Mpox severity and mortality in the DRC: a systematic review and meta-analysis (1970-2024)

Fabrice Zobel Lekeumo Cheuyem[1]*, Armel Zemsi[2], Jonathan Hangi Ndungo[3], Chabeja Achangwa[4], Davy Roméo Takpando-le-grand[5], Jessy Goupeyou-Youmsi[6], Solange Dabou[6], Mohamadou Adama[1], Ariane Nouko[1], Marie Angèle Mbang[7], Edwige Omona Guissana[8], Rick Tchamani[9], Vanessa Monique Mballa Assiene[10], Ethel Ambo Eno[11], Constantine Tanywe Asahngwa[2], Saralees Nadarajah[12]

[1] Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, The University of Yaoundé I, Yaoundé, Cameroon

[2] MRCG at LSHTM, Banjul, The Gambia

[3] Higher Institute of Medical Techniques, Bunia, Democratic Republic of Congo

[4] Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of West Indies, Bridgetown, Barbados

[5] Field Coordinator Frontline Field Epidemiology Training Program, Bangui, Central African Republic

[6] Division of Health Policy and Research, Nkafu Policy Institute, Denis and Lenora Foretia Foundation, Yaounde, Cameroon

[7] Division of Vaccination, Department of Family Health, Ministry of Public Health, Yaounde, Cameroon

[8] Department of Family Health, Ministry of Public Health, Yaounde, Cameroon

[9] Rosière Higher School of Health Sciences, Yaounde, Cameroon

[10] Public Health Emergency Operations Coordination Centre, Yaounde, Cameroon

[11] Women in Global Health, Yaounde, Cameroon

[12] Department of Mathematics, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

*Corresponding author's address:

Fabrice Zobel Lekeumo Cheuyem

Tel: +237 696 57 28 07; E-mail: zobelcheuyem@gmail.com

Abstract

Background Mpox, caused by the Mpox virus, is a zoonotic disease historically endemic in Central and West Africa. The Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) bears the highest burden, with evolving epidemiology and significant public health challenges. Understanding severity and mortality trends is critical for global control efforts.

Methods This systematic review and meta-analysis followed PRISMA guidelines to synthesize evidence on Mpox severity and mortality in the DRC from 1970 to 2024. We searched PubMed, ScienceDirect, Scopus, Web of Science identifying 19 eligible studies after screening 5443 records. Data on severity and death among suspected and confirmed cases were extracted. Random-effects models addressed high heterogeneity, and subgroup analyses examined temporal trends, regional differences, and healthcare settings. Meta-regression explored sources of heterogeneity, adjusting for study year, region, and setting.

Results We included a total of 19 study reports. The pooled severity rate among 3,280 confirmed cases was 39.47% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 29.11-50.86), declining from 46.34% (95% CI: 37.07-55.87) pre-2022 to 25.83% (95% CI: 11.16-49.11) in 2022-2024. Northern (43.82%; 95% CI: 37.81-50.03) and Central (63.89%; 95% CI: 57.10-70.30) regions had higher severity than Eastern regions (26.04%; 95% CI: 8.77-56.31). Case fatality rates (CFRs) were 1.70% (95% CI: 0.72-3.95) for suspected cases and 2.46% (95% CI: 0.65-8.85) for confirmed cases, with Western DRC disproportionately affected (22.03%; 95% CI: 13.25-34.34). Community settings showed higher CFRs (3.69%; 95% CI: 0.78-15.81) than hospitals (0.77%; 95% CI: 0.32-1.84), underscoring healthcare access disparities. Meta-regression confirmed study year (p = 0.044) as significant

predictors of outcomes case severity heterogeneity and study year (p = 0.016), region (p = 0.003)

and setting (p = 0.041), with mortality declining over time but remaining elevated in resource-

limited areas.

Conclusion Mpox continues to impose a substantial burden in the DRC, with high severity and

mortality rates, particularly in Western/Central regions and community settings. The observed

temporal decline in severity and CFRs suggests the impact of strengthened surveillance and

healthcare capacity. However, regional disparities persist might be due to inequitable healthcare

access and potential differences in viral clades. Targeted interventions, including vaccination in

high-risk areas, community education, and healthcare system strengthening are urgently

needed.

Keywords epidemiology, Mpox, monkeypox, severity, mortality, Democratic Republic of Congo,

systematic review, meta-analysis

Background

Monkeypox (Mpox), caused by the Mpox virus, is a zoonotic infection that has garnered

increasing global attention due to its evolving epidemiology [1]. The Mpox virus belongs to the

Orthopoxvirus genus, which also includes variola virus (the causative agent of smallpox), vaccinia

virus (used in the smallpox vaccine), and cowpox virus [2]. Mpox virus is a double-stranded DNA

virus with two distinct genetic clades: clade 1 (formerly known as the Congo Basin clade) and

clade 2 (formerly the West African clade). Clade 1 has historically been associated with more

severe disease and higher mortality rates compared to Clade 2 [3].

While historically concentrated in Central and West Africa, recent outbreaks have

demonstrated its capacity to spread beyond endemic regions, posing a significant threat to

global public health [4]. In the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), Mpox has been recognized

as a public health threat since 1997, leading to the establishment of the National Program for the

Control of Mpox and Hemorrhagic Fevers and the designation of Mpox as an epidemic-prone

disease requiring immediate and mandatory notification [5]. The retrospective analysis of

national routine surveillance data from 2010 to 2023 shows a concerning rise in Mpox incidence

and geographical spread in the DRC. Over that period, the incidence rate rose from 2.97 to 11.46

per 100,000 people, with 60,967 suspected cases and 1,798 deaths reported, and children under

5 years were particularly affected [6].

The clinical pattern of Mpox infection is a characteristic skin rash, progressing through

stages of macules, papules, vesicles, and pustules, eventually forming crusts [7]. This rash can be

accompanied by systemic symptoms such as fever, headache, lymphadenopathy, and myalgia [8].

The severity of Mpox infection can vary widely, ranging from mild, self-limiting illness to severe

or grave disease requiring hospitalization and leading to complications [9].

The transmission of Mpox virus to humans can occur through various routes [10]. Zoonotic

transmission involves contact with infected animals, such as rodents and non-human primates

[11,12]. Human-to-human transmission primarily occurs through close contact with infectious

skin lesions, respiratory droplets, or contaminated materials. Sexual transmission has also been

documented, particularly in recent outbreaks [3,13].

The epidemiology of Mpox has been significantly shaped by the global eradication of

smallpox [1]. Smallpox vaccination, using vaccinia virus, provided cross-protective immunity

against other *Orthopoxviruses*, including Mpox virus [14]. Following the cessation of smallpox

vaccination campaigns, susceptibility to Mpox increased in the population, leading to a rise in

Mpox incidence and a shift in its epidemiology [15].

Socioeconomic factors play a crucial role in shaping Mpox epidemiology in the DRC [8].

Poverty, limited access to healthcare, inadequate sanitation, and cultural practices can increase

the risk of Mpox transmission and exacerbate its impact [16]. In addition, social instability and

conflict can disrupt healthcare services and hinder disease control efforts [17].

The healthcare system in the DRC faces significant challenges, including limited

infrastructure, shortages of healthcare workers, inadequate diagnostic capacity, and logistical

difficulties in reaching remote areas [16]. These challenges can result in delayed diagnosis,

inadequate treatment, and increased mortality from Mpox [18].

In light of the ongoing public health importance of Mpox in the DRC and its potential for

global spread, it is imperative to enhance our understanding of the disease's severity and

mortality patterns. Accurate and up-to-date information on these key epidemiological

parameters is essential for informing evidence-based public health interventions and allocating

resources effectively. This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to address this critical need

by synthesizing available data on Mpox severity and case fatality rates (CFRs) in the DRC. By

examining trends over time and variations across different settings and populations, this study

provides valuable insights into the current and historical burden of Mpox in the region,

contributing to global efforts to control and mitigate the impact of this emerging infectious

disease.

Methods

Study design

This study was conducted to assess the epidemiology of the Mpox outbreak in the DRC from

1970 to 2024, as this was the first meta-analysis assessing disease severity and mortality in this

most endemic focus. The study results are reported based on the Preferred Reporting Items for

Systematic Review and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) guidelines [19].

Study setting

The DRC is the largest country in Sub-Saharan Africa, occupying a vast land area of

approximately 2,345,409 km² [20]. Administratively, the DRC is divided into 26 provinces,

including Bas-Uélé, Équateur, Haut-Katanga, Haut-Lomami, Haut-Uélé, Ituri, Kasaï, Kasaï-Central,

Kasaï-Oriental, Kinshasa, Kongo Central, Kwango, Kwilu, Lomami, Lualaba, Mai-Ndombe,

Maniema, Mongala, Nord-Kivu, Nord-Ubangi, Sankuru, Sud-Kivu, Sud-Ubangi, Tanganyika,

Tshopo, and Tshuapa. The country's health system is organized into central, intermediate, and

operational levels. At the operational level, there are 519 health zones, 393 general referral

hospitals, and 8,504 health areas [21]. The population is estimated to be over 105 million, with a

median age of 16.7 years, a population growth rate of 3.1%, and a life expectancy of 61.6 years

[22]. The health landscape is plagued by recurrent disease outbreaks, such as mpox, yellow fever,

polio, cholera, measles, and Ebola [23]. The majority of the population is Christian, with over

93% identifying as such, of which 42% are Catholic [24]. The majority of the population is

Christian, with over 93% identifying as such, of which 42% are Catholics [25]. The DRC has a long

history of armed groups steering civil unrest, resulting in a complex humanitarian emergency

[26].

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria This systematic review and meta-analysis included community- and hospital-

based studies (cross-sectional, surveillance reports, cohort) that provided data on Mpox severity

and/or mortality rates in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC). Studies were eligible if they

reported clinical outcomes (e.g., severe cases, deaths) or epidemiological surveillance data (e.g.,

case fatality rates). No restrictions were applied on publication year; however, only articles

published in English or French were considered.

Exclusion criteria Studies were excluded if they were single case reporting, reviews, or

commentaries without original data, or if they focused on other viruses or unrelated outcomes

(e.g., genetic analyses without clinical correlates). Duplicate publications were removed following

title and abstract screening. Additionally, studies lacking clearly defined severity or mortality

measures were excluded.

Article searching strategy

A methodical investigation of digital repositories, encompassing PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus, Embase, CINAHL and ScienceDirect, was performed to locate published research. The search approach involved examining the words within the title and abstract of each study. A synthesis of keywords and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) vocabulary was utilized, employing Boolean logic operators ("AND" and "OR") to narrow the search for each database used (Additional File 1, Supplementary Table 2). To ensure thoroughness, additional search was conducted on Google Scholar to identify additional relevant publications not found in electronic databases. Furthermore, the reference lists of identified studies were screened to identify further relevant articles. The concluding search was performed on 29 June, 2025.

Data extraction

Data were extracted from all eligible articles using a predefined Microsoft Excel 2016 form to collect study characteristics. The data extraction checklist included the first author's name, study year, region, setting, number of suspected, confirmed, severe and death Mpox cases. Two authors independently assessed each article for relevance and quality (F.Z.L.C., A.Z.). Discrepancies between reviewers were resolved through discussion with a third reviewer to achieve consensus (S.N).

Data quality assessment

The quality of included studies was assessed using the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) quality assessment tool [27]. Risk of bias was evaluated using predefined criteria based on the study design. For cross-sectional studies, assessment criteria encompassed studies clear definition of inclusion criteria, comprehensive descriptions of study subjects and settings, validity and

reliability of exposure measurements, use of objective, standardized criteria for outcome

assessment, identification potential confounding factors, implementation of appropriate

strategies to address them, and the appropriateness of statistical methods. For case reports,

criteria included: clear description of patient demographic characteristics, patient history and

timeline, current clinical condition on presentation, diagnostic tests/assessment methods and

results,   interventions/treatment   procedures,   post-intervention   clinical   condition,

adverse/unanticipated events, and takeaway lessons. Each criterion was scored as 1 (yes) or 0 (no

or unclear). The overall risk of bias was categorized as low (>50%), moderate (>25-50%), or high

(≤25%).

Outcome measurement

The primary outcomes of this systematic review and meta-analysis were Mpox severity and CFR.

Mpox severity rate was determined by calculating the proportion of participants exhibiting

severe or grave clinical manifestations of Mpox out of the total number of confirmed cases. CFR

was calculated by dividing the number of deaths observed within the population of suspected or

confirmed cases.

Operational definition

Severe or grave cases (requiring hospitalization), were defined by the presence of one or more of

the following: extensive lesions (more than 100 lesions), hemorrhagic or pustular lesions,

mucosal involvement (oral, genital, conjunctival), or systemic complications, including high fever

(>39°C), altered general state (bedridden), sepsis, encephalitis, secondary bacterial infections,

hypotension, septic shock, or severe dehydration, and keratitis leading to potential corneal

scarring and blindness [8,28]. A suspected monkeypox case was defined as an individual

presenting with a vesicular or pustular rash characterized by deep-seated, firm pustules, and at

least one of the following symptoms: fever preceding the eruption, lymphadenopathy (inguinal,

axillary, or cervical), or pustules or crusts on the palms of the hands or soles of the feet. A case

was defined as laboratory-confirmed Mpox if at least one specimen yielded a positive result in

the *Orthopoxvirus*-specific assay, or Mpox-specific real-time PCR, or Mpox in culture [8].

Statistical analysis and synthesis

Heterogeneity between studies was assessed using the I^2 statistic and categorized as low (<25%),

moderate (25-75%), or high (>75%). Subgroup analyses were conducted based on study period,

region, setting, and disease burden. A random-effects model was employed when heterogeneity

exceeded 50%. Furthermore, meta-regression was performed to investigate whether study

characteristics explained the variability in results across studies. The timeframes for the study

period subgroup analysis were specifically defined as before and after the 2022 global outbreak.

Only study variables with meaningful and practical categories were included in the

analyses. Univariable and multivariable meta-regression models were used to assess whether

severity and CFRs varied according to the selected explanatory variable categories. Generalized

Linear Mixed Models (GLMM), coupled with the Probit-Logit Transformation (PLOGIT) were used

as they are ideal for meta-analyses of binary or proportion data as they directly model binomial

outcomes, effectively account for between-study heterogeneity using random effects, and

inherently handle studies with 0% or 100% events without requiring continuity corrections [33].

Statistical significance was set at 5%. All analyses were performed using the 'meta' package in R

Statistics version 4.4.2 [34].

Publication bias and sensitivity test

Publication bias was assessed visually using a funnel plot. Symmetry of the inverted funnel shape

suggested the absence of publication bias. To further investigate potential bias, Egger's

regression and Begg's rank correlation tests were performed, with statistical significance set at

5%. Sensitivity analysis was conducted by iteratively excluding one study at a time to evaluate

the robustness of the finding.

Results

A total of 5443 records were retrieved from the database search (n = 5438) and from other

sources (n = 5). After removing 732 duplicate records, 4706 records remained. Titles/abstracts,

followed by full text articles were then screened for eligibility. Ultimately, 19 study reports met

the eligibility criteria and were included in the meta-analysis (Fig. 1).

Studies selection

Fig. 1 PRISMA diagram flow from study identification to inclusion in the meta-analysis

Characteristic of reports included

A comprehensive analysis included 22 studies. Those studies were conducted between 1970 and

2024 and involved population from community, hospital settings or both across various regions

in DRC. Most of studies were surveillance reports with cross-sectional design for data collection

and description (n = 16) (Additional Files 1, Supplementary Table 1)

Disease severity among confirmed Mpox cases

The overall pooled Mpox severity rate was 39.47% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 29.11-50.86; I^2 =

93.9%, p < 0.001) (Fig. 2). Subgroup analysis by study period underscores a decline in the Mpox

severity rate from 46.34% (95% CI: 37.07-55.87; n = 8 studies) before 2022 to 11.16% (95% CI:

11.16-49.11; n = 5 studies) from 2022-2024. The highest severity rate was observed in the Central

region of Sankuru (63.89%; 95% CI: 57.10-70.30; n = 1 study), while the lowest was in the Eastern

regions of South and North Kivu (26.04%; 95% CI: 8.77-56.31; n = 3 studies); a significant

difference in severity rates was noted across regions (p < 0.001). The severity rate was higher in

studies conducted within hospital settings (43.21%; 95% CI: 19.15-70.96; n = 2 studies) compared

to community settings (38.68%; 95% CI: 27.74-50.90; n = 11 studies). Sites with the highest

burden of confirmed Mpox cases (≥ 200) exhibited the highest severity rate (40.52%; 95% CI:

31.62-50.10; n = 7 studies) (Table 1 and Additional Files 2, Supplementary Fig. 1-4).

Fig. 2 Pooled severity rate of Mpox case in DRC, 1970-2024

Table 1 Subgroup meta-analysis of Mpox severity rate pooled estimates in DRC, 1970-2024

Subgroup	Confirmed cases	Event rate[1] (%)	95% CI limits[1]		Number of studies	Heterogeneity statistic[1]	
			Lower	Upper		I^2(%)	p-value
Study period[2]							
<2022	2497	46.34	37.07	55.87	8	93.2	< 0.001
2022-2024	783	25.83	11.16	49.11	5	92.1	< 0.001
Region[3]							
Northern	251	43.82	37.81	50.03	2	0	0.581
Eastern	548	26.04	8.77	56.31	3	89.2	< 0.001
Western	1983	42.71	31.17	55.10	6	92.6	< 0.001
Central	216	63.89	57.10	70.30	1	-	-
Nationwide	282	39.01	33.28	44.97	1	-	-
Setting							
Community	2633	38.68	27.74	50.90	11	90.7	< 0.001
Hospital	647	43.21	19.15	70.96	2	98.8	< 0.001
Disease burden (confirmed cases)							
< 200	197	36.81	16.13	63.82	6	88.5	< 0.001
≥ 200	3083	40.52	31.62	50.10	7	96.1	< 0.001

[1]Random effects model; CI: Confidence Interval; [2]Period before and after the global outbreak; [4] Eastern: North and South Kivu; Northern: Bas-uélé and Tshopo; Western/Central: Equateur Kwango, Sankuru, Tshuapa)

Mortality among suspected Mpox cases

The pooled Case Fatality Rate (CFR) among suspected Mpox cases was 1.70% (95% CI: 0.70-3.95;

I^2 = 86.7%, p < 0.001) (Fig. 3). Subgroup analysis revealed a significant decreasing trend (p <

0.001) in CFR from 3.50% (95% CI: 1.60-7.51; n = 8 studies) before 2022 to 1.28% (95% CI: 0.66-

1.90; n = 13 studies) from 2022-2024. The highest burden of mortality was recorded in Western

regions (18.60%; 95% CI: 9.59-33.01; n = 2 studies), while the lowest was in Eastern regions

(0.53%; 95% CI: 0.20-1.39%); this difference was statistically significant (p < 0.001). The CFR was

higher within community settings (2.15%; 95% CI: 0.82-5.48%) compared to hospital settings

(0.68%; 95% CI: 0.28-1.62%). Localities with the lowest burden of suspected cases (< 200)

presented with a higher CFR (4.74%; 95% CI: 1.51-13.89%) (Table 2 and Additional Files 3,

Supplementary Fig. 1-4).

Fig. 3 Pooled case fatality rate for suspected Mpox case in DRC, 1970-2024

292 **Table 2** Subgroup meta-analysis of case fatality rate pooled estimates for suspected Mpox cases
293 in DRC, 1970-2024

Subgroup	Suspected cases	Event rate[1] (%)	95% CI limits[1]		Number of studies	Heterogeneity statistic[1]	
			Lower	Upper		I^2(%)	*p*-value
Study period[2]							
<2022	1420	3.50	1.60	7.51	8	85.6	< 0.001
2022-2024	1732	0.35	0.16	0.77	5	0.0	0.747
Region[3]							
Western	43	18.60	9.59	33.01	2	0.0	0.999
Eastern	761	0.53	0.20	1.39	3	94.5	0.753
Nationwide	1524	1.69	0.26	10.02	3	94.5	< 0.001
Central	821	1.71	1.01	2.86	4	11.5	0.335
Northern	3	0.00	0.00	70.76	1	-	-
Setting							
Community	2416	2.15	0.82	5.48	10	87.5	< 0.001
Hospital	736	0.68	0.28	1.62	3	0.0	0.395
Disease burden (suspected cases)							
< 200	235	4.74	1.51	13.89	6	60.5	0.027
≥ 200	2917	1.13	0.43	2.89	7	91.3	< 0.001

[1]*Random effects model; CI: Confidence Interval;* [2]*Period before and after the global outbreak;* [3]*Eastern: North Kivu and South Kivu;*
Central: Kasai Oriental and Sankuru; Northern: North Ubangui; Western: Equateur and Kwango

Mortality among confirmed Mpox cases

The pooled Case Fatality Rate (CFR) among confirmed Mpox cases was 2.46% (95% CI: 0.65-8.85;

I^2 = 90.3%, p < 0.001) (Fig. 4). Subgroup analysis revealed a significant decrease (*p* < 0.001) in

CFR in the DRC from 7.29% (95% CI: 2.38-20.20; n = 5 studies) before 2022 to 0.28% (95% CI:

0.11-0.75; n = 4 studies) between 2011-2024. The highest burden of mortality was significantly

observed (p < 0.001) in Western regions (13.25%; 95% CI: 13.25-34.34; n = 3 studies), while the

lowest was in Eastern regions (0.45%; 95% CI: 0.11-1.80%; n = 2 studies). The CFR was higher

within community settings (3.69%; 95% CI: 0.78-15.81; n = 7 studies) compared to hospital

settings (0.77%; 95% CI: 0.32-1.84; n = 2 studies). Localities with the lowest burden of confirmed

cases (< 200) presented with a higher CFR (19.12%; 95% CI: 11.44-30.20; n = 4 studies), and this

was statistically significant (*p* < 0.001) (Table 3 and Additional Files 4, Supplementary Fig. 1-4)

Fig. 4 Pooled case fatality rate for confirmed Mpox case in DRC, 1970-2024

Table 3 Subgroup meta-analysis of case fatality rate pooled estimates for confirmed Mpox cases
in DRC, 1970-2024

Subgroup	Confirmed cases	Event rate[1] (%)	95% CI limits[1]		Number of studies	Heterogeneity statistic[1]	
			Lower	Upper		I^2(%)	*p*-value
Study period[2]							
<2022	828	7.29	2.38	20.20	5	89.6	< 0.001
2022-2024	1411	0.28	0.11	0.75	4	0	0.884
Region[3]							
Western	59	22.03	13.25	34.34	3	0	0.729
Eastern	440	0.45	0.11	1.80	2	0	0.999
Central	216	1.36	0.65	8.85	1	-	-
Nationwide	1524	94.5	0.26	10.02	3	94.5	< 0.001
Setting							
Community	1592	3.69	0.78	15.81	7	89.5	< 0.001
Hospital	647	0.77	0.32	1.84	2	31.3	0.228
Disease burden (confirmed cases)							
< 200	68	19.12	11.44	30.20	4	0	0.889

| ≥ 200 | | 2171 | 1.24 | 0.35 | 4.29 | 5 | 92.6 | < 0.001 |

Meta-regression analysis

Univariate analysis revealed that study year were significant sources of heterogeneity (p = 0.027)

for the examined health indicators. In the multivariate analysis, study year, region and setting

were significant sources of heterogeneity of suspected (p = 0.027) and confirmed case fatality

rates (p = 0.016; p = 0.003; p = 0.041 respectively) (Table 4).

Table 4 Univariate and multivariate meta-regression analysis of Mpox disease outcome metrics in
DRC, 1970-2024

Epidemiological estimate	Moderator	Univariate		Multivariate	
		Unadjusted coefficient (β)	*p*-value	Adjusted coefficient (β)	*p*-value
Severity rate					
Study year	2022+ vs. < 2022	-0.8220	0.074	-0.9147	0.084
Region	Nationwide vs. Regional	-0.0565	0.948	0.3846	0.681
Setting	Hospital vs. Community	0.1491	0.8192	0.3029	0.635
Suspected case fatality rate					
Study year	2022+ vs. < 2022	-1.6622	0.027	-1.5989	0.044
Region	Nationwide vs. Regional	-0.3760	0.699	-0.6351	0.461
Setting	Hospital vs. Community	-0.7801	0.442	-0.9153	0.343
Confirmed case fatality rate					
Study year	2022+ vs. < 2022	-2.1371	0.052	-0.0573	0.016
Region	Nationwide vs. Regional	-1.1594	0.387	-2.4721	0.003
Setting	Hospital vs. Community	-2.0197	0.151	-2.2019	0.041

Publication bias and sensitivity test analysis

A large and almost symmetrical distribution of data points was observed in the funnel plot

suggesting a low risk of publication bias for all the outcome interest. In addition, the Egger's

linear regression and Begg's rank correlation tests confirmed the absence of statistically

significant publication bias (Additional Files 2, Supplementary Fig. 6; Additional Files 3,

Supplementary Fig. 6).

Sensitivity analysis, assessing the impact of individual studies and outliers on the overall

results, demonstrated that no study exerted a significant impact on the overall pooled estimate

(Additional Files 2, Supplementary Fig. 5; Additional Files 3, Supplementary Fig. 5, and Additional

Files 4, Supplementary Fig. 5).

Discussion
331

This systematic review and meta-analysis were conducted to determine the Mpox severity and

CFRs in the world most endemic focus. It synthesized data from 19 studies to provide a

comprehensive overview of Mpox severity and mortality in the DRC from 1970 to 2024.

Severity rate

The observed pooled severity rate of 39.47% (95% CI: 29.11-50.86; I^2 = 93.9% and $p<0.001$)

highlights the substantial clinical burden of Mpox in the DRC. This finding is consistent with

previous reports that have documented a range of severity in Mpox cases, with some individuals

experiencing mild, self-limiting illness and others developing severe complications [1,8]. A study

in the United Kingdom estimated the risk of hospitalization among 90,439 laboratory-confirmed

Mpox cases to be between 3.53% (95% CI: 2.20-5.60) and 9.43% (95% CI: 5.18-16.57) [35]. This

was lower than the proportion observed in our study. Factors such as host immunity, viral strain,

and access to care probably influence the observed differences in disease severity [30]. Evidence

indicates that infections with viral clade 1a result in more severe disease than infections with

other clades [3].

A notable trend observed in the subgroup analysis was the progressive decline in Mpox

severity rate over time, from 46.34% before 2022 to 25.83% between 2022-2024. This decline

may reflect potential changes in the circulating viral strains or improvements in healthcare

infrastructure, increased surveillance and reporting. Current evidence highlights the identification

in 2023 of a newly discovered clade 1b through genomic analysis of strains from previously non-

endemic provinces in the Eastern DRC. This clade might exhibit less virulence than the classical

clade 1a strain [36]. However, it is important to interpret this trend with caution, as heterogeneity

across studies and variations in case definitions and methodology could also influence these

findings.

Regional variations in Mpox severity were also evident, with the highest severity rate

significantly observed in the Western regions (43.82%; $p < 0.001$) and suggest the influence of

region-specific factors on disease presentation and outcomes. The Western DRC is endemic for

the Congo Basin clade of Mpox virus, which has been associated with higher virulence and more

severe clinical outcomes compared to the West African clade [37,38]. The existence of

comorbidities like HIV could exacerbate Mpox severity, as underscored by a study in Nigeria and

other African countries where the high prevalence and non-suppression of HIV/AIDS increases

the risk of severe outcomes for Clade 1 Mpox virus infection [39,40]. Further research is needed

to explore these regional disparities and identify potential risk factors for severe Mpox in specific

areas.

To effectively address the ongoing Mpox threat, a multi-faceted approach is essential.

Community-based interventions, including the deployment of mobile health units,

implementation of community education programs, and provision of early diagnostic tools, are

crucial to reducing delays in care-seeking and improving outcomes, particularly given the

observed high severity of Mpox in community settings.

Case fatality rate

The pooled CFR among suspected Mpox cases was 1.70% (95% CI: 0.72-3.95; I^2 = 86.7% and

p<0.001), with a significant decreasing trend observed over time (p < 0.001). This finding aligns

with previous studies that have reported CFRs for Mpox generally ranging from 0% to 12% [3].

The pooled CFR for confirmed Mpox cases in this analysis was 2.46% (95% CI: 0.65–8.85),

exhibiting significant heterogeneity (I^2 = 90.3%, p < 0.001). This result corroborates findings

reported in an African study, where 45,652 Mpox cases, clinically diagnosed and laboratory-

confirmed across 12 African countries, resulted in 1492 deaths (CFR, 3.3%) [41]. The high

heterogeneity suggests substantial variability in CFR across the included studies, which can likely

be attributed to several factors, including temporal shifts, regional disparities, and differences in

healthcare settings.

A notable trend observed was the decline in CFR over time. The CFR was considerably

higher (7.29%) in studies conducted before 2022, likely reflecting the limited healthcare access

and absence of Mpox-specific interventions during that period. The cessation of smallpox

vaccination campaigns around 1980 may have also contributed to increased susceptibility to

Mpox, particularly in older cohorts [15]. In contrast, the CFR decreased significantly to 0.28% in

studies conducted between 2022 and 2024. This decline aligns with improvements in

surveillance, earlier case detection, and enhanced supportive care [32]. However, it is important

to acknowledge that potential underreporting of milder Mpox cases in recent years could

introduce a downward bias in the CFR estimates.

Regional disparities also played a significant role in the observed heterogeneity (p = 0.003).

The Western regions of the DRC exhibited a substantially higher CFR (22.03%) compared to the

Eastern regions (0.45%). This difference may be attributed to the predominance of the more

virulent Congo Basin clade in the Western/Central DRC and the presence of rural healthcare gaps

that contribute to delays in seeking and receiving appropriate treatment. In contrast, the Eastern

DRC generally has better urban healthcare infrastructure, which may contribute to improved

outcomes. Additionally, the possibility of cross-protection from Ebola vaccination campaigns in

the Eastern DRC as a contributing factor to the lower CFR in that region should be also explored

[42,43].

Furthermore, the healthcare setting influenced the CFR (p = 0.041). Community settings

were associated with a higher CFR (3.69%) compared to hospital settings (0.77%). This finding

likely reflects factors such as late presentation, misdiagnosis, and the use of traditional medicine

in community settings, which can exacerbate disease outcomes. Study reports establish that

traditional African Medicine remains an important health care resource among population in

DRC [44]. On the other hand, hospital settings offer access to supportive care, such as fluid

management and antibiotics for secondary bacterial infections, which can reduce mortality.

Localities with a high burden of confirmed Mpox cases (≥ 200 cases) demonstrated a lower

CFR (1.24%). This finding may be explained by enhanced awareness leading to earlier case

identification and reporting, as well as more efficient resource allocation and targeted

interventions, such as vaccination and healthcare worker training, in high-burden areas [32]. The

findings of this review have several implications for research and public health policy. There is a clear need for targeted interventions in the Western and Central DRC, including healthcare strengthening initiatives such as mobile clinics to reach remote populations and the potential use of strain-specific vaccines given the virulence of the Congo Basin clade.

Limitations and strength

This review has several limitations. First, some death cases might have been unreported due to study design, potentially underestimating the true CFR. Confounding by strain differences remains a concern, as the lack of comprehensive genomic data in many studies obscures the clade-specific mortality rates. Surveillance bias, particularly the potential for improved detection of milder cases in more recent studies, may also influence the CFR estimates. Additionally, the small sample sizes in some subgroup analyses result in wide confidence intervals, therefore limiting the precision of the findings. Study in other language than English and French were not searched. Despite these limitations, this systematic review and meta-analysis provides valuable insights into Mpox severity and mortality in the DRC. The findings highlight the continued public health importance of Mpox in the region and underscore the need for ongoing surveillance, research, and interventions to reduce the burden of this disease

Conclusions

This systematic review and meta-analysis provide a comprehensive assessment of Mpox severity and mortality in the DRC from 1970 to 2024. The pooled analyses revealed an overall Mpox severity rate of 39.47% and a Case Fatality Rate (CFR) of 2.46% among suspected Mpox cases. Subgroup analyses and meta-regression identified significant temporal, regional and study

432 setting variations, highlighting the complex and evolving epidemiology of Mpox in the DRC.

433 Despite the limitations inherent in the available data, this study underscores the continued public

434 health burden of Mpox in the DRC and emphasizes the need for sustained surveillance, research,

435 and interventions aimed at ensuring continuous vaccines supply, community engagement, and

436 healthcare system strengthening.

Abbreviations

CFR: Case Fatality Rate

CI: Confidence Interval

DRC: Democratic Republic of Congo

HCW: Healthcare worker

HIV/AIDS: Human Immunodeficiency Virus/Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome

MeSH: Medical Subject Headings

PCR: Polymerase Chain Reaction

PRISMA: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis

Declarations

Author contributions: F.Z.L.C. conceived the original idea of the study. F.Z.L.C. and A.Z.

conducted the literature search. F.Z.L.C., A.Z. and S.N. selected the studies, extracted the relevant

information, and synthesized the data. F.Z.L.C. performed the analyses and wrote the first draft of

the manuscript. All authors critically reviewed and revised successive drafts of the manuscript. All

authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethical approval statement: Not applicable

Consent for publication: Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials: The sources of data supporting this systematic review are

available in the reference. All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this

published article and supplemental material.

Competing interests: All authors declare no conflicts of interest and have approved the final

version of the article.

Funding source: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the

public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

References

1.   Bunge EM, Hoet B, Chen L, Lienert F, Weidenthaler H, Baer LR, *et al.* The changing
epidemiology of human monkeypox—A potential threat? A systematic review. Gromowski
G, editor. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2022;16(2): e0010141.

2.   Shchelkunova GA, Shchelkunov SN. Smallpox, Monkeypox and Other Human Orthopoxvirus
Infections. Viruses. 2022;15(1):103.

3.   Beiras CG, Malembi E, Escrig-Sarreta R, Ahuka S, Mbala P, Mavoko HM, *et al.* Concurrent
outbreaks of mpox in Africa—an update. *The Lancet.* 2025;405(10472):86–96.

4.   Cadmus S, Akinseye V, Besong M, Olanipekun T, Fadele J, Cadmus E, *et al.* Dynamics of
Mpox infection in Nigeria: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep.* 2024;14(1):7368.

5.   Subissi L, Mitjà O. Rising mpox trends in DR Congo: the neglected spread of an epidemic.
The Lancet. 2025;405(10476):358–60.

6.   Bangwen E, Diavita R, Vos ED, Vakaniaki EH, Nundu SS, Mutombo A, *et al.* Suspected and
confirmed mpox cases in DR Congo: a retrospective analysis of national epidemiological
and laboratory surveillance data, 2010–23. *The Lancet.* 2025;405(10476):408–19.

7.   Su S, Jia M, Yu Y, Li H, Yin W, Lu Y, *et al.* Integrated Network Analysis of Symptom Clusters
Across Monkeypox Epidemics From 1970 to 2023: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.
JMIR Public Health Surveill. 2024;10:e49285.

8.   Whitehouse ER, Bonwitt J, Hughes CM, Lushima RS, Likafi T, Nguete B, *et al.* Clinical and
Epidemiological Findings from Enhanced Monkeypox Surveillance in Tshuapa Province,
Democratic Republic of the Congo During 2011–2015. *J Infect Dis.* 2021;223(11):1870–8.

9.   Breman JG, Kalisa-Ruti, Steniowski MV, Zanotto E, Gromyko AI, Arita I. Human monkeypox,
1970-79. *Bull World Health Organ.* 1980;58(2):165–82.

10.   Mwanba PT, Tshioko KF, Moudi A, Mukinda V, Mwema GN, Messinger D, *et al.* Human
monkeypox in Kasaï Oriental, Zaire (1996-1997). *Eurosurveillance.* 1997;2(5):33–5.

11.   Hutin YJF, Williams RJ, Malfait P, Pebody R, Loparev VN, Ropp SL, *et al.* Outbreak of Human
Monkeypox, Democratic Republic of Congo, 1996 to 1997. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2001;7(3):434–
8.

12.   Dieu-Merci. KY, Singa Valentin. B, Ley. BL, Antoine. ET, Guild. AK, Lwanga. K, *et al.* MPOX:
EPIDEMIOLOGICAL PROFILE AND FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH ITS EMERGENCE IN THE
ISANGI TERRITORY, DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF CONGO. *Int J Appl Sci Eng Rev.*
2024;5(5):27–43.

13.   Kibungu EM, Vakaniaki EH, Kinganda-Lusamaki E, Kalonji-Mukendi T, Pukuta E, Hoff NA, *et*
al. Clade I–Associated Mpox Cases Associated with Sexual Contact, the Democratic Republic
of the Congo. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2024;30(1):172–6.

14.   Matusali G, Petruccioli E, Cimini E, Colavita F, Bettini A, Tartaglia E, *et al.* Evaluation of Cross-
Immunity to the Mpox Virus Due to Historic Smallpox Vaccination. *Vaccines.*
2023;11(10):1541.

15.   Fenner F, Henderson DA, Arita I, Jezek Z, Ladnyi ID, Organization WH. Smallpox and its
eradication. World Health Organization; 1988. 1371–1409 p.
https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/39485. Accessed: 2025 Mar 30.

16.   Doshi RH, Guagliardo SAJ, Dzabatou-Babeaux A, Likouayoulou C, Ndakala N, Moses C, *et al.*
Strengthening of Surveillance during Monkeypox Outbreak, Republic of the Congo, 2017.
Emerg Infect Dis. 2018;24(6):1158–60.

17.   Marou V, Vardavas CI, Aslanoglou K, Nikitara K, Plyta Z, Leonardi-Bee J, *et al.* The impact of
conflict on infectious disease: a systematic literature review. *Confl Health.* 2024;18(1):27.

18.   Musuka G, Moyo E, Tungwarara N, Mhango M, Pierre G, Saramba E, *et al.* A critical review of
mpox outbreaks, risk factors, and prevention efforts in Africa: lessons learned and evolving
practices. *IJID Reg.* 2024;12(2024):100402.

19. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group TP. Preferred Reporting Items for
Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *PLOS Med.* 2009;6(7):
e1000097.

20. Congo, Democratic Republic of the. In: The World Factbook. Central Intelligence Agency;
2023. https://www.cia.gov/the-world-factbook/countries/congo-democratic-republic-of-
the/. Accessed: 2023 Oct 30.

21. République démocratique du Congo - Plan national de développement sanitaire recadré
pour la période 2019-2022 : Vers la Couverture sanitaire universelle.
https://www.ilo.org/dyn/natlex/natlex4.detail?p_isn=111796&p_lang=fr. Accessed: 2023 Oct
30.

22. Democratic Republic of the Congo. WHO, Geneva. 2024. https://data.who.int/countries/180.
Accessed: 2025 Mar 27.

23. Amani A, Bene ACM, Lungoyo CL, Mukoka AK, Cheuyem FZL, Mpinganjira S, *et al.* The
Struggle to Vaccinate: Unveiling the Reality of the first year of Covid-19 Vaccination in the
Democratic Republic of Congo. medRxiv; 2024 [Accessed 2024 Jan 5]. p.
2024.01.03.24300795. https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.01.03.24300795v1

24. Overview. World Bank. https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/drc/overview. Accessed:
2023 Oct 30.

25. Priest RJ, Ngolo A, Stabell T. Christian Pastors and Alleged Child Witches in Kinshasa, DRC.
OKH J Anthropol Ethnogr Anal Eyes Christ Faith. 2020;4(1):2–51.

26. Democratic Republic of the Congo situation. UNHCR, Global Focus, Geneva. 2024.
https://reporting.unhcr.org/operational/situations/democratic-republic-congo-situation.
Accessed : 2025 Apr 6.

27. JBI Critical Appraisal Tools. The Joanna Briggs Institute, Adelaide. 2017.
https://jbi.global/critical-appraisal-tools. Accessed 2024 Dec 3.

28. Breman JG, Steniowski MV, Zanotto E, Gromyko AI, Arita I. Human monkeypox, 1970-79. *Bull*
World Health Organ. 1980;58(2):165.

29. Jezek Z, Szczeniowski M, Paluku KM, Mutombo M. Human Monkeypox: Clinical Features of
282 Patients. *J Infect Dis.* 1987;156(2):293–8.

30. Rimoin AW, Mulembakani PM, Johnston SC, Lloyd Smith JO, Kisalu NK, Kinkela TL, *et al.*
Major increase in human monkeypox incidence 30 years after smallpox vaccination
campaigns cease in the Democratic Republic of Congo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.*
2010;107(37):16262–7.

31. Di Giulio DB, Eckburg PB. Human monkeypox: an emerging zoonosis. *Lancet Infect Dis.*
2004;4(1):15–25.

32. Thornhill JP, Barkati S, Walmsley S, Rockstroh J, Antinori A, Harrison LB, *et al*. Monkeypox
Virus Infection in Humans across 16 Countries — April–June 2022. *N Engl J Med*.
2022;387(8):679–91.

33. Stijnen T, Hamza TH, Ozdemir P. Random effects meta-analysis of event outcome in the
framework of the generalized linear mixed model with applications in sparse data. *Stat Med*.
2010;29(29):3046–67.

34. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for
Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 2024. https://www.R-project.org/. Accessed: 2024
Dec 19.

35. Ward T, Overton CE, Paton RS, Christie R, Cumming F, Fyles M. Understanding the infection
severity and epidemiological characteristics of mpox in the UK. *Nat Commun*.
2024;15(1):2199.

36. Vakaniaki EH, Kacita C, Kinganda-Lusamaki E, O'Toole Á, Wawina-Bokalanga T, Mukadi-
Bamuleka D, *et al*. Sustained human outbreak of a new MPXV clade I lineage in eastern
Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Nat Med*. 2024;30(10):2791–5.

37. Chen N, Li G, Liszewski MK, Atkinson JP, Jahrling PB, Feng Z, *et al*. Virulence differences
between monkeypox virus isolates from West Africa and the Congo basin. *Virology*. 2005
;340(1) :46–63.

38. Likos AM, Sammons SA, Olson VA, Frace AM, Li Y, Olsen-Rasmussen M, *et al*. A tale of two
clades: monkeypox viruses. *J Gen Virol*. 2005;86(Pt 10):2661–72.

39. Ogoina D, Yinka-Ogunleye A. Sexual history of human monkeypox patients seen at a
tertiary hospital in Bayelsa, *Nigeria*. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2022;33(10):928–32.

40. Bosa HK, Muttamba W, Bakamutumaho B, Rwagasore E, Runumu J, Bbuye M, *et al*. High
prevalence and non-suppression of HIV/AIDS in the East and Central African region
heightens risk of severe outcomes for Clade I monkeypox virus infection, and may be a
driver for subsequent adaptation. *IJID One Health*. 2025; 6:100050.

41. Ndembi N, Folayan MO, Komakech A, Mercy K, Tessema S, Mbala-Kingebeni P, *et al*.
Evolving Epidemiology of Mpox in Africa in 2024. *N Engl J Med*. 2025;392(7):666–76.

42. Muyembe JJ, Pan H, Peto R, Diallo A, Touré A, Mbala-Kingebene P, *et al*. Ebola Outbreak
Response in the DRC with rVSV-ZEBOV-GP Ring Vaccination. *N Engl J Med*.
2024;391(24):2327–36.

43. Adepoju P. Ring vaccination effective in containing Ebola. Nat Afr. 2025.
https://www.nature.com/articles/d44148-025-00024-5. Accessed2025 Mar 30.

44.   Mutombo CS, Bakari SA, Ntabaza VN, Nachtergael A, Lumbu JBS, Duez P, *et al.* Perceptions
and use of traditional African medicine in Lubumbashi, Haut-Katanga province (DR Congo):
A cross-sectional study. *PLoS ONE*. 2022;17(10):e0276325.