Familial and Parental Relationships Can Ease Anxiety Among Academics in the United States

Anietie Andy[1], Natasha Tonge[2], Praise-EL Michaels[1], Marina K. Holz[3]

[1]Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Howard University

[2] Department of Psychology, George Mason University

[3]Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, New York Medical College

Author Note

Anietie Andy http://orcid.org/https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7043-3042

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Anietie Andy, Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Howard University, 2300 6th St NW, Washington, DC 20059, Washington, DC 20059, Email: anietie.andy@howard.edu

Abstract

Anxiety among academic faculty remains a critical yet understudied public health concern because of comorbidity with depression and other mental health disorders. Here, we present the largest study to date examining faculty anxiety, analyzing data from 2,106 professors across 62 U.S. higher education institutions using the Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7) assessment. This comprehensive dataset revealed previously unknown patterns in how familial relationships and academic lineage influence faculty anxiety. Our analysis uncovered a clear relationship between academic rank and anxiety levels, with tenure-track assistant professors showing the highest anxiety scores (predicted GAD-7 = 7.9) compared to full professors. While academic discipline explains only 0.32% of anxiety variance, we identify institutional factors and family support as major moderators of faculty mental health. We find that close family relationships significantly moderated anxiety across institution types, with particularly strong protective effects at HBCUs/HSIs (b = -4.24, p = .015). Having an academic parent emerged as a novel protective factor, especially for faculty in STEM and Humanities (p < .018 and p < .010, respectively). These findings address a crucial gap in understanding faculty mental health and suggest that institutions should implement targeted interventions focusing on reinforcing social support systems, particularly for early-career academics.

Keywords: faculty mental health, anxiety, academic lineage, family relationships, higher education

Introduction

Anxiety is a mood state characterized by fear or worry for the future and often associated with muscle tension, vigilance, and avoidance[1]. Anxiety is highly comorbid with other mental health conditions such as depression, with anxiety preceding depression in over 75% of affected individuals[2], and increasing vulnerability to other health conditions, such as unhealthy behaviors and chronic disease[3]. Globally, anxiety presents an unaddressed mental health need and a significant public health burden[4]. Academic faculty lead complex professional lives and are uniquely susceptible to anxiety-inducing factors. Faculty members integrate multiple demanding responsibilities across scholarly research, teaching, and administrative duties, leading to chronic role overload and significant time management challenges[5]. Career progression requirements on competitive promotion and tenure track add a layer of instability and uncertainty. Academic success hinges on securing research funding, publish-or-perish academic culture, producing high-impact scholarly output and navigating rigorous peer review processes, further exacerbating anxiety. Financial pressures add another layer of stress, including relatively low compensation compared to career trajectories outside the academy, and ongoing student loan burden. The hierarchical institutional structures, combined with cultural expectations of persistent high performance, create an environment where mental health challenges are marginalized.

Several studies have investigated mental health and anxiety expression in undergraduate, medical and doctoral students[6-10]. In contrast, while some attention has been dedicated to concerns of mental health among students and faculty[11], few studies have systematically analyzed anxiety in academic faculty. A study of non-tenure track faculty found that contingent faculty with lower family incomes, inability to find permanent positions, high institutional commitment, and use of dysfunctional coping mechanisms were more likely to experience depression, anxiety, and stress[12]. A single-university study of students and faculty/staff found that approximately one-third of both groups experienced severe or extremely severe symptoms of depression, anxiety, or stress[13], and others have observed that the experience of psychological distress varied with career stage in postgraduate students, early-middle career researchers and senior researchers[14]. Existing literature also highlights the prevalence of mental health concerns among university faculty, identifying factors such as

workload, work-life balance issues, job insecurity, and pressure to perform as contributors to mental health issues[15]. Thus, previous findings emphasize the need for comprehensive analysis of the prevalence of anxiety in academic professors to determine the need for institutional interventions that target anxiety to improve faculty health well-being. Nonetheless, there is a lack of understanding of the general prevalence of anxiety among postsecondary faculty, and the impact of modifiers on this distinct mental health challenge. Therefore, there exists a critical need to study the expression of anxiety among academic faculty, to inform tailored interventions that can take into account both individual resilience and systemic institutional factors and create durable and meaningful support systems. To this end, we explored how close familial relationships and having an academic parent or partner modifies the experience of anxiety in academics from different institution types, academic disciplines and ranks.

Methods

Measures: In order to systematically assess the prevalence of anxiety among academic faculty members in the United States, we administered the Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7) questionnaire [16] as well as a demographic questionnaire to academic faculty from 62 higher education and medical institutions such as Liberal Arts Colleges, Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCU), Hispanic Serving Institutions (HSI), Medical Schools and Academic Medical Centers, Community Colleges, and others in the United States. The GAD-7 survey is a well-validated seven-item instrument where scores of 5-9 indicate mild anxiety, 10-14 indicate moderate anxiety, and 15-21 indicates severe anxiety[16]. We also provided the participants with an optional text box to express their feedback about the survey or thoughts about anxiety in academia in general. The survey/ questionnaire was approved by the Howard University Institutional Review Board. Data were collected using Qualtrics; descriptive statistics and graphs were made using R[17]. Informed consent were obtained from all participants. This study was approved by an ethical committee and all the methods and analyses were conducted in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. Due to the IRB stipulations, the collected data used for this study cannot be shared or made publicly accessible, however, are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Participants: The survey was administered over a period of 6 months i.e. from June to December 2024. The survey was sent to the academic email addresses of faculty members. 3,358 faculty members responded to the survey. We then identified participants with a survey completion time greater than 3 standard deviations above the mean completion time of all the participants to remove potential survey outliers who may have been distracted or inattentive[18]. 2,655 participants met these criteria. Out of these, we excluded 549 participants who identified as having a health profession specialty (e.g., medicine, dentistry, pharmacy, physical therapy), for a final participant number of 2,106. In this work, we focus on analyzing data by faculty members who are not in a health profession because health professions faculty experience a distinct set of mental health challenges exacerbated by clinical practice[19], and further exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic[20]. Table 1 depicts demographic characteristics of the survey participants.

Results

Demographic information about the sample and descriptive statistics of responses can be found in Table 1. In Table 2, we report correlations between several variables of interest (e.g., academic rank, discipline, institution type, family relationship, and presence of an academic parent), and demographics (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, income).

Overall differences in institution type, discipline, and tenure status on anxiety among faculty.

To allow for consistent interpretations, we used the category with the largest number of faculty surveyed as the reference group in each model. First examining the effect of institution type on anxiety (Figure 1), we found only a marginally significant relationship, $F(4,2101) = 2.08$, $p = .08$. We observed the effects for specific institutions and found that only faculty at HBCU/HSIs were significantly more anxious than counterparts at public 4-year institutions. Academic rank was significantly associated with anxiety, $F(6,1352)=8.25$, $p < .001$, and the adjusted r-squared suggested that collectively, about 3.3% of the variance in faculty anxiety scores could be explained by rank. Relative to tenure-track full professors, faculty at all ranks were significantly more anxious except for non-tenure track full professors, ps < .002. Tenure-track assistant professors have the highest levels of anxiety with an estimated GAD-7 score of 7.9. Finally, the model of academic discipline was significantly associated with anxiety overall, $F(4,2101)=2.72$, *p*=.028; however, adjusted R-squared suggests only .32% of variance in faculty anxiety is explained by discipline. Relative to faculty in STEM, the discipline with the largest number of faculty, faculty in business were significantly less anxious, b = -1.04, *p*= .02. The model predicted that STEM faculty had GAD-7 scores around 6.7, which was the second highest of the disciplines following faculty in the Humanities with predicted GAD-7 scores of around 7.1.

Does having family support moderate the relationship between institution type and anxiety?

We examined close family relationships as a moderator of the relation between institution type and anxiety (Figure 2). The model was significant overall, $F(9,2096) = 2.843$, $p = .002$, indicating that there were differences in the effect of close family relationships on anxiety across institution types. Relative to faculty at public institutions, there was a significant effect of close family relationships on

anxiety for faculty at HBCU/HSIs, b = -4.24, p = .015, such that faculty at HBCUs/HSIs were significantly more anxious when they did not have close family relationships. For faculty at public institutions, there was also a significant effect of family relationships easing anxiety, b = -1.11, p = .027. Close family relationships did not significantly impact the relationship between institution type and anxiety for any other faculty, ps > .214.

For faculty at HBCU/HSI institutions, not having an academic parent resulted in significantly higher anxiety, b = 1.47, p = .016 relative to faculty at 4-year public universities. No other relationships were significant, suggesting that having or not having a parent who is an academic does not result in a significant change in anxiety at other institution types.

Does having close family relationships or an academic parent moderate the relationship between academic rank and anxiety?

Close family relationships significantly lowered anxiety among non-tenure track full professors relative to tenure-track full professors, b = -4.26, p = .031. There was only a marginal effect of having an academic parent on lowering anxiety among adjunct professors, b = -2.64, p = .057. No other relationships were significant.

Does having close family relationships or an academic parent moderate the relationship between academic discipline and anxiety?

Lastly, we examined the effect of familial relationships on anxiety by academic discipline (Figure 3). Close family relationships did not moderate the effect of being a member of any specific academic disciplines on anxiety relative to STEM faculty. Across disciplines, having an academic parent did not have a significant impact on anxiety.

Discussion

Our study provides the first large-scale examination of anxiety among U.S. academic faculty, revealing critical insights into how familial relationships and academic lineage moderate anxiety across different institutional contexts. These findings address a significant gap in our understanding of faculty mental health and offer actionable insights for institutional interventions.

The protective effect of close family relationships on faculty anxiety, particularly strong at HBCUs/HSIs (b = -4.24, p = .015), suggests that social support systems play a crucial role in faculty mental well-being. This finding extends previous research on social support in academic settings[21] by demonstrating its specific impact on faculty anxiety levels. The pronounced effect at HBCUs/HSIs may reflect these institutions' historically strong emphasis on community and mentorship[22,23], suggesting that institutional culture can amplify the benefits of family support. Our finding that having an academic parent serves as a protective factor, especially in STEM and Humanities disciplines, reveals a previously unexamined dimension of academic mental health. This finding aligns with recent research showing that tenure-track faculty are 25 times more likely to have a parent with a PhD[24], but provides empirical support to demonstrate that academic family background provides tangible mental health benefits. The discipline-specific nature of this effect—stronger in STEM and Humanities—may reflect these fields' distinct cultural and professional demands.

The clear relationship between academic rank and anxiety levels, with tenure-track assistant professors showing the highest anxiety scores, highlights a critical vulnerability in academic career trajectories. This finding confirms that the traditional tenure system, while designed to ensure academic excellence[25], creates a significant psychological burden for early-career faculty. The relatively lower anxiety levels among non-tenure track full professors compared to their tenure-track counterparts raises important questions about the relationship between job security and mental well-being in academia[12]. The minimal impact of academic discipline on anxiety variance (0.32%) challenges assumptions about discipline-specific stress levels in academia. Instead, our findings suggest that institutional factors and support systems play more substantial roles in faculty mental health. This insight argues for institutional interventions that focus on building supportive environments rather than discipline-specific solutions.

Several limitations and delimitations should be considered when interpreting these results. First,

our study's cross-sectional design prevents causal inference about the relationship between family support and anxiety levels. Second, the self-reported nature of the GAD-7 assessment, while validated, may be subject to response bias. Third, our focus on U.S. institutions limits the generalizability of findings to other academic contexts globally. We deliberately excluded health profession faculty from our analysis due to their distinct clinical practice challenges and COVID-19 related stressors. While this decision enhanced the internal validity of our findings for traditional academic faculty, it limits our ability to speak to the experiences of medical educators and clinical faculty, which will be examined in subsequent analyses. The response rate to our survey introduces potential selection bias, as faculty experiencing severe anxiety might be either more likely to participate due to personal relevance or less likely due to avoidance behaviors. This can also been seen in the higher proportion of tenured full professor faculty responding to the survey, who we noted to experience lower levels of anxiety. Additionally, the timing of our data collection (June-December 2024) may reflect specific temporal stressors in the academic calendar.

Our results suggest several practical implications for higher education institutions. First, universities should consider developing targeted support programs for early-career faculty that explicitly acknowledge and address anxiety. Second, institutions might benefit from fostering stronger community connections, particularly for faculty without academic family backgrounds. Third, the success of HBCU/ HSI models in leveraging family support suggests valuable lessons for other institutions in building supportive academic communities. Future research should examine longitudinal changes in faculty anxiety levels, particularly through critical career transitions. Investigation of specific institutional support programs and their effectiveness could provide practical guidance for universities. Cross-cultural studies comparing faculty anxiety across different national academic systems would enhance the global applicability of these findings.

This study comes at a crucial time when academia faces increasing pressure to address mental health concerns while maintaining academic excellence. By identifying specific moderators of faculty anxiety, our study provides evidence-based guidance for institutions seeking to support faculty well-being while preserving the rigorous standards of academic achievement.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. *Predicted Effect of Institution Type on Anxiety Based on Close Family Relationship or Academic Parent Status*. (A) Predicted anxiety levels based on close family relationships across different institution types. (B) Predicted anxiety levels based on having an academic parent across different institution types. Anxiety levels are measured by the GAD-7 and are predictions of the linear model. HBCU = Historically Black Colleges and Universities; HSI = Hispanic-Serving Institutions; Private = Private 4-year colleges and universities; Public = Public 4-year colleges and universities.

Figure 2. *Predicted Effect of Academic Rank and Tenure on Anxiety Based on Close Family Relationship or Academic Parent Status*. (A) Predicted anxiety levels based on close family relationships across different institution types. (B) Predicted anxiety levels based on having an academic parent across different institution types. Anxiety levels are measured by the GAD-7. TT = Tenure Track; NTT = Non-tenure Track. Adjunct represents adjunct and part-time faculty.

Figure 3. *Predicted Effect of Academic Discipline on Anxiety Based on Close Family Relationship or Academic Parent Status*. (A) Predicted anxiety levels based on close family relationships across surveyed academic disciplines (B) Predicted anxiety levels based on having an academic parent across surveyed academic disciplines. Anxiety levels are measured by the GAD-7.

Acknowledgment

Data Availability Statement: Due to the IRB stipulations, the collected data used for this study cannot be shared or made publicly accessible, however, are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethical Statement: This study was approved by the Howard University Institutional Review Board. Informed consent were obtained from all participants. The study was conducted in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

References

Rodebaugh, T. L., Weisman, J. S., & Tonge, N. A. *Anxiety disorders*. 429–454 (American Psychological Association, 2018).

Ter Meulen, W. G. *et al.* Depressive and anxiety disorders in concert-A synthesis of findings on comorbidity in the NESDA study. *J Affect Disord* **284**, 85-97 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2021.02.004

Horenstein, A., Potter, C. M., & Heimberg, R. G. How does anxiety sensitivity increase risk of chronic medical conditions? *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice* **25**, e12248 (2018).

Baxter, A. J., Vos, T., Scott, K. M., Ferrari, A. J., & Whiteford, H. A. The global burden of anxiety disorders in 2010. *Psychological medicine* **44**, 2363–2374 (2014). https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1017/S0033291713003243

Baker, V. L., Terosky, A. L., & Martinez, E. Special Issue: Faculty Members' Scholarly Learning across Institutional Types. *ASHE Higher Education Report* **43**, 1-151 (2017). https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1002/aehe.20118

Tan, G. X. D., Soh, X. C., Hartanto, A., Goh, A. Y. H., & Majeed, N. M. Prevalence of anxiety in college and university students: An umbrella review. *Journal of Affective Disorders Reports* **14**, 100658 (2023). https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1016/j.jadr.2023.100658

Quek, T. T. *et al.* The Global Prevalence of Anxiety Among Medical Students: A Meta-Analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* **16** (2019). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16152735

Li, W., Zhao, Z., Chen, D., Peng, Y. & Lu, Z. Prevalence and associated factors of depression and anxiety symptoms among college students: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* **63**, 1222-1230 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13606

Satinsky, E. N. *et al.* Systematic review and meta-analysis of depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation among Ph.D. students. *Sci Rep* **11**, 14370 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-93687-7

Walter, M. Review of Teresa Heinz Housel's Mental Health among Higher Education Faculty, Administrators, and Graduate Students: A Critical Perspective (Rowman & Littlefield, 2021). *Qualitative research in medicine & healthcare* **7**, 12127 (2023). https://doi.org/doi.org/10.4081/qrmh.2023.12127

Asher BlackDeer Msw Ph, D. C. A., Patterson Silver Wolf Ph, D. D., Maguin Ph, D. E. & Beeler-Stinn Ph, D. S. Depression and anxiety among college students: Understanding the impact on grade average and differences in gender and ethnicity. *J Am Coll Health* **71**, 1091-1102 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2021.1920954

Reevy, G. M. & Deason, G. Predictors of depression, stress, and anxiety among non-tenure track faculty. *Front Psychol* **5**, 701 (2014). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00701

Meeks, K., Peak, A. S. & Dreihaus, A. Depression, anxiety, and stress among students, faculty, and staff. *J Am Coll Health* **71**, 348-354 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2021.1891913

Hill, N. T. M. *et al.* Researching the researchers: psychological distress and psychosocial stressors according to career stage in mental health researchers. *BMC Psychol* **10**, 19 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-022-00728-5

Hammoudi Halat, D., Soltani, A., Dalli, R., Alsarraj, L. & Malki, A. Understanding and Fostering Mental Health and Well-Being among University Faculty: A Narrative Review. *J Clin Med* **12** (2023). https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm12134425

Spitzer, R. L., Kroenke, K., Williams, J. B. & Lowe, B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. *Arch Intern Med* **166**, 1092-1097 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092

R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*, (2024).

Osborne, J. W. O., A. The power of outliers (and why researchers should ALWAYS check for them). *Practical Assessment, Research, and Evaluation* **9**, 6 (2004). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.7275/qf69-7k43

Ryan, E., Hore, K., Power, J. & Jackson, T. The relationship between physician burnout and depression, anxiety, suicidality and substance abuse: A mixed methods systematic review. *Front Public Health* **11**, 1133484 (2023). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1133484

Rodriguez, R. M. *et al.* Academic Emergency Medicine Physicians' Anxiety Levels, Stressors,

and Potential Stress Mitigation Measures During the Acceleration Phase of the COVID-19

Pandemic. *Acad Emerg Med* **27**, 700-707 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1111/acem.14065

21   Parson, A., Sands, R., & Duane, J. Sources of career support for university faculty. *Research in

Higher Education* **33**, 161-176 (1992). https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1007/BF00973577

22   Bannister, J. Mentoring at Minority-Serving Institutions. *Competency-Based and Social-

Situational Approaches for Facilitating Learning in Higher Education* (2019). https://doi.org/

doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-8488-9.CH005

23   Diggs, S., Bharath, D., Roberts-Lewis, K., & Bailey, D. Mentoring at the intersection of student

and faculty retention at Historically Black Colleges and University (HBCUs) masters of public

administration programs. *Journal of Public Affairs Education* **29**, 462-480 (2023). https://doi.org/

doi.org/10.1080/15236803.2023.2255765

24   Morgan, A. C. *et al.* Socioeconomic roots of academic faculty. *Nat Hum Behav* **6**, 1625-1633

(2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-022-01425-4

25   Schimanski, L. A. & Alperin, J. P. The evaluation of scholarship in academic promotion and

tenure processes: Past, present, and future. *F1000Res* **7**, 1605 (2018). https://doi.org/10.12688/

f1000research.16493.1

Table 1 Respondent Demographics and Characteristics

n	2106
Duration Seconds (mean (SD))	294.59 (288.87)
	n (%)
Adjunct Professor or Part-time	103 (4.9)
Assistant Professor (Non-tenure track)	212 (10.1)
Assistant Professor (Tenure track)	394 (18.7)
Associate Professor (Non-Tenure track)	151 (7.2)
Associate Professor (Tenure track)	451 (21.4)
Full Professor (Non-Tenure track)	108 (5.1)
Full Professor (Tenure track)	687 (32.6)

Academic Discipline

Business e.g. Accounting, economics, finance, management, marketing	172 (8.2)
Humanities e.g. Art, history, languages, literature, music, philosophy, religion, theater	402 (19.1)
Other	125 (5.9)
Social Sciences e.g. Anthropology, education, geography, law, political science, psychology, sociology	622 (29.5)
STEM e.g. Biology, chemistry, computer science, engineering, geology, mathematics, physics	785 (37.3)

Institution Type

HBCU or Hispanic-serving institution	94 (4.5)
Liberal arts college	28 (1.3)
Private 4-year University or college	255 (12.1)
Public 4-year University or college	982 (46.6)
Research-intensive academic or medical center	747 (35.5)

Annual Income

$0-$30,000	4 (0.2)
$120,000 or more	1366 (64.9)
$31,000-$60,000	62 (2.9)

$61,000-$90,000	225 (10.7)
$91,000-$120,000	378 (17.9)
Prefer not to answer	71 (3.4)

Race

American Indian, Native American or Alaska Native	11 (0.5)
Asian or Asian American	117 (5.6)
Black or African American	103 (4.9)
Hispanic or Latino	83 (3.9)
Multiracial	61 (2.9)
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3 (0.1)
Non Hispanic White	80 (3.8)
Other	47 (2.2)
White	1601 (76.0)

Ethnicity

Alaska Native	1 (0.0)
American Indian or Native American	10 (0.5)
Asian, Asian American	121 (5.7)
Biracial, multiracial, of mixed race	43 (2.0)
Black, African American	93 (4.4)
Hispanic	50 (2.4)
Latino/a or Latinx	64 (3.0)
Other	389 (18.5)
White	1335 (63.4)

Gender

Female	1094 (51.9)
Male	953 (45.3)
Non-binary / third gender	43 (2.0)
Prefer not to say	16 (0.8)
Close Family Relationship = Yes (%)	1821 (86.5)

Family Nearby = Yes (%)	756 (35.9)

Age Description

25 - 34	192 (9.1)
35 - 44	653 (31.0)
45 - 54	561 (26.6)
55 - 64	448 (21.3)
65 - 74	210 (10.0)
75 or older	42 (2.0)

Marital Status

Divorced	153 (7.3)
Married	1594 (75.7)
Other / Prefer not to answer	43 (2.0)
Separated	22 (1.0)
Single or never married	271 (12.9)
Widowed	23 (1.1)

Spouse Education Level

Bachelors	16 (3.2)
Masters	95 (19.0)
MD or other doctoral-level professional degree (e.g. DO, DDS, DPT)	6 (1.2)
Other	2 (0.4)
PhD (or equivalent, e.g. Ed.D.)	378 (75.8)
Some College	2 (0.4)

Spouse Occupation

Academia	499 (31.3)
Industry, business, or other for-profit sectors	388 (24.3)
K-12 education	91 (5.7)
Medical or healthcare	135 (8.5)
Military	3 (0.2)

Other	240 (15.1)
Retired	147 (9.2)
Unemployed or unable to work	91 (5.7)
Has Academic Parent = Yes (%)	369 (17.5)
Has Children = Yes (%)	1389 (66.0)

Parent Academic Rank

Adjunct Professor or Part-time	79 (21.4)
Assistant Professor (Non-Tenure Track)	18 (4.9)
Assistant Professor (Tenure Track)	27 (7.3)
Associate Professor (Non-Tenure Track)	5 (1.4)
Associate Professor (Tenure Track)	39 (10.6)
Full Professor (Non-Tenure Track)	26 (7.0)
Full Professor (Tenure Track)	175 (47.4)

Household Size

1.0	302 (14.3)
2.0	842 (40.0)
3.0	390 (18.5)
4.0	428 (20.3)
5.0	101 (4.8)
6 or more	43 (2.0)
GAD-7 Total (mean (SD))	6.59 (5.19)

Figure 1

Figure 2