TITLE:

Effects of Upper Trunk Flexion on Ventilation Volume in Semi-Fowler's Position

AUTHORS:

Sayuki Miyashita (1), Satoshi Kubota (2)(3), Takuya Furudate (2)

(1) Medihos Kawaguchiko, Minamitsuru, Yamanashi, Japan

(2) School of Health Sciences at Odawara, International University of Health and Welfare

(IUHW), Odarawa, Kanagawa, Japan

(3) Graduate school, IUHW

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Satoshi Kubota, PhD

Email: satoshi@iuhw.ac.jp

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5930-6862

1-2-25, Shiroyama, Odawara, Kanagawa, 250-8588, Japan

ABSTRACT:

Introduction: Semi-Fowler's position, in which the trunk is elevated 30° from supine, is widely used in clinical practice to improve respiratory function and reduce cardiovascular stress. Despite known cardiovascular benefits of upper trunk flexion, its effects on ventilation remain uninvestigated.

Objective: To compare ventilation volumes between semi-Fowler's position with upper trunk flexion (UT30) and whole trunk elevation (WT30) in healthy young women.

Methods: Fourteen healthy young women (age, 20.57±0.73 years; BMI, 20.19±1.46kg/m²) participated in this cross-sectional study. Ventilation parameters were measured in supine (SPIN), UT30, and WT30 positions. Tidal volume, minute ventilation, respiratory rate, RR intervals, and subjective breathing difficulty were assessed and analyzed using linear mixed models.

Results: Both UT30 and WT30 showed significantly higher tidal volume and minute ventilation compared to SPIN (>80mL and >0.9L/min increases respectively, p<0.05), with no significant differences between the two semi-Fowler positions. RR intervals were significantly lower in WT30 compared to SPIN (p<0.05), with no difference between UT30 and SPIN, suggesting maintained cardiovascular stability in UT30.

Conclusion: Upper trunk flexion improves ventilation volume similar to whole trunk elevation while maintaining cardiovascular stability, potentially benefiting patients requiring respiratory support with minimal hemodynamic compromise.

KEYWORDS:

Semi-Fowler's position, Tidal Volume, Minute Ventilation, Posture, Respiratory Function

1. INTRODUCTION

Fowler's position and Semi-Fowler's position, which involve raising the trunk from supine, are commonly used in clinical settings to improve respiratory function and reduce cardiovascular stress [1–4]. Fowler's position typically raises the trunk 45-60°, while Semi-Fowler's position involves a more moderate elevation of 30-45°. Kubota et al. demonstrated that flexing the upper trunk in a Fowler's position results in less reduction in stroke volume and less increase in heart rate compared to the conventional Fowler's position that raises the entire trunk[1,4]. On the other hand, a trunk posture within the Fowler's position or Semi-Fowler's position that minimizes respiratory stress has not been proposed.

During quiet breathing, it has been reported that tidal volume and minute ventilation increase when the trunk is raised[5,6]. This occurs due to changes in diaphragm shape and movement associated with gravitational changes on the trunk. In the supine position, gravity causes abdominal organs to spread toward the thoracic cavity. Consequently, diaphragmatic movement is restricted, and thoracic volume decreases. In contrast, in the upright sitting position, gravity causes the abdominal organs to move downward, resulting in a lowered diaphragm and increased thoracic volume. Therefore, ventilation volume is greater in Fowler's position compared to the supine position. Additionally, abdominal wall tension may affect respiratory function through its influence on intra-abdominal pressure[7,8]. This study aimed to investigate the effects of upper trunk flexion in a Semi-Fowler's position on ventilation volume, hypothesizing that it would reduce abdominal wall tension and thereby improve respiratory function.

2. METHOD

This study employed a cross-sectional experimental design with repeated measures to compare ventilation parameters across three different postural conditions.

2.1 Participants

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of International University of Health and Welfare (approval number: 21-lg-16). All participants provided written informed consent before participation in the study. Healthy young women were recruited through convenience sampling. Exclusion criteria included respiratory or cardiovascular diseases, low back pain, and obesity. Of 15 participants initially measured, one was excluded due to equipment malfunction, resulting in 14 participants for analysis (age, 20.57 ± 0.73 years; height, 162.07 ± 5.4 cm; weight, 53.13 ± 5.57 kg; BMI, 20.19 ± 1.46 kg/m²).

2.2 Experimental Procedure

Participants were positioned in three postures: supine (SPIN) and two semi-Fowler's positions at 30° - 30° upper trunk flexion (UT30) and 30° whole trunk elevation (WT30) (Figure 1). This study employed 30° semi-Fowler's positions rather than Fowler's positions exceeding 30°, as lower-angle semi-Fowler's positions (around 30°) are commonly used for frail patients[2,3,9,10]. Postures were achieved using a hospital bed, positioning cushions and mattress. For WT30, participants were asked to sit as deeply as possible on the bed. For UT30, following Kubota et al.'s method, trunk flexion was adjusted at the tenth thoracic vertebra[1]. During both UT30 and WT30 positions, participants were instructed to maintain their knees in slight flexion.

A preliminary experiment was conducted on a separate day to allow participants to become accustomed to breathing while wearing the ventilation measurement mask. Participants were instructed to avoid strenuous exercise, caffeine, alcohol consumption, and food intake after dinner the day before the experiment, and to completely refrain from food and drink for 2 hours before the experiment. The laboratory temperature was maintained at 28°C, within the thermoneutral zone, based on previous research[4,11]. Participants were asked to wear sleeveless tops and shorts, with properly fitted, non-constrictive bras to avoid chest compression.

Measurements began after a 15-minute rest in supine position. Each position was measured

for 5 minutes with 10-minute rest periods between. Measurement order was randomized to eliminate order effects.

Ventilation volumes were measured using a ventilation measurement mask and a pneumotachograph. Electrocardiogram (ECG) data were collected using an ECG module. Data acquisition was performed with Personal Computer, sampled at 1000 Hz.

2.3 Data Analysis and Statistical Analysis

Tidal Volume (TV), Minute Ventilation (MV), Respiratory Rate (RespiR), and RR intervals (RRi) were calculated. RRi represents the time required for one cardiac cycle and corresponds to the reciprocal of heart rate. Subjective breathing difficulty was assessed using a Numerical Rating Scale (0=easy, 10=difficult). A Linear Mixed Model (LMM) was used to analyze the effects of postures on these parameters, with postural differences as fixed effects and individual differences as random intercepts. Estimated marginal means and confidence intervals were calculated, and comparisons between postures were performed using Tukey's method. Cohen's d was calculated as the effect size. Statistical analyses were conducted using R version 4.3.3 (R Core Team). The significance level was set at less than 5%.

3. RESULTS

Table 1 presents the estimated marginal means and 95% confidence intervals for lung volume fractions and RRi in each posture, along with the p-values and effect sizes from between-group comparisons.

TV was significantly higher in both UT30 and WT30 compared to SPIN ($p < 0.05$), with differences exceeding 80 mL in both cases. MV was also significantly higher in both UT30 and WT30 compared to SPIN ($p<0.05$), with differences of more than 0.9 L/min. TV and MV values were comparable between UT30 and WT30, with no significant difference. In contrast, RespiR remained consistent across all postures at approximately 14 breaths/min, and no significant differences were observed among the postures. RRi was significantly lower in

WT30 compared to SPIN (p<0.05), while no significant differences were found between other postures. NRS showed no significant differences between any of the postures.

The effect sizes for significant between-group differences ranged from approximately 1 to 2.4.

4. DISCUSSION

The major findings suggested that raising the upper trunk improves ventilation volume similar to raising the entire trunk, as TV was larger in UT30 compared to SPIN.

The significantly higher TV in WT30 compared to SPIN was consistent with previous studies comparing sitting and supine positions[5,6,12]. This can be attributed to the gravitational effect causing abdominal organs to move downward when the trunk is raised, resulting in a lowered diaphragm and increased thoracic volume[13,14].

In contrast, while UT30 involves raising the thoracic region and head, the abdomen remains unraised. Therefore, the downward movement of abdominal organs that occurs in WT30 is less likely to occur. Nevertheless, UT30 showed increased ventilation volume compared to SPIN. This may be explained by the relaxation of abdominal wall tension due to upper trunk flexion, which affects intra-abdominal pressure reduction and diaphragm descent. A positive correlation between abdominal tension and intra-abdominal pressure has been suggested[8]. Furthermore, decreased intra-abdominal pressure has been shown to increase abdominal compliance, facilitating diaphragm descent[7]. Thus, the increase in TV likely resulted from the relaxation of abdominal muscles accompanying upper trunk flexion, which lowered intra-abdominal pressure and facilitated diaphragm descent. UT30 showed approximately 90 mL higher TV than SPIN, representing about a 30% increase. UT30, which involves raising only the upper trunk, can be achieved through a simple intervention using positioning cushions to raise the patient's upper trunk from the supine position. This minor postural modification may potentially provide a simple way to improve ventilation volume.

Regarding MV, which is the product of TV and RR, considering that RR remained consistent at approximately 14 breaths/min across all postures with no significant differences, we conclude that the postural differences observed in TV influenced MV, resulting in differences between SPIN and the two semi-Fowler's positions.

RRi was significantly lower in WT30 compared to SPIN, which may be attributed to a potential decrease in stroke volume when raising the entire trunk in WT30, possibly leading to a compensatory increase in heart rate. In contrast, UT30 showed no significant change in RRi compared to SPIN, indicating that stroke volume might be less affected in this position. These findings are consistent with the hemodynamic results demonstrated by Kubota et al[1,4].

Although no significant differences were observed in NRS, this experiment was conducted under resting conditions and represented a low physical burden for healthy individuals. Therefore, we believe that participants had difficulty perceiving differences in breathing between the different postures.

While our findings cannot be directly extrapolated to patient populations, they provide preliminary evidence for a beneficial effect of upper trunk flexion on ventilation. Previous studies have shown that semi-Fowler's position can increase lung capacity and improve diaphragm range of motion in various populations[9,10]. Our finding of a substantial increase in tidal volume in healthy subjects provides a physiological basis for future clinical studies.

This study has several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, our sample consisted only of young healthy women, which limits the generalizability of our findings to other populations such as elderly patients, males, or individuals with respiratory or cardiovascular conditions. Second, measurements were conducted under resting conditions for short durations (5 minutes per posture), which may not reflect ventilatory responses during prolonged positioning or physical exertion. Third, while we measured ventilation parameters, we did not assess gas exchange efficiency or arterial blood gases, which would provide more comprehensive information about respiratory function. Fourth, the laboratory setting may not

fully replicate clinical environments where patients are typically positioned.

Future studies should include diverse patient populations, longer measurement periods, additional respiratory parameters, and investigate whether this increase in ventilation volume translates to meaningful clinical outcomes such as reduced dyspnea, improved arterial blood gases, decreased length of mechanical ventilation, or reduced incidence of respiratory complications in various patient populations.

5. CONCLUSION

This study demonstrated that semi-Fowler's position with upper trunk flexion improves ventilation volume. This posture may provide similar ventilation volume increases as raising the entire trunk while potentially causing less cardiovascular stress.

6. RELEVANCE FOR CLINICAL PRACTICE

The findings of this study have important implications for clinical practice. In settings where patients experience reduced lung volumes, such as post-operative care, the UT30 position could be implemented as a simple intervention to improve ventilation. This positioning strategy is particularly valuable for frail or elderly patients who may not tolerate conventional Fowler's positioning due to hemodynamic instability. For mechanically ventilated patients, this position might allow for lower tidal volume settings while maintaining adequate minute ventilation, potentially reducing ventilator-induced lung injury risk [15,16]. Implementation requires minimal resources—simply using positioning cushions to raise the patient's upper trunk—making it an accessible intervention across various healthcare settings.

Acknowledgments:

We would like to thank the participants of our study, and Professor Sumiko Yamamoto and Professor Shinichiro Ishii for their valuable assistance.

Conflicts of interest:

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

[1] Kubota S, Endo Y, Kubota M, Ishizuka Y, Furudate T. Effects of trunk posture in Fowler's position on hemodynamics. Auton Neurosci Basic Clin 2015;189:56–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autneu.2015.01.002.

[2] Mary Jo Grap CLM. Quality improvement in backrest elevation: improving outcomes in critical care. AACN Clin Issues 2005;16(2):133–9.

[3] Carol A Rauen , Mary Beth Flynn Makic EB. Evidence-based practice habits: transforming research into bedside practice. Crit Care Nurse 2009;29(2):46–59.

[4] Kubota S, Endo Y, Kubota M, Shigemasa T. Assessment of effects of differences in trunk posture during Fowler's position on hemodynamics and cardiovascular regulation in older and younger subjects. Clin Interv Aging 2017;12:603–10. https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S132399.

[5] Romei M, Mauro A Lo, D'Angelo MG, Turconi AC, Bresolin N, Pedotti A, et al. Effects of gender and posture on thoraco-abdominal kinematics during quiet breathing in healthy adults. Respir Physiol Neurobiol 2010;172:184–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resp.2010.05.018.

[6] Agostoni E, Hyatt RE. Static Behavior of the Respiratory System. Compr Physiol 2011:113–30. https://doi.org/doi:10.1002/cphy.cp030309.

[7] Goldman JM, Silver JR, Lehr RP. An electromyographic study of the abdominal muscles of tetraplegic patients. Spinal Cord 1986;24:241–6. https://doi.org/10.1038/sc.1986.33.

[8] Novak J, Jacisko J, Busch A, Cerny P, Stribrny M, Kovari M, et al. Intra-abdominal pressure correlates with abdominal wall tension during clinical evaluation tests. Clin

Biomech 2021;88:105426. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2021.105426.

[9] Grap MJ, Munro CL, Hummel RS III, Elswick RK Jr, McKinney JL, Sessler CN. Effect of Backrest Elevation on the Development of Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia. Am J Crit Care 2005;14:325–32. https://doi.org/10.4037/ajcc2005.14.4.325.

[10] Zhu Q, Huang Z, Ma Q, Wu Z, Kang Y, Zhang M, et al. Supine versus semi-Fowler's positions for tracheal extubation in abdominal surgery-a randomized clinical trial. BMC Anesthesiol 2020;20:185. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-020-01108-5.

[11] Kubota S, Endo Y, Kubota M, Miyazaki H, Shigemasa T. The Pressor Response to the Drinking of Cold Water and Cold Carbonated Water in Healthy Younger and Older Adults. Front Neurol 2022;12.

[12] Takahashi T, Yamada S, Tanabe K, Nakayama M, Osada N, Itoh H, et al. The Effects of Posture on the Ventilatory Responses During Exercise. J Jpn Phys Ther Assoc 1998;1:13–7. https://doi.org/10.1298/jjpta.1.13.

[13] Agostoni E, Hyatt RE. Static Behavior of the Respiratory System. Compr. Physiol., 2011, p. 113–30. https://doi.org/10.1002/cphy.cp030309.

[14] Mezidi M, Guérin C. Effects of patient positioning on respiratory mechanics in mechanically ventilated ICU patients. Ann Transl Med 2018;6:1–9.

[15] Jiang J, Xia F, Lu Z, Tang Y, Qiu H, Yang Y, et al. Effects of tidal volume on physiology and clinical outcomes in patients with one-lung ventilation undergoing surgery: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Biomed Rep 2024;20:73. https://doi.org/10.3892/br.2024.1761.

[16] Yamamoto R, Okazaki SR, Fujita Y, Seki N, Kokei Y, Sekine S, et al. Usefulness of low tidal volume ventilation strategy for patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep 2022;12:9331. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-13224-y.

Table

Table 1 Respiratory Function values and RR Interval in all positions

	Estimated Marginal Means(95% Confidence Intervals)			p-value(effect size)		
	SPIN	UT30	WT30	SPIN vs UT30	SPIN vs WT30	UT30 vs WT30
Tidal Volume	318.34	397.06	412.46	0.0002	<0.0001	
(mL)	(251.26 - 385.42)	(329.98 - 464.14)	(345.37 - 479.54)	(1.77)	(2.11)	
Minuitu Ventilation	4.61	5.5	5.79	0.0002	<0.0001	
(L/min)	(3.69 - 5.53)	(4.58 - 6.42)	(4.87 - 6.71)	(1.81)	(2.39)	
Respiratory Rate	14.91	14.33	14.74			
(times/min)	(13.68 - 16.13)	(13.11 - 15.55)	(13.52 - 15.97)			
RRinterval	0.929	0.908	0.9		0.0384	
(sec)	(0.884 - 0.975)	(0.863 - 0.954)	(0.854 - 0.945)		(0.99)	

SPIN: Supine.

UT30: Lower and upper trunk inclined at 0° and 30°.

WT30: Lower and upper trunk inclined at 30°.

Figure

Supine (SPIN)

Upper Trunk 30° （UT30）

30 °

Spinous process of 10th thoracic vertebra

Whole Trunk 30°
(WT30)

30 °

Figure 1 Bed positions for each condition

SPIN: Supine.

UT30: Lower and upper trunk inclined at 0° and 30°. Segments were subdivided based on the

spinous process of 10th thoracic vertebra.

WT30: Lower and upper trunk inclined at 30°.