From wastewater to GIS-based reporting: the ANNA-WES data model for reliable biomarker tracking in wastewater and environmental surveillance

Anna-Sonia Kau[1#], Anna Uchaikina[1#], Alexander Graf[2], Christine Walzik[1], Alexander Mitranescu[1], Lisa Falk[3], Mohammad Shehryaar Khan[1], Claudia Stange[4], Johannes Ho[4], Katalyn Roßmann[5], Ingo Michels[6], Nathan Obermaier[7], Cristina J. Saravia[7], Susanne Rost[8], Thorsten Portain[9], Jürgen Demeter[10], Christopher Becker[10], Martina Füchsle[10], Fabienne Kaymaz-Ried[10], Alexander Klaus[11], Tobias Ziegler[3], Katharina Springer[3], Melissa Hohl[3], Peter-Louis Plaumann[3], Annemarie Bschorer[3], Stefanie Huber[3], Patrick Dudler[3], Andreas Tiehm[4], Jörg E. Drewes*[1], Christian Wurzbacher*[1]

1: Chair of Urban Water Systems Engineering, Technical University of Munich, Am Coulombwall 3, 85748 Garching, Germany

2: Laboratory for Functional Genome Analysis (LAFUGA), Dept. Genomics, Gene Centre, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München (LMU), 81377 Munich, Germany

3: Bavarian Health and Food Safety Authority, Veterinärstraße 2, 85764 Oberschleißheim, Germany

4: Department of Water Microbiology, TZW: DVGW-Technologiezentrum Wasser, Karlsruher Straße 84, 76139 Karlsruhe, Germany

5: Medical Intelligence & Information (MI2), Surveillance & Multinationaler Force Health Protection Nexus, Sanitätsakademie der Bundeswehr, Munich, Germany

6: Esri Deutschland GmbH, Ringstraße 7, 85402 Kranzberg

7: Wastewater Technology Research, Wastewater Disposal, German Environment Agency, Corrensplatz 1, 14195 Berlin

8: Public Health Department, County of Augsburg, Prinzregentenplatz 4; 86150 Augsburg, Germany

9: Public Health Department, County of Berchtesgadener Land, Salzburger Straße 64; 83435 Bad Reichenhall, Germany

10: Public Works Department Augsburg, Klärwerkstraße 10, 86154 Augsburg, Germany

11: Health Departement of Munich, Gesundheitsreferat (GSR), Geschäftsbereich Gesundheitsplanung, Abteilung Daten und Evaluation, GSR-GP-DE, Bayerstr. 28a, 80335 München

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work and share first authorship.

*Corresponding authors: Prof. Dr. J.E. Drewes (jdrewes@tum.de); Dr. C. Wurzbacher (christian@wurzbacher.cc)

Abstract

Since the COVID-19 pandemic, wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) has emerged as a useful additional diagnostic tool for public health management. For rapid reporting of results and an automated implementation of WBE as a monitoring tool, a digital work flow of data is crucial. Here, we present the Automated Network for Normalization, Analysis, and Visualization of Wastewater and Environmental Surveillance (ANNA-WES) – a comprehensive workflow integrating GIS-based data entry, Python-driven data processing, and ArcGIS-supported visualization. ANNA-WES streamlines data transfer between wastewater treatment plant operators, decision-makers, and the public while ensuring harmonized data processing for transferability, precise georeferencing of index cases, and near real-time SARS-CoV-2 biomarker reporting. To enhance data reliability, we embedded an unsupervised quality control algorithm that filters outliers based on gene ratios, surrogate viruses, water quality parameters, and theoretical reproductive value thresholds. Designed for scalability, ANNA-WES integrates into public dashboards and can be combined with regional or national health data, providing a robust decision-support system for infectious disease surveillance. The workflow is adaptable to various pathogens or biomarkers, advancing WBE as a continuous, quality-controlled public health monitoring tool.

Keywords

SARS-CoV-2; wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE); wastewater and environmental surveillance (WES); dashboard; public health; geographic information system (GIS); open data

Highlights:

- Digitized wastewater-based epidemiology system for automated and immediate display of results
- Automated quality control algorithm based on metadata and epidemiological considerations
- Intersection of SARS-CoV-2 public health data with wastewater service areas

56 **Abbreviations**

57 ANNA-WES	Automated Network for Normalization, Analysis, and Visualization of 58 Wastewater and Environmental Surveillance
59 CI	Confidence Interval
60 COD	Chemical oxygen demand
61 COVID-19	Coronavirus disease 2019
62 GIS	Geographic information system
63 IQR	Interquartile Range
64 LOD	Limit of Detection
65 LOF	Local Outlier Factor
66 LOQ	Limit of Quantification
67 ML	Machine learning
68 PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
69 dPCR	Digital polymerase chain reaction
70 ddPCR	Digital-droplet polymerase chain reaction
71 qPCR	Quantitative polymerase chain reaction
72 RF	Random Forest
73 RT-qPCR	Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction
74 SARS-CoV-2	Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2
75 SSQN	SARS-CoV-2 sewage quality control and normalization
76 RKI	Robert Koch-Institute
77 RNA	Ribonucleic acid
78 R-value	Reproduction value
79 QC	Quality control
80 QGS	Qualified grab samples
81 WBE	Wastewater-based epidemiology
82 WES	Wastewater and environmental surveillance

WHO	World Health Organization
WWTP	Wastewater treatment plant

1. Introduction

Emerging in late 2019, the SARS-CoV-2 virus spread across the globe, challenging health systems worldwide with approximately 772 million infections and 7 million recorded deaths as of October 2024 (WHO, 2024). To prevent health system collapse, effective tracking of SARS-CoV-2 cases and early detection of new infection surges became essential for public health management. Since wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) had already proven effective as an early warning system for poliovirus (Cowger et al., 2017; Kopel et al., 2014; Paul et al., 1940; WHO, 2003), different research groups considered whether SARS-CoV-2 can be monitored in wastewater. Early trials during the emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic demonstrated the successful detection and quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA sequences in wastewater across several countries, including the Netherlands (Lodder & Roda Husman, 2020; Medema et al., 2020a), Australia (Ahmed et al., 2020), Italy (La Rosa et al., 2021), Spain (Chavarria-Miró et al., 2021; Llanos et al., 2022), Germany (Ho et al., 2021), and the USA (Sherchan et al., 2020). Recognized as a valuable diagnostic tool, WBE provided critical complementary information for public health decision-making by revealing infection trends within sewer service areas, independent of clinical testing (EU, 2021). It was deployed on both small (Karthikeyan et al., 2021; Llanos et al., 2022; Roßmann et al., 2022; Deng et al., 2022) and large scales (Amman et al., 2022; Daleiden et al., 2022; Jmii et al., 2021; Medema et al., 2020b; Pillay et al., 2021; Keshaviah et al., 2021).

During the COVID-19 pandemic, effective sharing of SARS-CoV-2 infection data became crucial to foster stakeholder engagement and increase public acceptance of lockdown and containment measures (Naughton et al., 2023b). To enhance accessibility and improve public health communication, user-friendly dashboards were developed as effective tools for sharing data (Kamel Boulos & Geraghty, 2020). Initially, these dashboards focused on clinical COVID-19 data at global (JHU, 2023; WHO, 2024) or national levels (e. g., France (Santé Publique France, 2023), Germany (RKI, 2023b)), while WBE data was often shared only via web-based platforms (CDC, 2024; New York State, 2025; RIVM, 2024; UKHSA, 2022). Over time, dashboards evolved to incorporate SARS-CoV-2 wastewater surveillance data from regional initiatives (San Diego (SEARCH, 2025), New York (Hill et al., 2023), county of Berchtesgadener Land (Landratsamt Berchtesgadener Land, 2022), county of Augsburg (Presse Augsburg, 2023)), as well as from national efforts (South Africa (SAMCR, 2023), New Zealand (ESR, 2024), Belgium (Sciensano, 2023), Sweden (SciLifeLab & Pathogens Sweden, 2025), United States (Adams et al., 2024; CDC, 2024)). While some of these dashboards are no longer active, platforms like COVIDPoops (UC, 2025) and Wastewater Sphere (Global Water Pathogens

Project, 2025) provide comprehensive overviews of global wastewater monitoring efforts. However, many WBE sampling locations, numbering in the hundreds, continued to collect wastewater data without integrating it into online dashboards (Naughton et al., 2023a).

Despite the usefulness of these dashboards in sharing data effectively, the rapid development of region-specific solutions created new challenges. Early frameworks for processing and presenting WBE data were introduced during the COVID-19 pandemic (Gonzalez et al., 2020; Mitranescu et al., 2022; Rauch et al., 2022), as local health authorities utilized SARS-CoV-2 WBE research findings to evaluate pandemic dynamics. Region-specific solutions had to be quickly developed to alleviate the manual workload for crisis response teams, who were often overwhelmed by the need to produce weekly reports (Roßmann et al., 2022).

However, the lack of harmonization across these systems increased the risk of misinterpretation, especially among stakeholders less familiar with the data structures (Naughton et al., 2023a). Larger health authorities at the state and national level faced additional challenges in comparing and assessing cross-regional data due to discrepancies in units and scales for biomarker concentration, data presentation (e.g., bar charts, line charts, tables, maps), and types of data included (e.g., clinical case data, hospitalization and mortality rates, variant monitoring) (Keshaviah et al., 2021; Naughton et al., 2023a; Ciannella et al., 2023; Adams et al., 2024). Furthermore, having data scattered across reports, local web pages, and unpublished dashboards makes valuable surveillance information harder to find (MacDonald et al., 2017), underscoring the need for globally harmonized data sharing methods and workflows in WBE processes.

To improve the practical application of WBE, data models should incorporate harmonized strategies for data entry, automated processing, cloud-based storage, and easy-to-read visuals (Kirby et al., 2021; Mendoza et al., 2023; Morgan, 2019). Real-time reporting and feedback loops are also essential, ensuring that WBE results can support rapid public health responses during a pandemic (Dong et al., 2020; Morgan, 2019). Additionally, aligning sewer service areas with boundaries of political jurisdictions is essential for accurate, population-specific comparisons, particularly in regions with decentralized systems (like septic tanks) where sewer systems do not cover the whole city population (Street et al., 2020) or when sampling represents only specific city districts. Discrepancies between sewer service areas and political boundaries can lead to inaccuracies in correlating wastewater data with clinical case numbers, which are typically reported by political jurisdictions (Castiglioni et al., 2013; Lai et al., 2011; Thomas et al., 2017; Tscharke et al., 2019).

Another challenge in wastewater surveillance is the significant variance observed in the results themselves due to their complex nature. Key contributing factors include (a) individual differences in virus shedding rates influenced by infection status and virus variants, (b) population dynamics, (c)

151 sewer system impacts due to different discharges within the service area, (d) travel time and
152 degradation processes in the sewer, (e) dilution effects from precipitation or non-municipal
153 wastewater, (f) variability introduced during sampling and laboratory protocols, and (g) data
154 evaluation methods. Together, these factors can result in variations in biomarker concentrations,
155 challenging reliable infection trend interpretation.

156 Many research groups have investigated individual factors contributing to these fluctuations,
157 focusing on optimizing and standardizing key components essential for WBE, such as laboratory
158 procedures for RNA extraction and quantification (Ciannella et al., 2023; Oyervides-Muñoz et al.,
159 2024; Pecson et al., 2021), statistical correlation between viral concentration and clinical testing data
160 (Atique et al., 2021; Hillary et al., 2021; Salvagno et al., 2022), impacts of different SARS-CoV-2
161 variants (Agrawal et al., 2022; Brunner et al., 2022; van Vo et al., 2022), the stability of various
162 markers for WBE (Gao et al., 2022), and sampling strategies (Augusto et al., 2022; Uchaikina et al.,
163 2023; Wilson et al., 2022). Some WBE workflows incorporate normalization parameters, smoothing
164 algorithms, and machine-learning approaches to mitigate these data variations. While smoothing
165 algorithms reduce fluctuations mathematically, normalization parameters aim to link variations to
166 specific causative factors within the sewer system. However, no single normalization factor currently
167 accounts for and resolves all variances inherent in wastewater systems (Mitranescu et al., 2022;
168 O'Brien et al., 2023; Saravia et al., 2024). As a result, SARS-CoV-2 WBE results are typically processed
169 using a combination of normalization and smoothing to mitigate data variation.

170 Modeling approaches in WBE have demonstrated potential for predicting clinical indicators but often
171 face limitations due to high data variability. For example, Ai et al. (2022), Galani et al. (2022), and
172 Schenk et al. (2023) achieved promising predictive accuracy but did not address sewer network
173 processes, which significantly impact wastewater data reliability. While these studies implicitly aim to
174 enhance data robustness through statistical adjustments or model optimization, their quality control
175 (QC) measures remain limited to addressing specific variables rather than the multifactorial dynamics
176 of WBE systems. Schmid et al. (2024) developed a theoretical model incorporating sewer dynamics
177 such as precipitation, sampling protocols, and viral decay, offering valuable insights into WBE
178 variability. However, the lack of real-world validation and integration with comprehensive QC
179 protocols limits its practical applicability.

180 Despite some progress made through programs established during the COVID-19 pandemic, a fully
181 integrated, practical pipeline that consolidates these insights remains largely unestablished. To our
182 knowledge, no existing approach offers a holistic, end-to-end workflow encompassing sampling,
183 automated data processing, robust QC, and seamless reporting based on practical, real-world data.
184 Critically, existing approaches lack automated QC protocols capable of addressing multifactorial

influences across the WBE process, ensuring data reliability, and enabling actionable insights for public health decision-making (Ahmed & Bivins et al., 2020; Ciannella et al., 2023; Naughton et al., 2023a; Rauch et al., 2022). This gap underscores the need for a unified framework that integrates data processing, QC, and reporting to enhance the effectiveness of pandemic management and future surveillance efforts.

Our project bridges these gaps by developing (i) a near real-time reporting system for biomarker results to health authorities and the public, (ii) an unsupervised automatic QC algorithm to process biomarker results and filter outliers, (iii) a method to intersect public health data with wastewater service area boundaries to display only geo-matched parameters (georeferenced index cases), and (iv) a comprehensive, transferable data model grounded in our well-established workflow.

In this work, we present our workflow and data model ANNA-WES (Automated Network for Normalization, Analysis, and Visualization of Wastewater and Environmental Surveillance), and the automated QC algorithm SSQN (SARS-CoV-2 sewage quality control and normalization). Both were developed using SARS-CoV-2 biomarker data from a wastewater surveillance program conducted at eleven full-scale wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) of varying capacities and three sewer sampling locations in Southern Germany. We also evaluate the alignment of clinical data with wastewater data based on corresponding wastewater service areas. The workflow was initially developed in collaboration with public health authorities in the county of Berchtesgadener Land, the county of Augsburg, and the Joint Medical Service of the German Armed Forces (Zentraler Sanitätsdienst der Bundeswehr) (Roßmann et al., 2021; Roßmann et al., 2022). Given the crisis situation, the workflow was initially deployed to meet immediate needs, with additional refinements following a thorough assessment based on real-time feedback. As experience accumulated, we expanded the workflow to further communities to support public health agencies in managing the COVID-19 pandemic, incorporating features such as georeferenced alignment of clinical and wastewater service area data and an automated QC algorithm – enhancements that can now be optionally integrated.

2. Materials and Methods

Sampling sites, laboratory methods, and overview on data processing steps

We collected samples from eleven WWTPs and three sewer sampling sites, covering 13 communities and one city district in Southern Germany, as listed in Table 1. Sampling was conducted twice per week, with sampling campaigns spanning up to 4.5 years. A detailed overview is provided in Supplementary Information (Supplement S1), including the sample type, duration, and the data used to test the SSQN algorithm for QC analysis.

Table 1: List of sampling locations, population served by the WWTP, associated laboratories, and sampling periods covered by this publication (note: sampling campaigns at most locations continued after the periods covered in this study)

Sampled community	Sampling site	Population served	Laboratory	Sampling period
Munich	WWTP Gut Großlappen	1,156,000	TUM	07/2021 - 12/2024
Nuremberg	WWTP Nuremberg Klärwerk 1	589,000*	LGL (Erlangen)	12/2022 - 12/2024
Karlsruhe	WWTP Karlsruhe	370,000	TZW	07/2020 - 12/2024
Augsburg	WWTP Augsburg	343,000	TUM	07/2021 - 12/2024
Augsburg	WWTP Augsburg	343,000	Augsburg WWTP	02/2022 - 07/2022
Weiden	WWTP Weiden	43,400	TUM	07/2021 - 12/2024
Königsbrunn (Augsburg county)	Sewer manhole	29,500	TUM	07/2021 - 12/2024
Berchtesgaden	WWTP Berchtesgaden	27,000	TZW, from 03/2023 LGL (Erlangen)	11/2020 - 12/2024
Munich district	Pump station	25,000	TUM	07/2021 - 05/2023
Freilassing	WWTP Freilassing	20,000	TZW, from 03/2023 LGL (Erlangen)	11/2020 - 12/2024
Bad Reichenhall	WWTP Piding	19,500	TZW, from 03/2023 LGL (Erlangen)	11/2020 - 12/2024
Stadtbergen (Augsburg county)	Sewer manhole	15,000	TUM	07/2021 - 12/2024
Ebersberg	WWTP Ebersberg	12,500	LGL (Oberschleißheim)	04/2023 - 12/2024
Piding	WWTP Piding	9,000	TZW, from 03/2023 LGL (Erlangen)	11/2020 - 12/2024
Teisendorf	WWTP Teisendorf	8,100	TZW, from 03/2023 LGL (Erlangen)	11/2020 - 12/2024

*for both WWTPs in Nuremberg, Klärwerk I and Klärwerk II, operated in a network; with design capacities of 1,400,000 equivalent inhabitants for Klärwerk I and 230,000 equivalent inhabitants for Klärwerk II

At the inlet of the WWTPs, 24-hour composite samples were collected using autosamplers, either after grit removal or following mechanical treatment. In the Munich city district, sampling initially consisted of 3-hour composite samples collected between 6:00 and 9:00 in the morning, before being switched to 24-hour composite samples in March 2023. In Stadtbergen, qualified grab samples (QGS) were taken over the course of one hour, with six samples collected every 10 minutes in the morning. In Königsbrunn, 24-hour composite samples were collected using an autosampler, while QGS served as a backup method in case of sampler malfunction.

After sampling, the samples were transported to the corresponding laboratories at 4°C in less than 24 hours (Medema et al. 2020b; Markt et al., 2021). Samples were processed at the laboratory of the Technical University of Munich (TUM), the DVGW-Technologiezentrum Wasser (TZW), the laboratory

231 at the Augsburg WWTP, and two laboratories of the Bavarian Health and Food Safety Authority (LGL)
232 located in the city of Erlangen and municipality of Oberschleißheim (Table 1).

233 At the Augsburg WWTP, samples were collected at the WWTP, stored at +4°C, and extracted the
234 following day using the Wizard Enviro TNA Kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocol.
235 The SARS-CoV-2 genes N1 and N2 were subsequently quantified as biomarkers.

236 At the TUM, TZW and LGL laboratories, the viral RNA was extracted immediately after arrival and
237 subsequently used to quantify several SARS-CoV-2 genes as biomarkers. The detailed extraction and
238 quantification protocols from TUM and TZW are described in Mitranescu et al. (2022) and Ho et al.
239 (2021).

240 At both laboratories of the LGL, a volume of approximately 45 ml wastewater of each sample was
241 centrifuged at 4,500 g for 30 min to separate solid particles. For each sample, 40 ml supernatant was
242 transferred to a new 50 ml tube and mixed with 10% PEG 8000 and 2.25% NaCl (w/v). After 1 h
243 incubation on a rotator (60 rpm) at 4°C, the samples were centrifuged at 16,000 g for 1 h at 4°C. The
244 resulting pellet was resuspended in 200 µl nuclease-free, PCR-grade water. For RNA extraction,
245 automated sample processing was applied using Maxwell® RSC extraction instrument (Promega)
246 (Oberschleißheim) or KingFisher™ Flex (Thermo Scientific™) (Erlangen) following the manufacturer's
247 recommendations. The samples were eluted in 50 – 100 µl.

248 In the different laboratories, up to four SARS-CoV-2 genes (selected from N1, N2, E, ORF1b, and
249 RdRP2) were monitored in addition to the surrogate fecal indicators Pepper Mild Mottle Virus
250 (PMMoV) and crAss-like phage (crAssphage) using either digital PCR at TUM (QIAcuity OneStep
251 Advanced Probe Kit, Qiagen), digital-droplet PCR at TZW and both laboratories of the LGL (One-step
252 RT-ddPCR Advanced Kit, BioRad), or RT-qPCR at Augsburg WWTP (GoTaq® Enviro Wastewater SARS-
253 CoV-2 System, N1 and N2, Promega).

254 ***Biomarker data processing***

255 Laboratory and sampling data were recorded by the sampling and laboratory staff using ArcGIS
256 Online (an ArcGIS Online license is required for access), the WebGIS cloud solution from Esri
257 Deutschland GmbH, via the ArcGIS Survey123 native application for iOS, Android or Windows
258 devices. This application served as a data entry interface, and all collected data were subsequently
259 processed in an automated workflow (Figure 1). Clinical data, specifically individual SARS-CoV-2 case
260 reports, was stored on local computers at the respective health authorities. To ensure data
261 protection, these case reports were aggregated at the sewer service area level before being reported
262 via ArcGIS Online. Only the aggregated, anonymized data was stored on the ArcGIS server, while the

individual case data remained exclusively with the local health authorities to ensure privacy compliance, as illustrated in Figure 2.

The underlying data model was designed using UML in Microsoft Visio, created in ArcGIS Pro, and published to ArcGIS Online. It consists of multiple classes, with the measurement class containing all attributes of a sample, as listed below. Additional classes store information on other relevant information, e.g., sampling locations, laboratories, and sewer networks.

The sampling data included essential metadata such as the sampling date and time, sample type (composite or QGS), wastewater volume flow, and meteorological conditions (e.g., temperature, precipitation). Additional wastewater parameters, such as pH, wastewater temperature, conductivity, ammonia concentrations, and chemical oxygen demand (COD), were recorded when available. Laboratory data comprised biomarker concentrations obtained from PCR analyses, along with pre-defined LOQ and limits of detection (LOD) specific to each laboratory. The required data and sources for the ANNA-WES data model are listed in Supplement 2. To ensure traceability, a unique sample barcode was generated for each sample, and the PCR laboratory appended the biomarker copy numbers to the same dataset. Besides laboratory results and sampling metadata, a commentary section in ArcGIS Survey123 allowed the users to document any unusual events, such as sampler malfunctions or transport issues (e.g. samples arriving frozen). These samples were subsequently flagged as outliers and manually reviewed. If deemed to be true outliers, they were excluded from further data processing. This review process was implemented before the introduction of automated QC.



Figure 1: Workflow for sample processing and data presentation in the ANNA-WES data model

285 A data processing step was integrated using a Python script (see Supplement S3 for the script and
286 Supplement S4 for the corresponding flowchart), which performed initial filtering, averaging, and
287 normalization. The script was integrated within the ArcGIS Online data structure via ArcGIS
288 Notebooks, allowing it to run automatically each night.

289 The following data handling procedure applies to cases where all target genes are above the
290 laboratory-defined limit of quantification (LOQ) for the PCR analysis (Supplement S4). If one or more
291 target genes fall below the detection limit, a placeholder value – half the LOQ as defined by the
292 respective laboratory – is assigned to these undetectable genes to calculate the mean target gene
293 value. The script then calculates the mean value of the biomarker genes and normalizes it based on
294 either the wastewater volume flow (default for WWTPs with accurate flow data) or a mixed fecal
295 indicator (MFI) derived from the surrogate viruses crAssphage and PMMoV (for samples lacking
296 accurate flow data) (Mitranescu et al., 2022). Samples with entries in the commentary section are
297 automatically flagged for manual verification. If no comments are present, the running average over
298 three sampling days is calculated from the normalized mean target gene value. This running average
299 helps smooth short-term fluctuations in SARS-CoV-2 biomarkers and improves the visualization of
300 weekly trends. The calculation follows a centered approach, meaning the value represents the mean
301 of the previous, current, and following sampling dates. Additionally, alternative regression methods
302 such as LOESS can be integrated (LGL, 2023; RKI, 2023a).

303 As the final step, the processed data is displayed in a user-friendly, customizable dashboard with
304 clear trend indicators, designed to be easily understood by non-professionals and adaptable to the
305 needs of each community. Additionally, dashboards were created to support data aggregation at
306 higher levels, such as state or federal levels. The entire process, including data visualization, was
307 governed by a rights and roles concept, ensuring that access to sampling sites and data was
308 restricted based on user roles.

309 Access was granted through predefined view permissions, ensuring that users could only view or edit
310 data relevant to their role. For example, wastewater operators have been assigned view-only access
311 rights to data from their designated sites, whereas public health officials could both view and edit
312 data across all relevant sites. This secure and flexible structure enables sample information to be
313 accessed at any time, allowing authorized users to exclude data points as outliers from further
314 processing, review, and potentially reinclude data points flagged in the commentary section, as well
315 as make necessary corrections, such as fixing typos. However, in the initial phase of the project,
316 these modifications were not automatically documented, which could introduce a potential source of
317 bias – whether intended or unintended. To address this, we later developed and implemented an

automated QC algorithm, which systematically flags outliers and applies standardized criteria for data validation. The algorithm and its implementation are described in the following section.

Automated quality control and outlier detection

The automated QC algorithm, developed in Python (https://github.com/agblum/ssqn), provides an alternative data processing procedure to the general workflow presented above. A schematic diagram and a detailed explanation of the QC algorithm are presented in Supplement S5 and S6 and are published in the GitHub repository. In addition to the data processing steps mentioned above, the algorithm includes a data filtering step to remove outliers. It operates as a complete workflow, applied to an ArcGIS data table (or a similar CSV or XLS file with identical header names) as input for data filtering and normalization.

This algorithm enables automated quality filtering and categorization of data points across tables from multiple sites. It also includes a feature to flag cases requiring manual inspection, such as changes in gene ratios (see below). The script iterates through all data and metadata entered by wastewater operators and PCR laboratories, distinguishing between "outliers" (definitive outliers) and "flags" (putative outliers). By default, accumulating three putative outliers results in a definitive outlier. Entries in the comments field are automatically flagged as putative outliers.

The algorithm screens the following parameters using two to five statistical outlier detection methods: LOF (Local Outlier Factor), RF (Random Forest), IQR (Interquartile Range), Z-score, and CI (Confidence Interval). For an outlier to be confirmed, at least two methods must align, with LOF and IQR set as the default methods.

The parameters screened by the algorithm include:

(a) The ratio of individual SARS-CoV-2 genes, which are assumed to be constant. If three consecutive gene ratio outliers are detected, a manual inspection is required to check for potential viral mutations in the primer/probe sequences.

(b) The surrogate virus quantity.

(c) The daily flow, where a fixed (but adjustable) threshold of three times the dry weather flow is used instead of statistical outlier detection.

(d) Electrical conductivity and, if available, ammonia values.

(e) The seven-day reproduction value (R-value) (based on the Robert Koch-Institute (RKI) R-value (an der Heiden, Matthias (2023)); Similar to daily flow, fixed thresholds of 3.8 or 1/3.8 are used, based on literature values (an der Heiden, 2020; RKI, 2021), after prior normalization with the flow data.

All results and outlier sources are retained in plots and tables for manual inspection and further processing. The algorithm's settings, as described above, are conservatively chosen. However, all thresholds and parameters can be adjusted as needed.

GIS-based intersection of public health and wastewater-based data

Political boundaries, typically used for reporting public health data, often do not align with sewer service areas (see example Figure 6, city of Augsburg). Using GIS systems, we intersected these political boundaries with sewer service areas, allowing clinical prevalence data – such as COVID-19 cases, testing and vaccination rates, and occupancy of intensive care units – to be aggregated and displayed based on wastewater service areas via the ArcGIS Experience Builder (Figure 2). To enable this intersection, we used polygon-based service definitions (ArcGIS Create Features: Polygon), derived from digitized versions of the sewer system typically available through local authorities or manually defined. The results from WBE are extracted from the database described above. Clinical data, matched to the sewer service areas, was provided by local health authorities through their reporting software. These case reports were aggregated, anonymized, and centrally stored to comply with data protection regulations (Figure 2). Clinical COVID-19 infection data aligned with political jurisdiction boundaries was obtained from the Robert Koch Institute (RKI), Germany's federal public health institute, responsible for monitoring and controlling infectious diseases.

This alignment enables precise linkage of clinical data to sewer service areas, ensuring that both data sets reflect the same population within the same geographic area. Additionally, this approach allows us to extract clinical data specific to smaller areas, such as a city district, when sampling is conducted within localized areas (e.g., in a district sewer) rather than at a central WWTP.



Figure 2: Transmission and storage of clinical case numbers and wastewater-based epidemiology results

3. Results

In this study, we advanced the digitization of WES in close collaboration with public health authorities, developing ANNA-WES – an end-to-end model encompassing the entire process from sample collection to public reporting. Georeferenced dashboard websites with different designs were established for the counties of Berchtesgaden (Figure 3) and Augsburg (Supplement 7), enabling near real-time reporting of wastewater-based results. Both counties made these dashboards publicly accessible (Landratsamt Berchtesgadener Land, 2022; Presse Augsburg, 2023). Initially developed for monitoring SARS-CoV-2 at the local level, where seamless integration with public health structures with real-time data displays was achieved, this model was later expanded to the state level for the Free State of Bavaria (https://www.bay-voc.lmu.de/abwassermonitoring) and to the national level for Germany (RKI, 2023c).

Our implementation model includes:

- a GIS-based database,
- automated quality filters and controls,
- push notifications for out-of-specification events during sampling, transport, and laboratory procedures,
- georeferencing of public health and wastewater-based data
- data security and privacy measures, including anonymization and restricted access levels to sensitive health information,
- interoperability with other public health data systems for streamlined data sharing and analysis,
- expandability for biomarker and metadata (e.g., new sampling sites, sequencing data for variants, new biomarkers, further clinical data from public health departments),
- presentation of results through public and internal dashboards.

Development and implementation of a real-time workflow and dashboard system for wastewater-based epidemiology

The workflow model shown in Figure 1 was initially developed and implemented during the COVID-19 pandemic to provide immediate support to collaborating health authorities. The workflow comprised the following steps:

(a) Creating new sample entries in the central GIS database and adding the corresponding wastewater parameters.

(b) Entering raw quantitative biomarker results for SARS-CoV-2 genes and surrogate biomarkers.

(c) Processing data via a Python script that averages, normalizes (Mitranescu et al., 2022), and smoothens the biomarker values.

(d) Allowing qualified users to view and edit input data to ensure data quality, as the automated QC algorithm had not yet been developed.

(e) Displaying the processed data on a digital dashboard in a clear and interpretable format.

As noted earlier, automated QC was not included in the initial stages of the project. Instead, manual review and editing served as preliminary QC measures, enabling the identification of key factors that later informed the development of an automated QC algorithm. This algorithm, now available for integration into the workflow, is described in the next chapter.

We designed a customized ArcGIS Survey123 application to minimize false reporting, which permitted laboratory entries only for preregistered samples submitted by wastewater operators. Clear instructions for data entry were provided for the input screens (Survey123 App for staff of WWTPs and webpage entry forms for staff of analytical laboratories). To support the initial establishment of the workflow, we conducted online workshops and introduced a "hotline" for assistance. These measures were crucial when rolling out the system to multiple sites, such as at the national level, which was implemented in 2022 when the data structure was made available to the German Ministry of Health (RKI, 2023c). The dashboard design for ANNA-WES was inspired by existing clinical ArcGIS COVID-19 dashboards, which facilitated the interpretation of results and made them comparable to the clinical dashboards used during the pandemic. A Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) section on wastewater-based monitoring was provided for each element of the dashboard (see Supplement S17).



Figure 3: Design of the dashboard for SARS-CoV-2 wastewater-based epidemiology for the county of Berchtesgadener Land

426 We designed two versions of the dashboard with different levels of detail. The first dashboard was a
427 detailed dashboard intended for restricted use by the crisis management team, QC instances, and
428 research. This dashboard included comprehensive data stored in an ArcGIS table format, such as
429 gene copy numbers for each SARS-CoV-2 gene, aggregated clinical COVID-19 cases within WWTP
430 service areas, and an editing option for qualified users to manually control data quality. Since
431 automated QC was not yet available, users could edit or exclude data to address typos, sampling or
432 laboratory errors, and outliers caused by unexpected events (e.g., heavy rain or frozen samples).
433 Relevant metadata on wastewater parameters, weather conditions, and surrogate viruses was also
434 collected to support data verification.

435 The second version was a simplified dashboard for the public domain, displaying only the essential
436 information needed to interpret the wastewater data: the normalized, average concentration of
437 SARS-CoV-2 biomarkers for a location over the whole sampling period displayed as single
438 measurement points (dots) and the rolling average over three samples (line), the running biomarker
439 concentration over the last 30 days, and the current trend (rising, constant, or declining SARS-CoV-2
440 biomarker concentration compared to the last 3 measurements), as shown in Figure 3. This
441 dashboard was designed for integration into a website, as established at two of our sampling sites. In
442 early 2023, the display of the results was consolidated and transferred from local authorities at the
443 county level to the state-wide monitoring platform BayVOC (LGL, 2024), managed by LGL. The
444 workflow was adapted to include new sampling sites and information, with the dashboard design
445 revised to currently display monitoring data from 30 Bavarian cities, which is publicly accessible
446 under https://www.bay-voc.lmu.de/abwassermonitoring (last accessed January 2025).

447 ***Development of an automated quality control and outlier detection algorithm***

448 As we gained experience and a deeper understanding of wastewater monitoring data, sewer system
449 behavior, and the relationship between wastewater and clinical data, we developed a workflow to
450 enable unsupervised data processing and outlier detection. Parameters relevant to wastewater-
451 based monitoring, such as flow, ammonia, and conductivity, are integrated (with fully adjustable
452 settings that allow its application to different conditions of wastewater systems and laboratory
453 workflows), with normalization and plotting routines for visual outlier inspection. The algorithm was
454 tested using data sets from nine different locations and four different laboratory workflows (see
455 Table 1 and Supplement 1 for details on the sampling period and the number of data points tested)
456 as it is known that there are systematic differences between laboratories (Wilhelm et al., 2023).

457 In the dataset from the city of Augsburg, measured at the Augsburg WWTP using RT-qPCR, no
458 outliers were detected, likely due to the short sampling period of less than one year. In contrast, the
459 QC steps identified specific outliers for inspection at all other locations and the city of Augsburg

measured with dPCR (Figure 4A, Figures for the locations Munich, Nuremberg, Karlsruhe, Königsbrunn, Berchtesgaden, Ebersberg, and Piding see Supplements S8-S14). As shown in Figure 4B, these outliers appeared to be specific to the respective sites and laboratories. The highest frequency of outliers was due to the reproduction factors in communities with a low number of connected inhabitants (Königsbrunn, Berchtesgaden, Ebersberg, and Piding, all under 30,000 connected inhabitants), which apparently can lead to sudden peaks.



A



B

Figure 4: Outlier detection algorithm for a SARS-CoV-2 wastewater monitoring data set. A. Output of SARS-CoV-2 biomarker results for city of Augsburg (digital PCR) with outliers marked by the quality control algorithm with flow-normalized SARS-CoV-2 values. Detection of various outliers ranging from wastewater parameters (flow), number and ratio of detection genes (min number of biomarkers), surrogate viral parameters, too many irregularities (too many flags), or an outlier that is unrealistic from an epidemiological perspective (reproduction factor outlier). B. Frequency of outlier types by location, arranged by population size served (from highest to lowest), showing site-specific frequencies. Laboratory names are indicated in parentheses.

While implemented for SARS-CoV-2 wastewater monitoring, the algorithm can be extended with an automated feedback loop that alerts the project manager when out-of-specification events, such as unusual gene ratios, are detected. These irregularities may also indicate the emergence of new viral variants with mutations in the primer binding sites, potentially necessitating adjustments in laboratory procedures.

Alignment of public health data with wastewater service areas using GIS

The GIS system aligned public health data with the wastewater service area. This approach allowed us to assess whether the correlation between clinical and wastewater-based data improves when clinical data is matched to the population connected by a particular city-wide sewer system rather than to political boundaries, as clinical data was typically provided and reported based on these political boundaries during the pandemic. Clinical health data is typically strictly protected due to its sensitive and personal nature. Processing such data necessitated internal aggregation (Figure 2) to ensure anonymization and compliance with data protection regulations. We used ArcGIS Online to establish georeferenced cases to agglomerate and visualize the COVID-19 cases for the service areas of the communities sampled during this study (see examples for Munich and Augsburg in Figure 5 and 6). The GIS-corrected data can be used whenever comparisons of the wastewater data with public health data are required and results in accurate public health data that matches the wastewater-based data.

One of our sampling sites, the city of Munich, is served by two WWTPs: Gut Großlappen (2 million p.e.) and Gut Marienhof (1 million p.e.). In this study, wastewater sampling was conducted exclusively at the WWTP Munich-Gut Großlappen. Figure 5 illustrates the approximate service areas of the two wastewater plants under dry weather conditions, as well as a conceptual view of the sampled city district. Due to the dynamic operation of Munich's sewer system, these service areas can shift during stormwater events. Smaller counties in the vicinity of Munich, which are also connected to the city's sewer system, account for only a small proportion of the population and are not included here.



Figure 5: Map of the municipal border of the city of Munich with the wastewater service areas of its two wastewater treatment plants Gut Großlappen and Gut Marienhof, and a fictitious city district that is an example of the district we sampled. The graph on the left shows the 7-day incidence of the city of Munich and the SARS-CoV-2 biomarker counts of wastewater at the wastewater treatment plant Munich-Gut Großlappen and the city district.

We sampled at WWTP Munich-Gut Großlappen, the larger of the two, which serves approximately 70 percent of the city's population. As a result, the population represented in the infection data from the Robert-Koch Institute (RKI), which typically covers the entire city, differs from the population within the service area of the wastewater samples. Additionally, we collected samples from a city district (anonymized) serviced by a separate sewer system and a dedicated pump station. While samples from the WWTP were 24-hour composite samples, those from the city district were 3-hour composite samples taken during the morning.

We received anonymized SARS-CoV-2 infection data, extracted with GIS, corresponding to the service areas of WWTP Munich-Gut Großlappen and the city district from the city's health department (Gesundheitsreferat Munich). We used Spearman's rank-order correlation to quantitatively assess how well the extracted infection data matches the wastewater biomarker data compared to the infection data for the entire city of Munich provided by the RKI. Figure 5 shows the trajectory of the extracted infection data as 7-day incidences versus the corresponding SARS-CoV-2 biomarker concentrations from the wastewater measurements (not normalized, presented as a rolling average for 5 samples) covering the period from September 2021 to December 2022. The Spearman correlation analysis showed a strong overall correlation between clinical (both extracted and RKI) and wastewater biomarker data. Biomarker data from both the district and the full-scale WWTP revealed a slightly stronger correlation with the GIS-extracted infection data for the service areas than with the RKI infection data, except for the Omicron BA.5 and BQ variants occurring in the district. Table 2 provides the Spearman's correlation coefficients (r) for the different SARS-CoV-2 variants.

*Table 2: Spearman's correlation coefficients between SARS-CoV-2 biomarkers from Munich wastewater treatment plant Munich-Gut Großlappen and the Munich city district, and the 7-day incidences for the extracted WWTP service area and Munich RKI incidences. The data covers different time periods from September 2021 to December 2022 and is grouped by dominant variants. Significance levels for the Spearman's correlation coefficients are indicated as follows: *** for p < 0.001, ** for p < 0.01, and * for p < 0.05.*

SARS-CoV-2 biomarker	7-days Incidence	Delta	Omicron				Whole period
			BA.1	BA.2	BA.4 and BA.5	BA.5 and BQ	
WWTP	WWTP (GIS-extracted)	0.90***	0.12	0.96***	0.94***	0.71***	0.81***
	Munich (RKI)	0.82***	0.05	0.91***	0.88***	0.69***	0.78***
District	District (GIS-extracted)	0.71***	0.62***	0.93***	0.79***	0.80***	0.79***
	Munich (RKI)	0.62***	0.43***	0.91***	0.78***	0.88***	0.77***

Since wastewater data is often reported earlier than clinical case data due to faster transmission from infection to confirmed cases (Wu et al., 2018; Larsen & Wigginton, 2020), we performed another Spearman correlation analysis using varying time lags, with clinical data lagging behind the wastewater data by up to 15 days. Applying a time lag improved the correlations between clinical and wastewater data for all variants. The most pronounced effect was observed for Omicron BA.1, where a time lag of 13 days resulted in a correlation of r = 0.83*** (0.12 without timelag) for the WWTP service area and r = 0.73*** (0.05 without timelag) for RKI data with the Munich WWTP biomarker data. A comprehensive table containing all Spearman's correlation coefficients across variants and time lags is provided in Supplement S15.

We further evaluated the strength of the correlation between biomarker data and GIS-extracted infection data compared to the RKI data for another region in Southern Bavaria. Therefore, we sampled the influent of the WWTP of the city of Augsburg along with sewer sampling of two communities located in the county of Augsburg, Stadtbergen and Königsbrunn, both of which are sending their wastewater to the WWTP Augsburg. We collected 24-hour composite samples at the WWTP and Königsbrunn, while at Stadtbergen, we took QGS. When the autosampler was unavailable in Königsbrunn, we also received QGS samples. We obtained extracted health data from the local health department of the county of Augsburg. Figure 6 highlights the wastewater service area of the

three sampling areas, illustrating the significant differences between the municipal boundaries and the actual sewer service areas. The corresponding biomarker concentrations and GIS-extracted clinical data are shown for each area.



Figure 6: Difference between the municipal border and the service area of the WWTP of the city of Augsburg (Germany). Georeferenced case numbers can be calculated using a GIS system to obtain case numbers for the WWTP service area.

The Spearman's correlation analysis (Table 3) showed again a strong correlation between SARS-CoV-2 biomarkers and clinical incidence data for all dominant variants up to December 2022. However, from December 2022 onwards, the decline in clinical testing led to an underrepresentation of actual infection numbers, resulting in unreliable and weakened correlations beyond this period. A time lag analysis was also performed for these sampling sites, the results are displayed in Supplement S16.

*Table 3: Spearman's correlation coefficients of SARS-CoV-2 biomarkers of city of Augsburg and municipalities within the county of Augsburg (Königsbrunn and Stadtbergen) and 7-days incidences of the extracted WWTP service area and the Augsburg RKI incidences. Significance levels for the Spearman's correlation coefficients are indicated as follows: *** for p < 0.001, ** for p < 0.01, and * for p < 0.05.*

SARS-CoV-2 biomarker	7-days Incidence	Delta	Omicron				low incidence from Dec 2022	Whole period
			BA.1	BA.2	BA.4 and BA.5	BA.5 and BQ		
Augsburg	Augsburg (RKI)	0.83***	0.58***	0.93***	0.95***	0.73***	-0.10	0.52***

Königsbrunn	Königs-brunn	0.83***	0.77***	0.41***	0.75***	0.79***	-0.41***	0.61***
	Augsburg (RKI)	0.74***	0.82***	0.37***	0.83***	0.81***	-0.47***	0.55***
Stadtbergen	Stadt-bergen	0.71***	0.77***	0.82***	0.52***	0.83***	-0.15	0.59***
	Augsburg (RKI)	0.71***	0.81***	0.86***	0.67***	0.82***	-0.30**	0.50***

Despite the overall strong correlation, the Spearman analysis also revealed that incidence data from local health authorities, aligned to the sewer service areas, did not consistently correlate better than the RKI infection data aligned with political jurisdiction boundaries. This suggests that the sampling strategy, particularly the use of QGS, had a greater influence on the results than the spatial alignment of wastewater service areas. QGS capture only a brief snapshot of the day, unlike 24-hour composite samples that provide a more representative daily profile. Despite consistent sampling windows during morning hours, the natural variability in QGS samples seems to lead to greater fluctuations in biomarker concentrations compared to 24-hour samples. The increased variance in QGS samples limits the ability to assess the impact of geo-matching the wastewater service area. This emphasizes the need for harmonized sampling procedures and consistent use of composite samples to ensure data reliability. Nevertheless, the overall high correlations with clinical data suggest that wastewater analysis reliably reflects the infection trends and demonstrates the significant potential of WBE for monitoring smaller areas, such as villages and city districts.

Additionally, the GIS-based extraction and alignment of data proved valuable beyond correlation analysis. It facilitated the georeferenced visualization of results in a dashboard, allowing health authorities and the public to monitor incidence rates and wastewater biomarker concentrations specific to their areas of interest. During the pandemic, our collaborating health authorities benefited from this targeted approach, which enabled region-specific monitoring and enhanced their decision-making capabilities. This clearly demonstrates the added value of integrating GIS methods into WES workflows, supporting more effective public health responses.

4. Discussion

Decentralized integration of wastewater-based data in a global context

589 Health authorities are often untrained in interpreting WBE data, yet their role in implementing
590 disease containment measures makes understanding WBE results essential. From the onset of the
591 COVID-19 pandemic, we collaborated closely with health authorities to develop user-friendly,
592 accessible data presentations tailored for both professionals and the general public. Our workflow
593 was co-developed with local health authorities and wastewater treatment teams in the counties of
594 Berchtesgadener Land and Augsburg, focusing on minimizing uncertainties in data entry and
595 interpretation. Following validation and positive feedback, the data pipeline and dashboard were
596 integrated into the national pilot project ESI-CorA, funded by the European Union (RKI, 2023c).
597 Designed for scalability, the workflow expanded to include additional sampling sites with minimal
598 adaptation. Recognizing the impracticality of providing in-depth training to all health authorities, we
599 developed FAQ documents to facilitate understanding and accessibility (see FAQ in Supplement S17).

600 Furthermore, we supported the integration of the harmonized pipeline into the statewide WBE
601 program BayVOC of the State of Bavaria (LGL, 2024). The pipeline has since been expanded to include
602 additional communities and is now featured in an enhanced, publicly accessible dashboard, with
603 results reported within a maximum of 48 hours. Building on these foundations, we developed an
604 automated QC algorithm as a separate feature to further improve data reliability and reproducibility.
605 While the QC algorithm is still undergoing long-term and real-time testing, the harmonized workflow
606 and data processing have demonstrated scalability and adaptability. It is noteworthy that the transfer
607 of responsibility for managing wastewater monitoring entries and data handling from the leading
608 university (TUM) to the Bavarian Ministry of Health proved successful, highlighting the potential for
609 transferring these processes from research initiatives to public health authorities.

610 ***Current limitations and the importance of robust and accessible data for public health decision-***
611 ***making***

612 To guide public health decision-making, accurate and robust epidemiological, clinical, and laboratory
613 data must be available and accessible early in an epidemic. Publicly accessible dashboards and
614 visualizations are often positively received by both the public, health authorities, and political
615 decision makers (Dixon et al., 2022). Consistent, georeferenced epidemiological data is crucial for
616 understanding the transmissibility, geographic spread, transmission routes, and risk factors of
617 infections. This information provides a baseline for epidemiological modeling, aiding authorities in
618 planning response efforts and containment strategies to reduce the disease burden. Moreover, real-
619 time access to detailed data is critical for prioritizing surveillance efforts (Dong et al., 2020). Effective
620 local crisis management, supported by real-time local dashboards – whether publicly available or
621 confidential – is essential for an accurate crisis situation assessment and subsequent decision-making
622 (Focosi et al., 2024; Stoney et al., 2023). These dashboards enable local authorities to respond more

623 quickly, precisely, and effectively to the specific circumstances in their area. Additionally, they
624 contribute to a more effective national or transboundary pandemic management for broader policy
625 decisions.

626 However, significant challenges remain regarding the accessibility and sharing of public health data.
627 Although there are global commitments to share such data, a lack of systematic frameworks,
628 harmonized data-sharing models, and cohesive federal and global guidelines persists (Gigerenzer et
629 al., 2022; van Panhuis et al., 2014). Naughton et al. (2023a) identified numerous discrepancies in the
630 presentation and accessibility of the wastewater monitoring solutions, often addressed on a case-by-
631 case basis. Given that WBE involves multiple stakeholders – such as WWTPs, laboratories, health
632 authorities, and government bodies – establishing a harmonized data flow with automated
633 transmission and QC is essential for better pandemic preparedness within the public health sector
634 (Roßmann et al., 2022; McNabb, 2010; EU, 2021). Without harmonized approaches, variability in data
635 normalization, analysis, and visualization on dashboards could impair the understanding of infection
636 data across different sites, ultimately affecting crisis management (Naughton et al., 2023a).

637 ***Quality control in wastewater-based epidemiology***

638 As valuable as a dashboard might be for assessing and comparing a pandemic situation, the quality of
639 the data fed into the system remains crucial. Our experience has shown that significant quality
640 differences can arise from varying sampling strategies (Bertels et al., 2022, Uchaikina et al. 2023),
641 sample preparation protocols (Wilhelm et al., 2023), and qPCR and dPCR methods employed
642 (Nyaruaba et al., 2022; Wilhelm et al., 2023). To ensure accurate and comparable data, it is crucial to
643 test and establish standardized operating procedures (SOPs) for both sampling and laboratory
644 processes (Kitajima et al., 2020; Keshaviah et al., 2021; Ciannella et al., 2023; Keenum et al., 2024).
645 However, other factors across WBE workflows also influence data quality.

646 Our QC algorithm bridges a critical gap by systematically assessing data reliability through statistical
647 outlier detection and integration of epidemiological and wastewater parameters. While previous
648 efforts focused on minimizing inter-laboratory variability (van Nuijs et al., 2018; Ahmed & Bivins et
649 al., 2020; Kantor et al., 2021; Wilhelm et al., 2023), reliable data must accommodate diverse
650 laboratory workflows. The QC algorithm extends beyond laboratory-based approaches by
651 systematically identifying anomalies – such as typos, extraordinary values, and unusual gene ratios –
652 and flagging entries for manual inspection. The algorithm's modular design allows for adjustable
653 thresholds, enabling its application across diverse wastewater systems, laboratory workflows, and
654 application to new biomarkers. This flexibility was evidenced by its successful deployment across
655 multiple locations with varying sample collection methods and laboratory protocols. Additionally, the
656 algorithm alerts project managers to potential viral mutations in primer or probe binding sites,

enabling timely laboratory adjustments. This scalable solution enhances data reliability across laboratories, particularly in large-scale WBE programs.

Beyond laboratory QC, wastewater data variability is heavily influenced by sewer system factors. Normalization techniques, such as using flow rates, human biomarkers, or wastewater parameters as correction factors, are commonly employed to mitigate these effects. However, these methods often fail to account for all influencing factors, and their effectiveness varies across communities, with some cases even degrading data quality (Mitranescu et al., 2022; O'Brien et al., 2023). Smoothing algorithms, such as rolling averages or LOESS regression, are another common approach to stabilize trends (Arabzadeh et al., 2023). While effective for reducing noise, these methods do not address the root causes of variability.

To overcome these limitations, our QC algorithm incorporates controls for flow rates, electrical conductivity, and ammonia levels, effectively identifying and excluding anomalies caused by high wet-weather events, industrial discharges, or sewer system dynamics. For example, high-flow events dilute wastewater and dislodge biofilms, introducing biases. Additionally, we integrate the R-reproduction value as an independent parameter, ensuring wastewater results align with theoretical epidemic trends and do not exceed expected daily changes. By combining these controls with adaptable normalization techniques and embedded smoothing algorithms, the QC algorithm enhances trend detection and data accuracy, providing a more robust framework for managing variability in WBE.

Eventually, further investigation into the complexities of sewer systems remains necessary. Although our QC algorithm accounts for various factors, influences from sewer systems on biomarker results remain a "black box" in our understanding (Bertels et al., 2022). The outliers identified by the algorithms are partially explainable by, e.g., wet-weather events or variations in the laboratory procedures. However, for example, positive outliers that were detected by the R-value filtering must be due to other reasons that require further investigation. We speculate that factors such as wet-weather events, flow rate variations, industrial discharge, physico-chemical properties of wastewater, biofilm retention, flow surges, and travel time within the sewer network can introduce noise and lead to unexpected, potentially delayed spikes or dips in biomarker concentrations. Moreover, population dynamics, such as commuting patterns and large public events, likely influence biomarker concentrations and should be investigated further (Schmid et. al, 2024). Interdisciplinary collaboration between public authorities and researchers will be essential to refine QC algorithms and improve the interpretability of WBE data.

While our QC algorithm provides a robust framework for identifying and addressing variability in WBE data, its reliance on static thresholds highlights the potential for further refinement. Integrating

machine learning (ML) approaches into QC workflows could enhance adaptability by dynamically adjusting thresholds based on historical data patterns, metadata, and emerging trends. ML has already demonstrated success in epidemiology and environmental monitoring, offering opportunities to improve both outlier detection and the interpretation of underlying variability in wastewater data (Wiens & Shenoy, 2018; Wu et al., 2018; Barapatre et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2023; Zehnder et al., 2023). However, challenges such as model interpretability, data protection compliance, and the need for continuous retraining must be carefully addressed. By leveraging ML, future QC algorithms could improve data reliability and support real-time, context-aware decision-making, bridging the gap between data collection and actionable public health insights.

GIS integration and flexible data workflows: benefits and future implications for wastewater-based epidemiology

In the future, WBE is expected to expand as a surveillance and early warning system for other diseases and biomarkers (EU, 2021; European Commission, 2022). It is now embedded in the revised EU Urban Wastewater Treatment Directive (EU UWWTD) (EU, 2024) and in some communities, WBE is already being used to monitor antibiotic resistance (Larsson et al., 2023; Stange et al., 2023) and respiratory diseases like influenza (APA-OTS, 2023; LGL, 2024). The ArcGIS database offers flexibility by allowing the addition of new biomarkers or SARS-CoV-2 variants through simple modifications, such as adding columns. This adaptability facilitates the inclusion of new pathogens, laboratories, sampling sites, and customized access for different institutions and regions, supporting decentralized public health surveillance efforts.

Geo-based data storage makes our workflow particularly suitable for communities where sewer service areas differ significantly from political boundaries or for distinct areas within a city as it can enhance the accuracy of WBE data. While aligning public health data with sewer service areas may not be critical for routine monitoring programs approximating the community by the sewer system (Tscharke et al., 2019), it is essential when precise intersections are needed, e.g., for statistical analysis. An additional advantage of a GIS-based platform is its ability to anonymize public health data while preserving critical information. This is particularly important when sampling smaller areas, such as a street via a sewer access point, to protect individual privacy and maintain public trust in health surveillance methods (Emam et al., 2015). The GIS structure of our data pipeline facilitates the secure collection and anonymization of data, enabling detailed sharing with health authorities while presenting only anonymized results to the public. Notably, sensitive information, such as clinical data, is stored locally within health institutions, with only anonymized data transferred to the GIS cloud, ensuring strict compliance with health data protection regulations.

Nevertheless, reliance on commercial GIS software (ArcGIS Online, Survey123, and GIS dashboards) presents constraints for our workflow, particularly in terms of accessibility on a global scale. While many local municipalities already work with ArcGIS licensees, this dependency can hinder adoption in regions lacking the necessary infrastructure or resources to obtain and use such software. Future efforts should focus on developing an open-source implementation for GIS data aligned with WHO and EU recommendations that propose to use the PHES-ODM, an open data structure for WES (https://github.com/Big-Life-Lab/PHES-ODM). Integrating GIS with PHES-ODM and our Python-based scripts for data processing, QC, and visualization could pave the way for a more universally applicable data model that includes public health authorities, georeferenced data, and automated result processing and display.

This approach is particularly crucial when introducing new biomarkers that require calibration. During the pandemic, we calibrated WBE using COVID-19 clinical case data, but future biomarkers will likely necessitate further calibration with public health data (Sloan et al., 2022). Given that the comprehensive evaluation of georeferenced public health data is often restricted to public authorities (Peters & Zeeb, 2022), a potential future scenario could involve establishing reference sites – cities where GIS-based public health systems are developed. These systems would allow future WES markers to be calibrated against various public health parameters or socio-economic factors, improving the accuracy and applicability of WES data. Additionally, integrating other environmental data (e.g., remote sensing) into a GIS-based database would enable the construction of comprehensive dashboards that consider both public and environmental health within the broader One Health framework (Singer et al., 2023).

5. Conclusion

In the current transition from pandemic to endemic infections, where public willingness to undergo testing has decreased, and health data has become fragmented, WES has emerged as a reliable source for capturing information across the entire population. Harmonized strategies for data sharing, quality control, and presentation are crucial to prevent and manage pandemics. Our adaptable framework marks a vital step forward in improving public health surveillance.

Our advancements, including an automated workflow, a GIS-based database, tailored solutions for diverse sewer service areas, and a QC algorithm, streamline WES programs for all stakeholders, such as health authorities, wastewater teams, and data analysts. The immediate display of wastewater monitoring results, with the option to expand to other pathogens and scale up to regional, national, or global dashboards, makes this system highly flexible and adaptable. It supports interdisciplinary collaboration and accelerates communication, which is especially valuable in times of overloaded healthcare systems during pandemics. Integrating WBE and public health data into widely used GIS

systems, the ANNA-WES model is a versatile decision-support tool for managing pandemics, urban planning, and environmental surveillance. By incorporating this system into routine monitoring programs, we can ensure a faster, more coordinated response to future pandemics and potentially prevent future outbreaks through early health monitoring and preventive measures.

The successful implementation of WES requires not only national and international collaboration but also close interdisciplinary cooperation between health and environmental authorities. By working together, these sectors can ensure more accurate, holistic data analysis and response strategies that address both public health and environmental factors influencing disease transmission. Cross-sector collaboration will enable a more integrated approach to managing future pandemics and environmental health challenges.

While the workflow and QC algorithm are critical first steps toward harmonizing WES data processing, ensuring readiness for future pandemics requires immediate integration of these systems and the development of expertise. Securing funding for personnel across health authorities, laboratories, and WWTPs is equally important, as is establishing standardized operating procedures in databases. Further research is needed to better understand how sewer system factors impact WES results. These elements, along with strong interdisciplinary collaboration, and national and international cooperation, are vital to enhancing both our preparedness and response to future pandemics.

Acknowledgments

This study was financially supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research as part of the funding program Sustainable Water Management (NaWaM-RiSKWa) (Biomarker, grant number 02WRS1557) and the Bavarian State Ministry of Health and Care (StMGP). Additional funding was provided through the AMELAG project, funded by the German Federal Ministry of Health (BMG). A. G. received funding the Bay-VOC project, funded by the StMGP. I.M. was a subcontractor by PTKA. C.Wb. was financed by DFG WU 890/2-1.

We sincerely thank the staff of the wastewater treatment plants in Augsburg, Bad Reichenhall, Berchtesgaden, Ebersberg, Freilassing, Karlsruhe, Munich, Nuremberg, Piding, Teisendorf, and Weiden for their invaluable support. We also extend our gratitude to the health authorities from the counties of Augsburg and Berchtesgadener Land, as well as Munich, for their close collaboration throughout the pandemic. We also wish to thank the Medical Intelligence & Information division of the Sanitätsakademie der Bundeswehr, especially Dr. Alexander Ziegler, for their continuous support and valuable insights, particularly during the challenging period of the pandemic and the early stages of the WBE program.

A special thank you goes to Carolin Kerscher, Lucia Maciossek, Heidrun Mayrhofer, Meenakshi Prasad, Dominik Kugler, Darshita Singal, Andreas Mirlach, Veronika Hillebrand, Naim Vilabrera, and Claus Lindenblatt, as well as other lab colleagues whose dedication, meticulous lab work, and tireless efforts were instrumental to this study. Their commitment ensured the reliability of sample processing, analysis, and transportation, and we deeply appreciate their hard work. Finally, we thank Elisa Schorer for her valuable support with GIS and database management.

6. Data availability

The data for the individual dashboards is stored in the GIS system. The Python scripts for the metadata analysis and display of the results can be found in the supplementary material. The Python script for the quality control algorithm including example data from our sites can be found on GitHub under: https://github.com/agblum/ssqn. After the end of our project, the monitored municipalities were now included in the federal and governmental monitoring program of Bavaria (https://www.bay-voc.lmu.de/) and Germany (https://www.rki.de/DE/Content/Institut/OrgEinheiten/Abt3/FG32/Abwassersurveillance/Abwassersurveillance.html). The detailed data model objects and data structure that was developed by Esri Deutschland GmbH is available (in German) at TUM. A licensed version implemented in ArcGIS Online is currently handled by Projektträger Karlsruhe (PTKA).

7. Author contributions

Anna-Sonia Kau and Anna Uchaikina contributed equally to this work and share first authorship.

Anna-Sonia Kau: Conceptualization (Equal), Data Curation (Lead), Methodology (Equal), Software (Equal), Validation (Lead), Formal Analysis (Lead), Investigation (Lead), Visualization (Lead), Writing - Original Draft (Lead), Writing - Review & Editing (Equal), Resources (Supporting), Project Administration (Equal).

Anna Uchaikina: Conceptualization (Equal), Data Curation (Lead), Methodology (Equal), Software (Equal), Validation (Lead), Formal Analysis (Lead), Investigation (Lead), Visualization (Lead), Writing - Original Draft (Lead), Writing - Review & Editing (Equal), Resources (Equal), Project Administration (Equal).

Alexander Graf: Conceptualization (Supporting), Data Curation (Equal), Methodology (Equal), Software (Equal), Validation (Equal), Formal Analysis (Equal), Investigation (Equal), Visualization (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting).

Christine Walzik: Conceptualization (Supporting), Data Curation (Equal), Methodology (Equal), Software (Equal), Validation (Equal), Formal Analysis (Supporting), Investigation (Equal), Visualization (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Resources (Supporting).

Alexander Mitranescu: Conceptualization (Supporting), Data Curation (Supporting), Methodology (Equal), Software (Equal), Validation (Supporting), Formal Analysis (Supporting), Investigation (Equal), Visualization (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting).

Lisa Falk: Conceptualization (Supporting), Data Curation (Supporting), Methodology (Supporting), Software (Equal), Validation (Supporting), Formal Analysis (Supporting), Investigation (Equal), Visualization (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Resources (Supporting).

Mohammad Shehryaar Khan: Data Curation (Supporting), Software (Supporting), Validation (Supporting), Formal Analysis (Supporting), Investigation (Equal), Visualization (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Resources (Supporting).

Claudia Stange: Conceptualization (Supporting), Data Curation (Equal), Methodology (Equal), Validation (Equal), Formal Analysis (Equal), Investigation (Equal), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Resources (Equal).

Johannes Ho: Conceptualization (Supporting), Data Curation (Equal), Methodology (Supporting), Validation (Equal), Formal Analysis (Equal), Investigation (Equal), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Resources (Supporting).

Katalyn Roßmann: Conceptualization (Equal), Data Curation (Supporting), Methodology (Supporting), Investigation (Equal), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Resources (Equal), Supervision (Supporting).

Ingo Michels: Conceptualization (Supporting), Data Curation (Equal), Methodology (Equal), Software (Equal), Investigation (Supporting), Visualization (Equal), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting).

Nathan Obermaier: Data Curation (Supporting), Methodology (Supporting), Validation (Supporting), Formal Analysis (Equal), Investigation (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting).

Cristina J. Saravia: Data Curation (Supporting), Methodology (Supporting), Validation (Supporting), Formal Analysis (Equal), Investigation (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting).

Susanne Rost: Data Curation (Supporting), Investigation (Supporting), Visualization (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Resources (Equal).

Thorsten Portain: Data Curation (Supporting), Investigation (Supporting), Visualization (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Resources (Equal).

Jürgen Demeter: Data Curation (Supporting), Methodology (Supporting), Investigation (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Resources (Equal).

Christopher Becker: Data Curation (Supporting), Methodology (Supporting), Investigation (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Resources (Equal).

Martina Füchsle: Data Curation (Supporting), Methodology (Supporting), Investigation (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Resources (Equal).

Fabienne Kaymaz-Ried: Data Curation (Supporting), Methodology (Supporting), Investigation (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Resources (Equal).

Alexander Klaus: Data Curation (Supporting), Investigation (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Resources (Equal).

Tobias Ziegler: Data Curation (Supporting), Methodology (Equal), Investigation (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Resources (Equal).

Katharina Springer: Data Curation (Supporting), Methodology (Equal), Investigation (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Resources (Equal).

Melissa Hohl: Data Curation (Supporting), Methodology (Equal), Investigation (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Resources (Equal).

Peter-Louis Plaumann: Data Curation (Supporting), Methodology (Equal), Investigation (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Resources (Equal).

Annemarie Bschorer: Data Curation (Supporting), Methodology (Equal), Investigation (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Resources (Equal).

Stefanie Huber: Data Curation (Supporting), Investigation (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Resources (Equal), Supervision (Supporting).

Patrick Dudler: Data Curation (Supporting), Investigation (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Resources (Equal), Supervision (Supporting), Funding Acquisition (Equal).

Andreas Tiehm: Conceptualization (Equal), Data Curation (Supporting), Methodology (Equal), Validation (Equal), Investigation (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Supporting), Supervision (Supporting), Funding Acquisition (Equal).

Jörg E. Drewes: Conceptualization (Equal), Data Curation (Equal), Methodology (Equal), Validation (Equal), Investigation (Equal), Visualization (Supporting), Writing - Original Draft (Supporting), Writing - Review & Editing (Equal), Resources (Equal), Project Administration (Equal), Supervision (Lead), Funding Acquisition (Lead).

Christian Wurzbacher: Conceptualization (Equal), Data Curation (Equal), Methodology (Equal), Software (Equal), Validation (Equal), Formal Analysis (Equal), Investigation (Equal), Visualization (Supporting), Writing - Original Draft (Equal), Writing - Review & Editing (Equal), Resources (Equal), Project Administration (Equal), Supervision (Lead), Funding Acquisition (Equal).

8. Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper. Esri Deutschland GmbH , represented by Mr. Ingo Michels, worked as a subcontractor for TUM.

9. References

Adams, C., Bias, M., Welsh, R. M., Webb, J., Reese, H., Delgado, S., Person, J., West, R., Shin, S., Kirby, A. (2024). *The National Wastewater Surveillance System (NWSS): From inception to widespread coverage, 2020–2022, United States.* Science of The Total Environment, Volume 924, 171566, ISSN 0048-9697, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.171566.

Agrawal, S., Orschler, L., Tavazzi, S., Greither, R., Gawlik, B. M., & Lackner, S. (2022). *Genome Sequencing of Wastewater Confirms the Arrival of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Variant at Frankfurt Airport but Limited Spread in the City of Frankfurt, Germany, in November 2021.* Microbiol Resour Announc. 2022 Feb 17;11(2):e0122921. doi: 10.1128/MRA.01229-21. Epub 2022 Jan 27. PMID: 35084221; PMCID: PMC8793729.

Ahmed, W., Angel, N., Edson, J., Bibby, K., Bivins, A., O'Brien, J. W., Choi, P. M., Kitajima, M., Simpson, S. L., Li, J., Tscharke, B., Verhagen, R., Smith, W. J. M., Zaugg, J., Dierens, L., Hugenholtz, P., Thomas, K. V., & Mueller, J. F. (2020). *First confirmed detection of SARS-CoV-2 in untreated wastewater in Australia: A proof of concept for the wastewater surveillance of COVID-19 in the community.* Sci Total Environ. 2020 Aug 1;728:138764. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138764. Epub 2020 Apr 18. PMID: 32387778; PMCID: PMC7165106

Ahmed, W., Bivins, A., Bertsch, P. M., Bibby, K., Choi, P. M., Farkas, K [Kata], Gyawali, P., Hamilton, K. A., Haramoto, E., Kitajima, M., Simpson, S. L., Tandukar, S., Thomas, K. V., & Mueller, J. F. (2020). *Surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater: Methods optimization and quality control are crucial for generating reliable public health information*. Curr Opin Environ Sci Health. 2020 Sep 30. doi: 10.1016/j.coesh.2020.09.003. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 33052320; PMCID: PMC7544017.

Ai, Y., He, F., Lancaster, E., & Lee, J. (2022). *Application of machine learning for multi-community COVID-19 outbreak predictions with wastewater surveillance. PLOS ONE 17(11): e0277154. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0277154*

Amman, F., Markt, R., Endler, L., Hupfauf, S., Agerer, B., Schedl, A., Richter, L., Zechmeister, M., Bicher, M., Heiler, G., Triska, P., Thornton, M., Penz, T., Senekowitsch, M., Laine, J., Keszei, Z., Daleiden, B., Steinlechner, M., Niederstätter, H., . . . Bergthaler, A. (2022). *National-scale surveillance of emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants in wastewater.* medRxiv 2022.01.14.21267633; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.14.21267633; Now published in *Nature Biotechnology* doi: 10.1038/s41587-022-01387-y

APA-OTS. (2023). *Stadt Wien: Erste Abwasser-Monitoring-Saison für Influenza und RSV erfolgreich*. https://www.ots.at/presseaussendung/PDF_20230426_OTS0020_0/stadt-wien-erste-abwasser-monitoring-saison-fuer-influenza-und-rsv-erfolgreich. Last accessed: December 30, 2024.

Atique, M., Ghafoor, A., Javed, R., Fatima, N., Yousaf, A., & Zahra, S. (2021). *Correlation if viral load with the clinical and biochemical profiles of COVID-19 patients.* Cureus. 2021 Jul 27;13(7):e16655. doi: 10.7759/cureus.16655. PMID: 34462686; PMCID: PMC8388060.

Augusto, M. R., I. C. M. Claro, A. K. Siqueira, G. S. Sousa, C. R. Caldereiro, A. F. A. Duran, T. B. de Miranda, L. d. M. Bomediano Camillo, A. D. Cabral and R. de Freitas Bueno (2022). *Sampling strategies for wastewater surveillance: Evaluating the variability of SARS-COV-2 RNA concentration in composite and grab samples*. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering 10(3): 107478. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2022.107478

Barapatre, H., Jangir, J., Bajpai, S., Chawla, B., & Keswani, G. (2023). Analytics of Epidemiological Data using Machine Learning Models. *International Journal of Next-Generation Computing, 14(1)*. https://doi.org/10.47164/ijngc.v14i1.1014.

Bertels, X., P. Demeyer, S. Van den Bogaert, T. Boogaerts, A. L. N. van Nuijs, P. Delputte and L. Lahousse (2022). *Factors influencing SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations in wastewater up to the sampling stage: A systematic review.* Sci Total Environ. 2022 May 10;820:153290. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153290. Epub 2022 Jan 20. PMID: 35066048; PMCID: PMC8772136.

Brunner, F. S., Brown, M. R., Bassano, I., Denise, H., Khalifa, M. S., Wade, M. J., van Aerle, R., Kevill, J. L., Jones, D. L., Farkas, K [K.], Jeffries, A. R., Cairns, E., Wierzbicki, C., & Paterson, S. (2022). *City-wide wastewater genomic surveillance through the successive emergence of SARS-CoV-2 Alpha and Delta variants.* Water Research. Volume 226. 2022. 119306. ISSN 0043-1354. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.119306

Castiglioni, S., Bijlsma, L., Covaci, A., Emke, E., Hernández, F., Reid, M., Ort, C., Thomas, K. V., van Nuijs, A. L. N., Voogt, P. de, & Zuccato, E. (2013). *Evaluation of uncertainties associated with the determination of community drug use through the measurement of sewage drug biomarkers.* Environ Sci Technol. 2013 Feb 5;47(3):1452-60. doi: 10.1021/es302722f. Epub 2013 Jan 11. PMID: 23259772.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2024) *COVID Data Tracker*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker . Last updated: December 30, 2024. Last accessed: January 2, 2025

Chavarria-Miró G., Anfruns-Estrada E., Martínez-Velázquez A., Vázquez-Portero M., Guix S., Paraira M., Galofré B., Sánchez G., Pintó R.M., Bosch A. (2021). *Time evolution of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in wastewater during the first pandemic wave of COVID-19 in the metropolitan area of Barcelona, Spain.* Appl Environ Microbiol 87:e02750-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02750-20

Ciannella, S., González-Fernández, C., & Gomez-Pastora, J. (2023). *Recent progress on wastewater-based epidemiology for COVID-19 surveillance: A systematic review of analytical procedures and epidemiological modeling.* Science of The Total Environment. Volume 878. 2023. 162953. ISSN 0048-9697. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162953.

Cowger, T. L., Burns, C. C., Sharif, S., Gary, H. E., Iber, J., Henderson, E., Malik, F., Zahoor Zaidi, S. S., Shaukat, S., Rehman, L., Pallansch, M. A., & Orenstein, W. A. (2017). *The role of supplementary environmental surveillance to complement acute flaccid paralysis surveillance for wild poliovirus in Pakistan - 2011-2013.* PloS One, 12(7), e0180608. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180608

Daleiden, B., Niederstätter, H., Steinlechner, M., Wildt, S., Kaiser, M., Lass-Flörl, C., Posch, W., Fuchs, S., Pfeifer, B., Huber, A., & Oberacher, H. (2022). *Wastewater surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 in Austria: development, implementation, and operation of the Tyrolean wastewater monitoring program.* Journal of Water and Health, 20(2), 314–328. https://doi.org/10.2166/wh.2022.218

Deng, Y., Xu, X., Zheng, X., Ding, J., Li, S., Chui, H.K., Wong, T.K., Poon, L. L. M., & Zhang, T. (2022). *Use of sewage surveillance for COVID-19 to guide public health response: A case study in Hong Kong.* The Science of the Total Environment, 821, 153250. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153250

Dixon, B. E., Dearth, S., Duszynski, T. J., & Grannis, S. J. (2022). *Dashboards Are Trendy, Visible Components of Data Management in Public Health: Sustaining Their Use After the Pandemic*

Requires a Broader View. Am J Public Health. 2022 Jun;112(6):900-903. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2022.306849. Epub 2022 Apr 21. PMID: 35446601; PMCID: PMC9137010. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2022.306849

Dong, E., Du, H., & Gardner, L. (2020). *An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time*. The Lancet Infectious Diseases, Volume 20, Issue 5, 533 – 534. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30120-1

Emam, K. E., Rodgers, S., & Malin, B. (2015). *Anonymising and sharing individual patient data*. BMJ: British Medical Journal. 2015;350:h1139. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h1139

ESR (2024). *Wastewater COVID-19 Surveillance*. https://esr-cri.shinyapps.io/wastewater/#region=Wellington&log_or_linear=linear&period=twelveMonthsButton Last updated: December 20, 2024. Last accessed: January 2, 2025

EU (2021). *Commission recommendation (EU) 2021/472 of 17 March 2021 on a common approach to establish a systematic surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 and its variants in wastewaters in the EU.* Official Journal of the European Union.

European Commission (2022). *Proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the council concerning urban wastewater treatment (recast).* COM/2022/541/final.

EU (2024). Directive (EU) 2024/3019 of the European parliament and of the council of 27 November 2024 concerning wastewater treatment.

Focosi, D., Spezia, P. G., & Maggi, F. (2024). *Online dashboards for SARS-CoV-2 wastewater-based epidemiology*. Future Microbiology, 19(9), 761–769. https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb-2024-0033

Galani, A., Aalizadeh, R., Kostakis, M., Markou, A., Alygizakis, N., Lytras, T., Adamopoulos, P. G., Peccia, J., Thompson, D. C., Kontou, A., Karagiannidis, A., Lianidou, E. S., Avgeris, M., Paraskevis, D., Tsiodras, S., Scorilas, A., Vasiliou, V., Dimopoulos, M.A., & Thomaidis, N. S. (2022). *Sars-CoV-2 wastewater surveillance data can predict hospitalizations and ICU admissions*. Science of The Total Environment. Volume 804. 2022. 150151. ISSN 0048-9697. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150151.

Gao, Z., Li, P., Lin, H., Lin, W., & Ren, Y. (2022). *Biomarker selection strategies based on compound stability in wastewater-based epidemiology*. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* **30**, 5516–5529 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-24268-y

Gigerenzer, G., Hurrelmann, K., Jonitz, G., & Schaeffer, D. (2022). *Deutschland braucht eine Agentur für Gesundheitskommunikation*. Gesundheitswesen 2022; 84(01): 13-15. DOI: 10.1055/a-1710-6540

Global Water Pathogens Project (2025). *Wastewater Sphere*. Global Water Pathogens Project. https://sphere.waterpathogens.org/. Last accessed: January 2, 2025

Gonzalez, R., Curtis, K., Bivins, A., Bibby, K., Weir, M. H., Yetka, K., Thompson, H., Keeling, D., Mitchell, J., & Gonzalez, D. (2020). *Covid-19 surveillance in Southeastern Virginia using wastewater-based epidemiology.* Water Research. Volume 186. 2020. 116296. ISSN 0043-1354. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116296.

an der Heiden M., Hamouda O. (2020). *Schätzung der aktuellen Entwicklung der SARS-CoV-2-Epidemie in Deutschland – Nowcasting.* Epid Bull 2020;17:10 – 16. DOI 10.25646/6692.4

an der Heiden, Matthias (2023): SARS-CoV-2-Nowcasting und -R-Schaetzung, Berlin: Zenodo. DOI:10.5281/zenodo.8062328. Last accessed: February 7, 2025

Hill, D., C. Dunham, D. Larsen and M. Collins (2023). *Operationalizing an open-source dashboard for communicating results of wastewater-based surveillance*. MethodsX. Volume 11. 2023. 102299. ISSN 2215-0161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2023.102299

Hillary, L., Farkas, K., Maher, K., Lucaci, A., Thorpe, J., Distaso, M., Gaze, W., Paterson, S., Burke, T., Connor, T., McDonald, J., Malham, S., & Jones, D. (2021*). Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 in municipal wastewater to evaluate the success of lockdown measures for controlling COVID-19 in the UK*. *Water Research.* 200, 117214 - 117214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117214

Ho, J., Stange, C., Suhrborg, R., Wurzbacher, C., Drewes, J. E., & Tiehm, A. (2021). *Sars-CoV-2 wastewater surveillance in Germany: Long-term RT-digital droplet PCR monitoring, suitability of primer/probe combinations and biomarker stability*. Water Research, 210, 117977. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117977

JHU (2023). *COVID-19 Dashboard.* John Hopkins University. Available at: https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html. Last updated: March 10, 2023. Last accessed: January 2, 2025.

Jmii, H., Gharbi-Khelifi, H., Assaoudi, R., & Aouni, M. (2021). *Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in the sewerage system in Tunisia: A promising tool to confront COVID-19 pandemic.* Future Virology. 10.2217/fvl-2021-0050. DOI: 10.2217/fvl-2021-0050. Epub 2021 Oct 14. PMID: 34659444; PMCID: PMC8516351.

Kamel Boulos, M. N., & Geraghty, E. M. (2020). *Geographical tracking and mapping of coronavirus disease COVID-19/severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) epidemic and associated events around the world: How 21st century GIS technologies are supporting the global fight against outbreaks and epidemics*. International Journal of Health Geographics, 19(1), 8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12942-020-00202-8

Kantor, R., Nelson, K., Greenwald, H., & Kennedy, L. (2021*). Challenges in Measuring the Recovery of SARS-CoV-2 from Wastewater.* Environmental Science & Technology. 55 (6), 3514-3519. DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.0c08210

Karthikeyan, S., Nguyen, A., McDonald, D., Zong, Y., Ronquillo, N., Ren, J [Junting], Zou, J., Farmer, S., Humphrey, G., Henderson, D., Javidi, T., Messer, K., Anderson, C., Schooley, R., Martin, N. K., & Knight, R. (2021). *Rapid, Large-Scale Wastewater Surveillance and Automated Reporting System Enable Early Detection of Nearly 85% of COVID-19 Cases on a University Campus.* MSystems, 6(4), e0079321. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00793-21

Keenum, I., Lin, N.J., Logan-Jackson, A., Gushgari, A.J., D'Souza, N., Steele, J.A., Kaya, D.,Gushgari, L.R. (2024). *Optimizing Wastewater Surveillance: The Necessity of Standardized Reporting and Proficiency for Public Health.* American Journal of Public Health. 114(9):859-863. DOI: 10.2105/AJPH.2024.307760. PMID: 39110937; PMCID: PMC11306606

Keshaviah, A., Hu, X. C., & Henry, M. (2021). *Developing a Flexible National Wastewater Surveillance System for COVID-19 and Beyond.* Environmental Health Perspectives, 129(4):45002. DOI: 10.1289/EHP8572. Epub 2021 Apr 20. Erratum in: Environ Health Perspect. 2021 May;129(5):59001. DOI: 10.1289/EHP9531. PMID: 33877858; PMCID: PMC8057681.

Kirby, A. E., Walters, M. S., Jennings, W. C., Fugitt, R., LaCross, N., Mattioli, M., Marsh, Z. A., Roberts, V. A., Mercante, J. W., Yoder, J., & Hill, V. R. (2021). *Using Wastewater Surveillance Data to Support the COVID-19 Response - United States, 2020-2021*. US Department of Health and Human Services/Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Vol. 70/ No. 36. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7036a2

Kitajima M, Ahmed W, Bibby K, Carducci A, Gerba CP, Hamilton KA, Haramoto E, Rose JB. *SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater: State of the knowledge and research needs. Science of the Total Environment.* 2020 Oct 15;739:139076. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139076. Epub 2020 Apr 30. PMID: 32758929; PMCID: PMC7191289.

Kopel, E., Kaliner, E., & Grotto, I. (2014). *Lessons from a public health emergency—importation of wild poliovirus to Israel.* The New England Journal of Medicine, 371(11), 981–983. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1406250

La Rosa, G., Mancini, P., Bonanno Ferraro, G., Veneri, C., Iaconelli, M., Bonadonna, L., Lucentini, L., & Suffredini, E. (2021). *Sars-CoV-2 has been circulating in northern Italy since December 2019: Evidence from environmental monitoring.* The Science of the Total Environment, 750, 141711. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141711

Lai, F. Y., Ort, C., Gartner, C., Carter, S., Prichard, J., Kirkbride, P., Bruno, R., Hall, W., Eaglesham, G., & Mueller, J. F. (2011). *Refining the estimation of illicit drug consumptions from wastewater analysis: Co-analysis of prescription pharmaceuticals and uncertainty assessment*. Water Research. Volume 45. Issue 15. 2011. Pages 4437-4448. ISSN 0043-1354. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.05.042

Larsen, D.A., Wigginton, K.R. (2020). *Tracking COVID-19 with wastewater.* Nature Biotechnology. 38(10); 1151-1153. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-0690-1

Larsson, D. G. J., Flach, C.F., & Laxminarayan, R. (2023). *Sewage surveillance of antibiotic resistance holds both opportunities and challenges.* Nat Rev Microbiol *21*, 213–214 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-022-00835-5

Landesministerium für Gesundheit und Lebensmittelsicherheit (LGL). (2024). *Bay-VOC Molekulargenetisches SARS-CoV-2 Überwachungsnetzwerk in Bayern*. Bayerisches Landesamt für Gesundheit und Lebensmittelsicherheit. Available at: https://www.bay-voc.lmu.de/ . Last accessed: January 2, 2025.

Landratsamt Berchtesgadener Land (2022). *Abwassermonitoring von SARS-CoV-2 im Landkreis Berchtesgadener Land.* Available at: https://experience.arcgis.com/experience/4bc6e16430264215ba5ad1dd14ffbc78/page/Abwasser-Monitor---LK-BGL/ . Last accessed: January 2, 2025.

Liu, M., Liu, Y., & Liu, J. (2023). *Epidemiology-aware Deep Learning for Infectious Disease Dynamics Prediction*. Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management. (CIKM '23). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 4084–4088. https://doi.org/10.1145/3583780.3615139

Llanos, R. de, Cejudo-Marín, R., Barneo, M., Pérez-Cataluña, A., Barberá-Riera, M., Rebagliato, M., Bellido-Blasco, J., Sánchez, G., Hernández, F., & Bijlsma, L. (2022*). Monitoring the evolution of SARS-CoV-2 on a Spanish university campus through wastewater analysis: A pilot project for the reopening strategy*. The Science of the Total Environment, 845, 157370. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.157370

Lodder, W., & Roda Husman, A. M. de. (2020). *Sars-CoV-2 in wastewater: Potential health risk, but also data source*. The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology, Volume 5, Issue 6, 533 - 534 https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253(20)30087-X

MacDonald, A., Usman, H., Hinshaw, D., Meurer, D., & Sikora, C. (2017). *Facilitating Public Health Action through Surveillance Dashboards. Online Journal of Public Health Informatics. 9(1):e62021.* https://doi.org/10.5210/OJPHI.V9I1.7684. PMCID: 5462256

McNabb, S. J. N. (2010). *Comprehensive effective and efficient global public health surveillance.* BMC Public Health 10 (Suppl 1), S3 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-10-S1-S3

Markt, R., Mayr, M., Peer, E., Wagner, A. O., Lackner, N., & Insam, H. (2021). *Detection and Stability of SARS-CoV-2 Fragments in Wastewater: Impact of Storage Temperature.* Pathogens, 10(9), 1215. https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens10091215

Medema, G., Heijnen, L., Elsinga, G., Italiaander, R., & Brouwer, A. (2020a). *Presence of SARS-Coronavirus-2 RNA in Sewage and Correlation with Reported COVID-19 Prevalence in the Early Stage of the Epidemic in The Netherlands.* Environmental Science & Technology Letters. Vol 7/Issue7. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.0c00357

Medema, G., Been, F., Heijnen, L., & Petterson, S. (2020b*). Implementation of environmental surveillance for SARS-CoV-2 virus to support public health decisions: Opportunities and challenges.* Current Opinion in Environmental Science & Health, 17, 49–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.09.006

Mendoza, D., Perozo, M., Garaboto, M.A. (2023). *An integrated data analysis and machine learning approach to track and monitor SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater treatment plants.* Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol. 21, 4727–4738 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-023-05310-z

Mitranescu, A., Uchaikina, A., Kau, A.S., Stange, C., Ho, J., Tiehm, A., Wurzbacher, C., & E. Drewes, J. (2022). *Wastewater-Based Epidemiology for SARS-CoV-2 Biomarkers: Evaluation of Normalization Methods in Small and Large Communities in Southern Germany.* ACS ES T Water. 2022 Oct 10;2(12):2460-2470. doi: 10.1021/acsestwater.2c00306. PMID: 37552738.

Morgan, O. (2019). *How decision makers can use quantitative approaches to guide outbreak responses.* Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2019 Jul 8;374(1776):20180365. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2018.0365. PMID: 31104605; PMCID: PMC6558558.

Oyervides-Muñoz, M. A., A. Aguayo-Acosta, D. de los Cobos-Vasconcelos, J. Carrillo-Reyes, A. C. Espinosa-García, E. Campos, E. M. Driver, S. L. Lucero-Saucedo, A. Armenta-Castro, O. de la Rosa, M. Martínez-Ruiz, M. Barragán-Trinidad, N. Vázquez-Salvador, M. A. Silva-Magaña, M. Zavala-Méndez, H. M. N. Iqbal, M. Mazari-Hiriart, H. Velazco, G. Buitrón, A. Noyola, R. U. Halden, J. E. Sosa-Hernández and R. Parra-Saldívar (2024*). Inter-institutional laboratory standardization for SARS-CoV-2 surveillance through wastewater-based epidemiology applied to Mexico City.* IJID Regions 12: 100429. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijregi.2024.100429

Naughton, C. C., Holm, R. H., James, B. P., & Smith, T. (2023a). *Online dashboards for SARS-CoV-2 wastewater data need standard best practices: an environmental health communication agenda.* Journal of Water and Health. 2023 May;21(5):615-624. DOI: 10.2166/wh.2023.312. PMID: 37254909; PMCID: PMC10846915.

Naughton, C. C., Roman, F. A., Alvarado, A. G. F., Tariqi, A. Q., Deeming, M. A., Bibby, K., Bivins, A., Rose, J. B., Medema, G., Ahmed, W., Katsivelis, P., Allan, V., Sinclair, R., Zhang, Y., & Kinyua, M. N. (2023b). *Show us the Data: Global COVID-19 Wastewater Monitoring Efforts, Equity, and Gaps. FEMS Microbes.* Volume 4, 2023, xtad003, https://doi.org/10.1093/femsmc/xtad003

New York State (2025). *COVID-19 wastewater surveillance weekly summary report*. Available at: https://coronavirus.health.ny.gov/covid-19-wastewater-surveillance-weekly-summary-report Last accessed: January 2, 2025

Nyaruaba R, Mwaliko C, Dobnik D, Neužil P, Amoth P, Mwau M, Yu J, Yang H, Wei H. (2022) *Digital PCR Applications in the SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19 Era: a Roadmap for Future Outbreaks.* Clin

Microbiol Rev. 2022 Sep 21;35(3):e0016821. https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00168-21. Epub 2022 Mar 8. Erratum in: Clin Microbiol Rev. 2023 Jun 21;36(2):e0005223. DOI:10.1128/cmr.00052-23. PMID: 35258315; PMCID: PMC9491181.

O'Brien, J. W., Thai, P. K., & Tscharke, B. J. (2023). *Population biomarkers for wastewater-based epidemiology.* Academic Press. 2023, Pages 123-138, ISBN 9780443191725, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-19172-5.00005-6.

Paul, J. R., Trask, J. D., & Gard, S. (1940). II. Poliomyelitic virus in urban sewage. J Exp Med. 1940 May 31;71(6):765-77. DOI: 10.1084/jem.71.6.765. PMID: 19870997; PMCID: PMC2135110.

Peters, M., & Zeeb, H. (2022). *Availability of open data for spatial public health research.* GMS German Medical Science. https://doi.org/10.3205/000303

Pecson, B. M., E. Darby, C. N. Haas, Y. M. Amha, M. Bartolo, R. Danielson, Y. Dearborn, G. Di Giovanni, C. Ferguson, S. Fevig, E. Gaddis, D. Gray, G. Lukasik, B. Mull, L. Olivas, A. Olivieri, Y. Qu and S. A.-C.-I. Consortium (2021). *Reproducibility and sensitivity of 36 methods to quantify the SARS-CoV-2 genetic signal in raw wastewater: findings from an interlaboratory methods evaluation in the U.S.* Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology 7(3): 504-520. https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EW00946F

Pillay, L., Amoah, I. D., Deepnarain, N., Pillay, K., Awolusi, O. O., Kumari, S., & Bux, F. (2021). Monitoring changes in COVID-19 infection using wastewater-based epidemiology: A South African perspective. *The Science of the Total Environment*, *786*, 147273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147273

Presse Augsburg (2023). *COVID-19-Abwassermonitoring im Landkreis Augsburg – Werte für Königsbrunn und Stadtbergen einsehbar.* Available at: https://presse-augsburg.de/covid-19-abwassermonitoring-im-landkreis-augsburg-werte-fuer-koenigsbrunn-und-stadtbergen-einsehbar/847391/. Last accessed: January 2, 2025.

Rauch, W., Schenk, H., Insam, H., Markt, R., & Kreuzinger, N. (2022). *Data modelling recipes for SARS-CoV-2 wastewater-based epidemiology*. Environmental Research. Volume 214, Part 1, 2022, 113809, ISSN 0013-9351, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.113809.

RIVM (2024). *Weekly coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 figures.* Rijksoverheid. National Institute for Public Health and the Environment. Available at: https://coronadashboard.government.nl/landelijk/rioolwater Last accessed: January 2, 2025

RKI (2021). *Nowcasting und R-Schätzung: Schätzung der aktuellen Entwicklung der SARS-CoV-2-Epidemie in Deutschland*. Robert Koch Institut. Available at: https://www.rki.de/DE/Content/InfAZ/N/Neuartiges_Coronavirus/Projekte_RKI/Nowcasting.html Last accessed: January 2, 2025

RKI (2023a). *AMELAG: Abwassermonitoring für die epidemiologische Lagebewertung: Überwachung von SARS-CoV-2, Influenza- und Polioerregern im Abwasser*. Robert Koch Institut. Available at: https://www.rki.de/DE/Content/Institut/OrgEinheiten/Abt3/FG32/Abwassersurveillance/Abwassersurveillance.html Last accessed: January 2, 2025

RKI (2023b). *Corona-Pandemieradar*. Robert Koch Institut. Available at: https://corona-pandemieradar.de/de/inzidenz Last accessed: January 2, 2025

RKI (2023c). *Nationales Pilotprojekt ESI-CorA.* Robert Koch Institut. Available at: https://www.rki.de/DE/Content/Institut/OrgEinheiten/Abt3/FG32/Abwassersurveillance/ESI-CorA.html Last accessed: January 2, 2025

Roßmann, K., Clasen, R., Münch, M., Wurzbacher, C., Tiehm, A., & Drewes, J. E. (2021). *SARS-CoV-2 crisis management with a wastewater early-warning system in the bavarian district of Berchtesgadener Land, Germany.* Deutsches Ärzteblatt International 2021; 118: 479-80. DOI: 10.3238/arztebl.m2021.0261

Roßmann, K., Großmann, G., Frangoulidis, D., Clasen, R., Münch, M., Hasenknopf, M., Wurzbacher, C., Tiehm, A., Stange, C., Ho, J., Woermann, M., & Drewes, J. E. (2022). *Innovatives SARS-CoV-2-Krisenmanagement im öffentlichen Gesundheitswesen: Corona-Dashboard und Abwasserfrühwarnsystem am Beispiel Berchtesgadener Land [Innovative SARS-CoV-2 crisis management in the public health sector: Corona dashboard and wastewater surveillance using the example of Berchtesgadener Land, Germany*. Bundesgesundheitsblatt, Gesundheitsforschung, Gesundheitsschutz, 65(3), 367–377. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00103-021-03425-7

Salvagno, G. L., Henry, B. M., de, N. S., Pighi, L., & Lippi, G. (2022). *Association between viral load and positivization time of a SARS-CoV-2 rapid antigen test in routine nasopharyngeal specimens.* Journal of Medical Biochemistry. 2022 Oct 15;41(4):513-517. doi: 10.5937/jomb0-35482. PMID: 36381068; PMCID: PMC9618342.

SAMCR (2023). *SAMRC SARS-CoV-2 Wastewater Surveillance Dashboard*. Available at: https://www.samrc.ac.za/wbe/ Last updated: April 5, 2023. Last accessed: January 2, 2025

Santé Publique France. (2023). *Info Covid France.* Available at: https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/dossiers/coronavirus-covid-19/english-coronavirus-key-numbers-for-covid-19-and-its-evolution-in-france-and-across-the-world Last accessed: January 3, 2025

Saravia, C. J., Pütz, P., Wurzbacher, C., Uchaikina, A., Drewes J. E., Braun, U., Bannick, C. G., Obermaier, N. (2024). *Wastewater-based epidemiology: deriving a SARS-CoV-2 data validation method to assess data quality and to improve trend recognition.* Frontiers in Public Health. Volume 12. DOI = 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1497100. ISSN=2296-2565

Schenk, H., Heidinger, P., Insam, H., Kreuzinger, N., Markt, R., Nägele, F., Oberacher, H., Scheffknecht, C., Steinlechner, M., Vogl, G., Wagner, A. O., & Rauch, W. (2023). *Prediction of hospitalisations based on wastewater-based SARS-CoV-2 epidemiology.* Science of The Total Environment. Volume 873, 2023, 162149, ISSN 0048-9697, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162149

Schmid N., Bicker J., Hofmann A.F., Wallrafen-Sam K., Kerkmann D., Wieser A., Kühn M.J., Hasenauer J. (2024). *Integrative Modeling of the Spread of Serious Infectious Diseases and Corresponding Wastewater Dynamics.* medRxiv 2024.11.10.24317057; https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.11.10.24317057

Sciensano (2023). *Wastewater surveillance – Respiratory viruses*. Available at: https://wastewater.sciensano.be/dashboard/covid19/en/ Last accessed: January 3, 2025

SciLifeLab, & Pathogens Sweden (2025). *SARS-CoV-2 quantification*. Available at: https://www.pathogens.se/dashboards/wastewater/covid_quantification/covid_quant_slu/ Last accessed: January 3, 2025

SEARCH (2025). *San Diego wastewater surveillance.* San Diego epidemiology and research for COVID health. Available at: https://searchcovid.info/dashboards/wastewater-surveillance/ Last accessed: January 3, 2025

Sherchan, S. P., Shahin, S., Ward, L. M., Tandukar, S., Aw, T. G., Schmitz, B., Ahmed, W., & Kitajima, M. (2020). *First detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater in North America: A study in Louisiana, USA.* Science of The Total Environment. Volume 743, 2020, 140621, ISSN 0048-9697, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140621.

Singer, A. C., Thompson, J. R., Filho, C. R. M., Street, R., Li, X., Castiglioni, S., & Thomas, K. V. (2023). *A world of wastewater-based epidemiology.* Nature Water, 1(5), 408-415. https://doi.org/10.1038/s44221-023-00083-8

Sloan, A., Cheng, C., Rosner, B., Ziegler, R. G., Smith-Warner, S. A., & Wang, M. (2022). *A repeated measures approach to pooled and calibrated biomarker data*. Biometrics. 2023 Jun;79(2):1485-1495. DOI: 10.1111/biom.13618. Epub 2022 Mar 13. PMID: 34967001; PMCID: PMC9986973

Stange, C., Ho, J., Sanchez-Cid, C., Mulogo, E., Nasser, A., Nhantumbo, C., Monteiro, S., Simonsson, M., Blanch, A. R., Vogel, T. M., & Tiehm, A. (2023). *WBE and Environmental Monitoring of Antimicrobial Resistance in Europe and Africa.* GLOWACON Conference 2023

Stoney, C., Asquith, A., Kipper, K., McNeill, J., Martin, J., & Spano, A. (2023). *Policy-making, policy-taking, and policy-shaping: Local government responses to the COVID-19 pandemic*. Australian Journal of Public Administration, 82, 440–461. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8500.12585

Street, R., Malema, S., Mahlangeni, N., & Mathee, A. (2020*). Wastewater surveillance for Covid-19: An African perspective. The Science of the Total Environment,* 743, 140719 - 140719. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140719.

Thomas, K. V., Amador, A., Baz-Lomba, J. A., & Reid, M. (2017). *Use of Mobile Device Data To Better Estimate Dynamic Population Size for Wastewater-Based Epidemiology.* Environmental Science & Technology. 2017 Oct 3;51(19):11363-11370. DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.7b02538. Epub 2017 Sep 20. PMID: 28929740.

Tscharke, B. J., O'Brien, J. W., Ort, C., Grant, S., Gerber, C., Bade, R., Thai, P. K., Thomas, K. V., & Mueller, J. F. (2019). *Harnessing the Power of the Census: Characterizing Wastewater Treatment Plant Catchment Populations for Wastewater-Based Epidemiology.* Environmental Science & Technology. 2019 Sep 3;53(17):10303-10311. DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.9b03447. Epub 2019 Aug 22. PMID: 31359751.

UC (2025). *COVIDPoops19.* University of California Merced. Available at: https://ucmerced.maps.arcgis.com/apps/dashboards/c778145ea5bb4daeb58d31afee389082 Last accessed: January 3, 2025

Uchaikina, A., Mitranescu, A., Kau, A.S., Kluge, M., Stange, C., Ho, J., Tiehm, A., Wurzbacher, C. & Drewes, J.E. (2023). *Wastewater epidemiology for SARS-CoV-2 biomarkers: diurnal variation of biomarkers depending on community size.* Vom Wasser 121(3), 86-88. https://doi.org/10.1002/vomw.202300016

UKHSA (2022). *EMHP wastewater monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 in England: 1 June 2021 to 7 March 2022.* UK Health Agency. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/monitoring-of-sars-cov-2-rna-in-england-wastewater-monthly-statistics-1-june-2021-to-7-march-2022/emhp-wastewater-monitoring-of-sars-cov-2-in-england-1-june-2021-to-7-march-2022#regional-average-concentration-of-sars-cov-2-rna-in-wastewater Published: March 31, 2022. Last accessed: January 3, 2025

Van Nuijs, A., Lai, F., Béen, F., Andrés-Costa, M., Barron, L., Baz-Lomba, J., Berset, J., Benaglia, L., Bijlsma, L., Burgard, D., Castiglioni, S., Christophoridis, C., Covaci, A., De Voogt, P., Emke, E., Fatta-Kassinos, D., Fick, J., Hernández, F., Gerber, C., González-Mariño, I., Grabic, R., Gunnar, T., Kannan, K., Karolak, S., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Kokot, Z., Krizman-Matasic, I., Li, A., Li, X., Löve, A., De Alda, L., McCall, A., Meyer, M., Oberacher, H., O'Brien, J., Quintana, J., Reid, M., Schneider, S., Simões, S., Thomaidis, N., Thomas, K., Yargeau, V., & Ort, C. (2018*). Multi-year inter-laboratory exercises for the analysis of illicit drugs and metabolites in wastewater: Development of a quality control system. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry.* https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRAC.2018.03.009.

Van Panhuis, W. G., Paul, P., Emerson, C., Grefenstette, J., Wilder, R., Herbst, A. J., Heymann, D., & Burke, D. S. (2014). *A systematic review of barriers to data sharing in public health.* BMC Public Health 14, 1144. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-1144

Van Vo, Tillett, R. L., Papp, K., Shen, S., Gu, R., Gorzalski, A., Siao, D., Markland, R., Chang, C.L., Baker, H., Chen, J., Schiller, M., Betancourt, W. Q., Buttery, E., Pandori, M., Picker, M. A., Gerrity, D., & Oh, E. C. (2022). *Use of wastewater surveillance for early detection of Alpha and Epsilon SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern and estimation of overall COVID-19 infection burden.* Science of The Total Environment. Volume 835, 2022, 155410, ISSN 0048-9697, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155410

Wiens, J., & Shenoy, E. (2018). *Machine Learning for Healthcare: On the Verge of a Major Shift in Healthcare Epidemiology. Clinical Infectious Diseases, 66, 149*–153. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cix731.

Wilson, M.; Qiu, Y.; Yu, J.; Lee, B.E.; McCarthy, D.T.; Pang, X. (2022). *Comparison of Auto Sampling and Passive Sampling Methods for SARS-CoV-2 Detection in Wastewater. Pathogens 2022, 11, 359.* https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens11030359

WHO (2003). *Guidelines for environmental surveillance of poliovirus circulation.* World Health Organization; Vaccine Assessment and Monitoring of the Department of Vaccines and Biologicals. Available at: https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/67854/?sequence=1 Last accessed: February 06, 2025

WHO (2024). *WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard*. Available at: https://covid19.who.int/ Last accessed: December 15, 2024

Wilhelm, A., Schoth, J., Meinert-Berning, C., Bastian, D., Blum, H., Elsinga, G., Graf, A., Heijnen, L., Ho, J., Kluge, M., Krebs, S., Stange, C., Uchaikina, A., Dolny, R., Wurzbacher, C., Drewes, J. E., Medema, G., Tiehm, A., Ciesek, S., Teichgräber B., Wintgens T., Weber F. A., Widera, M. (2023). *Interlaboratory comparison using inactivated SARS-CoV-2 variants as a feasible tool for quality control in COVID-19 wastewater monitoring.* The Science of the Total Environment, 903, 166540. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.166540

Wu, Y., Yang, Y., Nishiura, H., & Saitoh, M. (2018). *Deep Learning for Epidemiological Predictions. The 41st International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research & Development in Information Retrieval.* https://doi.org/10.1145/3209978.3210077.

Zehnder, C., Béen, F., Vojinovic, Z., Savic, D., Torres, A., Mark, O., Zlatanovic, L., & Abebe, Y. (2023). Machine Learning for Detecting Virus Infection Hotspots Via Wastewater-Based Epidemiology: The Case of SARS-CoV-2 RNA. Geohealth. 2023 Oct 4;7(10):e2023GH000866. DOI: 10.1029/2023GH000866. PMID: 37799774; PMCID: PMC10550031.