Standard precautions perception and practice among health workers in the obstetrics-gynecology department of a referral hospital in Cameroon

Fabrice Zobel Lekeumo Cheuyem[1*], Emilia Enjema Lyonga[2], Innocent Takougang[1]

[1] Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Science, The University of Yaounde 1, Yaounde, Cameroon

[2] Department of Microbiology, Hematology and Infectious diseases, Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, The University of Yaounde I, Yaounde, Cameroon

*Corresponding author's address: Fabrice Zobel Lekeumo Cheuyem, Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine & Biomedical Sciences, The University of Yaounde I, Yaounde, Cameroon. Tel: +237 696 57 28 07; E-mail: zobelcheuyem@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Standard precautions are infection control and prevention (ICP) measures designed to reduce the risk of transmission of infectious agents in healthcare settings. In Cameroon, maternal and neonatal mortality remain a concern. Unsystematic compliance with these measures increases the risk of HAIs and other medical hazards. The present investigation aimed at assessing the baseline understanding of hand hygiene principles and perceptions, experience of occupational exposure to body fluids, and preventive vaccination coverage among HCWs in the obstetrics-gynecology ward of a referral hospital of Yaounde.

Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted in the obstetrics-gynecology department of the health facility from April to July 2024. A self-administered questionnaire was used to collect data from consenting HCWs. It was adapted from the WHO Knowledge Questionnaire for Health Care Workers.

Results: A total of 41 healthcare workers participated in the study. Participants were predominantly female (78%) and ranged in age from 20 to 57 years. Staff knowledge of hand hygiene was average overall, with a median score of 60%. Most of respondents considered hand hygiene to be an essential part of their care (90%). Most of paramedical staff (82%) significantly agreed that they had been properly trained on hand hygiene during their training (p=0.006). More than half of respondents (59%) experienced an accidental exposure to body fluids in the previous 12 months. A significant factor associated with the occurrence of occupational exposure to blood and other body fluids was a high level of education (aOR=14; p=0.044). The coverage of fully vaccinated HCWs was 27% for viral hepatitis B, 19% for COVID-19, and 0% for cholera. Factors associated with low adherence to vaccination included not having received training in ICP interventions (aOR=7.37; p=0.046) for hepatitis B vaccination and having completed tertiary education (aOR=14; p=0.043) for COVID-19. Half of the HCWs exposed (12/24=50%) to blood and body fluids were not fully vaccinated against viral hepatitis B.

Conclusions: This study revealed gaps in knowledge of hand hygiene, high occupational exposure to biological fluids and low vaccination. Health facility managers and national health authorities must therefore commit to implementing specific strategies to increase staff training in standard precautions and promote vaccination.

Keywords: Standard precautions, Hand hygiene, Knowledge and perception, Occupational exposure to blood, Vaccination, hepatitis B, COVID-19, Cameroon

Background

Standard precautions are infection control and prevention (ICP) measures designed to reduce the risk of transmission of infectious agents from recognized and unrecognized sources to healthcare workers (HCWs), patients, and visitors in healthcare settings [1]. Hand hygiene is a critical component of standard precautions and offers a simple yet effective solution to reducing healthcare-associated infections (HCAIs) and the spread of antimicrobial resistance across various healthcare settings, from advanced systems to primary healthcare centers [2,3]. Unsystematic compliance with these measures increases the risk of HAIs and other medical hazards [1].

The global healthcare landscape is compromised by the high incidence of HAIs, which are among the leading adverse events in healthcare settings [4]. Globally, nearly 9 million HAIs occur each year, resulting in approximately 1 million deaths with low- and middle-income countries bearing a disproportionate impact of this burden [5,7,8].

In Cameroon, the burden of occupational exposure to body fluids is high regardless of the health facility category. The prevalence among HCWs varies from 20 to 80%, largely due to poor adherence to standard precautions [8–10]. This exposure can lead to serious and potentially life-threatening diseases including viral hepatis B, COVID-19, cholera, measles, varicella and other pathogens transmitted through blood and other body fluids [11].

One of the most cost-effective strategies to prevent these infections is the vaccination of HCWs [12,13]. However, health authorities face several barriers to making to this vaccine fully accessible to HCWs [14]. Such barriers, except the economic accessibility, include vaccine hesitancy which leads to the refusal or delayed acceptance of vaccines despite the availability of immunization services [15,16]. Study reports highlight various factors that contribute to this hesitancy, including perceptions of disease risk and severity, mistrust of vaccines, fear of needles and adverse events, vaccines cost, societal factors such as social norms and religion [9,14,15]. These concerns have been fueled by the emergence of the COVID-19 and the rapid development of preventive vaccines [14,16].

High maternal (596/100,000 live births) and neonatal (25.6/1,000 live births) mortality persist in the country [17,18]. The primary drivers of maternal mortality include sepsis, abortion, place of delivery,

and suboptimal quality of care [19]. Inadequate delivery services result in significant puerperal (9-17%) and post-caesarean wound (2-32%) infections across health facilities [20–22].

Adherence to infection prevention measures by HCWs during labor and delivery has long been recognized as an important strategy for mitigating infection risks [23]. However, compliance with hand hygiene and other infection prevention measures among HCWs remains suboptimal among. To address this issue, sustained efforts are needed to identify and implement effective strategies. Education of HCWs and healthcare trainees is a key strategy in this endeavor [2,24].

To support data-driven decision-making, an assessment of health facilities providing maternal and neonatal care was needed. The objective of this investigation was to assess the baseline understanding of hand hygiene principles and perceptions, prevalence of occupational exposure to body fluids, and preventive vaccination coverage among HCWs in the obstetrics-gynecology ward of a referral hospital of Yaounde.

Methods

A descriptive cross-sectional research design was used to collect data over a three-month period (May-July 2024) in a referral hospital of Yaounde.

Yaounde is It is a city of approximately 3.2 million inhabitants covered by first, second, third and fourth level health facilities. Yaounde is the political capital of Cameroon with a population of around 3.2 million. This study setting is a leading public health institution. It serves as the fourth level of reference in the national health pyramid, providing specialized health services. It has a capacity of 138 beds and receives a significant number of patients, with 500 to 1,000 admissions per week [25–27].

A convenience sampling approach was used to enroll all consenting participants among the 48 HCWs present in the obstetrics-gynecology ward during the study period. They were residents, nurses, midwives/obstetric nurses, nursing assistants, and students.

A pre-tested questionnaire addressed to HCWs It was adapted from the knowledge assessment tool developed by WHO [2,28]. It included questions with items related to demographic characteristics, hand hygiene, occupational exposure to body fluids, vaccination against hepatitis B, COVID-19 and cholera.

A score of 1 was assigned for each correct response to the hand hygiene knowledge assessment and the score classified into three categories: good (≥75%), average (50-74%) and poor (<50%) [29]. Data were checked, coded and analyzed using R Statistics version 4.3.3. Fisher's probability test was used to compare proportions. The QQ diagram was used to compare the distribution of quantitative variables with respect to the normal distribution. The nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis's test was used to compare median scores between three or more study groups.

The student variable represented those undergoing training in general medicine who were on placement in the gynecology-obstetrics department. The term resident referred to students undergoing specialization in gynecology-obstetrics unit. The professional group variable included medical staff represented by residents, paramedical professionals (nurses, midwives/obstetric nurses, assistant-nurses) and medical students.

Participants were considered fully vaccinated if they had received at least three doses of hepatitis B vaccine according to the recommended schedule. For the COVID-19 vaccine, anyone who had received at least one dose of the Janssen vaccine or at least two doses of the Sinopharm or AstraZeneca vaccine was considered fully vaccinated.

Simple and multiple binary logistic regressions were used to assess the strength of association between variables and to remove potential confounders. The best predictors for the model were progressively selected using Akaike's information criterion (AIC). Confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated at the 95% level. A p-value<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

This study received ethical clearance from the Institutional Research Ethics Committee (CIER) of the Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, University of Yaounde 1, under protocol number 1017/UYI/FMSB/VDRC/DAASR/CSD. Additional approval was obtained from the General Management of the health facility. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to their enrolment in the study. All procedures adhered strictly to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Results

Among 47 contacted HCWs, 46 (98%) participated, with 41 (89%) returning fully completed questionnaires.

Socio-professional characteristics of study participants

Participants ranged in age from 20 to 57 years, with a median age of 30 [22; 47] years. They were predominantly female (78%) and had higher education (73.2%). Their median work experience was 3 [3; 19] years.

Hand hygiene knowledge

Nearly two thirds of respondents (68%) identified hand hygiene before touching a patient as the ideal time to prevent the transmission of germs. However, less than a third (20%) had poor knowledge of the ideal time for this practice. Less than a third of HCWs (20%) had good knowledge of the minimum duration of action of hydroalcoholic gel. Medical staff (63%) had significantly better knowledge (p=0.027) (Table 1).

Table 1 Hand hygiene knowledge of healthcare workers in the gynecology-obstetrics department, May 2024 (*n*=41)

Assessed knowledge	Total	Professional group *n* (%)			*p*-value[1]
		Medical 8 (100)	Paramedical 23 (100)	Student 10 (100)	
Timing of hand hygiene to prevent transmission of germs to the patient					
Immediately before a clean/aseptic procedure *(Yes)*	12 (29)	2 (25)	7 (30)	3 (30)	>0.999
Immediately after exposure to body fluids *(Yes)*	12 (29)	2 (25)	7 (30.4)	3 (30)	>0.999
Before touching a patient *(Yes)*	28 (68)	7 (88)	13 (57)	8 (80)	0.202
After exposure to the patient's immediate environment *(No)*	8 (20)	3 (38)	3 (13)	2 (2)	0.322
Minimum duration of efficacy of hydroalcoholic gel *(20 sec)*	10 (24)	5 (63)	4 (17)	1 (10)	**0.027**
Elements associated with an increased probability of germ colonization of hands					
Injured skin (Yes)	24 (59)	4 (50)	13 (57)	7 (70)	0.755
Wearing jewelry (Yes)	20 (49)	3 (38)	10 (43)	1 (10)	0.214
Artificial nails (Yes)	26 (63)	5 (63)	16 (70)	5 (50)	0.564
Regular use of hand cream (No)	2 (5)	0 (0)	2 (9)	0 (0)	>0.999
Best method of hygiene in each situation					
Before palpating an abdomen (Hydroalcoholic gel)	26 (63)	6 (75)	14 (61)	6 (60)	0.822
After removing examination gloves (Washing)	31 (76)	7 (86)	17 (74)	7 (70)	0.785
Before administering an injection (Hydro-alcoholic gel)	19 (46)	5 (63)	9 (39)	5 (50)	0.534
After emptying a bedpan (Washing)	36 (88)	7 (88)	20 (87)	9 (90)	>0.999
After making the patient's bed (Hydro-alcoholic gel)	15 (37)	1 (13)	10 (43)	4 (40)	0.369
After exposure to blood (Washing)	37 (90)	7 (88)	21 (91)	9 (90)	>0.999
Knowledge score					
Median score	60	57	60	60	0.9[(2)]
Interquartile range	53 - 67	53 - 63	50 - 67	48 - 65	

[1] Fisher exact probability test; [2] Kruskal-Wallis's test

Perception and practice of hand hygiene

Most of respondents (88%) reported that they always practiced hand hygiene and considered it an essential part of their care (90%). Most respondents (39%) disagreed with the statement that no hand hygiene courses were offered in their departments (Figure 1).



Fig. 1 Attitude and practice related to hand hygiene among healthcare workers at the gynecology-obstetrics unit, May 2024 (*n*=41)

Most of paramedical staff (82%) significantly agreed that they had been properly trained on hand hygiene during their training (p=0.006). In contrast, medical students were less likely to agree with this statement (20%) (Table 2).

Table 2 Attitude and practice relating to hand hygiene according to professional group in the gynecology-obstetrics department, May 2024 (n=41)

Attitude & Practice	Professional group *n* (%)			*p*-value[1]
	Medical 8 (100)	Paramedical 23 (100)	Medical 8 (100)	
I adhere to correct hand hygiene at all times				>0.999
Agree	7 (88)	20 (87)	9 (9)	
Neutral	1 (13)	2 (9)	1 (10)	
Disagree	0 (0)	1 (4)	0 (0)	
Wearing gloves reduces the need for hand hygiene				0.969
Agree	2 (25)	8 (35)	3 (30)	
Neutral	1 (13)	3 (13)	2 (20)	
Disagree	5 (62)	12 (52)	5 (50)	
I was properly trained in hand hygiene during my training				**0.006**
Agree	4 (50)	21 (82)	4 (40)	
Neutral	3 (37)	1 (4)	4 (40)	
Disagree	1 (13)	1 (4)	2 (20)	
Compliance with correct hand hygiene is easy in my department				0.154
Agree	2 (25)	16 (70)	4 (40)	
Neutral	2 (25)	3 (13)	3 (30)	
Disagree	4 (50)	4 (17)	3 (30)	
Hand hygiene is essential to my work in the department				0.625
Agree	7 (88)	20 (87)	10 (100)	
Neutral	1 (13)	3 (13)	0 (0)	
Infection control leaflets remind me about hand hygiene				0.851
Agree	5 (62)	14 (61)	8 (80)	
Neutral	2 (25)	6 (26)	2 (20)	
Disagree	1 (13)	3 (13)	0 (0)	
Hand hygiene courses are not organized in my unit				0.143
Agree	3 (37)	9 (39)	4 (40)	
Neutral	4 (50)	4 (17)	5 (50)	
Disagree	1 (13)	10 (44)	1 (10)	

[1] Fisher exact probability test

Occupational exposure to body fluids

A total of 24 HCWs (59% of respondents) experienced an accidental exposure to biological fluids in the last 12 months. Splashes were the main cause of exposure (96% of cases), followed by percutaneous exposure (16%).

The biological fluids most frequently involved were blood (50%) and amniotic fluid (44%) (Figure 2).



Fig. 2 Reported types of biological fluids involved in occupational exposures by health care workers in the gynecology-obstetrics, May 2024 (n=24)

Univariate analysis revealed two factors significantly associated with occupational exposure to biological fluids: grade (OR=11; p=0.046) and hepatitis B vaccination status (OR=7.5; p=0.018). Multivariate analysis revealed that healthcare workers with a higher level of education were significantly 14 times more likely to be accidentally exposed to biological fluids than those with a secondary level of education (p=0.044).

In addition, half of the staff exposed (12/24=50%) to blood and body fluids were not fully vaccinated against viral hepatitis B (Table 3).

Table 3 Simple and multiple binomial logistic regressions of parameters associated with occupational exposure to biological fluids among healthcare workers in the gynecology-obstetrics, May 2024 (*n*=41)

Factor	Exposition *n* (%)		Total *n*	cOR	*p*-value	aOR	95% CI limits		*p*-value[2]
	Yes 24 (58)	No 17 (42)					Lower	Upper	
Age[1]									
□25	8 (53)	7 (47)	15	1					
25 - 34	8 (89)	1 (11)	9	7.0	0.099				
35 - 44	2 (40)	3 (60)	5	0.6	0.607				
45 +	6 (50)	6 (50)	12	0.9	0.863				
Gender									
Male	5 (56)	4 (44)	9	1		1			
Female	19 (59)	13 (41)	32	1.2	0.837	2.3	0.3	24.1	0.451
Marital status									
Single	16 (55)	13 (45)	29	1		1			
Married	8 (67)	4 (33)	12	1.6	0.498	1.9	0.3	16.3	0.520
Educational level									
Secondary	4 (36)	7 (64)	11	1		1			
Higher	20 (67)	10 (33)	30	3.5	0.089	14.2	1.1	503	**0.044**
Grade									
Nurse	5 (38)	8 (62)	13	1		1			
Resident	7 (87)	1 (13)	8	11.2	**0.046**	12.1	0.8	483	0.112
Midwife/Obstetric nurse	5 (71)	2 (29)	7	4.0	0.170	1.1	0.1	24.8	0.966
Nurse-assistant	2 (67)	1 (33)	3	3.2	0.389	9.4	0.3	596	0.214
Student	5 (50)	5 (50)	10	1.6	0.581	2.4	0.2	43.7	0.510
Professional group									
Student	5 (50)	5 (50)	10	1					
Paramedical	12 (52)	11 (48)	23	1.1	0.908				
Medical	7 (87)	1 (13)	8	7.0	0.117				
Professional experience 1[1]									
□5	15 (68)	7 (32)	22	1					
5 +	9 (47)	10 (53)	19	0.4	0.181				
Professional experience 2[1]									
□10	16 (67)	8 (33)	24	1					
10 +	8 (47)	9 (53)	17	0.4	0.212				
Hepatitis B vaccination status									
Unvaccinated	12 (44)	15 (56)	27	1					
Vaccinated	12 (86)	2 (14)	14	7.5	**0.018**				

[1]Unit in years; [2]Fisher exact probability test; cOR: crude Odds Ratio; aOR: adjusted Odds Ratio; CI: Confidence interval

Most of HCWs (73%) reported that there were no posters on the ward about management of accidental exposures to blood and other biological fluids.

Compliance with preventive vaccination

The coverage of fully vaccinated HCWs was 27% for hepatitis B and 19% for COVID-19. However, no participant was fully vaccinated against cholera, with a small proportion partially vaccinated (5%) (Figure 3).



Fig. 3 Vaccination coverage against viral hepatitis B, COVID-19 and cholera among health workers in the gynecology-obstetrics department, May 2024 (n=41)

Hepatitis B vaccination

The results of the multivariate analysis showed that the following factors were associated with low adherence to viral hepatitis B vaccination:

Age: workers aged 45 and over were three times more likely not to be vaccinated than those aged under 25 (aOR=2.6).

Gender: Men were three times more likely not to be vaccinated than women (aOR=2.8).

Marital status: married workers were 40% more likely not to be vaccinated than unmarried workers.

Level of education: staff with a higher level of education were 50% more likely not to be vaccinated than those with a secondary level of education.

Training: staff who had not received training in ICP measures were significantly seven times more likely not to be vaccinated than those who reported having received such training (aOR=7.37; p=0.046) (Table 4).

Table 4 Univariate and multivariate analysis of parameters associated with non-adherence to vaccination against viral hepatitis B among healthcare workers in the gynecology-obstetrics department, May 2024 (*n*=41).

Factor	Vaccination *n* (%)		Total *n*	cOR	*p*-value	aOR	95% CI limits		*p*-value[2]
	No 27 (66)	Yes 14 (34)					Lower	Upper	
Age[1]									
□25	11 (73)	4 (27)	15	1		1			
25 - 44	9 (64)	5 (36)	14	0.7	0.599	0.8	0.1	9,1	0.872
45 +	7 (58)	5 (42)	12	0.5	0.414	2.6	0.1	91,3	0.563
Sex									
Female	19 (59)	13 (41)	32	1		1			
Male	8 (89)	1 (11)	9	5.5	0.129	2.8	0.3	70,4	0.435
Marital status									
Single	19 (66)	10 (34)	29	1		1			
Married	8 (67)	4 (33)	12	1.1	0.943	1.4	0.2	10,4	0.706
Educational level									
Secondary	6 (55)	5 (45)	11	1		1			
Higher	21 (70)	9 (30)	30	1.9	0.358	1.5	0.2	12,3	0.704
Grade									
Care assistant	1 (33)	2 (67)	3	1					
Nurse	10 (77)	3 (23)	13	6.7	0.172				
Resident	6 (75)	2 (25)	8	6.0	0.223				
Midwife/Maieutician	3 (43)	4 (57)	7	1.5	0.778				
Medical student	7 (70)	3 (30)	10	4.7	0.273				
Professional group									
Paramedical	14 (61)	9 (39)	13	1		1			
Student	7 (70)	3 (30)	10	1.5	0.617	0.7	0.1	8,9	0.798
Medical	6 (75)	2 (25)	8	1.9	0.476	1.3	0.1	22,3	0.849
refresher training received on ICP measures									
Oui	6 (43)	8 (57)	14	1		1			
Non	21 (78)	6 (22)	27	4.7	**0.030**	7.4	1.0	97,3	**0.046**
Professional experience 1[1]									
□5	13 (59)	9 (41)	22	1					
5 +	14 (74)	5 (26)	19	1.9	0.328				
Professional experience 2[1]									
□10	15 (63)	9 (37)	24	1					
10 +	12 (71)	5 (29)	17	1.4	0.591				

[1]Unit in years; [2] Fisher exact probability test; cOR: crude Odds Ratio; aOR: adjusted Odds Ratio; CI: Confidence interval

COVID-19 vaccination

Multivariate analysis showed that healthcare workers with tertiary education were 44 times more likely than those with secondary education not to have been vaccinated against COVID-19, and this was significant (p=0.043). In addition, although not significant, healthcare workers with ICP training were seven times more likely not to be vaccinated against COVID-19 (Table 5).

Table 5 Univariate and multivariate analysis of factors associated with non-compliance with COVID-19 vaccination among healthcare workers in the gynecology-obstetrics department, May 2024 (n=41)

Factor	Vaccination *n* (%)		Total *n*	cOR	*p*-value	aOR	95% CI limits		*p*-value[2]
	No 31 (76)	Yes 10 (24)					Lower	Upper	
Age[1]									
□25	12 (80)	3 (20)	15	1					
25 - 34	7 (78)	2 (22)	9	0.9	0.896				
35 - 44	2 (40)	3 (60)	5	0.2	0.109				
45 +	10 (83)	2 (17)	12	1.3	0.824				
Gender									
Female	24 (75)	8 (25)	32	1		1	1		
Male	7 (78)	2 (22)	9	1.2	0.864	0.1	0.0	1.7	0.140
Marital status									
Married	9 (75)	3 (25)	29	1		1	1		
Single	22 (76)	7 (24)	12	1.1	0.953	0.5	0.0	5.4	0.593
Educational level									
Secondary	6 (55)	5 (45)	11	1		1	1		
Higher	25 (83)	5 (17)	30	4.2	0.066	43.6	1.4	5.2	**0.043**
Grade									
Care assistant	2 (67)	1 (33)	3	1		1	1		
Nurse	9 (69)	4 (31)	13	1.1	0.931	0.6	0.0	27.6	0.793
Resident	6 (75)	2 (25)	8	1.5	0.783	0.1	0.0	6.8	0.264
Midwife/Maieutician	5 (71)	2 (29)	7	1.3	0.880	0.1	0.0	24.0	0.346
Medical student	9 (90)	1 (10)	10	4.5	0.351	0.2	0.0	23.4	0.479
Professional group									
Paramedical	16 (70)	7 (30)	13	1					
Student	9 (90)	1 (10)	10	3.9	0.232				
Medical	6 (75)	2 (25)	8	1.3	0.770				
Refresher course received on ICP measures									
Yes	8 (57)	6 (43)	14	1		1	1		
No	23 (85)	4 (15)	27	4.3	0.056	7.3	0.7	122	0.122
Professional experience 1[1]									
□5	18 (82)	4 (18)	22	1					
5 +	13 (68)	6 (37)	19	0.5	0.324				
Professional experience 2[1]									
□10	19 (79)	5 (21)	24	1					
10 +	12 (71)	5 (29)	17	0.6	0.530				

[1]Unit in years; [2] Fisher exact probability test; cOR: crude Odds Ratio; aOR: adjusted Odds Ratio; CI: Confidence interval

The Johnson & Johnson vaccine was the vaccine most frequently requested by staff who had received the COVID-19 prophylaxis (70%), followed by the Sinopharm vaccine (30%) (Figure 4)



Fig. 4 Type of COVID-19 vaccines received by healthcare workers in the gynecology-obstetrics department, May 2024 (*n*=10)

Discussion

Knowledge on hand hygiene

The study revealed that less than one-third of HCWs had adequate knowledge of the minimum duration of efficacy of the hydroalcoholic gel. This is due to a lack of awareness of the need for prolonged application of the gel to ensure effective elimination of germs from the hands. This lack of awareness leads to ineffective use of the gel, which favors the persistence of germs and their transmission to patients. In contrast, medical staff (residents) (63%) demonstrated greater knowledge on this topic, reflecting a higher medical culture compared to lower-level health workers. Similar studies in Pakistan also found that medical staff (66%) had better knowledge of this indicator than paramedics [29].

Perception of hand hygiene

Most of respondents reported that they always practice hand hygiene and consider it an essential part of their care. This is encouraging for HCWs and patients alike, as a correct perception of the importance of hand hygiene is essential in the prevention of HAIs. Despite this good perception of hand hygiene, study reported that HCWs do not always comply with hand hygiene [24,30]. This poor performance has been attributed to the unavailability of wash facilities close to the point of care, the perception that patient care is safe, and high workloads as described in studies conducted in Yaounde health districts and the Democratic Republic of Congo [24,31].

The study reports emphasize that suboptimal adherence to universal precautions indicate inadequate supervision of healthcare facilities and their staff by intermediate or strategic levels [30]. In such circumstances, the role of infection control committees and internal and external monitoring

guidelines is critical in promoting compliance. Measures to improve accessibility and availability of laundry facilities, reduce staff workload, and increase supervision and training are essential to ensure optimal adherence to universal precautions and reduce the risk of healthcare associated infections [30,32].

Hand hygiene is recognized as the single most important measure for preventing the spread of (HAIs) in healthcare facilities. Current standards state that to promote hand hygiene compliance, the necessary resources must be readily available in critical locations and that behavior change must be supported by education, training, monitoring, feedback and organizational support. Research supporting this approach also indicates that to effectively interrupt the transmission of healthcare-associated pathogens, hand hygiene must be performed at the times and places where transmission is most likely to occur. Educating healthcare workers about effective hand hygiene techniques and compliance is therefore essential. Assessing hand hygiene knowledge, perceptions and practices helps to build capacity in this area [2,33].

Accidental exposure to blood and other body fluids

More than half of the respondents (59%) experienced an accidental exposure to biological fluids in the past 12 months. Splashes were the main source of exposure (96% of cases). Our results confirmed the trends observed in previous studies conducted at the Yaounde university teaching hospital and in the Yaounde Health Districts [9,10]. However, they were lower than the proportion of exposure recorded at the referral hospitals in Buea and Bertoua, which reported prevalence rates of over 80% [8,34]. Factors related to the healthcare environment may explain these differences.

Blood and amniotic fluid were the biological fluids most frequently involved in occupational exposures of HCWs. In maternity wards, childbirth is a major source of blood and amniotic fluid splashes, as reported in other studies in Cameroon, Côte d'Ivoire, and Morocco [24,35,36].

Exposures from splashes are common and affect most of HCWs [24,34,36]. To manage them, it is essential to use PPE systematically and correctly, and to be immunized against diseases transmitted by biological fluids, such as viral hepatitis B and COVID-19 [9,24]. Although HCWs are aware of these infections, the uptake of preventive vaccination against viral diseases remains insufficient in Cameroon and the rest of the world [9,12,14,24,37,38].

Multivariate analysis of the parameters associated with accidental exposure to biological fluids showed that healthcare workers with a higher level of education were 14 times more likely to be exposed than those with a secondary level of education. Workers with a higher level of education were more likely to be involved in emergency care or surgical procedures, which are the main sources of occupational exposure to blood. Similar findings were made in Cameroon, Ethiopia and Iran [39–42].

Healthcare workers adherence to preventive vaccination

The coverage of fully vaccinated HCWs was 27% for viral hepatitis B and 19% for COVID-19. However, no participants were fully vaccinated against cholera. HCWs cite several reasons for the low uptake of vaccination, including the high cost of the vaccine, its unavailability, lack of time, doubts about its content and fear of side effects, etc. [9,24,38]. This low vaccination coverage makes healthcare workers particularly vulnerable to life-threatening infectious diseases such as viral hepatitis, cholera and COVID-19, and facilitates their transmission to other and patients and HCWs.

Half of HCWs exposed to blood and body fluids were not fully vaccinated against viral hepatitis B. This alarming vulnerability increases the likelihood of seroconversion among HCWs and highlights a critical missed opportunity for preventive vaccination and consequent reduction in healthcare costs. To address this issue, policymakers and healthcare administrators should consider introducing mandatory pre-employment hepatitis B vaccination for HCWs or implementing employer-funded hepatitis B vaccination programs for HCWs [9]. By adopting these strategies, health institutions can substantially mitigate occupational transmission of hepatitis B, protect the health of HCWs, and reduce the financial burden on the healthcare system.

The Johnson & Johnson vaccine was the most commonly requested vaccine by workers who had received the preventive vaccine against COVID-19. Our observations confirmed the trends observed in the Cameroonian population in general, and specifically among HCWs [24,43].

Analysis of the determinants of vaccination showed that HCWs with higher levels of education or who had not received training in ICP measures were less likely to be vaccinated. These findings raise the question of whether HCWs with higher levels of education may be more confident in their ability to avoid infection and less likely to be vaccinated. Similarly, a lack of training in ICP interventions could lead to a lack of awareness of the risks and benefits of vaccination. These findings suggest that it is essential to set up awareness and training programs to improve knowledge of the infectious risks and benefits of vaccination and to encourage HCWs to be vaccinated.

Limitations

The main limitation of this study is the risk of recall bias regarding previous exposure, or the difficulty in proving that exposure to the risk was prior to the outcome. In addition, the sample size did not provide sufficient power to detect statistical significance for some variables that were not considered significant. These limitations highlight the need for a more comprehensive study with a larger sample size.

Conclusions

This study shows that inadequate knowledge of hand hygiene, high occupational exposure to biological fluids and low vaccination coverage make HCWs in this ward particularly vulnerable to infectious diseases. This also increases the risk HAIs to women and newborns. Healthcare facility managers and national health authorities must therefore commit to implementing specific strategies targeted at maternity ward staff. These strategies should include increasing staff training in standard precautions and promoting vaccination among HCWs.

Abbreviations

aOR: Adjusted Odds Ration

CI: Confidence Interval

cOR: Crude Odds Ratio

COVID-19: New Coronavirus Disease

HAI: Healthcare Associated Infection

HCW: Healthcare Worker

ICP: Infection Control and Prevention

Declaration

Authors' contribution: Drafting of the study protocol, data collection, analysis, interpretation, drafting and editing of manuscript: F.Z.L.C.

Ethical approval statement: The protocol was approved by Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences of Yaounde and the ethical clearance: N°1017/UYI/FMSB/VDRC/DAASR/CSD issued. Informed consent was obtained from participants prior to inclusion in the study. All methods were performed according to relevant guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication: Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials: All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Competing interests: The author declares no conflict of interest and have approved the final version of the article.

Funding source: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Acknowledgements: Our gratitude goes to the health staff who agreed to participate in this study and to the manager of the health facility who gave an authorization to conduct the study.

References

1. Yilma M, Taye G, Abebe W. Magnitude of standard precautions practices among healthcare workers in health facilities of Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLOS ONE*. 2024;19(4): e0302282.

2. Mahfouz AA, Abolyazid A, Al-Musa HM, Awadallah NJ, Faraheen A, Khalil S, *et al.* Hand hygiene knowledge of primary health care workers in Abha city, South Western Saudi Arabia. *J Fam Med Prim Care*. 2017;6(1):136–40.

3. CDC. Standard Precautions. CDC, Atlanta. 2024. https://www.cdc.gov/dental-infection-control/hcp/summary/standard-precautions.html. Accessed: cited 2024 Oct 30.

4. Schwendimann R, Blatter C, Dhaini S, Simon M, Ausserhofer D. The occurrence, types, consequences and preventability of in-hospital adverse events – a scoping review. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2018;18(1):521.

5. Cassini A, Högberg LD, Plachouras D, Quattrocchi A, Hoxha A, Simonsen GS, *et al.* Attributable deaths and disability-adjusted life-years caused by infections with antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the EU and the European Economic Area in 2015: a population-level modelling analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2019;19(1):56–66.

6. Allegranzi B, Bagheri Nejad S, Combescure C, Graafmans W, Attar H, Donaldson L, *et al.* Burden of endemic health-care-associated infection in developing countries: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Lond Engl*. 2011;377(9761):228–41.

7. Bocoum A, Fané S, Traoré Y, Sanogo SA, Kanté I, Kouma A, *et al.* Bacteriology of Healthcare-Associated Infections in the Gynecology and Obstetrics Department of CHU Gabriel Touré. *Open J Obstet Gynecol*. 2019;9(10):1336–46.

8. Takougang I, Lekeumo Cheuyem FZ, Ze BRS, Tsamoh FF, Moneboulou HM. Awareness of standard precautions, circumstances of occurrence and management of occupational exposures to body fluids among healthcare workers in a regional level referral hospital (Bertoua, Cameroon). *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2024;24(1):424.

9. Cheuyem FZL, Lyonga EE, Kamga HG, Mbopi-Keou FX, Takougang I. Needlestick and Sharp Injuries and Hepatitis B Vaccination among Healthcare Workers: A Cross-Sectional Study in Six District Hospitals in Yaounde (Cameroon). *J Community Med Public Health*. 2023;7(3):1–9.

10. Nouetchognou JS, Ateudjieu J, Jemea B, Mbanya D. Accidental exposures to blood and body fluids among health care workers in a Referral Hospital of Cameroon. *BMC Res Notes*. 2016;9(1):94.

11. Haviari S, Bénet T, Saadatian-Elahi M, André P, Loulergue P, Vanhems P. Vaccination of healthcare workers: A review. *Hum Vaccines Immunother*. 2015;11(11):2522–37.

12. Ndongo CB, Eteki L, Siedner M, Mbaye R, Chen J, Ntone R, *et al*. Prevalence and vaccination coverage of Hepatitis B among healthcare workers in Cameroon: A national seroprevalence survey. *J Viral Hepat*. 2018;25(12):1582–7.

13. Amani A, Ngo bama S, Dia M, Nguefack lekelem S, Linjouom A, Mossi makembe H, *et al*. Challenges, Best Practices, and Lessons Learned from Cholera Mass vaccination campaign in Urban Cameroon during COVID-19 era. *Vaccine*. 2022;40(47):6873–9.

14. Amani A, Mossus T, Cheuyem FZL, Biloungа C, Mikamb P, Basseguin Atchou J, *et al*. Gender and COVID-19 Vaccine Disparities in Cameroon. *COVID*. 2022;2(12):1715–30.

15. Kochhar S, Edwards KM, Ropero Alvarez AM, Moro PL, Ortiz JR. Introduction of new vaccines for immunization in pregnancy – Programmatic, regulatory, safety and ethical considerations. *Vaccine*. 2019;37(25):3267–77.

16. Larson HJ. Defining and measuring vaccine hesitancy. *Nat Hum Behav*. 2022;6(12):1609–10.

17. Alkema L, Chou D, Hogan D, Zhang S, Moller AB, Gemmill A, *et al*. Global, regional, and national levels and trends in maternal mortality between 1990 and 2015, with scenario-based projections to 2030: a systematic analysis by the UN Maternal Mortality Estimation Inter-Agency Group. *The Lancet*. 2016;387(10017):462–74.

18. Mah Mungyeh E, Chiabi A, Tchokoteu Pouasse F, Nguefack S, Bogne J, Siyou H, *et al*. Neonatal mortality in a referral hospital in Cameroon over a seven-year period: trends, associated factors and causes. *Afr Health Sci*. 2014 Sep;14(3):517–25.

19. Meh C, Thind A, Terry AL. Ratios and determinants of maternal mortality: a comparison of geographic differences in the northern and southern regions of Cameroon. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2020;20(1):194.

20. Tebeu PM, Kamdem A, Ngou-Mve-Ngou JP, Meka E, Antaon JSS, Loic MT, *et al*. Risk factors for surgical site infections after caesarean section at Yaounde, Cameroon. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol*. 2021;10(11):4048–51.

21. Tsatedem FA, J F, D BA, Donfack JH, Djokam J, Temgoua S, *et al*. Bacteriological Profile of Surgical Site Infection: A Descriptive Study at Deido District Hospital in Douala, Cameroon. *Adv Res*. 2023;24(6):30–8.

22. Ngunyi YL, Halle-Ekane G, Tendongfor N, Mbivnjo EL, Evouna Mbarga A, Nembulefack D, *et al*. Determinants and aetiologies of postpartum pyrexia; a retrospective analysis in a tertiary health facility in the Littoral Region of Cameroon. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2020;20(1):167.

23. de Barra M, Gon G, Woodd S, Graham WJ, de Bruin M, Kahabuka C, *et al*. Understanding infection prevention behaviour in maternity wards: A mixed-methods analysis of hand hygiene in Zanzibar. *Soc Sci Med 1982*. 2021; 272:113543.

24. Takougang I, Cheuyem FZL, Lyonga EE, Ndungo JH, Mbopi-Keou FX. Observance of Standard Precautions for Infection Prevention in The Covid-19 Era: A Cross-Sectional Study in Six District Hospitals in Yaounde, Cameroon. *Am J Biomed Sci Res*. 2023;19(5):590–8.

25. Rapport Mensuel d'Activité I - Grands Hôpitaux | DHIS2 Yaounde. Ministère de la Santé Publique, Yaoundé, Cameroun. 2024. https://dhis-minsante-cm.org/dhis-web-reports/index.html#/data-set-report. Accessed: 2024 Aug 20.

26. Nouetchognou JS, Ateudjieu J, Jemea B, Mesumbe EN, Mbanya D. Surveillance of nosocomial infections in the Yaounde University Teaching Hospital, Cameroon. *BMC Res Notes.* 2016;9(1):505.

27. Essomba A. Organisation du Système des Soins au Cameroun Yaounde. SlideServe. 2014. https://www.slideserve.com/donald/organisation-du-syst-me-des-soins-au-cameroun. Accessed: 2022 Jul 26.

28. Dehghan Manshadi SA, Sedaghat M, Mohammad Hashem F, Salehi M, Mohammadnejad E, Seifi A, *et al.* Knowledge and perception of hand hygiene: A survey using WHO standardized tools in Tehran, Iran. *Casp J Intern Med.* 2022;13(1):122–6.

29. Zia I, Cheema SS, Sheikh NS, Ashraf H. Hand hygiene knowledge, attitudes, and self-reported practices among medical and nursing staff of a tertiary-care military hospital: a cross-sectional study. *Int J Infect Control.* 2022; 18:10.3396/ijic.v18.21469.

30. Takougang I, Mbognou ZF, Cheuyem FZL, Nouko A, Lowe M. Occupational Exposure and Observance of Standard Precautions Among Bucco-Dental Health Workers in Referral Hospitals (Yaoundé, Cameroon). *Am J Biomed Sci Res.* 2023;20(5):623–30.

31. Mandana BN, Likwela LJ. Connaissances, attitudes et pratiques des professionnels de santé face aux précautions standards en milieu hospitalier. *Société Fr Santé Publique.* 2013;25(5):663–73.

32. Punia S, Nair S, Shetty RS. Health Care Workers and Standard Precautions: Perceptions and Determinants of Compliance in the Emergency and Trauma Triage of a Tertiary Care Hospital in South India. *Int Sch Res Not.* 2014;2014(1):685072.

33. Oluwagbemiga AO, Akinsete SJ, Ana GR, Ogunseye OO. Knowledge, attitude and self-reported practice of healthcare workers on infection control in a health facility in Akure, Nigeria. *Int J Infect Control.* 2021; 17:20818.

34. Takougang I, Cheuyem FZL, Changeh BA, Nyonga ND, Moneboulou HM. Accidental Exposure to Body Fluids Among Healthcare Workers in a Referral Hospital in the Security-Challenged Region of South West Cameroon. *J Nurs Healthc.* 2024;9(2):1–13.

35. Vincent A, Cohen M, Bernet C, Parneix P, L'Hériteau F, Branger B, *et al.* Accidental exposure to blood by midwives in French maternity units: results of the national surveillance 2003. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris).* 2006;35(3):247–56.

36. Gondo D, Effoh N, Adjoby R, Konan J, Koffi S, Diomande F, *et al.* Connaissances, attitudes et pratiques (CAP) du personnel soignant sur les accidents d'exposition au sang (AES) dans 4 maternités d'Abidjan. *Rev Afr Anesth Med Urgences.* 2016;21(1):16–20.

37. Takougang I, Cheuyem FZL, Ndungo JH, Lyonga EE, Mbopi-Keou FX. Infection Risk Perception, Reporting and Post-Exposure Management of Occupational Injuries among Healthcare Workers in District Hospitals. *J Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2023;7(4):1–7.

38. Auta A, Adewuyi EO, Kureh GT, Onoviran N, Adeloye D. Hepatitis B vaccination coverage among health-care workers in Africa: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Vaccine.* 2018;36(32 Pt B):4851–60.

39. Hassanipour S, Sepandi M, Tavakkol R, Jabbari M, Rabiei H, Malakoutikhah M, *et al.* Epidemiology and risk factors of needlestick injuries among healthcare workers in Iran: a systematic reviews and meta-analysis. *Environ Health Prev Med.* 2021;26(1):43.

40. Cheuyem FZL, Ndungo JH, Lyonga EE, Mbopi-Keou FX, Takougang I. Circumstances of Occurrence and Factors Associated with Occupational Exposure to Body Fluids in District Hospitals (Yaounde, Cameroon). *Int J Prev Med Care.* 2023;1(2):64–72.

41. Aminde LN, Takah NF, Dzudie A, Bonko NM, Awungafac G, Teno D, *et al.* Occupational Post-Exposure Prophylaxis (PEP) against Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Infection in a Health District in Cameroon: Assessment of the Knowledge and Practices of Nurses. *PLOS ONE.* 2015;10(4): e0124416.

42. Kaweti G, Abegaz T. Prevalence of percutaneous injuries and associated factors among health care workers in Hawassa referral and adare District hospitals, Hawassa, Ethiopia, January 2014. *BMC Public Health.* 2016;16(1):8.

43. Amani A, Njoh AA, Mouangue C, Cheuyem Lekeumo FZL, Mossus T. Vaccination Coverage and Safety in Cameroon; Descriptive Assessment of COVID-19 Infection in Vaccinated Individuals. *Health Sci Dis Yaounde.* 2022;23(8):1-8.