Running Title: Wildfire Smoke Linked to Lower Sperm Count

Title: Wildfire Smoke Exposure is Associated with Decreased Sperm Concentration and Total Motile Sperm Count

Authors: Lillian X. Lindell,[1] MD; Sarah K. Holt, PhD; [1] Erin Petersen, MS;[2] Navya Gunaje, MSPH;[1] Arash Amighi, MD; [1] Amanda Haack, PhD; [2] Anthony Bui, MD; [1] Ryan Nasseri, MD; [1] Theodore Crisostomo-Wynne, MD;[1] Catherine J. Karr, MD, PhD;[3,4] Charles H. Muller, PhD, HCLD; [1] Thomas J. Walsh, MD; [1] Tristan M. Nicholson, MD, PhD[1, 3]

[1] *Department of Urology, School of Medicine, University of Washington*
[2] *School of Medicine, University of Washington*
[3] *Department of Environmental & Occupational Health Sciences, School of Public Health, University of Washington*
[4] *Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, University of Washington*

Corresponding Author: Tristan M. Nicholson, MD, PhD
Academic Affiliations: Department of Urology, School of Medicine and Department of Environmental & Occupational Health Sciences, School of Public Health, University of Washington
Mailing Address: 1959 NE Pacific St, Box 356510, Seattle, WA 98195
Phone: (970)333-9796
Email: tristann@uw.edu

Article Type: cohort study

Funding Statement: Research reported in this publication was funded by the University of Washington Medicine Endowed Professorship for Men's Health (Dr. Thomas J. Walsh), the Urology Care Foundation Research Scholar Award (Dr. Tristan M. Nicholson), and the National Institute Of Environmental Health Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number K12ES033584 and P30ES007033. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. The REDCap instance in this research is funded by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under award number UL1TR002319. Special thanks to Dr. Charles H. Muller and the University of Washington Male Fertility Lab staff for making this work possible.

Disclosure Statement: We have no conflicts of interest to disclose. All funding for this study are reported above.

Attestation statements: Data regarding any of the subjects in the study has not been previously published. Data will be made available to the editors of the journal for review or query upon request.

Word Count: 264/350 words for abstract, 3599 words for manuscript

Capsule: In this retrospective cohort study we demonstrate that wildfire smoke exposure is associated with declines in sperm concentration, total sperm count, and total motile sperm count across three wildfire events.

Structured Abstract

Objective: As wildfires become more prevalent, their impact on fertility warrants evaluation. We aimed to examine the impact of smoke exposure on semen analysis parameters of intrauterine insemination patients in the greater Seattle, WA area. We hypothesized that wildfire smoke exposure was associated with a decline in total motile sperm count.

Design: Retrospective cohort study

Subjects: Patients undergoing fertility treatments at the University of Washington in 2018-2022

Exposure: Subjects were exposed to seasonal wildfire events in the fall of 2018, 2020, and 2022. Pre-exposure semen was a diagnostic fresh sample prior to each respective wildfire event while post-exposure semen was taken at time of intrauterine insemination (IUI) during the wildfire smoke exposure windows. All subjects acted as their own controls in a paired pre-post analysis.

Main Outcome Measures: Primary outcome measure was total motile sperm count; secondary outcomes measures are semen volume, sperm concentration, total sperm count, total progressively motile sperm count, percent motile sperm, percent progressively motile sperm.

Results: We identified 84 subjects who underwent IUI across the 2018 (n = 27), 2020 (n = 30), and 2022 (n = 27) wildfire smoke events. Median time between initial semen analysis and semen analysis for IUI was 4 months. We observed a decline in sperm concentration, total sperm count, total motile sperm count, and total progressively motile sperm count. We also observed an increase in percent progressively motile sperm. These trends did not differ across event year.

Conclusions: Our results are consistent with a prior small study demonstrating that wildfire smoke exposure is associated with declines in sperm quality. These findings highlight the need for further research on the effects of wildfire smoke exposure on human sperm and fertility treatments, especially as smoke exposures are expected to increase with climate change.

Keywords
Wildfires, semen analysis, male fertility, assisted reproductive technology

Introduction

Wildfires in the last decade have been increasingly devastating, burning millions of acres and resulting in high intensity of hazardous smoke exposure for days to weeks on end.[1] The western United States is disproportionately affected by wildfire smoke, with states like California and Oregon experiencing seasonal exacerbations regularly.[1,2] The Puget Sound region provides an excellent setting for the study of wildfire smoke exposure due to its close proximity to seasonal wildfire activity, and allows researchers to examine the effects of short-term air quality disruptions within a context of otherwise clean air.[3,4] As wildfire events become more commonplace due to climate change,[5] it is imperative to understand the effects of their resultant smoke exposure on human health. The impact of wildfire smoke on men's reproductive health and semen parameters are not well-described and warrant further study, especially as infertility increases in prevalence. This study leverages our institution's location in the Puget Sound region where wildfire smoke events create distinct pre- and post-exposure periods, allowing for a natural experiment to assess how sudden, temporary surges in wildfire smoke affect semen parameters.

The reproductive health impacts due to air pollution from various sources including fossil fuels, agriculture, and industrial activities are well-characterized. Air pollution of this kind is generally composed of coarse particulate matter (PM) with diameters larger than 2.5 microns (μm),[6] and is linked to reduced sperm concentration, motility, and morphology.[7,8] Air pollution has also been linked to declines in conception and adverse pregnancy outcomes, with exposure associated with declines in sperm quality, ovarian dysfunction, and inferior fertility treatments results.[7]

23 In contrast, $PM_{2.5}$, which are 2.5 μm in diameter or less, is a major component of
24 wildfire smoke.[6] The smallest particles, ultrafine particulates, defined as compounds less than
25 0.1 μm in diameter, have been found to make up 30 to 90 percent of wildfire smoke.[9] Due to
26 the small size of these particulates, they easily diffuse through buildings and affect both indoor
27 and outdoor air quality, making wildfire smoke exposure particularly difficult to avoid.[10]
28 Therefore, lengthier periods of wildfire smoke exposure can lead to high, sustained levels of
29 fine particulate $PM_{2.5}$ levels both outdoors and indoors.[11,12]
30 Exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ has been linked to respiratory problems, heart attack, stroke, lung
31 cancer, and cognitive impairment.[13] However, the impact of $PM_{2.5}$ and wildfire smoke exposure
32 on human male fertility has not been well-characterized in the scientific literature. In mouse
33 models, there is evidence that exposure to wildfire smoke is associated with decreased
34 spermatogenesis and increased sperm DNA methylation, which is a marker for overall male
35 reproductive health.[14,15] One small cohort study in humans observed that the resultant smoke
36 exposure from the September 2020 wildfire event was associated with decreases in total motile
37 sperm count and sperm concentration in men undergoing intrauterine insemination (IUI) in
38 Portland, OR.[16] In another retrospective analysis, exposure to high concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ was
39 associated with an increased odds of azoospermia and lower total progressive motile sperm
40 count.[17]
41 As wildfire activity increases, it is critical to understand how exposure affects human
42 sperm quality. One in six couples in the world face infertility, with male-factor contributing to
43 approximately 50% of these cases.[18] Fertility treatments such as IUI and other assisted
44 reproductive technologies (ART) are responsible for a growing share of live births in the United

45 States.[19] The effect of environmental exposures on sperm viability and reproductive outcomes
46 could have significant impacts on patient counseling and timing of intervention.
47 We hypothesized that acute hazardous levels of smoke exposure would be associated
48 with a decline in total motile sperm (TMC) in our patient population. Our study seeks to
49 contribute to a growing body of scientific literature on the health impacts of wildfire smoke
50 exposure. Our location in the Puget Sound region offers a unique opportunity to study this
51 phenomenon through a natural experiment. Understanding how wildfire smoke exposure
52 affects semen parameters would impact how patients are counseled prior to fertility
53 interventions, and stimulate future studies on mitigating environmental toxicant exposures in
54 these settings.
55
56 **Methods**
57 This retrospective, Institutional Review Board–approved cohort study analyzed
58 diagnostic semen analysis (SA) and SA at time of IUI completed at our institution. We evaluated
59 the last seven years of publicly available air quality data collected by a network of over 60
60 monitoring stations sponsored by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA),
61 the Washington Department of Ecology, and local Washington clean air agencies.[20] We defined
62 a smoke event as any period lasting three days above the Washington Air Quality Advisory
63 (WAQA) threshold for fine particulates ($PM_{2.5}$ > 20.4 μg/m³) at the EPA monitoring station
64 closest to our clinic.[21] We defined a smoke exposure window as a three-month period starting
65 on the first day of the smoke event. We chose to use a three-month window because the

process of spermatogenesis takes, on average, 74 days to complete with an additional 14 days of epididymal storage and maturation time prior to emission.[22,23]

Subjects acted as their own controls. We included subjects who had at least one IUI performed during a smoke exposure window, at least one SA performed prior to the first day of the smoke event, and those who lived in the surrounding Puget Sound region during the smoke events. We included individuals' first IUI that occurred the smoke exposure window, although this was not necessarily their initial IUI. For subjects with multiple SAs before or multiple IUIs during the smoke exposure window, we compared SA temporally closest to the smoke exposure window with the first SA for IUI following the onset of the smoke event. Medical records review was performed to obtain subject age, race, ethnicity, smoking status, marijuana use, infertility history, and illness status at time of IUI. Average amount of smoke exposure and number of smoke days, defined as any day with PM_5 > 20.4 µg/m³ per the WAQA threshold, were tabulated for all patients at time of SA for IUI based on home zipcodes and the Childs Model (Stanford, CA) of daily smoke $PM_{2.5}$ concentration estimates based on a combination of surface air quality sensor measurements, satellite smoke coverage analysis, and machine learning.[1,2] Female partner data including fertility history and relevant medical diagnoses were also collected. Our primary outcome was total motile sperm count (TMC), assessed before and after the onset of the smoke exposure. Our secondary outcomes included semen volume, sperm concentration, percent motility, percent progressive motility, total motile sperm, and total progressively motile sperm.

Semen samples for SA were collected and processed following the World Health Organization (WHO) 5th edition criteria for 2018 and 2020 data and 6th edition criteria for 2022

88 data[24,25] with the following modifications: Sperm concentration and total sperm count were
89 obtained with use of volumetric measures of semen and hemacytometer counts of sperm in
90 semen diluted with purified water. Sperm motility was evaluated in semen on both cover-
91 slipped wet mounts and Leja Standard Count 20 micron 2 chamber fixed coverslip slides (Leja
92 Products BV, Netherlands). A motility category "Rapid & Linear," corresponding to the WHO
93 6th "Rapid Progressive" motility and motility category A of WHO editions prior to the 5th
94 edition was evaluated and recorded for all patients. The diagnostic SA and the SA for IUI were
95 identical, except that morphology and immature germ cell evaluations were only performed for
96 the diagnostic SA. Study data were maintained in a Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap)
97 database.[26]

98 Statistical analyses were conducted using R Studio version 4.4.1 (Auckland, NZ) and
99 *RStudio IDE* (Boston, MA). Linear mixed-effects models were employed to evaluate the impact
100 of wildfire smoke exposure on semen parameters including sperm volume, concentration,
101 motility, and count. The number of days between peak wildfire-related $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and
102 semen analysis was also calculated. This variable was used as a covariate in separate time
103 sensitivity analyses to assess whether the timing of IUI relative to the exposure event
104 influenced outcomes. "lme4" package was used to fit models with semen parameters before
105 and after smoke exposure as dependent variables. Models included random intercepts both for
106 patient and wildfire event year to account for temporal variations in the severity of smoke
107 exposure. *p*-Values were determined under the assumption of a two-tailed distribution, with
108 <0.05 considered statistically significant. Results were reported as estimated marginal means.

We identified and included three wildfire events from 2018, 2020, and 2022 as they met our definition of a smoke event as described previously (Figure 1). The 2018 smoke exposure window was from August 14, 2018 to November 14, 2018 (Figure 1A). Peak $PM_{2.5}$ occurred on August 21, 2018 at 102.2 μg/m^3. The smoke event lasted for 10 days until August 25, 2018, before returning to baseline below 20.4 μg/m^3 (Figure 1A). The 2020 smoke exposure window was from September 9, 2020 to December 9, 2020 (Figure 1B). Peak $PM_{2.5}$ occurred on September 15, 2020 at 177.7 μg/m^3. The smoke event lasted for 9 days until September 18, 2020, before returning to baseline (Figure 1B). The 2022 smoke exposure window was from October 7, 2022 to January 7, 2023. Peak $PM_{2.5}$ occurred on October 20, 2022 at 108.0 μg/m^3. The smoke event lasted for 14 days before returning to baseline on October 21, 2022 (Figure 1C). Notably in 2022, there was a period of unhealthy air from September 10 to September 12, where the average daily $PM_{2.5}$ ranged from 22.2 to 44.5 μg/m^3. Although this period does not qualify as a smoke event by our definition, the air quality was not in the normal range; therefore, individuals with SAs completed from September 10 to October 7, 2022 were excluded from analysis.

Results

We identified 84 subjects who underwent IUI during the wildfire smoke exposure windows in 2018 (27 subjects), 2020 (30 subjects), and 2022 (27 subjects). Average age at IUI was 37 ± 8 years, with a median time between initial SA and SA for IUI of 4 (3-9) months. 96% of men did not have changes in their fertility history between initial SA and SA for IUI; one individual discontinued a clomid trial, one patient underwent right varicocelectomy, and one

131 patient was recommended over-the-counter CoEnzyme Q10 supplementation, although it is
132 not known if he began taking it in the interim. Median time from day of peak $PM_{2.5}$ to SA for IUI
133 was 17 (1 - 69) days in 2018, 18.5 (1 - 76) days in 2020, and 14.5 (1 - 67) days in 2022. Mean
134 daily $PM_{2.5}$ wildfire smoke exposure for patients in the 90 days preceding IUI was 6.4 $\mu g/m^3$ in
135 2018, 9.4 $\mu g/m^3$ in 2020, and 6.2 $\mu g/m^3$ in 2022. Mean number of smoke days experienced by
136 patients in the 90 days preceding IUI was 8 ± 2 days in 2018, 9 ± 3 days in 2020, and 7 ± 3 days
137 in 2022.

138 Most patients were non-Hispanic white individuals with average BMI of 28 ± 5.
139 Average Yost Score of socioeconomic status was 25, with 1 being the highest socioeconomic
140 status and 100 being the lowest.[27] History taken at time of IUI demonstrated that most men
141 were non-smokers, who had never used marijuana, and had intermittent alcohol intake (Table
142 1). 69% of men had primary infertility at the time of IUI, and 24% of men were evaluated by our
143 urology practice prior to IUI. The average age of those evaluated by a urologist was 40 ± 13
144 years-old, with infertility being the most common reason for evaluation (Table 1). The most
145 common infertility-related diagnosis was erectile dysfunction.

146 Smoke exposure was estimated to correlate with a decline of 133 million total sperm (p
147 $= 2.3 \times 10^{-5}$) and 71 million total motile sperm ($p = 2.4 \times 10^{-5}$, Table 2). Semen volume also
148 declined by an estimated 0.6mL ($p = 1.6 \times 10^{-6}$) and sperm concentration by 22 million/mL (p =
149 2.2×10^{-3}, Table 2). In our linear mixed-effect models, event year and time from peak $PM_{2.5}$
150 exposure as covariates did not have statistically significant impacts on semen parameters. All p-
151 values for event year effect and time from peak exposure were not statistically significant.

152 Partner data and observed reproductive outcomes were also summarized (Table 3). Of
153 the 84 female partners, average age was 34 ± 5 years at time of IUI. The majority of these
154 women had never been pregnant. All partners were evaluated by reproductive
155 endocrinologists, and no female factor infertility was identified in 36% of cases following
156 workup. Two semen samples for IUI were ultimately not inseminated due to low sperm count,
157 and one sample was taken to an outside institution for insemination. Of the remaining 81 IUIs,
158 9 (11%) resulted in pregnancy and 7 (9%) resulted in live birth with average gestational age of
159 39 ± 3 weeks at birth (Table 3).
160

161 **Discussion**

162 The increasing frequency and intensity of wildfires highlight the need to understand
163 how poor air quality and fine particulate pollution affect fertility. In our study, patients
164 undergoing IUI treatment had significant declines in numerous semen parameters after wildfire
165 smoke exposure. We found that wildfire smoke exposure was associated with declines in
166 semen volume, sperm concentration, total sperm count, total motile sperm count, total
167 progressively motile sperm count, and total rapid and linear motile sperm count (Table 2).
168 There was also a statistically significant increase in percent progressively motile sperm post-
169 exposure. Our findings are similar to those in a smaller cohort study examining changes in
170 semen parameters after the 2020 wildfire event,[16] and corroborate a larger analysis that
171 associates high $PM_{2.5}$ levels with increased odds of lower total progressively motile sperm
172 count.[17] Together, these findings support our hypothesis that wildfire smoke exposure is
173 associated with declines in sperm parameters.

174 Spermatogenesis occurs in cyclical waves over an average of 74 days.[22] Additionally,
175 fully-formed sperm undergo maturation and storage in the epididymis for approximately two
176 weeks prior to ejaculation.[23] It is unclear at which points during this process spermatozoa and
177 sperm are vulnerable to environmental toxicant exposures such as wildfire smoke. Although
178 individuals in our cohort were completing their SAs for IUI throughout the smoke exposure
179 period, the median absolute time from exposure to SA each year was 14-19 days. One plausible
180 pathway for this rapid impact of wildfire smoke exposure on semen parameters is through
181 direct toxicant effect on mature sperm during epididymal storage or transit through the vas
182 deferens, as sperm are particularly susceptible to noxious insults due to the lack of DNA repair
183 mechanisms in the epididymis.[28] Oxidative stress induced by inhaled pollutants have been
184 shown to damage sperm membranes and DNA rapidly, even in the absence of effects on
185 spermatogenesis.[29] Additionally, toxicants may disrupt epididymal function, which may impair
186 sperm maturation and may lead to changes in semen quality within a few weeks.[30] It is also
187 possible that toxicity during a specific stage of spermatogenesis may underlie our findings.
188 However, addressing this latter hypothesis will likely require large-scale epidemiological studies
189 with greater sample sizes and temporal resolution, or targeted investigations using animal
190 models.

191 We also observed a statistically significant rise in the percentage of progressively motile
192 sperm after wildfire exposure (Table 2). One possible theory for this observation is that
193 abnormally motile sperm are more vulnerable to the oxidative stress imparted by inhaled fine
194 particulates. Sperm progressive motility has been found to correlate positively with DNA
195 integrity, whereas higher levels of DNA fragmentation are found in poorly motile sperm.[31]

196 Sperm with higher levels of baseline DNA fragmentation, as evidenced by poor motility, may be
197 more susceptible to culling during interaction with acute stressors like fine particulate wildfire
198 smoke. These findings highlight the need for additional research on the effects of wildfire
199 smoke exposure on vulnerable populations such as men with elevated levels of sperm DNA
200 fragmentation.

201 In 2020, the maximum fine particulate $PM_{2.5}$ level in the Seattle area during a regional
202 wildfire event was of 177.7 μg/m^3, which was less than half of the maximum fine particulate
203 level in the Portland, OR region of $PM_{2.5}$ 388 μg/m^3.[16] Importantly, we observed the same
204 magnitude of impairment of semen parameters with a lower $PM_{2.5}$ level when compared to the
205 Portland, OR study that same year. When comparing between events in our Seattle area study,
206 we note that the maximum $PM_{2.5}$ level in 2018 was slightly over half of the $PM_{2.5}$ level in 2020
207 (102.2 vs 177.7 μg/m^3, respectively); however, event year, and by association severity of
208 wildfire smoke, did not seem to correlate with worse declines in semen parameters. Whether
209 these findings suggest fine particulate exposure has a threshold effect on changes in semen
210 parameters warrants further research.

211 While this study was not specifically designed to evaluate the impact of wildfire smoke
212 exposure on reproductive outcomes, our observational partner data highlight areas that merit
213 further investigation. In our cohort of women, whose average age was 34 years at time of IUI,
214 pregnancy rate was 11% and live birth rate was 9%, which are similar to the 12-20% pregnancy
215 rate and 9-15% live birth rate per cycle for women in their mid-thirties reported in the
216 literature.[32-34] Data collected at our institution from 1995-2017 demonstrate a pregnancy rate
217 of 16% and live birth rate of 6% after IUI.[35] This underscores the need for future research, as

218 the observed impact on IUI outcomes has important implications for patient counseling and
219 ART procedural timing.

220 Our study had several strengths. We were able to take advantage of a natural
221 experiment in a region with good air quality outside of wildfire smoke season where subjects
222 could act as their own controls. The data analyzed only included couples undergoing their first
223 IUI during a smoke window, and all our analyses were performed at the same center and by the
224 same staff. We also collected detailed clinical information about our subjects taken at time of
225 IUI, and all collections were done in person. Although we could not measure total time spent
226 outdoors versus indoors for subjects, prolonged unhealthy air quality outdoors is associated
227 with parallel declines in indoor air quality[10,11] and all individuals in this study traveled to our
228 clinic for semen analysis and IUI during periods of wildfire smoke, inevitably resulting in some
229 level of exposure to poor outdoor air.

230 Limitations of our study include small sample size and unmeasured variables that may
231 mitigate or exacerbate the health effects of wildfire smoke exposure. These include factors that
232 influence individual exposure such as the estimated duration of outdoor smoke exposure,
233 wearing of high-quality masks, use of indoor air cleaners, occupation, change in behaviors due
234 to wildfire smoke exposure, and exercise habits. Additionally, the subjects in our study live in
235 relatively high socioeconomic regions, which is not representative of the general population. It
236 is also possible that baseline declines in semen analysis parameters occur around the time of
237 IUI due to the inherent stress of the procedure.

238 Another potential unmeasured influence on sperm quality is the COVID-19 pandemic for
239 2020 and 2022 cohorts, as COVID-19 infection has been shown to have transient impact on

240 sperm quality.[36,37] Even mild or asymptomatic COVID infection has been found to decrease
241 sperm concentration, total sperm count, motility, and morphology.[38] Our intake forms at time
242 of IUI asked patients about recent illness including fever and cough, to which the vast majority
243 of subjects answered "no" (Table 2). However, mandatory COVID testing prior to both
244 diagnostic SA and IUI appointments was not conducted. It is therefore unknown if
245 asymptomatic COVID infection before or after smoke exposure could have had an impact on
246 semen parameter results. However, the likelihood this impacted our results is low, as the
247 semen parameters were not statistically different in the 2018 wildfire event year. Future
248 prospective studies where data are collected on subject behavior and symptoms are required
249 to address these concerns.

250 Most of the smoke days in the Puget Sound region are also the result of remote wildfires
251 that began in northeast Washington and Oregon. It is well-documented that the chemical
252 composition of wildfire smoke changes over time and space as it travels from its location of
253 origin.[39] Oxidation of organic compounds and the formation of secondary pollutants such as
254 ozone and peroxyacetyl nitrates may have a distinct effect on semen parameters, which
255 warrant further investigation.

256 Lastly, the timeline for exposure was not studied and is a critical area for further
257 research. We used a three-month smoke exposure window as a biologically plausible
258 timeframe; however, we do not know if there are more time-resolved sensitive windows of
259 sperm vulnerability from acute smoke exposure, if the effects of exposure could persist beyond
260 three months, and if and when semen parameters eventually recover. Interestingly, when we
261 accounted for time from peak wildfire smoke $PM_{2.5}$ exposure to SA for IUI, we did not see

262 statistically significant effects. However, previous studies have shown that the timing of
263 exposure may influence certain semen parameters. Ramsay et al's analysis of the Subfertility,
264 Health and Assisted Reproduction (SHARE) data demonstrates that progressively motile sperm
265 count appears most affected by exposure 60-74 days prior to SA, whereas azoospermia was
266 more likely with exposure 30-59 days prior to SA.[17] These are compelling evidence that wildfire
267 smoke has acute deleterious effects during the process of spermatogenesis, storage, and
268 transport of sperm, and perhaps suggest that cumulative exposure may play a more critical role
269 than the timing relative to peak exposure. Ultimately, the window of exposure relevant for
270 human sperm and fertility is not fully understood and requires further study.
271

272 **Conclusions**

273 In this study, we examined the impact of wildfire smoke exposure on male fertility,
274 finding significant declines in several semen parameters, including sperm concentration, total
275 motile sperm count, progressively motile sperm count, and rapid and linear motile sperm count
276 after exposure to fine particulate pollution. These results emphasize the need for further
277 research on the short- and long-term effects of wildfire smoke exposure on reproductive
278 health, especially as wildfire smoke events become more frequent with climate change.

Sources

1. Childs ML, Li J, Wen J, et al. Daily Local-Level Estimates of Ambient Wildfire Smoke PM(2.5) for the Contiguous US. *Environ Sci Technol*. Oct 4 2022;56(19):13607-13621. doi:10.1021/acs.est.2c02934
2. Childs ML, Martins M, Wilson AJ, Heft-Neal S, Qiu M, Burke M. Growing wildfire-derived PM2.5across the contiguous U.S. and implicationsfor air quality regulation. *Earth ArXiv*. 2024;doi:10.31223/X57H95
3. 2020 Data Summary | Puget Sound Clean Air Agency, WA.
4. 2022 Data Summary | Puget Sound Clean Air Agency, WA.
5. Liu JC, Pereira G, Uhl SA, Bravo MA, Bell ML. A systematic review of the physical health impacts from non-occupational exposure to wildfire smoke. *Environ Res*. Jan 2015;136:120-32. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2014.10.015
6. Vicente A, Alves C, Calvo AI, et al. Emission factors and detailed chemical composition of smoke particles from the 2010 wildfire season. *Atmospheric Environment*. 2013;71:295-303. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.01.062
7. Checa Vizcaino MA, Gonzalez-Comadran M, Jacquemin B. Outdoor air pollution and human infertility: a systematic review. *Fertil Steril*. Sep 15 2016;106(4):897-904 e1. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2016.07.1110
8. Ramsay JM, Fendereski K, Horns JJ, et al. Environmental exposure to industrial air pollution is associated with decreased male fertility. *Fertil Steril*. Sep 2023;120(3 Pt 2):637-647. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2023.05.143
9. Hata M, Chomanee J, Thongyen T, et al. Characteristics of nanoparticles emitted from burning of biomass fuels. *J Environ Sci (China)*. Sep 1 2014;26(9):1913-20. doi:10.1016/j.jes.2014.07.005
10. Agency USEP. Why Wildfire Smoke is a Health Concern. Accessed Feb 18. 2025, 2025. https://www.epa.gov/wildfire-smoke-course/why-wildfire-smoke-health-concern
11. Liang Y, Sengupta D, Campmier MJ, Lunderberg DM, Apte JS, Goldstein AH. Wildfire smoke impacts on indoor air quality assessed using crowdsourced data in California. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. Sep 7 2021;118(36)doi:10.1073/pnas.2106478118
12. May NW, Dixon C, Jaffe DA. Impact of Wildfire Smoke Events on Indoor Air Quality and Evaluation of a Low-cost Filtration Method. *Aerosol and Air Quality Research*. 2021/05/12 2021;21(7):210046. doi:10.4209/aaqr.210046
13. Kim KH, Kabir E, Kabir S. A review on the human health impact of airborne particulate matter. *Environ Int*. Jan 2015;74:136-43. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2014.10.005
14. Sosedova LM, Vokina VA, Novikov MA, et al. Reproductive Function of Male Rats and Motor Activity of Their Offspring in Fire Emissions Modeling. *Bull Exp Biol Med*. Feb 2022;172(4):472-477. doi:10.1007/s10517-022-05416-3
15. Schuller A, Bellini C, Jenkins TG, et al. Simulated Wildfire Smoke Significantly Alters Sperm DNA Methylation Patterns in a Murine Model. *Toxics*. Aug 27 2021;9(9)doi:10.3390/toxics9090199
16. Rubin ES, Kornfield M, Parker P, et al. Poor air quality from wildfire smoke is associated with decrease in total motile sperm count at time of intrauterine insemination. *Fertil Steril*. May 2024;121(5):881-883. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2024.02.014

17. Ramsay JM, Horns JJ, Emery BR, Aston KI, Hotaling JM. EXPOSURE TO WILDFIRE SMOKE IS ASSOCIATED WITH DECREASED SEMEN QUALITY. *Fertil Steril*. 2024;122(4):e210. doi:/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2024.07.690
18. Organization WH. *Infertility Prevalence Estimates, 1991-2021*. 2023.
19. Technologies SfAR. *Preliminary National Summary Report for 2022*. 2025. *National Summary Report*. Jan 17 2025. https://sartcorsonline.com/CSR/PublicSnapshotReport?ClinicPKID=0&reportingYear=2022
20. Ecology WSDo. About the air monitoring network. Website. Accessed Jan 14, 2024. https://ecology.wa.gov/regulations-permits/guidance-technical-assistance/air-monitoring-network
21. Washington Air Quality Advisory (WAQA) Guidance for Public Health Actions. Washington State Department of Health; 2019. https://resources.finalsite.net/images/v1622568385/ksdorg/p6b8vphzd5zvm0axtfmt/wildfiresmokeandoutdoorpublicactivities.pdf
22. Mahoudeau JA, Messing B. [Basic elements of testicular physiology]. *Concours Med*. Oct 25 1975;97(37):5841-4, 5847-50, 5835-5. Elements de physiologie testiculaire.
23. Barrachina F, Battistone MA, Castillo J, et al. Sperm acquire epididymis-derived proteins through epididymosomes. *Hum Reprod*. Apr 1 2022;37(4):651-668. doi:10.1093/humrep/deac015
24. Organization WH. *WHO laboratory manual for the examination and processing of human semen, Sixth Edition*. 2021:292.
25. Organization WH. *WHO laboratory manual for the examination and processing of human semen, Fifth edition*. 2010:271.
26. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)--a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform*. Apr 2009;42(2):377-81. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010
27. Yost K, Perkins C, Cohen R, Morris C, Wright W. Socioeconomic status and breast cancer incidence in California for different race/ethnic groups. *Cancer Causes Control*. Oct 2001;12(8):703-11. doi:10.1023/a:1011240019516
28. Sakkas D, Alvarez JG. Sperm DNA fragmentation: mechanisms of origin, impact on reproductive outcome, and analysis. *Fertil Steril*. Mar 1 2010;93(4):1027-36. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2009.10.046
29. Agarwal A, Mulgund A, Hamada A, Chyatte MR. A unique view on male infertility around the globe. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol*. Apr 26 2015;13:37. doi:10.1186/s12958-015-0032-1
30. Robaire B, Hinton B. The Epididymis. In: Plant T, Zeleznik A, eds. *Knobil and Neill's Physiology of Reproduction*. 2015.
31. Xin Z, Han N, Jin H. Correlation analysis of age and MTHFR C677T polymorphism with sperm motility and sperm DNA integrity. *Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand)*. Jun 30 2023;69(6):110-115. doi:10.14715/cmb/2023.69.6.17
32. Goverde AJ, McDonnell J, Vermeiden JP, Schats R, Rutten FF, Schoemaker J. Intrauterine insemination or in-vitro fertilisation in idiopathic subfertility and male subfertility: a randomised trial and cost-effectiveness analysis. *Lancet*. Jan 1 2000;355(9197):13-8. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(99)04002-7

367 33. Merviel P, Heraud MH, Grenier N, Lourdel E, Sanguinet P, Copin H. Predictive factors for
368 pregnancy after intrauterine insemination (IUI): an analysis of 1038 cycles and a review of
369 the literature. *Fertil Steril*. Jan 2010;93(1):79-88. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2008.09.058
370 34. Haebe J, Martin J, Tekepety F, Tummon I, Shepherd K. Success of intrauterine insemination
371 in women aged 40-42 years. *Fertil Steril*. Jul 2002;78(1):29-33. doi:10.1016/s0015-
372 0282(02)03168-0
373 35. Nayan M, Punjani N, Grober E, Lo K, Jarvi K. The use of assisted reproductive technology
374 before male factor infertility evaluation. *Transl Androl Urol*. Aug 2018;7(4):678-685.
375 doi:10.21037/tau.2018.06.08
376 36. Gacci M, Coppi M, Baldi E, et al. Semen impairment and occurrence of SARS-CoV-2 virus in
377 semen after recovery from COVID-19. *Hum Reprod*. May 17 2021;36(6):1520-1529.
378 doi:10.1093/humrep/deab026
379 37. Guo TH, Sang MY, Bai S, et al. Semen parameters in men recovered from COVID-19. *Asian J*
380 *Androl*. Sep-Oct 2021;23(5):479-483. doi:10.4103/aja.aja_31_21
381 38. Che BW, Chen P, Yu Y, et al. Effects of mild/asymptomatic COVID-19 on semen parameters
382 and sex-related hormone levels in men: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Asian J*
383 *Androl*. May-Jun 2023;25(3):382-388. doi:10.4103/aja202250
384 39. National Academies of Sciences Engineer (WASHINGTON District of Columbia). *The*
385 *chemistry of fires at the wildland-urban interface*. The National Academies Press;
386 2022:pages cm.
387 40. Esri. (2025). Air Quality and Smoke Forecast [Screenshot]. Retrieved from https://www.arcgis.com/apps/dashboards/

Table 1. Demographics data of included subjects

Parameter	All Cohorts	2018	2020	2022
Total number of individuals	84	27	30	27
Average age at IUI (years)	37 ± 8	34 ± 6	36 ± 4	40 ± 12
Median time between SA and IUI (months)	4 (3 – 9)	3 (2.5 – 5)	4 (2 – 9)	5 (3 – 10)
Average BMI	28 ± 5	27 ± 4	29 ± 6	27 ± 6
Average Yost Score of socioeconomic status	25 ± 22	21 ± 21	20 ± 17	31 ± 24
Census Bureau race group				
White	41 (49%)	12 (44%)	17 (57%)	12 (44%)
Black or African American	6 (7%)	2 (7%)	2 (7%)	2 (7%)
Asian	26 (31%)	11 (41%)	5 (17%)	10 (37%)
Native American or Alaskan Native	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)
Declined to answer or unknown	10 (12%)	2 (7%)	5 (17%)	3 (11%)
Ethnicity				
Not Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish	71 (85%)	23 (85%)	25 (83%)	23 (85%)
Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish	3 (4%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	2 (7%)
Declined to answer or unknown	10 (12%)	3 (11%)	5 (17%)	2 (7%)
Smoking History				
Never	65 (77%)	21 (8%)	24 (80%)	20 (74%)
Former	13 (15%)	3 (11%)	4 (13%)	6 (22%)
Current	4 (5%)	3 (11%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)
Unknown	2 (2%)	0 (0%)	2 (7%)	0 (0%)
Marijuana History				
Never	50 (60%)	20 (74%)	15 (50%)	15 (56%)
Former	14 (17%)	4 (15%)	5 (17%)	5 (19%)
Current	17 (20%)	3 (11%)	7 (23%)	7 (26%)
Unknown	3 (4%)	0 (0%)	3 (10%)	0 (0%)
Alcohol History				
None	20 (24%)	10 (37%)	4 (13%)	6 (22%)
Social (intermittent)	50 (60%)	11 (41%)	20 (67%)	19 (70%)
Regular (daily)	3 (4%)	2 (7%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)
Unknown	11 (13%)	4 (15%)	5 (17%)	2 (2%)
Male Infertility Type				
Primary Infertility	58 (69%)	19 (70%)	23 (77%)	16 (60%)
Secondary Infertility	26 (31%)	8 (30%)	7 (23%)	11 (40%)
Infertility-Related Diagnoses				
Solitary testicle	1 (1%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Erectile dysfunction	5 (6%)	1 (4%)	1 (3%)	3 (11%)
HIV	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)
Pituitary micro/macroadenoma	1 (1%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Prior testosterone use	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)
Radiation exposure	2 (2%)	1 (4%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)
Elevated scrotal temperatures (regular hot tub use)	7 (8%)	2 (8%)	4 (13%)	1 (4%)
Pesticides or other organic compounds	2 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (8%)
Febrile Illness within prior 3 months of IUI				
Yes	3 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (3%)	1 (4%)
No	77 (92%)	24 (89%)	29 (97%)	24 (89%)
Unknown	4 (5%)	2 (7%)	0 (0%)	2 (7%)
Number of men evaluated by urology prior to IUI	24 (29%)	7 (26%)	6 (20%)	11 (41%)
Average age of men evaluated by urology (years)	40 ± 13	34 ± 3	39 ± 4	45 ± 18
Reasons for initial urologic evaluation (multiple selection)				
Infertility evaluation	20 (83%)	6 (86%)	6 (100%)	8 (73%)
Fertility preservation	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (9%)
History of vasectomy, desire for restoration of fertility	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (9%)
Varicocele	1 (4%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Testicular or pelvic pain	1 (4%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Low testosterone	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (9%)
Erectile dysfunction	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)
Ejaculatory Dysfunction	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)

Table 2. Estimated effect of wildfire smoke on median semen parameters of 84 subjects before and after exposure

Semen Parameters	Before Exposure	After Exposure	Estimated Effect*	*P* value**
Semen volume (mL)	3.5 (2.3 – 4.3)	3.1 (2.3 – 3.7)	-0.6	**1.6×10^{-6}**
Sperm concentration (mil/mL)	76 (49 – 127)	74 (33 – 102)	-22	**2.2×10^{-3}**
Percent motile (%)	54 (47 – 60)	53 (44 – 62)	-0.4	0.800
Percent progressively motile (%)	39 (32 – 66)	46 (38 – 56)	7	**4.4×10^{-5}**
Percent rapid & linear motile (%)	13.5 (10 – 18)	12.5 (5.5 – 18)	-2	0.055
Total sperm count ($x10^6$)	286 (177 – 419)	184 (98 – 332)	-133	**2.3×10^{-5}**
Total motile sperm ($x10^6$)	157 (80 – 250)	90 (35 – 188)	-71	**2.4×10^{-5}**
Total progressively motile sperm ($x10^6$)	106 (46 – 175)	77 (38 – 158)	-37	**4.9×10^{-3}**
Total rapid & linear motile sperm ($x10^6$)	34 (15 – 79)	20 (7 – 60)	-22	**1.2×10^{-4}**

**Linear mixed model estimate of the effect of wildfire smoke exposure when controlling for event year*
***Linear mixed model evaluation with $p < 0.05$ considered statistically significant, event year nor time from peak wildfire exposure did not have statistically significant impact for any independent variables so p-values are not reported*

Table 3. Partner data and reproductive outcomes

Parameter	All Cohorts
Average female partner age at IUI (years)	34 ± 5
Median number of pregnancies prior	
Gravida	0 (0 – 1)
Para	0 (0 – 0)
Abortus	0 (0 – 1)
Partner female factory infertility history	
No female factor noted after workup	30 (36%)
Tubal factors	5 (6%)
Uterine factors	10 (12%)
Cervical factors	1 (1%)
Diminished Ovarian Reserve	12 (14%)
Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome	20 (24%)
Endometriosis	4 (5%)
Other	17 (20%)
Number of non-inseminated samples due to low count	2
Number of pregnancies achieved from IUI	9 (11%)
<35 years-old at conception	5 (56%)
35-40 years-old at conception	4 (44%)
>40 years-old at conception	0 (0%)
Number of live births	7 (9%)
<35 years-old at birth	3 (43%)
35-40 years-old at birth	4 (57%)
>40 years-old at birth	0 (0%)
Average gestational age at birth (weeks)	39 ± 3

Figure 1. Daily Mean $PM_{2.5}$ in Seattle WA

Daily mean $PM_{2.5}$ concentration (blue line) as documented by the 10th & Weller Monitoring Station closest to our Men's Health Lab and Clinic in the years 2018 (**A**), 2020 (**B**), and 2022 (**C**). The red line indicates the Washington Air Quality Advisory (WAQA) threshold for unhealthy air of 20.4 $\mu g/m^3$, the area highlighted in yellow indicates the three-month wildfire smoke exposure window, and the blue dots represent intrauterine insemination (IUI) dates of included individuals.