroline Scranton[1], Xiaoxiao Sun[2], Dominic Rodriguez[1], Kristen Pogreba Brown[2,3], Erika Austhof[2], Caitlyn M McFadden[2], Victoria Obergh[1], Kerry K Cooper[1,3*]

[1]School of Animal and Comparative Biomedical Sciences, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA

[2]Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA

[3] BIO5 Institute, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85719, USA

*Corresponding author:

Kerry K Cooper

1117 E. Lowell St.

Tucson, AZ 85721

Phone: +1-520-621-3342

Email: kcooper@arizona.edu

23   **Abbreviations**: PCA (principal components analysis), RFU (relative fluorescence units), L2FC

24   (log 2-fold change), SST (serum separating tube), KEGG (Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and

25   genomes)

Abstract

At-home blood collection kits have the potential to greatly increase the efficiency of blood

collection for diagnostic or research purposes by reducing the cost and burden on participants,

researchers, or physicians and eliminating the need for a phlebotomist, specialized equipment,

and on-site processing. These kits have shown to be effective for studying specific blood

metabolites and proteins, but for analyses targeting the entire proteome, their effectiveness is

unknown. For this study, data on human serum proteome was compared when blood was

capillary-collected with a Tasso+ device (Tasso Inc.) versus the gold-standard venous samples

drawn by a trained phlebotomist. Analyses were conducted using the SomaScan 7K assay

(SomaLogic Inc.), which assesses the levels of nearly 7,600 serum proteins. Additionally,

duplicate Tasso+ blood samples were also subjected to a variety of pre-processing storage

temperatures and times to mimic the effects of shipping samples from participants on the serum

proteome compared to baseline samples. Minimal differences were seen between the serum

proteome results of capillary and venous blood for all participants. Delays in processing of

greater than 48 hours led to large changes in detected protein levels throughout the serum

proteome, while lower holding temperatures (refrigeration at $\geq 4^{o}$C) pre-processing decreased the

amount of change in the serum proteome. Overall, it was determined that when processed

immediately, capillary blood gives similar results to venous blood, while minimizing the time

(≤ 48 hrs) and temperature ($\leq 4^{o}$C) can minimize the serum proteome changes in samples

collected by at-home blood collection kits and detected by the 7K assay.

Introduction

At-home blood collection methods have become increasingly popular for medical testing, surveillance, and research, particularly in light of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, which added increased barriers to healthcare and participant-based research(1). However, because at-home blood collection kits must be mailed in for analysis, they must be able to stabilize the blood in the presence of varying temperatures over extended periods of time. Extreme temperatures and shipping delays may significantly affect blood samples and potential analysis results. Therefore, it is critical to understand how different conditions impact samples and analysis results for those collected outside of the clinic setting to effectively assess the results compared to traditional collection methods.

The Tasso+ kit (Tasso Inc, Seattle, WA), an at-home blood collection kit developed in 2022, collects capillary blood as opposed to venous blood that is collected through standard phlebotomy procedures(2). Tasso+ devices have previously been used to collect blood for Anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody testing for several studies, which found that the levels of IgG in samples collected using venous blood versus capillary blood (collected with Tasso+ kits) were quantitatively similar(3-5). Additionally, Tasso+ kits have been shown to keep blood stable after extended time and temperature conditions (to simulate shipping) in several studies, when looking at specific IgG antibodies, ions, proteins, and vitamins(4, 6). The effects of pre-analytical variation, including collection tube type and use of stabilization agents on the proteome are variable, but also has not been widely explored with high-throughput proteomics(7, 8). With the development of high-throughput proteomic assays, an expansive amount of information about an individual's proteome can be detected from a small volume of serum, including disease

biomarkers, metabolic issues, and more(9). Proteins vary in stability outside of the body(10), and

while ideally every blood sample would be collected and processed immediately, this is often not

feasible in large, population-based studies and poses a significant barrier to data collection.

However, technologies such as the Tasso+ kits may be able to overcome these study

limitations(11, 12). Evaluation of the effectiveness of these kits potentially allows for an

expansion of at-home sample collection, allowing researchers and physicians to overcome

significant barriers imposed by traditional blood collection methods(1).

The SomaScan 7K assay (Somalogic, Boulder, CO) is a proteomic assay that uses single-

stranded DNA aptamers (SOMAmers) with modified uridine residues specifically designed to

bind to nearly 7,600 different proteins to measure protein levels in serum(13). The assay uses

DNA hybridizing microarrays with the SOMAmers forming complementary structures to their

corresponding protein(14). The relative levels and the dissociation rate of each SOMAmer

detected in the sample is directly related to the level of a specific protein in the serum(14, 15).

Next-generation proteomics allow for a deeper look into the physiology and metabolic patterns

of individuals, the ability to identify key biomarkers of acute and chronic diseases, and a method

of linking broad disease symptoms to specific biological imbalances, all which make it a

valuable tool in health-based research and diagnostics(9).

The aim of this study overall is to determine the efficacy of using Tasso+ kits in high-throughput

proteomics, specifically (1) assess whether the kits can keep blood samples stable over extended

periods of time (i.e. shipping from participant's home to laboratories); (2) assess impact of

storage temperature on the blood samples (i.e. various shipping temperatures); and (3) assess

whether the capillary blood collected by the kits has a proteome equivalent to venous blood

collection. Additionally, the study also assessed the ability to use at home blood collected with

the Tasso+ kits for next-generation proteomics, which greatly extends the reach of these new and

continuously improving techniques. If effective, these kits have the potential to reduce the

burden on researchers, physicians, and participants by collecting samples in a less-invasive

manner, particularly where participants are impeded by barriers such as distance from a clinic,

ability to travel either due to resources or health status, time during traditional work hours

needed for a phlebotomy appointment, distrust of traditional healthcare settings or even fear of

needles(16). This will expand the reach of high-throughput proteomics, allowing researchers and

doctors to surpass significant barriers on blood sample collection and gather more data on an

improved scale.

Materials and Methods

Participants and Setting

This pilot study involved four participants, each providing 8 blood samples each. Due to funding restraints, more participants and blood samples were unable to be collected. Participants varied in age and sex, with one male and one female participant in their 40s and one male and one female participants in their 20s. This study was approved by the University of Arizona Institutional Review Board (Protocol: STUDY00003431) and all participants provided written informed consent prior to participation. This study was completed as part of a pilot to test biospecimen collection and testing procedures for a larger cohort study, the Arizona CoVHORT-GI (17). A main motivation factor in conducting this study was to assess the stability of biospecimen collection during the extreme temperatures common to Arizona in the summer months.

Venous blood collection

The four participants each provided one venous sample of roughly five ml (collected in a 10 ml BD Vacutainer serum-separating tube (SST)). Venous samples were collected by The University of Arizona's Clinical and Translational Science Research Center phlebotomy team at Banner University Medical Center (Tucson, AZ) immediately prior to Tasso+ sample collection. Samples were immediately put on ice and brought to the laboratory and processed as described below.

Tasso blood collection

The Tasso+ Kit is a self-contained at-home blood collection kit containing all of the necessary materials to collect roughly 500 µl of blood from a patient's upper arm, thigh, or heel(18). The

kit, which is classified as a class II lancet is compatible with a variety of collection tubes

allowing for different reagents to be used, including blood-stabilizing reagents that potentially

allow for transport and storage at room temperature(18). Tasso+ kits were chosen for this study

due to previously documented collection success and use in other studies looking at components

of blood(3, 4, 18). Eight capillary samples from each participant were collected with Tasso+ kits

with SST tubes, according to the manufacturer's protocol. Some samples were collected

simultaneously from each participant due to the high number of collections and to minimize time

between collections. The majority of samples were taken from the upper arms of the participants

(both right and left side); however, several were taken from the thigh. Both Tasso+ and venous

blood samples were collected on the same day over the span of less than 3 hours.

Sample Treatment

Samples were subjected to different pre-processing time and/or temperature treatments, as

outlined in **Table 1**. These parameters were chosen to represent realistic shipping conditions and

timeframes, as participants can collect their samples and store them either at room temperature

(25°C) or in the refrigerator (4°C). Additionally, refrigeration of whole-blood samples has

variable effects on protein concentration depending on the protein(s) of interest, so this was

tested to see the impacts on a larger amount of the proteome as a whole(19). Overnight shipping

of the samples would lead to a shipping time of approximately 24 hours, however delays in

shipping by participants or delivery may result in longer periods of time prior to processing.

Sample processing

After subjecting samples to different treatments or controls (processed immediately), the serum-

separating tubes were centrifuged 1,400 x g for 2 minutes. When removing sera from the SST

tubes, roughly 5 µl of serum was left in the tube to ensure that no SST gel was removed as it

would contaminate the serum. Serum from each sample (130 µl) were aliquoted into a 2 mL safe-

lock Eppendorf tube (Eppendorf, Germany) as per request by SomaLogic and were stored at -

80˚C until shipment from Tucson, Arizona to SomaLogic Inc in Boulder, Colorado. The

remaining serum was stored in a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube at -80˚C for later use in the Cooper

Laboratory.

Somascan 7K assay

All samples were shipped to SomaLogic in Boulder, CO, and upon receipt were subjected to

quality control assessment. All samples for this study were determined to be of sufficient quality

for the assay. The SomaScan 7K assay was performed by SomaLogic as described in their

technical note(15). Any remaining serum from each sample sent to Somalogic that was not used

in the SomaScan 7K assay was destroyed. Data generated from the SomaScan 7K assay included

the normalized measurements of 7,596 proteins for each sample, SomaLogic's calibrators,

quality controls, and buffers are all reported in relative fluorescent units (RFU).

Data analysis

Data returned from SomaLogic was imported into R studio version 4.3.1 using packages readxl

and openxlsx(20-22). The data was analyzed using the R package limma (Linear Models for

Microarray and RNA-seq Data)(23). Raw RFU data first underwent a log2 transformation using

the R package dplyr, as is standard with microarray data(23, 24). Next, linear models were fitted

to the data, and proteins that were significantly impacted in each model (determined via

empirical Bayes statistics) were identified. Significantly impacted proteins were determined as

those proteins with an adjusted p-value less than or equal to 0.05 after a false discovery rate

adjustment, which were sorted into KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes)

pathways using the enrichKEGG function in the R package clusterprofiler(25). SomaLogic raw

data, processed data, and all code used for data analysis models, sorting of significant proteins

into pathways, and data visualization can be found on GitHub:

https://github.com/carolinescranton01/AtHomeBloodCollection-Proteomics_Analysis

1. Sample-by-Sample Comparison. Each participant had three baseline Tasso+ samples

(processed immediately after collection) collected, which were compared to all the other

participant samples using univariate linear models. The models (further referenced to as 'model

type A') had the structure: RFU ~ β + Group, where protein levels were measured in relative

fluorescent units (RFU), beta is the intercept, and 'Group' was used to distinguish between the

three baseline Tasso+ samples and the fourth non-baseline sample. This model was used to

determine the difference for each participant individually between capillary and venous blood,

storage times, and storage temperature compared to baseline.

2. Venous versus Capillary Analysis. A multivariate model was created to compare the

capillary and venous samples across all four participants at once ('model B'). Model B contained

two parameters in addition to the intercept, blood type ('Type', meaning capillary (from Tasso+

kits) or venous (traditional blood draw), which was dummy variable coded as 0 = capillary and 1

= venous, with capillary considered as the reference) and participant ('Participant', functioning

as a dummy variable to group participants together). The four samples from participant 1 had a

value of 1, participant 2 had values of 2, participant 3 had values of 3, and participant 4 had

values of 4, and the model was structured as RFU ~ β + Type + Participant. The 'Participant'

variable was fixed as the actual variation in protein levels between individuals and within the set

of an individual's samples was not analyzed, but the inherent variation needed to be accounted

for in this model (as well as all following models with a 'Participant' variable).

3. Impact of Storage Temperature and Time. Model C contained three parameters in addition

to the intercept and was structured as RFU ~ β + Time + Temperature + Participant. This model

was fitted to only the Tasso+ collected blood sample data. The parameters 'Time' and

'Temperature' correspond to the storage time (in hours) and temperature (in Celsius) of the

individual samples. The 'Participant' parameter was identical to that in model B. This model

incorporates the two target variables (time and temperature), as well as participant to account for

inherent variation between individuals, and was used to determine the effects of each variable in

respect to changes in temperature. To assess the impact of time-to-processing, model C was used

to determine the effects of time-to-processing on the detected proteome when accounting for

changes in temperature and variation between individuals.

Data Visualization

Significantly different protein detection levels based on each model's parameters were plotted

using the R packages ggplot2(26) and patchwork(27) as bar graphs by the log2-fold change in

detection. Proteins were sorted by KEGG subcategories (of which there are 48 within the six

KEGG categories looked at in this study) and color-coded by overarching KEGG categories

(metabolism, human diseases, organismal systems, cellular processing, environmental

information processing, and genetic information processing)(28). Points representing individual

proteins (which were often sorted into two or more KEGG subcategories, resulting in several

points with different X values but the same Y value) were plotted on the bar graph, as well as

box-and-whisker plots(26). Box-and-whisker plots show the inter-quartile range (25th to 75th

percentiles) of log2-fold change for that KEGG subcategory with a black line for the median, and

whiskers extend 1.5 times the interquartile range in both the positive and negative direction(26).

Additionally, Venn diagrams were created to show which proteins overlapped between

participants when looking at changes in detection, using model A. Venn diagrams were created

with an online tool by the VIB-UGent Bioinformatics and Evolutionary Genomics department

(https://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/). Principal components analysis was done on

the whole proteome of the samples, generated using the covariance matrix of the data (using the

prcomp function in base R, and PERMANOVA tests were done using the adonis function the R

package vegan(29)), showing the variation between samples overall. Plots were made using the

R packages ggplot2, ggfortify, and ggrepel(30, 31).

Results

Serum quality for SomaScan 7K assay

SomaLogic provides a quality statement with all SomaScan 7K assay results to inform researchers the quality of their samples, both during the run and after normalization. All 32 samples in this study passed every quality check, signifying that despite exposure to adverse temperatures over extended periods of time, the serum retained enough quality to be assessed by this assay. However, 179 of the 7,596 assessed proteins in the SomaScan 7K assay were flagged as the ratios of the measured protein in the quality control sample compared to the study sample were outside of normal range for all samples (**Supplementary Figure S1**).

Initial analysis

The entire proteome from each sample was analyzed with a principal components analysis using the covariance matrix of the data (7,596 proteins for all 32 samples; n=243,072 data points) to assess the overall variation in each sample. Several clusters could be identified based on both time and temperature (**Figure 1**). Over the entire dataset, there was significant clustering by participant (PERMANOVA, p<0.001), time-to-processing (PERMANOVA, p<0.001), and temperature (PERMANOVA, p<0.04), but not by collection method. Univariate models comparing every non-baseline sample per participant to the baseline samples per participant (models included only one variable to separate the baselines from the other sample, identically to model A) was conducted to assess the conditions that resulted in the lowest number of impacted proteins compared to baseline samples. The lowest number of impacted proteins on average was identified for samples that were stored at 4°C for 24 hours, which, across the four participants,

ranged from 584 to 2,831 proteins (7.69% to 37.27% of the measured proteins) impacted by the

conditions or an average 1,655.5 or 21.79% (**Supplementary Table S1**). The number of

overlapping proteins with significantly altered detection levels per the five non-baseline sample

types per participant are presented in Venn diagrams, with 52, 271, 0, and 287 for participants A

through D respectively (**Supplementary Figure S2A-D**). Only one protein, neurophysin 1

(oxytocin carrier protein) had significantly different detection levels in all samples from

participants A, B, and D (Participant C had no significantly altered proteins in all samples;

Supplementary Figure S3). Overall, 202 identified proteins were not altered in any of the

samples. The protein significantly altered in all samples is listed with its function in

Supplementary Table S2, and those not significantly altered and their functions (some of these

proteins have multiple functions and are listed several times) are listed in **Supplementary Table**

S3.

Capillary versus venous blood

Comparison of the proteome of the venous blood sample to the capillary samples for each of the

four participants found that venous and capillary protein were similar to each other for each

participant when processed with the same temperature and time conditions. The venous and

capillary samples were significantly clustered by participant using a principal components

analysis (PERMANOVA, $p<0.001$), but did not cluster by collection method (PERMANOVA,

$p=0.328$; **Figure 2**), therefore collection method was not significantly impacting the overall

proteome. While not statistically significant, there were still differences in protein detection

between the collection methods, as participants A through D had 89, 77, 1, and 300 proteins with

decreased detection and 19, 393, 0, and 330 with increased detection respectively. The extent of

that impact (in log2-fold change) varied between participants and was significantly different

between all participants except A and C (Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn correction, p=0.183;

Supplementary Table S4). The proteins perform diverse functions in the body, but the KEGG

categories with the most noticeable differences were human diseases, organismal systems, and

metabolism. (**Supplementary Figure S4).** Of the proteins with significantly different detection

in the venous compared to the capillary blood, none were shared among all four participants

(**Figure 3**). The majority of impacted proteins (62.01% and 67.23% respectively) for participants

B and D were unique to those participants, whereas 57.01% of participant A's impacted proteins

and 100% of participant C's were shared with participant D. Only 14 proteins were shared

between participants A, B, and D, suggesting that individual variation may play a greater role in

determining what proteins are detected at significantly different levels from the two blood

collection methods. Uniprot IDs and functions for the proteins with significantly impacted

detection in Model B are listed in **Supplementary Table S5**.

The multivariate model (Model B), which looks at the impact of collection method using all four

participant's data, found that 165 proteins in 37 of the 48 KEGG subcategories (not including

N/A) were detected at significantly different levels when blood was collected from the veins

compared to the capillaries (2.17% of the measured proteins). Many of the proteins had slightly

lower detection in the venous samples compared to the capillary samples. The log2-fold change

in protein detection levels ranged from -3.77 to 0.82 units, however the majority of change

ranged from -2.5 and 0.1, with an overall average of -0.612 units (**Figure 4**). The protein with

the largest decrease was P31947 (14-3-3 protein sigma), which decreased in detection by 3.77

log2-fold, while P16118 (6-phosphofructo-2-kinase) increased in detection by 0.728 log2-fold.

When compared to the variation by the individual participants, the extent to which proteins

increased in detection is smaller and more consistent across KEGG categories for the participants

together, while the proteins that decreased in detection are similar to those shown for participants

B and D (**Supplementary Figure S4**). This suggests that while the detection of some proteins by

this assay may be altered by blood collection method, when looking at the study participants

together and controlling for individual variation, this only affected 165 of the 7,596 measured

proteins. However, this impact was inconsistent across individual proteins and was distributed

across proteins with a variety of functions.

Impact of pre-processing storage temperature

To assess the impact of temperature on the measured proteome of the blood, Model C was

utilized, which compared the samples subjected to adverse pre-processing conditions against the

capillary baseline samples for all four participants at once. Model C detected 3,376 proteins

sorted into 46 of the 48 KEGG subcategories that were significantly impacted by temperature,

with time and individual/participant variation being considered. The change in the protein

detection levels was variable across proteins and KEGG subcategories, ranging from -0.13 to

0.23 units of log2-fold change. The subcategories with the highest rates of increased detection

were human diseases and environmental information processing. The variation in the

interquartile range for each subcategory was quite consistent across 46 of the 48 KEGG

subcategories, and was skewed slightly negative, with the largest differences between

subcategories resulting from extreme values designated as outliers in the box and whisker plots

(**Figure 5; Supplementary Table S6**).

Impact of time-to-processing

The impact of time on the detected proteome was also assessed. When looking at individual participants, after 48 hours the average number of proteins with impacted detection was 4350.5 (57.27% of the Somascan 7K panel), rising to 5197.75 (68.42%) after 72 hours (**Supplementary Table S1**). Using Model C, which found 5,159 proteins with significantly different detection over extended periods of pre-processing storage time, again falling into 46 of the 48 KEGG subcategories. Although a higher number of proteins were impacted by extended processing time (67.9% of detected proteins) compared to collection method (2.17%) or storage temperatures (44.4%), the log2-fold changes in detection of these significantly different proteins was lower, ranging from -0.036 to 0.105 units, with the middle 50% of the data centered around the 0.0 log2-fold change. Similar to the impact of storage temperature, storage time had the same pathways (human diseases and environmental information processing) as well as organismal systems with outliers that showed much larger increases in detection than the majority of the data (**Figure 6; Supplementary Table S7**).

Discussion

To compare the proteome of capillary blood collected with Tasso+ kits to venous blood, serum samples from four participants were analyzed using high-throughput proteomics. Despite some differences in protein detection levels in the venous samples and samples subjected to adverse pre-processing time and temperature conditions, all samples were suitable for analysis as determined by the Somascan 7K assay. Other studies have found Tasso+ collected blood to be suitable (and comparable to venous blood) for analysis on a range of compounds in the blood, including for use in the OLINK high-throughput proteomics assay (measures 384 biomarkers related to inflammation)(3, 4, 7). At-home blood collection kits are convenient and reduce burden on physicians/laboratories and patients/participants, but detection of individual proteins may be impacted by uncontrolled pre-analytical variables(4, 32).

Comparing venous to capillary blood, proteomes significantly clustered by individual but not by collection method, meaning proteomic differences are likely more influenced by variation between individuals than blood collection method. Model B, which considers all participants simultaneously, found slightly lower levels of significantly impacted proteins in venous than capillary blood. Previous research has found the levels of specific analytes in blood, such as SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies, hemoglobin, and clotting factors, were not detected in significantly different amounts on capillary versus venous blood(4, 5, 33, 34). Although the SomaScan 7K assay was developed for venous blood, capillary blood has provided similar results to venous blood in this study, suggesting it is feasible to use capillary blood in this assay. The variation in

protein detection in this study is most likely explained by individual differences (which can be

accounted for in analysis) rather than blood collection method, meaning that potentially random

individual differences may normalize with a larger study population. Therefore, while the results

of this study find capillary blood is a viable option to expand the reach of large-scale high-

throughput proteomic studies without impacting the quality of the data, given the small number

of studies that have addressed these differences, it would not be advisable for a single study to

use more than one blood collection method.

Model C assessed the impact of temperature on the proteome and found fewer significantly

impacted proteins (44.4%) than when looking at the impact of time, but the range of log2-fold

change of impacted proteins was larger. Storage at lower temperatures (4°C versus 20°C) led to

slightly lower protein detection although some proteins, such as interleukins 6 and 8, had large

increases in detection. Chang et al. found that higher pre-processing storage temperatures lead to

significant changes in protein detection, while storage at ~25°C maintained protein stability for

up to 8 hours – a significant amount of time, but too short to realistically represent shipping of

samples(11). Studies have found both increases and decreases in protein detection when looking

at specific proteins in blood stored above room temperature (30°-37°C)(11, 35). Refrigerating

samples prior to processing has been shown to reduce protein degradation and hemolysis in

general(36). The impact of rising temperature (increasing from 4°C) over time was not tested, but

one study found that a temperature rise from 4°C to 13°C over 24 hours had negligible effects on

certain biomarkers in whole blood (including IL-6, which was significantly impacted in almost

all models in this study) compared to storage at room temperature(4). Although Tasso does not

specify shipping temperatures(18), storing and shipping samples at 4°C may preserve the overall

proteome to account for shipping leading to processing delays of over 24 hours.

Extended time-to-processing impacted protein levels significantly when samples were stored at

room temperature. Delays in the first 48 hours are contributing more greatly to changes in

protein detection, as the difference between 48 and 72 hours is smaller than that of zero to 48

hours. The magnitude of log2-fold change due to extended time-to-processing was smaller than

that of temperature in Model C. The study found that the same two inflammatory proteins had

the highest changes for time and temperature models, P05231 (interleukin 8) and P10145

(interleukin 6). Both proteins are important diagnostic biomarkers and are naturally variable in

healthy individuals, which may have also been locally elevated in the participants due to

repeated blood sample collection(37, 38). Hassis et al., found increased detection of specific

proteins after 96 hours of pre-processing storage at room temperature(35), while El-Sabawi et al.

found changes in detection of 88.7% proteins using the OLINK high-throughput proteomics

assay after storage at 4˚C for 24 and 48 hours, aligning with the results of this study, particularly

when looking at inflammatory proteins(7). Time-to-processing delays appear to impact many

proteins in the proteome, although log2-fold detection changes are smaller than the impact

changes caused by temperature or collection methods. The impact of time-to-processing should

be noted if planning to use at-home blood collection methods for protein studies, as time-to-

processing can be difficult to control when shipping samples, even locally.

Refrigerating blood may reduce the impact of extended time-to-processing on the proteome. The

number of proteins impacted for each of the participants after 48 hours at 4˚C was much lower

than at 20˚C. Despite efforts to control for the effects of time, storage temperature, and

individual variation, it is clear that the proteome does change extensively when the blood is not

processed immediately. Storage at room temperature for short periods of time (<8-12 hours) is

ideal(11) and recommended by Tasso(18). While refrigeration may impact protein detection

levels, this study found that it is recommended if the sample must be stored for longer periods of

time. For clinical studies, shipping capillary blood is feasible if the shipments can ideally be

temperature-controlled (i.e. samples are shipped with an ice pack) and returned to the lab in

under 24 hours to minimize variables not associated with the participant. This is particularly

important in areas that experience extreme temperatures during the summer months.

Other factors may influence protein detection in blood samples aside from blood collection

method and storage conditions. Nedelkov et al. found that 18 of 25 clinically-relevant proteins

had slight structural modifications, as either point mutations or post-translational modifications

between the 96 participants in the study(39). Thus demonstrating that serum protein levels are

inherently variable and certain proteins can vary structurally between individuals, along with

protein degradation over time, both can inhibit aptamers from binding specifically to proteins

thus changing the detection levels of some proteins(39) depending on the proteomic assay

utilized for the study. The proteome is naturally variable throughout the day – all samples in this

study were collected over a short window of time (3 hours), aiding in reducing the effects of this

natural variation(40). Certain immune-related proteins, such as interleukin 8 (Uniprot ID:

P10145), had increased detection, potentially due to activation of the innate immune response as

participants collected multiple blood samples over a short period of time from the same area of

the body (upper arm, both right and left)(41) (42). Hemolysis was evident in all non-baseline

samples to varying degrees, which has been found to lead to a loss of blood quality resulting in

both increased and decreased protein detection levels(35, 43, 44). The proteome has a wide

degree of natural variation which must be considered in addition to pre-processing conditions

when conducting studies that use high-throughput proteomics.

This study was initiated to test protocols and parameters of a larger cohort study that is currently

underway(17). This was a very small pilot conducted to ensure the research team was aware of

potential limitations and risks to data outcomes, as no other published reports detailed how the

SomaScan 7K assay would be impacted by the use of capillary blood (collected from Tasso+

devices) as well as the effects of pre-analytical variation on the proteome. Therefore, it was

necessary to conduct this pilot prior to the initiation of the larger analyses. The small sample size

and lack of replicates (due to cost restraints in this study) reduced robustness of the study. More

participants and biological replicates would allow for a clearer definition of the impact of

adverse pre-processing conditions on the proteome to identify what variation is truly due to

simulated shipping conditions versus what is individual variation between participants. More

specific understanding of the impacts of time and temperature could be deciphered by collecting

more samples at room temperature for different time periods at different time points (particularly,

room temperature for 24 hours) should be studied in the future. Despite this, it is clear that efforts

to control pre-processing conditions are essential to minimize these impacts to get an accurate

picture of the proteome using at-home blood collection kits and aptamer-based proteomics

assays.

Conclusions

This study found that using capillary blood will result in a similar detected proteome to venous

blood when analyzed using high-throughput proteomics if the samples are centrifuged and serum

is frozen immediately. When processing delays are under 24 hours, samples should be stored at

room temperature or shipped with an ice pack to keep the temperature low. When looking at very

specific proteins or groups of proteins, rather than the overall proteome, utilizing mail-in kits

may fall short, as a diverse range of specific proteins were impacted by adverse processing

conditions, however, given the changes seen throughout the majority of the proteome, these tests

may be most valuable when analyzing the proteome as a whole rather than individual proteins. In

conclusion, the proteome of capillary and venous blood samples was found to be comparable

using the SomaScan 7K assay and further studies are needed to determine impacts on individual

protein expression levels.

Contributors:

CS: Conceptualization, methodology, experimentation, formal analysis, writing – original draft, and review & editing. **KKC**: Conceptualization, methodology, resources, funding acquisition, project administration, supervision, writing, and review & editing. **KPB**: Conceptualization, methodology, funding acquisition, writing, and review & editing. **EA**: Methodology, writing, and review and editing. **XS**: Methodology, formal analysis, and review & editing. **CMM**: Experimentation, writing, and review & editing. **DR**: Experimentation and review & editing. **VO**: Experimentation and review & editing.

Conflict of Interest:

All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements:

The authors thank Jeff Buckthal, Alex Anaele, Kathryn Jenko, and Will Schwarzmann at Somologic for technical assistance in sample preparation, processing, and data analysis for the study. This study was supported by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIH's NIDDK) award 1R01DK135483-01 provided to Drs. Kristen Pogreba-Brown and Kerry K. Cooper.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. PCA plot of all samples. The principal components analysis done on the whole proteome of all 32 samples generated during the study was plotted. Samples significantly clustered by participant ($p<0.001$), time ($p<0.001$), and temperature ($p<0.04$) using a PERMANOVA test, but not by collection method ($p=0.136$). As samples were subjected to pre-processing conditions the proteome began to differ, as shown by the increased distances on the plot between the samples, however those subjected to the same conditions (i.e. storage at 4°C) were more closely related to each other than the rest of the samples, suggesting the impact of time and temperature impacts the proteome consistently among the participants.

Figure 2. PCA plot for proteome of capillary versus venous blood samples. Each participant's three capillary baseline samples (which were collected and immediately processed) and venous sample (also immediately processed) were analyzed using a principal coordinates analysis. Samples are significantly clustered by participant (PERMANOVA, $p<0.001$) and not by collection type (PERMANOVA, $p=0.328$), suggesting that the variation in the proteome between samples is due to individual variation rather than collection type.

Figure 3. Capillary versus venous Venn diagram. The proteins which were significantly altered in detection for each of the participants individually were compared, and no proteins were altered in all four models. Panel letters A through D correspond to participants A through D. The

overlap in impacted proteins varies between participants, with the majority of impacted proteins

being unique to participants B and D, shared between B and D, or shared between D and A.

Figure 4. Pathways impacted by collection method, from Model B. The log2-fold change in

protein detection for significantly impacted proteins under Model B (multivariate model which

looks at the difference between capillary and venous blood, using data from all participants and

considering variation between the individuals) was plotted according to KEGG subcategory (x

axis) and colored by KEGG category. A total of 165 proteins were impacted and are shown on

the plot, with log2-fold change ranging from -3.77 to 0.82.

Figure 5. Pathways impacted by storage temperature. The proteins with significantly

different log2-fold change under model C, which looks at the impact of temperature on protein

detection while considering individual variation and variation in processing time when compared

to the capillary baselines. A total of 3,376 proteins were significantly impacted in this model. The

spread of the impacted proteins is somewhat consistent across the different KEGG subcategories,

ranging from -0.13 to 0.23 units of log2-fold change, while the boxes in the box-and-whisker

plots range from roughly -0.05 to 0.02 units. Certain proteins were detected at higher levels (i.e.

Interleukin 6 (IL-6), UniprotID: P05231, sorted into 13 subcategories, was detected at 0.23 units

of log2-fold change), but much of the data centered around no log2-fold change.

Figure 6. Pathways impacted by time-to-processing delays. The proteins with detection

impacted significantly by time-to-processing identified through Model C to determine which

proteins had been detected at different levels in the samples with extended time-to-processing

compared to the capillary baselines for all four participants, while taking temperature variation

and individual variation into account. A total of 5,159 proteins were impacted in this model and

are represented on the figure. Similar to the impact of temperature, some subcategories have

much larger log2-fold change values (the overall range is -0.036 to 0.105 units) while the middle

50% of the data is small and centered around no log2-fold change (ranging from roughly -0.01 to

0.01 units). Although more proteins were impacted by time, the extent of the change was less

than that of temperature.

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Table S1. Proteins with significantly altered detection for all samples

Supplementary Table S2. Proteins with significantly altered detection in every sample

Supplementary Table S3. Proteins with significantly altered detection in no samples

Supplementary Table S4. PERMANOVA and Kruskal-Wallis test results

Supplementary Table S5. Proteins with significantly altered detection in Model B

Supplementary Table S6. Proteins with significantly altered detection in Model C

(Temperature)

Supplementary Table S7. Proteins with significantly altered detection in Model C (Time)

Supplementary Figure S1. SomaLogic Quality Statement

Quality statement provided by SomaLogic, denoting the quality of the samples on arrival and

other information regarding quality, calibration, and the assay.

Supplementary Figure S2. Venn diagrams for individual variation

Venn Diagrams for each participant (A through D, in panels A through D) which show the

overlap in proteins which were detected at significantly different levels in each sample,

compared to the capillary baseline samples.

Supplementary Figure S3. Venn diagram of proteins altered in all samples per individual

Venn diagram of the significantly impacted proteins in all of participant A, B, and D's samples

(participant C had no proteins altered in all samples compared to the baseline).

Supplementary Figure S4. Significantly changed protein levels between capillary and

venous samples of individual participants

Four-panel bar graph of the proteins with significantly impacted detection for each of the

participants when comparing the venous-collected sample to the capillary baseline. Four panels

(A through D) correspond to participants A through D. Box-and-whisker plots are overlayed on

the bars to show the spread of protein detection for each KEGG subcategory.

References

1.   Czeisler MÉ, Marynak K, Clarke KEN, Salah Z, Shakya I, Thierry JM, et al. Delay or

Avoidance of Medical Care Because of COVID-19–Related Concerns — United States, June

2020. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2020.

2.   Tasso Receives CE Mark Certification for Tasso+™, Latest Generation Whole Blood

Collection Lancet Device [press release]. tassoinc.com2023.

3.   King ER, Garrett HE, Abernathy H, Cassidy CA, Cabell CR, Shook-Sa BE, et al.

Comparison of capillary blood self-collection using the Tasso-SST device with venous

phlebotomy for anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody measurement. Journal of Immunological Methods.

2023;520.

4.   Hendelman T, Chaudhary A, LeClair AC, van Leuven K, Chee J, Fink SL, et al. Self-

collection of capillary blood using Tasso-SST devices for Anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody

testing. PLoS One. 2021;16(9):e0255841.

5.   Wixted D, Neighbors CE, Pieper CF, Wu A, Kingsbury C, Register H, et al. Comparison

of a Blood Self-Collection System with Routine Phlebotomy for SARS-CoV-2 Antibody Testing.

Diagnostics (Basel). 2022;12(8).

6.   Hosseini B, Dasari H, Smyrnova A, Bourassa C, Leng J, Renaud C, et al. Concordance in

COVID-19 serology, bone mineralization, and inflammatory analytes between venous and self-

collected capillary blood samples exposed to various pre-analytical conditions. Annals of

Clinical Biochemistry. 2023;60(4):259-69.

7.   El-Sabawi B, Huang S, Tanriverdi K, Perry AS, Amancherla K, Jackson N, et al.

Capillary blood self-collection for high-throughput proteomics. Proteomics.

2024;24(16):e2300607.

8.   Hsieh SY, Chen RK, Pan YH, Lee HL. Systematical evaluation of the effects of sample

collection procedures on low-molecular-weight serum/plasma proteome profiling. Proteomics.

2006;6(10):3189-98.

9.   Altelaar A, Munoz J, Heck A. Next-generation proteomics: towards an integrative view

of proteome dynamics. . Nature Reviews Genetics. 2013;14:35-48.

10.   Zimmerman LJ, Li M, Yarbrough WG, Slebos RJ, Liebler DC. Global stability of plasma

proteomes for mass spectrometry-based analyses. Mol Cell Proteomics.

2012;11(6):M111.014340.

11.   Chang JF, Liu HC, Chen HH, Chen WP, Juang JL, Wang PN, et al. Effect of Times to

Blood Processing on the Stability of Blood Proteins Associated with Dementia. Dement Geriatr

Cogn Disord. 2020;49(3):303-11.

12.   Kapuruge EP, Jehanathan N, Rogers SP, Williams S, Chung Y, Borges CR. Tracking the

Stability of Clinically Relevant Blood Plasma Proteins with Delta-S-Cys-Albumin-A Dilute-and-

Shoot LC/MS-Based Marker of Specimen Exposure to Thawed Conditions. Mol Cell

Proteomics. 2022;21(11):100420.

13.   Inc. S. The SomaScan Platform: Unlock the Secrets of the Proteome somalogic.com:

SomaLogic Inc.; [Available from: https://somalogic.com/somascan-

platform/?utm_term=somalogic&utm_campaign=&utm_source=adwords&utm_medium=ppc&h

sa_acc=9244154841&hsa_cam=20506197980&hsa_grp=152989753357&hsa_ad=67201138532

1&hsa_src=g&hsa_tgt=kwd-

383939372102&hsa_kw=somalogic&hsa_mt=b&hsa_net=adwords&hsa_ver=3&gad_source=1

&gclid=CjwKCAiA8sauBhB3EiwAruTRJhh4Kvc3V_4Uw44rGIowvL0L824s74zStIY4mYYVk

4IsJf8Jc00_gxoC244QAvD_BwE.

14.   Rohloff JC, Gelinas AD, Jarvis TC, Ochsner UA, Schneider DJ, Gold L, et al. Nucleic

Acid Ligands With Protein-like Side Chains: Modified Aptamers and Their Use as Diagnostic

and Therapeutic Agents. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids. 2014;3(10):e201.

15.   Inc SOC. SomaScan 11k Assay v5.0 Technical Note. 2023. Contract No.: SL00000919

Rev 1: 2023-12.

16.   Sheridan R, Martin-Kerry J, Hudson J, Parker A, Bower P, Knapp P. Why do patients take

part in research? An overview of systematic reviews of psychosocial barriers and facilitators.

Trials. 2020;21(1):259.

17.   Pogreba Brown K, Austhof E, McFadden CM, Scranton C, Sun X, Vujkovic-Cviji I, et al.

Determining the incidence, risk factors and biological drivers of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS)

as part of the constellation of postacute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PASC) outcomes in

the Arizona CoVHORT-GI: a longitudinal cohort study. BMJ Open. 2025;15(1):e095093.

18.   Inc. T. Tasso+ Device tassoinc.com [Available from: https://www.tassoinc.com/tasso-

plus.

19.   Ashworth M, Small B, Oldfield L, Evans A, Greenhalf W, Halloran C, et al. The holding

temperature of blood during a delay to processing can affect serum and plasma protein

measurements. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):6487.

20.   H W, J B. readxl: Read Excel Files. R package version 143   2023.

21.   P S, A W. openxlsx: Read, Write and Edit xlsx Files. R   package version 42612024.

22.   Team RC. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R

Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2023.

23.   Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al. limma powers differential

expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res.

2015;43(7):e47.

24.   H W, R F, L H, K M, D V. *dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation*. R package version

1142023.

25.   Yu G, Wang LG, Han Y, He QY. clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological

themes among gene clusters. OMICS. 2012;16(5):284-7.

26.   Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis: Springer-Verlag New York;

2016.

27.   T P. patchwork: The Composer of Plots. R package version 1202024.

28.   KEGG PATHWAY Database Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes: Kyoto

Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; [updated April 9, 2025. Available from:

https://www.genome.jp/kegg/pathway.html#genetic.

29.   J O, G S, F B, R K, P L, P M, et al. vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package

version 2.6-6.1 ed2024.

30.   M H, Y T, W L. ggfortify: Unified Interface to Visualize Statistical Result of Popular R

Packages. The R Journal2016. p. 474–85.

31.   K S. *ggrepel: Automatically Position Non-Overlapping Text Labels with 'ggplot2'*. 2024.

32. Muehlensiepen F, May S, Zarbl J, Vogt E, Boy K, Heinze M, et al. At-home blood self-

sampling in rheumatology: a qualitative study with patients and health care professionals. BMC

Health Serv Res. 2022;22(1):1470.

33. Royal JT, Fisher JT, Mlinar T, Mekjavic IB, McDonnell AC. Validity and reliability of

capillary vs. Venous blood for the assessment of haemoglobin mass and intravascular volumes.

Front Physiol. 2022;13:1021588.

34. Fliervoet LAL, Tiel Groenestege WM, Huisman A. Comparison of capillary and venous

blood sampling for routine coagulation assays. Clin Biochem. 2022;104:30-5.

35. Hassis ME, Niles RK, Braten MN, Albertolle ME, Ewa Witkowska H, Hubel CA, et al.

Evaluating the effects of preanalytical variables on the stability of the human plasma proteome.

Anal Biochem. 2015;478:14-22.

36. Drucker C, Fix C, Hugo P, Beck J, Lobel S, Corcoran J, et al. At-home Self-collection of

Blood Specimens for Safety Lab Testing in Clinical Trials via the Tasso+ Device Applied

Clinical Trials: Applied Clinical Trials; 2023 [Available from:

https://www.appliedclinicaltrialsonline.com/view/at-home-self-collection-of-blood-specimens-

for-safety-lab-testing-in-clinical-trials-via-the-tasso-device.

37. Said EA, Al-Reesi I, Al-Shizawi N, Jaju S, Al-Balushi MS, Koh CY, et al. Defining IL-6

levels in healthy individuals: A meta-analysis. J Med Virol. 2021;93(6):3915-24.

38. Lagzdina R, Rumaka M, Gersone G, Tretjakovs P. Circulating Levels of IL-8 and MCP-1

in Healthy Adults: Changes after an Acute Aerobic Exercise and Association with Body

Composition and Energy Metabolism. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24(19).

39. Nedelkov D, Kiernan UA, Niederkofler EE, Tubbs KA, Nelson RW. Investigating

diversity in human plasma proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005;102(31):10852-7.

40.   Depner CM, Melanson EL, McHill AW, Wright KP. Mistimed food intake and sleep alters

24-hour time-of-day patterns of the human plasma proteome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.

2018;115(23):E5390-E9.

41.   Matsushima K, Yang D, Oppenheim JJ. Interleukin-8: An evolving chemokine. Cytokine.

2022;153:155828.

42.   MacLeod AS, Mansbridge JN. The Innate Immune System in Acute and Chronic

Wounds. Adv Wound Care (New Rochelle). 2016;5(2):65-78.

43.   Lippi G, Salvagno GL, Montagnana M, Brocco G, Guidi GC. Influence of hemolysis on

routine clinical chemistry testing. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2006;44(3):311-6.

44.   Searfoss R, Shah P, Ofori-Mensa K, Bussberg V, Tolstikov V, Greenwood B, et al. Impact

of hemolysis on multi-OMIC pancreatic biomarker discovery to derisk biomarker development

in precision medicine studies. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):1186.

806 **Table 1. Blood samples collected from each participant and tested with Somascan 7K assay**

Blood Draw Type	Temperature (˚C)	Time (hours)
Tasso	20˚C (room temperature)	0
Tasso	20˚C (room temperature)	0
Tasso	20˚C (room temperature)	0
Tasso	20˚C (room temperature)	48
Tasso	20˚C (room temperature)	72
Tasso	4˚C (refrigerated)	24
Tasso	4˚C (refrigerated)	48
Venous	20˚C (room temperature)	0

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6