Prevalence and factors associated with prediabetes and undiagnosed diabetes in Cambodia: Cross-sectional study based on the World Health Survey Plus 2023

Srean Chhim[1, 2*], Grace Marie Ku[3,4,5], Paul Kowal[6], Vannarath Te[1], Mony Rithisey[1], Chamnab Ngor[1], Poppy Walton[7], Khin Thiri Maung[8], Nawi Ng[8], Por Ir[1], Kerstin Klipstein-Grobusch[2,9], Chhorvann Chhea[1], Heng Sopheab[1]

Affiliations
[1] School of Public Health, National Institute of Public Health, Phnom Penh, Cambodia
[2] Julius Global Health, Department of Global Public Health and Bioethics, Julius Centre for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Centre Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands
[3] Department of Public Health,[3] Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium
[4] Department of Gerontology, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium.
[5] Department of Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine & Surgery, University of Santo Tomas, the Philippines
[6] Health Data Analytics Team, National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia
[7] HelpAge International, London, United Kingdom
[8] School of Public Health and Community Medicine, Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sweden
[9] Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

* Corresponding author: Srean Chhim (#109, street 01, Krang Thnong, Saensokh, Phnom Penh, postal 120804), srean168@gmail.comsrean168@gmail.com

*Keywords***:** Health care, World Health Survey Plus, Prediabetes, Undiagnosed diabetes, Cambodia
Word count 4000/3000

Abstract

Background: This study aimed to determine the prevalence and factors associated with pre-type 2 diabetes (pre-T2D) and undiagnosed T2D in Cambodia.

Method: This cross-sectional study included 5,271 individuals aged ≥ 18 years from all provinces in Cambodia. Pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D were defined using the World Health Organization (WHO)'s haemoglobin A1c criteria.

Results: The weighted prevalences of pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D were 27.2% and 10.9%. Pre-T2D prevalence was higher in urban areas compared to rural areas (aOR = 1.2, 95% CI: 1.0 - 1.4), females aged 30-39 (aOR=1.4, 95% CI: 1.0 - 2.0), females 40-49 (aOR=2.4, 95% CI: 1.7 - 3.3), females 50+ (aOR = 3.8, 95% CI: 2.8 - 5.1), and males aged 30-39 (aOR=2.0, 95% CI: 1.3 - 3.0), males 40-49 (aOR=2.3, 95% CI: 1.5 - 3.3), males 50+ (aOR = 3.3, 95% CI: 2.4 - 4.7) relative to females aged 18-29, overweight individuals (aOR = 1.6, 95% CI: 1.3 - 1.9), obese individuals (aOR = 1.9, 95% CI: 1.5 - 2.4), those with high waist circumference (aOR = 1.5, 95% CI: 1.2 - 1.8), and elevated total triglycerides (aOR = 1.3, 95% CI: 1.1 - 1.5). Similar risk factors were identified for undiagnosed T2D, with the addition of elevated blood pressure (aOR = 1.5, 95% CI: 1.1 - 2.1).

Conclusion: The high prevalence of pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D in Cambodia highlights the need for intensive public health interventions focusing on urban residents, older adults, and those with metabolic risk factors to effectively prevent and manage T2D.

Key messages

What is already known on this topic

- In 2023, the national prevalence of pre-type 2 diabetes (pre-T2D), measured by impaired fasting glycemia, among adults (18+) in Cambodia was estimated to be 5.5%, with a higher prevalence in older adults and females.

- The prevalence of undiagnosed pre-T2D was not estimated.

What this study adds

- This study revealed a significant prevalence of pre-T2D (27.2%) and undiagnosed T2D (10.9%) among adults (18+ years) in Cambodia, indicating a substantial public health challenge that requires immediate attention.

- This study identified modifiable and non-modifiable factors associated with pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D, including urban residence, older age, overweight or obesity, high waist circumference, elevated triglyceride levels, and elevated blood pressure.

How this study might affect research, practice, or policy

- These findings underscore the importance of prevention and screening initiatives aimed at early detection to mitigate pre-T2D and delay or prevent complications in individuals with undiagnosed T2D.

INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) continues to be a leading disease burden and represents a significant global public health challenge.[1] Adding to this challenge are populations with pre-type 2 diabetes (pre-T2D) that have blood sugar levels higher than normal but not high enough to be diagnosed with T2D.[2] Specialized institutions in T2D prevention and management, such as the World Health Organization (WHO), American Diabetes Association (ADA), and International Expert Committee (IEC) have defined pre-T2D differently, with a lack of consensus. At least five definitions of pre-T2D were identified, including (1) fasting blood glucose (FBG) between 100-125 mg/dl by ADA, (2) FBG between 110 and 125 mg/dl by WHO, (3) 2-h post-load blood glucose (2hBG) 140-199 mg/dl by ADA and WHO, (4) haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) between 5.7-6.4% by WHO, and (5) HbA1c between 6.0-6.4% by IEC.[2]

Up to 70% of individuals with pre-T2D may progress to T2D, with the estimated prevalence increasing exponentially.[3] The global prevalence of pre-T2D, as measured by the ADA's FBG criteria, was 9.1% (464 million) in 2021 and is projected to increase to 10.0% (638 million) by 2045.[4] The prevalence of pre-T2D tended to be higher when measured using HbA1c.[5-8] For instance, a 2015 study in Vietnam detected a prevalence of 34.6% among adults aged 20 years or older using HbA1c compared to 12.1% using FBG.[9] Similar results were observed in China and Malaysia.[10 11]

Furthermore, approximately half of the global population with T2D remains unaware that they have T2D, known as "undiagnosed T2D."[12] This widespread lack of awareness increases the probability of complications and impedes effective T2D management.

Certain risk factors for T2D, such as age, sex, genetics, ethnicity, history of gestational diabetes, delivery of large-for-gestational-age infants, and menopause, are non-modifiable, and addressing

modifiable risk factors can mitigate the risk of developing pre-T2D and T2D.[13 15] Modifiable risk factors include unhealthy dietary habits, physical inactivity, tobacco use, excessive alcohol consumption, poor stress management, and poor sleep patterns, which are also risk factors for metabolic syndrome and a constellation of intermediate risks, including elevated blood pressure and abnormal cholesterol/triglyceride levels.[13 14]

Research on pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D in Cambodia is limited. In 2023, a nationally representative non-communicable disease (NCD) risk factor survey using FBG examined the prevalence of T2D and its associated risk factors (physical inactivity, alcohol consumption, tobacco use, sodium intake, diet, and high blood pressure).[15] This study estimated pre-T2D prevalence at 6.3%, but did not estimated the prevalence of undiagnosed T2D.[15] Another study in Cambodia assessed the proportion and risk factors of undiagnosed T2D but focused on individuals aged 40 years and older.[16] Importantly, the study excluded younger adult populations who may also be at risk.[16]

To address these gaps, our study aimed to contribute to the understanding of T2D in Cambodia by examining the prevalence of, and factors associated with, pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D. These results provide valuable insights for the development of effective T2D prevention and management strategies in Cambodia.

Contexts

T2D prevention, screening, and management in Cambodia faces challenges within its pluralistic healthcare system. A study assessed six key components of integrated T2D care: early detection, primary care treatment, health education, self-management support, structured collaboration, and care organization, all of which scored low in implementation.[17] Furthermore, only 10% of general outpatient visits and 35% of T2D-specific visits occurred in public facilities, indicating

that the majority of visits were made to private sectors where patients were required to pay out-of-pocket expenses. [18 19] These healthcare utilization patterns underscore the necessity of enhanced services in public healthcare facilities to attract users and reduce healthcare costs among the population.[18 19]

Nevertheless, the Cambodian Ministry of Health has improved public healthcare quality, particularly in the domain of NCD.[20] Three delivery models for T2D care have been implemented: hospital-based (increased from 31 in 2021 to all 117 referral hospitals with NCD clinics by 2024), health-centre-based (increased from approximately 30% of health centres with NCD services in 2023 to all 1,305 health centres in 2024), and community-based (operated by MoPoTsyo, a non-governmental organization with peer educators providing support, reaching from over 40,000 individuals with T2D across 20 operational districts in 2019 to 70,469 individuals in 28 operational districts in 2023).[20-22]

Conceptual framework

Previous studies have investigated the prevalence and factors associated with pre-T2D, and undiagnosed T2D has been extensively studied in other settings, including Southeast Asia.[23-29] Pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D typically has a mix of non-modifiable and modifiable risk factors (Figure 1).[30]

[Insert] Figure 1. Theoretical framework of the potential association between risk factors and pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D.

Literature observed that age is an established factor which can be independent, as human cells become resistant to insulin, or the pancreas produces insufficient insulin with advancing age. Age can also be associated with reduced physical activity, leading to weight gain and increased

risk of pre-T2D and T2D. Physical activity and other factors, including dietary habits, alcohol consumption, tobacco use, stress management, and sleep habits, can be interrelated and strongly associated with metabolic syndrome before developing T2D.[31-33] Taken together the analysis of pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D factors should consider a multifaceted approach that includes non-modifiable and modifiable factors. This approach can help identify high-risk individuals and inform targeted interventions for early detection and management of T2D.

METHODS

Data sources

This study used data from Cambodia's 2023 World Health Survey Plus (WHS+).[34] The WHS+ is a WHO-led global tool for tracking progress towards health-related goals in low-and middle-income countries.[34] The National Institute of Public Health in Cambodia, WHO, HelpAge International, and the University of Gothenburg in Sweden conducted the survey in Cambodia. It involved interviews with a nationally representative sample of adults aged ≥ 18 years from selected households nationwide.

Sampling and recruitment process

A three-stage sampling process was used for WHS+. First, 276 of 14,568 villages were randomly chosen across Cambodia, with a selection probability proportional to village size. Second, we selected households. High-resolution satellite images and GIS software were used to map all buildings within each selected village. From this, 44 buildings were randomly selected based on the pre-testing data, indicating that approximately 50% of the buildings would contain eligible households. Households were eligible if they had at least one permanent resident aged 18 years or older who had lived there for at least six months in the last 12 months (n=6,154). Within each

household, one eligible adult was randomly selected for an individual interview and the household head completed a separate household questionnaire. Of 6,154 eligible households, 5,271 completed the survey, yielding a response rate of 85.6%. For the prevalence calculation, 844 participants were excluded due to unavailable HbA1c results. Among the 844 missing records, 278 individuals declined to provide blood samples, while 566 samples were not determined by A1CNow[R+] devices. Subsequently, 323 participants with diagnosed T2D were excluded from bivariate analysis and multivariate logistic regression analyses, yielding a final sample of 3,623 for pre-T2D analysis and 2,900 for undiagnosed T2D analysis (Supplementary Figure 1).

Data Collection

Data collection was conducted between March and May 2023 by 14 teams, each comprising a leader, interviewers, and staff responsible for completing the biomarker and performance tests. All team members underwent extensive training before the data collection began. The survey included multiple modules covering various topics from demographics to chronic health conditions.

HbA1c was tested using the A1CNow[R+] point-of-care testing device, which requires approximately 5 µL of blood sample and provides results within five minutes.[35] The device features a built-in quality control function to ensure reliable results, automatically detecting issues such as insufficient or excessive blood volume, abnormal haemoglobin density, and extreme temperatures.[35]

Measures

The primary outcomes were pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D.

- Pre-T2D was defined using WHO's HbA1c criteria (5.7% -6.4%). For the risk factor analysis, the comparison group included individuals without T2D or with HbA1c <5.7%, while those diagnosed or undiagnosed with T2D were excluded.

- Undiagnosed T2D was defined as an HbA1c level >6.5% without prior diagnosis by a healthcare professional. The same comparison group and exclusion criteria as those used for pre-T2D were used for risk factor analysis.

The explanatory variables included place of residence (urban or rural), sex (male or female), age (18-29, 30-39, 40-49, > 50 years), level of education (none, primary, secondary, high school, or higher), and wealth quintile using Principal Component Analysis method (ranging from poorest to wealthiest). Urban and rural classifications followed the criteria set by the National Institute of Statistics (NIS). In addition, we considered the following factors.

- Obesity: Body Mass Index (BMI) categories were defined according to the WHO as underweight (BMI < 18.5), normal weight (18.5 ≤ BMI ≤ 25), overweight (25 ≥ BMI < 30), and obese (BMI ≥ 30). [36]

- Physical activities: Weekly physical activity was assessed using Metabolic Equivalents of Task (MET) minutes.[37-40] Participants self-reported the frequency and duration of moderate- and vigorous-intensity physical activity at work and non-work. MET values were assigned (4 for moderate and 8 for vigorous), and the total MET minutes per week were calculated.[37-40] Participants were then classified into four groups: < 300 MET-minutes, 300 to < 600 MET-minutes, 600 to < 1200 MET-minutes (recommended), and ≥ 1200 MET-minutes (additional benefits).[37 39 40]

- Tobacco use: Participants were classified as current tobacco users (current smoking/smokeless use daily or less than daily), former users (previous smoking/smokeless

use daily or less than daily, but not currently), and non-users. Electronic cigarette smokers were also included in this study.

- Waist circumference was categorised as normal (male: ≤ 102 cm, female: ≤ 88 cm) or high (male: > 102 cm, female: > 88 cm).[41]

- Fruit and vegetable consumption was classified as meeting WHO recommendations (≥ 5 servings per day or approximately 400 g of fruit and vegetables combined) or not (< 5 servings per day).[42]

- High blood pressure was categorized as "Never", "Ever", and "Uncontrolled blood pressure". Uncontrolled blood pressure was defined as an average systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg or an average diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg based on the last two of the three measurements[43]. Ever had high blood pressure was defined as individuals who did not meet the uncontrolled blood pressure criteria during the survey but were previously diagnosed with hypertension by a healthcare professional. Individuals who had never had high blood pressure were defined as individuals who were never diagnosed with hypertension by a healthcare professional and were not detected during the survey.

- The total cholesterol level was considered elevated if the result was ≥ 240 mg/dL based on the biomarker results. [44]

- Triglyceride levels were considered elevated if the total triglyceride level was ≥ 150 mg/dL, based on the biomarker results. [45]

- Alcohol consumption: Alcohol consumption was assessed using the AUDIT-C screening questionnaire.[46] Participants were asked the following three questions:

    1. How often do you drink alcohol? (Response options: never (0 points), monthly or less (1 point), Two–four times a month (2 points), Two-three times a week (3 points),

Four or more times a week (4 points))

2. How many drinks containing alcohol do you consume in a typical day? (Response options: 1 or 2 (0 points), 3 or 4 (1 point), 5 or 6 (2 points), 7 to 9 (3 points), 10 or more (4 points))

3. How often do you consume six or more drinks on one occasion? (Response options: Never (0 points), Less than monthly (1 point), Monthly (2 points), Weekly (3 points), Daily or almost daily (4 points))

Scoring: Responses to these questions were summed up to generate an AUDIT-C score ranging from 0 to 12. Participants were classified as low-(score < 4 for men and < 3 for women) or high-risk (score ≥ 4 for men and ≥ 3 for women).[46]

Analysis

Our analysis employed both descriptive and inferential statistical techniques. We report the weighted results for the univariate and bivariate analysis results and the unweighted multivariable analysis results. Records with missing HbA1c results were excluded from the analysis, while missing values in explanatory variables were recorded as missing and included in the multivariable analysis to preserve sample size. For the descriptive analysis, sampling weights were applied to align with Cambodia's age–sex population structure and urban/rural distribution. Descriptive results were generated using proportions and frequencies for categorical variables and means and standard deviations for continuous variables. Bivariate analysis was conducted using Chi-square or Fisher's exact tests to identify potential categorical variables associated with prediabetes and undiagnosed diabetes. Variables with a P-value of 0.2 or lower were included in the multivariate analysis. Generalized linear models (GLM) with binomial specification and stepwise selection were used to identify the best-fitting model, with the final model selected

based on the lowest Akaike information criterion (AIC). The variance inflation factor (VIF) method was applied to test for multicollinearity between the independent variables. High multicollinearity with a VIF value of >5 was identified between sex and age, pre-T2D status, and undiagnosed T2D status. The models were improved by combining the sex and age groups into one variable. The gt_summary and MASS packages in R were used for analysis and reporting. A two-sided p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical Considerations

The National Ethics Committee for Health Research approved the World Health Survey Plus protocol in Cambodia on July 20, 2022 (approval code 221; NECHR). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants during the initial stages. to concerns regarding the potential misuse of their signatures among participants, we subsequently requested the NECHR to transition to verbal consent.

Patients and public involvement

None of the participants was involved in developing the research questions, outcome measures, study design, or recruitment.

RESULTS

Participant characteristics

Socio-demographic characteristics

As shown in Table 1, this study included 5,271 participants, the majority of whom were female (52.2%) and lived in rural areas (58.2%). The average age was 40.8 years, with the largest proportion in the 18-29 age group (29.6%). Most participants were married or living together

(79.7%) and had a low educational attainment, with 67.3% having completed primary school or less.

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of participants

Characteristic	Unweighted N = 5,271	Weighted N= 5271	95% CI
Type of community			
Rural	3,214 (61.0)	58.2	56, 61
Urban	2,057 (39.0)	41.8	39, 44
Sex of participant			
Male	1,616 (30.7)	47.8	45, 50
Female	3,655 (69.3)	52.2	50, 55
Age in years [mean]	47.3 (14.9)	40.8	40, 41
Age group (years)			
18-29	669 (12.7)	29.6	27, 32
30-39	1,114 (21.1)	24.6	23, 27
40-49	1,087 (20.6)	17.4	16, 19
50+	2,401 (45.6)	28.4	26, 30
Marital status			
Married or living together	4,086 (77.5)	79.7	78, 82
Never married	297 (5.6)	11.6	10, 13
Separated/divorced/windowed	888 (16.8)	8.7	7.6, 10
Socio-economic class			
Poorest	1,062 (20.1)	14.3	13, 16
Poor	1,062 (20.1)	17.1	15, 19
Middle	1,060 (20.1)	20.1	18, 22
Rich	1,053 (20.0)	20.8	19, 23
Richest	1,034 (19.6)	27.8	26, 30
Education level			
Never attended school	1,143 (21.7)	14.5	13, 16
Less than primary school	1,830 (34.7)	25.9	24, 28
Primary school completed	1,213 (23.0)	26.9	25, 29
Secondary school completed	640 (12.1)	18.2	16, 20
High school completed	301 (5.7)	9.5	8.1, 11
College/pre-university/University completed	144 (2.7)	5.1	4.1, 6.3

Abbreviation: CI, confident interval

Modifiable risk characteristics

More than half of the participants (53%) were classified as physically inactive, while 18.1% were

current tobacco users (Table 2). A quarter (24.7%) of the participants were at high risk of alcohol use disorders. Approximately 57% of the participants consumed fewer than five servings of fruits and vegetables daily, with an average of 2.0 servings of fruits and 2.4 of vegetables. The average BMI was 21.4; 23.3% were overweight, and 13.4% were obese; 9.9% had a high waist circumference. Elevated triglyceride and total cholesterol levels were found in 40.8% and 11.0% of participants, respectively. Uncontrolled hypertension was present in 7.0% of participants, whereas 14.2% had previously been diagnosed with hypertension.

Table 2. Modifiable risk characteristics of participants

Characteristic	Unweighted N = 5,271[1]	Weighted N= 5271[2]	95% CI[3]
MET category			
Highly active (>= 1200)	2,142 (40.6)	38.3	36, 41
Active (>=600)	374 (7.1)	6.9	5.8, 8.1
Inactive (>=300)	194 (3.7)	3.9	3.1, 4.9
Highly inactive (<300)	2,561 (48.6)	50.9	49, 53
Fruit consumption per typical day [mean]	2.0 (1.9)	2.0	1.9, 2.1
Vegetable consumption per typical day [mean]	2.5 (1.7)	2.5	2.4, 2.5
Fruit & vegetable consumption			
<5	3,039 (57.7)	57.0	55, 59
>=5 (adequate)	2,232 (42.3)	43.0	41, 45
Tobacco use			
Current	1,075 (20.4)	18.1	16, 20
Former	328 (6.2)	7.1	6.0, 8.4
Non	3,868 (73.4)	74.8	73, 77
Alcohol disorder category			
Low risk	4,171 (79.1)	75.3	73, 77
High risk	1,100 (20.9)	24.7	23, 27
BMI	21.9 (13.4)	21.4	20, 23
BMI category			
Underweight (<18.5)	1,032 (19.6)	18.3	17, 20
Normal (18.5-24.9)	2,278 (43.2)	41.6	39, 44
Overweight (25.0-29.9)	1,193 (22.6)	23.3	21, 25
Obese (>=30.0)	607 (11.5)	13.4	12, 15
Missing	161 (3.1)	3.4	2.6, 4.4
Waist circumference category (cm)			
High	780 (14.8)	9.9	8.6, 11
Normal	4,491 (85.2)	90.1	89, 91
Total Cholesterol			
Normal	3,943 (74.8)	74.5	72, 76
Elevated (≥ 240mg/dL)	739 (14.0)	11.0	9.7, 13
Missing	589 (11.2)	14.5	13, 16
Toal Triglycerides			
Normal	2,342 (44.4)	45.3	43, 48
Elevated (≥ 150mg/dL)	2,373 (45.0)	40.8	39, 43
Missing	556 (10.5)	13.9	12, 16
Having hypertension			
Never	3,745 (71.0)	78.8	77, 81
Ever	1,096 (20.8)	14.2	13, 16
Elevated (≥140/≥90 mmHg)	430 (8.2)	7.0	5.9, 8.3

Abbreviation: CI, Confidence Interval; BMI, Body Mass Index

Prevalence of pre-T2D and undiagnosed diabetes

Of the 41,04 individuals included, the weighted prevalence of pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D was 27.2% and 10.9%, respectively, with a prevalence of diagnosed T2D at 7.3%.

Bivariate analysis of factors associated with pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D

Ten potential variables associated with pre-T2D and/or undiagnosed T2D were identified by bivariate analysis (Supplementary Table 1). A higher prevalence of pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D was associated with older age, living in urban areas, higher BMI, higher waist circumference, elevated blood pressure, and elevated triglyceride levels. Male sex was significantly associated with a high prevalence of pre-T2D only, whereas elevated total cholesterol was associated with a higher prevalence of undiagnosed T2D only.

Multivariable logistic regression of factors associated with pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D

In multivariate analysis, six variables were independently associated with a high prevalence of pre-T2D and/or undiagnosed T2D (Table 3). Other variables that were associated with the outcome variables in the bivariate analysis, but not in the multivariable analysis, were not included in the results (Table 3).

Factors independently associated with pre-T2D

Higher odds of pre-T2D were observed in individuals residing in urban areas (aOR = 1.2, 95% CI: 1.0 - 1.4), females aged 30-39 (aOR = 1.4, 95% CI: 1.0 - 2.0), females aged 40-49 (aOR = 2.4, 95% CI: 1.7 - 3.3), females aged 50+ (aOR = 3.8, 95% CI: 2.8 - 5.1), and males aged 30-39 (aOR = 2.0, 95% CI: 1.3 - 3.0), males aged 40-49 (aOR = 2.3, 95% CI: 1.5 - 3.3), males aged 50+ years group compared to the 18-29 years group (aOR = 3.3, 95% CI: 2.4 - 4.7) relative to

females aged 18-29. Higher odds were also observed in individuals categorized as overweight (aOR = 1.6, 95% CI: 1.3 - 1.9), obese (aOR = 1.9, 95% CI: 1.5 – 2.4), with a high waist circumference (aOR = 1.4, 95% CI: 1.1 - 1.8), and with elevated total triglycerides (aOR = 1.3, 95% CI: 1.1 - 1.5).

Factors independently associated with undiagnosed T2D

Higher odds of undiagnosed T2D were observed in urban residents (aOR = 1.4, 95% CI: 1.1 - 1.7), and females aged 30-39 (aOR = 2.0, 95% CI: 1.1 - 3.9), females aged 40-49 (aOR = 3.8, 95% CI: 2.1 - 7.3), females aged 50+ (aOR = 6.0, 95% CI: 3.4 – 11.0), and males aged 30-39 (aOR = 2.2, 95% CI: 1.1 - 4.8), males aged 40-49 (aOR = 2.4, 95% CI: 1.2 - 5.2), and males aged 50+ years (aOR = 5.4, 95% CI: 3.0, 10.0), compared to females in the 18-29 year.

Undiagnosed T2D was also more likely in individuals who were overweight (aOR = 2.2, 95% CI: 1.5 - 3.3), obese (aOR = 2.5, 95% CI: 1.6 - 4.0), had high waist circumference (aOR = 2.5, 95% CI: 1.9 - 3.3), elevated total triglyceride levels (aOR = 1.8, 95% CI: 1.4 - 2.2), or elevated blood pressure (aOR = 1.2, 95% CI: 1.2 - 2.4).

Table 3. Independent factors associated with pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D

Characteristic	Pre-T2D			Undiagnosed T2D		
	aOR	95% CI	p-value	aOR	95% CI	p-value
Type of community						
Rural	—	—		—	—	
Urban	1.2	1.0, 1.4	**0.023**	1.4	1.1, 1.7	**0.005**
Sex and age combined						
Female, 18-29yrs.	—	—		—	—	
Female, 30-39yrs.	1.4	1.0, 2.0	**0.044**	2.0	1.1, 3.9	**0.040**
Female, 40-49yrs.	2.4	1.7, 3.3	**<0.001**	3.8	2.1, 7.3	**<0.001**
Female, 50+yrs.	3.7	2.7, 5.1	**<0.001**	6.0	3.4, 11	**<0.001**
Male, 18-29yrs.	1.8	1.1, 2.9	**0.011**	2.1	0.9, 5.0	0.091
Male, 30-39yrs.	2.0	1.3, 3.0	**<0.001**	2.2	1.1, 4.8	**0.036**
Male, 40-49yrs.	2.3	1.5, 3.3	**<0.001**	2.4	1.2, 5.2	**0.015**
Male, 50+yrs.	3.3	2.4, 4.7	**<0.001**	5.4	3.0, 10	**<0.001**
BMI category						
Normal (18.5-24.9)	—	—		1.6	1.1, 2.3	**0.010**
Underweight (<18.5)	1.3	1.1, 1.6	**0.007**	—	—	
Overweight (25.0-29.9)	1.6	1.3, 1.9	**<0.001**	2.2	1.5, 3.3	**<0.001**
Obese (>=30.0)	1.9	1.5, 2.4	**<0.001**	2.5	1.6, 4.0	**<0.001**
Missing	1.4	0.8, 2.4	0.240	2.6	1.2, 5.4	**0.016**
Waist circumference category (cm)						
Normal	—	—		—	—	
High	1.4	1.1, 1.8	**0.002**	2.5	1.9, 3.3	**<0.001**
Total Triglycerides						
Normal	—	—		—	—	
Elevated ($\geq$ 150mg/dL)	1.3	1.1, 1.5	**0.001**	1.8	1.4, 2.2	**<0.001**
Missing	0.9	0.6, 1.3	0.658	1.7	1.0, 2.7	**0.034**
Having of hypertension						
Never				—	—	
Ever				1.3	1.0, 1.7	0.055
Elevated ($\geq$140/$\geq$90 mmHg)				1.7	1.2, 2.4	**0.002**

Abbreviation: aOR, Adjusted Odds Ratio; CI, Confidence Interval;
T2D, Type-2 Diabetes, BMI, Body Mass Index

DISCUSSION

Our investigation showed a high prevalence of pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D among adults aged 18 years or older at 27.3% and 10.9%, respectively. The combined prevalence of pre-T2D, diagnosed, and undiagnosed T2D is approximately 45%, indicating a substantial burden of pre-T2D and T2D in Cambodia. This finding underscores the pressing need for more intensive public health interventions, including targeted health promotion, enhanced screening, and improved access to care.

This study used HbA1c to estimate pre-T2D prevalence in Cambodia. Comparing our prevalence to the global prevalence presents challenges due to variations in diagnostic tools and cutoff criteria. Studies using HbA1c have reported a higher prevalence than those using IGT or IFG.[5-7] [47 48] Our pre-T2D prevalence was approximately threefold higher than the globally estimated 10% using IFG.[3] Regional comparisons also proved challenging because of the different age ranges of the study populations. However, when considering only HbA1c studies, our pre-T2D prevalence was lower than 34.6% in Vietnam (2015, ≥20 years) [8], higher than 14.2% in Malaysia (2006-2012, ≥35 years) [11], and 21.7% in China (2010, ≥18 years) [10].[10]. Our pre-T2D prevalence was lower than that in some European studies, including 24.7% in Swiss adults (25-41 years) [5], about 30% in Spanish adults (≥18 years) [12], and 42% in the Caribbean's Barbados (≥25 years) [7]. These results reveal a significant prevalence of potential future T2D in Cambodia, highlighting the urgent need for public health measures to address this concern.

We found a 10.9 % prevalence of undiagnosed T2D, comprising approximately 60% of the total T2D cases in our study (detected by HbA1c or self-reported). This proportion is slightly higher than the 55.2% previously reported for Cambodia by *Te et al.*[16] and markedly higher than the

estimates for the Southeast Asian region at 51.3% [49], suggesting potential gaps in screening, healthcare access, and disease awareness.

Advanced age has emerged as a significant risk factor for both pre-T2D and undiagnosed diabetes, with the likelihood of increasing markedly with age. This aligns with prior research that has identified age as a non-modifiable risk factor for T2D. [7 23 24 26 32 50-54] Biological mechanisms include increasing insulin-resistance and pancreatic beta-cell dysfunction over time, contributing to elevated blood sugar levels and T2D development.[55] Interestingly, we observed an interaction between age and sex. Males exhibited a higher prevalence of pre-T2D and developed the condition earlier, whereas females surpassed males in prevalence at 40 years and beyond, possibly owing to their inherent biological advantages. Older females likely encounter greater behavioural risks than males.[55] These results indicate that interventions should be tailored based on age and sex in order to maximize effectiveness.

Urban residence was associated with higher odds of developing both pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D, likely due to urban lifestyle and environmental factors. A prior study in Cambodia reported higher overweight and obesity prevalence in urban populations.[56] Urban settings often promotes dietary shifts toward processed foods, increased sedentary behaviour, or reduced physical activity, all of which contribute to metabolic risk. Another factor might be the healthcare disparities between urban and rural areas.

Our study also found that the prevalence of pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D was strongly associated with overweight and obesity, high waist circumference (central obesity), elevated triglyceride levels, and elevated blood pressure. This finding is consistent with previous findings that obesity and high waist circumference were independent factors associated T2D. [55 57 58] Individuals who are overweight or obese and have high waist circumference tend to accumulate

more visceral fat, impairing insulin sensitivity and increasing the risk of T2D. Similarly, elevated triglyceride and blood pressure levels are associated with insulin resistance, a key factor in T2D development.[55][57-59]

The literature shows that lifestyle factors, such as lack of physical activity, low fruit and vegetable consumption, alcohol consumption, and tobacco use, are linked to pre-T2D and T2D.[60-62] These findings are mainly from prospective cohort studies.[60-62] However, we did not find significant associations for these variables in our study in both bivariate and adjusted logistic regressions. This discrepancy may stem from self-reported biases in our cross-sectional design. Tobacco use was associated with pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D in the bivariate analysis at a P-value of 0.1; however, this association was attenuated after adjustment. Additional analysis indicated that current and former tobacco users were more common among the older population (Supplemental Figure 2). Future studies with longitudinal designs could better capture the long-term impacts of lifestyle factors on T2D risk.

Implications of the study

Early detection and intervention of pre-T2D through lifestyle modifications and/or pharmaceutical interventions can reduce the likelihood of progression by up to 49% and mitigate its implications. [36][63] Additionally, early diagnosis can prevent or delay progression of undiagnosed T2D to more severe and complicated T2D.[36] Given the high prevalence of pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D, the study underscores need for enhanced T2D screening, particularly among the higher risk populations which we have identified as older adults, urban residents, and those with metabolic risk factors to prevent and manage T2D. Furthermore, targeted interventions focusing on weight management, blood pressure control, and lipid regulation should be prioritized. The success of modifying lifestyle interventions to reduce T2D incidence

has been demonstrated in other settings, such as the United States, Finland, Japan, India, and China.[64-67] Although there were no significant associations demonstrated in this study, increasing access to healthy food and more stringent regulation of unhealthy products can also have (indirect) effects in the long term.[64-69]

Strengths and limitations

A key strength of our study is its large, nationally representative sample size and the use of HbA1c for diagnosing pre-T2D and T2D, which provides a reliable assessment of glycaemic status. However, limitations include the cross-sectional design, which limits causal inference and potential reporting biases in self-reported lifestyle factors. Additionally, while HbA1c is a widely accepted diagnostic tool, variations in cut-off values across studies may affect prevalence comparisons. Future research should consider longitudinal approaches to better understand risk trajectories and intervention effectiveness.

CONCLUSION

Our study revealed a high prevalence of pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D in Cambodia, emphasizing the urgent need for targeted public health intervention. Key risk factors include urban residence, older age, overweight, obesity, high waist circumference, elevated blood pressure, and elevated triglyceride levels. Prevention and screening should prioritize (1) urban populations, where lifestyle factors may contribute to a higher risk, (2) men in their 30s and women in their 40s with overweight, obesity and/or high waist circumference, and (3) individuals with elevated blood pressure and/or elevated triglyceride levels, given their strong association with pre-T2D and undiagnosed T2D. A multi-sectoral approach, including

healthcare, community-based initiatives, and health promotion activities that focus on reducing modifiable risk factors, is essential to effectively address these risks and improve the early detection and management of diabetes in Cambodia.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank all participants and data collectors for meticulously adhering to the study instructions. Our appreciation also goes to WHO and HelpAge International, with particular thanks to Dr. Nirmala Naidoo from the WHO's Department of Health Statistics and Information Systems in Geneva, Switzerland for providing exceptional technical assistance throughout the WHS + design and data collection stages.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CC, HS, SC, and PK designed the study; SC analysed the data; SC wrote the first draft; SC, PK, CN, SL, PW, KTM, GMK, KKG, NN, PI, CC, and HS provided subsequent inputs; and all authors commented on and approved the final version of the manuscript. The SC is responsible for the overall content [as a guarantor].

FUNDING

WHS+ Cambodia was funded by WHO through HelpAge International (grant number: MYA123). NN, SC, KTM, CC, and HS were supported by a Swedish Research Council Vetenskaprådet Development Grant (grant number: 2018-05196). SC was also supported by the China Medical Board (grant number: CMB grant 23-543) for the project "Data Analysis Capacity Building for Health Policies and Systems" and the University Medical Center (UMC) Utrecht Global Health PhD Support Program (grant number: not applicable).

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

All authors declare no financial associations that are relevant to this manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data are available upon reasonable request through

https://apps.who.int/healthinfo/systems/surveydata/index.php/collections/central .

REFERENCES

1. Khan MAB, Hashim MJ, King JK, et al. Epidemiology of Type 2 Diabetes - Global Burden of Disease and Forecasted Trends. *Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health* 2020;10(1):107-11.^
2. Echouffo-Tcheugui JB, Selvin E. Prediabetes and what it means: the epidemiological evidence. *Annual Review of Public Health* 2021;42(1):59-77.^
3. Tabák AG, Herder C, Rathmann W, et al. Prediabetes: a high-risk state for diabetes development. *The Lancet* 2012;379(9833):2279-90.^
4. Rooney MR, Fang M, Ogurtsova K, et al. Global Prevalence of Prediabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2023;46(7):1388-94.^
5. Hostalek U. Global epidemiology of prediabetes - present and future perspectives. *Clin Diabetes Endocrinol* 2019;5:5.^
6. Rodriguez-Segade S, Rodriguez J, Camiña F, et al. Prediabetes defined by HbA(1c) and by fasting glucose: differences in risk factors and prevalence. *Acta Diabetologica* 2019;56(9):1023-30.^
7. Unwin N, Howitt C, Rose AM, et al. Prevalence and phenotype of diabetes and prediabetes using fasting glucose vs HbA1c in a Caribbean population. *Journal of Global Health* 2017;7(2):020407.^
8. Ho-Pham LT, Nguyen UDT, Tran TX, et al. Discordance in the diagnosis of diabetes: Comparison between HbA1c and fasting plasma glucose. *PloS One* 2017;12(8):e0182192.^
9. Sir WS, Chon Y. Prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes and pre-diabetes and its associated risk factors in Vietnam. *Journal of Global Health Science* 2019.^
10. Zhang YH, Ma WJ, Thomas GN, et al. Diabetes and Pre-Diabetes as Determined by Glycated Haemoglobin A1c and Glucose Levels in a Developing Southern Chinese Population. *PloS One* 2012;7(5):e37260.^
11. Abdul Murad NA, Abdullah N, Kamaruddin MA, et al. Discordance between Fasting Plasma Glucose (FPG) and HbA1c in Diagnosing Diabetes and Pre-diabetes in The Malaysian Cohort. *Journal of the ASEAN Federation of Endocrine Societies* 2021;36(2):127-32.^
12. Beagley J, Guariguata L, Weil C, et al. Global estimates of undiagnosed diabetes in adults. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice* 2014;103(2):150-60.^
13. Choudhury AA, Devi Rajeswari V. Gestational diabetes mellitus - A metabolic and reproductive disorder. *Biomedicine and Pharmacotherapy* 2021;143:112183.^

14. Johns Hopkins. Metabolic Syndrome, 2025. Available: https://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/health/conditions-and-diseases/metabolic-syndrome [Accessed 8 Feb 2025].

15. Ministry of Health (MoH) and World Health Organization (WHO). Cambodia STEPwise Approach to Chronic Disease Risk Factor Surveillance (STEPS) Survey Report, 2023.

16. Te V, Chhim S, Buffel V, et al. Evaluation of Diabetes Care Performance in Cambodia Through the Cascade-of-Care Framework: Cross-Sectional Study. *JMIR Public Health Surveill* 2023;9:e41902.^

17. Te V, Long S, Van Damme W, et al. An in-depth Analysis of the Degree of Implementation of Integrated Care for Diabetes in Primary Health Care in Cambodia. *International Journal of Integrated Care* 2024.^

18. Chhim S, Te V, Buffel V, et al. Healthcare usage and expenditure among people with type 2 diabetes and/or hypertension in Cambodia: results from a cross-sectional survey. *BMJ Open* 2023;13(1):e061959.^

19. Srean C, Paul K, Chamnab N, et al. Patterns and factors associated with healthcare utilisation in Cambodia: a cross-sectional study based on the World Health Survey Plus 2023. *BMJ Public Health* 2025;3(1):e001416.^

20. Ministry of Health - Cambodia. National standard operating procedure for diabetes and hypertension management in primary care, 2019. Available: https://niph.org.kh/niph/uploads/library/pdf/GL240_PEN-SOP_DM_and_HBP_1_APR_2019-EN.pdf [Accessed 22 Feb 2025].

21. Rao M, van Pelt M, LoGerfo J, et al. Utilization of diabetes management health care services and its association with glycemic control among patients participating in a peer educator-based program in Cambodia. *PloS One* 2020;15(6):e0235037.^

22. MoPoTsyo. 2023 Annual Report, 2023. Available: https://mopotsyo.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/Annual-Reports_2023.pdf [Accessed 26 Feb 2025].

23. Shivashri C, Hannah W, Deepa M, et al. Prevalence of prediabetes and type 2 diabetes mellitus in south and southeast Asian women with history of gestational diabetes mellitus: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *PloS One* 2022;17(12):e0278919.^

24. Asmelash D, Mesfin Bambo G, Sahile S, et al. Prevalence and associated factors of prediabetes in adult East African population: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heliyon* 2023;9(11):e21286.^

25. Mirahmadizadeh A, Fathalipour M, Mokhtari AM, et al. The prevalence of undiagnosed type 2 diabetes and prediabetes in Eastern Mediterranean region (EMRO): A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice* 2020;160:107931.^

26. Yitbarek GY, Ayehu GW, Asnakew S, et al. Undiagnosed diabetes mellitus and associated factors among adults in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Scientific Reports* 2021;11(1):24231.^

27. Asmelash D, Asmelash Y. The Burden of Undiagnosed Diabetes Mellitus in Adult African Population: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Diabetes Research* 2019;2019:4134937.^

28. Spurr S, Bally J, Hill P, et al. Exploring the Prevalence of Undiagnosed Prediabetes, Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus, and Risk Factors in Adolescents: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing* 2020;50:94-104.^

29. Chen S, Zhang Q, Dai G, et al. Association of depression with pre-diabetes, undiagnosed diabetes, and previously diagnosed diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Endocrine* 2016;53(1):35-46.^

30. Minks J. A theoretical framework to guide a study for exploring the impact of established and potential risk factors for type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Applied Nursing Research* 2020;53:151267.^

31. Pescatello LS, Buchner DM, Jakicic JM, et al. Physical Activity to Prevent and Treat Hypertension: A Systematic Review. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* 2019;51(6):1314-23.^

32. Singh S, Shankar R, Singh GP. Prevalence and Associated Risk Factors of Hypertension: A Cross-Sectional Study in Urban Varanasi. *International Journal of Hypertension* 2017;2017(1):5491838.^

33. Perez V, Chang ET. Sodium-to-Potassium Ratio and Blood Pressure, Hypertension, and Related Factors. *Advances in Nutrition* 2014;5(6):712-41.^

34. World Health Organisation (WHO). World Health Survey Plus, 2024. Available: https://www.who.int/data/data-collection-tools/world-health-survey-plus [Accessed 7 Jan 2025].

35. PTS Diagnostics. A1CNow+: Lab Quality Results at the Point of Care, Available: https://www.ptsdiagnostics.com/a1cnow-plus-system/ [Accessed 22 Feb 2025].

36. Lindström J, Ilanne-Parikka P, Peltonen M, et al. Sustained reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes by lifestyle intervention: follow-up of the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. *Lancet* 2006;368(9548):1673-9.^

37. Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, et al. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 2020;54(24):1451.^

38. World Health O. *WHO guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour: web annex: evidence profiles*. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2020.

39. Haskell WL, Lee IM, Pate RR, et al. Physical Activity and Public Health: Updated Recommendation for Adults from the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Heart Association. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 2007;39(8).^

40. Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Whitt MC, et al. Compendium of physical activities: an update of activity codes and MET intensities. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 2000;32(9 Suppl):S498-504.^

41. Bohmann P, Stein MJ, Amadou A, et al. WHO guidelines on waist circumference and physical activity and their joint association with cancer risk. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 2025:bjsports-2024-108708.^

42. World Health Organisation (WHO). Healthy diet, 2020. Available: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/healthy-diet [Accessed 03 Feb 2025].

43. Kario K, Okura A, Hoshide S, et al. The WHO Global report 2023 on hypertension warning the emerging hypertension burden in globe and its treatment strategy. *Hypertension Research* 2024;47(5):1099-102.^

44. US Center for Disease Control and Prevention (USD CDC). High Cholesterol Facts, 2024. Available: https://www.cdc.gov/cholesterol/data-research/facts-stats/index.html#:~:text=High%20total%20cholesterol%20is%20240,3 [Accessed 17 Feb 2025].

45. Cleveland Clinic. Hypertriglyceridemia, 2022. Available: https://my.clevelandclinic.org/health/diseases/23942-hypertriglyceridemia [Accessed 17 Feb 2025].

46. Bush K, Kivlahan DR, McDonell MB, et al. The AUDIT Alcohol Consumption Questions (AUDIT-C): An Effective Brief Screening Test for Problem Drinking. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 1998;158(16):1789-95.^

47. Rosella LC, Lebenbaum M, Fitzpatrick T, et al. Prevalence of Prediabetes and Undiagnosed Diabetes in Canada (2007-2011) According to Fasting Plasma Glucose and HbA1c Screening Criteria. *Diabetes Care* 2015;38(7):1299-305.^

48. Vajravelu ME, Lee JM. Identifying Prediabetes and Type 2 Diabetes in Asymptomatic Youth: Should HbA1c Be Used as a Diagnostic Approach? *Current Diabetes Reports* 2018;18(7):43.^

49. Ogurtsova K, Guariguata L, Barengo NC, et al. IDF diabetes Atlas: Global estimates of undiagnosed diabetes in adults for 2021. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice* 2022;183:109118.^

50. Bellou V, Belbasis L, Tzoulaki I, et al. Risk factors for type 2 diabetes mellitus: An exposure-wide umbrella review of meta-analyses. *PloS One* 2018;13(3):e0194127.^

51. Mohamed SF, Mwangi M, Mutua MK, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with pre-diabetes and diabetes mellitus in Kenya: results from a national survey. *BMC Public Health* 2018;18(3):1215.^

52. Rahim FF, Abdulrahman SA, Kader Maideen SF, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with prediabetes and diabetes in fishing communities in penang, Malaysia: A cross-sectional study. *PloS One* 2020;15(2):e0228570.^

53. Zhu X, Yang Z, He Z, et al. Factors correlated with targeted prevention for prediabetes classified by impaired fasting glucose, impaired glucose tolerance, and elevated HbA1c: A population-based longitudinal study. 2022;13.^

54. Lailler G, Fuentes S, Kab S, et al. Prevalence and risk factors associated with prediabetes and undiagnosed diabetes in France: The national CONSTANCES cohort. *Diabetes Epidemiology and Management* 2023;10:100121.^

55. Mordarska K, Godziejewska-Zawada M. Diabetes in the elderly. *Przeglad Menopauzalny* 2017;16(2):38-43.^

56. An Y, Yi S, Fitzpatrick A, et al. Appropriate body mass index and waist circumference cutoff for overweight and central obesity among adults in Cambodia. *PloS One* 2013;8(10):e77897.^

57. Klein S, Gastaldelli A, Yki-Järvinen H, et al. Why does obesity cause diabetes? *Cell Metabolism* 2022;34(1):11-20.^

58. Bhupathiraju SN, Hu FB. Epidemiology of Obesity and Diabetes and Their Cardiovascular Complications. *Circulation Research* 2016;118(11):1723-35.^

59. Ruze R, Liu T, Zou X, et al. Obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus: connections in epidemiology, pathogenesis, and treatments. *Frontiers in Endocrinology* 2023;14:1161521.^

60. Hackett RA, Moore C, Steptoe A, et al. Health behaviour changes after type 2 diabetes diagnosis: Findings from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. *Scientific Reports* 2018;8(1):16938.^

61. Ardisson Korat AV, Willett WC, Hu FB. Diet, lifestyle, and genetic risk factors for type 2 diabetes: a review from the Nurses' Health Study, Nurses' Health Study 2, and Health Professionals' Follow-up Study. *Curr Nutr Rep* 2014;3(4):345-54.^

62. Kolb H, Martin S. Environmental/lifestyle factors in the pathogenesis and prevention of type 2 diabetes. *BMC Medicine* 2017;15(1):131.^

63. Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, et al. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2002;346(6):393-403.^

64. Balk EM, Earley A, Raman G, et al. Combined diet and physical activity promotion programs to prevent type 2 diabetes among persons at increased risk: a systematic review for the Community Preventive Services Task Force. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 2015;163(6):437-51.^

65. Haw JS, Galaviz KI, Straus AN, et al. Long-term sustainability of diabetes prevention approaches: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *JAMA Internal Medicine* 2017;177(12):1808-17.^

66. Uusitupa M, Khan TA, Viguiliouk E, et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes by lifestyle changes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutrients* 2019;11(11):2611.^

67. Chakkalakal RJ, Galaviz KI, Thirunavukkarasu S, et al. Test and Treat for Prediabetes: A Review of the Health Effects of Prediabetes and the Role of Screening and Prevention. *Annual Review of Public Health* 2024;45(Volume 45, 2024):151-67.^

68. Kalra S, Verma M, Kapoor N. Commercial determinants of health: A critical component of the obesogenic environment. *Clinical Epidemiology and Global Health* 2023;23:101367.^

69. Barnett-Naghshineh O, Warmington S, Altink H, et al. Situating commercial determinants of health in their historical context: a qualitative study of sugar-sweetened beverages in Jamaica. *Globalization and Health* 2023;19(1):69.^