Dengue Outbreak 2022 A.D. in Makawanpur District, Nepal

Rameshwor Parajuli*

Central Department of Zoology, Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur, Nepal

Email: rameshwor.parajuli1@gmail.com (Rameshwor Parajuli)

ORCID

https://orcid.org/0009-0002-8476-1933 (Rameshwor Parajuli)

Abstract

Dengue is a mosquito-borne viral infection and considered to be a global health problem. It is a serious problem in many parts of the South-East Asia along with other parts of the World. Earlier, dengue used to be highly prevalent in Terai areas and very low prevalence in the hilly areas of Nepal. But in recent days its endemic spreads into new areas in Nepal's hilly regions. Makawanpur district, covering mostly upper tropical and subtropical climate zone, has always been very vulnerable to dengue disease. This study aims at finding the ground situation of dengue virus outbreak in 2022 A.D. and on microlevel to municipalities and rural municipalities of Makawanpur district of Bagmati Province, Nepal. Four months data of peak outbreak on 2022 July/August to October/November were collected from hospitals, labs and health care centres and health posts of Makawanpur district. Deaths from dengue virus infections has increased in Makawanpur district along with increment in infected population. Male are more infected in comparision to female. Active age population are comparatively more infected than the inactive age population. Makawanpur district is a susceptible region to Dengue virus disease and in future can be a potential hub. Its geographical and climatical situations are favorable for the prevalence and outbreak of dengue virus.

Keywords

Dengue, Epidemiology, Infections, Makawanpur, Outbreaks, Susceptibility

1. Introduction

The dengue virus (DENV1-5) has five serotypes that can infect people. Female Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus mosquitoes are the primary carriers of the virus. Based on genetic similarities, the first dengue case to be recorded in Nepal was in 2004. Dengue is thought to have been introduced from India. The dengue virus four serotypes were identified in 2006 [1]. Dengue outbreaks have increasingly spread from plain tropical lands to the hills and mountains [2]. Makawanpur district has always been a very vulnerable region to dengue disease. Its climatic condition, location, and the fact that it is a transition zone of country import/export via Birgunj-Raxaul border makes it susceptible victim of dengue outbreaks.

Rapid diagnostic tests (RDT), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA), and complete blood counts (CBC) are the methods used in laboratory diagnosis of dengue. Leucopenia, thrombocytopenia, and elevated liver enzymes are a few of the markers in a CBC profile that support a clinical suspicion [3]. In Nepal, systematic use is not made of more sensitive and specific diagnostic technologies like polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for viral genome identification and viral isolation and culture. In addition, serological instruments and examinations employed even in the midst of epidemic outbreaks are not the gold standard, and it is possible that the DENV virus would go undetected before antibodies emerge, which would significantly restrict precise diagnosis during epidemics [4].

The literature shows that male is more infected than female in last one decade from dengue virus at the ratio of 1.17:2.5 and 15-40 years' age group population are most vulnerable. 40+ age group are less infected than other age group population [4]. The literature from 2006 to 2019 reveals that most patients had secondary infections i.e. about 60% cases. Also 84% severe cases were having secondary infections [5]. Dengue outbreaks usually starts from August and September and highest during October and November and then start declining from December. June and July are critical months for the vector control program i.e. two months' prior the outbreak season [2]. All 4 serotypes reported in 2006 outbreak, DENV1 predominant in 2010 and 2016 outbreak whereas DENV2 predominant in 2013 and 2019 outbreak. The epidemiological trend of dengue outbreak shows 3-year interval outbreak (2010-2013-2016-2019 and now 2022) [6].

A structured questionnaire done in 5 districts among 589 individuals showed that 12% had good knowledge about DF, 77% heard about DF, lowland people had better knowledge than highland, lowland people had lower practice level and vector most prevalent in this region in comparision to highland region. Overall there was low level of knowledge on DF but practice was fair (37%) and attitude towards DF was found good (83%) [7]. A significant positive correlation among knowledge, practice and attitude was found. Literature shows that there is negative relationship between incidence and increasing elevation. Below 500-meter altitude has greatest risk of dengue, 500-1500m has moderate risk and above 1500m has the lowest risk of dengue virus infection [8]. Increased nightly temperature during monsoon months correlate with increased transmissions i.e. temperature variations in monsoon month associated with the increase transmission. Temperature and relative humidity are the major contributing factors in dengue epidemics [2].

Aedes aegypti and *Aedes albopictus* are the two main vectors involved in dengue virus transmission in humans. They are primarily dominant in terai and tropical region. Recent trend shows that their breeding is favorable in hilly region and this maybe a cause in shift of DENV infection from tropical to temperate region in recent years [4]. Dengue occurrence primarily found in terai until 2010 and then increasingly towards hilly region in 2016. The most possible cause of increasing dengue cases in last 5 years are weak diagnostic facilities, limited research on vector, unplanned housing, dense population and weak wastage management. Improved basic research, epidemiological training courses for local scientist and lab personnel are tools for DENV control [9]. Major symptoms in Nepalese patient during DF are fever (100%), cerebral pain (71.3%), thrombocytopenia (85.7%), joint pain (32%), retro orbital torment (23.5%), retching (23.4%), and rashes (11.3%) [10]. The overall prevalence DF cases range from 26.1 to 55.4% and infection is more common in males and adults [11].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

This study is a retrospective examination of reported dengue data that is accessible from the DHO (District Health Office) Makawanpur, which is part of the Nepalese government's Ministry of Health and Population. The health system infrastructure, which consists of district hospitals, provincial hospitals, health posts, and primary health centers (PHCs), gathers data on any disease outbreak in Makawanpur district.

2.2. Diagnosis

This study's data depicts a serological diagnosis made with an IgM ELISA and a fast test kit.

2.3. Data Collection

Data about dengue was taken from the line listing records of several laboratories and medical facilities in Makawanpur, Nepal. The data in this study covers a period of 4 months of 2022 outbreak and three consecutive years of Fiscal year 2076/077 to 2078/079 B.S. (B.S. - Bikram Sambat: Nepal’s calendar). Every month, the District Public Health Office (DPHO) and DHO receive a compilation of the dengue cases that were registered at the health center. During emergency situation like outbreak, DHO collect and store data in line listing form in Microsoft excel.

2.4. Data Analysis

Data were first entered and properly arranged in Microsoft Excel 2010 for analysis. Then statistical analyses were performed.

2.5. Ethical Clearance

After getting recommendation letter from the Central Department of Zoology and consent of labs and respective hospitals, information in-charge of DHO Makawanpur provided the data. Approval as well as recommendation were taken from the Central Department of Zoology, Tribhuvan

University, Kirtipur Campus and all the data collected from District Health Office, Makawanpur with the consent from information section.

3. Findings

3.1. Overall picture of dengue epidemiological trend

Table 1. Data obtained from research article" Epidemiology of dengue virus infections in Nepal, 2006–2019" (Rijal et al., 2021). For 2022, data obtained from (Rimal et al., 2023).

Year	N. of Cases	Affected Districts	Deaths
2006	32	1	0
2010	917	6	5
2013	686	25	0
2016	1527	30	1
2017	2111	28	3
2018	811	43	3
2019	17992	68	6
2022	54,784	77	88

3.2. Three consecutive year (2076-077-078 B.S.) Dengue Fever (DF) trend in Makawanpur district

Table 2. Data obtained from DHO Makwanpur, Bagmati Province, Nepal.

Year	N. of Cases of DF	Male	Female
076/077	48	29	19
077/078	0	0	0
078/079	195	101	94

3.3. Four months (July/August to October/November) data of DENV in the peak time of outbreak in Makawanpur district in 2022 A.D.



Figure 1. Male and female comparision in each Municipalities of Makawanpur district.



Figure 2. Dengue prevalence in Makawanpur district 2022 A.D.



Figure 3. Comparision of infection cases of Municipalities of Makawanpur District.



Figure 4. Population affected by dengue on the basis of age group.

4. Discussion

4.1. Overall trend of dengue virus infection and the position of Makawanpur district in the trend

DENV cases has been rising rapidly since the first case seen in 2004 in Japanese traveler who came through India. The first outbreak just happened after 2 years in 2006 where 32 cases reported, and this outbreak occurred in Chitwan district which is immediate neighboring district of Makawanpur [6]. Another outbreak occurred in 2010, then in 2013, 2016 and 2019. This shows 3-year interval outbreak happening since 2010. Though no cases were reported from Makawanpur

in 2006 but still there is high chance that many cases might have left undiagnosed or unreported [1]. From the 2010 outbreak till today many cases of DENV are reported from Makawanpur as well and now is in most vulnerable region. Among the three deaths that happened in 2017 due to dengue virus infection, one was reported from Makawanpur district and remaining two from Chitwan and Palpa. Similarly, among the three deaths happened in 2018 due to the dengue virus infection, one was reported from Makawanpur district and remaining two deaths reported from Rupandehi. If we see the overall trend 85% cases are reported from three district; Chitwan, Parsa and Jhapa and we cannot ignore the fact that Makawanpur is located in between Parsa and Chitwan. So, Makawanpur district can be a possible hub of DENV infection in the near future. 2022 outbreak in Makawanpur is another evidence to this possibility.

4.2. Three consecutive fiscal year data of DF reported is really perturbing

Dengue cases are rising in Makwanpur district in last 3 years. Fiscal year; 077/078 has zero DF reported cases. This might be due to Coronovirus Disease (COVID) pandemic and negligence towards DENV detection and reporting. Entire attention was dragged by COVID in that fiscal year. Male cases are higher in number than the female cases as seen in above data table.

4.3. Latest outbreak shows makawanpur district being potential hub of Dengue

Total 5595 cases were reported in Makawanpur district in 4 months of outbreak 2022. Out of them male were 3081 and female were 2514 in numbers. Highest number of cases reported from Hetauda Sub-Metropolitan city (4269) followed by Manhari Rural Municipality (932), Thaha Municipality (173), Makwanpurgadhi Rural Municipality (75), Bhimphedi Rural Municipality (55), Bakaiya Rural Municipality (43), Raksirang Rural Municipality (24), Bagmati Rural Municipality (8), Kailash Rural Municipality (6), and Indrasarowar Rural Municipality (4) respectively. Except Makwanpurgadhi, Bakaiya, and Indrasarowar Rural Municipalities other all regions had more reported male cases than female. The findings show that those areas connected directly to highway and densely populated has a greater number of dengue cases. This finding is another evidence to the general hypothesis that urban and densely populated area are more susceptible to prevalence of dengue cases [12]. Also, male cases high in number than female also shows that male are more exposed to the vectors causing dengue fever than female. This may be due to the fact that male is working and earning member of a family in a typical Nepalese society [11]. On the basis of age, the highest affected number of population is 20 to 60 years age group (3985), followed by 6 to 19 years age group (1045), 60+ age group (363) and the least affected age group is below 5 years (176). This again proves the fact that active age group are more exposed to the vectors and hence more susceptible to dengue disease [3].

5. Conclusion

Makawanpur district had always been a susceptible region to Dengue virus disease since the first outbreak in Nepal and in future can be a potential hub of Dengue. Its location and demographic facts are favorable to the prevalence and outbreak of dengue. Timely measures like proper housing management, awareness among people, proper waste management, good surveillance and accurate diagnostics are very crucial before it’s too late.

6. Abbreviations

DENV – Dengue Virus

RDT – Rapid Diagnostic Test

ELISA – Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay

CBC – Complete Blood Count

PCR – Polymerase Chain Reaction

DHO – District Health Office

PHCs – Primary Health Centers

DPHO – District Public Health Office

DF – Dengue Fever

COVID – Coronavirus Disease

7. Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

8. References

[1] Rijal KR, Adhikari B, Ghimire B, Dhungel B, Pyakurel UR, Shah P, et al. Epidemiology of dengue virus infections in Nepal, 2006–2019. Infectious Diseases of Poverty. 2021 Apr 15; 10(1): 52. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-021-00837-0

[2] Tuladhar R, Singh A, Varma A, Choudhary DK. Climatic factors influencing dengue incidence in an epidemic area of Nepal. BMC Research Notes. 2019 Mar 13; 12(1): 131. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-019-4185-4

[3] Thai KTD, Nishiura H, Hoang PL, Tran NTT, Phan GT, Le HQ, et al. Age-Specificity of Clinical Dengue during Prima-ry and Secondary Infections. PLOS Neglected Tropical Dis-eases. 2011 Jun 21; 5(6): e1180. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001180

[4] Gupta BP, Haselbeck A, Kim JH, Marks F, Saluja T. The Dengue virus in Nepal: gaps in diagnosis and surveillance. Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials. 2018 Jul 16; 17(1): 32. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12941-018-0284-7

[5] Dumre SP, Bhandari R, Shakya G, Shrestha SK, Cherif MS, Ghimire P, et al. Dengue Virus Serotypes 1 and 2 Responsi-ble for Major Dengue Outbreaks in Nepal: Clinical, Labora-tory, and Epidemiological Features. The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2017 Jul 31; 97(4): 1062–9. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.17-0221

[6] Adhikari N, Subedi D. The alarming outbreaks of dengue in Nepal. Tropical Medicine and Health. 2020 Feb 7; 48(1): 5. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41182-020-0194-1

[7] Dhimal M, Aryal KK, Dhimal ML, Gautam I, Singh SP, Bhusal CL, et al. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Regard-ing Dengue Fever among the Healthy Population of High-land and Lowland Communities in Central Nepal. Halsey ES, editor. PLoS ONE. 2014 Jul 9; 9(7): e102028. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0110605

[8] Gyawali N, Johnson B, Dixit S, Devine G. Patterns of den-gue in Nepal from 2010-2019 in relation to elevation and climate. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Med-icine and Hygiene. 2020 Nov 16; 115: 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1093/trstmh/traa131

[9] Neupane B, Rijal K, Banjara M, Pandey B. Knowledge and prevention measures against dengue in southern Nepal. Journal of Coastal Life Medicine. 2014 Jul 6; 2: 998–1001. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102028

[10] Khetan RP, Stein DA, Chaudhary SK, Rauniyar R, Upadh-yay BP, Gupta UP, et al. Profile of the 2016 dengue out-break in Nepal. BMC Research Notes. 2018 Jul 3; 11(1): 423. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-018-3514-3

[11] Pandey B, Pandey K, Neupane B, Shah Y, Adhikary K, Gautam I, et al. Persistent dengue emergence: the seven years surrounding the 2010 epidemic in Nepal. Transac-tions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hy-giene. 2015 Oct 28; 109. https://doi.org/10.1093/trstmh/trv087

[12] Griffiths K, Banjara MR, O'Dempsey T, Munslow B, Kroeger A. Public Health Responses to a Dengue Outbreak in a Fragile State: A Case Study of Nepal. Journal of Tropi-cal Medicine. 2013; 2013: 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/158462