Title: Patient- and Clinician- Solutions to Improve Specialized ACHD Care: A Theory-Based Approach

Authors: Anushree Agarwal MD MAS[1], Katelyn Macholl MS[2], Alice Qian BS[3], Juhi Mehta BS[1], Pranav Ahuja BS[1], Karina Buenrostro BA[1], Kevin Sun BFA[1], Daniel Dohan PhD[4], Michelle Gurvitz MD[5], Megumi J. Okumura MD MAS[4,6]

Affiliations:
[1] Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA
[2] UCSF School of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA
[3] Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, OH
[4] PRL Institute for Health Policy Studies
[5] Department of Cardiology, Boston Adult Congenital Heart Service, Boston Children's Hospital, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA
[6] Division of General Pediatrics, General Internal Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA

Abstract

Background: Adult congenital heart disease (ACHD) patients continue to face gaps in guideline-recommended care with ACHD specialists. We lack patient and clinician inputs to develop and implement behavior-change interventions to enhance guideline uptake.

Objective: This study aimed to describe stakeholder solutions to improve ACHD specialized care and conceptualize solutions utilizing behavior change frameworks.

Methods: This qualitative study uses the COM-B (Capability, Opportunity, Motivation, and Behavior) model and TDF (Theoretical Domains Framework) to understand patient behaviors and potential solutions to improve ACHD care. We focused on 'patient engagement' as the target behavior to improve specialized care. We conducted 45 to 60-minute semi-structured interviews with ACHD patients and clinicians (cardiologists and clinic staff). We used purposeful sampling to interview diverse patients based on care gaps, disease status, race/ethnicity, and geography. At least two independent coders conducted inductive and deductive coding, and we performed rapid qualitative analysis.

Results: We interviewed 54 participants (37 patients and 17 clinicians). The patient median age was 32 years, 57% were women, 62% were people of color, 27% had less than a college education, 35% had $\geq$ 3 years of gaps in care, and 30% required $\geq$ 3 reminders to interview. We developed six interdependent categories of codes representing 36 sub-categories of determinants for patients' engagement: healthcare system and institutional structure, knowledge and education, sources of support, personal development, identity and personal resources, and ACHD's placement in one's life. We identified two novel subcategories, care delivery with non-English speaking parents and "withdrawal of or lower" caregiver support, that were surprising enablers (not only barriers) to ACHD self-care. We developed a COM-B and TDF-guided framework to help understand how future interventions in the various patient engagement categories could support behaviors to improve ACHD specialized care.

Conclusion: Our novel stakeholder-derived, theory-driven ACHD patient engagement framework facilitates the development and evaluation of future interventions to improve ACHD specialized care. Interventions could target healthcare systems and institutional structures, provider and patient knowledge and education, and sources of patient support. Given the interdependence of the intervention categories, any single intervention program could support behaviors in more than one category.

Introduction

Over the last few decades, improvements in pediatric-based congenital heart care and survivorship have led to a rapid rise in the number of adults with congenital heart disease (CHD). It is estimated that over 2 million adult congenital heart disease (ACHD) patients currently live in the United States, with the prevalence of ACHD increasing by 40-50,000 every year.[1] Research has demonstrated that up to 85% of ACHD patients experience barriers in meeting ACHD care guidelines,[2-4] particularly in establishing and maintaining recommended care with ACHD specialists.[2,5-7] Lack of recommended care leads to higher mortality, morbidity, and poor quality of life, and a larger strain on the already resource-constrained healthcare system.[8-10]

In an effort to better understand factors influencing ACHD specialized care, numerous studies have identified barriers and facilitators to patients engaging with their healthcare.[2-4] Other literature has proposed solutions to address barriers, and yet patients continue to struggle with navigating the healthcare system and adhering to guidelines.[11-14] One missing piece in the existing literature is the lack of a deep and nuanced understanding of the behavior, thoughts, and experiences of ACHD patients and clinicians, information that could provide insights on potential targets for improving ACHD care.

Recently, implementation science approaches have emerged to enhance the uptake of evidence-based practices more quickly, completely, and equitably.[15-16] Two behavioral models popular for their comprehensive approach include Capability, Opportunity, Motivation–behavior (COM-B), and Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF).[17-19] COM-B separates sources of behavior into three constructs: capability – whether a patient has the knowledge, skills, and abilities to engage in a behavior; opportunity – external factors that make the execution of a behavior possible; and motivation – internal processes that influence decision-making around a behavior.[19] TDF elaborates on the COM-B model by creating fourteen sources of behavior, each of which can be tied back to one of the three COM-B constructs.[17] Mapping out sources of behavioral change frameworks, like COM-B and TDF, could allow leaders, policymakers, and scientists to understand why a specific behavior occurs and how to create targeted interventions for effective change.[17-19]

While these approaches are evolving in cardiac conditions such as coronary artery disease and heart failure,[19-20] ACHD remains untapped. This study focuses on engaging ACHD patient and clinician stakeholders to understand the determinants of behaviors that enhances adherence to ACHD specialized care. We further map these determinants to develop a behavioral framework for the design and evaluation of future ACHD interventions.

Methods

Overview

This study used a qualitative design to elicit patient and clinician insights to enhance the uptake of ACHD care guidelines. We used the COM-B and TDF frameworks to describe the findings pragmatically to inform future health care interventions. Our target behavior was patient activation and engagement, a concept defined in the chronic care model, as the key for patients to be active partners with healthcare systems to improve outcomes.[21]

Participants

We used purposive sampling to engage diverse patient and clinician perspectives.[22] *Patients* were included if they were adults (≥ 18 years), English or Spanish speaking, and could provide informed consent, and excluded if they had a developmental disability. The initial sample of patients was identified via retrospective chart review and recruited from a large academic medical center (University of California, San Francisco [UCSF]). CHD diagnosis (categorized as complex, moderate, or simple) was determined through this review of the medical records. Patients with isolated simple lesions, such as atrial septal defects or ventricular septal defects, were excluded because the guidelines regarding their frequent follow-up with ACHD specialists are

less robust.[5] We purposefully sampled patients who lacked an established relationship with an ACHD cardiologist or who had not seen an ACHD cardiologist for at least three years during their adulthood.[2,5] After thematic saturation[23] was reached for UCSF patients, we recruited non-UCSF patients with convenience and snowball sampling to achieve geographic diversity.[23] CHD clinicians from all regions of the US known to the study team and contacts within the advocacy organization, Adult Congenital Heart Association (ACHA), were asked to identify and refer patients with CHD for interviews. CHD diagnosis for non-UCSF patients was determined during interview conversations by the patients themselves.

Clinicians were identified and recruited based on their involvement with pediatric or adult CHD care at UCSF. Clinicians nationwide were identified through support from ACHA and snowball sampling to achieve geographically diverse perspectives.

Interviews

Separate patient and clinician interview guides were developed using the COM-B framework to explore themes related to barriers and facilitators to engaging with the adult healthcare system and solutions to enhance engagement.[19] Semi-structured interviews were conducted over Zoom, each lasting 45-60 minutes. At the end of the interview, participants were given a recap of major themes recorded to provide a member check.[24] Most interviews were conducted in English by a single interviewer (KM). Four interviews with Spanish-speaking participants were conducted by a second interviewer (KB). Interviews were recorded, professionally transcribed, and translated. Patients completed a demographic survey (age, gender identity, race/ethnicity, highest level of education, estimated household income) and answered a single close-ended question about the presence or absence of a prior three-year (or greater) gap without seeing a cardiologist.[5]

Analysis

Qualitative Analysis

Five coders (AA, KM, JM, KB, KS) collectively reviewed the first two patient and clinician interviews via inductive coding to identify themes and subthemes. Following these four transcripts, we switched to individual, deductive coding using the developed list of themes and subthemes. Each transcript was coded individually by two separate coders (including AA, KM, JM, KB, KS, PA, AQ). The two versions of coded transcripts were then compared to resolve discrepancies between coders and generate one final coded transcript for each interview. All coded transcripts were organized on an Excel spreadsheet creating a matrix of themes and subthemes identified by each interview participant. The matrix was subsequently analyzed with rapid qualitative analysis, an action-oriented method for qualitative data analysis, to efficiently produce results for real-world interventions.[26] Using the matrix, cross-participant comparisons were made to summarize determinants of patient engagement and solutions for improving ACHD specialized care. Summarizing matrix findings occurred via iterative group meetings of five team members (AA, KM, KB, JM, PA).

Development of the Stakeholder-driven Theory-based Framework for Improving ACHD Care

Following the development of the matrix, summarized determinants of patient engagement and solutions for improving ACHD specialized care were mapped onto COM-B and TDF behavioral frameworks.[17-19] We first identified the three COM-B sources of behavior (capability, opportunity, or motivation) and mapped them to at least one of the determinants of patient engagement. We then conducted an in-depth analysis of the stakeholders' perspectives to further elaborate the COM-B model using the TDF domains. This mapping to TDF domains enhanced analysis of the solutions to improve ACHD specialized care by providing a specific mechanism by which the proposed solution would influence patient engagement behavior change. Each solution was deemed actionable if it aligned with one of the 14 TDF domains. Therefore, TDF created a pathway by which the solution would enhance patient engagement in care if it were implemented.

This research was approved by the UCSF Institutional Review Board (#22-36667). All participants provided informed consent for collecting and incorporating their data for analysis and publication.

Results

Respondent Demographics

We interviewed 54 participants: 37 patients (27 from UCSF, 10 from outside UCSF) and 17 clinicians (9 from UCSF, 8 from outside UCSF) (**Table 1**). About 30% of patient participants, specifically those who had ≥ 3 years gaps in care, required three or more outreach attempts (e.g., rescheduling, numerous reminders, ad hoc phone interviews) to complete the interview.

Barriers and Enablers to ACHD Specialized Care:

Participants identified barriers and solutions to a patient's ability to be engaged in establishing and maintaining ACHD care. We developed six major categories (from 36 sub-categories) as determinants of ACHD patients' engagement in their healthcare (**Table 2**): healthcare system and institutional structure, knowledge and education, personal development, sources of support, identity and personal resources, and ACHD's placement in one's life. Healthcare system and institutional structure describes broader structural factors, e.g., insurance and geographic location. Knowledge and education details how individuals learn about their condition and how to navigate ACHD, e.g., through a transition program. Personal development captures an individual's likelihood to drive their care, e.g., by being self-motivated. Sources of support highlights the role of family or the larger ACHD community in creating connections around ACHD care. Identity and personal resources contain factors, e.g., financial status or language, that influences an individual's ability to obtain and interact with healthcare. ACHD's placement in one's life signifies how time and thoughts of ACHD are balanced with other responsibilities.

Interdependence of Categories

While we developed six categories to outline the participant-identified barriers and enablers to engagement, the categories were not mutually exclusive. We captured the lived and multifaceted experience of participants at the crossroads of many different categories, i.e., participants with perceived barriers or facilitators in one category often noted barriers within multiple categories (**Figure 1**).

"having these symptoms and not getting answers is just giving me a lot more anxiety... It is affecting my income and employment, because it's affecting my ability to work...the insurance is something that's really preventing me from receiving the care, because I feel the doctors are being discriminatory because of my insurance...The ACHD provider availability, I was never told that I should be followed...Knowledge, I barely have. The only knowledge I have of my heart condition is really what I've read online." - Female Patient in their 30's

Figure 1: Interdependence of Determinants for ACHD Patient Engagement



Legend - Figure 1: Interdependence of Determinants for ACHD Patient Engagement
Six determinants for ACHD patient engagement, along with example subthemes, are listed. Determinants are shown in overlapping circles to signify the interdependence of domains.

In several instances, health system and structural factors (e.g., geographic location of the ACHD center) influenced knowledge and education (e.g., access to individuals who would impart education), which in turn impacted personal development (e.g., self-advocacy skills). In this instance, structural factors requiring broader systemic solutions influenced factors typically considered within a patient's control, such as their attitude toward follow-up care.

"I think the challenge is as the patients live further and further and further from the main campuses then, the available localized social network services become less and less available" – Pediatric Cardiologist

However, participants also described how their identity and resources (e.g., socioeconomic status, comorbidities) influenced personal development (e.g., curiosity, self-motivation, getting older and wiser) and facilitated engagement with the health system (e.g., affordability, access to care), sometimes independently of other socio-cultural facilitators (e.g., educational programs, social workers).

"So, identity – I've been lucky on all accords. So, I can imagine it might be different if I weren't White. I can imagine it might be different if I didn't speak English…my family understood the system and how to navigate insurance and things. If you're not in that world, I can see where this would be incredibly difficult." – Male Patient in their 40s

Novel Understanding of Barriers and Enablers to ACHD Specialized Care:
A majority of the engagement determinants and proposed solutions overlapped with prior research exploring barriers and facilitators to ACHD care. Two unique findings surrounded language and caregiver involvement: both were raised previously as determinants of care but emerged with additional nuance in this study.

Language Differences Could Facilitate Engagement
Participants such as non-native English speakers, immigrants from abroad, or whose parents had limited English proficiency often described experiencing a language barrier between a clinician and their family. In the short term, these participants perceived language as a barrier due to a disconnect between their parents' ability to communicate with clinicians and transmit knowledge back to the patient. However, in the long-term, it was the barrier of language at the level of parents that facilitated a patient's early interaction with the health system and subsequently enabled them to be more familiar with navigating adult healthcare.

"...because I grew up in an immigrant family and my parents don't speak a lot of English, I had to have more understanding because I had [to] talk to the doctors who did not speak my language at home…Appointments, I started making early on. It used to be you had to call so then I did the calling even at a young age, like before 18." – Female Patient in their 20s

Caregiver Involvement Both Supported and Undermined Patient Engagement
Dependable sources of support, including family, friends, and faith, enabled many patients to learn how to manage their care, including scheduling appointments and, more broadly, understanding their condition. Through familial support, patients built up the skills required to be independent in managing their care in adulthood.

"I mean my parents are still around, and they still kind of support me, but it's my decision. It's my actions to continue that kind of care. And because of how they were since Day 1, I continue that and make sure I'm asking the right questions, going to the right people." - Female Patient in their 30s.

However, too much support prevented some patients from achieving independence. For example, some patients with heavily involved caregivers often felt that clinicians presented information only to their caregivers and they did not feel like equal members of their care team. Thus, they struggled during early adulthood when taking over care coordination responsibilities from their parents which often felt very abrupt and challenging.

"I feel like the majority of the information was provided to caretakers, to the adult parents, and they didn't necessarily always communicate that well to me. So, it would be nice to just have that information reinforced. And also, as a child, you don't know what questions that you can ask of a healthcare professional, either. So, I didn't really know which questions to ask, until I was older. And so, I didn't really understand the full extent of my condition, or my limitations." - Female Patient in their 40s

While some patients noted sudden disruptions to support networks as barriers, others became more engaged with their ACHD management after they experienced removal of support (most notably due to a caregiver's passing), as they were 'forced' to become independent drivers of their care. The previous level and type of support prior to its disruption were critical to what outcomes developed after the support was removed.

"I started actually taking over my own care along with my brother in 2019 when our mother passed away...But it was really scary because my mom usually did everything. I never really touched my medical stuff. I was barely ordering my medications by myself." - Female Patient in their 20s

Proposed intervention targets to improve ACHD specialized care:
While Table 2 and Figure 1 demonstrates several participant-identified intervention targets to improve ACHD specialized care, three key intervention targets (among the six engagement categories) emerged as the most practical, readily actionable, and potentially high-impact solutions to address barriers present across all categories. These three intervention targets included: healthcare system and institutional structure, knowledge and education, and sources of support. However, patients and clinicians differed in both the priority and proposed implementation of each intervention target (**Figure 2**).

Figure 2 : Clinician and Patient Perspectives for Future Targets of Intervention

INTERVENTION TARGETS	Healthcare System and Institutional Structure	Knowledge and Education	Sources of Support
TARGET HIGHLIGHTED BY	Clinicians	Clinicians and Patients	Patients
INTERVENTION EXAMPLES	Interprofessional Collaboration (e.g., Cardiologists, Social Workers, Financial Counselors) Increased Collaboration Among Specialities (e.g., with primary care) EHR alert for CHD referral Advocacy for a new US Healthcare Reimbursement Model	Centralization of Resources Educational Opportunities Outside of Clinic Visits Enhancing Clinician Education on Navigating Difficult Conversations	Increased Provider Access ACHD Community Connection and Uplifting Patient Expertise Increased Transparency and Reassurance on Daily Management
ILLUSTRATIVE QUOTE	"You could have financial counseling, you know, insurance counseling. I see a lot of possibilities for it. Again, you find the commonality. You fund that commonality. You hire to support. You staff that commonality." - Allied Health Professional	" information is one place where [patients are] not having to go all over the place looking for information." - Female Patient in her 30s	"having a community board or something, where it's like, 'I was diagnosed with ACHD at this point, and my favorite resource is' – that's where people, I think, connect. Everything is about human connection." - Female Patient in her 30s

Legend - Figure 2: Clinician and Patient Perspectives for Future Targets of Intervention to Improve ACHD Specialized Care Intervention targets listed based on which stakeholder prioritized which target, the intervention examples within each target, and the stakeholder quotes highlighting the intervention examples.

Healthcare System and Institutional Structure
Clinicians primarily recommended targeting interventions at the health system and structural levels. One example of this included the restructuring of ACHD services to an integrative chronic disease center, allowing for interprofessional collaboration (between cardiologists, social workers, and financial counselors, to name a few) and a 'one-stop-shop' model. Other examples included collaboration across specialties, such as with primary care physicians, developing CHD alerts in electronic medical records similar to preventative screenings, and restructuring the U.S. healthcare reimbursement model to offer financial incentives for patient education.

Knowledge and Education
Both patients and clinicians showed high concordance for the knowledge and education category with emphasis on the centralization of clear, regularly updated, and comprehensive, credible resources relevant to all domains in the patient experience (e.g., insurance, transportation). They particularly valued the accessibility of resources outside of the clinic visit. Clinic time constraints can limit opportunities for patient education, and

additional resource opportunities can accommodate patient's time and ability to engage with care outside of their healthcare visits.

Additional patient-identified intervention targets included improved patient-physician communication in terms of transparency regarding the chronicity of their condition, the severity of CHD, individual schedules for surveillance, and logistical guidance on the day-to-day management of their CHD. Patients suggested resources detailing questions to ask their clinician, transition-specific appointments, and a point-of-contact throughout the transition for those leaving pediatrics and new to adult ACHD care. In addition, written instructions, exercise plans, and easily accessible research papers on ACHD were desired. Additionally, patients conveyed the importance of clinician education on how to deliver information and approach sensitive topics, particularly with younger patients.

Additional clinician-identified intervention targets included CHD-related education earlier in the medical training, clinician education on navigating difficult conversations, patient education using innovative ways (i.e., through illustrations, diagrams, and 3D tools), and group educational sessions.

Sources of Support
Patients emphasized their interactions with clinicians and the role of the community as foundational targets for maintaining and improving their ACHD care. Some clinicians questioned their impact on patients they often see only once a year. However, patients valued strong connections with their clinicians and credited these relationships when they returned to care after long gaps. Patients who frequently switched clinicians struggled to build long-term relationships, leading to an imbalance in patient-clinician dynamics and a reduced ability to have a voice in their care.

"initially I didn't wanna go to the doctors. And now, I feel that I have a connection with the new doctors that I know" - Male Patient in their 30s.

To strengthen patient-clinician relationships, patients sought support both during and outside clinic visits (e.g., direct access lines), greater transparency, and empathetic communication to feel reassured in managing their chronic condition. Additionally, patients emphasized the value of the diverse expertise within their peer community, particularly when seeking guidance on daily ACHD management. These interactions fostered a sense of support and belonging. Various platforms, including open forums, chat rooms, blogs, Zoom meetings, and Facebook groups, were identified as key spaces that helped cultivate a responsive and engaged ACHD community, bridging connections between patients and clinicians.

Mapping of the barriers and enablers to COM-B and TDF domains:
Mapping the determinants of engagement or the intervention targets to behavior change theoretical frameworks i.e. COM-B and TDF domains allowed for a nuanced and deeper understanding into the priorities for future intervention and their potential mechanisms of action in terms of behavior. **Table 3** provides a summary of some key determinants of engagement identified by the stakeholders, and how these determinants could be mapped to the COM-B and TDF domains to determine the intervention goals for potential future interventions. For e.g., it underscores that future interventions targeting to enhance education by increasing knowledge (TDF domain) of CHD could overcome barriers related to psychological capability domain and thus address barriers related to patients' lack of understanding about their CHD or follow-up recommendations. Similarly, interventions targeting modeling such as peer support could help with overcoming barriers related to the TDF domains of social/ professional role and identity as well as goal setting to address the barriers within reflective motivation domain of the COM-B.

Discussion

We describe a novel in-depth understanding of the patient and clinician perspectives on how ACHD specialized care could be improved. Six categories emerged as determinants for specialized care: healthcare system and institutional structure, knowledge and education, sources of support, personal development, identity and personal resources, and ACHD's placement in one's life. The first three of these categories emerged as practical and feasible targets for future interventions. Based on our findings, we developed a novel ACHD patient engagement framework that provides a stakeholder and theory-driven infrastructure for developing and evaluating future interventions to improve ACHD specialized care (**Figure 3**). Based on this framework, interventions targeting any of the prioritized categories for determinant of engagement could work by enhancing the knowledge, social/professional role or identity, reinforcement, or other TDF domains. This, in turn, could enhance the capability, opportunity, or motivation of ACHD patients to be engaged in their care, thus ultimately increasing patient satisfaction, specialized ACHD care, and health outcomes. Thus, mapping the categories for ACHD patient engagement to behavior change theoretical frameworks allows for a nuanced and deeper understanding of how and why future interventions might (or might not) work. Furthermore, given the interdependence of the categories, designing interventions in any one category (maybe those more readily actionable) could address multiple determinants within and between other categories.

Figure 3: Stakeholder Theory-Based Framework for Improving ACHD Care



Legend - Figure 3: Stakeholder Theory-Based Framework for Improving ACHD Care
A model for improving ACHD care. The framework shows stakeholder solutions for future interventions mapped to behavioral theory sources of behavioral change.

Many of the barriers and facilitators to ACHD specialized care in this study were similar to those described in the literature. However, two notable findings were related to language and caregiver support. In our study, language both facilitated and hindered patients' engagement in their care. This is in contrast to the current literature that demonstrates non-English speaking households are more likely to be non-adherent with appointments and have feelings of disengagement with their clinicians.[27-28] However, our in-depth exploration of these issues revealed that while language may appear to be a barrier at first glance, it becomes more complex

when the language barrier affects the caregiver or household of an English-proficient patient. In such cases, the patient often assumes a greater role in scheduling appointments, engaging in research about their heart condition, or supporting their caregivers. This enhanced proficiency enables them to become more involved in their own care and develop the skills necessary to advocate effectively, beginning in adolescence. Similarly, we observed that while caregiver support helped patients to develop self-management skills, too much support limited a patient's ability for independent decision making. This may explain why the sudden loss of support (e.g., due to a caregiver's passing), while initially frightening, often led patients to become more independent relatively quickly. Building ways to then empower patients to take on responsibility early is another target area for future intervention work.

We also describe interdependence of the engagement determinants to enhance ACHD specialized care. This helped us to conceptualize the participant-identified solutions into prioritizing those that can be attainable with more straightforward and accessible interventions. For example, participant~~s~~ prioritized solutions centered around healthcare system and institutional structure, knowledge and education, and sources of support. These three categories highlighted interventions such as reimbursement for educational visits, centralized and updated resources to improve knowledge, or expert and peer support chat rooms- all of which can be designed and implemented using relatively straightforward approaches. At the same time, these interventions can also help reframe ACHD's placement in one's life (one of the six engagement determinants), thus overcoming barriers outside of the participant-prioritized categories of solutions.

On the contrary, prioritizing interventions in the categories of personal development, identity and resources, and ACHD's placement in life were felt to be more challenging and resource-intensive due to multiple factors. One could be the intrinsic, personal nature of these categories creating difficulties in developing interventions that meet diverse needs across the broader ACHD community. Another factor may be a lack of perceived ability to address structural barriers with smaller-scale interventions. For example, in the identity and personal resources category, participants reported inequitable allocation of resources and unequal treatment based on race/ethnicity, culture, language, and comorbidities as barriers to engagement. These barriers present broader systemic challenges that influence multiple aspects of healthcare and societal structures. While our participants identified these factors as crucial to a patient's ability to engage with care, it is possible that solutions of this scale seemed too distant or unrealistic to be considered feasible intervention targets.

Further, it is worth noting the difference in how solutions highlighted by clinicians varied from solutions highlighted by patients. Clinicians focused on macro-level and systemic interventions, whereas patients focused on more micro-level and personal interventions (i.e., their relationship with their provider and community). These differences are likely due to a patient's personal lived experience with CHD (high depth of experience) compared to a clinician's experience in treating hundreds of patients (high breadth of experience, but less depth). This goes to show that participants' proposed interventions stem from their unique experiences and by addressing both perspectives, we gather a more complex and complete understanding of how to holistically improve ACHD care.

Finally, many of the participant-prioritized solutions in this study, such as the implementation of a multidisciplinary, comprehensive, and formal transition program, are similar to what has been previously described.[29-33] However, our approach was novel where we applied behavioral theoretical frameworks to offer a unique and deeper insight into understanding how these proposed interventions could result in desired outcomes in ACHD. We used COM-B and TDF since they allow specific recommendations to be made for intervention design based on the desired source of behavior to be targeted.[17-19] Although this method does not guarantee success, it does increase the likelihood of any of the proposed solutions being successful, as it will target the determinants using the evidence of what works, for whom, and under which circumstances. Thus, policymakers and researchers can now effectively create specific recommendations to implement solutions in a transparent way using a shared language that documents the proposed theory of action of the solutions. For example, the goal of the various components of a formal transition program can now be emphasized to

understand whether they impart education, training, or modeling to enhance the knowledge, skills, and motivation of patients to be active partners in their care.

Limitations

It is possible that there are perspectives of ACHD stakeholders not captured by the participants who were available and willing to be interviewed. We attempted to reduce the likelihood of missed viewpoints by recruiting a large and diverse sample of both patient and clinician stakeholders across various social and geographic domains. Furthermore, while we mapped engagement determinants to COM-B and TDF, other behavioral frameworks may be equally or better suited to capture the complexities of how patients interact with their health and healthcare and, subsequently, how likely they are to respond to ACHD interventions targeting their engagement. For instance, COM-B and TDF highlight all of the potential components driving a behavior needed to design and evaluate interventions. What they do not highlight is the relationship between components in terms of timing or causality (i.e., how one component leads into another and whether that component is further from or closer to the end outcome on the pathway of behavioral change). Future work can explore the utility of integrating additional behavioral frameworks into the work presented here. Finally, it is possible that having a different interviewer for Spanish-speaking participants than the rest of the patient cohort introduced bias. Steps were taken to mitigate differences between interviewers, such as a central interview guide. Ultimately, it was decided that the benefit of including the perspectives of monolingual Spanish speakers outweighed the potential risks.

Conclusion

We present patient and clinician-prioritized solutions for improving ACHD care conceptualized in terms of behavioral frameworks. Stakeholders centered on three target areas that can potentially lead to successful future interventions for ACHD care: healthcare system and institutional structure, knowledge and education, and sources of support. By considering these targets in future interventions, there’s a potential to align stakeholders' desired solutions for ACHD care with robust behavioral change frameworks to maximize the efficacy of interventions. Furthermore, as demonstrated by the interdependence of engagement domains, it is possible that pursuing interventions in one category will influence many other determinants for engagement in care. Our stakeholder-theory-based approach to defining intervention targets for ACHD care aims to foster transparency and a shared understanding of future interventions. This approach clarifies what these interventions seek to change and how they can be implemented within an increasingly complex and resource-constrained healthcare system.

References

1. Gilboa SM, Devine OJ, Kucik JE, et al. Congenital Heart Defects in the United States: Estimating the Magnitude of the Affected Population in 2010. *Circulation*. 2016;134(2):101-109. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.019307
2. Gurvitz M, Valente AM, Broberg C, et al. Prevalence and predictors of gaps in care among adult congenital heart disease patients: HEART-ACHD (The Health, Education, and Access Research Trial). *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;61(21):2180-2184. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2013.02.048
3. Khan AM, McGrath LB, Ramsey K, Agarwal A, Broberg CS. Association of Adults With Congenital Heart Disease-Specific Care With Clinical Characteristics and Healthcare Use. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2021;10(11):e019598. doi:10.1161/JAHA.120.019598
4. Gerardin J, Raskind-Hood C, Rodriguez FH 3rd, et al. Lost in the system? Transfer to adult congenital heart disease care-Challenges and solutions. *Congenit Heart Dis.* 2019;14(4):541-548. doi:10.1111/chd.12780
5. Stout KK, Daniels CJ, Aboulhosn JA, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Adults with Congenital Heart Disease: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019;73(12):1494-1563. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2018.08.1028
6. Khan AM, McGrath LB, Ramsey K, Agarwal A, Slatore CG, Broberg CS. Distance to Care, Rural Dwelling Status, and Patterns of Care Utilization in Adult Congenital Heart Disease. *Pediatric cardiology.* Published online 2021:1-9.
7. Mackie AS, Ionescu-Ittu R, Therrien J, Pilote L, Abrahamowicz M, Marelli AJ. Children and adults with congenital heart disease lost to follow-up: who and when? *Circulation.* 2009;120(4):302-309. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.839464
8. Yeung E, Kay J, Roosevelt GE, Brandon M, Yetman AT. Lapse of care as a predictor for morbidity in adults with congenital heart disease. *Int J Cardiol.* 2008;125(1):62-65. doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2007.02.023
9. Verheugt CL, Uiterwaal CS, van der Velde ET, et al. The emerging burden of hospital admissions of adults with congenital heart disease. *Heart*. 2010;96(11):872-878. doi:10.1136/hrt.2009.185595
10. Mylotte D, Pilote L, Ionescu-Ittu R, et al. Specialized Adult Congenital Heart Disease Care: The Impact of Policy on Mortality. *Circulation.* 2014;129(18). doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONHA.113.005817
11. Mackie AS, Rempel GR, Kovacs AH, et al. Transition Intervention for Adolescents With Congenital Heart Disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;71(16):1768-1777. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.02.043
12. Mackie AS, Fournier A, Swan L, Marelli AJ, Kovacs AH. Transition and Transfer From Pediatric to Adult Congenital Heart Disease Care in Canada: Call for Strategic Implementation. *Can J Cardiol.* 2019;35(12):1640-1651. doi:10.1016/j.cjca.2019.08.014
13. Vaikunth SS, Williams RG, Uzunyan MY, Tun H, Barton C, Chang PM. Short-term outcomes following implementation of a dedicated young adult congenital heart disease transition program. *Congenit Heart Dis*. 2018;13(1):85-91. doi:10.1111/chd.12549
14. Moons P, Hilderson D, Van Deyk K. Implementation of transition programs can prevent another lost generation of patients with congenital heart disease. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs.* 2008;7(4):259-263. doi:10.1016/j.ejcnurse.2008.10.001
15. Rose AJ. Expediting Uptake of New and Recommended Therapies: The Importance of Implementation Science. JACC Heart Fail. 2024 Mar 15:S2213-1779(24)00163-X. doi: 10.1016/j.jchf.2024.02.005. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 38713113.
16. Moise N, Cené CW, Tabak RG, et al. Leveraging Implementation Science for Cardiovascular Health Equity: A Scientific Statement Frome the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2022;146(19). doi:10.1161/CIR.0000000000001096
17. Atkins L, Francis J, Islam R, et al. A guide to using the Theoretical Domains Framework of behavior change to investigate implementation problems. *Implementation Sci.* 2017;12(1):77. Published 2017 Jun 21. doi:10.1186/s13012-017-0605-9

18. Richardson M, Khouja CL, Sutcliffe K, Thomas J. Using the theoretical domains framework and the behavioural change wheel in an overarching synthesis of systematic reviews. *BMJ Open.* 2019;9(6):e024950. Published 2019 Jun 22. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2019-024950
19. Park LG, Ng F, Handley MA. The use of the Capability-Opportunity- Motivation Behavior (COM-B) model to identify barriers to medication adherence and the application of mobile health technology in adults with coronary heart disease: A qualitative study. *PEC Innov.* 2023;3:100209. Published 2023 Sep 7. doi:10.1016/j.pecinn.2023.100209
20. Whittal A, Störk S, Riegel B, Herber OR. Applying the COM-B behaviour model to overcome barriers to heart failure self-care: A practical application of a conceptual framework for the development of complex interventions (ACHIEVE study). *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs.* 2021;20(3):261-267. doi:10.1177/1474515120957292
21. Greene J, Hibbard JH. Why does patient activation matter? An examination of the relationships between patient activation and health-related outcomes. *Journal of general internal medicine*. 2012;27(5):520-526.
22. Higginbottom GM. Sampling issues in qualitative research. *Nurse Res.* 2004;12(1):7-19. doi:10.7748/nr2004.07.12.1.7.c5927
23. Saunders B, Sim J, Kingstone T, et al. Saturation in qualitative research: exploring its conceptualization and operationalization. *Qual Quant.* 2018;52(4):1893-1907. doi:10.1007/s11135-017-0574-8
24. Birt L, Scott S, Cavers D, Campbell C, Walter F. Member Checking: A Tool to Enhance Trustworthiness or Merely a Nod to Validation?. *Qual Health Res.* 2016;26(13):1802-1811. doi:10.1177/1049732316654870
25. St. George SM, Harkness AR, Rodriguez-Diaz CE, Weinstein ER, Pavia V, Hamilton AB. Applying rapid qualitative analysis for health equity: lessons learned using “EARS” With Latino communities. *Int J Qual Methods.* 2023;22. doi:10.1177/16094069231164938
26. Lewinski AA, Crowley MJ, Miller C, et al. Applied Rapid Qualitative Analysis to Develop a Contextually Appropriate Intervention and Increase the Likelihood of Uptake. *Med Care.* 2021;59(Suppl 3):S242-S251. doi:10/1097/MLR.0000000000001553
27. Fixler DE, Nembhard WN, Xu P, Ethen MK, Canfield MA. Effect of acculturation and distance from cardiac center on congenital heart disease mortality. *Pediatrics.* 2012;129(6):1118-1124. doi:10.1542/peds.2011-3114
28. Flores G, Abreu M, Tomany-Korman SC. Limited english proficiency, primary language at home, and disparities in children’s health care: how language barriers are measured matters. *Public Health Rep.* 2005;120(4):418-430. doi:10.1177/003335490512000409
29. Williams RG. Transitioning youth with congenital heart disease from pediatric to adult health care. *J Pediatr.* 2015;166(1):15-19. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2014.09.054
30. Wray J, Maynard L. Specialist cardiac services: what do young people want? *Cardiol Young.* 2008;18(6):569-574. doi:10.1017/S104795110800317X
31. Heery E, Sheehan AM, While AE, Coyne I. Experiences and Outcomes of Transition from Pediatric to Adult Health Care Services for Young People with Congenital Heart Disease: A Systematic Review. *Congenit Heart Dis.* 2015;10(5):413-427. doi:10.1111/chd.12251
32. Moceri P, Goossens E, Hascoet S, et al. From adolescents to adults with congenital heart disease: the role of transition. *Eur J Pediatrics*. 2015;174:847-854. doi:10.1007/s00431-015-2557-x
33. Banerjee R, Patel MS. Adults with Congenital Heart Disease: The Critical Transition from Pediatric to Adult Care. *JCOM.* 2018;25(10):467-478. doi:jcom02510467

Table 1: Participant Characteristics			
Patient Characteristics (N=37)		**Clinician Characteristics (N=17)**	
Age, years		**Clinician Role**	
Median Age (25th percentile, 75th percentile)	32 (25,38)	Pediatric Cardiologist	3 (18%)
Age Range	20-56	Adult CHD Cardiologist	7 (41%)
Gender Identity		Nurse Practitioner	4 (24%)
Women	21 (57%)	Allied Health Professional	3 (18%)
Men	15 (40%)	**Geographic Location**	
Non-Binary	1 (3%)	Northeast	1 (6%)
Race/Ethnicity*		Southeast	0 (0%)
Alaska Native or Native American	1 (3%)	Midwest	2 (12%)
Asian	7 (19%)	Southwest	1 (6%)
Black/African American	5 (14%)	West (not including UCSF Clinicians)	4 (24%)
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1 (3%)	UCSF Clinicians	9 (52%)
Hispanic/Latino	9 (24%)		
White	13 (35%)		
Other**	2 (5%)		
Language			
Monolingual English	26 (70%)		
Monolingual Spanish	4 (11%)		
Bilingual (English + another language)***	7 (19%)		
Geographic Location			
Northeast	2 (5%)		
Southeast	3 (8%)		
Midwest	2 (5%)		
Southwest	2 (5%)		
West (not including UCSF Patients)	1 (3%)		
UCSF Patients	27 (73%)		
Highest level of education			
Some high school, no diploma	5 (14%)		
High school graduate/GED/alternative	5 (14%)		
Some college, no degree	5 (14%)		
Bachelor's degree	13 (35%)		
Graduate/professional degree	8 (22%)		
Decline to answer	1 (3%)		
Estimated annual household/family income			
Under $20,000	4 (11%)		
$20,001 - $40,000	4 (11%)		
$40,001 - $60,000	3 (8%)		
$60,001 - $80,000	3 (8%)		
$80,001 - $100,000	2 (5%)		

Legend
Numbers represent the frequency (percentage) of participants, unless specified

Abbreviations: GED= General Educational Development (high school equivalency test); CHD= Congenital Heart Disease

*Multiracial individuals were counted for each racial/ethnic identity that they selected

**Includes those who described their race/ethnicity in a way not listed in the survey or declined to answer

***As determined by individuals who mentioned being bilingual during their interview. Not explicitly collected via survey data; therefore, is likely to be an underestimation.

$100,001 +	10 (27%)
Decline to answer	11 (30%)
Prior Gaps in Care	
Yes (3 years or more)	13 (35%)
No	24 (65%)
CHD Complexity	
Complex or Moderate	18 (49%)
Simple	13 (35%)
Unknown	6 (16%)

Table 2: Determinants for ACHD Specialized Care with Illustrative Quotes	
Categories and subcategories of determinants	**Illustrative Quotes**
Healthcare System and Institutional Structure	
Insurance: -Navigation -Access	"the ER doctor came in and [said], 'Sir, if your daughter's on Medi-Cal, you need to get her better insurance. She needs heart surgery right now...'- Female Patient in their 30s
Affordability and Understanding of Health Costs	"I think that was the part that made me more nervous than the actual transition to adult care was, more just the, 'Can I afford this?' I need to afford it. It's my health. It's important. I have to make it work. But it was more of, 'How am I going to do this?'" - Female Patient in their 30s
Geographic Location: -Distance to Care -Resources within the local ACHD center and surrounding community	"We cover about five different states and we're the only ACHD program in our entire health system…So, the geographical challenges are many…We service a largely rural community and have patients who travel all over…it can be difficult when they live in a rural location. They don't have the means or the understanding that they need to see us regularly"- ACHD Cardiologist
Provider Availability: -Amount of ACHD Providers -PCP Access -Administrative Logistics	"Location and availability of ACHD providers. There is only a few hundred ACHD centers that are ACHA accredited and have more than one ACHD provider working…If you have to drive several hundred miles to get to an ACHD provider, that's gonna be a huge barrier. It's time, it's cost, it's energy"- ACHD Cardiologist
Physical and Cultural Set-Up of Pediatric and Adult Services	"The other thing with adult world that we've seen is someone will call the family or patient three times, and if the patient doesn't respond, they close the referral, which, we can call our patients 20 times just to get them into a clinic visit. We don't close the referral. We don't close their access to care, and I think that the adult model is very different than the pediatric model. We do a lot of handholding, but it really is support." - Nurse Practitioner
Medical Records: -Records not synched between various organizations	"it's very important that we have our records accessible. Like right now – I had surgery at children's hospital 47 years ago. You will never get those records, and there are pieces of those records that they actually need now to answer question that they have about my valve, but they'll never get those answers." - Female Patient in their 50s
Changing Healthcare Policies	"it seems to me as if it's always changing from privacy rules and how information is shared across other physicians." - Male Patient in their 50s
COVID-19: -Hesitancy to attend in-person visits prolonging routine follow up -Increase in telehealth and virtual care	"I would have had a third open-heart surgery like four years ago. And COVID happened so it delayed it"- Male Patient in their 30s
ACHD as a Field: -Provider reimbursement -Research into ACHD -Familiarity with ACHD Among Outside Specialties	"The learning curve is steep. And then at the end of all of it, you don't get paid any more than a general cardiologist…And you're non-invasive. You're not a proceduralist who can make money for the institution and bill higher RVUs for procedures."- ACHD Cardiologist

Knowledge and Education	
Knowledge: -of Heart Condition -of How to Navigate the System (i.e., who to call) -of Future Expectations	"I'm sometimes not able to really explain or know the name of my heart condition. I don't know the extreme of how complicated it is. As far as the medicine…sometimes I forget, and sometimes I need reminders as to why I have to continue the medicine and the purpose of it."- Female Patient in their 30s
Formal Transition Program/Provision of Transition Education	"I did a Zoom thing with her, and…she helped me transition. She was like, 'This is what we're doing, and here's your new doctor.' …She's like, "Oh, what's your job? Or what are you studying to be? And make sure the job you have has the good health insurance.'…it was basically like an introduction Zoom meeting of 'Now, you're an adult, and she's here to help me…transition into the adult sector.'" - Male Patient in their 20s
Formal Hand Off from Pediatric to Adult Providers	"I can speak to what I've seen that has worked…You have them meet with the team at one appointment…Something where you can introduce the provider – like, the patient's current provider can introduce the other provider they'll be seeing"- ACHD Cardiologist
Personal Development	
Personal Curiosity	"I think we just had a personal interest, to be honest…having a clinical interest in looking at results. Like when I have questions, I'm not asking like, 'Is everything okay?'…I would ask legit questions, like data and numbers, and whatnot. And I think it's just a personal interest"- Female Patient in their 30s
Self-Driven/Self-Regulating/Self-Motivated	"it takes a unique patient, it takes a unique attitude, and you have to be really relentless to want to navigate the healthcare system. You can't do it passively. You have to be very active in doing it."- Allied Health Professional
Self-Advocacy	"Reading material online is basically the only way I could really help advocate for myself. It's the only way I've been able to advocate for myself with my doctors" - Female Patient in their 30s
Comfortability with Change	"Just the comfort [in transitioning]. The ease of not having to change the easily accessible pediatric doctor, but they're not aware that cardiologists for adults, the accessibility is also there. I guess that's it. Their comfort level." - ACHD Cardiologist
Getting Older and Wiser	"I guess I grew up. And I finally realized what I have to do in life and have to grow up now. I have a situation. And I have to really deal with it and be a man about it and just grow up" - Male Patient in their 20s
Sources of Support	
Familial Support: -Emotional Support -Resources	"my mom took me, and she helped me fill out the papers and all that." - Female Patient in their 20s
Parental Transfer of Responsibility	"I see a lot of times where our parents – the parents of kids with ACHD – are almost too involved in care in adolescence…Many times, questions are asked of the patients and the parents answers. And then, unfortunately they do this all the time, and the patient ends up growing into adulthood and not

	really having a full understanding of what's going on with their heart condition."- ACHD Cardiologist
Family as a Motivator	"My kids inspire me. I want to be with them when I'm old." - Male Patient in their 30s
Peer/Community Support	"I looked into that and saw that they had this peer mentor program. And I thought, well, that might be really good to just talk with someone who's gone through something similar at least, surgery as an adult. So, I reached out and got paired with someone."- Female Patient in their 30s
Faith	"And so, with prayer and stuff, just with, I would say honestly God is the one that healed me"-Female Patient in their 20s
Provider-Patient Attachment	"initially I didn't wanna go to the doctors. And now, I feel that I have a connection with the new doctors that I know" - Male Patient in their 30s
Identity and Personal Resources	
Race, Ethnicity, or Culture	"I actually like the diversity at the front desk and the staff. It makes me feel comfortable… It just feels good to be welcomed by a variety of different people"- Female Patient in their 30s
Gender	"It was really important for me to have a woman provider, because I have had male providers, and I just did not have good experiences with them listening to me." - Female Patient in their 40s
Language	"when I went as a child NYU would provide an interpreter for the parents and so I guess that was pretty useful in that I didn't have to deal with the translating." - Female Patient in their 20s
Comorbidities	"I have PTSD and OCD from an unrelated thing. And I think that I just struggle with anxiety and tasks that give me anxiety. Especially the whole thing where I was getting sicker and sicker and dealing with insurance." - Female Patient in their 30s
Socioeconomic Status	"The ones who express an understanding, what their heart conditions are – at least the ones who I think higher percentage-wise, are gainfully employed. And even if they lose jobs, they're getting another job." - Pediatric Cardiologist
Alcohol or Substance Use	"I do self-medicate with methamphetamines, but that is because I have not been able to get my ADHD medication. And all my doctors are telling me what I'm using is what's causing all my symptoms, and they're not reassuring me of anything." - Female Patient in their 30s
Housing Status	"for a while, I was homeless, and that was affecting my ability to maintain appointments." - Female Patient in their 30s
Transportation	"I don't drive. He's the one that actually does the driving for me to the clinics for the appointments. So, I would have to be with him or let him know like, 'Hey, I have an appointment this day. Is that okay or do you want me to reschedule it?'" - Male Patient in their 30s
Childcare for Appointments	"whether it's finding out of they need rides to come and see us, finding out if they need childcare to come and see us, things that could help them find the time or ability to come and have better access to our center" - ACHD Cardiologist

Time off for Medical Care	" my parents had to take off work. Sometimes they wouldn't get paid for it, to take me to appointments." - Female Patient in their 20s
ACHD's Placement in One's Life	
CHD (Health) Status	"I just feel like maybe partially they feel like they've done a lot of procedures, they're well for a certain amount of years, and then they just feel okay. And then they don't come back…I think maybe a part of it is denial. Just not wanting to be sick anymore. Wanting to be normal." - Nurse Practitioner
Anxiety of Stress Surrounding Condition	"So, I have an anxiety disorder. Which as a kid I didn't really have. So, it's harder as an adult because I'm dealing with stress around it that I didn't have as a kid." - Male Patient in their 30s
Additional Priorities or Responsibilities	"I think there was probably a time there when I was down in Southern California where I just let gaps occur just because I was starting a career, doing a lot of things." - Male Patient in their 50s

Table 3: Summary of Engagement Determinants, Application of TDF and COM-B, and Proposed Intervention Goals			
Stakeholder Examples of Determinants for Engagement	**TDF Domain *(definition)***	**COM-B**	**COM-B+TDF based intervention goals *(examples)***
	Capability		
Several patient stakeholders reported uncertainty about the state of their heart condition and what to expect moving forward	Knowledge *(An awareness of the existence of something)*	Psychological Capability	Education *(Increase knowledge and understanding of CHD)*
Patients noted confusion on who to follow up with or who to call to get answers to their questions			
Clinicians worried that too much parental involvement would leave young adults without the skills necessary to engage	Cognitive and Interpersonal Skills *(An ability or proficiency acquired through practice)*		Training *(Imparting patients with the skills to navigate the health system and plan health appointments)*
Allied health staff found it difficult to support patients who had not previously practiced scheduling an appointment			Modeling *(Providing an example for people to aspire to or imitate)*
Some patients noted it was hard to remember what they should be doing for their heart if they didn't have symptoms or weren't actively thinking about it	Memory, Attention and Decision Processes *(The ability to retain information, focus selectively on aspects of the environment, and choose between two or more alternatives)*		
A few clinicians worried about the effect of stress from a lifelong illness on the ability to manage care	Behavior Regulation *(Anything aimed at managing or changing objectively observed or measured actions)*		Education *(Increasing knowledge or understanding of mental health issues)*
Clinicians worried that too much parental involvement would leave young adults without the skills necessary to engage	Physical Skills *(An ability or proficiency acquired through practice)*	Physical Capability	Restriction *(Using rules to reduce the opportunity for sole clinician-caregiver interaction and encourage direct clinician-patient communication)*
	Opportunity		
Patients whose parents always stressed having a cardiologist	Social Influences	Social Opportunity	Persuasion

understood the importance of maintaining care. Spouses or family members were the ones who suggested finding care after a long gap	*(Those interpersonal processes that can cause individuals to change their thoughts, feelings, or behaviors)*		*(Family/social support to induce positive or negative feelings or stimulate action to seek ACHD care)* Modeling *(Peers to aspire to or imitate)*
Being involved in advocacy organizations such as ACHA helped reinforce the importance of specialized care			
Clinicians emphasized how ACHD care was concentrated in urban academic centers	Environmental Context and Resources *(Any circumstances of a persons' situation or environment that discourages or encourages the development of skills and abilities, independence, social competence, and adaptive behavior)*	Physical Opportunity	Environmental restructuring *(Enhancing opportunities for ACHD satellite clinics in remote areas or expanding ACHD care centers)* *Training* *(Imparting skills to navigate insurance)* *Education* *(Increasing knowledge on how to find ACHD specialists and communicate with them)*
Patients who moved often found difficulty in establishing care in a new environment			
Insurance status and navigating health costs were huge barriers to engagement by both clinicians and patients			
Patients cited difficulty in finding an ACHD specialist and administrative logistics of making an appointment with them			
	Motivation		
Family and community was a motivator for patients	Reinforcement *(Increasing the probability of a response by arranging a dependent relationship, or contingency, between the response and a given stimulus)*	Automatic Motivation	Modeling *(Family values as an example for people to aspire to or imitate)* Incentivization *(Stronger relationships in the community and with clinicians as a reward to be engaged in their ACHD care)*
Some patients expressed a strong bond with their clinicians influencing their desire to keep up with appointments	Emotions *(A complex reaction pattern, involving experiential, behavioral, and physiological elements, by which the individual attempts to deal with a personally*		
Pediatric clinicians noted it was sometimes hard to build anticipatory engagement for adult services due to a changing clinician relationship			Environmental Restructuring *(Changing the pediatric-adult CHD systems to build higher trust)*

	significant matter or event)		
Relationships with CHD varied among patients based on the state of their health and additional responsibilities they had in life	Social/Professional Role and Identity *(A coherent set of behaviors and displayed personal qualities of an individual in a social or work setting)*	Reflective Motivation	Modeling *(Providing an example for people to aspire to or imitate)* Enablement *(Increasing means/reducing barriers to increase capability – beyond education and training – or opportunity – beyond environmental restructuring)*
Patients with supportive parents felt ready to take on managing their care in adulthood	Beliefs about Capabilities *(Acceptance of the truth, reality, or validity about an ability, talent, or facility that a person can put to constructive use)*		
Patients who had to take on early management of their condition (e.g., due to a parental language barrier) felt comfortable navigating the health system as an adult			
Many clinicians worried that patients wouldn't follow up with adult care because they thought they were cured, or they had no current symptoms	Beliefs about Consequences *(Acceptance of the truth, reality, or validity about outcomes of a behavior in a given situation)*		Education *(Increasing knowledge or understanding about importance of lifelong care)* Persuasion *(Using communication to induce positive or negative feelings towards outcomes of maintaining lifelong long)*
Patients who reported undergoing a formal transition education or hand off to an adult provider expressed comfortability in moving to the adult system	Optimism *(The confidence that things will happen for the best of that desired goals will be attained)*		Enablement *(structured process to enhance the means or reduce barriers to accessing appropriate resources and care)*
For some patients, anxiety or stress reduced optimism about the future of their health			
Several patients noted a desire for independence at a young age driving them to self-manage their care and be engaged	Intentions *(A conscious decision to perform a behavior or a resolve to act in a certain way)*		Incentivization *(Creating an expectation of reward around individual goals*

Some patients expressed a desire to push their physical limits, while others had goals to avoid active symptoms or threats of bad things happening	Goals *(Mental representations of outcomes or end states that an individual wants to achieve)*		*(e.g. independence, avoiding complications, etc.))* Modeling *(Peer support and stories as examples for people to aspire to or imitate)*