Wildfire Smoke Exposure is Associated with Decreased Sperm Concentration and Total Motile Sperm Count

Authors: Lillian X. Lindell,[1] MD; Sarah K. Holt, PhD; [1] Erin Petersen, MS;[2] Navya Gunaje, MSPH;[1] Arash Amighi, MD; [1] Amanda Haack, PhD; [2] Anthony Bui, MD; [1] Ryan Nasseri, MD; [1] Theodore Crisostomo-Wynne, MD;[1] Catherine J. Karr, MD, PhD;[3,4] Charles H. Muller, PhD, HCLD; [1] Thomas J. Walsh, MD; [1] Tristan M. Nicholson, MD, PhD[1, 3]

[1] *Department of Urology, School of Medicine, University of Washington*
[2] *School of Medicine, University of Washington*
[3] *Department of Environmental & Occupational Health Sciences, School of Public Health, University of Washington*
[4] *Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, University of Washington*

Corresponding Author: Tristan M. Nicholson, MD, PhD
Permanent Address: 1959 NE Pacific St, Box 356510, Seattle, WA 98195

Funding:
Research reported in this publication was funded by the University of Washington Medicine Endowed Professorship for Men's Health (Dr. Thomas J. Walsh), the Urology Care Foundation Research Scholar Award (Dr. Tristan M. Nicholson), and the National Institute Of Environmental Health Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number K12ES033584 and P30ES007033. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. The REDCap instance in this research is funded by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under award number UL1TR002319. Special thanks to Dr. Charles H. Muller and the University of Washington Male Fertility Lab staff for making this work possible.

Declaration of Ethics:
Institutional Board Review at the University of Washington has approved of this study protocol. All data are available upon request. All authors have contributed significantly to the work and have approved the final manuscript. We have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Keywords:
Semen analysis, intrauterine insemination, wildfire smoke exposure

Highlights:

- Minimal research exists on the impact of wildfire smoke on male fertility
- We demonstrate that wildfire smoke exposure is associated with a decline in sperm concentration, total sperm count, and total motile sperm count across three separate wildfire events
- Our findings corroborate those of one small cohort study completed in another geographic location

Abstract

Introduction: As wildfires become more prevalent, their impact on fertility warrants evaluation. We aimed to examine the impact of smoke exposure on semen analysis (SA) parameters of intrauterine insemination (IUI) patients in the greater Seattle, WA area. We hypothesized that wildfire smoke exposure was associated with a decline in total motile sperm (TMC).

Methods: We performed a retrospective cohort study of subjects' SA parameters pre- and post-exposure to 2018, 2020, and 2022 smoke events. Pre-exposure semen was a diagnostic fresh sample while post-exposure was semen taken at IUI. If subjects had multiple IUIs during the smoke event, only their first IUI was evaluated. We performed analyses with R (Auckland, NZ) using linear mixed-effects modeling (significance of $p < 0.05$) with subject and event year as a random effect to account for temporal variations in smoke severity.

Results: We identified 84 subjects who underwent IUI across the 2018, 2020, and 2022 wildfire smoke events. Median time between initial SA and SA for IUI was 4 months. We observed a decline in sperm concentration, total motile sperm, and total progressively motile sperm. We also observed an increase in percent progressively motile sperm. These trends did not differ across year.

Conclusions: Our results are consistent with a prior small study demonstrating that wildfire smoke exposure is associated with adverse sperm quality. These findings highlight the need for further research on the effects of wildfire smoke exposure on human sperm and fertility treatments, especially as smoke exposures are expected to increase with climate change.

247/250 words

Introduction

Wildfires in the last decade have been increasingly devastating, burning millions of acres and resulting in high intensity of hazardous smoke exposure for days to weeks on end.[1] The western United States is disproportionately affected by wildfire smoke, with states like California and Oregon experiencing seasonal exacerbations regularly.[1] The Puget Sound region in the upper west provides an excellent setting for the study of wildfire smoke exposure due to its close proximity to seasonal wildfire activity, and allows researchers to study the effects of short-term air quality disruptions within a context of otherwise clean air.[2,3] As wildfire events become more commonplace due to climate change,[4] it is imperative to understand the effects of their resultant smoke exposure on human health. The impact of wildfire smoke on men's reproductive health and semen parameters in particular are not well-described and warrant further study, especially as infertility increases in prevalence. This study takes advantage of our institution's location in the Puget Sound region, where wildfire smoke events create distinct pre- and post-exposure periods in a natural experiment to examine how a sudden, temporary decline in air quality influences semen parameters.

The reproductive health impacts due to air pollution from various sources including fossil fuels, agriculture, and industrial activities are well-characterized. Air pollution of this kind is generally composed of coarse particulate matter (PM) with diameters larger than 2.5 microns (µm) and smaller than or equal to 10 µm,[5] and is linked to reduced sperm concentration, motility, and morphology.[6,7] Air pollution has also been linked to declines in conception and adverse pregnancy outcomes, with exposure associated with declines in sperm quality, ovarian dysfunction, and inferior fertility treatments results.[6]

In contrast, $PM_{2.5}$, which are 2.5 μm in diameter or less, is a major component of wildfire smoke.[5] The smallest particles, ultrafine particulates, defined as compounds less than 0.1 μm in diameter, have been found to make up 30 to 90 percent of wildfire smoke.[8] Due to the small size of these particulates, they easily diffuse through buildings and affect both indoor and outdoor air quality, making wildfire smoke exposure particularly difficult to avoid.[9]

Exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ has been linked to respiratory problems, heart attack, stroke, lung cancer, and cognitive impairment.[10] However, the impact of $PM_{2.5}$ and wildfire smoke exposure on human male fertility has not been well-characterized in the scientific literature. In mouse models, there is evidence that exposure to wildfire smoke is associated with decreased spermatogenesis and increased sperm DNA methylation, which is a marker for overall male reproductive health.[11,12] One small cohort study in humans observed that the resultant smoke exposure from the September 2020 wildfire event was associated with decreases in total motile sperm count and sperm concentration in men undergoing intrauterine insemination (IUI) in Portland, OR.[13] In another retrospective analysis, exposure to high concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ was associated with an increased odds of azoospermia and lower total progressive motile sperm count.[14]

As wildfire activity increases, it is critical to understand how exposure affects human sperm quality. One in six couples in the world face infertility, with male-factor contributing to approximately 50% of these cases.[15] Fertility treatments such as intrauterine insemination and other assisted reproductive technologies (ART) are responsible for a growing share of live births in the United States.[16] The effect of environmental exposures on sperm viability and

reproductive outcomes could have significant impacts on patient counseling and timing of intervention.

We hypothesized that acute hazardous levels of smoke exposure would be associated with a decline in total motile sperm (TMC) in our patient population. Our study seeks to contribute to a growing body of scientific literature on the health impacts of wildfire smoke exposure. Understanding how wildfire smoke exposure affects semen parameters would impact how patients are counseled prior to fertility interventions, and stimulate future studies on mitigating environmental toxicant exposures in these settings.

Methods

This retrospective, Institutional Review Board–approved cohort study analyzed diagnostic semen analysis (SA) and SA at time of IUI completed at our institution. We evaluated the last seven years of publicly available air quality data collected by a network of over 60 monitoring stations sponsored by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Washington Department of Ecology, and local Washington clean air agencies.[17] We defined a smoke event as any period lasting three days above the Washington Air Quality Advisory (WAQA) threshold for fine particulates ($PM_{2.5}$ > 20.4 μg/m^3) at the EPA monitoring station closest to our clinic.[18] We defined a smoke exposure window as a three-month period starting on the first day of the smoke event. We chose to use a three-month window because the process of spermatogenesis takes, on average, 74 days to complete.[19]

Subjects acted as their own controls. We included subjects who had at least one IUI performed during a smoke exposure window, and at least one SA performed prior to the first

day of the smoke event. For subjects with multiple SAs before the smoke event or multiple IUIs during the smoke exposure window, we compared SA temporally closest to the smoke exposure window with the first IUI following the onset of the smoke event. Medical records review was performed to obtain subject age, race, ethnicity, smoking status, marijuana use, infertility history, and illness status at time of IUI. Female partner data was also collected. Our primary outcome was total motile sperm count (TMC), assessed before and after the onset of the smoke exposure. Our secondary outcomes included semen volume, sperm concentration, percent motility, percent progressive motility, total motile sperm, and total progressively motile sperm.

Semen samples for SA were collected and processed following the World Health Organization (WHO) 5th edition criteria for 2018 and 2020 data and 6th edition criteria for 2022 data[20,21] with the following modifications: Sperm concentration and total sperm count were obtained with use of volumetric measures of semen and hemacytometer counts of sperm in semen diluted with purified water. Sperm motility was evaluated in semen on both cover-slipped wet mounts and Leja Standard Count 20 micron 2 chamber fixed coverslip slides (Leja Products BV, Netherlands). A motility category "Rapid & Linear," corresponding to the WHO 6th "Rapid Progressive" motility and motility category A of WHO editions prior to the 5th edition was evaluated and recorded for all patients. The diagnostic SA and the SA for IUI were identical, except that morphology and immature germ cell evaluations (data not reported here) were only performed for the diagnostic SA. Study data were maintained in a Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) database.[22]

Statistical analyses were conducted using R Studio version 4.4.1 (Auckland, NZ) and *RStudio IDE* (Boston, MA). Linear mixed-effects models were employed to evaluate the impact of wildfire smoke exposure on semen parameters including sperm volume, concentration, motility, and count. The "lme4" package was used to fit models with semen parameters before and after smoke exposure as dependent variables. Models included random intercepts both for patient and wildfire event year to account for temporal variations in the severity of smoke exposure. *P*-values were determined under the assumption of a two-tailed distribution, with <0.05 considered statistically significant. Results were reported as estimated marginal means.

Results

We identified three wildfire events from 2018, 2020, and 2022 because they met our definition of a smoke event as described in the Methods section (Figure 1). The 2018 smoke exposure window was from August 14, 2018 to November 14, 2018 (Figure 1). Peak $PM_{2.5}$ occurred on August 21, 2018 at 102.2 µg/m^3. The smoke event lasted for 10 days until August 25, 2018, before returning to baseline below 20.4 µg/m^3 (Figure 1a). The 2020 smoke exposure window was from September 9, 2020 to December 9, 2020 (Figure 1b). Peak $PM_{2.5}$ occurred on September 15, 2020 at 177.7 µg/m^3. The smoke event lasted for 9 days until September 18, 2020, before returning to baseline (Figure 1b). The 2022 smoke exposure window was from October 7, 2022 to January 7, 2023. Peak $PM_{2.5}$ occurred on October 20, 2022 at 108.0 µg/m^3. The smoke event lasted for 14 days before returning to baseline on October 21, 2022 (Figure 1c). Notably in 2022, there was a period of unhealthy air from September 10 to September 12, where the average daily $PM_{2.5}$ ranged from 22.2 to 44.5 µg/m^3. Although this period does not

qualify as a smoke event by our Methods definition, the air quality was not in the normal range; therefore, individuals with SAs completed from September 10 to October 7, 2022 were excluded from analysis.

We identified 84 subjects who underwent their first IUI during or after the wildfire smoke events in 2018 (27 subjects), 2020 (30 subjects), and 2022 (27 subjects). Average age at IUI was 37 ± 8 years, with a median time between initial SA and SA for IUI of 4 (3-9) months. Absolute median time from day of peak $PM_{2.5}$ to SA for IUI was 17 (1 - 69) days in 2018, 18.5 (1 - 76) days in 2020, and 14.5 (1 - 67) days in 2022. Most patients were non-Hispanic white individuals with average BMI of 28 ± 5. Average Yost Score of socioeconomic status was 25, with 1 being the highest socioeconomic status and 100 being the lowest.[23] History taken at time of IUI demonstrated that most men were non-smokers, who had never used marijuana, and had intermittent alcohol intake (Table 1). 69% of men had primary infertility at the time of IUI, and 24% of men were evaluated by our urology practice prior to IUI. The average age of those evaluated by a urologist was 40 ± 13 years-old, with infertility being the most common reason for evaluation (Table 1). The most common infertility-related diagnosis was erectile dysfunction.

We found that wildfire smoke exposure was associated with declines in semen volume, sperm concentration, total sperm count, total motile sperm count, total progressively motile sperm count, and total rapid and linear motile sperm count (Table 2). There was also a statistically significant increase in percent progressively motile sperm post-exposure. Specifically, wildfire smoke exposure was estimated to correlate with a decline of 133 million total sperm ($p = 2.3 \times 10^{-5}$) and 71 million total motile sperm ($p = 2.4 \times 10^{-5}$, Table 2). Semen

volume also declined by an estimated 0.6mL ($p = 1.6 \times 10^{-6}$) and sperm concentration by 22 million/mL ($p = 2.2 \times 10^{-3}$, Table 2). In our linear mixed-effect models, event year as a random effect variable did not have impact on semen parameters. All *p*-values for event year effect were not statistically significant.

Partner data and observed reproductive outcomes were also summarized (Table 3). Of the 84 female partners, average age was 34 ± 5 years at time of IUI. The majority of these women had never been pregnant. All partners were evaluated by reproductive endocrinologists, and no female factor infertility was identified in 36% of cases following workup. Two semen samples for IUI were ultimately not inseminated due to low sperm count, and one sample was taken to an outside institution for insemination. Of the remaining 81 IUIs, 9 (11%) resulted in pregnancy and 7 (9%) resulted in live birth with average gestational age of 39 ± 3 weeks at birth (Table 3).

Discussion

The increasing frequency and intensity of wildfires highlight the need to understand how poor air quality and fine particulate pollution affect fertility. In our study, patients undergoing IUI treatment had significant declines in numerous semen parameters after wildfire smoke exposure. Sperm concentration, total sperm count, total motile sperm count, progressively motile sperm count, and rapid and linear motile sperm count were all negatively correlated with wildfire smoke exposure. Our findings are similar to those in a smaller cohort study examining changes in semen parameters after the 2020 wildfire event,[13] and corroborate a larger analysis that associates high $PM_{2.5}$ levels with increased odds of lower total

progressively motile sperm count.[14] Together, these findings support our hypothesis that wildfire smoke exposure is associated with declines in sperm parameters.

In 2020, the maximum fine particulate $PM_{2.5}$ level in the Seattle area during a regional wildfire event was of 177.7 μg/m^3, which was a less than half of the maximum fine particulate level in the Portland, OR region of $PM_{2.5}$ 388 μg/m^3.[13] Importantly, we observed the same magnitude of impairment of semen parameters with a lower $PM_{2.5}$ level when compared to the Portland, OR study that same year. When comparing between events in our Seattle area study, we note that the maximum $PM_{2.5}$ level in 2018 was slightly over half of the $PM_{2.5}$ level in 2020 (102.2 vs 177.7 μg/m^3, respectively); however, event year, and by association severity of wildfire smoke, did not seem to correlate with worse declines in semen parameters. Whether these findings suggest fine particulate exposure has a threshold effect on or a stepwise correlation to changes in semen parameters warrants further research.

While this study was not specifically designed to evaluate the impact of wildfire smoke exposure on reproductive outcomes, our observational partner data highlight areas that merit more investigation. In our cohort of women, whose average age was 34 years at time of IUI, pregnancy rate was 11% and live birth rate was 9%, which are similar to the 12-20% pregnancy rate and 9-15% live birth rate per cycle for women in their mid-thirties reported in the literature.[24-26] Data collected at our institution from 1995-2017 demonstrate a pregnancy rate of 16% and live birth rate of 6% after IUI.[27] Reproductive outcomes during smoke exposure periods warrant further investigation as the results could have implications for patient counseling.

Of note, we also observed a statistically significant rise in the percentage of progressively motile sperm after wildfire exposure (Table 2). One possible theory for this observation is that abnormally motile sperm are more vulnerable to the oxidative stress imparted by inhaled fine particulates. Sperm progressive motility has been found to correlate positively with DNA integrity, whereas higher levels of DNA fragmentation are found in poorly motile sperm.[28] Sperm with higher levels of baseline DNA fragmentation, as evidenced by poor motility, may be more susceptible to culling during interaction with acute stressors like fine particulate wildfire smoke. These findings highlight the need for additional research on the effects of wildfire smoke exposure on vulnerable populations such as men with elevated levels of sperm DNA fragmentation.

Our study had several strengths. We were able to take advantage of a natural experiment in a region with good air quality outside of wildfire smoke season where subjects could act as their own controls. The data analyzed only included couples undergoing their first IUI during a smoke event, and all our analyses were performed at the same center and by the same staff. We also collected detailed clinical information about our subjects taken at time of IUI, and all collections were done in person. Although we could not measure total time spent outdoors versus indoors for subjects, prolonged unhealthy air quality outdoors is associated with parallel declines in indoor air quality[9,29] and all individuals in this study traveled to our clinic for semen analysis and IUI during periods of wildfire smoke, inevitably resulting in some level of exposure to poor outdoor air.

Limitations of our study include small sample size and unmeasured variables that may mitigate or exacerbate the health effects of wildfire smoke exposure. These include factors that

influence individual exposure such as the estimated duration of outdoor smoke exposure, wearing of high-quality masks, use of indoor air cleaners, occupation, change in behaviors due to wildfire smoke exposure, and exercise habits. Additionally, the subjects in our study live in relatively high socioeconomic regions, which is not representative of the general population. It is also possible that baseline declines in semen analysis parameters occur around the time of IUI due to the inherent stress of the procedure.

Another potential unmeasured influence on sperm quality is the COVID-19 pandemic for 2020 and 2022 cohorts, as COVID-19 infection has been shown to have transient impact on sperm quality.[30,31] Even mild or asymptomatic COVID infection has been found to decrease sperm concentration, total sperm count, motility, and morphology.[32] Our intake forms at time of IUI asked patients about recent illness including fever and cough, to which the vast majority of subjects answered "no" (Table 2). However, mandatory COVID testing prior to both diagnostic SA and IUI appointments was not done. It is therefore unknown if asymptomatic COVID infection before or after smoke exposure could have had an impact on semen parameter results. However, the likelihood this impacted our results is low, as the semen parameters were not statistically different in the 2018 wildfire event year. Future prospective studies where data are collected on subject behavior and symptoms are required to address these concerns.

Most of the smoke days in the greater Seattle area are also the result of remote wildfires that began in northeast Washington and Oregon. It is well-documented that the chemical composition of wildfire smoke changes over time and space as it travels from its location of origin.[33] Oxidation of organic compounds and the formation of secondary pollutants

such as ozone and peroxyacetyl nitrates may have a distinct effect on semen parameters, which warrant further investigation.

Lastly, the timeline for exposure was not studied and is a critical area for further research. We used a three-month smoke exposure window as a biologically plausible timeframe; however, we do not know if there are more time resolved sensitive windows of sperm vulnerability from acute smoke exposure, if the effects of exposure could persist beyond three months, and if and when semen parameters eventually recover. Ramsay et al's analysis of the Subfertility, Health and Assisted Reproduction (SHARE) data demonstrates that progressively motile sperm count appears most affected by exposure 60-74 days prior to SA, whereas azoospermia was more likely with exposure 30-59 days prior to SA.[14] These are compelling evidence that wildfire smoke has acute deleterious effects during the process of spermatogenesis, storage, and transport of sperm; however, the window of exposure relevant for human sperm and fertility is not fully understood.

Conclusion

In this study, we examined the impact of wildfire smoke exposure on male fertility, finding significant declines in several semen parameters, including sperm concentration, total motile sperm count, progressively motile sperm count, and rapid and linear motile sperm count after exposure to fine particulate pollution. These results emphasize the need for further research on the short- and long-term effects of wildfire smoke exposure on reproductive health, especially as wildfire smoke events become more frequent with climate change.

Table 1. Demographics data of included subjects

Parameter	All Cohorts	2018	2020	2022
Total number of individuals	84	27	30	27
Average age at IUI (years)	37 ± 8	34 ± 6	36 ± 4	40 ± 12
Median time between SA and IUI (months)	4 (3 – 9)	3 (2.5 – 5)	4 (2 – 9)	5 (3 – 10)
Average BMI	28 ± 5	27 ± 4	29 ± 6	27 ± 6
Average Yost Score of socioeconomic status	25 ± 22	21 ± 21	20 ± 17	31 ± 24
Census Bureau race group				
White	41 (49%)	12 (44%)	17 (57%)	12 (44%)
Black or African American	6 (7%)	2 (7%)	2 (7%)	2 (7%)
Asian	26 (31%)	11 (41%)	5 (17%)	10 (37%)
Native American or Alaskan Native	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)
Declined to answer or unknown	10 (12%)	2 (7%)	5 (17%)	3 (11%)
Ethnicity				
Not Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish	71 (85%)	23 (85%)	25 (83%)	23 (85%)
Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish	3 (4%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	2 (7%)
Declined to answer or unknown	10 (12%)	3 (11%)	5 (17%)	2 (7%)
Smoking History				
Never	65 (77%)	21 (8%)	24 (80%)	20 (74%)
Former	13 (15%)	3 (11%)	4 (13%)	6 (22%)
Current	4 (5%)	3 (11%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)
Unknown	2 (2%)	0 (0%)	2 (7%)	0 (0%)
Marijuana History				
Never	50 (60%)	20 (74%)	15 (50%)	15 (56%)
Former	14 (17%)	4 (15%)	5 (17%)	5 (19%)
Current	17 (20%)	3 (11%)	7 (23%)	7 (26%)
Unknown	3 (4%)	0 (0%)	3 (10%)	0 (0%)
Alcohol History				
None	20 (24%)	10 (37%)	4 (13%)	6 (22%)
Social (intermittent)	50 (60%)	11 (41%)	20 (67%)	19 (70%)
Regular (daily)	3 (4%)	2 (7%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)
Unknown	11 (13%)	4 (15%)	5 (17%)	2 (2%)
Male Infertility Type				
Primary Infertility	58 (69%)	19 (70%)	23 (77%)	16 (60%)
Secondary Infertility	26 (31%)	8 (30%)	7 (23%)	11 (40%)
Infertility-Related Diagnoses				
Solitary testicle	1 (1%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Erectile dysfunction	5 (6%)	1 (4%)	1 (3%)	3 (11%)
HIV	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)
Pituitary micro/macroadenoma	1 (1%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Prior testosterone use	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)
Radiation exposure	2 (2%)	1 (4%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)
Elevated scrotal temperatures (regular hot tub use)	7 (8%)	2 (8%)	4 (13%)	1 (4%)
Pesticides or other organic compounds	2 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (8%)
Febrile Illness within prior 3 months of IUI				
Yes	3 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (3%)	1 (4%)
No	77 (92%)	24 (89%)	29 (97%)	24 (89%)
Unknown	4 (5%)	2 (7%)	0 (0%)	2 (7%)
Number of men evaluated by urology prior to IUI	24 (29%)	7 (26%)	6 (20%)	11 (41%)
Average age of men evaluated by urology (years)	40 ± 13	34 ± 3	39 ± 4	45 ± 18
Reasons for initial urologic evaluation (multiple selection)				
Infertility evaluation	20 (83%)	6 (86%)	6 (100%)	8 (73%)
Fertility preservation	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (9%)
History of vasectomy, desire for restoration of fertility	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (9%)
Varicocele	1 (4%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Testicular or pelvic pain	1 (4%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Low testosterone	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (9%)
Erectile dysfunction	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)
Ejaculatory Dysfunction	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)

Table 2. Estimated effect of wildfire smoke on median semen parameters of 84 subjects before and after exposure

Semen Parameters	Before Exposure	After Exposure	Estimated Effect*	*P* value**
Semen volume (mL)	3.5 (2.3 – 4.3)	3.1 (2.3 – 3.7)	-0.6	**1.6×10^{-6}**
Sperm concentration (mil/mL)	76 (49 – 127)	74 (33 – 102)	-22	**2.2×10^{-3}**
Percent motile (%)	54 (47 – 60)	53 (44 – 62)	-0.4	0.800
Percent progressively motile (%)	39 (32 – 66)	46 (38 – 56)	7	**4.4×10^{-5}**
Percent rapid & linear motile (%)	13.5 (10 – 18)	12.5 (5.5 – 18)	-2	0.055
Total sperm count ($x10^6$)	286 (177 – 419)	184 (98 – 332)	-133	**2.3×10^{-5}**
Total motile sperm ($x10^6$)	157 (80 – 250)	90 (35 – 188)	-71	**2.4×10^{-5}**
Total progressively motile sperm ($x10^6$)	106 (46 – 175)	77 (38 – 158)	-37	**4.9×10^{-3}**
Total rapid & linear motile sperm ($x10^6$)	34 (15 – 79)	20 (7 – 60)	-22	**1.2×10^{-4}**

**Linear mixed model estimate of the effect of wildfire smoke exposure when controlling for event year*
***Linear mixed model evaluation with $p < 0.05$ considered statistically significant, event year did not have statistically significant impact for any independent variables so p-values are not reported*

Table 3. Partner data and reproductive outcomes

Parameter	All Cohorts
Average female partner age at IUI (years)	34 ± 5
Median number of pregnancies prior	
Gravida	0 (0 – 1)
Para	0 (0 – 0)
Abortus	0 (0 – 1)
Partner female factory infertility history	
No female factor noted after workup	30 (36%)
Tubal factors	5 (6%)
Uterine factors	10 (12%)
Cervical factors	1 (1%)
Diminished Ovarian Reserve	12 (14%)
Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome	20 (24%)
Endometriosis	4 (5%)
Other	17 (20%)
Number of non-inseminated samples due to low count	2
Number of pregnancies achieved from IUI	9 (11%)
Number of live births	7 (9%)
Average gestational age at birth (weeks)	39 ± 3

Sources

1. Childs ML, Li J, Wen J, et al. Daily Local-Level Estimates of Ambient Wildfire Smoke PM(2.5) for the Contiguous US. *Environ Sci Technol*. Oct 4 2022;56(19):13607-13621. doi:10.1021/acs.est.2c02934
2. 2020 Data Summary | Puget Sound Clean Air Agency, WA.
3. 2022 Data Summary | Puget Sound Clean Air Agency, WA.
4. Liu JC, Pereira G, Uhl SA, Bravo MA, Bell ML. A systematic review of the physical health impacts from non-occupational exposure to wildfire smoke. *Environ Res*. Jan 2015;136:120-32. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2014.10.015
5. Vicente A, Alves C, Calvo AI, et al. Emission factors and detailed chemical composition of smoke particles from the 2010 wildfire season. *Atmospheric Environment*. 2013;71:295-303. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.01.062
6. Checa Vizcaino MA, Gonzalez-Comadran M, Jacquemin B. Outdoor air pollution and human infertility: a systematic review. *Fertil Steril*. Sep 15 2016;106(4):897-904 e1. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2016.07.1110
7. Ramsay JM, Fendereski K, Horns JJ, et al. Environmental exposure to industrial air pollution is associated with decreased male fertility. *Fertil Steril*. Sep 2023;120(3 Pt 2):637-647. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2023.05.143
8. Hata M, Chomanee J, Thongyen T, et al. Characteristics of nanoparticles emitted from burning of biomass fuels. *J Environ Sci (China)*. Sep 1 2014;26(9):1913-20. doi:10.1016/j.jes.2014.07.005
9. Agency USEP. Why Wildfire Smoke is a Health Concern. Accessed Feb 18. 2025, 2025. https://www.epa.gov/wildfire-smoke-course/why-wildfire-smoke-health-concern
10. Kim KH, Kabir E, Kabir S. A review on the human health impact of airborne particulate matter. *Environ Int*. Jan 2015;74:136-43. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2014.10.005
11. Sosedova LM, Vokina VA, Novikov MA, et al. Reproductive Function of Male Rats and Motor Activity of Their Offspring in Fire Emissions Modeling. *Bull Exp Biol Med*. Feb 2022;172(4):472-477. doi:10.1007/s10517-022-05416-3
12. Schuller A, Bellini C, Jenkins TG, et al. Simulated Wildfire Smoke Significantly Alters Sperm DNA Methylation Patterns in a Murine Model. *Toxics*. Aug 27 2021;9(9)doi:10.3390/toxics9090199
13. Rubin ES, Kornfield M, Parker P, et al. Poor air quality from wildfire smoke is associated with decrease in total motile sperm count at time of intrauterine insemination. *Fertil Steril*. May 2024;121(5):881-883. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2024.02.014
14. Ramsay JM, Horns JJ, Emery BR, Aston KI, Hotaling JM. EXPOSURE TO WILDFIRE SMOKE IS ASSOCIATED WITH DECREASED SEMEN QUALITY. *Fertil Steril*. 2024;122(4):e210. doi:/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2024.07.690
15. Organization WH. *Infertility Prevalence Estimates, 1991-2021*. 2023.
16. Technologies SfAR. *Preliminary National Summary Report for 2022*. 2025. *National Summary Report*. Jan 17 2025. https://sartcorsonline.com/CSR/PublicSnapshotReport?ClinicPKID=0&reportingYear=2022

17. Ecology WSDo. About the air monitoring network. Website. Accessed Jan 14, 2024. https://ecology.wa.gov/regulations-permits/guidance-technical-assistance/air-monitoring-network
18. Washington Air Quality Advisory (WAQA) Guidance for Public Health Actions. Washington State Department of Health; 2019. https://resources.finalsite.net/images/v1622568385/ksdorg/p6b8vphzd5zvm0axtfmt/wildfiresmokeandoutdoorpublicactivities.pdf
19. Mahoudeau JA, Messing B. [Basic elements of testicular physiology]. *Concours Med*. Oct 25 1975;97(37):5841-4, 5847-50, 5835-5. Elements de physiologie testiculaire.
20. Organization WH. *WHO laboratory manual for the examination and processing of human semen, Sixth Edition*. 2021:292.
21. Organization WH. *WHO laboratory manual for the examination and processing of human semen, Fifth edition*. 2010:271.
22. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)--a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform*. Apr 2009;42(2):377-81. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010
23. Yost K, Perkins C, Cohen R, Morris C, Wright W. Socioeconomic status and breast cancer incidence in California for different race/ethnic groups. *Cancer Causes Control*. Oct 2001;12(8):703-11. doi:10.1023/a:1011240019516
24. Goverde AJ, McDonnell J, Vermeiden JP, Schats R, Rutten FF, Schoemaker J. Intrauterine insemination or in-vitro fertilisation in idiopathic subfertility and male subfertility: a randomised trial and cost-effectiveness analysis. *Lancet*. Jan 1 2000;355(9197):13-8. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(99)04002-7
25. Merviel P, Heraud MH, Grenier N, Lourdel E, Sanguinet P, Copin H. Predictive factors for pregnancy after intrauterine insemination (IUI): an analysis of 1038 cycles and a review of the literature. *Fertil Steril*. Jan 2010;93(1):79-88. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2008.09.058
26. Haebe J, Martin J, Tekepety F, Tummon I, Shepherd K. Success of intrauterine insemination in women aged 40-42 years. *Fertil Steril*. Jul 2002;78(1):29-33. doi:10.1016/s0015-0282(02)03168-0
27. Nayan M, Punjani N, Grober E, Lo K, Jarvi K. The use of assisted reproductive technology before male factor infertility evaluation. *Transl Androl Urol*. Aug 2018;7(4):678-685. doi:10.21037/tau.2018.06.08
28. Xin Z, Han N, Jin H. Correlation analysis of age and MTHFR C677T polymorphism with sperm motility and sperm DNA integrity. *Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand)*. Jun 30 2023;69(6):110-115. doi:10.14715/cmb/2023.69.6.17
29. Liang Y, Sengupta D, Campmier MJ, Lunderberg DM, Apte JS, Goldstein AH. Wildfire smoke impacts on indoor air quality assessed using crowdsourced data in California. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. Sep 7 2021;118(36)doi:10.1073/pnas.2106478118
30. Gacci M, Coppi M, Baldi E, et al. Semen impairment and occurrence of SARS-CoV-2 virus in semen after recovery from COVID-19. *Hum Reprod*. May 17 2021;36(6):1520-1529. doi:10.1093/humrep/deab026
31. Guo TH, Sang MY, Bai S, et al. Semen parameters in men recovered from COVID-19. *Asian J Androl*. Sep-Oct 2021;23(5):479-483. doi:10.4103/aja.aja_31_21

32. Che BW, Chen P, Yu Y, et al. Effects of mild/asymptomatic COVID-19 on semen parameters and sex-related hormone levels in men: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Asian J Androl*. May-Jun 2023;25(3):382-388. doi:10.4103/aja202250
33. National Academies of Sciences Engineer (WASHINGTON District of Columbia). *The chemistry of fires at the wildland-urban interface*. The National Academies Press; 2022:pages cm.

Figure 1. Daily Mean $PM_{2.5}$ in Seattle WA



Daily mean $PM_{2.5}$ concentration (blue line) as documented by the 10th & Weller Monitoring Station closest to our Men's Health Lab and Clinic in the years 2018 (**A**), 2020 (**B**), and 2022 (**C**). The red line indicates the Washington Air Quality Advisory (WAQA) threshold for unhealthy air of 20.4 µg/m³, and the area highlighted in yellow indicates the three-month wildfire smoke exposure window.