Title

Presbyopia onset affects dynamic visual acuity via motor adaptation in naturalistic viewing conditions

Authors' Full Names and Institutions

Mira Baroud [1,2], Hadrien Jan [1], Martha Hernandez [1], Stephane Boutinon [1], Amaury Jolly [1], Denis Sheynikhovich[2*], Delphine Bernardin [1*]

[1] Center of Innovation and Technologies Europe, Essilor International, SAS, Charenton-le-Pont, France

[2]Sorbonne Université, INSERM, CNRS, Institut de la Vision, Paris, France

*Shared last authorship

Correspondence: Delphine Bernardin tranvoud@essilor.fr

Word count : 8879

Funding Information

This research has been funded by Essilor International and by the ANRT (Association Nationale de la Recherche et de la Technologie), CIFRE # 2022/0825.

Commercial Relationships Disclosures

M. Baroud (E,F,P,R) **, H. Jan** (E,F,P)**, M. Hernandez** (E,F,P,R)**, S. Boutinon** (E,F,P,R) **, A. Jolly** (E,F) **, D. Sheynikhovich** (F,R) **, D. Bernardin** (E,F,P,R)

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

Abstract (250 words):

Purpose: The present study investigated the effects of age on dynamic visual acuity (DVA) and its visuomotor correlates in a novel and naturalistic context, i.e. while consulting a smartphone during different mobility conditions. A hypothesis of an important role of presbyopia onset on DVA decline was tested, particularly focusing on the role of motor coordination as well as accommodation and vergence measures.

Methods: Young and adult non-presbyopes, presbyopia-onset participants and aged presbyopes (n=63) carried out DVA tests on a smartphone while sitting, standing and walking. Eight vergence and accommodation measures as well as seven motor variables related to smartphone position in the head reference frame, were examined in relation to the DVA performance.

Results: Age significantly affected DVA, with the most pronounced decline occurring at the onset of presbyopia. DVA decline with age was associated with visual accommodation, whereas vergence measures had minimal impact. Motor variables exerted an overall stronger impact on DVA with smartphone distance, antero-posterior and lateral movement of the smartphone as principal variables.

Conclusions: The study shows that in daily visuo motor activities, presbyopia onset is associated with a strong decline in DVA, potentially caused by the lack of motor adaptation combined with age-related changes in accommodation during active mobility conditions. These results underscore the importance of sensory-motor adaptations in maintaining visual performance and suggest that uncorrected presbyopia or inadequate behavioral adjustments are important determinants of DVA decline during aging.

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

Keywords: Dynamic Visual Acuity, Ageing, Smartphone, Motor adaptation, Accommodation, Vergence

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

Introduction

Mobility is fundamental to active aging and is intimately linked to health status and quality of life [1]. The visual component of mobility is measured by the dynamic visual acuity (DVA), defined as the capacity to discern fine details of a visual stimulus when there is relative motion between the observer and the stimulus[2]. Large-scale studies with computerized tests in seated participants have shown that DVA during active head rotations declines strongly with age (by about 60% from the age of 20s to 70s)[3] but it is not clear whether this decline reflects functional deficits in more ecological situations, where multiple sensory-motor adaptation mechanisms help coordinate body, eye and head movements to stabilize the image and maintain clear vision[4] as well as balance while in motion[1,2,4,5].

In slightly more naturalistic conditions, the DVA performance was tested by asking the participants to read letters fixed on a wall or shown on a screen during walking or running on a treadmill[6]. This research has shown that DVA decreases with increasing speed during walking (in the range 3-5km/h) in people older 70yo compared to younger ones (<40yo). In addition, DVA was affected stronger at near (50cm) than far (3m) distances. While increasing the speed even further worsened DVA in the younger population, no effect was observed in aged participants, suggesting a ceiling effect at higher speeds. Since head-movement velocities and accelerations increase when walking at elevated speeds[7], especially at near distances, these effects were hypothesized to depend on the limits of the vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR) ensuring vestibular control of image stabilization on the retina[8]. Even though treadmill-based paradigms increase the ecological validity of DVA testing compared to head rotations in static participants, it is not clear that observing

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

stimuli fixed to a wall or to the head[9] during walking adequately represents standard everyday behavioral scenarios necessitating high DVA.

The first objective of the present study was to measure DVA and its visuomotor correlates in a highly naturalistic, but yet unexplored in this context, every-day situation: consulting a smartphone. Indeed, smartphones have become an essential tool in people's daily life with 7 billion users by the end of 2024 (SupplyGem survey [10]). In the aging context, 92% of U.S. citizens over 65 years old report using a mobile device. Importantly, recent studies of smartphone holding behavior report that 74.3% of smartphone users continue walking when consulting it[11] and adopt a so-called "block motor" strategy, in which the arms and head move in phase with the thorax to reduce the relative motion of the phone[12]. In addition, studies of smartphone holding distance[13,14] report that non-presbyopic participants opt for shorter distances with smaller font sizes, whereas presbyopic individuals prefer longer distances and larger font sizes15. It is thus of interest to explore the main factors, both motor and perceptual, that are expected to contribute to the age-related decline of DVA measures in this ecological setting.

The second objective was to test the novel hypothesis that the onset of presbyopia is the critical period contributing to age-related decline in DVA. During this period, approximately between 39 and 45yo, the gradual aging of the accommodation system of the eye leads to the appearance of perceptually noticeable changes in near-vision tasks, such as during reading a text on the smartphone screen. In particular, while the typical distance for smartphone holding during walking on a treadmill is approximately 30cm[15], the maximum accommodation for the age range of the presbyopia onset is about 2.77 diopters[16], resulting in a difficulty to focus below a viewing distance of 36.1cm, in an increased accommodative demand[14] and in motor adaptation[13,14]. The onset of

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

presbyopia is therefore expected to lead to changes in motor behavior, increasing the behavioral importance of DVA. Another related consequence of presbyopia is the deficit in the so-called facility of accommodation, i.e. the ability of the eyes to dynamically change focus on stimuli located at various distances from the observer[17]. Even relatively small variations in distance, in the range of 2-3 cm, engage the eye's dynamical accommodation ability, which could be especially important when using a smartphone in motion. Finally, in normal binocular vision, accommodation (i.e. changing the curvature of the eye lens to adjust the focal length) is coupled with vergence (i.e. a convergent movement of the eyes in order to put the target stimulus in focus)[18]. Normal aging leads to a decline in vergence amplitude[19] and to an increased frequency of heterophoria[20] (i.e. a misalignment of the eyes at rest) – a vergence-related eye condition. In vision studies related to the use of displays (both static and mobile), visual discomfort and fatigue have been repeatedly related to both accommodative deficits[21] and to vergence changes[22], including heterophoria at near distance[23, 24], as well as to their functional coupling[25]. Since a proper focus is essential for accurately resolving fine details and depth cues of moving stimuli, both vergence and accommodation variables, overlooked in previous studies, can potentially contribute to age-related DVA decline in ecological settings.

To test the above hypotheses, we measured DVA using a dedicated smartphone application in a group of adult subjects just before (28-35yo) and during the presbyopia onset period (40-46yo), in addition to young (non-presbyopic) and aged (mature presbyopic) participant groups used in previous studies. To test the previously unexplored role of binocular mechanisms in age-related DVA decline, we correlated DVA changes with accommodation- and vergence-related variables including accommodative facility and lag, punctum proximal accommodation (PPA) and convergence (PPC), as well as a

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

set of phoria-related measures. Finally, to test the effect of motor-adaptation variables we recorded smartphone position in the head-referenced frame providing distance and orientation information as well as total amount of smartphone movement.

Methods

Participants

A total of 63 participants were recruited. They were divided into four age groups: 17 young non-presbyopic group (YNP, 10 males, 7 females) aged between 18 and 25 years (μ : 21,35 ± 2,11); 16 adult non-presbyopic group (ANP, 8 males , 8 females) aged between 28 and 35 (μ : 30,72 ± 2,19); 15 presbyopia onset group (PO, 4 males , 11 females) aged between 40 and 46 years (μ : 43 ± 2) and 15 mature presbyopic group (MP, 6 males , 9 females) aged between 51 and 65 years old (μ : 58,53 ± 5,09). Participants were included in the study if they reported no visual, cognitive or sensorimotor pathology, deficit, or disorder, apart from normal age-related visual changes and had visual acuity (normal or corrected to normal vision) at far and near distances better than or equal to 20/25 (i.e. 8/10, 0.1 logMAR). All participants had a stereoscopic acuity of at least 50 arc-minutes measured by Wirt test. Among the YNP participants, 4 (2 myopic and 2 hyperopic) wore single-vision corrective lenses. Among the ANP participants, 11 had myopia and wore corrective lenses. Among the PO participants, 1 wore a progressive addition lens (PAL), and 8 wore single-vision lens. Finally, all the participants in the MP group wore PAL. All participants reported satisfaction with their optical equipment. Before participating in the study, all participants gave informed written consent. Recruitment and all experimental procedures were in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and they

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

have been approved by the Île-de-France VII Personal Protection Committee (number SI: 23.00499.000186).

Dynamic visual acuity

Equipment. All behavioral variables were measured using iPhone 12 (size: width 71.5 mm, depth 7.4 mm, height 146.7 mm, Apple Inc. Cupertino, California, United States) equipped with IOS 17.1.2 operating system. The smartphone's integrated Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) was used to measure and record the phone's orientation in space at the sampling frequency of 100 Hz. An embedded TrueDepth camera (recording frequency: 30Hz) was used to measure the position of the smartphone with respect to the user head. The TrueDepth camera captures 3D coordinates of several predetermined points of the user face with the origin of the reference frame located at the estimated center of the head. The coordinate system is implemented so that the positive X direction corresponds to the egocentric "left" from the user face, the positive Y direction points up, and the positive Z direction points toward the viewer. Automatic data synchronization ensured the synchronization between the TrueDepth camera and the IMU.

Visual stimuli and acuity measurement. A Landolt C optotype at various sizes and orientations was presented on the smartphone's screen using a custom application developed by Essilor International (CAS2960-EP-EPA/ar). The optotype was displayed on the screen in one of 8 possible orientations together with 8 buttons. Participants were instructed to identify the orientation of the opening of the Landolt C by pressing a corresponding button. A 15-step staircase procedure was used to determine visual acuity by using a Bayesian estimation at each step[26]. Two stimulus presentation modes were used during DVA test: in the *active visual acuity* (AVA) mode, the size of the stimulus on

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

the screen was automatically updated depending on the distance between the screen and the observer, in addition to be controlled by the staircase procedure of visual acuity estimation. In this mode, the Landolt C proportionally decreased when the smartphone was held closer to the face and vice versa, so that the optotype occupied an equivalent space in the field of vision whatever the smartphone distance, thus removing the proximity index involved in regulating the accommodation-convergence coupling. In the *behavioral visual acuity* (BVA) mode, the visual size of the Landolt C did not adapt to the smartphone distance and maintained a fixed size on the screen, as determined exclusively by the staircase procedure. The visual variation perceived by the participants was therefore linked to the distance between the smartphone and the eye. In this case, the shorter the distance, the more the accommodation was facilitated by convergence and by greater retinal disparities, contrary to the AVA mode. While the BVA mode is more naturalistic, the AVA mode permits the evaluation of visual acuity in the absence of accommodation-vergence cross-coupling factors linked to motor adaptation. Based on user responses and on the eye-to-phone distance in the BVA mode, the algorithm evaluated the visual acuity (measured in logMAR), i.e. the angular size of the smallest optotype that participants could distinguish at a given distance.

Protocol. Visual acuity was assessed in two different experiments. First, static visual acuity (SVA) was evaluated as a control measure by instructing participants to sit comfortably and position their head on a chin-head rest, mounted on a table. They were asked to put both hands on the table to maintain stability and to hold the smartphone at a fixed distance of 30 cm while performing the visual acuity measurement as described above. Second, smartphone-based DVA was measured in three different conditions describing different postural activities. In the sitting condition, participants were invited to

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

sit on a chair, without a table, with their feet flat on the floor. In the standing condition, participants were asked to stand with their feet hip-width apart. Finally, in the walking condition, participants were instructed to walk at their habitual speed along an ‘L’-shaped hallway (total length: 8 m) during the length of the visual estimation procedure. In all conditions, the participants were instructed to hold the smartphone as they usually do in daily life, whether in one or two hands, and were free to adopt any body posture, gait and smartphone holding position. Before conducting the experiment, there was an unrecorded familiarization trial. In each condition, the two visual acuity modes (AVA and BVA) were used to measure DVA in different trials. In order to evaluate the need for magnification and the compensation of accommodation loss by vergence mechanisms, a tendency to keep the smartphone closer than the predefined threshold of 30 cm was measured in the AVA mode. If the distance between the eyes and the smartphone was below this threshold, an error message appeared on the screen, forcing the participant to reposition the smartphone. The number of such errors per participant was recorded. To avoid any order effects, the DVA conditions and measurement modes (AVA and BVA) were randomized across participants. In total, each participant performed 3 conditions in 2 visual acuity measurement modes with 2 repetitions each. On average, the experiment lasted about 1 hour per participant.

Refraction, accommodation and vergence measures

Before the DVA tests were conducted, refraction, accommodation and vergence functions were assessed by a certified optometrist. The following table summarizes measured variables and the method that was used.

Table 1. Summary of variables, methods, equipment, and distances for accommodative and vergence functions.

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

	Variable	Method and/or Equipment	Distance
Subjective Refraction Rx	Sph Cyl Ax Addition (dioptres). Measured separately in two eyes	Vision-R™ 800, (EssilorLuxottica)	5m
Binocular profile	Punctum Proximum of Convergence (Accommodative gradient)	Progressive closer distance until seeing double	Begin at 60cm
	Associated phoria (-EXO, + ESO)	Malett test	5m and 40cm
	AC/A ratio	+1 lens with Vision-R™ 800	40cm
	Sheard Ratio	Between dissociated phoria and fusional horizontal reserve	5m and 40cm
Accommodation profile	Accommodative Facility (Rock) (cycles/minute)	+/- 2,00 for YNP and ANP +/-1,00 for OP	40cm
		Not done for MP	40cm

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

	Punctum Proximum of Accommodation (PPA) (Dioptres)	Push-up test binocularly and monocularly	40cm

Behavioral measures

During DVA assessment we computed the following behavioral measures related to the smartphone position with respect to the head: the eye-to-smartphone distance (in cm) is the absolute Euclidean distance between the camera and the location between the two eyes, slightly above the conventional cyclopean eye; the pitch angle of the smartphone (in the YZ plane) in degrees of visual field; the yaw angle of the smartphone (in the XZ plane) of the smartphone with respect to the head; the roll angle of the smartphone (in the XY plane) of the smartphone with respect to the head. All these measures were recorded as function of time from the data provided by the TrueDepth camera.

From these time-series measurements, 7 variables were calculated for correlation and regression analyses. First, *mean distance*, *yaw* and *roll* angles were computed as the mean of the corresponding measurements, between the timestamp of optotype presentation on the screen and the timestamp of the participant's response. Negative yaw values correspond to holding the smartphone to the egocentric left of the head. Negative roll values correspond to head inclination to the left side in the XY plane. Second, time derivatives (velocity) of the distance, pitch and yaw angles were computed and are termed *antero-posterior movement*, *vertical movement*, *lateral movement* in the rest of the text.

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

The walking pace (steps/minute) was measured as follows. The norm of the gravitational acceleration vector, given by the smartphone's IMU across all three axes, was recorded as a function of time. Step events separating different steps were determined as peaks of maximum acceleration of the Butterworth-filtered and normalized acceleration vectors. The walking pace was computed as the number of steps divided by the time the participants took to finish DVA estimation (i.e. from the frame when the optotype is first displayed until the last answer given).

Since BVA during walking represents the main ecological measure of DVA, the following calculations were performed to compare the eye-to-smartphone distance during the initial phase (large C stimulus and hence low task difficulty) and the last phase (small C stimulus and high task difficulty) of the DVA assessment. First, the eye-to-smartphone distance was averaged over each of the 15 steps of the staircase DVA measurement procedure. Of particular interest were the values corresponding to the second and the last steps within the measurement sequence (the first step was discarded because it had a high variability due to the participants stabilizing the smartphone and not yet holding it in a comfortable way). Second, the impact of task difficulty on the eye-to-smartphone distance was measured by the mean difference in distance between the second and the last steps, termed *relative smartphone distance* in the text. Negative values correspond to a shorter distance at the last step.

Finally, to assess the overall contribution of motor adaptation variables to BVA, the total smartphone motion was assessed as the mean root mean square (RMS) of the antero-posterior, vertical and lateral movement.

Data Analysis

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

All data extraction procedures were performed using MATLAB 9.14.0.2489007 (R2023a) Update 6. The variables were subjected to ANOVAs for all visual tasks and postural conditions using JASP, Version 0.14.1.0 and R version 4.3.0 (2023-04-21). The assumptions of independence of residuals, homoscedasticity, and normality were verified as follows. Linearity and homoscedasticity were assessed visually through residual plots, while normality of residuals was verified by inspecting Q-Q plots and quantitatively using the Shapiro-Wilk test. The effect size was determined by partial eta squared (η^2). Holm-Bonferroni corrections were used for post hoc comparisons ($p<0.05$).

Where specified, data were analyzed using multiple linear regression to examine relationships between variables. For correlation analyses, when normality was not verified, Box-Cox transformations were applied to the variables. If transformed variables did not verify normality, Spearman's correlation was computed instead of Pearson's.

Results

Dynamic visual acuity in aging

We first assessed the relationship between the two different DVA measures (i.e. AVA and BVA) in the novel smartphone-based paradigm (Fig. 1A). The two DVA measures were highly correlated ($r=0.84$, $p\approx0$, $R^2=0.7$) suggesting that such an approach provides a consistent measure of visual performance. To reduce measurement variability, we evaluated DVA by the average of AVA and BVA in the subsequent analyses. When correlating this DVA measure with the static visual acuity (measured in same way, but with a fixed eye-to-smartphone distance) we found that the two acuity measures were significantly, but rather weakly correlated ($r=0.56$, $p<10^{-5}$) accounting for only 31% of the total variance (Fig. 1B). This is in accord with previous studies suggesting that DVA

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

cannot be well predicted by static acuity measures[1]. There was a significant underestimation of DVA relative to SVA in high acuity subjects and an overestimation for low-acuity subjects.



Fig. 1. A. Correlation between the AVA and BVA measures of DVA (see Methods) across the complete sample of subjects. B. Correlation between SVA and DVA, across the sample. The black lines represent the unity relationship. Linear regression lines are shown in blue with 0.95 confidence intervals in gray. All values are in logMAR.

The effect of age and postural condition on the above measure of DVA was assessed by a two-way ANOVA with age group as an independent factor and condition as a repeated measure (Fig. 2A). The overall main effect of age was significant ($F_{3,54}$=6.756; $p < .001$; $\eta^2 = .27$) in agreement with previous DVA studies in less ecologically valid conditions, suggesting that sensory-motor and binocular adaptation mechanisms that can be used by aged subjects in our natural experimental setup cannot fully compensate for DVA loss in aging. Furthermore, multiple comparisons revealed a significant difference between aged non-presbyopic (ANP) and presbyopia-onset (PO) participants (p=.008), but no

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

significant differences within between non-presbyopes (YNP vs ANP, p=.42) and between presbyopes (PO vs MP, p=.42), supporting our hypothesis that presbyopia onset is the critical time period in DVA decline in ecological conditions. More specifically, the PO participants differed on average by 0.06 logMAR from the ANP group, whereas the overall difference between presbyopic and non-presbyopic participants was 0.08 logMAR. These differences are clinically significant (>0.05 logMAR) and correspond respectively to 3 and 4 letters on the ETDRS chart. Both groups of non-presbyopes had mean (SD) values of DVA of -0.11 logMAR (0.08) and -0.09 logMAR (0.09), respectively. In presbyopic participant groups DVA equaled to –0.03 logMAR (0.11) and nearly 0.00 logMAR (0.11), respectively.

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute



Fig. 2: Impact of age and postural conditions on DVA. (A) Effect of age on DVA. Black circles indicate mean values with error bars representing the standard error. (B) Effect of postural condition (sitting, standing and walking) on age-dependent DVA. (C) DVA loss as a function of chronological age in the four subject groups. Linear regression lines are shown with 0.95

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

confidence intervals in gray. YNP: young non-presbyopia group; ANP: adult non-presbyopia group; PO: presbyopia-onset group and MP: mature presbyopia group.

There was also a significant main effect of postural condition ($F_{2,108}$=33.896; p<.001; η^2=0.39), explained by a strong degradation of DVA in the walking condition compared to the sitting and standing conditions (Fig 2B, walking – standing: $p<10^{-7}$, walking – sitting: $p<10^{-8}$, standing – sitting: p=0.45). The statistical interaction between age and postural condition was significant (p=0.048, η^2=0.11), explained by the fact that in ANP and PO groups DVA during standing differed significantly from that during walking (ANP: p<0.001, YNP: p=0.008), whereas in the YNP and MP these differences were not significant. Walking vs sitting conditions differed significantly in all age groups tested. Mean DVA values in the walking condition were -0.08, -0.04, 0.04 and 0.05 logMAR for the four groups, respectively, compared to -0.13, -0.12, -0.06 and -0.02 logMAR for static conditions (i.e. the average of sitting and standing). These analyses suggest that DVA significantly drops when changing from static to dynamic activities and that middle-age groups (ANP and PO) experience the strongest decline in performance.

To further investigate these age-related differences, we defined, for each subject, the DVA loss caused by the switch from static to dynamic activities as the difference between DVA in the walking condition and the average of DVA during sitting and standing. Whereas one-way ANOVA performed on the DVA loss across age groups did not reach statistical significance ($F_{3,54}$=2.517; p=.068), we reasoned that more information can be obtained by using age as a quantitative variable rather than a factor. Fig. 2C shows DVA loss as a function of chronological age for the four groups. Correlation analyses revealed that in both non-presbyopic groups there is no evidence of DVA loss association with age (YNP: ρ=0.04, ANP: ρ=-.07, p>0.7). In contrast, there was a statistical tendency for a

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

positive correlation in the PO group (ρ=0.51, p=0.06) and a significant negative correlation in the MP group (ρ=-0.66, p=0.01). These results further support our hypothesis of the importance of presbyopia onset for DVA decline and show that a switch from static to dynamic activities in this population strongly contributes to the loss in dynamic acuity, in addition to other age-related changes manifested also during static activities (see Fig.2B). Moreover, the contribution of this dynamical switch is temporary, decreases with age and disappears around the age of 60yo, potentially due to sensory and/or motor adaptations. Finally, we note the possibility that PO group consists of two distinct groups of well-adapted and maladapted participants. Indeed, 43% of participants in the PO group had DVA loss close to or above the upper limit of the 95%-confidence interval of the regression line and whereas in 35% of participants the DVA loss was close to or below the lower limit, warranting further investigation.

Binocular contributions to DVA decline in ageing

We next assessed whether age-related changes in DVA can be statistically accounted for by an age-related decline in vergence and accommodation mechanisms. Out of 8 visual measures (see Table 1), DVA was strongly and significantly anticorrelated with PPA (Spearman's ρ = -0.46, $p<10^{-4}$) and a tendency for a moderate anticorrelation with the accommodative facility (ρ = -0.24, p=0.062), both measures being significantly anticorrelated with age and SVA (see the full correlation table in the Supplementary Fig. 1). A simple linear regression on all visual variables explained 28% (p=0.004) of the total DVA variance, with no significant regression slopes for binocular variables when both age and SVA were accounted for. We conclude that age effects on DVA are most

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

strongly associated with the accommodative factors, and not vergence related measures (associated/dissociated phoria, PPC, AC/A and Sheard ratios, p>0.25).



Fig. 3: Dependence of the PPA (A) and accommodative rock (B) on DVA. Each dot corresponds to a participant. Linear regression lines are shown in blue with 95% confidence intervals in gray.

Motor contributions to DVA decline in ageing

To assess motor contributions to the age age-related decline in DVA, we first conducted a correlation analysis between the 7 motor variables (smartphone distance, yaw and roll angles; antero-posterior, vertical, lateral movement; as well as walking pace) SVA and DVA, measured during walking (see Supplementary Fig. 2 for the full correlation table). As before, we found strong and significant correlations of the DVA with age ($r=0.48$, $p<10^{-4}$) and SVA ($r=0.57$, $p<10^{-5}$). In terms of motor contributions, we found a significant anticorrelation of the DVA with the antero-posterior movement ($r=-0.30$, $p=0.02$) as well as a statistical tendency for anticorrelation with the walking pace ($r=-0.22$, $p=.094$),

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

suggesting that changes in smartphone distance (which are significantly influenced by both the walking pace and age, Supp. Fig. 2) constitute an important factor contributing to DVA. Multiple regression analysis with DVA during walking as a dependent variable revealed that the motor variables explained 46% of the total variance ($p<10^{-4}$). These analyses suggest that mean smartphone distance and lateral movement are significant regressors (distance: t= 2.78, p=0.008; lateral movement: t= 0.06, p=0.039), in addition to age, SVA and antero-posterior movement. Overall, these results underscore the primary contribution of smartphone-distance related measures (distance and velocity) and lateral movement to the DVA during mobility.

To further explore the role of smartphone distance, we analyzed it separately for the two DVA measurement modes (BVA and AVA), since motor-related adaptations are expected to play an important role in the more natural BVA mode (in which the stimulus size on the retina depends on the smartphone distance to the eye), but not in the AVA mode (in which the stimulus has the same size independently of the holding distance). Comparing the two measurements allows us to assess the effect of vision-related adaptation from other motor-related age differences and to consider the accommodation-vergence coupling (employed only in the BVA mode). Using a two-way ANOVA with the smartphone distance as a dependent variable, age as a between-group factor and DVA mode as a repeated measure, we found a significant main effect of age ($F_{3,60}$ =13.797; p<.001; η^2 =.102). Multiple comparisons reveal that MP had a significantly greater holding distance (35.49 $\pm$ 3.4 cm) than PO (32.1 $\pm$ 4.72 cm) and both non presbyope groups (YNP: 30.67 $\pm$ 5.62 cm; ANP: 32.23 $\pm$ 6.63 cm) (Fig. 4A). The main effect of measurement mode (AVA, BVA) was significant ($F_{1,361}$ =33.033; p<.001; η^2 =.073). However, the interaction between

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

measurement mode and postural condition was not significant ($F_{3,361}$ =3.378; p=.019; η^2 =.022).

Our results in the previous section suggest that accommodation-related measures play an important role in age-related DVA decline. We therefore decided to assess the need of convergence to aid the loss of accommodation in ageing using a Friedmann test for the number of times that participants overpassed the distance limit in the two acuity tests with age and measurement modes as factors. The results revealed a significant main effect of measurement mode on the number of limit overpasses ($\chi^2(3) = 25.44$, $p < 0.001$), suggesting that the number of overpasses varied significantly across the different measurement modes. Specifically, in AVA mode (where the proximity index is absent), PO participants overpassed the limit 7 times on average, while non-presbyopes only overpassed the limit twice on average, and MP participants did so only once (Fig.4B). Additionally, there was no difference between age groups in BVA mode

We then analyzed the relative distance at which participants held their smartphones in relation to task difficulty, aiming to discern whether high visual demand and smaller letter sizes at the end of the DVA measurement impacted the smartphone holding distance. The ANOVA performed on the relative distance measure revealed significant main effects of the measurement mode ($F_{1,361}$=34.347; p<.001; η^2=.08) and age group ($F_{3,361}$=4.382; p<.01; η^2=.031). More specifically, closer smartphone distances were adopted when visual demands were higher for YNP (relative distance: 4cm), ANP and PO (3cm)-average for both acuity tests, which was not the case for the MP group (Fig. 4C).

Comparison of the above results with the observed age dependence of DVA (Fig.2B), reveals that PO group has a very particular sensory-motor profile. Indeed, the DVA

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

performance in this group is similar to that of the MP group and different from non-presbyopes (Fig. 2A), whereas its motor behavior is very similar to the ANP group and different from the MP (Fig. 4A). One possible interpretation of this observation is that DVA decrease in this group is in part caused by lack of suitable visual correction or behavioral adaptation to the presbyopia onset. The lack of behavioral adaptation in the PO group is further supported by the increased number of limit overpasses (Fig. 4B), suggesting that the PO individuals are consistently seeking the position and level of acuity that are most comfortable for them.

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute



Fig. 4: Smartphone holding distance with ageing for the two visual tasks (A). Number of times the limit has been overpassed in the two visual acuity tests (B) and the smartphone relative holding distance between the last step and second step of the test (C). Negative values correspond to a closer holding distance at the last step. Values indicate mean with error bars representing the

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

standard error. Asterix indicate significant differences, $p < 0.05$. YNP: young non-presbyopia group; ANP: adult non-presbyopia group; PO: presbyopia-onset group and MP: mature presbyopia group.

We lastly analyzed smartphone motion, revealing a trend in the effect of age on smartphone movement at the second step (p=0.12), while no statistically significant difference was observed at the best visual acuity (p=0.48). At the second step when visual demand was low, presbyopic individuals exhibited the highest smartphone motion at 2°/s, whereas adult non-presbyopes demonstrated the lowest motion at 1.19°/s. Despite the increased visual demand, presbyopes continued to exhibit the highest motion, albeit at a reduced rate of 0.5°/s compared to the second step. Furthermore, at the best visual acuity, all participants experienced smartphone movements of less than 1.5°/s. This could suggest that a block motor strategy is potentially deployed.

Discussion

The present study aimed to investigate the age-related decline in DVA in an ecologically valid setting, focusing on smartphone use during mobility. Our results confirm a significant decline in DVA with age, with a particularly pronounced drop during the presbyopia onset period (40-46 years old). Notably, we found that presbyopia-onset (PO) participants exhibited a substantial DVA decrease compared to aged non-presbyopic (ANP) participants, supporting our hypothesis that the onset of presbyopia represents a critical period for DVA decline. While previous studies have demonstrated DVA decline with age, our findings suggest that in ecological conditions this decline occurs earlier than

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

previously reported. In a computerized DVA assessment study, Li et al. (2014) found that DVA remains stable until around 50 years of age. However, in our study we observed a decline in DVA much earlier, coinciding with the typical onset of presbyopia in the early to mid-40s.

Moreover, our findings indicate that the decline in DVA was most evident when transitioning from static (sitting/standing) to dynamic (walking) conditions, with the PO group experiencing the strongest deterioration in performance. This suggests that the age-related loss of DVA in real-world tasks is not only due to optical changes in the eye but also influenced by sensory-motor adaptations that are insufficient to fully compensate for deficits emerging in middle age. Additionally, our analyses revealed that binocular mechanisms, particularly accommodation-related factors such as punctum proximum accommodation (PPA) and accommodative facility, played a significant role in explaining age-related DVA decline, unlike vergence measures whose contribution was minimal. Furthermore, motor-related variables, including smartphone holding distance and movement dynamics, were significant contributors to DVA performance, particularly in the naturalistic BVA mode. Collectively, these results highlight the multifaceted nature of age-related DVA decline, with contributions from both sensory and motor factors, and emphasize the unique challenges faced by individuals undergoing the transition into presbyopia.

Prior studies have demonstrated that both the accommodative [27] and the vergence [28] systems have a minimum time required to adjust and refocus on a stimulus that is rapidly moving or changing size in the depth plane. For a shifting of 0.33m toward and farther away from the user, the accommodation response requires 400ms to attain a focused image [27]. Furthermore, a shifting of 2.45m toward the user required 800ms to re-

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

convergence [28]. While it's established that the typical distance for smartphone holding while walking on a treadmill is around 30,3cm [15], the extent of distance variation during regular use remains uncertain. A slow adjustment to changes in the accommodative demand as the smartphone moves in the depth direction could lead to an out of focus information and thus a decrease in DVA, especially if the movement overpasses the accommodative capacity. Studies have provided insights into the minimum time needed for these ocular adjustments, but it remains uncertain whether these processes are consciously planned or integrated into gait control mechanisms. Further research could explore the interplay between visual perception and motor coordination to elucidate whether convergence and reconvergence are actively regulated or occur as part of automatic gait control processes. Understanding these dynamics could offer valuable insights into optimizing visual comfort and performance during activities involving simultaneous visual and motor tasks, such as walking while using a smartphone.

Our results support previous studies showing stronger DVA degradation in the walking compared to static condition[6,8]. However, in our setup, participants were free to choose their walking speed and adjust the smartphone position, whereas previous studies fixed the treadmill speed and presented stimuli on a stationary support, such as a wall or computer screen. Therefore, our results extend previous findings to more natural walking conditions, albeit with less control over speed. Previous studies reported no effect of age on DVA loss due to walking, defined as the difference between visual acuity in static and mobile conditions[6,29]. This discrepancy may stem from differences in experimental design. In our study, participants were able to adjust their walking speed and smartphone position, creating a more naturalistic walking environment. In contrast, in previous studies, the treadmill speed was controlled, potentially restricting participants' ability to find a

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

comfortable walking pace. These methodological differences could account for the variance observed in the impact of age on DVA loss, highlighting that the degree of control over environmental factors may influence the results.

Smartphone use have previously been demonstrated to cause postural changes such as greater neck flexion while sitting compared to standing[30] as well as gait characteristics[31–34]. In particular, gait velocity, cadence and step length were reduced during smartphone usage while walking. Slow walking speed are associated with smoother head movements and thus facilitate synchronization between smartphone and head motion[35]. This synchronization reduces the demands on the oculomotor system and provides sufficient stability of the retinal image to allow successful temporal integration during task execution [36]. In our study, this was probably the case as DVA was anticorrelated with walking pace. In addition, DVA was associated with accommodation capacities. Not only do finely tuned eye movements contribute to DVA performances [36], but vestibular cues do too [37]. VOR is inhibited when clear vision is at risk, such as when focusing on a visual target that moves along with the head [38,39]. It is possible, in the case of smartphone usage while walking, that people experiencing DVA loss were not fully able to accomplish VOR suppression resulting in activated VOR residuals. Indeed, studies in humans have shown that VOR inhibition capacities were largely diminished in older individuals [39–41]. According to Demer 1994[42] youngsters were able to suppress the VOR effectively at low frequencies and when motion was predictable. This might point out that young non presbyopes had the ability to plan their movement before execution. We also put forward the suggestion that during smartphone usage, eye movements with small amplitudes such as fixational eye movements may be employed, as large saccade amplitudes have been shown to correlate with the strength of VOR suppression [43,44].

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

The slightly decreased amount of smartphone movement during the last step of the visual measurement procedure (high visual difficulty) compared to the initial step (low visual difficulty) suggests that the smartphone movement was better synchronized with the body movement during the former. This result explains the adoption of an in-block motor strategy, wherein the head and smartphone move together as a single unit. Cognitive load resulting from visual demand may not have substantially influenced smartphone motion, as participants likely prioritized comfortable stability in holding rather than clarity of the visual target. Since participants were instructed to look at the smartphone and accomplish the test, we think that the amount of smartphone motion might reflect, in our study, the degree of motion of the image (i.e retinal slip) that the eyes need to meet to accomplish stabilization. Efficient stabilization of the image on the retina is necessary to preserve the DVA. Indeed, image velocity on the retina should stay below 2–4 °/s to maintain visual acuity [45]. However, this evaluation was done during a sitting position. Borg et al 2025[9] evaluated a retinal slip of 14°/s during a head-fixed stimuli reading while walking by measuring the head and eyes' movements. Our estimation of retinal slip may have been under quantified since there was no evaluation of eye movement, but it still gave us information of the motor strategy employed. We highlight the importance of considering the measurement of the coordination between head and eye movements in dynamic tasks to better understand the stabilization strategy and the potential retinal slip.

Our study is the first to control visual stimuli in dynamic situations. We observed distance reductions with the increase in visual demand. At the last step of the test, the optotype was of the smallest dimensions to evaluate the best visual acuity and the associated behaviors. During the algorithm's convergence to the best visual acuity, participants experienced increasing difficulty and higher cognitive engagement. (Kaakinen et al.,

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

2018)[46] explained that higher cognitive engagement during a visual task is translated by a closer distance between the head and the screen viewed. However, the impact of high cognitive load resulting from increased visual demand on VOR suppression remains unclear.

Our findings show that with age, people hold smartphones farther away from them. This aligns with existing literature demonstrating increased distances associated with aging. Boccardo et. al (2021)[14] observed an average distance of 35.1 cm among non-presbyopes and 39.1 cm among presbyopes. We observed nearly similar differences between presbyopes and young non-presbyopes. Despite presbyopes adopting the farthest holding distance of 35.2 cm, this finding supports earlier research suggesting that smartphones are commonly held closer than the standard near working distance of 40 cm[47]. Presbyopes had their vision corrected for 40 cm but held the smartphone 5 cm closer. This may indicate that their current corrective lenses may not be providing sufficient magnification for comfortable smartphone use. At shorter distances, the eyes require greater accommodation to achieve optimal focus, a process that becomes increasingly challenging with age-related changes such as presbyopia. Consequently, the magnification provided by the corrective lenses worn may not adequately compensate for the loss of accommodation, resulting in suboptimal visual clarity and discomfort during smartphone use. We suggest a higher power than that typically prescribed for 40cm to aid the loss of accommodation and ensure clearer and more comfortable vision during daily activities, particularly when using smartphones. Pre-presbyopes held their smartphones at an average distance of 30.6 cm without them wearing corrective equipment even if we assessed their need to do so and expressed the need to get beyond the distance's limit several times during the test. We presume that the degradation in DVA

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

and the need to use the smartphone at closer distances highlight the need to consider the early adoption of boost-lenses to assist in the early stages of presbyopia. Contrary to our expectations, we did not find any difference in smartphone holding distances between adult non-presbyopes and pre-presbyopes. This lack of distinction may be attributed to the pre-presbyopes' unawareness of their transition into the early phase of presbyopia, suggesting they have yet to experience its effects and associated behaviors. Researchers recently explored patients' attitudes and beliefs towards presbyopia through a questionnaire [48]. Among 24 participants ranging between 36 and 48 years, six participants (25%) were already wearing a near visual correction while 18 (75%) were not. 65% were not even familiar with the word presbyopia and its consequences. Therefore, the deficiency in education and awareness [49] regarding presbyopia among the population may explain why our participants did not adapt adequately to the onset of presbyopia.

Unexpectedly, we found that postural conditions did not significantly impact smartphone holding distances. These results differ from previous literature indicating substantial variability in phone holding distances across various postures [50]. Liang et al. (2016)[51] observed over 25 different postures adopted by smartphone users, particularly in public transportation. In our study, we intentionally did not regulate participants' sitting or standing positions, nor how they held the smartphone, to reflect real-world smartphone usage.

In this study, we previously explored the deterioration in DVA among pre-presbyopes compared to non-presbyopes. It appears therefore that smartphone holding distance does not define the performance of DVA, as an individual may hold close the smartphone and still have decline in their DVA performance. It is likely that the participants bring the smartphone closer to their eyes to acquire visual comfort, that is the subjective perception

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

of satisfaction experienced during visual tasks or activities, independent of visual acuity. Shortening the distance between the eyes and the smartphone induces greater convergence efforts leading to visual fatigue. As Iskander et al. 2019[52] states, the vergence-accommodation conflict is the main source of visual fatigue. In the early phase of presbyopia, pre-presbyopes may be experiencing accommodation-convergence conflict as they encounter the initial challenges of maintaining clear vision at close distances. It has been demonstrated by Richter and collaborators, that conflicting demands between accommodation and convergence gives rise to muscular activation of the trapezius which can also cause visual fatigue and lead to inappropriate behaviors [53].

Conclusion

In conclusion, our study is the first to evaluate the dynamic visual acuity on smartphone in daily active situations. DVA performances degenerate with age and are worse during walking than during sitting and standing. The DVA did not depend on accommodation-convergence factors. Rather, variability in DVA performance indicated different stabilization strategies across age. A greater amount of DVA loss may be a sign of ineffective suppression of the VOR to see clearly when relative motion exists between the user and the smartphone. In addition, presbyopia-onset participants did not seem to adapt their behavioral patterns to the effects of presbyopia. This is demonstrated by identical holding distances between the pre-presbyopes and adult non presbyopes despite being identical in DVA performance to presbyopes. We highlighted the importance of considering the different behavior associated to the use of smartphones in our daily activities. We believe that smartphone holding distance must be considered when determining the visual correction needed to compensate for accommodative loss with age as well as its placement in the ophthalmic equipment. Failing to do so could lead to under-

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

or over-compensation for the visual defect producing poor DVA performances and discomfort when using the smartphone.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge and appreciate the help of every person that contributed to the collection, analysis and comprehension of our data.

References

1. Webber SC, Porter MM, Menec VH. Mobility in older adults: A comprehensive framework. *Gerontologist*. 2010;50(4):443-450. doi:10.1093/geront/gnq013

2. Long GM, Penn DL. *Dynamic Visual Acuity: Normative Functions and Practical Implications*. Vol 1987.; 1987.

3. Li C, Beaumont JL, Rine RM, Slotkin J, Schubert MC. Revised normative scores for the NIH toolbox dynamic visual acuity test from 3 to 85 years. *Front Neurol*. 2014;5(OCT). doi:10.3389/fneur.2014.00223

4. Imai T, Moore ST, Raphan T, Cohen B. Interaction of the body, head, and eyes during walking and turning. *Exp Brain Res*. 2001;136(1):1-18. doi:10.1007/s002210000533

5. Kavanagh JJ, Morrison S, Barrett RS. Coordination of head and trunk accelerations during walking. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2005;94(4):468-475. doi:10.1007/s00421-005-1328-1

6. Verbecque E, Van Criekinge T, Vanloot D, et al. Dynamic Visual Acuity test while walking or running on treadmill: Reliability and normative data. *Gait Posture*. 2018;65:137-142. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.07.166

7. Hirasaki E, Moore ST, Raphan T, Cohen B. Effects of walking velocity on vertical head and body movements during locomotion. *Exp Brain Res*. 1999;127(2):117-130. doi:10.1007/s002210050781

8. Deshpande N, Tourtillott BM, Peters BT, Bloomberg JJ. Dynamic visual acuity (DVA) during locomotion for targets at near and far distances: Effects of aging, walking speed and head-trunk coupling. *J Vestib Res*. 2013;23(4-5):195-201. doi:10.3233/VES-130500

9. Borg O, Casanova R, Bootsma RJ. Reading from a head-fixed display during walking: Adverse effects of gaze stabilization mechanisms. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(6). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129902

10. 39 Mobile Phone Usage Statistics & Data (2025 Research). Accessed February 24, 2025. https://supplygem.com/publications/mobile-phone-usage-statistics/

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

11. Argin G, Pak B, Turkoglu H. Between post-flâneur and smartphone zombie: Smartphone users' altering visual attention and walking behavior in public space. *ISPRS Int J Geoinf*. 2020;9(12). doi:10.3390/ijgi9120700

12. Schabrun SM, Den Hoorn W, Moorcroft A, Greenland C, Hodges PW. Texting and walking: Strategies for postural control and implications for safety. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(1). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084312

13. Boccardo L, Gurioli M, Grasso PA. Viewing distance and character size in the use of smartphones across the lifespan. *PLoS One*. 2023;18(4 April). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0282947

14. Boccardo L. Viewing distance of smartphones in presbyopic and non-presbyopic age. *J Optom*. 2021;14(2):120-126. doi:10.1016/j.optom.2020.08.001

15. Yoon W, Han H, Choi S, Shin G. Neck muscle activation and head kinematics when using a smartphone while walking. *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*. 2019;63(1):957-961. doi:10.1177/1071181319631184

16. Anderson HA, Hentz G, Glasser A, Stuebing KK, Manny RE. Minus-lens-stimulated accommodative amplitude decreases sigmoidally with age: A study of objectively measured accommodative amplitudes from age 3. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2008;49(7):2919-2926. doi:10.1167/iovs.07-1492

17. Mordi JA, Ciuffreda KJ. Dynamic aspects of accommodation: Age and presbyopia. *Vision Res*. 2004;44(6):591-601. doi:10.1016/j.visres.2003.07.014

18. Miles FA, Optican LM. *Optically Induced Changes in the Couplings Between Vergence and Accommodation*. Vol 7.; 1987.

19. Rambold H, Neumann G, Sander T, Helmchen C. Age-related changes of vergence under natural viewing conditions. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2006;27(1):163-172. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2005.01.002

20. Yekta AA, Pickwell LD, Jenkins TCA. *Binocular Vision, Age and Symptoms*. Vol 9.; 1989.

21. Chase C, Tosha C, Borsting E, Ridder WH. Visual discomfort and objective measures of static accommodation. *Optometry and Vision Science*. 2009;86(7):883-889. doi:10.1097/OPX.0B013E3181AE1B7C

22. Hirota M, Morimoto T, Kanda H, et al. Objective evaluation of visual fatigue using binocular fusion maintenance. *Transl Vis Sci Technol*. 2018;7(2). doi:10.1167/tvst.7.2.9

23. Shibata T, Kim J, Hoffman DM, Banks MS. The zone of comfort: Predicting visual discomfort with stereo displays. *J Vis*. 2011;11(8):11-11. doi:10.1167/11.8.11

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

24. Dostálová N, Vrubel M, Kachlík P. Computer vision syndrome - symptoms and prevention. *Cas Lek Cesk*. 2021;160(2-3):88-92.

25. Kwon K il, Kim HJ, Park M, Kim SR. The Functional Change of Accommodation and Convergence in the Mid-Forties by Using Smartphone. *Journal of Korean Ophthalmic Optics Society*. 2016;21(2):127-135. doi:10.14479/jkoos.2016.21.2.127

26. Piech C, Malik A, Scott LM, Chang RT, Lin C. *The Stanford Acuity Test: A Precise Vision Test Using Bayesian Techniques and a Discovery in Human Visual Response*.; 2020. https://myeyes.ai

27. Heron G, Charman WN, Schor C. Dynamics of the accommodation response to abrupt changes in target vergence as a function of age. *Vision Res*. 2001;41:507-519. Accessed February 12, 2024. www.elsevier.com/locate/visres

28. Chirre E, Prieto P, Artal P. Dynamics of the near response under natural viewing conditions with an open-view sensor. *Biomed Opt Express*. 2015;6(10):4200. doi:10.1364/boe.6.004200

29. Starkov D, Snelders M, Lucieer F, et al. Bilateral vestibulopathy and age: experimental considerations for testing dynamic visual acuity on a treadmill. *J Neurol*. 2020;267:265-272. doi:10.1007/s00415-020-10249-z

30. Alfaitouri S, Altaboli A. The Effect of Posture and Duration of Smartphone Usage on Neck Flex-ion Angle. In: *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society*. Vol 63. SAGE Publications Inc.; 2019:962-966. doi:10.1177/1071181319631137

31. Stafford MD. *SMART PHONE USE AND ITS EFFECT ON GAIT, POSTURE, AND MUSCLE ACTIVITY*.; 2016.

32. Lacour M, Bernard-Demanze L, Dumitrescu M. Posture control, aging, and attention resources: Models and posture-analysis methods. *Neurophysiologie Clinique*. 2008;38(6):411-421. doi:10.1016/j.neucli.2008.09.005

33. Sajewicz J, Dziuba-Słonina A. Texting on a Smartphone While Walking Affects Gait Parameters. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2023;20(5). doi:10.3390/ijerph20054590

34. Tan Y, Sun Y, Lang C, Wen Y. The Impact of Using Mobile Phones on Gait Characteristics: A Narrative Review. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*. 2022;12(12). doi:10.3390/app12125783

35. Rubio Barañano A, Faisal M, Barrett BT, Buckley JG. Using a smartphone on the move: do visual constraints explain why we slow walking speed? *Exp Brain Res*. 2022;240(2):467-480. doi:10.1007/s00221-021-06267-6

36. Intoy J, Rucci M. Finely tuned eye movements enhance visual acuity. *Nat Commun*. 2020;11(1). doi:10.1038/s41467-020-14616-2

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

37. Baydan M, Caliskan H, Balam-Yavuz B, Aksoy S, Böke B. Balance and motor functioning in subjects with different stages of cognitive disorders. *Exp Gerontol*. 2020;130. doi:10.1016/j.exger.2019.110785

38. Takemori.

39. Paige GD, Paige GD. *Senescence of Human Visual-Vestibular Interactions: Smooth Pursuit, Optokinetic, and Vestibular Control of Eye Movements with Aging*. Vol 98. Springer-Verlag; 1994.

40. Peinemann A, Lehner C, Conrad B, Siebner R. *Age-Related Decrease in Paired-Pulse Intracortical Inhibition in the Human Primary Motor Cortex*. www.elsevier.com/locate/neulet

41. Baloh RW, Jacobson KM, Socotch TM. *Experimental Brain Research The Effect of Aging on Visual-Vestibuloocular Responses*. Vol 95.; 1993.

42. Demer JL. Effect of aging on vertical visual tracking and visual-vestibular interaction. *J Vestib Res*. 1994;4(5):355-370.

43. Roy JE, Cullen KE. *A Neural Correlate for Vestibulo-Ocular Reflex Suppression during Voluntary Eye-Head Gaze Shifts*.; 1998. http://neurosci.nature.com

44. Jacobson GP, Piker EG, Do C, Mccaslin DL, Hood L. Suppression of the vestibulo-ocular reflex using visual and nonvisual stimuli. *Am J Audiol*. 2012;21(2):226-231. doi:10.1044/1059-0889(2012/12-0021)

45. Demer JL, AmjadiX F. *Dynamic Visual Acuity of Normal Subjects During Vertical Optotype and Head Motion*.

46. Johanna K. Kaakinen, Ugo Ballenghein, Geoffrey Tissier, Thierry Baccino. Supplemental Material for Fluctuation in Cognitive Engagement During Reading: Evidence From Concurrent Recordings of Postural and Eye Movements. *J Exp Psychol Learn Mem Cogn*. Published online 2018. doi:10.1037/xlm0000539.supp

47. Rosenfield M, Liu L, Lan M. *Cell Phone Viewing Distance and Age in a Chinese Population Article 4 Cell Phone Viewing Distance and Age in a Chinese Population*. Vol 6.; 2018. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/328642903

48. Hutchins B, Huntjens B. Patients' attitudes and beliefs to presbyopia and its correction. *J Optom*. 2021;14(2):127-132. doi:10.1016/j.optom.2020.02.001

49. Goertz AD, Stewart WC, Burns WR, Stewart JA, Nelson LA. Review of the impact of presbyopia on quality of life in the developing and developed world. *Acta Ophthalmol*. 2014;92(6):497-500. doi:10.1111/aos.12308

50. Yoshimura M, Kitazawa M, Maeda Y, Mimura M, Tsubota K, Kishimoto T. Smartphone viewing distance and sleep: An experimental study utilizing motion capture technology. *Nat Sci Sleep*. 2017;9:59-65. doi:10.2147/NSS.S123319

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

51. Liang HW, Hwang YH. Mobile phone use behaviors and postures on public transportation systems. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(2). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0148419

52. Iskander J, Hossny M, Nahavandi S. Using biomechanics to investigate the effect of VR on eye vergence system. *Appl Ergon*. 2019;81. doi:10.1016/j.apergo.2019.102883

53. Richter HO, Forsman M. *Accommodation/Vergence Eye Movements and Neck/Scapular Muscular Activation: Gaze Control with Relevance for Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders We@Work ; a Comprehensive Solution to Promote and Support a Healthy and Safe Working Life View Project Accommodation/Vergence Eye Movements and Neck/Scapular Muscular Activation: Gaze Control with Relevance for Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders*.; 2011. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/234078086

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute

Supplementary Figures

Suplementary Figure 1



Supplementary Fig. 1: Pairwise correlation plots (lower triangle), approximate distributions (diagonal), and Spearman correlation coefficients between all visual variables, SVA and DVA. Significance: *** : p<0.001, ** : p<0.01, * : p<0.05, . : p<0.1.

Supplementary Figure 2

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute



Supplementary Fig. 2: Pairwise correlation plots (lower triangle), approximate distributions (diagonal), and Pearson correlation coefficients between motor variables, SVA and DVA during walking. Significance: *** : p<0.001, ** : p<0.01, * : p<0.05, . : p<0.1.

©EssilorLuxottica, May 2024 Confidential - All rights reserved - Do not disclose, copy or distribute