A novel loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) diagnostic test for detecting *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhi directly in raw milk

Authors: Hyuck-Jin Seo, Ansh Patel, Timothy E. Riedel

Freshman Research Initiative, DIY Diagnostics Lab, College of Natural Sciences, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, United States of America

Keywords: raw milk, LAMP, *Salmonella*, field test, Typhi

Abstract

Milk-transmitted outbreaks remain a significant issue in low-income countries due to unhygienic practices. There are no known easily accessible and cheap diagnostic tests that can detect *S.* Typhi in raw milk samples at high specificity, sensitivity, and speed without preprocessing. Using Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) we detected a previously identified target gene, STY2879, as low as 10^3 copies of DNA/μL in 25% diluted raw milk samples. The user-friendly LAMP system has a colorimetric readout and potential for use in remote and low-resource settings where *S.* Typhi is a problem.

1. Introduction

Salmonella enterica serotype Typhi (*S.* Typhi) is a highly pathogenic bacterium that is host-restricted to humans. It is responsible for typhoid fever, primarily associated with general flu-like symptoms, including fever, headache, malaise, abdominal pain, and, in severe cases, death. Globally, in 2017, S. Typhi infected about 10.9 million people and caused nearly 120,000 deaths (Meiring et al., 2023). Transmission occurs upon ingestion of contaminated food or water, and in particular, unpasteurized raw milk was found to be greatly associated with *S.* Typhi (de Klerk & Robinson, 2022; Meiring et al., 2023).

In developed and high-income countries (HICs), the burden of global milk-borne outbreaks is low, as almost all milk is pasteurized and maintained under food safety standards (Grace et al., 2020). Conversely, in low-income countries (LICs) such as Kenya, Ethiopia, and other East African countries, the burden of milk-borne outbreaks remains high. Farmers were found to lack adequate training on milk safety standards, and milking conditions were unhygienic as the majority did not engage in teat dipping, used plastic containers, and used untreated water (Nyokabi et al., 2021). Furthermore, pastoral communities in such LICs were strongly opposed to participating in pasteurization practices due to a misconception that pasteurization will deplete the milk of its nutrients. As a result, pastoralists were largely found to consume unpasteurized raw milk from markets and directly from animal udders (Amenu et al., 2019).

Currently, there are no easily accessible and cheap diagnostic tests that can detect *S.* Typhi in raw milk samples at high specificity, sensitivity, and speed. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) is a highly effective nucleic acid amplification system that can overcome such shortcomings (Notomi et al., 2000). While similar to PCR, LAMP reactions do not require thermocyclers and can be carried out with simply a constant temperature water bath. LAMP reactions use four to six primers within a reaction that allow for a fast amplification time while

maintaining a high level of specificity. This makes LAMP a strong candidate for diagnostic applications in developing and low-income countries (LICs) with limited resources (Chakraborty, 2024). In addition, a ready-to-use colorimetric LAMP system (New England Biolabs, Ipswitch, MA, USA) is available that allows for easy end-user color-change interpretation. In this study, we evaluate the performance of the novel LAMP primer set HJS-35-6 in the NEB System for detecting the unique target STY2879 gene of *S.* Typhi.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Target Gene

We adopted the STY2879 gene as the target gene in our study. STY2879 was previously identified with real-time PCR to be highly specific to only *S.* Typhi strains. The gene amplified in the presence of all *S.* Typhi strains and did not amplify in the presence of non-typhoidal strains of *Salmonella* and other febrile illness-causing pathogens (Fan et al., 2015).

2.2 Primer sets and positive control sequence

We tested two published LAMP primer sets from previous studies on the detection of *Salmonella* Typhi using LAMP (Abdullah et al., 2015; Fan et al., 2015) which will be referred to as ABD and FAN, respectively. We also designed 15 new primer sets specific to STY2879 using the NEB LAMP Primer Design Tool (New England Biolabs, Ver. 1.4.2). HJS-13-6 was found to be the most effective in detecting the target sequence (Table 1). The DNA sequence of the STY2879 target gene along with 20-30 additional base pairs upstream and downstream was used in the primer design tool and also as the positive control sequence for this study (Table 2).

Table 1. ABD, FAN, and HJS-13-6 LAMP primers reported in the 5’ to 3’ direction.

	Abdullah et al.	**Fan et al.**	**HJS-13-6**
F3	TCTGGCACTCCTGTGCCTT	GCCAAATTGTTTGACGAGA	GAGATGATCACATCATCCATAC
B3	GCTCAAGACGAGAAACAGG	CTGTAAGAAACTTGTCCCATAG	TATTCTCAAGCGCGGGAA
FIP	TAGAAATAGTAGAGTCAGGTTTTTGCTTTTGCAGGTATTGTGG	TACTACGCCGATTGAACAAACATTGATCACATCATCCATAAACACA	GCAACCCTCTGCTTAGATAAAAGTT-ACACACACTTAGCTTGAGATC
BIP	TTGCCTTATCTAATACAAGTTTTTGTAAAAGGTGGTTTGCTCT	CTAAGCAGAGGGTTGCAAGTATTCCACATAAGCATGTCCTCC	TTGGAGATTGAACAAAACCAAAAGG-CATTTTCAACTGTAAGAAACTTGTC
LF (Loop Forward)	ATGAAAAATGACGCGAGTT	None	TACTACGCCGATTGAACAAACATCA
LB (Loop Backward)	GTTGATCCTTTCAGTAAGG	None	AGGACATGCTTATGTGGACTATGG

Table 2. STY2879 target gene (in bold) along with 5 additional base pairs upstream and 58 additional base pairs downstream are reported in the 5' to 3' direction.

	Sequence
STY2879	ATTGG**GCCAAATTGTTTGACGAGATGATCACATCATCCATACAC ACACACTTAGCTTGAGATCATGATGTTTGTTCAATCGGCGTAGT AAGCTACCAAAATAACTTTTATCTAAGCAGAGGGTTGCAAGTAT TGGAGATTGAACAAAACCAAAAGGGAGGACATGCTTATGTGG ACTATGGGACAAGTTTCTTACAG**TTGAAAATGTTGAGATTTCCC GCGCTTGAGAATAGGTGAAGTAGCTCTTTAGATCACG

2.3 Colorimetric LAMP assay construction

We utilized a colorimetric LAMP system as a qualitative measure for the amplification of the target DNA sequence (New England BioLabs, Ipswich MA, USA, cat. # M1800L). These reactions change color from pink to yellow due to pH changes resulting from DNA amplification. In 0.2 mL tubes, 25 µL reactions were constructed with the following: 12.5 µL of NEB 2X Colorimetric Master Mix (contains all necessary ingredients for colorimetric LAMP except primers and template), 8 µL molecular grade water, and 2.5 µL of a 10X mix of all primers for a final primer concentrations of 0.2 µM F3 and B3, 1.6 µM FIP and BIP, and 0.4 µM Loop Forward and Loop Backward. The above components bring the volume of each reaction tube up to 23 µL (Table 3). Two additional microliters are added before incubation and are described below.

For non-template negative controls (NTC), the final two microliters were used to inoculate reactions with a sample matrix, either molecular biology grade water (Corning, Manassas VA, USA, 46-000-CM), 25% V/V diluted cow's whole milk, or 25% V/V diluted cow's raw milk. Dilutions were performed on the milk matrices with molecular biology grade water on the same day as the experimental runs.

For positive template controls (PTCs), the final two reaction microliters were used to inoculate reactions with synthetic dsDNA (gBlock, Integrated DNA Technologies) of the STY2879 target gene (Table 2). The dehydrated synthetic dsDNA was originally resuspended with Tris-EDTA buffer (Fisher BioReagents, pH 8.0) to a concentration of 10^{10} copies of DNA/µL and stored frozen at -20 °C. Serial dilutions were performed on the thawed and remixed 10^{10} copies of DNA/µL stock with molecular grade water to a final concentration of 10^5 or 10^3 copies of DNA/µL. To further validate the effectiveness of primer set HJS-13-6, the final two microliters were used to inoculate reactions with genomic DNA from a preparation of *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhi strain Ty2 (BEI Resources, NIAID, NIH: Genomic DNA from Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica, Strain Ty2 (Serovar Typhi), NR-543). The genomic DNA was resuspended with TE buffer to a concentration of 10^7 genome copies/µL and stored frozen at -20 °C in multiple aliquots. Serial dilutions were performed on a thawed aliquot of 10^7 copies of DNA/µL with molecular water to a final 10^3 copies of genome/µL concentration.

Table 3. Composition of primer mix.

Primer	10X Stock (μM)	1X Reaction Concentration (μM)
F3	2	0.2
B3	2	0.2
FIP	16	1.6
BIP	16	1.6
LF (Loop Forward)	4	0.4
LB (Loop Backward)	4	0.4

2.4 Incubating the LAMP reactions and scoring results

Strips of PCR tubes containing the reaction mixture were incubated in a laboratory water bath set to 65 °C. Reactions were scored every 10 minutes of incubation by removing the tubes from the incubator before photographing and returning them to the incubator, taking approximately 15 seconds. Reaction colors were scored with the unaided eye as either pink (P), indicating no amplification; orange (O), indicating intermediate levels of amplification; or yellow (Y), indicating full amplification until all of the reactions had turned yellow.

3. Results

3.1 Screening primer sets

To determine the most effective primer set in detecting the target gene STY2879, the times of negative control amplification for all of the primer sets were compared in a water matrix (Fig. 1). The primer sets with the longest negative control amplification time and the most uniform amplification among each control were primer sets HJS-13-6, HJS-13-3, and HJS-35. All three primer sets exhibited a negative control amplification time of 60 minutes.



Figure 1. Negative Control Amplification Times. Each bar in the chart represents a primer set tested in a water matrix using 10^5 copies of DNA/µL of the STY2879 gene. The red bars indicate previously published primer sets (Abdullah et al., 2015; Fan et al., 2015), and the blue bars represent custom-designed primer sets (this study, Table 1 and Table S1-S7).

Next, the differential time to threshold (DTT), defined as the time difference between negative control and positive control amplification, of the three primer sets were compared in a water matrix using the STY2879 gene as the positive control at a concentration of 10^5 copies of DNA/µL (Fig. 2). Primer set HJS-13-3 had a DTT of 20 minutes, primer set HJS-13-6 had the greatest DTT of 40 minutes, while primer set HJS-35 showed a DTT of 0 minutes. Primer set HJS-13-6 was the most effective in detecting the target gene STY2879 in a water matrix using the STY2879 gene as the positive control at a concentration of 10^5 copies of DNA/µL.



Figure 2. Differential Time to Thresholds (DTT). Columns represent primer sets tested in a water matrix using 10^5 copies of DNA/µL of the STY2879 gene.

3.2 Validation of Primer Set HJS-13-6

The effectiveness of primer set HJS-13-6 was further characterized in raw milk spiked with either the STY2879 gene used previously or with genomic DNA from a preparation of S. Typhi strain Ty2. When tested using the STY2879 gene as the positive control at a concentration of 10^5 copies of DNA/µL in a water matrix, primer set HJS-13-6 showed a DTT of 40 minutes. When spiking with a lower concentration of 10^3 copies of DNA/µL of the STY2879 gene, a DTT of 30 minutes was observed. When primer set HJS-13-6 was spiked with 25% diluted whole milk and 10^3 copies of DNA/µL of STY2879 gene, the DTT was 20 minutes. Changing the 25% diluted whole milk matrix to a 25% diluted raw milk matrix resulted in a DTT of 30 minutes (Table 4).

When tested using genomic DNA from a preparation of *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhi strain Ty2 as the positive control at a concentration of 10^3 copies of DNA/µL in a 25% diluted raw milk matrix, primer set HJS-13-6 showed a DTT of 30 minutes (Table 4).

Table 4. Performance of primer set primer set HJS-13-6 in different matrices, with different positive controls, and at different positive control concentrations.

	STY2879 gene 10^5 copies of DNA/µL in H2O				**STY2879 gene 10^3 copies of DNA/µL in H2O**				**STY2879 gene 10^3 copies of DNA/µL in 25% Diluted Whole Milk**				**STY2879 gene 10^3 copies of DNA/µL in 25% Diluted Raw Milk**				**Genomic DNA From Preparation of *S.* Typhi strain Ty2 10^3 copies of DNA/µL in 25% Diluted Raw Milk**			
Time (min)	**P1**	**P2**	**N1**	**N2**	**P1**	**P2**	**N1**	**N2**	**P1**	**P2**	**N1**	**N2**	**P1**	**P2**	**N1**	**N2**	**P1**	**P2**	**N1**	**N2**
10																				
20																				
30																				
40																				
50																				
60																				
70																				
80																				

An expanded trial in the same conditions also yielded a DTT of 30 minutes (Table 5).

Table 5. Expanded trial of performance of primer set HJS-13-6 using genomic DNA from a preparation of *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhi strain Ty2 as the positive control at a concentration of 10^3 copies of DNA/µL in a 25% diluted raw milk matrix.

Time (min)	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	P8	N1	N2	N3	N4	N5	N6	N7	N8
10																
20																
30																
40																
50																
60																

4. Discussion

In this study, we evaluated the effectiveness of 17 LAMP primer sets to detect the STY2879 gene of *S.* Typhi. Primer set HJS-13-6 was the most effective with respect to matrices, positive controls, and positive control concentrations (Table 6).

Table 6. Effectiveness of primer set HJS-13-6 in different matrices and with different sources/concentrations of STY2869.

Positive Control	Positive Control Concentration	Matrix	Differential Time to Threshold, DTT (min)
Synthetic STY2879	10^5 copies of DNA/µL	H_2O	40 ± 5
Synthetic STY2879	10^3 copies of DNA/µL	H_2O	30 ± 5
Synthetic STY2879	10^3 copies of DNA/µL	25% Diluted Whole Milk	20 ± 5
Synthetic STY2879	10^3 copies of DNA/µL	25% Diluted Raw Milk	30 ± 5
Genomic DNA From Preparation of *S.* Typhi strain Ty2	10^3 copies of DNA/µL	25% Diluted Raw Milk	30 ± 5

The ability of HJS-13-6 to quickly detect STY2879 in a variety of conditions (Table 6) warrants further examination to test the assay's specificity and ability to work with whole pathogens. Future work could focus on additional *Salmonella* strains and other common bacteria and pathogens that occur in raw milk such as *Streptococcus thermophilus, Lactococcus lactis, Campylobacter* spp.*, Escherichia coli., Listeria monocytogenes, Yersinia spp., Coxiella burnetii, Brucella spp., and Mycobacterium spp.*

While many components of this diagnostic system could use additional validation and optimization, we believe this study demonstrates a clear step towards creating a simple, cheap, and easy-to-use diagnostic for typhoid fever. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate a successful *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhi LAMP assay carried out in a raw milk matrix at a concentration of 2% V/V. Without optimization, we found the limit-of-detection to be 80 Ty2 genomes/0.5 µL of raw milk, or 1.6×10^5 Ty2 genomes/100 mL.

The HJS-13-16 LAMP assay is a first step in the development of a rapid S. typhi test for raw milk samples for before consumption. Due to their low resource demands, HJS-13-16 has the potential to reduce the burden of global milk-borne outbreaks of raw milk-consuming communities in LICs.

5. Acknowledgments

This work was made possible thanks to generous donations from Bob and Cathy O'Rear and New England Biolabs. We would like to acknowledge support from the UT Austin Ellington Lab (https://ellingtonlab.org/) and the community of students that make up the DIY Diagnostic FRI Research Lab (https://diystream.cns.utexas.edu/).

6. References (APA)

Abdullah, J., Saffie, N., Sjasri, F. A. R., Husin, A., Abdul-Rahman, Z., Ismail, A., Aziah, I., & Mohamed, M. (2015). Rapid detection of Salmonella Typhi by loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) method. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology, 45*(4), 1385–1391. https://doi.org/10.1590/s1517-83822014000400032

Amenu, K., Wieland, B., Szonyi, B., & Grace, D. (2019). Milk handling practices and consumption behavior among Borana pastoralists in southern Ethiopia. *Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition, 38*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41043-019-0163-7

Chakraborty, S. (2024). Democratizing nucleic acid-based molecular diagnostic tests for infectious diseases at resource-limited settings – from point of care to extreme point of care. *Sensors & Diagnostics, 3*. https://doi.org/10.1039/D3SD00304C

de Klerk, J. N., & Robinson, P. A. (2022). Drivers and hazards of consumption of unpasteurised bovine milk and milk products in high-income countries. *PeerJ, 10*, e13426. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.13426

Fan, F., Du, P., Kan, B., & Yan, M. (2015). The Development and Evaluation of a Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification Method for the Rapid Detection of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi. *PLOS ONE, 10*(4), e0124507. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124507

Grace, D., Wu, F., & Havelaar, A. H. (2020). MILK Symposium review: Foodborne diseases from milk and milk products in developing countries—Review of causes and health and economic implications. *Journal of Dairy Science, 103*(11), 9715–9729. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2020-18323

Meiring, J., Khanam, F., Buddha Basnyat, Charles, R. C., Crump, J. A., Frédéric Debellut, Holt, K. E., Kariuki, S., Mugisha, E., Neuzil, K. M., Parry, C. M., Pitzer, V. E., Pollard, A. J., Qadri, F., & Gordon, M. A. (2023). Typhoid fever. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers, 9*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-023-00480-z

New England Biolabs (n.d.). NEB LAMP Primer Design, Version 1.4.2. https://lamp.neb.com/#!/

Notomi, T., Okayama, H., Masubuchi, H., Yonekawa, T., Watanabe, K., Amino, N., & Hase, T. (2000). Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. *Nucleic acids research, 28*(12), E63. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.12.e63

Nyokabi, S., Luning, P. A., de Boer, I. J. M., Korir, L., Muunda, E., Bebe, B. O., Lindahl, J., Bett, B., & Oosting, S. J. (2021). Milk quality and hygiene: Knowledge, attitudes and practices of smallholder dairy farmers in central Kenya. *Food Control, 130*, 108303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2021.108303

7. Supplementary Materials

Table S1. HJS5 LAMP primers reported in the 5' to 3' direction.

	HJS5
F3	GAGATGATCACATCATCCATAC
B3	TATTCTCAAGCGCGGGAA
FIP	GCAACCCTCTGCTTAGATAAAAGTT-ACACACACTTAGCTTGAGATC
BIP	TTGGAGATTGAACAAAACCAAAAGG-CATTTTCAACTGTAAGAAACTTGTC
LF (Loop Forward)	None
LB (Loop Backward)	None

Table S2. HJS18 LAMP primers reported in the 5' to 3' direction.

	HJS18
F3	TCATCCATACACACACACTT
B3	TGATCTAAAGAGCTACTTCACC
FIP	GCAACCCTCTGCTTAGATAAAAGTTAGCTTGAGATCATGATGTTTGT
BIP	CAAAAGGGAGGACATGCTTATGTTATTCTCAAGCGCGGGAA
LF (Loop Forward)	None
LB (Loop Backward)	None

Table S3. HJS25 LAMP primers reported in the 5' to 3' direction.

	HJS25
F3	ACACACACTTAGCTTGAGA
B3	GAGCTACTTCACCTATTCTCA
FIP	GCAACCCTCTGCTTAGATAAAAGTCATGATGTTTGTTCAATCGGC
BIP	TTGGAGATTGAACAAAACCAAAAGGCATTTTCAACTGTAAGAAACTTGTC
LF (Loop Forward)	None
LB (Loop Backward)	None

Table S4. HJS35 LAMP primers reported in the 5' to 3' direction.

	HJS35
F3	TCCATACACACACACTTAGC
B3	AGCTACTTCACCTATTCTCAAG
FIP	CAATACTTGCAACCCTCTGCTTTCAATCGGCGTAGTAAGC
BIP	AGATTGAACAAAACCAAAAGGGAGGGGGAAATCTCAACATTTTCAACT
LF (Loop Forward)	None
LB (Loop Backward)	None

Table S5. HJS13 LAMP primers reported in the 5' to 3' direction.

	HJS13
F3	GAGATGATCACATCATCCATAC
B3	TATTCTCAAGCGCGGGAA
FIP	GCAACCCTCTGCTTAGATAAAAGTTACACACACTTAGCTTGAGATC
BIP	TTGGAGATTGAACAAAACCAAAAGGCATTTTCAACTGTAAGAAACTTGTC
LF (Loop Forward)	See Table 6
LB (Loop Backward)	See Table 7

Table S6. HJS13 LAMP loop forward primers reported in the 5’ to 3’ direction.

	LF (Loop Forward)
HJS13-0	None
HJS13-1	ACTACGCCGATTGAACAAACATCAT
HJS13-2	ACTACGCCGATTGAACAAACATCAT
HJS13-3	ACTACGCCGATTGAACAAACATCA
HJS13-4	ACTACGCCGATTGAACAAACATCA
HJS13-5	TACTACGCCGATTGAACAAACATCA
HJS13-7	GCTTACTACGCCGATTGAACAAACA
HJS13-8	GCTTACTACGCCGATTGAACAAACA
HJS13-9	GCTTACTACGCCGATTGAACAAAC
HJS13-10	GCTTACTACGCCGATTGAACAAAC

Table S7. HJS13 LAMP backward primers reported in the 5’ to 3’ direction.

	LB (Loop Backward)
HJS13-0	None
HJS13-1	GAGGACATGCTTATGTGGACTATGG
HJS13-2	AGGACATGCTTATGTGGACTATGG
HJS13-3	GAGGACATGCTTATGTGGACTATGG
HJS13-4	AGGACATGCTTATGTGGACTATGG
HJS13-5	GAGGACATGCTTATGTGGACTATGG
HJS13-7	GAGGACATGCTTATGTGGACTATGG
HJS13-8	AGGACATGCTTATGTGGACTATGG
HJS13-9	GAGGACATGCTTATGTGGACTATGG
HJS13-10	AGGACATGCTTATGTGGACTATGG