Processes for creating an interprofessional mental health identity among pre-registration healthcare students: A scoping review protocol.

Melissa Owens[1], Gerard, Charl Filies[2], Molly Crosland[1], Claire Carswell[1]

[1] Department of Health Sciences, University of York, York, UK

[2] Faculty of Community and Health Sciences, University of the Western Cape, Cape Town, Western Cape, South Africa

Abstract

Background

Developing an interprofessional mental health identity among pre-registration (licensure) healthcare students is critical for promoting effective interprofessional collaboration in mental health care. An interprofessional mental health identity refers to the shared beliefs, values and attitudes that will enable healthcare students/professionals to work together effectively in addressing mental health issues. An interprofessional socialisation framework can assist students and healthcare professionals develop a dual identity to foster interprofessional collaborative person-centred practice. However, the processes and strategies for facilitating this amongst pre-registration healthcare students are not clearly defined or understood. This scoping review aims to map the available literature on how interprofessional mental health identity is created for pre-registration healthcare students.

Methods

We will follow the five stages of Arksey and O'Malley's Framework and identify our search terms

using the 'population', 'concept' and 'context' (PCC) criteria. We will search CINAHL, Medline, British

Nursing Database, PsychInfo, Cochrane Library and AMED from 1990 to May 2024. Retrieved

records will be managed in Covidence and screened independently by two reviewers. Data

extraction forms will be developed to capture relevant data related to the review aim. The forms

will be piloted and the data extraction process completed by two independent reviewers. Narrative

synthesis will be used to provide a descriptive overview of the included articles.

Discussion

Little is known regarding the process by which pre-registration healthcare students develop an

interprofessional, mental health identity.   Therefore, this scoping review will draw together existing

research to create a conceptual model for the process of developing an interprofessional mental

health identity.

Introduction

Mental health is a neglected but crucial aspect of overall well-being, and its importance in healthcare has been increasingly recognised in recent years. Votruba and Thornicroft (2016) [1] highlight the growing importance of mental health in healthcare. In September 2015, mental health was included in the United Nations (UN) Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The UN, therefore acknowledged for the next 15 years, the burden of disease of mental illness as a growing priority for global development. Nevertheless, mental health orders remain at an all-time high and access to effective mental health services continues to be a significant challenge globally for the population [2]. The need for professionals to work together collaboratively to address this challenge has long since been established [3], with interprofessional collaboration specifically identified as a key action for improving the quality and efficiency of mental health services [4]. For the health workforce to collaboratively address health challenges, interprofessional education (IPE) is needed to lay down a foundation. IPE aims to prepare pre-registration healthcare students from different professions to work collaboratively and develop a shared understanding of their roles and responsibilities in providing patient-centred care [2].

We believe, therefore, that developing an interprofessional mental health identity among pre-registration healthcare students is critical for promoting effective interprofessional collaboration in mental health care. An interprofessional identity refers to the shared beliefs, values and attitudes that will enable healthcare students/professionals to work together effectively [5]. However, the processes and strategies for fostering an interprofessional mental health identity among pre-registration healthcare students are not clearly defined or understood.

The concept of an interprofessional identity first emerged in the 1990s and, although no definitive definition exists, is helpfully described by Khalili et al. as a 'sense of belonging to own profession and

interprofessional community'[5] and is considered to be made up of three elements: a sense of

belonging, of commitment and of shared beliefs [6] . It is essential to contemporary practice to

enhance interprofessional working practices, although particular challenges are recognised in

achieving this at pre-registration level. In particular, power differentials can reduce confidence in

speaking up and ultimately affect patient safety [7]. Discussions are continuing regarding how best

to facilitate the development of an interprofessional identity, with challenges such as power

dynamics recognised as a factor that can impede it [7]. Sociological literature, such as Witz [8] and

Freidson [9], has been used to consider how identity is formed within professional groups, with

identity theory, as well as social identity theory, used to explore and explain interprofessional

identity [7, 10].

However, pre-registration students face particular challenges in finding a mental health field identity

due to the generic nature of most professional programmes. Globally, for example, nursing students

will complete a generic programme of study and then specialise in a field of practice after

registration. However, in the UK, nursing students choose their field of practice at the point of

application, meaning the professional identity for mental health field students could be formed early

in their professional careers.

However, a significant challenge they face is the genericism of the nursing programmes within the

UK. The Professional Standards for Nursing [11] require students from all fields of nursing to be

competent in the same proficiencies which can lead to a sense of hierarchy between fields of

nursing, with mental health nursing seen as being of lower status than that of the adult field nurse

[12]. Pre-registration students have a strong desire to 'belong' to their own profession before and in

the early stages of their professional journey [13, 14], which can lead to resentment of others [15].

Having a strong, professional identity is considered an essential prerequisite to developing a strong,

interprofessional, also known as a dual, identity [5]. However, it can equally have an opposite effect

if the uni-professional identity feels threatened [15] and thus makes this review important.

101 Aim and Objectives

The aim of this review is to map the available literature on how interprofessional mental health

identity has been created for pre-registration healthcare students and includes two overarching

objectives:

▫   Outline the range and nature of literature published on interprofessional mental health

identity for pre-registration healthcare students

▫   Describe the processes and strategies used to foster an interprofessional mental health

identity in pre-registration healthcare students

110 Materials and Methods

111 A scoping review will be conducted to address the breadth of the research question and map the

112 available literature on the subject. This scoping review will follow the five stages of Arksey and

113 O'Malley's (2005) Framework [16]. The protocol has been registered prospectively on Open Science

114 Framework Registries (DOI: https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/TJ9E7) prior to screening records. At

115 the time of writing this protocol, data extraction has not yet started.

Stage One: Identification of the Research Question

To focus on mental health identity in pre-registration health care students and ascertain if an

interprofessional mental health identity has been defined and, if so, the processes by which it is

created.

Stage Two: Identify Relevant Studies

Eligibility Criteria

Inclusion and exclusion criteria were developed according to the PCC mnemonic (Population,

Concept, Context).

We will include:

- Articles that focus on a population of pre-registration healthcare students or involve

  participants who are pre-registration healthcare students. In this review, this is defined as

  anyone undertaking a degree in higher education that would make them eligible for

  registration with a professional regulatory body, enabling them to work in the area of

  mental health. This would include, but is not limited to, nurses, medical doctors,

  occupational therapists, pharmacists, other allied healthcare professionals, clinical

  psychologists and social workers.

- Articles which describe interprofessional mental health identity among pre-registration

  healthcare students.

- Articles which describe the processes of creating an interprofessional mental health identity.

☐ Primary empirical research articles (using any methodology), opinion pieces, theoretical

articles, news articles, governmental or charity reports, white papers, editorial letters,

commentary, and other grey literature.

☐ Articles published in English.

☐ Articles published after 1990.

We will exclude:

☐ Articles which focus exclusively on post-registration healthcare professionals.

☐ Articles which focus exclusively on healthcare workers or students enrolled on a course or

degree which does not make them eligible for registration with a professional regulatory

body, or who will not be subjected to statutory regulation before May 2024. For example,

physician associates, or healthcare support workers.

☐ Articles which describe the processes of creating an interprofessional identity outside the

context of mental health.

☐ Articles published in a language other than English, due to a lack of resources for translation

services.

☐ Articles published before 1990, due to the changes in education and regulation of healthcare

workers prior to 1990.

Information sources

We will search the following electronic databases: CINAHL, Medline, British Nursing Database,

PsychInfo, Cochrane Library, AMED from 1990 to May 2024 using search terms relevant to the

population, concept and context of the review. These search terms were developed by identifying

the relevant population, concept, context, and key terms that would capture these aspects of the

review question. We also identified previous reviews in relevant areas to identify important terms

and MeSH headings for inclusion in the search strings. We refined the search strings through

consultation with a subject librarian and piloting the search strings on Medline and CINAHL. An

exemplar search strategy for Medline is available in Appendix 1. We will identify grey literature

through searches of EThOs and ProQuest to find relevant dissertations and theses and search for

evaluation, policy documents and white papers from relevant organisations, such as

Interprofessional.Global (IP.Global), Interprofessional Research.Global (IPR.Global), The Centre for

the Advancement for Interprofessional Education (CAIPE), The Africa Interprofessional Education

Network (AfrIPEN), The Asia Pacific Interprofessional Education and Collaboration Network (APIPEC),

the Arab Network for Interprofessional Collaboration (ANIC), The Australian Interprofessional

Practice and Education Network (AIPPEN), The National Center for Interprofessional Practice and

Education (AIHC), The Noric Interprofessional Network (NIPNET), The Regional Network for

Interprofessional Education in the Americas (REIP), The National Academies of Practice (NAP), The

Dutch-speaking Network for Interprofessional Collaboration (IPINN), The Indian Interprofessional

Education Network (IndIPEN) and, IP-Health. Check re Canada

We will hand-search specialist journals that publish research on interprofessional working in health

and social care, such as the Journal of Interprofessional Care, and consult with relevant experts in

the field to ensure that all relevant articles are included in the review. Finally, we will review the

reference list of included articles to identify any other publications that have not been identified

through previous searches.

Stage 3: Identify Relevant Studies

Identified records will be imported into Covidence. Following the removal of duplicates, two

reviewers will independently screen titles and abstracts according to the prespecified eligibility

criteria. Any disagreements will be resolved through discussion or referral to a third reviewer.

Following title and abstract screening, full texts will be sourced where possible for the potentially

eligible records. Two independent reviewers will then read and screen the full texts against the

eligibility criteria, and any disagreements will be resolved through discussion or referral to a third

reviewer.

The full screening process will be presented in a PRISMA flow chart. Studies with multiple

publications will be grouped and reported as single studies under a primary reference.

194 Stage 4: charting the data

195 Data charting process

196   Data charting forms will be developed to capture all relevant data items related to the review's aim.

197   Two reviewers will pilot test these forms and carry out the data extraction process.

199 Data Items

200   To address the review aims, the following data items will be extracted from included articles:

201   author(s), year of publication, country of publication or data collection, aims/purpose, population

202   and sample size within the source of evidence (if applicable), methodology, key findings that relate

203   to the scoping review question/s, curricula activities, interventions, disciplines, and process/strategy

204   used for IP MH identity formation.

206 Stage 5: collating, summarizing and reporting the results

207 Synthesis of results

208 We will carry out a narrative synthesis to present a descriptive overview over included articles. We

209 will use this synthesis to develop a conceptual model for the process of creating an interprofessional

210 field identity in mental health.

211 Discussion

Through reviewing the literature, this scoping review will highlight those aspects which are essential

to help achieve an interprofessional, mental health, identity in pre-registration students. To date,

research on interprofessional identity has focused on an identity across professions, rather than an

identity across the mental health field, and particularly at pre-registration level. Through

undertaking this review it is anticipated that a theoretical model to help facilitate an

interprofessional mental health identity can be proposed.

References

1. Votruba N, Thornicroft G. Sustainable development goals and mental health: learnings from the contribution of the FundaMentalSDG global initiative. Global Mental Health. 2016;3:e26. Epub 2016/09/09. doi: 10.1017/gmh.2016.20.

2. World Health Organisation. Framework for Action on Interprofessional Education and Collaborative Practice 2010 [cited 2025 7th April]. Available from: https://www.who.int/hrh/resources/framework_action/en/.

3. Reeves S, Pelone F, Harrison R, Goldman J, Zwarenstein M. Interprofessional collaboration to improve professional practice and healthcare outcomes. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2017;(6). doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000072.pub3. PubMed PMID: CD000072.

4. Lapkin S, Levett-Jones T, Gilligan C. A systematic review of the effectiveness of interprofessional education in health professional programs. Nurse Education Today. 2013;33(2):90-102. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2011.11.006.

5. Khalili H, Carole O, Spence LHK, and Farah R. An interprofessional socialization framework for developing an interprofessional identity among health professions students. Journal of Interprofessional Care. 2013;27(6):448-53. doi: 10.3109/13561820.2013.804042.

6. Reinders JJ, Lycklama À Nijeholt M, Van Der Schans CP, Krijnen WP. The development and psychometric evaluation of an interprofessional identity measure: Extended Professional Identity Scale (EPIS). J Interprof Care. 2020:1-13. Epub 20200203. doi: 10.1080/13561820.2020.1713064. PubMed PMID: 32013632.

7. Reinders J-J, Krijnen W. Interprofessional identity and motivation towards interprofessional collaboration. Medical Education. 2023;57(11):1068-78. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.15096.

8. Witz A. Professions and Patriarchy. London: Routledge; 1992.

9. Freidson E. Profession of Medicine. New York: Harper and Row; 1970.

10. Nyatanga L. The Archetypal Roots of Ethnocentrism. In: Colyer H, Helme, M., and Jones, I. , editor. The Theory-Practice Relationship in Interprofessional Education. London: Higher Education Academy 2005.

11. Nursing & Midwifery Council. The code: Professional standards of practice and behaviour for nurses, midwives and nursing associates. 2018.

12. Buescher T, and McGugan S. Standing out on the Margins: Using Dialogical Narrative Analysis to Explore Mental Health Student Nurse Identity Construction and Core Modules. Issues in Mental Health Nursing. 2022;43(8):737-47. doi: 10.1080/01612840.2022.2037174.

13. Owens M. An exploration of collaborative practice and non-formal interprofessional

education by medical and nursing students in the primary care setting University of

Huddersfield; 2011.

14. Price SL, Meaghan S, Victoria L, Joan A, Cynthia A, Harriet D, et al. Pre-entry

perceptions of students entering five health professions: implications for interprofessional

education and collaboration. Journal of Interprofessional Care. 2021;35(1):83-91. doi:

10.1080/13561820.2019.1702514.

15. Abrams D. Social Identity, Psychology of. In: Smelser NJ, Baltes PB, editors.

International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences. Oxford: Pergamon; 2001. p.

14306-9.

16. Arksey H, and O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework.

International Journal of Social Research Methodology. 2005;8(1):19-32. doi:

10.1080/1364557032000119616.

Appendix 1 - Medline search strategy

1.   interprofession*.tw.

2.   interdisciplin*.tw.

3.   interoccupation*.tw.

4.   interinstitut*.tw.

5.   interagen*.tw.

6.   exp Interdisciplinary Communication/

7.   intersector*.tw.

8.   interdepartment*.tw.

9.   interorgani?ation$.tw.

10.   interprofessional relations/

11.   multiprofession*.tw.

12.   multidisciplin*.tw.

13.   multiinstitution*.tw.

14.   multioccupation*.tw.

15.   multiagenc*.tw.

16.   multisector*.tw.

17.   multiorgani?ation*.tw.

18.   transprofession*.tw.

19.   transdisciplin*.tw.

20.   collaborat*.tw.

21.   1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 17 or 18 or

19 or 20

22.   (education* or train* or learn* or teach* or course* or curricul*).tw.

23.   Education, Nursing, Associate/ or Education, Medical, Undergraduate/ or Nursing Education

Research/ or Education, Graduate/ or Education/ or Education, Pharmacy/ or Education, Pharmacy,

Continuing/ or Education, Nursing, Baccalaureate/ or Interprofessional Education/ or Education,

Professional/ or Education, Public Health Professional/ or Education, Continuing/ or Education,

Medical, Continuing/ or Education, Nursing/ or Education, Nursing, Continuing/ or Education,

Nursing, Graduate/ or Education, Medical/ or Education, Dental/ or Education, Pharmacy, Graduate/

or Education, Medical, Graduate/ or Education, Dental, Continuing/

24.   student*.tw.

25.   22 or 23 or 24

26.   (psychiat* or psycho* or mental* or emot*).tw.

27.   exp Mental Health/

28.   exp Mental Health Services/

29.   26 or 27 or 28

30.   21 and 25 and 29