Familial and Parental Relationships Can Ease Anxiety Among Academics in the United States

Anietie Andy[1], Natasha Tonge[2], Praise-EL Michaels[1], Marina K. Holz[3]

[1]Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Howard University, Washington DC, United States

[2] Department of Psychology, George Mason University, Fairfax, Virginia, United States

[3]Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, New York Medical College, Valhalla, New York, United States

Author Note

Anietie Andy http://orcid.org/https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7043-3042

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Anietie Andy, Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Howard University, 2300 6th St NW, Washington, DC ,

Email: anietie.andy@howard.edu

Abstract

Anxiety among academic faculty remains a critical yet understudied public health concern because of comorbidity with depression and other mental health disorders. Here, we present a large study examining faculty anxiety, analyzing data from 2,106 professors across 62 U.S. higher education institutions using the Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7) assessment. This comprehensive dataset revealed previously unknown patterns in how familial relationships and academic lineage influence faculty anxiety. The analysis in this work focused on faculty members who are not in a health profession, The exclusion of health profession faculty members in this study is important because compared to non-health profession faculty members, they experience a distinct set of mental health challenges which are exacerbated by clinical practice. Our analysis uncovered a clear relationship between academic rank and anxiety levels, with tenure-track assistant professors showing the highest anxiety scores (predicted GAD-7 = 7.9) compared to full professors. Although academic discipline explains only 0.32% of anxiety variance, we identify institutional factors and family support as major moderators of faculty mental health. We find that close family relationships significantly moderated anxiety across institution types, with particularly strong protective effects at Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs) / Hispanic Serving Institutions (HSIs), $b = -4.24$, $p = .015$ and public institutions, $b = -1.11$, $p = .027$. These findings address a crucial gap in understanding faculty mental health and suggest that institutions should implement targeted interventions focusing on reinforcing social support systems, particularly for early-career academics.

Keywords: faculty mental health, anxiety, academic lineage, family relationships, higher education

Introduction

Anxiety is a mood state characterized by fear or worry for the future and often associated with muscle tension, vigilance, and avoidance[1]. Anxiety is highly comorbid with other mental health conditions such as depression, with anxiety preceding depression in over 75% of affected individuals[2], and increasing vulnerability to other health conditions, such as unhealthy behaviors and chronic disease[3]. Globally, anxiety presents an unaddressed mental health need and a significant public health burden[4]. Academic faculty lead complex professional lives and are uniquely susceptible to anxiety-inducing factors. Faculty members integrate multiple demanding responsibilities across scholarly research, teaching, and administrative duties, leading to chronic role overload and significant time management challenges[5]. Career progression requirements on competitive promotion and tenure track add a layer of instability and uncertainty. Academic success hinges on securing research funding, publish-or-perish academic culture, producing high-impact scholarly output and navigating rigorous peer review processes, further exacerbating anxiety. Financial pressures add another layer of stress, including relatively low compensation compared to career trajectories outside the academy, and ongoing student loan burden. The hierarchical institutional structures, combined with cultural expectations of persistent high performance, create an environment where mental health challenges are marginalized.

Several studies have investigated mental health and anxiety expression in undergraduate, medical and doctoral students[6-10]. In contrast, while some attention has been dedicated to concerns of mental health among students and faculty[11,27 -- 33], few studies have systematically analyzed anxiety in academic faculty. A study of non-tenure track faculty found that contingent faculty with lower family incomes, inability to find permanent positions, high institutional commitment, and use of dysfunctional coping mechanisms were more likely to experience depression, anxiety, and stress[12]. A single-university study of students and faculty/staff found that approximately one-third of both groups experienced severe or extremely severe symptoms of depression, anxiety, or stress[13], and others have observed that the

experience of psychological distress varied with career stage in postgraduate students, early-middle career researchers and senior researchers[14]. Prior work [29] executed a national study to assess occupational stress among academic staff in 56 universities in Canada. It was found that stressors such as work-life conflict and job security impacted the outcome of health and workplace satisfaction. A study surveyed 5,500 participants from a university and its academic medical center to assess the mental health and well-being of their clinical and non-clinical workers during the early phase of the COVID-19 pandemic. It was found that clinical or community COVID-19 exposure, poor supervisor support, people who were 40 years and older, and family/home stressors were linked to worse health outcomes [30]. Existing literature also highlights the prevalence of mental health concerns among university faculty, identifying factors such as workload, work-life balance issues, job insecurity, and pressure to perform as contributors to mental health issues[15]. Thus, previous findings emphasize the need for comprehensive analysis of the prevalence of anxiety in academic professors to determine the need for institutional interventions that target anxiety to improve faculty health well-being. Nonetheless, there is a lack of understanding of the general prevalence of anxiety among postsecondary faculty, and the impact of modifiers on this distinct mental health challenge. Therefore, there exists a critical need to study the expression of anxiety among academic faculty, to inform tailored interventions that can take into account both individual resilience and systemic institutional factors and create durable and meaningful support systems. To this end, we explored how close familial relationships and having an academic parent or partner modifies the experience of anxiety in academics from different institution types, academic disciplines and ranks.

Methods

Measures

In order to systematically assess the prevalence of anxiety among academic faculty members in the United States, we administered the Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7) questionnaire [16] as well as a demographic questionnaire to academic faculty from 62 higher education and medical institutions such as Liberal Arts Colleges, Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCU) or Hispanic Serving Institutions (HSI), Medical Schools and Academic Medical Centers, and Community Colleges.. The GAD-7 is a well-validated seven-item instrument where scores of 5-9 indicate mild anxiety, 10-14 indicate moderate anxiety, and 15-21 indicates severe anxiety[16]. In addition to demographics, we asked about close family relationships, presence of an academic parent, the others were administered in a single survey in qualtrics. Close family relationships, academic parent were administered as binary responses (yes/no) and both were left open to individual interpretation. We also provided the participants with an optional text box to express their feedback about the survey or thoughts about anxiety in academia in general. The survey/questionnaire was approved by the Howard University Institutional Review Board. Data was collected using Qualtrics; descriptive statistics and graphs were made using *R*[17]. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. The informed consent was shared with participants via email. Due to the IRB stipulations, the collected data used for this study cannot be shared or made publicly accessible.,

Participants: The survey was administered over a period of 6 months i.e. from June to December 2024. The survey was designed to be anonymous, meaning that there is no way to connect participants' responses to the participants. The survey was sent to the academic email addresses of faculty members; this survey. 3,358 faculty members responded to the survey. We then identified participants with a survey completion time greater than 3 standard deviations above the mean completion time of all the participants to remove potential survey outliers who may have been distracted or inattentive[18]. 2,655 participants met these criteria. Out of these, we excluded 549 participants who identified as having a health profession specialty (e.g., medicine, dentistry, pharmacy, physical therapy), for a final participant number of 2,106. In this work, we focus on analyzing data by faculty members who are not in a health profession because health professions faculty experience a distinct set of mental health challenges exacerbated by clinical practice[19], and further exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic[20]. Table 1 depicts demographic characteristics of the survey participants.

Table 1 Respondent Demographics and Characteristics

n	2106
Duration Seconds (mean (SD))	294.59 (288.87)
	n (%)
Adjunct Professor or Part-time	103 (4.9)
Assistant Professor (Non-tenure track)	212 (10.1)
Assistant Professor (Tenure track)	394 (18.7)
Associate Professor (Non-Tenure track)	151 (7.2)
Associate Professor (Tenure track)	451 (21.4)
Full Professor (Non-Tenure track)	108 (5.1)
Full Professor (Tenure track)	687 (32.6)
Academic Discipline	
Business e.g. Accounting, economics, finance, management, marketing	172 (8.2)

Humanities e.g. Art, history, languages, literature, music, philosophy, religion, theater	402 (19.1)
Other	125 (5.9)
Social Sciences e.g. Anthropology, education, geography, law, political science, psychology, sociology	622 (29.5)
STEM e.g. Biology, chemistry, computer science, engineering, geology, mathematics, physics	785 (37.3)
Institution Type	
HBCU or Hispanic-serving institution	94 (4.5)
Liberal arts college	28 (1.3)
Private 4-year University or college	255 (12.1)
Public 4-year University or college	982 (46.6)
Research-intensive academic or medical center	747 (35.5)
Annual Income	
$0-$30,000	4 (0.2)
$120,000 or more	1366 (64.9)
$31,000-$60,000	62 (2.9)
$61,000-$90,000	225 (10.7)
$91,000-$120,000	378 (17.9)
Prefer not to answer	71 (3.4)
Race	
American Indian, Native American or Alaska Native	11 (0.5)
Asian or Asian American	117 (5.6)
Black or African American	103 (4.9)
Hispanic or Latino	83 (3.9)
Multiracial	61 (2.9)
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3 (0.1)
Non Hispanic White	80 (3.8)

Other	47 (2.2)
White	1601 (76.0)
Ethnicity	
Alaska Native	1 (0.0)
American Indian or Native American	10 (0.5)
Asian, Asian American	121 (5.7)
Biracial, multiracial, of mixed race	43 (2.0)
Black, African American	93 (4.4)
Hispanic	50 (2.4)
Latino/a or Latinx	64 (3.0)
Other	389 (18.5)
White	1335 (63.4)
Gender	
Female	1094 (51.9)
Male	953 (45.3)
Non-binary / third gender	43 (2.0)
Prefer not to say	16 (0.8)
Close Family Relationship = Yes (%)	1821 (86.5)
Family Nearby = Yes (%)	756 (35.9)
Age Description	
25 - 34	192 (9.1)
35 - 44	653 (31.0)
45 - 54	561 (26.6)
55 - 64	448 (21.3)
65 - 74	210 (10.0)
75 or older	42 (2.0)

Marital Status	
Divorced	153 (7.3)
Married	1594 (75.7)
Other / Prefer not to answer	43 (2.0)
Separated	22 (1.0)
Single or never married	271 (12.9)
Widowed	23 (1.1)
Spouse Education Level	
Bachelors	16 (3.2)
Masters	95 (19.0)
MD or other doctoral-level professional degree (e.g. DO, DDS, DPT)	6 (1.2)
Other	2 (0.4)
PhD (or equivalent, e.g. Ed.D.)	378 (75.8)
Some College	2 (0.4)
Spouse Occupation	
Academia	499 (31.3)
Industry, business, or other for-profit sectors	388 (24.3)
K-12 education	91 (5.7)
Medical or healthcare	135 (8.5)
Military	3 (0.2)
Other	240 (15.1)
Retired	147 (9.2)
Unemployed or unable to work	91 (5.7)
Has Academic Parent = Yes (%)	369 (17.5)
Has Children = Yes (%)	1389 (66.0)

Parent Academic Rank	
Adjunct Professor or Part-time	79 (21.4)
Assistant Professor (Non-Tenure Track)	18 (4.9)
Assistant Professor (Tenure Track)	27 (7.3)
Associate Professor (Non-Tenure Track)	5 (1.4)
Associate Professor (Tenure Track)	39 (10.6)
Full Professor (Non-Tenure Track)	26 (7.0)
Full Professor (Tenure Track)	175 (47.4)
Household Size	
1.0	302 (14.3)
2.0	842 (40.0)
3.0	390 (18.5)
4.0	428 (20.3)
5.0	101 (4.8)
6 or more	43 (2.0)
GAD-7 Total (mean (SD))	6.59 (5.19)
- Minimal GAD-7 scores	877 (41.6)
- Mild GAD-7 scores n(%)	715 (34.0)
- Moderate GAD-7 scores n(%)	302 (14.3)
- Severe GAD-7 scores n(%)	212 (10.1)

Results

Demographic information about the sample and descriptive statistics of responses can be found in Table 1. In Table 2, we report correlations between several variables of interest (e.g., academic rank, discipline, institution type, family relationship, and presence of an academic parent), and demographics (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, income).

Table 2

Means, standard deviations, and correlations with confidence intervals

Variable	*M*	*SD*	1	2	3	4	5	6
1. GAD-7 Total	6.51	5.11						
2. Annual Income	4.51	0.81	-.13** [-.17, -.08]					
3. Gender(Female)	0.54	0.50	.11** [.07, .16]	-.06** [-.11, -.02]				
4. Age Group	2.97	1.20	-.20** [-.25, -.16]	.17** [.13, .21]	-.11** [-.16, -.07]			
5. Academic Rank	4.75	1.94	-.13** [-.18, -.09]	.38** [.34, .42]	-.11** [-.15, -.06]	.48** [.44, .51]		

6. Has Close Family Relationship	0.87	0.34	-.06*	.07**	.04	.07**	.04	
			[-.10, -.01]	[.02, .11]	[-.00, .09]	[.02, .11]	[-.01, .08]	
7. Has Academic Parent	0.18	0.38	-.04	.02	-.01	.04	.05*	.04
			[-.09, .00]	[-.02, .07]	[-.05, .03]	[-.00, .08]	[.00, .09]	[-.00, .09]

Note. M and *SD* are used to represent mean and standard deviation, respectively. Values in square brackets indicate the 95% confidence interval for each correlation. The confidence interval is a plausible range of population correlations that could have caused the sample correlation (Cumming, 2014). * indicates $p < .05$. ** indicates $p < .01$.

Because we found a significant relationship between gender and anxiety, we included gender as a covariate in all further analyses. We did not include other demographic variables of age and income due the fact that these variables are conceptually overlapping with primary predictors of interest (e.g., higher rank faculty are typically older and have higher income). Gender was dummy coded with *female* as the reference group; *male* and *non-binary*, were dummy coded variables and *prefer not to say* was removed due to low response rates of <1%.

Overall differences in institution type, discipline, and tenure status on anxiety among faculty.

To allow for consistent interpretations, we used the category with the largest number of faculty surveyed as the reference group in each model. First, we examined univariable effects, controlling for gender. For the effect of institution type on anxiety (Figure 1), we found a small but significant overall model , $F(6,2083) = 10.92$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2 = .005$ with an adjusted r-squared suggesting that collectively, about 2.8% of variance in anxiety was explained by institution and gender. We observed the effects for

specific institutions and found that only faculty at HBCU/HSIs were significantly more anxious than counterparts at public 4-year institutions, $b = 1.25$, $p = .025$. Academic rank was significantly associated with anxiety, $F(6,2083)=12.98$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2 = .04$ and the adjusted r-squared suggested that collectively, about 5.4% of the variance in faculty anxiety scores could be explained by rank and gender. Relative to tenure-track full professors, faculty at all ranks were significantly more anxious except for non-tenure track full professors, *p*s < .011. Tenure-track assistant professors have the highest levels of anxiety with an estimated GAD-7 score of 8.1. Finally, the model of academic discipline was significantly associated with anxiety overall, $F(6,2083)=11.3$, $p<.001$, $\eta^2 = .005$; adjusted R-squared suggested 2.8% of variance in faculty anxiety is explained by discipline and gender. Relative to faculty in STEM, the discipline with the largest number of faculty, faculty in business were significantly less anxious, $b = -1.10$, $p = .02$, 95% CI[-1.95 – -0.253]. The model predicted that STEM faculty had GAD-7 scores around 7.34, which was the second highest of the disciplines following faculty in the Humanities with predicted GAD-7 scores of around 7.3. All effects can be viewed in Table 3.

Table 3 Univariable Regression Models Predicting GAD Scores, controlling for Gender

	Model 1: Institution Type				**Model 2: Academic Rank**				**Model 3: Academic Discipline**			
Predictors	*Estimates*	*std. Error*	*Statistic*	*p*	*Estimates*	*std. Error*	*Statistic*	*p*	*Estimates*	*std. Error*	*Statistic*	*p*
(Intercept)	6.915 *** (6.532 – 7.299)	0.196	35.363	**<0.001**	5.801 *** (5.351 – 6.252)	0.230	25.248	**<0.001**	7.342 *** (6.906 – 7.779)	0.223	32.969	**<0.001**
Institution Type [HBCU or Hispanic-serving institution]	1.249 * (0.157 – 2.342)	0.557	2.243	**0.025**								
Institution Type [Liberal arts college]	-0.092 (-2.013 – 1.829)	0.980	-0.094	0.925								
Institution Type [Private 4-year University or college]	-0.529 (-1.236 – 0.178)	0.360	-1.468	0.142								
Institution Type [Research-Intensive academic or Medical center]	0.237 (-0.251 – 0.725)	0.249	0.953	0.341								

Gender [Male]	-1.080 *** (-1.524 – -0.636)	0.226	-4.770	**<0.001**	-0.920 *** (-1.362 – -0.478)	0.225	-4.082	**<0.001**	-1.127 *** (-1.577 – -0.676)	0.230	-4.905	**<0.001**
Gender [Non-binary / third gender]	4.037 *** (2.478 – 5.597)	0.795	5.078	**<0.001**	3.740 *** (2.198 – 5.283)	0.786	4.756	**<0.001**	3.964 *** (2.405 – 5.522)	0.795	4.988	**<0.001**
Academic Rank [Adjunct Professor or Part-time]					1.608 ** (0.557 – 2.660)	0.536	2.999	**0.003**				
Academic Rank [Assistant Professor (Non-tenure track)]					1.016 * (0.234 – 1.799)	0.399	2.547	**0.011**				
Academic Rank [Assistant Professor (Tenure track)]					2.365 *** (1.735 – 2.995)	0.321	7.363	**<0.001**				
Academic Rank [Associate Professor (Non-Tenure track)]					1.247 ** (0.351 – 2.143)	0.457	2.728	**0.006**				

Academic Rank [Associate Professor (Tenure track)]	1.805 *** (1.202 – 2.408)	0.308	5.868	**<0.001**				
Academic Rank [Full Professor (Non-Tenure track)]	0.375 (-0.650 – 1.399)	0.522	0.717	0.473				
Academic Discipline [Business e.g. Accounting, economics, finance, management, marketing]					-1.102 * (-1.952 – -0.253)	0.433	-2.544	**0.011**

Academic Discipline [Humanities e.g. Art, history, languages, literature, music, philosophy, religion, theater]			-0.041 (-0.661 – 0.580)	0.316	-0.128	0.898
Academic Discipline [Other]			-0.528 (-1.502 – 0.445)	0.496	-1.064	0.287
Academic Discipline [Social Sciences e.g. Anthropology, education, geography, law, political science, psychology, sociology]			-0.686 * (-1.231 – -0.142)	0.278	-2.473	**0.013**
Observations	2090	2090	2090			

R^2 / R^2 adjusted	0.030 / 0.028	0.057 / 0.054	0.032 / 0.029

*Note: * p<0.05 ** p<0.01 *** p<0.001*
All models are univariable regressions predicting GAD-7 total scores while controlling for gender. Reference categories: Institution Type = *Public 4-year institution*; Academic Rank = *Tenure-track full professor*; Academic Discipline = *STEM*; Gender reference = *Women*. Estimates are unstandardized coefficients with 95% confidence intervals in parentheses.

Does having a close family relationship or academic parent moderate the relationship between institution type and anxiety?

We examined close family relationships as a moderator of the relation between institution type and anxiety. The model was significant overall, $F(11,2078) = 7.55$, $p < .001$, indicating that there were differences in the effect of close family relationships on anxiety across institution types, controlling for gender; however, effect sizes were small for institution type, $\eta^2 = .005$, close family relationships, $\eta^2 = .004$, and their interaction, $\eta^2 = .005$. Relative to faculty at public institutions, there was a significant effect of close family relationships on anxiety for faculty at HBCU/HSIs, such that faculty at HBCUs/HSIs were significantly more anxious when they did not have close family relationships. For faculty at public institutions (reference group), there was also a significant main effect of close family relationships easing anxiety. When family relationships were close, HBCU/HSI faculty were less anxious did not have a significant interaction with any institution types, however, ps > .272.

The model for the effect of academic parent as a moderator of the relationship between institution type and anxiety was significant overall, $F(11,2078) = 6.75$, $p < .001$. Similar to the previous model, effect sizes for main effects and interactions were small: institution type, $\eta^2 = .005$, academic parent, $\eta^2 = .002$, and their interaction, $\eta^2 = .003$. No interactions were significant, suggesting that having or not having a parent who is an academic does not result in a significant change in anxiety at

different institution types and that significance of the overall model is likely driven by the gender effect on anxiety. All effects can be viewed in Table 4.

Table 4 Regression Models Predicting GAD Scores for Institution Type

	Model 4a: Institution Type x Close Family Relationship						**Model 4b: Institution Type x Academic Parent**					
Predictors	*Estimates (standardized estimate)*	*std. Error*	*std. Beta*	*standardized std. Error*	*Statistic*	*p*	*Estimates*	*std. Error*	*std. Beta*	*standardized std. Error*	*Statistic*	*p*
(Intercept)	7.521 (0.179)	0.470	0.179 (0.001 – 0.356)	0.091	15.993	**<0.001**	6.572 (-0.004)	0.182	-0.004 (-0.073 – 0.065)	0.035	36.078	**<0.001**
Institution Type [HBCU or Hispanic-serving institution]	4.843 (0.933)	1.629	0.933 (0.317 – 1.548)	0.314	2.973	**0.003**	1.466 (0.282)	0.608	0.282 (0.053 – 0.512)	0.117	2.413	**0.016**
Institution Type [Liberal arts college]	-3.021 (-0.582)	2.629	-0.582 (-1.574 – 0.411)	0.506	-1.149	0.251	0.298 (0.057)	1.096	0.057 (-0.357 – 0.471)	0.211	0.272	0.786
Institution Type [Private 4-year University or college]	-0.996 (-0.192)	0.943	-0.192 (-0.548 – 0.165)	0.182	-1.055	0.291	-0.624 (-0.120)	0.402	-0.120 (-0.272 – 0.032)	0.077	-1.552	0.121

Institution Type [Research-Intensive academic or Medical center]	-0.420 (-0.081)	0.683	-0.081 (-0.339 – 0.177)	0.132	-0.614	0.539	0.345 (0.067)	0.277	0.067 (-0.038 – 0.171)	0.053	1.246	0.213
Close Family Relationship [Yes]	-1.110 (-0.214)	0.502	-0.214 (-0.403 – -0.024)	0.097	-2.209	**0.027**						
Institution Type [HBCU or Hispanic-serving institution] X Close Family Relationship [Yes]	-4.242 (-0.817)	1.734	-0.817 (-1.472 – -0.162)	0.334	-2.446	**0.015**						
Institution Type [Liberal arts college] X Close Family Relationship [Yes]	3.526 (0.679)	2.838	0.679 (-0.393 – 1.751)	0.547	1.242	0.214						

Institution Type [Private 4-year University or college] X Close Family Relationship [Yes]	0.436 (0.084)	1.023	0.084 (-0.302 – 0.470)	0.197	0.426	0.670						
Institution Type [Research-Intensive academic or Medical center] X Close Family Relationship [Yes]	0.686 (0.132)	0.735	0.132 (-0.145 – 0.409)	0.141	0.933	0.351						
Has Academic Parent [Yes]							-0.136 (-0.026)	0.435	-0.026 (-0.190 – 0.138)	0.084	-0.311	0.755

Institution Type [HBCU or Hispanic-serving institution] X Has Academic Parent [Yes]	-2.545 (-0.490)	1.564	-0.490 (-1.081 – 0.100)	0.301	-1.627	0.104
Institution Type [Liberal arts college] X Has Academic Parent [Yes]	-1.534 (-0.295)	2.595	-0.295 (-1.275 – 0.685)	0.500	-0.591	0.554
Institution Type [Private 4-year University or college] X Has Academic Parent [Yes]	0.188 (0.036)	0.950	0.036 (-0.322 – 0.395)	0.183	0.198	0.843

Institution Type [Research-Intensive academic or Medical center] X Has Academic Parent [Yes]		-0.872 (-0.168)	0.661	-0.168 (-0.418 – 0.082)	0.127	-1.320	0.187
Observations	2106	2106				
R^2 / R^2 adjusted	0.012 / 0.008	0.008 / 0.003				

*Note: * p<0.05 ** p<0.01 *** p<0.001*
Table presents estimates from two separate regression models predicting GAD scores. Model 4a includes the interaction between Institution Type and Close Family Relationship; Model 4b includes the interaction between Institution Type and Academic Parent. All models control for gender (women as the reference category). The reference category for Institution Type is *Public 4-year*; reference categories for moderators are *No close family relationship* (Model 5a) and *No academic parent* (Model 5b). Interaction terms represent the change in the association between each institution type and GAD scores when the moderator is present. Model R^2 and adjusted R^2 values reflect the variance explained by each full model.

Does having close family relationships or an academic parent moderate the relationship between academic rank and anxiety?

The model for close family relationships' moderation of the effect of academic rank on anxiety was significant overall, $F(15,2074) = 9.51$, $p < .001$, indicating that there were differences in the effect of close family relationships on anxiety across academic ranks, controlling for gender; however, effect sizes were small for academic rank, $\eta^2 = .04$, close family relationships, $\eta^2 = .003$, and their interaction,

$\eta^2 = .005$. Close family relationships significantly lowered anxiety among adjunct/part-time professors and among non-tenure track full professors relative to tenure-track full professors (Figure 2). The model for having an academic parents' moderation of the effect of academic rank on anxiety was also significant overall, $F(15,2074) = 9.25$, $p < .001$ with small effect sizes for main effects of academic rank, $\eta^2 = .04$, academic parent, $\eta^2 = .001$, and their interaction, $\eta^2 = .005$. There was a significant effect of having an academic parent on lowering anxiety among adjunct professors. No other interactions were significant. All effects can be viewed in Table 5.

Table 5 Regression Models Predicting GAD Scores with moderated Academic Rank

	Model 5a: Academic Rank x Close Family Relationship						**Model 5b: Academic Rank x Academic Parent**					
Predictors	*Estimates*	*std. Error*	*std. Beta*	*standardized std. Error*	*Statistic*	*p*	*Estimates*	*std. Error*	*std. Beta*	*standardized std. Error*	*Statistic*	*p*
(Intercept)	5.738 (-0.163)	0.587	-0.163 (-0.385 – 0.060)	0.113	9.779	**<0.001**	5.842 (-0.142)	0.248	-0.142 (-0.237 – -0.048)	0.048	23.514	**<0.001**
Academic Rank [Adjunct Professor or Part-time]	4.425 (0.855)	1.421	0.855 (0.316 – 1.393)	0.274	3.114	**0.002**	2.115 (0.408)	0.594	0.408 (0.183 – 0.633)	0.115	3.561	**<0.001**
Academic Rank [Assistant Professor (Non-tenure track)]	2.480 (0.479)	1.026	0.479 (0.090 – 0.868)	0.198	2.416	**0.016**	1.238 (0.239)	0.429	0.239 (0.076 – 0.402)	0.083	2.884	**0.004**

Academic Rank [Assistant Professor (Tenure track)]	2.610 (0.504)	0.879	0.504 (0.171 – 0.837)	0.170	2.970	**0.003**	2.234 (0.431)	0.355	0.431 (0.297 – 0.566)	0.069	6.289	**<0.001**
Academic Rank [Associate Professor (Non-Tenure track)]	1.556 (0.301)	1.382	0.301 (-0.223 – 0.824)	0.267	1.126	0.260	1.187 (0.229)	0.495	0.229 (0.042 – 0.417)	0.096	2.400	**0.016**
Academic Rank [Associate Professor (Tenure track)]	2.623 (0.506)	0.819	0.506 (0.196 – 0.816)	0.158	3.204	**0.001**	1.867 (0.361)	0.338	0.361 (0.233 – 0.488)	0.065	5.529	**<0.001**
Academic Rank [Full Professor (Non-Tenure track)]	4.482 (0.866)	1.868	0.866 (0.158 – 1.573)	0.361	2.400	**0.016**	0.748 (0.144)	0.609	0.144 (-0.086 – 0.375)	0.118	1.227	0.220
Close Family Relationship [Yes]	0.093 (0.018)	0.609	0.018 (-0.213 – 0.248)	0.118	0.153	0.879						
Gender [Male]	-0.953 (-0.184)	0.225	-0.184 (-0.269 – -0.099)	0.044	-4.229	**<0.001**	-0.941 (-0.182)	0.225	-0.182 (-0.267 – -0.096)	0.044	-4.177	**<0.001**

Gender [Non-binary / third gender]	3.582 (0.692)	0.787	0.692 (0.394 – 0.990)	0.152	4.550	**<0.001**	3.663 (0.707)	0.787	0.707 (0.409 – 1.005)	0.152	4.656	**<0.001**
Academic Rank [Adjunct Professor or Part-time] X Close Family Relationship [Yes]	-3.296 (-0.637)	1.533	-0.637 (-1.217 – -0.056)	0.296	-2.150	**0.032**						
Academic Rank [Assistant Professor (Non-tenure track)] X Close Family Relationship [Yes]	-1.747 (-0.337)	1.112	-0.337 (-0.758 – 0.084)	0.215	-1.572	0.116						

Academic Rank [Assistant Professor (Tenure track)] X Close Family Relationship [Yes]	-0.285 (-0.055)	0.943	-0.055 (-0.412 – 0.302)	0.182	-0.302	0.763
Academic Rank [Associate Professor (Non-Tenure track)] X Close Family Relationship [Yes]	-0.355 (-0.069)	1.462	-0.069 (-0.622 – 0.485)	0.282	-0.243	0.808
Academic Rank [Associate Professor (Tenure track)] X Close Family Relationship [Yes]	-0.976 (-0.188)	0.883	-0.188 (-0.523 – 0.146)	0.171	-1.105	0.269

Academic Rank [Full Professor (Non-Tenure track)] X Close Family Relationship [Yes]	-4.445 (-0.858)	1.945	-0.858 (-1.595 – -0.122)	0.376	-2.285	**0.022**						
Has Academic Parent [Yes]							-0.150 (-0.029)	0.492	-0.029 (-0.215 – 0.158)	0.095	-0.304	0.761
Academic Rank [Adjunct Professor or Part-time] X Has Academic Parent [Yes]							-2.721 (-0.525)	1.372	-0.525 (-1.045 – -0.006)	0.265	-1.983	**0.047**

Academic Rank [Assistant Professor (Non-tenure track)] X Has Academic Parent [Yes]	-1.897 (-0.366)	1.163	-0.366 (-0.807 – 0.074)	0.225	-1.631	0.103
Academic Rank [Assistant Professor (Tenure track)] X Has Academic Parent [Yes]	0.708 (0.137)	0.824	0.137 (-0.175 – 0.449)	0.159	0.860	0.390
Academic Rank [Associate Professor (Non-Tenure track)] X Has Academic Parent [Yes]	0.342 (0.066)	1.282	0.066 (-0.420 – 0.552)	0.248	0.267	0.790

Academic Rank [Associate Professor (Tenure track)] X Has Academic Parent [Yes]	-0.420 (-0.081)	0.814	-0.081 (-0.389 – 0.227)	0.157	-0.516	0.606
Academic Rank [Full Professor (Non-Tenure track)] X Has Academic Parent [Yes]	-1.305 (-0.252)	1.188	-0.252 (-0.702 – 0.198)	0.229	-1.098	0.272
Observations	2090		2090			
R^2 / R^2 adjusted	0.064 / 0.058		0.063 / 0.056			

*Note: * p<0.05 ** p<0.01 *** p<0.001*

Models 5a and 5b present moderated linear regression analyses examining whether the association between academic rank and GAD symptoms varies as a function of close family relationships (Model 5a) or having an academic parent (Model 5b). Unstandardized regression coefficients are displayed, with standardized coefficients in parentheses. Standardized standard errors correspond to the standardized estimates. All models control for gender (women as the reference category). The reference category for Academic Rank is *Full professor, tenure track*; reference categories for moderators are *No close family relationship* (Model 5a) and *No academic parent* (Model 5b). Interaction terms represent the change in the association between each academic rank and GAD scores when the moderator is present. Model R^2 and adjusted R^2 values reflect the variance explained by each full model.

Does having close family relationships or an academic parent moderate the relationship between academic discipline and anxiety?

Lastly, we examined the effect of familial relationships on anxiety by academic discipline (Figure 3). The model for close family relationships' moderation of the effect of academic rank on anxiety was significant overall, $F(15, 2074) = 9.51$, $p < .001$, indicating that there were differences in the effect of close family relationships on anxiety across academic ranks, controlling for gender; however, effect sizes were small for academic discipline, $\eta^2 = .006$, close family relationships, $\eta^2 = .004$, and their interaction, $\eta^2 = .0003$. Close family relationships did not moderate the effect of being a member of any specific academic disciplines on anxiety relative to STEM faculty. The model for academic parents' moderation of the effect of academic discipline on anxiety was significant overall, $F(11, 2078) = 6.6$, $p < .001$. Similar to the previous model, effect sizes were small for academic discipline, $\eta^2 = .005$, academic parent, $\eta^2 = .002$, and their interaction, $\eta^2 = .0008$. Across disciplines, having an academic parent did not have a significant impact on anxiety. All effects can be viewed in Table 6.

Table 6 Regression Models Predicting GAD Scores with Moderated Academic Discipline

	Model 6a: Academic Discipline X Close Family Relationship						**Model 6b: Academic Discipline X Academic Parent**					
Predictors	*Estimates*	*std. Error*	*std. Beta*	*standardized std. Error*	*Statistic*	*p*	*Estimates*	*std. Error*	*std. Beta*	*standardized std. Error*	*Statistic*	*p*
(Intercept)	7.944 (0.263)	0.507	0.263 (0.072 – 0.455)	0.098	15.682	**<0.001**	7.447 (0.168)	0.238	0.168 (0.078 – 0.257)	0.046	31.355	**<0.001**

Academic Discipline [Business e.g. Accounting, economics, finance, management, marketing]	-1.304 (-0.252)	1.148	-0.252 (-0.687 – 0.183)	0.222	-1.136	0.256	-1.256 (-0.243)	0.487	-0.243 (-0.427 – -0.058)	0.094	-2.578	**0.010**
Academic Discipline [Humanities e.g. Art, history, languages, literature, music, philosophy, religion, theater]	0.326 (0.063)	0.884	0.063 (-0.272 – 0.398)	0.171	0.369	0.712	-0.086 (-0.017)	0.353	-0.017 (-0.150 – 0.117)	0.068	-0.245	0.807
Academic Discipline [Other]	0.361 (0.070)	1.329	0.070 (-0.434 – 0.573)	0.257	0.271	0.786	-0.362 (-0.070)	0.543	-0.070 (-0.275 – 0.136)	0.105	-0.667	0.505

Academic Discipline [Social Sciences e.g. Anthropology, education, geography, law, political science, psychology, sociology]	-0.383 (-0.074)	0.745	-0.074 (-0.356 – 0.208)	0.144	-0.514	0.607	-0.702 (-0.136)	0.303	-0.136 (-0.250 – -0.021)	0.058	-2.319	**0.020**
Close Family Relationship [Yes]	-0.686 (-0.132)	0.523	-0.132 (-0.331 – 0.066)	0.101	-1.311	0.190						
Gender [Male]	-1.147 (-0.221)	0.230	-0.221 (-0.308 – -0.134)	0.044	-4.990	**<0.001**	-1.125 (-0.217)	0.230	-0.217 (-0.304 – -0.130)	0.044	-4.892	**<0.001**
Gender [Non-binary / third gender]	3.846 (0.743)	0.796	0.743 (0.441 – 1.044)	0.154	4.832	**<0.001**	3.963 (0.765)	0.795	0.765 (0.464 – 1.066)	0.153	4.986	**<0.001**

Academic Discipline [Business e.g. Accounting, economics, finance, management, marketing] X Close Family Relationship [Yes]	0.238 (0.046)	1.239	0.046 (-0.423 – 0.515)	0.239	0.192	0.848
Academic Discipline [Humanities e.g. Art, history, languages, literature, music, philosophy, religion, theater] X Close Family Relationship [Yes]	-0.401 (-0.077)	0.943	-0.077 (-0.435 – 0.280)	0.182	-0.425	0.671

Academic Discipline [Other] X Close Family Relationship [Yes]	-1.033 (-0.200)	1.431	-0.200 (-0.742 – 0.343)	0.276	-0.722	0.470						
Academic Discipline [Social Sciences e.g. Anthropology, education, geography, law, political science, psychology, sociology] X Close Family Relationship [Yes]	-0.347 (-0.067)	0.800	-0.067 (-0.370 – 0.236)	0.154	-0.434	0.665						
Has Academic Parent [Yes]							-0.647 (-0.125)	0.493	-0.125 (-0.312 – 0.062)	0.095	-1.312	0.190

Academic Discipline [Business e.g. Accounting, economics, finance, management, marketing] X Has Academic Parent [Yes]	0.865 (0.167)	1.070	0.167 (-0.238 – 0.573)	0.207	0.809	0.419
Academic Discipline [Humanities e.g. Art, history, languages, literature, music, philosophy, religion, theater] X Has Academic Parent [Yes]	0.367 (0.071)	0.793	0.071 (-0.229 – 0.371)	0.153	0.463	0.643

Academic Discipline [Other] X Has Academic Parent [Yes]		-1.026 (-0.198)	1.342	-0.198 (-0.706 – 0.310)	0.259	-0.765	0.445
Academic Discipline [Social Sciences e.g. Anthropology, education, geography, law, political science, psychology, sociology] X Has Academic Parent [Yes]		0.073 (0.014)	0.750	0.014 (-0.270 – 0.298)	0.145	0.098	0.922
Observations	2090	2090					
R^2 / R^2 adjusted	0.035 / 0.030	0.034 / 0.029					

*Note: * p<0.05 ** p<0.01 *** p<0.001*
Models 6a and 6b report moderated regression analyses testing whether the association between academic discipline and GAD scores differs as a function of close family relationships (Model 6a) or having an academic parent (Model 6b). Unstandardized regression coefficients are presented, with standardized coefficients in parentheses. Standardized standard errors correspond to the standardized estimates. All models include gender as a covariate (women as the reference category). The reference category for Academic Discipline is *STEM*; the reference categories for moderators are *No close family relationship* (Model 6a) and *No academic parent* (Model 6b). Interaction terms represent the differential association between each academic discipline and GAD scores across levels of the moderator. R^2 and adjusted R^2 values indicate explained variance for each full model.

Discussion

Our study provides a large-scale examination of anxiety among U.S. academic faculty, revealing critical insights into how familial relationships and academic lineage moderate anxiety across different institutional contexts. These findings address a significant gap in our understanding of faculty mental health and offer actionable insights for institutional interventions.

The protective effect of close family relationships on faculty anxiety, particularly strong at HBCUs/HSIs, suggests that social support systems play a crucial role in faculty mental well-being. This finding extends previous research on social support in academic settings[21] by demonstrating its specific impact on faculty anxiety levels. The pronounced effect at HBCUs/HSIs may reflect these institutions' historically strong emphasis on community and mentorship[22,23], suggesting that institutional culture can amplify the benefits of family support. Our finding that having an academic parent has impacts for adjunct faculty as a protective factor reveals a previously unexamined dimension of academic mental health. This finding contextualizes research showing that tenure-track faculty are 25 times more likely to have a parent with a PhD[24], suggesting that having an academic parent may confer advantages to degree-seeking behavior, matriculation, or securing an academic job; however, these effects may be primarily felt by adjunct/part-time faculty. The clear relationship between academic rank and anxiety levels, with tenure-track assistant professors showing the highest anxiety scores, highlights a critical vulnerability in academic career trajectories. This finding confirms that the traditional tenure system, while designed to ensure academic excellence[25], creates a significant psychological burden for early-career faculty. The relatively lower anxiety levels among non-tenure track full professors compared to their tenure-track counterparts raises important questions about the relationship between job security and mental well-being in academia[12].

The minimal impacts of academic discipline on anxiety challenges assumptions about discipline-specific stress levels in academia. Instead, our findings suggest that institutional factors and support systems play small, but consistent roles in faculty mental health. This insight argues for institutional

interventions that focus on building supportive environments rather than discipline-specific solutions. It also suggests further research into other types of social support as buffers for anxiety among faculty. For example, it may be that other details of familial relationships – whether parents were authoritative or permissive or whether close family relationships also included financial or emotional supports – may have lead to strong effects on anxiety and warrant future investigation.

Several limitations should be considered when interpreting these results. First, our study's cross-sectional design prevents causal inference about the relationship between family support and anxiety levels. Second, the self-reported nature of the GAD-7 assessment, while validated, may be subject to response bias. Third, our focus on U.S. institutions limits the generalizability of findings to other academic contexts globally. We deliberately excluded health profession faculty from our analysis due to their distinct clinical practice challenges and COVID-19 related stressors. While this decision enhanced the internal validity of our findings for traditional academic faculty, it limits our ability to speak to the experiences of medical educators and clinical faculty. This will be examined in future analyses but was outside of the current scope. The response rate to our survey introduces potential selection bias, as faculty experiencing severe anxiety might be either more likely to participate due to personal relevance or less likely due to avoidance behaviors. This can also be seen in the higher proportion of tenured full professor faculty responding to the survey, who we noted to experience lower levels of anxiety. Additionally, the timing of our data collection (June-December 2024) may reflect specific temporal stressors in the academic calendar.

Our study did not include analyses of demographic patterns. We excluded demographic covariates aside from gender for two primary reasons: First, our investigation was focused on the interaction between structural and social factors on anxiety among academic faculty and adding demographic factors would change the central research question (e.g., does race or gender explain differences in anxiety levels above and beyond academic discipline). Although our sample had some demographic diversity, such questions would be better answered in a sample with focused recruitment

strategies to ensure good representation among the demographic subgroups of interest. Second, demographic covariates added to our analyses would potentially yield uninterpretable results. For example, only age and income were found to have significant relations to other predictors in the sample. If added as covariates, we anticipated problems with multicollinearity (higher ranked professors are older and paid more) and inference (conceptually rank and age are expected to be related). In a review of the literature on academics and mental health, few studies examined demographic differences in mental health among academics, and none used study designs explicitly investigating causal factors related to social identity or demographics and results were inconclusive due to design issues (Urbina-Garcia, 2020). Future studies should explore study designs that can tease apart demographic differences in anxiety concerns among academics.

Another limitation lies in our use of unvalidated measures, with the exception of the GAD-7, in our survey. In the current study, we used face valid dichotomous items (academic parent, close relationships) to understand social supports that were hypothesized to impact anxiety among academics. Although established measures would have been preferred, we are unaware of scale development that focuses on academic experiences of faculty. Future work to develop and refine measures for assessment of constructs that matter to faculty experiences would add to robustness and create opportunities for institutional policy and intervention

Our results suggest several practical implications for higher education institutions. First, universities should consider developing targeted support programs for early-career faculty that explicitly acknowledge and address anxiety. Second, institutions might benefit from fostering stronger community connections, particularly for faculty without academic family backgrounds. Third, the success of HBCU/HSI models in leveraging family support suggests valuable lessons for other institutions in building supportive academic communities. Future research should examine longitudinal changes in faculty anxiety levels, particularly through critical career transitions. Investigation of specific institutional support programs and their effectiveness could provide practical guidance for universities.

Cross-cultural studies comparing faculty anxiety across different national academic systems would enhance the global applicability of these findings.

This study comes at a crucial time when academia faces increasing pressure to address mental health concerns while maintaining academic excellence. By identifying specific moderators of faculty anxiety, our study provides evidence-based guidance for institutions seeking to support faculty well-being while preserving the rigorous standards of academic achievement.

Figure Legends

S Figure 1. ***Predicted Effect of Institution Type on Anxiety Based on Close Family Relationship or Academic Parent Status***. (A) Predicted anxiety levels based on close family relationships across different institution types. (B) Predicted anxiety levels based on having an academic parent across different institution types. Anxiety levels are measured by the GAD-7 and are predictions of the linear model. HBCU = Historically Black Colleges and Universities; HSI = Hispanic-Serving Institutions; Private = Private 4-year colleges and universities; Public = Public 4-year colleges and universities.



S Figure 2. ***Predicted Effect of Academic Rank and Tenure on Anxiety Based on Close Family Relationship or Academic Parent Status***. (A) Predicted anxiety levels based on close family relationships across different academic ranks. (B) Predicted anxiety levels based on having an academic parent across different academic ranks. Anxiety levels are measured by the GAD-7. TT = Tenure Track; NTT = Non-tenure Track. Adjunct represents adjunct and part-time faculty.



S Figure 3. ***Predicted Effect of Academic Discipline on Anxiety Based on Close Family Relationship or Academic Parent Status***. (A) Predicted anxiety levels based on close family relationships across surveyed academic disciplines (B) Predicted anxiety levels based on having an academic parent across surveyed academic disciplines. Anxiety levels are measured by the GAD-7.



Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: This study was approved by the Howard University Institutional Review Board. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study was conducted in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Availability of data and material: Due to the IRB stipulations, the collected data used for this study cannot be shared or made publicly accessible, however, are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests: No competing interests

Funding: No funding

Authors' contributions:

AA and MH -- Designed the study

AA and PM -- Collected the data

NT -- Conducted the statistical analysis

AA, PM, NT, MH -- contributed to writing the paper

Acknowledgements: N/A

Clinical trial number: Not applicable.

References

1 Rodebaugh, T. L., Weisman, J. S., & Tonge, N. A. (2018). Anxiety disorders. In J. N. Butcher & J. M. Hooley (Eds.), APA handbook of psychopathology: Psychopathology: Understanding, assessing, and treating adult mental disorders (pp. 429–454). American Psychological Association. https://doi.org/10.1037/0000064-018

2 Ter Meulen, W. G., Draisma, S., van Hemert, A. M., Schoevers, R. A., Kupka, R. W., Beekman, A. T., & Penninx, B. W. (2021). Depressive and anxiety disorders in concert–A synthesis of findings on comorbidity in the NESDA study. *Journal of affective disorders*, *284*, 85-97.

3 Horenstein, A., Potter, C. M., & Heimberg, R. G. (2018). How does anxiety sensitivity increase risk of chronic medical conditions?. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, *25*(3), 110.

4 Baxter, A. J., Vos, T., Scott, K. M., Ferrari, A. J., & Whiteford, H. A. (2014). The global burden of anxiety disorders in 2010. *Psychological medicine*, *44*(11), 2363-2374.

5 Baker, V. L., Terosky, A. L., & Martinez, E. (2017). *Faculty Members' Scholarly Learning Across Institutional Types: ASHE Higher Education Report*. John Wiley & Sons.

6 Tan, G. X., Soh, X. C., Hartanto, A., Goh, A. Y., & Majeed, N. M. (2023). Prevalence of anxiety in college and university students: An umbrella review. *Journal of Affective Disorders Reports*, *14*, 100658.

7 Tian-Ci Quek, T., Wai-San Tam, W., X. Tran, B., Zhang, M., Zhang, Z., Su-Hui Ho, C., & Chun-Man Ho, R. (2019). The global prevalence of anxiety among medical students: a meta-analysis. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, *16*(15), 2735.

8 Li, W., Zhao, Z., Chen, D., Peng, Y., & Lu, Z. (2022). Prevalence and associated factors of depression and anxiety symptoms among college students: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of child psychology and psychiatry*, *63*(11), 1222-1230.

9 Satinsky, E. N., Kimura, T., Kiang, M. V., Abebe, R., Cunningham, S., Lee, H., ... & Tsai, A. C. (2021). Systematic review and meta-analysis of depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation among Ph. D. students. Scientific Reports, 11(1), 14370.

10 Walter, M. (2023). Review of Teresa Heinz Housel's Mental Health among Higher Education Faculty, Administrators, and Graduate Students: A Critical Perspective (Rowman & Littlefield, 2021). Qualitative research in medicine & healthcare, 7(3), 12127.

11 Asher BlackDeer, MSW, PhD Candidate, A., Patterson Silver Wolf, PhD, D. A., Maguin, PhD, E., & Beeler-Stinn, PhD, S. (2023). Depression and anxiety among college students: Understanding the impact on grade average and differences in gender and ethnicity. Journal of American college health, 71(4), 1091-1102.

12 Reevy, G. M., & Deason, G. (2014). Predictors of depression, stress, and anxiety among non-tenure track faculty. *Frontiers in psychology*, *5*, 701.

13 Meeks, K., Peak, A. S., & Dreihaus, A. (2023). Depression, anxiety, and stress among students, faculty, and staff. Journal of American college health, 71(2), 348-354.

14 Hill, N. T., Bailey, E., Benson, R., Cully, G., Kirtley, O. J., Purcell, R., ... & Walton, C. C. (2022). Researching the researchers: psychological distress and psychosocial stressors according to career stage in mental health researchers. BMC psychology, 10(1), 19.

15 Hammoudi Halat, D., Soltani, A., Dalli, R., Alsarraj, L., & Malki, A. (2023). Understanding and fostering mental health and well-being among university faculty: A narrative review. Journal of clinical medicine, 12(13), 4425.

16 Spitzer, R. L., Kroenke, K., Williams, J. B., & Löwe, B. (2006). A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Archives of internal medicine, 166(10), 1092-1097.

17 R Core Team. (2024). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing* [Computer software]. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Https://www.R-project.org/

18 Osborne, J. W., & Overbay, A. (2004). The power of outliers (and why researchers should always check for them). Practical Assessment, Research, and Evaluation, 9(1).

19 Ryan, E., Hore, K., Power, J., & Jackson, T. (2023). The relationship between physician burnout and depression, anxiety, suicidality and substance abuse: A mixed methods systematic review. *Frontiers in public health*, *11*, 1133484.

20 Rodriguez, R. M., Medak, A. J., Baumann, B. M., Lim, S., Chinnock, B., Frazier, R., & Cooper, R. J. (2020). Academic emergency medicine physicians' anxiety levels, stressors, and potential

stress mitigation measures during the acceleration phase of the COVID-19 pandemic. Academic Emergency Medicine, 27(8), 700-707.

21 Parson, L. A., Sands, R. G., & Duane, J. (1992). Sources of career support for university faculty. Research in Higher Education, 33(2), 161-176.

22 Bannister, J. (2019). Mentoring at Minority-Serving Institutions. In *Competency-Based and Social-Situational Approaches for Facilitating Learning in Higher Education* (pp. 110-123). IGI Global.

23 Diggs, S. N., Bharath, D. M., Roberts-Lewis, K., & Bailey, D. (2024). Mentoring at the intersection of student and faculty retention at Historically Black Colleges and University (HBCUs) masters of public administration programs. In Mentorship in Higher Education (pp. 65-83). Routledge.24 Morgan, A. C., LaBerge, N., Larremore, D. B., Galesic, M., Brand, J. E., & Clauset, A. (2022). Socioeconomic roots of academic faculty. Nature human behaviour, 6(12), 1625-1633.

25 Schimanski, L. A., & Alperin, J. P. (2018). The evaluation of scholarship in academic promotion and tenure processes: Past, present, and future. F1000Research, 7, 1605.

26 Urbina-Garcia A. (2020). What do we know about university academics' mental health? A systematic literature review. *Stress and health : journal of the International Society for the Investigation of Stress*, *36*(5), 563–585. https://doi.org/10.1002/smi.2956

27. Winefield, A. H., Gillespie, N., Stough, C., Dua, J., Hapuarachchi, J., & Boyd, C. (2003). Occupational stress in Australian university staff: Results from a national survey. *International Journal of Stress Management*, *10*(1), 51.

28. Suchikova, Y., Kolomiiets, U., Popova, A., Lopatina, H., & Tsybuliak, N. (2024). Calm me down, or I'll leave: anxiety and institutional support among Ukrainian academic staff during wartime. BMC Public Health, 24(1), 3483.

29. Catano, V., Francis, L., Haines, T., Kirpalani, H., Shannon, H., Stringer, B., & Lozanzki, L. (2010). Occupational stress in Canadian universities: A national survey. *International Journal of Stress Management*, *17*(3), 232.

30. Evanoff, B. A., Strickland, J. R., Dale, A. M., Hayibor, L., Page, E., Duncan, J. G., ... & Gray, D. L. (2020). Work-related and personal factors associated with mental well-being during the COVID-19 response: survey of health care and other workers. *Journal of medical Internet research*, *22*(8), e21366.

31. Tsybuliak, N., Lopatina, H., Shevchenko, L., Popova, A., & Suchikova, Y. (2024). Burnout and migration of Ukrainian university academic staff during the war. *Sage Open*, *14*(3), 21582440241279137.

32. Carr, E., Davis, K., Bergin-Cartwright, G., Lavelle, G., Leightley, D., Oetzmann, C., ... & Hotopf, M. (2022). Mental health among UK university staff and postgraduate students in the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, *79*(4), 259-267.

33. Hammoudi Halat, D., Sami, W., Soltani, A., & Malki, A. (2024). Mental health interventions affecting university faculty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Public Health, 24(1), 3040.