Contraceptive views, satisfaction, responsibility, and knowledge: A theater-based study at the Dutch 'Lowlands' festival

Wieke Y. Beumer[a-c*], Merel Sprenger[d*], Ebru Eksi[e], Chris Bartels[e,f], Samira van Bohemen[g], Jenneke van Ditzhuijzen[b,c,h] Linda P. Dekker[f], Ingvil de Haan[i], Hilmar H. Bijma[j,k], Hanan El Marroun[e,f], Pauline W. Jansen[e,f], Clair A. Enthoven[e,f]

a. Amsterdam UMC location University of Amsterdam, Epidemiology and Data Science, Meibergdreef 9, Amsterdam, Netherlands
b. Amsterdam UMC location University of Amsterdam, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Meibergdreef 9, Amsterdam, Netherlands
c. Amsterdam Reproduction and Development, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
d. Public Health and Primary Care/Health Campus The Hague, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands.
e. Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry/Psychology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.
f. Department of Psychology, Education and Child Studies, Erasmus School of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Erasmus University, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.
g. Department of Public Administration and Sociology, Erasmus School of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Erasmus University, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.
h. Department of Interdisciplinary Social Science, Social Policy and Public Health, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands.
i. Rutgers, Expert Centre on Sexuality, Utrecht, The Netherlands.
j. Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Division of Obstetrics and Fetal Medicine, Erasmus University Medical Center MC Sophia, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
k. Department of Care Ethics, University of Humanistic Studies, Utrecht, The Netherlands

*Wieke Y. Beumer and Merel Sprenger contributed equally to this paper and share first authorship.

Correspondence to: Clair A. Enthoven, Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry/Psychology, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, Na Building, Floor 29 | E: c.enthoven@erasmusmc.nl

Conflict of interest The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Funding This study was made possible by grants from the Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMw) Unintended Pregnancy Research Program, grant number 554002008 (Project UP), 554002012 (BluePrint), 554002006 (RISE UP), 554001014 (Samen Groeien 010), the Vital Cities and Citizens initiative and the Erasmus School of Social and Behavioral Sciences Dragons' Den 2023. Hanan El Marroun was supported by the Stichting Volksbond Rotterdam, the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) Aspasia grant (No. 015.016.056), and the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Program (HappyMums, Grant agreement 101057390). Hilmar Bijma is supported by GGD-GHOR Netherlands (the Dutch national Association of GGD's (Regional Public Health Services) and GHOR (Regional Medical Emergency Preparedness and Planning)). The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study or the writing of this article.

CRediT author statement

Wieke Y. Beumer: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing - Original Draft **Merel Sprenger**: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing - Original Draft **Ebru Eksi**: Formal analysis, Writing - Original Draft **Chris Bartels**: Formal analysis, Writing - Original Draft **Samira van Bohemen**: Funding acquisition, Writing - Review & Editing **Jenneke van Ditzhuijzen**: Conceptualization, Writing - Review & Editing **Linda P. Dekker**: Writing - Review & Editing **Ingvil de Haan**: Conceptualization, Writing - Review & Editing **Hilmar H. Bijma**: Conceptualization, Writing - Review & Editing **Hanan El Marroun**: Conceptualization, Writing - Review & Editing **Pauline W. Jansen**: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Writing - Review & Editing **Clair A. Enthoven**: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Project administration, Writing - Review & Editing

Abstract

Objectives

Contraception remains a key topic in societal and political debates. Since most research focuses on female adolescents, there is a gap in understanding adult perspectives and sex differences in contraceptive responsibility, satisfaction, and knowledge. Theater-based research, which fosters engagement and open discussions, offers a novel approach to exploring these perspectives while also enhancing contraceptive awareness. This study explores adults' contraceptive views using a theater-based approach, while also examining sex differences in contraceptive satisfaction, responsibility, and knowledge.

Study Design

Conducted at a three-day Dutch music festival, this study included a survey, an interactive contraceptive knowledge quiz, and open-ended participant writings on the wall. The sample comprised n=1,024 participants (66.1% female, mean age 28.1).

Results

Thematic analysis of participants' writings revealed six themes around contraceptive views: side effects, resistance to hormones, fallibility of contraception, shared responsibility, information needs, and dismissive contraceptive counseling. Survey data showed that women reported significantly lower satisfaction with contraception (β= -0.61; 95% CI= -0.87; -0.35), higher sense of responsibility (β = 1.01; 95% CI= 0.74; 1.27), and higher levels of contraceptive knowledge (β= 16.79; 95% CI= 10.83; 22.75) than men.

Conclusion

Despite predominantly negative views related to the burden and fallibility of contraception, reported satisfaction was relatively high. Nevertheless, women were less satisfied, felt more responsible, and were more knowledgeable than men. These findings highlight the need to improve comprehensive sexuality education and contraceptive counselling, focusing on people beyond formal education, while acknowledging people's lived experiences and actively engaging men.

Keywords: contraception, responsibility, satisfaction, knowledge, women, men

Implications

Using an innovative theater-based approach, this study's findings emphasize the need to improve comprehensive sexuality education and contraceptive counselling by acknowledging people's lived experiences and actively engaging men. Further research could examine inclusive approaches to reaching and informing people about contraception, also beyond their formal education.

1 Introduction

Contraception is a much-debated topic in societal and political debates both in the Netherlands and worldwide. Currently, the debate is focused on the shift away from hormonal contraception and a general decrease in contraceptive use (de Graaf et al., 2024; de Graaf & Wijsen, 2017). This includes a drop in condom use among youth, which is also seen in global trends (Költő et al., 2024). One of the factors contributing to decreased contraceptive use may be negative views about contraception (Badu et al., 2022; Baxter et al., 2011; Guzzo & Hayford, 2018).

Ample research, using both quantitative and qualitative methods, has focused on people's satisfaction with contraception, knowledge or perceptions of benefits, risks and concerns about specific contraceptive methods (Alspaugh et al., 2020; Baxter et al., 2011; D'Souza et al., 2022). Thus far, however, most research included adolescent women. Yet, contraception is typically used for about three decades (Sonfield et al., 2014), and individuals across the reproductive lifespan have diverse needs, desires, and concerns. The focus on adolescents in research has created a knowledge gap in understanding the perspectives of those beyond adolescence (Alspaugh et al., 2020). In addition, recent studies on contraception have begun to include men, but few included both sexes, limiting direct comparisons. This is important because both women's and men's contraceptive knowledge, satisfaction and acceptability influence decisions to start and continue using contraception, and shared contraceptive responsibility is crucial for effective use (Brunner Huber & Ersek, 2011; D'Souza et al., 2022; Guzzo & Hayford, 2018; Higgins & Wang, 2015; Merki-Feld et al., 2018; Steinberg et al., 2021). However, responsibility often falls disproportionately on women in heterosexual relationships (Kimport, 2018). Research shows that men are willing to share responsibility (Brown, 2015; Stewart et al., 2017), yet women often report low involvement from their male partners and desire more engagement in contraceptive use and decision-making (Badu et al., 2022).

An effective approach for studies in sexual and reproductive health is theater-based research (Lightfoot et al., 2015; Rossiter et al., 2008). This approach enhances participation, maintains attention, helps participants feel at ease, and facilitates participation from populations often underrepresented in traditional research designs (Bleuer et al., 2018; Cook et al., 2019). Theater-based research allows participants to share their views in an ecologically valid way, e.g., as if they were talking among friends. Moreover, its bidirectional nature allows participants to share insights while facilitating knowledge

dissemination. A theater-based approach has yet to be applied to contraception research but could offer deeper insights into people's perspectives on contraception.

To address these research gaps, this study firstly aims to explore contraceptive views among adults using a theater-based research design. Our second aim is to investigate sex differences in contraceptive satisfaction, responsibility, and knowledge. Due to the bidirectionality of theater-based research, we also aimed to improve contraceptive knowledge of participants and stimulate them to have an open conversation about contraception.

2 Methods

2.1 Study design

This study applied theater-based research in three stages (Figure 1): a survey, an interactive contraceptive knowledge quiz, and writings on the wall (free writing by participants to collect qualitative information). Lowlands is a large three-day music festival attracting around 65.000 visitors per year. It provides room for popular science through innovative research projects at the Lowlands Science area (Docter-Loeb, 2024).

Participants aged 18 or older were recruited at a large music festival (Lowlands) in the Netherlands during three days in August 2023, from 12PM to 8PM. Individuals who were noticeably very intoxicated could not participate. A total of n=1,088 people started the study, of whom n=64 were excluded because they did not complete the survey, leaving 1,024 participants included in the analysis for satisfaction and responsibility. Of them, 744 participants took part in the interactive contraceptive knowledge quiz and formed a total of 292 teams, consisting of one to six people. The research protocol received approval from the Ethics Review Committee of Erasmus School of Social and Behavioral Sciences.



Figure 1. *The three stages of the study at Lowlands festival, The Netherlands, 2023. Research team roles are indicated by quotation marks.*

2.2 Measures

The first survey section included questions about age, sex, gender, and educational attainment. Then, participants answered questions about sexual orientation, presence of a steady sexual partner (hereafter referred to as 'relationship'), and number of sexual partners in the past year. They then indicated whether they did anything to prevent pregnancy (hereafter referred to as 'contraceptive use) and which contraceptive methods they used. Finally, they received questions about satisfaction with their

contraception and their sense of responsibility for contraception using a Likert scale from 0 (not at all) to 10 (very much). A detailed description of quantitative measures can be found in Table A.1 in Appendix A.

The contraceptive knowledge quiz was based on existing questions and consisted of eight questions with four answer options (Haynes et al., 2017; Sense). Topics included effectiveness and working mechanisms of contraceptive methods, protection against sexually transmitted infections (STIs), sperm viability, and the fertile period. All questions and answer options can be found in Table A.2 in Appendix A. The outcome variable for contraceptive knowledge was the percentage of correct answers per team. Survey and quiz data are openly available in the EUR Data Repository at (https://figshare.com/s/cc145e475ad1ba294d14).

For the writings on the wall, the panels featured the invitation, “What is your opinion about…” and contained large drawings of contraceptive methods, allowing participants to write their views about these specific methods. The depicted contraceptive methods included: the oral contraceptive, female condom, male condom, diaphragm, (hormonal) intrauterine device (IUD), contraceptive injection, contraceptive patch, contraceptive ring, and sterilization. The empty spaces around the drawn contraceptive methods provided an opportunity to describe views toward contraception in general.

2.3 Analyses

For the first aim, to explore contraceptive views using a theater-based research design, we analyzed the writings on the wall in order to investigate contraceptive views amongst young adults in a broad sense. To digitize the data, the written text on the walls was photographed and transcribed into MS Excel. Text elements were categorized per contraceptive method, or whether they were about contraception in general. Besides verbatim contributions, participants interacted with other quotes through arrows or additional punctuation marks. To preserve its meaning, we transcribed these interactions alongside the original quote in another Excel column. Next, we used thematic analysis in our exploratory approach of identifying, analyzing, and reporting of themes within the data (Braun & Clarke, 2006). We relabeled and reorganized initial themes to reduce overlap which could not be avoided completely due to the interrelated nature of the contraceptive views. Data processing and analysis was conducted inductively in MS Excel, as this was most suitable considering the nature of our data. Data extraction and initial analysis was performed by CB, in consultation with CE. Decisions were made based on consensus within the team (CB and CE). Subsequent analyses were performed by WB.

For the second aim, we investigated sex differences in contraceptive satisfaction, responsibility, and knowledge. Univariate linear regression analyses were conducted with sex as explanatory variable and contraceptive satisfaction, responsibility, and knowledge as outcome variables. Then, multivariate linear regression analyses were performed for each association, adjusted for age group, educational attainment and relationship, as these variables were distributed differently between women and men. For contraceptive knowledge as outcome, analyses were performed based on team membership. The software IBM SPSS Statistics, Version 28.0.1.0 was used for the statistical analyses. Analyses were performed by EE and CB, supervised by CE.

3 Results

3.1 General characteristics

The general characteristics of the study population and the subgroups of male and female sex are shown in Table 1 and the general characteristics of the teams are shown in Table 2. Participants had a mean age of 28.1 (range 18 to 62) and 33.6% identified as male. The majority of the participants had a high educational attainment and most of them were in a relationship. The vast majority of the participants (91.3%) reported using contraception. Of those who did not use contraception, most (73.0%) were either not engaging in penis-in-vagina sex, were pregnant, or were trying to conceive. When contraception was used, hormonal IUD, male condoms, and the contraceptive pill were most common (Table 3).

196 **Table 1.** *General characteristics (n(%)) of the study population (n=1,024) at Lowlands festival, The*
197 *Netherlands, 2023.*

	Total sample n=1,024	**Women n=683**	**Men n=341**
Age			
18-24 years	288 (22.3)	161 (23.6)	67 (19.6)
25-29 years	497 (48.5)	354 (51.8)	143 (41.9)
≥30 years	299 (29.2)	168 (24.6)	131 (38.4)
Gender			
Female	677 (66.1)	677 (99.1)	0
Male	344 (33.6)	3 (0.4)	341 (100)
Other	3 (0.3)	3 (0.4)	0
Educational attainment			
Low	5 (0.5)	1 (0.1)	4 (1.2)
Medium	158 (15.4)	95 (13.9)	63 (18.5)
High	857 (83.7)	585 (85.7)	272 (79.8)
Prefer not to say	4 (0.4)	2 (0.3)	2 (0.6)
Usually has sex* with			
Someone from the opposite sex	910 (88.9)	600 (87.8)	310 (90.9)
Someone from the same sex	82 (8.0)	56 (8.2)	26 (7.6)
Both	25 (2.4)	24 (3.5)	1 (0.3)
Never had sex*	6 (0.6)	3 (0.4)	3 (0.9)
Not listed	1 (0.1)	0	1 (0.3)
Relationship			
Yes	768 (75)	488 (71.4)	280 (82.1)
No/unsure/prefer not to say	256 (25.0)	195 (28.6)	61 (17.9)
Number of sexual partners* in past year			
≤ 1	678 (66.2)	435 (63.7)	244 (71.6)
2-10	325 (31.8)	236 (34.6)	89 (26.1)
>10	18 (1.8)	10 (1.5)	8 (2.3)
Using contraception			
Yes	935 (91.3)	633 (92.7)	302 (88.6)
No	89 (8.7)	50 (7.3)	39 (11.4)

198 *Sex is broadly defined, such as manual sex, oral sex, penis-in-vagina sex or anal sex.

199

200

201 **Table 2.** *General characteristics of the teams (n=292 teams consisting of a total of n=744 individuals) at*
202 *Lowlands festival, The Netherlands, 2023.*

N=292	Total sample, n (%)
Mean age of the teams	
18-24 years	59 (20.2)
25-29 years	160 (54.8)
≥30 years	73 (25.0)
Sex of the teams	
All-male	35 (12.0)
All-female	126 (43.2)
Mixed	131 (44.9)
Team educational attainment	
Only low or only medium	18 (6.2)
Only high	196 (67.1)
Mixed	78 (26.7)
Relationship status of the teams	
Single only	17 (5.8)
In relationship only	159 (54.5)
Mixed	116 (39.7)

203

204

205 **Table 3.** *Current contraception methods by sex at Lowlands festival, The Netherlands, 2023. Multiple*
206 *answers were allowed.*

Contraception method	Women (n=633)	Men (n=302)
Male condoms	222 (35.1)	128 (42.4)
Hormonal IUD	223 (35.2)	88 (29.1)
Contraceptive pill	175 (27.7)	78 (25.8)
I make sure my partner uses contraception	-	28 (9.3)
Cycle tracking*	32 (5.1)	9 (3.0)
Copper IUD	49 (7.7)	24 (7.9)
Withdrawal	30 (4.7)	18 (6.0)
Morning after pill	20 (3.2)	7 (2.3)
Contraceptive implant	9 (1.4)	6 (2.0)
Sterilization	8 (1.3)	8 (2.6)
Contraceptive/vaginal ring	7 (1.1)	5 (1.7)
Female condoms	5 (0.8)	2 (0.7)
Contraceptive injection	2 (0.3)	2 (0.7)
Contraceptive patch	2 (0.3)	1 (0.3)
Diaphragm	0	1 (0.3)
Total	**780 (123.2)**	**404 (133.8)**

207 Note: Participants were asked about their and/or their partner's contraceptive methods, which is why men also
208 reported contraceptives such as the contraceptive pill or IUDs. Given that some participants in the study were
209 couples, there might be overlapping data in contraception methods. *Cycle tracking includes periodic abstinence,
210 calendar method, no penis-in-vagina sex mid-cycle, or using a fertility app.

211

212 3.2 Research aim 1: Exploring views about contraception amongst adults

213 Based on the thematic analyses of the writings on the wall, we identified five themes (Figure 2).
214 The themes were broadly defined, which is a result of both the broad research aim and methods. The
215 thematization is not intended to be rigid or mutually exclusive but aims to provide a framework for
216 understanding the diverse views that were mentioned. Figure 2 shows that particularly the themes 'side
217 effects' and 'fallibility of contraception' were prominent (circle size roughly presents frequency of the
218 themes). Due to the qualitative nature of the data, the themes were interwoven and overlapping. This
219 was mostly presented in quotes about side effects, that overlapped with all the other themes. For instance,
220 participants often mentioned that they found it important to learn more about side effects (overlap
221 between 'information needs' and 'side effects').



Figure 2. *Schematic overview of themes in the writings on the wall at Lowlands festival, The Netherlands, 2023. Larger circles reflect more frequent overlap. Subthemes are in italics.*

3.2.1 Side effects

Writings on the wall that touched upon side effects of hormones or hormonal contraception were prominent. Most of the expressed side effects had a negative tone and were related to physical or mental side effects. These included concerns about side effects in general ('Stupid side effects') and personal impact ('I feel heavier and more down with contraception'). However, some noted perceived advantages of using contraception, like regulation of menstruation and reduced acne. Comparisons between personal

and others' experiences also emerged, with some participants feeling they experienced fewer side effects 'than average'.

3.2.2 Resistance to hormones

Participants expressed resistance to hormones, in general and related to specific contraceptive methods. A participant wrote: 'Get rid of those hormones in your body!'—a sentiment echoed by others with affirmative responses (by writing down '+1'). In other quotes, more specific reasons for the resistance to hormones were mentioned, with side effects and risks as key concerns. For instance, one participant stated 'I don't want hormones that make me extra grumpy.' And another quote: 'Fuck the normalized side effects of the pill!'

3.2.3 Fallibility of contraception

Participants shared experiences related to the fallibility of contraception. These were related to inconveniences, ineffectiveness, and fears of ineffectiveness. Sometimes these experiences were related to adverse outcomes, such as getting an STI.

Inconveniences that were expressed were often about condoms, described as an unpleasant and unromantic interruption during sex. Another inconvenience that stood out was the painful insertion process of the IUD, for instance: 'Never had so much pain in my life EVER!' Further, participants expressed inconveniences related to the high costs of contraception. One participant wrote: 'Contraception is too expensive for women with a low income.' Others specifically mentioned the costs of certain methods: 'Condoms need to be cheaper.'

Others wrote about experiences with ineffectiveness of specific contraceptive methods. Participants described experiences with broken or leaking condoms, or personal consequences of condom ineffectiveness, such as: 'Why does it [the condom] break so often? Then you need to do another STI test...' Others described forgetting to use contraception, like missing the pill, as a common issue.

Some also expressed their fears of contraceptive ineffectiveness. Someone wrote: 'Even with good contraception, I am sometimes afraid.' Some of these fears regarding ineffectiveness were specifically about certain contraceptive methods. About the condom: 'I have more trouble letting go here, is it still in the right place?' And about the IUD: 'Still afraid that this will move', to which another responded: 'Yes, it is difficult to check that it is still in the right place.'

3.2.4 Shared responsibility

Participants expressed a desire for more equitable responsibility regarding contraception. They stated that the burden of organizing contraception often falls disproportionately on women, for instance: ‘Why is so much responsibility placed on women?’ Others noted a lack of responsibility in men, as reflected in quotes such as: ‘Men need to take more responsibility and show more respect!’ Participants also described that women carry a heavier load, with comments such as, ‘Too many obligations for women.’ They also described that the burden of side effects rests unfairly on women: 'Would men take the pill if they read about all the side effects first?’

Views regarding specific aspects of contraceptive responsibility were also expressed. Several statements underscored the inequity in the costs of contraception, like: ‘The costs of contraception too often rest with women.’ Participants also expressed views regarding shared responsibility in contraceptive communication, often feeling that men were not adequately engaged in these discussions. Comments included for instance: ‘Men, don't ask if she's on the pill after having sex, it's your responsibility too.’ Participants highlighted the need for better dialogue, indicating that communication is essential for shared responsibility, for instance: ‘Should be easier to discuss.’ Furthermore, participants argued for normalizing male contraceptive use and many expressed a desire for male contraceptive options, particularly a male pill. In contrast, others expressed skepticism about men's willingness to use such methods due to potential side effects and a lack of experiencing consequences after contraceptive failure (i.e., getting pregnant).

Positive experiences regarding shared responsibility were also mentioned, including condoms being used to signal consent: ‘I find it convenient how some men use “Should I get a condom?” as consent for “Do you feel like penetration now?”’ And the condom was specifically mentioned as a method to take responsibility: ‘Stay in control yourself.’

3.2.5 Information needs

Participants expressed a strong need for more contraceptive knowledge. For instance, someone wrote: ‘There is too little information about which contraception a woman/man can use.’ Some participants wrote down questions regarding specific, less known contraceptive methods, such as the female condom and the diaphragm. Furthermore, participants felt ill-informed about contraceptive side

effects. Some noted that they wanted more information on changes after quitting contraception, such as: ‘Been on the pill for a long time. I wonder how/if I will change if I stop taking it.’ Others called for more research on alternatives to hormonal contraception.

The need for contraceptive knowledge was also evident in the demand for better comprehensive sexuality education. Multiple participants wrote that more inclusive information about contraception should be provided in sex education, and this information should be aimed at everyone. For example, someone wrote: ‘Teach everyone about contraception! Not just "girls".’ Others described a specific need for more information in sexuality education about potential side effects of hormonal contraception.

3.3 Dismissive contraceptive counseling

Participants described dismissive attitudes and insufficient information from healthcare providers about contraception, mainly about side effects of hormonal contraception. For example: ‘A doctor prescribes you the pill at 16 but tells you 0 side effects.’ Others shared frustrations about not being taken seriously when seeking advice, such as a participant whose concerns about an implant were dismissed with a referral to a psychologist.

3.4 Research aim 2: Sex differences in contraceptive satisfaction, responsibility, and knowledge

The mean satisfaction score of those using contraception (n=935) was 7.2 (SD = 1.9) out of 10. Both women and men rated their contraception as satisfactory, with women (M = 7.0) being significantly less satisfied than men (M = 7.7) with their current contraceptive methods, also when adjusted for age, educational attainment, and relationship status (Table 4). The mean sense of responsibility (n=1,024) was 8.4 (SD = 2.1) out of 10. Women reported a significantly higher sense of responsibility (M = 8.7) towards contraception use compared to men (M = 7.7), also when adjusted for age, educational attainment, and relationship status (Table 4).

The mean percentage of correct answers of the contraceptive knowledge quiz 73.7% (SD = 16.5). Most teams (94.5%; n=272) had 50% or more questions correct and 8.2% (n=24) of the teams answered all questions correctly. Distribution of the answers is presented in Table A.2 in Appendix A. The question on how to prevent STIs was answered correctly by nearly all teams (question 4; 99.3%). Three questions had fewer correct answers. These questions were about the most effective contraceptive method (question 1; 52.7%), the working mechanism of the morning-after pill (question 3; 53.1%), and the position

of an IUD (question 7; 56.8%). Significant differences were found between teams of different sexes: the all-male teams had significantly lower knowledge (61.1%; SD = 17.9) than the all-female teams (77.5%; SD = 14.2) and mixed teams (74.6%; SD = 15.9). These results remained significant when adjusted for age, educational attainment, and relationship status (Table 4).

Table 4. *Differences between the sexes with regards to satisfaction, responsibility and knowledge at Lowlands festival, The Netherlands, 2023.*

		Univariate regression		Multivariate regression	
Variable	**Mean (SD)**	**β**	**95%CI**	**β**	**95%CI**
Satisfaction (n=935)					
Women	7.0 (1.9)	-0.68***	(-0.93; -0.42)	-0.61***	(-0.87; -0.35)
Men	7.7 (1.8)	Ref.		Ref.	
Responsibility (n=1,024)					
Women	8.7 (1.9)	1.03 ***	(0.77; 1.30)	1.01***	(0.74; 1.27)
Men	7.7 (2.3)	Ref.		Ref.	
Knowledge (n=292 teams)					
All-male teams (n=35)	61.1 (17.9)	Ref.		Ref.	
All-female teams (n=126)	77.5 (14.2)	16.83***	(10.91; 22.74)	16.79***	(10.83; 22.75)
Mixed teams (n=131)	74.6 (15.9)	13.50***	(7.60; 19.39)	13.91***	(7.94; 19.88)

Note: multivariate regression corrected for age, educational attainment, and relationship status. For educational attainment, low, medium and 'prefer not to say' were combined into one category due to the small number of participants in these categories.

*** p-value < 0.001

4 Discussion

Using a theater-based design, our study explored adults' views on contraception, highlighting six key themes: side effects, resistance to hormones, fallibility of contraception, shared responsibility, information needs, and dismissive contraceptive counseling. This study furthermore investigated sex differences in contraceptive satisfaction, responsibility and knowledge. We found that women were significantly less satisfied with their contraception, felt more responsible, and were more knowledgeable, compared to men.

When comparing findings across the study, we found it striking that participants shared predominantly negative views in the writings on the wall but rated their contraception as satisfactory in the survey. Similarly, while some advantages of contraceptive use were mentioned, our findings mainly revealed concerns, some relating to effectiveness but most with regards to side effects. This is in line with findings from reviews by Alspaugh et al. (2006) and Le Guen et al. (2021). These findings suggest that different research methods and method sequence may provoke different answers. Previous quantitative studies also found relatively high satisfaction rates (Kramer et al., 2022; Steinberg et al., 2021), while results from qualitative studies were more varying. For example, one study found high satisfaction and no regret with regards to long-acting reversible contraception (Burke et al., 2019), while another reported negative attitudes due to concerns about effectiveness and side effects of hormonal contraception (Dixon et al., 2014). These variations may reflect that participants in qualitative studies, as seen in the writings on the wall, not only share personal experiences but also address broader societal narratives on systematic concerns related to contraception, such as imbalanced gendered distributions (Alspaugh et al., 2020; Zettermark, 2024). Though contraceptive views are personal, they arise in a wider societal context with bidirectional influence (Auerbach et al., 2023; D'Souza et al., 2022). Since there is no 'perfect' contraceptive method for many people, participants may already make concessions by lowering their expectations. As a result, satisfaction may be good enough, but people will still have negative experiences, which they are likely to address when invited to freely share their lived experiences. Results also highlight the ambivalence people experience concerning contraception: while they seek effective ways to have sex without getting pregnant, they also perceive contraception as suboptimal due to (hormonal) side effects, the unequal burden on women, and a lack of recognition in contraceptive concerns from healthcare providers.

Although our findings with regards to contraceptive differences between women and men are not necessarily unexpected, this is one the first studies comparing the two directly. Satisfaction was higher for men than for women, perhaps because men are, except in the case of condoms, never the actual user who experiences bodily changes and side effects (Merki-Feld et al., 2018). Regarding sense of responsibility, women felt more responsible for using contraception compared to men. The participants also called for more shared responsibility and suggested to share costs and improve communication between sexual partners in the writings on the wall, reflecting previously reported tensions regarding shared contraceptive responsibility (Brunner Huber & Ersek, 2011; Kimport, 2018; Stewart et al., 2017). Finally, while many participants answered over half of the contraceptive knowledge questions correctly, teams including women significantly outperformed all-male teams. They also reported an information need in the writings on the wall, which aligns with previous studies reporting limited contraceptive knowledge among both women and men and reflect previous calls for improved information about contraception (Dixon et al., 2014; Gollub et al., 2022; Manzer et al., 2023; Merki-Feld et al., 2018; Stewart et al., 2017; Wagner et al., 2024). This also suggests a need for continued updating of contraceptive knowledge, especially as people become sexually active and increasingly feel the urgency to prevent pregnancy whilst becoming aware of contraception's fallibility. Future research could explore ways to effectively reach and inform people about contraception while acknowledging their lived experiences with contraception, even beyond their formal education.

This study has several strengths. By using a theater-based research approach that combined various methods, we created a free and engaging atmosphere. This attracted over a thousand participants, including many who might have been less willing to join traditional research settings. Notably, men comprised a third of the participants. The theater-based approach helped participants feel at ease, probably experiencing less taboo, fostering more natural conversations about contraception and likely reducing social desirability bias. Additionally, most participants also gained some knowledge about reproductive health while participating in our study.

There are also some limitations. Our sample was relatively high educated and consisted of people visiting a Dutch pop music festival (Lowlands), limiting the generalizability of our findings. Although people who were noticeably intoxicated were ineligible to participate, some participants might still have been intoxicated which could have polluted our findings. Furthermore, although we collected data on satisfaction with the current contraceptive method, this resulted in many different, at times conflicting

(e.g., contraceptive pill and IUD) combinations suggesting that some people might have interpreted the question differently and reported which methods they have ever used. Hence, we could not study satisfaction for each method separately. Finally, although the contraceptive knowledge quiz was a fun and educational experience and gave us information on knowledge, this should be interpreted carefully since it does not reflect individual knowledge, and might not even reflect shared knowledge, but rather the knowledge of the most knowledgeable person in a team.

In conclusion, this theater-based study explored adults' views on contraception, revealing six key themes: side effects, resistance to hormones, fallibility of contraception, shared responsibility, information needs and dismissive contraceptive counseling , within personal and societal narratives. Although many expressed negative views, reported satisfaction was relatively high. Women, compared to men, were less satisfied, felt more responsible, and were more knowledgeable regarding contraception. These findings emphasize the need to improve comprehensive sexuality education – also beyond formal education – and contraceptive counselling, acknowledging people's lived experiences with contraception, while actively engaging men when it comes to contraception to challenge societal norms that disproportionately burden women. After all, as conception involves both sexes, responsibility for contraception should be shared equally.

5 Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Lowlands Science 2023 for the organization. In addition, we would like to acknowledge all participants who took the time to participate in research while attending a music festival. Furthermore, we would like to thank Ineke van der Vlugt for providing feedback on the questionnaire and knowledge quiz. Finally, we want to acknowledge the research team for their creativity in designing this project and for the energy they brought during the weekend: Bart, Eveline, Jan, Nena, Rosa, Saskia, Teun, and Vincent.

6 References

Alspaugh, A., Barroso, J., Reibel, M., & Phillips, S. (2020). Women's contraceptive perceptions, beliefs, and attitudes: an integrative review of qualitative research. *Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health*, *65*(1), 64-84. https://doi.org/10.1111/jmwh.12992

Auerbach, S. L., Coleman-Minahan, K., Alspaugh, A., Aztlan, E. A., Stern, L., & Simmonds, K. (2023). Critiquing the unintended pregnancy framework. *Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health*, *68*(2), 170-178. https://doi.org/10.1111/jmwh.13457

Badu, K., Vijlbrief, A., & van der Vlugt, I. (2022). *Motieven en factoren om geen of onregelmatig betrouwbare anticonceptie te gebruiken*.

Baxter, S., Blank, L., Guillaume, L., Squires, H., & Payne, N. (2011). Views regarding the use of contraception amongst young people in the UK: a systematic review and thematic synthesis. *The European Journal of Contraception & Reproductive Health Care*, *16*(3), 149-160. https://doi.org/10.3109/13625187.2011.556762

Bleuer, J., Chin, M., & Sakamoto, I. (2018). Why theatre-based research works? Psychological theories from behind the curtain. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, *15*(2-3), 395-411. https://doi.org/10.1080/14780887.2018.1430734

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, *3*(2), 77-101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Brown, S. (2015). 'They think it's all up to the girls': gender, risk and responsibility for contraception. *Culture, Health & Sexuality*, *17*(3), 312-325. https://doi.org/10.1080/13691058.2014.950983

Brunner Huber, L. R., & Ersek, J. L. (2011). Perceptions of contraceptive responsibility among female college students: an exploratory study. *Annals of Epidemiology*, *21*(3), 197-203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annepidem.2010.11.006

Burke, H. M., Packer, C. A., Spector, H. L., & Hubacher, D. (2019). Opportunity, satisfaction, and regret: Trying long-acting reversible contraception in a unique scientific circumstance. *Women & Health*, *59*(3), 266-280. https://doi.org/10.1080/03630242.2018.1478363

Cook, C., Camman, C., Leyland, A., O'Shea, S., & Towle, A. (2019). Dramatizing learning, performing ourselves: stories of theatre-based evaluation in Vancouver's downtown eastside. *Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation*, *34*(2), 255-271. https://doi.org/10.3138/cjpe.44172

D'Souza, P., Bailey, J. V., Stephenson, J., & Oliver, S. (2022). Factors influencing contraception choice and use globally: a synthesis of systematic reviews. *The European Journal of Contraception & Reproductive Health Care*, *27*(5), 364-372. https://doi.org/10.1080/13625187.2022.2096215

de Graaf, H., Kraan, Y., Oldenhof, A., & Joemmanbaks, F. (2024). *Monitor seksuele gezondheid 2023* https://rutgers.nl/wp-content/uploads/2024/09/Rapport_monitor_seksuele_gezondheid_2023.pdf

de Graaf, H., & Wijsen, C. (Eds.). (2017). *Seksuele gezondheid in Nederland 2017*. Rutgers. https://rutgers.nl/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Seksuele-Gezondheid-in-Nederland-2017.pdf.

Dixon, S. C., Herbert, D. L., Loxton, D., & Lucke, J. C. (2014). 'As many options as there are, there are just not enough for me': contraceptive use and barriers to access among Australian women. *The European Journal of Contraception & Reproductive Health Care*, *19*(5), 340-351. https://doi.org/10.3109/13625187.2014.919380

Docter-Loeb, H. (2024). Lowlands: where science meets music. *Nature*, *634*(8032), 251-253. https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-024-03151-5

Gollub, E. L., Beauvais, S., & Roye, C. (2022). College-attending young men's sexual and reproductive health knowledge, attitudes and practices. *Journal of American College Health*, *70*(3), 706-716. https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2020.1762609

Guzzo, K. B., & Hayford, S. R. (2018). Adolescent reproductive and contraceptive knowledge and attitudes and adult contraceptive behavior. *Maternal Child Health Journal*, *22*(1), 32-40. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-017-2351-7

Haynes, M. C., Ryan, N., Saleh, M., Winkel, A. F., & Ades, V. (2017). Contraceptive Knowledge Assessment: validity and reliability of a novel contraceptive research tool. *Contraception*, *95*(2), 190-197. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.contraception.2016.09.002

Higgins, J. A., & Wang, Y. (2015). The role of young adults' pleasure attitudes in shaping condom use. *American Journal of Public Health*, *105*(7), 1329-1332. https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.2015.302567

Kimport, K. (2018). More than a physical burden: women's mental and emotional work in preventing pregnancy. *The Journal of Sex Research*, *55*(9), 1096-1105. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2017.1311834

Költő, A., de Looze, M., Jåstad, A., Nealon Lennox, O., Currie, D., & Gabhainn, S. N. (2024). *A focus on adolescent sexual health in Europe, central Asia and Canada: health behaviour in school-aged children international report from the 2021/2022 survey*. World Health Organization. Regional Office for Europe. https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/378547

Kramer, R. D., Higgins, J. A., Everett, B., Turok, D. K., & Sanders, J. N. (2022). A prospective analysis of the relationship between sexual acceptability and contraceptive satisfaction over time. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, *226*(3), 396.e391-396.e311. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2021.10.008

Le Guen, M., Schantz, C., Regnier-Loilier, A., & de La Rochebrochard, E. (2021). Reasons for rejecting hormonal contraception in Western countries: a systematic review. *Social Science & Medicine*, *284*, 114247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.114247

Lightfoot, A. F., Taboada, A., Taggart, T., Tran, T., & Burtaine, A. (2015). 'I learned to be okay with talking about sex and safety': assessing the efficacy of a theatre-based HIV prevention approach for adolescents in North Carolina. *Sex Education*, *15*(4), 348-363. https://doi.org/10.1080/14681811.2015.1025947

Manzer, J. L., Berndt, V. K., & Bell, A. V. (2023). Intersections between culture, contraception, knowledge and the body in the USA. *Culture, Health & Sexuality*, *25*(9), 1164-1179. https://doi.org/10.1080/13691058.2022.2141330

Merki-Feld, G. S., Felder, S., Roelli, C., Imthurn, B., Stewart, M., & Bateson, D. (2018). Is there a need for better sexual education of young men? Sexual behaviour and reproductive health in Swiss university students: a questionnaire-based pilot study. *The European Journal of Contraception & Reproductive Health Care*, *23*(2), 154-160. https://doi.org/10.1080/13625187.2018.1458226

Rossiter, K., Kontos, P., Colantonio, A., Gilbert, J., Gray, J., & Keightley, M. (2008). Staging data: theatre as a tool for analysis and knowledge transfer in health research. *Social Science & Medicine*, *66*(1), 130-146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2007.07.021

Sense. *Anticonceptie-quiz*. https://sense.info/nl/condoom-pil-en-meer/anticonceptie-quiz

Sonfield, A., Hasstedt, K., & Gold, R. B. (2014). *Moving forward: family planning in the era of health reform*.

Steinberg, J. R., Marthey, D., Xie, L., & Boudreaux, M. (2021). Contraceptive method type and satisfaction, confidence in use, and switching intentions. *Contraception*, *104*(2), 176-182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.contraception.2021.02.010

Stewart, M., Ritter, T., Bateson, D., McGeechan, K., & Weisberg, E. (2017). Contraception - what about the men? Experience, knowledge and attitudes: a survey of 2438 heterosexual men using an online dating service. *Sexual Health*, *14*(6), 533-539. https://doi.org/10.1071/SH16235

Wagner, B., Brogan, N., & Cleland, K. (2024). "A lot of my friends don't know how it works": student activists describe gaps in college students' emergency contraception knowledge. *Womens Health Issues*, *34*(1), 66-71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.whi.2023.07.002

Zettermark, S. (2024). ‘They all of a sudden became new people’: using reproductive justice to explore narratives of hormonal contraceptive experience in Sweden. *European Journal of Women's Studies*, *31*(1), 52-71. https://doi.org/10.1177/13505068241230821