FREQUENCY OF PARENTING STYLE AMONG WORKING WOMEN OF RAWALPINDI DISTRICT; A DESCRIPTIVE CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY

Muhammad Shaheryar Bashir[1], Moniba Iqbal[1], Talha Mahmood[1], Abdullah Khalil[1]

1 Rawalpindi Medical University, Rawalpindi

Corresponding Author: Muhammad Shaheryar Bashir (drmsb259@gmail.com)

ABSTRACT

Introduction

The development of children is greatly influenced by the parenting styles employed; authoritative, authoritarian, and permissive approaches all have different effects on behavior and self-esteem. This study fills a knowledge gap in Rawalpindi, Pakistan by identifying different parenting styles of working mothers in Rawalpindi.

Objectives

The objectives of this study are to determine how often working women in the Rawalpindi district choose a particular parenting style, to measure the association between type of parenting style and profession, to check the effect of working hours on adoption of parenting style by working women.

Operational Definitions

Parenting styles: Parenting style refers to the approach or manner in which parents interact with their children and make decisions regarding their upbringing. This includes aspects such as disciplinary strategies, communication patterns, and levels of warmth and support.

Working women: Working women are those who engage in employment outside the home, they contribute in the workforce and economy in various professions and have working hours ranging from 6-8 hours/day.

Materials and Methods

This three-month Cross-sectional study in Rawalpindi was specifically focused on working women. 221 participants who agreed to participate were working mothers with children who fulfilled the inclusion criteria using a sample size of 289 and a questionnaire-based survey. The study eliminated women who failed to provide informed consent or who gave insufficient data. SPSS analysis demonstrated proportion of different parenting styles among Rawalpindi's working mother and the association with various demographic factors.

Results

Of the 221 working women in Rawalpindi who responded, 86.45% had an authoritative parenting style, 11.3% had an authoritarian one, and 2.3% had a permissive one. There was a substantial association found between authoritative parenting and living in an urban area, earning more money, becoming a teacher, and having fewer kids. Participants who had divorced were more inclined to be lenient. Parenting methods did not significantly change according to working hours or educational attainment.

Conclusions

The results of this study show that the authoritative parenting style is the most common among working mothers in Rawalpindi. A significant link was found between the number of children, marital status, and family income. Promoting a healthy home environment and better cognitive and mental development for children, stakeholders must create educational programs, counselling services, and recreational facilities in order to promote good parenting.

Keywords

Working Women; Child; Communication; Parenting; Child development

INTRODUCTION:

Parenting styles are strategies used by parents to raise their children, playing a significant role in developing a child's personality and shaping their social skills. Baum rind’s classification categorizes parenting styles into authoritarian, authoritative, and permissive styles, each with unique influences on children's demeanor and self-esteem.

Parents adopt different parenting styles based on factors such as financial status, stress, and cultural background. Studies have shown that authoritative parenting is dominant among younger parents in Saudi Arabia, with family size and husbands' income being the only significant factors. Children with authoritative parents exhibit more positive behavior and are more likely to attend daycare.

Working mothers tend to adopt authoritative parenting styles, with moderate levels of parenting satisfaction reported. Children with parents, who adopt authoritative parenting style, tend to have a higher self-esteem thus establishing a positive correlation between this parenting approach and self-esteem in children.

Parenting is a continuous and dynamic process, with actions impacting multiple facets of a child's development from birth to adulthood. In recent years, urbanization has led to a decrease in indulgence in household activities and quality child care, which is said to impact parents' parenting style. However, there is a significant research gap regarding this topic in Pakistan, particularly in the Rawalpindi district.

METHODS

This study is designed as a descriptive cross-sectional study, set within the district of Rawalpindi, and will span approximately three months following approval from the Ethical Review Board. The study population comprises working women from various sections of Rawalpindi, aiming to include a sample size of 285 participants. This number is calculated using the WHO calculator, ensuring a 95% confidence interval and a 5% margin of error. The sample size is calculated using 75% as anticipated frequency from our reference study, the one done in Saudi Arabia [15] The sampling technique employed is non-random convenience sampling. Participants will be selected based on their availability and consent. Consent will be obtained through a consent form attached to the questionnaire. Data will be collected using a structured questionnaire developed by the researchers, which will serve as the primary data collection tool.

The inclusion criteria for the study are as follows: working parenting women residing in Rawalpindi, women with an education level of matriculation or higher, women working a minimum of 6-8 hours per day, and women with children studying in classes 1 to 10. The exclusion criteria are: women who work from home, women who have hired caretakers, working women whose children live in boarding schools, working women whose children live with their grandparents, and women with mentally retarded or handicapped children.

The tests applied in our research included T-test, ANOVA, MANN WHITNEY U TEST, KRUSKAL WALLIS TEST.

Results:

Of the 246 responses received, 25 were found to be incomplete and consequently excluded. The most common parenting style in our study population was authoritative (191, 86.4%), followed by authoritarian (25, 11.3%), while only 5 (2.3%) used the permissive style (Table 1). (Figure 1).

The AUTHORITARIAN parenting style data was normal and ANOVA test was applied. Whereas AUTHORITATIVE parenting style data was negatively skewed and PERMISSIVE parenting style data was positively skewed and for these two parenting styles KRUSKAL WALLIS test was applied

Table 1

Frequency of parenting style

Type	Frequency	Percentage
Authoritative	191	86.4%
Authoritarian	25	11.3%
Permissive	5	2.3%

FIGURE 1

Frequency of parenting styles based on Baumrind's classification (n=221)



Table 2

Participants' demographic characteristics (n=221)

Item	Number	Percentage
Residence		
Rural	24	10.9
Urban	197	89.1
Marital status		
Married	187	84.6
Divorced	22	10
Widow	12	5.4
Level of Education		
Intermediate or below	6	2.7
Bachelors	55	24.9
Masters	160	72.4
Occupation		
Teaching profession	155	70.1
Medical profession	42	19
Others	24	10.9
Family income		
Below Rs.50,000	36	16.3
Rs.50,000-Rs.80,000	57	25.8
Rs.80,000-Rs.100,000	70	31.7
Above Rs.100,000	58	26.2
Number of children		
≤3	161	72.9
>3	60	27.1
Work hours		
≤6 hours	111	50.2
>6 hours	110	49.8

FIGURE 2



Working hours of most of the women were less than six hours (111, 50.2%) while another half had more than six hours (110, 49.8%).

FIGURE 3



Frequency distribution for authoritative and permissive style was negatively and positively skewed respectively while it followed normal distribution in case of authoritarian parenting style

Table 3 shows the comparison between the median and interquartile range of each parenting style in terms of participants' demographics. Mean and standard deviation was used for comparison between authoritarian and socio-demographic variables only. There were no statistical differences in parenting styles with respect to the level of education or working hours.

TABLE 3

Table 3

Association between participants' sociodemographic characteristics and parenting styles (n=221)

Item	Authoritative Median (IQR)	P-value	Authoritarian Mean (SD)	P-value	Permissive Median (IQR)	P-value
Residence		***0.052**		0.891		0.988
Rural	4.07(3.29-4.96)		3.01(0.73)		2.25(1.75-3.19)	
Urban	4.53(4-5.03)		3.03(0.91))		2.50(1.75-3.25)	
Marital status		0.415		0.179		***0.047**
Married	4.53(4-5.07)		3.03(0.86)		2.50(1.75-3.25)	
Divorced	4.50(3.67-4.87)		3.25(1.06)		2.88(1.69-4)	
Widow	4.30(3.82-4.65)		2.66(1.00)		1.75(1.50-2.25)	
Level of Education		0.185		0.760		0.358
Intermediate or below	4.50(4.13-4.64)		3.24(0.70)		2.62(2.06-4.12)	
Bachelors	3.85(4.77-4.92)		2.98(0.97)		2.50(1.75-3.50)	
Masters	4.61(4-5.13)		3.04(0.89)		2.25(1.75-3)	
Occupation		***0.000**		0.112		0.429
Teaching profession	4.69(4.08-5.23)		3.12(0.90)		2.25(1.75-3)	
Medical profession	4.39(3.98-4.79)		3.04(0.90)		2.50(1.75-3.5)	
Others	3.84(3.21-4.29)		2.85(0.76)		2.50(2-3.25)	

Family income		***0.034**		0.157		0.433
Below Rs.50,000	4.64(3.88-5.13)		3.25(0.98)		2.75(2-3.69)	
Rs.50,000-80,000	4.46(3.76-5.23)		2.90(1.01)		2.25(1.75-2.87)	
Rs.80,000-100,000	4.38(3.84-4.71)		3.14(0.77)		2.37(1.50-3.25)	
Above 100,000	4.80(4.23-5.30)		2.91(0.89)		2.38(1.69-3.06)	
Number of children		***0.002**		0.174		***0.002**
≤3	4.61(4.07-5.19)		2.99(0.89)		2.25(1.5-3)	
>3	4.30(3.55-4.76)		3.17(0.88)		2.75(2-3.75)	
Work hours		0.478		0.740		0.354
≤6 hours	4.46(3.84-5)		3.01(0.83)		2.25(1.50-3)	
>6 hours	4.57(4-5.07)		3.06(0.96)			

FIGURE 4



Urban residence was significantly associated with authoritative style (p=0.052), with a median score of 4.53 and an IQR of 4-5.03.

FIGURE 5



Permissive parenting was more common among divorced women, while widows were least likely to adopt a permissive style (p=0.047).

FIGURE 6



Application of Kruskal-Wallis test shows that the distribution of authoritative style is more common across the teaching profession (p=0.000).

FIGURE 7



Family income had a significant association with parenting style. A family income of Rs. 100,000 or above was associated with authoritative style (p=0.034).

FIGURE 8



- Some mothers with less than three children had authoritative parenting style while some had permissive parenting styles (p=0.002)

FIGURE 9

Results show significant relationship between number of children and autgoritative as well as pwrmissive parenting. Overall the authoritative style scores were high but a sifnificant association was also found between some women having more than three children and permissive parenting style.



- Test of correlation shows a significant moderate correlation (i.e. 0.5) between authoritarian and permissive parenting style (p=0.000) which indicates that the mothers with the authoritarian parenting style also tend to have permissive parenting style.

FIGURE 10



Discussion

Parenting is a continuous process that impacts various aspects of a child’s physical development from birth to adulthood. Baumrind's classification categorizes parenting styles into authoritative, authoritarian, and permissive styles, each with unique influences on children's demeanor and self-esteem. A study found that the most common parenting style among working mothers was authoritative (86.4%), followed by authoritarian (11.3%) and permissive (2.3%). This is similar to a study in Saudi Arabia, which found authoritative styles more prevalent due to shared religious and cultural values and societal structure.

In the USA, permissive parenting styles were found to be dominant due to different cultural backgrounds, educational influences, and economic factors. Sociodemographic factors also had an impact on parenting styles. Women with less than three children had authoritative and permissive parenting styles, attributed to more resource allocation and responsiveness to individual needs. Mothers residing in urban areas were more inclined to authoritative parenting due to better educational background, stable home environment, and exposure to diverse parenting practices. Average income was also significantly associated with parenting styles, with mothers with higher income adopting authoritative parenting styles

Permissive parenting styles were more common among divorced women compared to widowed mothers, possibly due to feelings of guilt and fear of strict rules straining their limited time with children. No statistically significant correlation was found between maternal educational attainment and parenting style, but Asian parents tend to adopt authoritarian parenting styles more, while permissive styles were more common in the USA.

LIMITATIONS

Firstly, there is a significant lack of data collected from working women in rural areas, which undermines the generalizability of the findings across different geographic and socio-economic contexts. Furthermore, the study lacks diversity in the professional backgrounds of the participants, with a predominant focus on teachers. This professional homogeneity limits the applicability of the results to a broader spectrum of occupations, potentially skewing the conclusions drawn from the data. The study could be improved by including a comparison with the parenting styles of non-working women. Consequently, it is essential for future studies to address the limitations by employing larger and more diverse samples, as well as utilizing robust methodologies.

CONCLUSION

This research concludes the Authoritative parenting style as the most prevalent among working mothers along with various factors where significant association was found with number of children, family income and marital status. Therefore, in order to equip the parents especially mothers with abilities to effectively foster the needs of their children, it is crucial for the stakeholders, policy makers and educationists to develop parenting education programs, counselling sessions and effective recreational facilities to cultivate a supportive and healthy home environment that would ultimately contribute to a better cognitive and mental development of children.

REFERENCES:

1. Özgür H. The relationship between Internet parenting styles and Internet usage of children and adolescents. Computers in Human Behavior. 2016 Jul 1;60:411-24.
2. Beato A, Pereira AI, Barros L, Muris P. The relationship between different parenting typologies in fathers and mothers and children's anxiety. Journal of Child and Family Studies. 2016 May;25:1691-701.
3. Huver RM, Otten R, De Vries H, Engels RC. Personality and parenting style in parents of adolescents. Journal of adolescence. 2010 Jun 1;33(3):395-402.
4. Rajaie AR. The relationship between parenting styles and teen ran away from home, Abstract third pathology .6-175.
5. Sanvictores T, Mendez MD. Types of Parenting Styles and Effects On Children. 2022 Sep 18. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan–. PMID: 33760502.
6. 6.Almudhee SN, Al Saigul AM, Sulaiman A. Parenting Style Frequency and Their Sociodemographic Determinants in Buraidah City, Qassim, Saudi Arabia. Cureus. 2023 Jul 5;15(7): e41388. doi: 10.7759/cureus.41388. PMID: 37546027; PMCID: PMC10401485.
7. Howenstein J, Kumar A, Casamassimo PS, McTigue D, Coury D, Yin H. Correlating parenting styles with child behavior and caries. Pediatr Dent. 2015 Jan-Feb;37(1):59-64. PMID: 25685975; PMCID: PMC4559268.
8. Howenstein J, Kumar A, Casamassimo PS, McTigue D, Coury D, Yin H. Correlating parenting styles with child behavior and caries. Pediatr Dent. 2015 Jan-Feb;37(1):59-64. PMID: 25685975; PMCID: PMC4559268.
9. Yusuf MS, Sim CC. Relationship between parenting satisfaction and parenting styles of working mothers in a university in Malaysia. Psikoislamedia: Jurnal Psikologi. 2017; 1(2).
10. Rajaie AR. The relationship between parenting styles and teen ran away from home, Abstract third pathology .6-175
11. Arafat SY, Khan MA. Parenting style in Bangladesh. parenting. 2022;4:5.
12. Hadjicharalambous D, Demetriou L. The relationship between parents' demographic factors and parenting styles: effects on Children's psychological adjustment. Available at SSRN 3647329. 2020 Apr 30.
13. Parenting in Egypt: Recommendations for a Parenting Program for Upper and Middle Class Egyptians Amira Ragy S. Hanna The American University in Cairo, n.d.
14. Almudhee SN, Al Saigul AM, Sulaiman A. Parenting Style Frequency and Their Sociodemographic Determinants in Buraidah City, Qassim, Saudi Arabia. Cureus. 2023 Jul 5;15(7):e41388. doi: 10.7759/cureus.41388. PMID: 37546027; PMCID: PMC10401485.

15. Alagla MA, Al Hussyeen A, Alhowaish L. Do Parenting Styles Affect Children's Oral Health in Saudi Arabia? Cureus. 2019 Oct 26;11(10):e6002. doi: 10.7759/cureus.6002. PMID: 31ssssss807389; PMCID: PMC6876921.
16. Dwairy, M., Achoui, M., Abouserie, R., Farah, A., Sakhleh, A. A., Fayad, M., & Khan, H. K. (2006). Parenting Styles in Arab Societies: A First Cross-Regional Research Study. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 37(3), 230-247.
17. Kiadarbandsari A, Madon Z, Hamsan HH. Role of Parenting Style and Child Temperament in Children's Behavioral Problems. Pertanika J Soc Sci Humanit. 2016;24(3):1179-1194.
18. Dornbusch SM, Ritter PL, Leiderman PH, Roberts DF, Fraleigh MJ. The relation of parenting style to adolescent school performance. Child Dev. 1987 Oct;58(5):1244-57. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.1987.tb01455.x. PMID: 3665643.
19. Krisnana I, Rachmawati PD, Arief YS, Kurnia ID, Nastiti AA, Safitri IFN, Putri
20. ATK. Adolescent characteristics and parenting style as the determinant factors of bullying in Indonesia: a cross-sectional study. Int J Adolesc Med Health. 2019 Apr 2;33(5). doi: 10.1515/ijamh-2019-0019. PMID: 30939116.
21. Arafat SY, Khan MA. Parenting style in Bangladesh. parenting. 2022;4:5.
22. Hadjicharalambous D, Demetriou L. The relationship between parents’ demographic factors and parenting styles: effects on Children’s psychological adjustment. Available at SSRN 3647329. 2020 Apr 30.
23. Martínez, I., García, J. F., & Yubero, S. (2007). Parenting Styles and Adolescents’ Self-Esteem in Brazil. Psychological Reports, 100(3), 731-745.
24. B.R., Sahithya. "The Influence of Parental Personality and Parenting Styles on Childrenwith Anxiety: A Comparison of Clinical and Non-Clinical Population", Rajiv GandhiUniversity of Health Sciences (India), 2023