Daylight-Saving Time & Health:
A Systematic Review of Beneficial & Adverse Effects

Aiste Steponenaite[1], Jonas P. Wallraff[2], Ursula Wild[2], Lorna Brown[1], Ben Bullock[3], Gurprit S. Lall[1], Sally Ferguson[4], Russell G. Foster[5], Jennifer Walsh[6], Greg Murray[3], Thomas C. Erren[2], Philip Lewis[2*]

[1]Medway School of Pharmacy, University of Kent and University of Greenwich, UK.
[2]Institute and Policlinic for Occupational Medicine, Environmental Medicine and Prevention Research, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital of Cologne, University of Cologne, Germany.
[3]Centre for Mental Health, Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne, Australia.
[4]Appleton Institute, Central Queensland University, Wayville, SA, Australia.
[5]Sir Jules Thorn Sleep and Circadian Neuroscience Institute (SCNi), Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Oxford, Dorothy Crowfoot Hodgkin Building, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3QU, UK. russell.foster@eye.ox.ac.uk.
[6]Centre for Sleep Science, School of Human Sciences, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, Western Australia, Australia.

***Correspondence:**
Philip Lewis – philip.lewis@uk-koeln.de

Structured Summary = 300/300 words.
Main Text = 3993 words, 3 Tables, 1 Figure
References = 48
Appendix

Key words: daylight saving, DST, time change, heart attack, myocardial infarction, mortality, mental health, psychiatry, suicide, traffic, accidents, circadian, sleep

SUMMARY

Background: Whether to maintain biannual time transitions or adopt perennial daylight-saving time (DST) or Standard Time is widely debated but health impacts have not been comprehensively reviewed.

Method: Five literature databases were screened to September 01, 2024. Effects of transitions and living with DST or Standard Time on all-cause mortality, accidents, cardiovascular-, gastrointestinal-, psychiatric-, neurologic-, cognitive-, and circadian- or sleep-related outcomes were included. The Joanna Briggs Institute's Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies was used to assess quality.

Findings: N=149 studies of various designs, locations (36 countries), and quality were identified. The evidence suggests DST-Onset transitions increase risk of acute myocardial infarction (17 studies, 5 high quality) and fatal traffic accidents (14 studies, 3 high quality) but decrease crimes involving physical harm (5 studies, 3 high quality). By contrast, DST-Offset transitions are associated with decreased all-cause mortality (3 studies, 1 high quality), fatal traffic accidents, and workplace accidents (8 studies, 1 high quality), but increased crimes involving physical harm. DST-Onset is only observed to affect sleep duration in individuals with early school or work start times, whereas DST-Offset appears to increase duration (17 studies, 2 high quality). Sleep timing shifts of <1-hour following transitions may occur, but are not indicative of circadian disruption. No studies clearly identify effects on psychiatric outcomes (15 studies, 1 high quality) or circadian rhythm disruptions (7 studies, 0 high quality). Living with DST during summer and winter is associated with decreased all-cause mortality and sleep duration, respectively (2 studies, 1 high quality).

Interpretation: Benefits of DST during summer on mortality, of DST-Offset transitions on sleep and mortality, and of Standard Time during winter on sleep argue in favour of maintaining transitions. Adverse effects of transitions could be mitigated by strategies to protect individuals at risk of myocardial infarction, reduce traffic accidents, and prevent crimes.

Funding: None.

RESEARCH IN CONTEXT

Evidence before this study

The abolition of daylight-saving time (DST) transitions in favour of perennial DST or Standard Time has been called for but health impacts have not been comprehensively reviewed.

Added value of this study

N=149 original research studies that comprise various study designs, populations, and locations (36 countries worldwide) were identified, critically appraised, and synthesised in this study. Transitions between DST and Standard Time, living with DST or Standard Time between transitions or perennially, or living at different longitudes in a given time zone are considered as exposures. An extensive list of outcomes can be broadly categorised into all-cause mortality, accidents, cardiovascular-, gastrointestinal-, psychiatric-, neurologic-, cognitive-, and circadian- or sleep-related health outcomes. The present study is the most comprehensive systematic review of effects of transitions or living with DST vs Standard Time on health, which can contribute to an evidence-based debate.

Implications of all the available evidence

The apparent benefits of DST during summer months on mortality, DST-Offset on sleep duration and mortality, and Standard Time during winter months on sleep duration argue in favour of keeping transitions. If kept, adverse effects of transitions should be mitigated in individuals susceptible to myocardial infarction or exacerbations of disease. Strategies to reduce both traffic accidents following DST-Onset and crime following DST-Offset could also provide benefit. The exact mechanisms of DST-Onset strain (or benefit of DST during summer months and benefit of DST-Offset) remain open. Additional open questions pertain to the severity of transition effects in different locations, by susceptibility of individuals to health effects, and baseline risks of traffic accidents and crimes. From a health perspective, working toward keeping the good and mitigating the bad associated with transitions and DST may be warranted.

INTRODUCTION

Standard Time in a time zone is set as 12:00 coinciding with the sun reaching its zenith at a specific meridian line (a specific line of longitude) for that time zone. Time zone boundaries are set by political and geographical considerations. Since the 1840's, Standard Time was implemented to facilitate the coordination of various activities across regions, most notably for railway timetables. In many regions, there are biannual time transitions that involve switching between daylight-saving time (DST) and Standard Time. The intended benefit of DST during summer months is to increase available daylight in the evenings when (for many Western lifestyles) people are unconstrained by, for example, work or study commitments.

Transition to DST involves setting local or social clocks (i.e., the clock on the wall) to a later time (typically by 1-hour) such that sunrise and sunset occur at later clock times.[1] Transition to Standard Time involves setting the clocks back to the earlier time. The terms "Spring Forward" to DST and "Fall Back" for returning to Standard Time are often used as a mnemonic to remember how to adjust clocks. As of 2025, transitioning to and from DST is practiced in 71 countries/autonomous territories, including in most European countries, Greenland, most states and provinces in the USA and Canada, several states in Mexico, Chile, Paraguay, several countries in the Caribbean, Egypt, a few Australian states, New Zealand, Israel, Palestine, and Lebanon. Morocco and Western Sahara set their clocks later for Ramadan. The transition dates (i.e., DST-Onset and DST-Offset) vary by location but are typically in spring and autumn, respectively.

Biannual time changes have become the subject of intense criticism and debate in terms of health and economic implications.[2-8] The debate issues are, firstly, whether to keep or abolish DST-Onset and DST-Offset transitions. If transitions are abolished, the debate becomes whether to implement perennial DST or perennial Standard Time. DST and Standard Time have also been widely discussed in the field of sleep and chronobiology because living or working against circadian timing systems (the internal body clocks that align physiology with daylight and darkness over 24-hours) can be detrimental to health, as evidenced in studies of night and shiftworkers.[9] Changes in social clock, behaviour, and light timing – as may occur with transitions – may affect circadian timing systems and subsequent physiology, performance, and health.[4,10]

Many sleep and chronobiology academic societies have offered position statements in favour of abolishing DST and implementing perennial Standard Time (incl. American Academy of Sleep Medicine [AASM], Sleep Research Society, European Biological Rhythms Society, European Sleep Research Society, and Society for Research on Biological Rhythms).[11-13] These statements were a response to the USA's Sunshine Protection Act to implement perennial DST and the European Union's proposal to abolish transitions.[2,3,14] However, the evidence bases used to support the position statements or the political proposals are not comprehensive with regard to health. For example, one can consider the following statement by the AASM (Rishi et al. 2024, pg. 122): "The 1-hour time shift in the spring results in the loss of 1 hour of sleep opportunity, due to the presence of continuing social or occupational demands in early morning hours. This sleep loss accrues daily, resulting in ongoing sleep debt."[12] The study being referenced – nine healthy adults, aged 20-40 years, living in Finland, and tracked across one DST-Onset and one DST-Offset transition for one week – is not sufficient to support the statement.[15] A comprehensive review of the epidemiological literature of effects on health of transitions and living with DST or Standard Time is warranted.

Whether and how several under-appreciated challenges to causal inference have been considered in studies is also important. Assessment of transition effects or effects of living with DST or Standard Time and application of chronobiological theory are further complicated in terms

of defining exposures (Fig. 1), differences in individual responsiveness, and assessment of population level outcomes, and that the timing of sunrise and sunset is also significantly influenced by longitude within a time zone (the sun rises and sets at later clock times further west within a time zone) and latitude (the duration of time from sunrise to sunset is longer in summer and shorter in winter as latitude increases).. At the exposure level, setting clocks forward or back can result in a shift of social activity timing and daylight exposures, the latter also depending on latitude and longitude. How long the potential effects of transitions might persist is an open question.[16] Individuals can be expected to differ in their responses to transitions according to several factors; e.g., timing of more susceptible periods on the phase response curve to light,[17] susceptibility to potential sleep disruptions (e.g., circadian linked, social responsibilities, or age),[18] times of work and social commitments (or perhaps other behaviours such as timing of medication), susceptibility to other stress such as nocebo effects, and, of course and importantly, by health status. Population level health outcomes may also vary by the populations that are assessed (e.g., proportions of population at higher or lower risk of heart attack following a time transition). In the studies of DST effects on health, comparative risk analyses using years, times-of-year, and/or places wherein DST is not practiced would seem pertinent to account for residual confounding and chance, but such placebo tests appear to currently be the exception rather than the rule.[16]

Overall, what is lacking in the current debate, and to inform policy, is a systematic review of the extensive literature on DST and health. The overarching aim of this study is to address this gap by providing a comprehensive synthesis of the available evidence for a wide range of potential health effects of DST practices.



Fig. 1: ***Schematic of potential exposures and time windows of interest.***

The DST period begins with the DST-Onset transition in spring. It continues through summer months until DST-Offset in autumn. Transition dates vary by region. Approximates dates are given in the figure for the northern and southern hemisphere. Not all countries or territories practice DST.

Transition effects on health may be expected to occur within a short period of time. While there is no specific index period within which effects are expected, we consider one month as an 'allowed' index period for studies to be included as having assessed effects of transitions. Most studies consider shorter time periods and use reference periods within one-month post transition. The further from the transition intervention date, the more difficult it is to ascribe effects as caused by the transition.

Living with DST as opposed to Standard Time during periods of the year is also considered a relevant exposure. For instance, the DST period over summer months between transitions would have to be compared to a Standard Time period over summer months between transitions. Similarly, the Standard Time Period over winter months between transitions would have to be compared to a DST period over winter months between transitions.

Created in BioRender. Steponenaite, A. (2025) https://BioRender.com/x24e890

METHODS

Design

The research question is: "What are the effects of DST practices on accident-, cardiovascular-, metabolic-, gastrointestinal-, immunologic-, psychiatric-, neurologic-, circadian and sleep-, and cognitive- related health outcomes, all-cause mortality and healthcare appointments/admissions, for whom, and where?" (predefined protocol on the Open Science Framework: osf.io/6srj3, registration date 22 June, 2023). This systematic review is not limited by study populations or study designs and includes several exposures and outcomes. Studies were collated, critically appraised, and the results synthesized. A synthesis of syntheses of the outcome categories is provided in the main text. The outcome categories *per se* are synthesised in greater depth in individual sections of the accompanying Appendix. The systematic review was conducted following Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.[19]

Population, Intervention/Exposure, Comparator, Outcome, Study Design (PICOS)

The PICOS of interest and contributing to study selection eligibility are presented in Table 1. Briefly, there were no population restrictions beyond "human". Studies of effects of transitions, effects of living with DST vs Standard Time, or living at different longitudes in the same time zone were considered relevant. The latter may be considered comparable to living with DST vs Standard Time in some instances. Comparators were based on time periods (e.g., pre-exposure vs post-exposure or corresponding periods with vs. without DST [i.e., index periods vs reference periods]) or location (e.g., population exposed vs. neighbouring population unexposed, or different longitudes but within the same time zone and latitude). Measures of differences in incidence, prevalence, risk, complications, deteriorations, and deaths for outcomes listed in our study question above were considered relevant. There were no restrictions by study design.

Search strategy and selection criteria

Medline, Web of Science Core Collection, Scopus, PsychINFO, and EconLit databases were screened up to 01 September 2024 using strings of search terms that pertain to the exposure alone. Thus, there was no loss of resolution by combing with terms related to population, comparator, outcomes, or study design. The search strings are presented in Table 1. All returned records were exported into the Rayyan web tool for further screening against the eligibility criteria presented in Table 1. All steps were performed independently by at least two authors (AS, JPW, PL).

Table 1: Search strings & eligibility criteria

Medline **PsychINFO** **EconLit**	All fields:	"daylight savin*" OR "time change" OR "standard time" OR"wintertime" OR "summertime" OR "winter time" OR "summertime" OR "longitude" OR "time zone" OR "timezone"
WOS **Scopus***[1]	All fields:	"daylight savin*" OR "time change" OR "standard time"
Eligibility Criteria	1) Peer reviewed primary studies of humans with English and German as language restrictions (no time restrictions)	
	2) Studies must consider either (i) the acute effects of transition in and/out of DST or (ii) DST vs standard time at a given time of year as exposure and control. (i) *Acute setting:* Index periods as post-DST-related time change and reference periods as pre-DST-related time change (or also including periods of post-DST-related time change that occur after the index period) must be included in the studies of acute time change effects. The addition of a post-DST-related time change are sometimes included to determine acute effects different from seasonal trends. Time-series or regression discontinuity analyses will also be included. (ii) *Non-acute setting:* These studies must involve an exposure vs control format as DST vs standard time at a given time of year such in adjacent regions that practice and do not practice DST, respectively. Alternatively, studies must involve regions at similar latitude and within the same time zone that differ in their sun time-official clock time relationship because of longitude.	
	3) Outcomes of interest are measures of incidence, prevalence, risk, complications, and/or deteriorations of accident-, cardiovascular-, metabolic-, gastrointestinal-, immunologic-, psychiatric-, neurologic-, circadian-, sleep-, and cognitive- related health outcomes, all-cause mortality and healthcare appointments/admissions. To add specificity on accidents, we intend any accidents related to traffic, workplace, home, substance-related, or other social setting. To add specificity to cognition, we intend any examination of conscious intellectual activity. To add specificity to healthcare appointments/admissions, we do not exclude any healthcare setting. To add specificity to cardiovascular-, metabolic-, immunologic-, psychiatric-, and sleep-related health outcomes, we do not require specification of ICD or DSM codes.	

[1]For the search with Scopus, additional filters included:
(a) Excluded subject areas: Engineering, Physics and Astronomy, Mathematics, Chemistry, Computer Science, Chemical Engineering
(b) Document type: Article
(c) Language: English and German

Data Extraction, Critical appraisal, & Literature Synthesis

At least two authors performed data extraction, critical appraisal, and literature synthesis for each outcome category. The categories and responsible authors are presented in Table 2. Extracted data includes study identifiers, PICO information, and statistical methods. The Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies from the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) was used to assist critical appraisal of included studies.[20] In depth syntheses for each category, often including extensive reviewing of individual and important studies, alongside tabulated overviews of extracted data and critical appraisals are presented in the Appendix. A concise synthesis of the individual Appendix section syntheses is presented in the Results section here.

Table 2: Broader Appendix Outcome Categories & Sections

Section 1: Cardiovascular Outcomes (Jennifer Walsh & Gurprit S. Lall)	**Section 2: Psychiatric Outcomes** (Ben Bullock & Greg Murray)	**Section 3: Traffic Accidents** (Aiste Steponenaite & Lorna Brown)	**Section 4: Non-Traffic Accidents** (Ursula Wild & Aiste Steponenaite)
Acute myocardial infarction, stroke	Depression, bipolar, mania, psychosis, suicides, 'wearing off' in Parkinson's disease Hospital records, emergency department presentations, paediatric admissions, health insurance claims	Fatal traffic accidents, all traffic accidents, hospital admissions	Occupation-related accidents & injuries, all-cause & non-traffic accidents & injuries, accidental deaths
Section 5: Sleep & Circadian Biology Outcomes (Philip Lewis & Sally Ferguson)	**Section 6: Cognitive Outcomes** (Philip Lewis & Jonas P. Wallraff)	**Section 7: All-Cause Mortality, Neurologic & Gastrointestinal Outcomes** (Thomas C. Erren & Ursula Wild)	**Section 8: Update of All Sections** (Philip Lewis & Jonas P. Wallraff)
Sleep duration, timing, quality, latency, consistency, awakenings, sleepiness Cortisol, wrist actimetry, wrist temperature, social jet lag, chronotype	Procrastination, Stroop test, cyberloafing, risk-reward analysis, charitable behaviour, driving simulator performance, school test scores, student behaviour Crimes that cause physical harm, criminal sentencing, dwelling & wild fires Stock market	All-cause mortality Seizures Ulcerative colitis, Crohn's disease, disorders of the appendix, liver/ gallbladder, biliary tract/pancreas, stomach, and intestines	All

RESULTS

N=149 studies that adopted various designs (from registry analyses to small sample pre- and post-test repeated measure designs), investigated various populations (from everyone in registry catchment areas to adolescents in a school), and were conducted in various settings from schools to countries to continents (n=36 different countries) were identified. The PRISMA flow of studies through the screening process is presented in the Appendix. The use of robustness/falsification/placebo tests contributed to higher quality study ratings. Registry studies were also generally of higher quality given more comparable populations during index and reference periods of study.

As per Table 2, the studies were categorised into seven broader outcome categories for in-depth synthesis (Appendix). The categories were based on the identified outcomes and the volume of studies that would be assigned to the categories (as such, they differ slightly from the outcomes listed in our *a-priori* research question). An 'Update' category was added to the Appendix that includes all relevant studies identified when updating the review to September 2024. Tabulated overviews of the extracted data and JBI checklist results for quality are also included in the Appendix.

A synthesis of these syntheses is provided in the following paragraphs. Individual study citations are mostly omitted for legibility as the presented findings for given exposure-outcome

associations are the result of synthesis of all studies concerning these associations. Instead, the reader is referred to the sections of the Appendix (Table 2) for the syntheses of individual studies. In some instances, specific citations are provided when the contributing number of studies is sufficiently low as to not impair legibility. It should be noted that not all studies assessing given outcomes agree – but the findings below are based on syntheses of all studies (including the volume and quality of studies reporting effects on outcomes and the effect sizes). A tabulated summary of the findings is also presented in Table 3.

Table 3: Summary Findings

Outcome	Exposure	Effect	Confidence in Effect*	Study Count & Quality*
Transitions				
Myocardial infarction	DST-Onset: DST-Offset:	↑ ↔	High Medium	17 studies: 5 high & 10 medium quality
All-cause mortality	DST-Onset: DST-Offset:	↔ ↓	Medium Medium	3 studies: 1 high & 2 medium quality
Fatal traffic accidents	DST-Onset: DST-Offset:	↑ ↔	Medium Medium	14 studies: 3 high & 9 medium quality
Crimes that cause physical harm	DST-Onset: DST-Offset:	↓ ↑	High High	5 studies: 3 high & 1 medium quality
Workplace accidents	DST-Onset: DST-Offset:	↔ ↓	Medium Medium	8 studies: 1 high & 5 medium quality
Psychiatric outcomes	DST-Onset: DST-Offset:	↔ ↔	Medium Medium	15 studies: 1 high & 8 medium quality
Circadian	DST-Onset: DST-Offset:	↔ ↔	Low Low	7 studies 0 high & 2 medium quality
Sleep Duration	DST-Onset: DST-Offset:	↔ ↑	Low Low	17 studies: 2 high & 1 medium quality
Living with DST				
All-cause mortality	DST vs ST during summer	↓	Medium	1 study: 1 high quality
Sleep Duration	Perennial DST vs biannual changes	↓	Low	1 study 1 high quality

↑, ↔, ↓ = increase, null/no clear effect, decrease
*author confidence in true effect based on size of - and consistency in- effects observed, numbers of studies, and study quality; counts of low quality studies can be inferred from the right-most column

DST-Onset and DST-Offset Transitions

The totality of the evidence from the identified studies suggests DST-Onset is associated with a short-term increased risk of cardiovascular events (especially myocardial infarction), and traffic accidents (especially fatal traffic accidents). There may be individuals with particular susceptibility to cardiovascular events following DST-Onset.[21,22] One nationwide USA study reported no changes in all-cause mortality following DST-Onset.[23] Changes in other endpoints

following DST-Onset, including sleep duration and circadian biology, are less clear with null and inconsistent non-null (i.e., different directions of) associations reported in different studies of mostly medium-to-low quality. If sleep duration is perturbed, it does not appear to be shorter than on many other days of the year (at the population level at least), although some individuals may be at higher risk of shorter sleep durations such as adolescents with early school start times.[24] If circadian rhythms are perturbed, the phenomenon may be limited to more susceptible individuals as defined by, for instance, differences in typical health behaviours.[25] Sleep timing onset or offset is likely to shift (albeit < 1 hour) for many people.[26,27] There are no apparent effects on psychiatric outcomes or work accidents following DST-Onset. A possible beneficial effect of DST-Onset is that crimes involving physical harm may decrease in the short term.[28,29]

The totality of the evidence from the identified studies suggests that DST-Offset may be beneficial in terms of increasing sleep duration but detrimental in terms of increased incidence of crimes that cause physical harm.[28] Workplace accidents may also be decreased following DST-Offset.[30] One high quality study reported decreased all-cause mortality following DST-Offset.[23] Overall, the volume and quality of studies investigating DST-Offset effects are similar to DST-Onset, but no clear associations with endpoints other than those mentioned above are observed.

The lengths of investigated index and reference periods and the durations of transition-associated effects are different across studies and outcomes – no clear and conclusive findings can be described in this regard with the exception of increased risk of myocardial infarction in the first week following DST-Onset. No clear and conclusive findings can be determined with respect to geographical locations. Chronotype was investigated as a potential effect modifier in very few instances and no clear effects were observed.

Living with DST or Standard Time between Transition Dates

Fewer studies assessed living with DST or Standard Time between transition dates compared to the effects of transitions. Nonetheless, the evidence suggests DST may be more beneficial than Standard Time across summer months as reflected in the mortality data in the high quality study by Cook (2022) in particular (and by some studies on sleep, albeit these are methodologically less robust).[31] By contrast, DST across winter months may be detrimental based on studies of sleep, although these studies use less robust methodologies and are primarily based in one country (Russia).[32,33]

DISCUSSION

In summary, this review identified n=149 studies concerning DST and health, ~one in five were rated high quality, and quality varied across the outcome categories developed for synthesis. Notwithstanding these qualifications, important conclusions could be drawn. DST-Onset transitions appear (in the first week at least) to increase risk of acute myocardial infarction (RR ~ 4% from a recent meta-analysis)[16] but not all-cause mortality (both of these summary results include evidence from medium and high quality studies). DST in summer months between transitions may reduce all-cause mortality (RR ~ -4.5%, based on one high quality study).[31] DST-Offset transitions may reduce all-cause mortality (RR ~ -2.5%, based on one high quality study).[23] Following DST-Onset, there may also be a reduction in crimes that cause physical harm and a shift in sleep timing. The totality of the evidence suggests no or limited effects of DST-Onset on non-traffic accidents, psychiatric outcomes, cognitive measures, sleep parameters, or circadian rhythms. Following DST-Onset, increases in traffic accidents are observed in some places (in particular fatal accidents in the

USA) but not everywhere. The volume and quality of studies from the USA suggest this finding is valid for the USA. Studies on circadian rhythms (not including sleep timing) are very limited and few in number, which disallows drawing conclusions. DST-Offset transitions – apart from possible increases in crimes that cause physical harm – may be beneficial in terms of short-term increased sleep duration and decreased work place accidents in addition to decreased all-cause mortality.

In terms of mechanisms for the observed changes in cardiovascular outcomes, perturbation of sleep and circadian rhythms following transitions are often posited as causal.[21,34,35] While the present review cannot exclude the possibility, the findings of no or small effects on sleep and circadian rhythms *per se* raise doubts about a simple causal relationship. Similarly, the evidence suggesting an absence of effect of DST-Onset on psychiatric or work accident outcomes is consistent with no or small effects on sleep and circadian rhythms given the known associations between them.[36,37] For acute myocardial infarction, individual baseline health could be important in terms of susceptibility to some brief and minor sleep loss; however, rather than an effect on sleep and circadian rhythms *per se*, the clock time change could result in changes in the timing of other exposures (relative to circadian rhythm phases) with health consequences, such as medication timing in susceptible individuals, but this is also speculative. Indeed, nocebo effects can also be offered as explanation, potentially fuelled by public statements about negative health effects caused by transitions and/or DST.[7] Indeed, a combination of mechanisms seems likely to be involved. The null effects on all-cause mortality observed following DST-Onset in one high quality study (in the USA)[23] may seem counterintuitive given the increased rates of acute myocardial infarction (as a high proportion of mortality can be attributed to myocardial infarction); however, while no significant difference for all-cause mortality was observed, the point estimate was compatible with increased mortality. Regarding the observed lower mortality during summer months of DST compared to Standard Time,[31] mechanisms can only be speculated upon, such as individuals being more active and getting more sun exposure outside in the evening time with DST. Reduced mortality following DST-Offset is consistent with reduced work accidents and increased sleep duration,[23,27,28,30] but any mechanistic attribution is speculative. The findings on traffic accidents and crimes that cause physical harm also warrant further mechanistic discussion. The short-term increase in traffic accidents following DST-Onset in some locations may be due to more difficult driving conditions at busier times of day (e.g., lower light levels during the morning rush with silver and white cars in particular being more difficult to see; more light in the evening increasing the number of people on the road). The role of time-of-day of increases in accidents reported by some studies implicates daylight availability for visibility at particular times as a candidate causal factor.[38-40] The observed reduction in crimes that cause physical harm following DST-Onset may also be due to more visible light in the evenings and the converse following DST-Offset.[28,29,41] 'Crimes that cause physical harm' is used here as an umbrella term for robberies and assaults – such confrontations include knowledge of event timings (important for studies around a sharp timing cut off point).

Regarding chronobiology and sleep, adverse health consequences from small changes in sleep timing following transitions cannot be ruled out. Only a few studies consider circadian rhythm or chronotype. Regarding living with DST during summer months, it is worth noting that shifts in sleep timing appear to happen with progression into and out of summer months irrespective of transitions to and from DST;[26,27] thus, alignment of circadian rhythm phases and sun timing in summer months may not be significantly impacted by the presence or absence of DST.

Regarding policy and position statements, the adverse effects observed following DST-Onset (most notably acute myocardial infarction) supports abolishing DST practice. The limited evidence on sleep, together with the chronobiological theory favouring Standard Time over DST during winter months (i.e., to facilitate earlier daylight exposures that prevent circadian rhythm phase delays)[4], supports adopting perennial Standard Time if transitions were to be abolished.[42] This does not support the US Sunshine Protection Act,[14] which proposes perennial DST. What this review shows (including outcome-specific detrimental effects, null effects, and beneficial effects of transitions and of living with DST compared to Standard Time during summer months) is that the evidence does not support the messaging of transitions and DST during summer months being uniformly detrimental (Table 3).

As a conceivable remedy to the differences in policy proposals, different position statements, and different patterns in health outcomes synthesised in this review, keeping DST and transitions that allow health benefits whilst developing and implementing strategies to mitigate negative patterns of health effects is worth consideration. To exemplify preventative candidate measures, myocardial infarction risk reduction in susceptible individuals with gradual phase shifting of behaviours and exposures (possibly including, for instance, medication timing, meals, and sleep) before transitions may be worthwhile exploring. Promoting such strategies may generally be worthwhile without the need for agreement over whether to maintain or abolish DST or even before drawing strong conclusions about the causal role of the meta-exposure of DST and transitions.[43] Regarding traffic and crime, increasing a safety presence around transitions and at particular times of days (e.g., extra police presence, road safety officers, traffic speed cameras) could mitigate potential increased health risks.

Our research question included health implications "for whom" and "where". Some differences within and between studies are observed in this regard but within study findings are not conclusive and differences between studies cannot be concluded as attributable to differences in transition dates (e.g., different between the USA and the EU), latitudes, and longitudes. Although we cannot infer conclusions from the included studies, these factors warrant mentioning. Each of these factors can affect the clock timing of daylight availability. If transition dates were to be changed, changes in trade-offs between risks and benefits following transitions and living with DST or Standard Time are conceivable. Regarding latitude, transitions might be most beneficial in the mid-latitudes when seasonal photoperiods differences are significant but not extreme and 1-hour changes in the clock timing of sunrise and sunset may have more impact on health. Closer to the equator and/or the poles, individual daylight exposures are unlikely to be different whether DST or Standard Time is in use. Regarding longitudes within a time zone that may affect morning light exposures, health inferences from the included studies are too limited. Cancer differences by longitude have been reported (increased in the west with later sunset timing),[44] which could be viewed as conflicting with reduced cancer-related deaths in older adults exposed to DST compared to Standard Time during summer months (with later sunset times).[31] However, the former could be driven by later sunrise and sunset times during winter months. Clearly, difficulties may arise for countries that span a range of latitudes and/or longitudes within a time zone such as the USA, Australia, and Chile. From a health perspective, state differences may make most sense in the USA and Australia while Chile may also consider regional differences. Chile is remarkable from the perspective of a very high population density around Santiago at ~33.4ºS and much lower population densities into the Atacama Desert in the North (~18-29ºS) and the Patagonian fjords, temperate rain forests, and ice sheets in the South (~45-55ºS). In some regions, it may be easier to

reschedule social behaviours and social commitments rather than shifting clock times. Indeed, there is some evidence that rescheduling occurs in regions in close proximity to time zone borders.[45]

In terms of DST research, more studies are needed before we can draw strong conclusions regarding all health outcomes. The specifics of such studies should include location, determinants of individual sensitivities to changes in exposure timings relative to circadian phase (e.g., chronotype) and susceptibilities to adverse or beneficial health outcomes (e.g., individual baseline risks), and addressing the comparatively low number of high quality studies across several of the outcome domains. For instance, there is only one high quality study addressing all-cause mortality with DST compared to Standard Time during summer months. In terms of attaining higher quality studies, transitions can be seen having sharp cut off points and time-based variation for which regression discontinuity designs – ideally with difference-in-differences analytical approaches and appropriate placebo tests – should be considered best practice.[28-30,46-48] Even if not using the difference-in-differences analytical approach, it is good practice to include placebo tests; findings of transition effects on the stock market were falsified by use of such placebo tests (see the Cognitive Section of the Appendix). Such placebo tests can include assessing what would be expected if there were no transitions by using, for instance, a neighboring region or year wherein no transition takes place, or even a different date in the same year and place with no transition but close to the actual date of transition. Studies including such placebo tests should be the benchmark for future research on DST in order to deal with real-world complexity (of course, this can make data collection more difficult).

In conclusion, outcome-specific detrimental effects, null effects, and beneficial effects of transitions and of living with DST compared to Standard Time during summer months are identified, synthesised, and assigned confidence ratings in this first ever systematic literature review across a range of DST-related exposures and outcomes. In addition to adverse effects such as increased risk of myocardial infarction following DST-Onset, benefits of transitions and DST such as decreased all-cause mortality during summer months of DST compared to Standard Time and following DST-Offset are detected. Whilst more high quality studies are called for, the current evidence regarding health impacts (which we acknowledge comes with limitations and is but one consideration when it comes to policy) supports keeping the good and mitigating the bad that appears to be associated with DST.

Acknowledgments
We acknowledge the stimulus of the Sleep Health Foundation (Australia) in bringing together this team of researchers to conduct this project. However, the conduct of the study and the publication of the findings were independent of the SHF and the SHF did not review the manuscript prior to submission for publication.

Contributors
Conceptualisation: TCE, RGF, GM; Methodology: AS, PL; Project Administration: AS; Literature search: AS, JPW, PL; Data interpretation (data extraction and writing appendix syntheses): AS, JPW, UW, LB, BB, GSL, SF, JW, GM, TCE, PL; Writing - original draft: PL; Writing - review & editing: All authors.

Declaration of interests
None.

Data sharing
All extracted data is provided in the main text and appendix.

References

1. Roenneberg T. How can social jetlag affect health? *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2023; **19**(7): 383-4.
2. Congressional Research Service. Daylight saving time. https://esrs.eu/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/To_the_EU_Commission_on_DST.pdf; 2020.
3. European Council. Seasonal clock changes in the EU. https://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/policies/seasonal-time-changes/; 2024.
4. Roenneberg T, Winnebeck EC, Klerman EB. Daylight Saving Time and Artificial Time Zones - A Battle Between Biological and Social Times. *Front Physiol* 2019; **10**: 944.
5. Rishi MA, Cheng JY, Spector A. Daylight saving time is a solution that creates more problems than it solves! *Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine* 2024; **20**(6): 1027-8.
6. Martín-Olalla JM, Mira J. Daylight saving time is a solution for which many forgot the problems. *Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine* 2024; **20**(5): 833-.
7. Blume C, Schabus M. Perspective: Daylight Saving Time-An Advocacy for a Balanced View and against Fanning Fear. *Clocks Sleep* 2020; **2**(1): 19-25.
8. Coogan AN, Richardson S, Raman S. A Data-Informed Perspective on Public Preferences for Retaining or Abolishing Biannual Clock Changes. *Journal of Biological Rhythms* 2022; **37**(4): 351-7.
9. Erren TC, Morfeld P, Gross JV, Wild U, Lewis P. IARC 2019: "Night shift work" is probably carcinogenic: What about disturbed chronobiology in all walks of life? *J Occup Med Toxicol* 2019; **14**: 29.
10. Roenneberg T, Kumar CJ, Merrow M. The human circadian clock entrains to sun time. *Curr Biol* 2007; **17**(2): R44-5.
11. Malow BA. It is time to abolish the clock change and adopt permanent standard time in the United States: a Sleep Research Society position statement. *Sleep* 2022; **45**(12).
12. Rishi MA, Cheng JY, Strang AR, et al. Permanent standard time is the optimal choice for health and safety: an American Academy of Sleep Medicine position statement. *Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine* 2024; **20**(1): 121-5.

13. European Biological Rhythm Society, European Sleep Research Society, Society for Research on Biological Rhythms. To the EU Comission on DST. https://esrs.eu/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/To_the_EU_Commission_on_DST.pdf; 2019.
14. H.R.1279 - 118th Congress (2023-2024):. Sunshine Protection Act of 2023. https://www.congress.gov/bill/118th-congress/house-bill/1279/text.
15. Lahti TA, Leppämäki S, Lönnqvist J, Partonen T. Transitions into and out of daylight saving time compromise sleep and the rest-activity cycles. *BMC Physiol* 2008; **8**: 3.
16. Hurst A, Morfeld P, Lewis P, Erren TC. Daylight Saving Time Transitions and Risk of Heart Attack—a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Dtsch Arztebl Int* 2024; (Forthcoming).
17. Duffy JF, Kronauer RE, Czeisler CA. Phase-shifting human circadian rhythms: influence of sleep timing, social contact and light exposure. *J Physiol* 1996; **495 (Pt 1)**(Pt 1): 289-97.
18. Li J, Vitiello MV, Gooneratne NS. Sleep in Normal Aging. *Sleep Med Clin* 2018; **13**(1): 1-11.
19. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021; **372**: n71.
20. Barker TH, Habibi N, Aromataris E, et al. The revised JBI critical appraisal tool for the assessment of risk of bias for quasi-experimental studies. *JBI Evid Synth* 2024; **22**(3): 378-88.
21. Kirchberger I, Wolf K, Heier M, et al. Are daylight saving time transitions associated with changes in myocardial infarction incidence? Results from the German MONICA/KORA Myocardial Infarction Registry. *BMC Public Health* 2015; **15**: 778.
22. Zhang H, Dahlen T, Khan A, Edgren G, Rzhetsky A. Measurable health effects associated with the daylight saving time shift. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2020; **16**(6): e1007927.
23. Zhao S, Cao W, Liao G, et al. All cause and cause specific mortality associated with transition to daylight saving time in US: nationwide, time series, observational study. *BMJ Med* 2024; **3**(1): e000771.
24. Medina D, Ebben M, Milrad S, Atkinson B, Krieger AC. Adverse Effects of Daylight Saving Time on Adolescents' Sleep and Vigilance. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2015; **11**(8): 879-84.
25. McHill AW, Sano A, Barger LK, Phillips AJK, Czeisler CA, Klerman EB. Adaptation of sleep to daylight saving time is slower in people consuming a high-fat diet. *iScience* 2024; **27**(9): 110677.
26. Kantermann T, Juda M, Merrow M, Roenneberg T. The human circadian clock's seasonal adjustment is disrupted by daylight saving time. *Curr Biol* 2007; **17**(22): 1996-2000.
27. Heacock RM, Capodilupo ER, Czeisler M, et al. Sleep and Alcohol Use Patterns During Federal Holidays and Daylight Saving Time Transitions in the United States. *Front Physiol* 2022; **13**: 884154.
28. Goodwin MB, Gonzalez F, Fontenla M. The impact of daylight saving time in Mexico. *Applied Economics* 2024; **56**(1): 22-32.
29. Doleac JL, Sanders NJ. Under the Cover of Darkness: How Ambient Light Influences Criminal Activity. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 2015; **97**(5): 1093-103.
30. Depalo D. Should the Daylight Saving Time be abolished? Evidence from work accidents in Italy. *Econ Model* 2023; **128**.
31. Cook A. Saving lives: the 2006 expansion of daylight saving in Indiana. *Journal of Population Economics* 2022; **35**(3): 861-91.
32. Putilov AA, Poluektov MG, Dorokhov VB. Evening chronotype, late weekend sleep times and social jetlag as possible causes of sleep curtailment after maintaining perennial DST: ain't they as black as they are painted? *Chronobiol Int* 2020; **37**(1): 82-100.
33. Borisenkov MF, Tserne TA, Panev AS, et al. Seven-year survey of sleep timing in Russian children and adolescents: chronic 1-h forward transition of social clock is associated with increased social jetlag and winter pattern of mood seasonality. *Biological Rhythm Research* 2017; **48**(1): 3-12.
34. Janszky I, Ljung R. Shifts to and from daylight saving time and incidence of myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 2008; **359**(18): 1966-8.
35. Toro W, Tigre R, Sampaio B. Daylight Saving Time and incidence of myocardial infarction: Evidence from a regression discontinuity design. *Econ Lett* 2015; **136**: 1-4.

36. Meyer N, Lok R, Schmidt C, et al. The sleep-circadian interface: A window into mental disorders. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2024; **121**(9): e2214756121.
37. Uehli K, Mehta AJ, Miedinger D, et al. Sleep problems and work injuries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Med Rev* 2014; **18**(1): 61-73.
38. Huang A, Levinson D. The effects of daylight saving time on vehicle crashes in Minnesota. *J Safety Res* 2010; **41**(6): 513-20.
39. Singh R, Sood R, Graham DJ. Road traffic casualties in Great Britain at daylight savings time transitions: a causal regression discontinuity design analysis. *Bmj Open* 2022; **12**(4).
40. Bunnings C, Schiele V. Spring Forward, Don't Fall Back: The Effect of Daylight Saving Time on Road Safety. *Rev Econ Stat* 2021; **103**(1): 165-76.
41. Domínguez P, Asahi K. Crime-time: how ambient light affects crime. *J Econ Geogr* 2023; **23**(2): 299-317.
42. Roenneberg T, Wirz-Justice A, Skene DJ, et al. Why Should We Abolish Daylight Saving Time? *J Biol Rhythms* 2019; **34**(3): 227-30.
43. Xu M, Papatsimpa C, Schlangen L, Linnartz JP. Improving adjustment to daylight saving time transitions with light. *Sci Rep* 2024; **14**(1): 15001.
44. Gu F, Xu S, Devesa SS, et al. Longitude Position in a Time Zone and Cancer Risk in the United States. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2017; **26**(8): 1306-11.
45. Hamermesh DS, Myers CK, Pocock ML. Cues for timing and coordination: Latitude, Letterman, and longitude. *J Labor Econ* 2008; **26**(2): 223-46.
46. James J. Let there be light: Daylight saving time and road traffic collisions. *Econ Inq* 2023; **61**(3): 523-45.
47. Kountouris Y. Human activity, daylight saving time and wildfire occurrence. *Sci Total Environ* 2020; **727**.
48. Laliotis I, Moscelli G, Monastiriotis V. Summertime and the drivin' is easy? Daylight saving time and vehicle accidents. *Health Econ* 2023; **32**(10): 2192-215.