Self-determination theory in physiotherapy practice: A rapid review of randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews

Jennifer O'Neil[1,2], Jacquie van Ierssel[3], Luc Pelletier[4]

1. Faculty of Health Sciences, School of Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada, joneil@uottawa.ca
2. Bruyere Health Research Institute
3. Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa, Canada, jvan_ierssel@hotmail.com
4. Faculty of Social Sciences, School of Psychology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada, social@uottawa.ca

Full correspondence

Name	Jennifer O'Neil
Department	Faculty of Health Sciences, School of Rehabilitation Sciences
Institution	University of Ottawa
Country	Canada
Email	joneil@uottawa.ca

Abbreviated title: Self-determination theory in physiotherapy practice
Key words: Self-Determination Theory, Behaviour change, Service delivery, Physiotherapy
Word Count: 250 words (Abstract)
4280 words (Introduction, Method, Results, Discussion)
References: 44
Tables: 2
Figures: 2
Footnotes: NIL
eAddenda: NIL
Ethics approval: This was a systematic rapid review; therefore, no ethics approval was necessary.
Competing interests: No competing interests to declare
Source(s) of support: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.
Acknowledgements: NIL

Self-determination theory in physiotherapy practice: A rapid review of randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews

Jennifer O'Neil[1,2], Jacquie van Ierssel[3], Luc Pelletier[4]

Abstract

Background: The self-determination theory (SDT) is a theory on motivation proposing to support needs of autonomy, competence, and relatedness to improves autonomous motivation, which leads to adherence and compliance. Little is known about how SDT-driven physiotherapy interventions are implemented.

Purpose: The objectives of this rapid review were to identify the type of physiotherapy contexts in which SDT is being used and describe how SDT-based physiotherapy interventions are being measured.

Methods: The Cochrane Rapid Review Methods was followed to synthesize evidence from systematic reviews (SR) and randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on the use of SDT-related research in physiotherapy. We conducted a search on four databases between 1990 and September 17th, 2024. Two reviewers independently screened titles and abstracts, one reviewer completed the full-text screening while another screened all excluded full-text to ensure consensus. Findings were synthesized narratively following the review objectives.

Results: Of 184 identified SR or RCT, we included 8 RCTs and 1 SR targeting various health conditions. Physiotherapy interventions included strength and aerobic exercise, therapeutic modalities, yoga or tai chi, virtual therapy, coaching, and equine-assisted therapy. SDT interventions included communication training, autonomy supportive feedback, education and goal setting, provision of choices, and intrinsic motivation with the use of virtual reality, robotics, circus-themed games, music, and behaviour change strategies. The impact of SDT-driven physiotherapy interventions was most assessed for physical activity levels.

Conclusion: Our rapid review suggests that SDT-driven physiotherapy is being used across a broad range of health conditions, using various physiotherapy and SDT principles derived from the theory.

Keywords: Self-Determination Theory, Behaviour change, Service delivery, Physiotherapy

The use of self-determination theory in physiotherapy practice: A rapid review of randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews

INTRODUCTION

Despite the importance of motivation in determining patient adherence to interventions and impact on treatment outcomes, little attention has been given to how motivational theory is being applied in physiotherapy practice. Self-determination theory (SDT) has the potential to improve patients' active involvement with therapy and facilitate health behavior change. More specifically, physiotherapy is often dependent on clients' motivation and capacity for undergoing significant health behaviour change. Furthermore, adherence to physiotherapy home exercise and management strategies throughout the duration of physiotherapy sessions is integral to short-term recovery, while long-term adherence can often reduce the incidence of recurrence or re-injury.

Self-Determination Theory

SDT is a broad and comprehensive motivational theory developed by Deci and Ryan that has been widely used in psychology to inform interventions in the education, management, and health care sectors [1,2]. Interpersonal interactions are an integral part of the human experience. SDT proposes that for a person to be fully engaged in an activity, therapists should support three basic psychological needs to enhance autonomous motivation: (1) autonomy (i.e., the need for individuals to act in line with their own interests and values), (2) competence (i.e., opportunities for increasingly challenging activities and developing greater levels of mastery), and (3) relatedness (i.e., needing a caring, supportive social network with strong interpersonal connections) [2,3]. These three needs are said to be innate, evident in all developmental periods, and universal across cultures. Need-supportive behaviours (i.e., supporting one's autonomy, competence, and need for relatedness) promote need-supportive environments; while need-thwarting behaviours (autonomy thwarting/controlling, competence thwarting, and relatedness thwarting) lead to need-thwarting environments [3]. According to SDT, if an individual's context supports their basic psychological needs, their needs are more likely to be satisfied, which leads to autonomous motivation for a given activity. This allows them to engage in that activity out of interest or curiosity, or because it is in line with their goals and objectives [3]. In this way, autonomous motivation is considered optimal as it leads to positive outcomes such as better learning, interest, effort, performance, self-esteem, life satisfaction, persistence, and health [3]. Alternatively, if an individual's basic psychological needs are not met, they are more likely to be dissatisfied and engage in a behaviour for controlled reasons, such as to comply with or please someone else, or to avoid feeling guilt or shame.

SDT in rehabilitation specific professions

Autonomous motivation has been identified as an important contributor to physical activity adoption and intervention adherence[4] and can enhance a patient's active participation within medical encounters[5]. In terms of healthcare service delivery, needs-supporting relationships are as important when delivering services in-person as when using telehealth [2,3]. In rehabilitation-specific professions, the use of SDT is still in its infancy. In speech language pathology, a scoping review looking at aphasia and motivation identified a potential positive influence in clinical research for aphasia [6]. In physiotherapy, the perception of a

physiotherapist's behaviour was shown to be important in the delivery of a telerehabilitation intervention [7]. Autonomy, competence, and relatedness-supporting strategies, including, but not limited to, sharing of achievements, encouragement, and exchange of information, were systematically highlighted to be critical in peer support pain management rehabilitation groups [8]. Although the influence of SDT has been studied in areas related to physical activity adherence, pain reduction, return to sport, and aphasia interventions, a gap still exist in understanding its specific use in physiotherapy intervention and how SDT is being delivered in that specific context [9,10].

To determine whether using SDT principles within physiotherapy practice is effective at improving exercise program adherence, facilitating education, and self-management, the primary objective of this rapid review was to identify the physiotherapy contexts in which SDT is currently being used. Our secondary objective was to describe the populations receiving SDT-based physiotherapy and how SDT-based physiotherapy interventions are being measured. Identifying this information will lead to the development of more effective SDT-driven physiotherapy interventions.

METHODS

Rapid reviews improve accessibility and clarity of research evidence [11,12]. Adhering to Cochrane methodology for rapid review [13], we examined how SDT approaches are being used within physiotherapy services to inform future program development. Based on high-quality evidence (i.e., RCTs and SRs), we wanted to identify the clinical application of theory to the population being targeted by this type of intervention and the methods of delivery in a physiotherapy context. We registered our protocol in the Open Science Framework repository before starting data extraction (https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/FP8JM). Specifics of the Cochrane methodology for rapid review are described in each methodology section.

Search strategy

The search strategy was piloted in one database (i.e., MEDLINE) using Boolean logic "and/or" to combine specific MeSH terminology including study design (i.e., randomized controlled trial, systematic review), SDT (i.e., autonomy, determination, satisfaction, psychological needs, autonomous motivation), and physiotherapy (i.e., physical therapy, physiotherapy modalities, physical rehabilitation). We subsequently expanded the search to include needs satisfaction in Cochrane Library (OVID), PsycInfo, and Embase databases between 1990 and January 2023. We updated the search in September 2024. We then hand searched the references of included full texts.

Study selection

We screened citations using the review management software Covidence[TM.] Two reviewers (initials here) piloted a standardized title and abstract form for calibration before screening all articles by using the same 30 studies found in the initial MEDLINE search. As per Cochrane rapid review methodology, after duplicates were removed, two reviewers independently screened 50% of the titles and abstracts. Once consensus was achieved, one reviewer (initials here) completed the review of all titles and abstracts. Our inclusion criteria were SRs and RCTs published in English between 1990-2024, targeting physiotherapy services (i.e., evaluation, interventions, service delivery) or exercise interventions provided by a physiotherapist, and used SDT in the development of the interventions or assessments. Protocols and observational studies

were excluded. Using the same process as above, we screened 10 full-text studies to pilot the full-text reviewing form. One reviewer (initials here) completed the screening of all full texts while another reviewer (initials here) independently screened all excluded full text. Conflicts were resolved by discussion between reviewers until consensus was reached.

Data extraction and synthesis
Data extraction was completed using a standardized form (appendix C) to extract how physiotherapy services and SDT interventions were delivered (i.e., in-person, telerehabilitation, hybrid), types of outcomes being targeted (i.e., autonomous motivation, adherence, effectiveness, health-equity), and the population and types of health conditions being investigated. Data was extracted by one reviewer (initials here) and reviewed by a second (initials here). Two independent reviewers assessed Risk of Bias using the ROB2 tool [14] for RCTs and the AMSTAR2 tool [15,16]. We followed the PRISMA reporting guidelines [16]. Findings were analyzed narratively following the review objectives to identify similarities and differences between studies and synthesized descriptively in tables [17].

RESULTS
Included studies
Of 184 citations identified, we removed 58 duplicates, screened 126 titles and abstracts, reviewed 54 full-texts, and included 8 RCTs (n= 445 participants) and 1 SR (n=712 participants) (table 1). Interrater reliability for titles and abstracts selection was strong (k 0.88). The 9 studies retained in this review represented 1157 participants with an average age ranging from 3 to 77 years old. Only one systematic review targeted people under the age of 18 [18]. The terminology for sex and gender was often interchanged, leaving no explicit reporting of gender in any of the studies. The four most recent RCTs [19–22] published between 2022 and 2024 reported more health equity indicators (i.e., socio-economic factors, employment, level of education, gender, race, and culture) compared to the four published earlier.

Figure 1. Prisma Chart illustrating the identification, screening, and inclusion process[16].

Risk of Bias

Overall, two of the RCTs were rated as low ROB while 6 RCTs were rated as having some concerns (figure 2). Concerns were mostly related to the randomization process and missing data. The SR by was assessed as high quality using the AMSTAR 2 tool [15], however, the lack of protocol registration could introduce bias.

Study	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	Overall
Murray et al. 2015	+	+	+	+	+	+
Shah et al. 2016	-	+	+	+	+	-
Levac et al. 2017	+	X	+	+	+	-
Aunger et al. 2020	+	+	+	+	+	-
Quinn et al. 2022	+	+	-	+	+	-
Wallbank et al. 2022	+	+	+	+	+	+
Chair et al. 2024	+	+	+	+	+	-
Nunez et al. 2024	+	+	+	+	+	-

Risk of bias domains

Domains:
D1: Bias arising from the randomization process.
D2: Bias due to deviations from intended intervention.
D3: Bias due to missing outcome data.
D4: Bias in measurement of the outcome.
D5: Bias in selection of the reported result.

Judgement
X High
- Some concerns
+ Low

Figure 2. Reporting on Risk of Bias (ROB) of the RCTs assessed by the ROB2 tool[23].

Physiotherapy and SDT interventions

Patient populations included adults living with specific orthopedic conditions (including chronic low back pain, those requiring hip and knee replacements, and sedentary women) and adults and children with specific neurological conditions (including HIV, Huntington disease, and children with motor development conditions such as cerebral palsy, brachial plexus injuries, and gait development impairments, and autism spectrum disorder). One RCT targeted adults with coronary heart disorder and one was conducted with healthy students (table 1).

Several types of physiotherapy interventions for children and adults were included such as traditional strength and aerobic exercise programs [19–21,24–27], physiotherapy modalities [18], virtual reality therapy [18,28], and equine-assisted therapy[18]. Diverse settings included outpatient hospitals [25,27], hybrid interventions [19], home and community [18–22,27,29] and research laboratories [28] (table 1).

Table 1. Study characteristics including references, study design, physiotherapy and behaviour change interventions. Abbreviations: *HIV*, human immunodeficiency virus; *RCT*, randomized controlled trial; *SDT*, self-determination theory

Author, year	Study design	Physiotherapy services and interventions delivery	SDT interventions and delivery
Systematic review			
Meyens, de Mettelonge, van der Spank et al. 2018.	n=712 Physiotherapy services for children with developmental disorders	Various physiotherapy interventions including modalities, exercises, virtual reality, equine-therapy.	12 out of 15 studies included fun aspects targeting intrinsic motivation; 10 out of 15 studies included virtual reality as mode of delivery.
Randomized controlled trials			
Murray et al. 2015	n=48 Adults with chronic low back pain and physiotherapists	In-person physiotherapy services in outpatient clinics for chronic back pain	Eight-hour communication skill training including SDT concepts designed for physiotherapists; hybrid delivery using videorecording, vignettes, active role-playing, and group discussion.
Shah, Majeed, Yoruk, et al. 2016	n=67 Adults with HIV-infected at risk of functional decline.	A 12-weeks community-based physical activity program that included walking at moderate intensity and 10 tailored moderate to intense resistance strength training exercises for upper and lower body ranging from 1-4 set of 15 repetitions.	Six patient-centered counseling sessions including an initial 60 minute in-person counseling session (individual's interests, values, and behaviors), autonomy supportive sessions for two weeks, and 15-30 minute follow-up telephone counseling sessions every two weeks (to provide positive feedback, re-evaluate and modify goals).
Levac et al. 2017	n=40 Healthy students	Services for physiotherapists; virtual reality delivered in a research laboratory	Autonomy-supportive or autonomy-controlling strategies delivered using virtual reality.

Aunger et al. 2020	n=35 Older adults waiting for hip or knee replacement.	In-person physiotherapy services pre-hip or knee surgery	Behaviour change interventions, including motivational interviewing, emotional support, health and physical education, formulation of action and behavioural goals, illumination of discrepancies, problem-solving, reframing, and self-monitoring; delivered in the person's home.
Wallbank et al. 2022	n= 62 Physical activity program for Women over 50.	The Active Women over 50 Online Program offered over 3-months in the community.	Eight unidirectional emails or 24 SMS health coaching messages (as per patient preference), including benefits of physical activity, social modeling, ways to address barriers to activity, and help with action planning delivered via telephone by a tertiary-qualified physiotherapist trained in motivational interviewing.
Quinn et al. 2022	n= 57 Adults living with Huntington's disease	The PACE-HD is a physical activity program that includes 18 sessions of 30-minutes of moderate-vigorous exercise, 3–5 times per week delivered in-person by a physiotherapist or by videoconference.	18 physical activity coaching sessions including physical activity coaching, engagement strategies and goals monitoring delivered on the phone by a site coordinators or licensed physical therapists.
Chair et al. 2024	n =130 Adults living with coronary heart disease	An 8-week hybrid program including 16 supervised center-based exercise sessions (2 hours/session, 2 sessions/week) of prescribed exercises and home-based self-paced program.	Patients completed 135 moderate-intensity tempo songs in addition to a music-paced physical activity developed by a musician with a bachelor's degree in music; 5 biweekly telephone-based sessions including discussion around adherence, internal conflict related to physical activity, perceived benefits and barriers; and integration of music.
Nunez-Gaunaurd et al. 2024	n = 18 Adults between 18 and 32 years of age with a diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder	A 12-week telehealth-synchronous program including aerobic and strengthening exercises once a week with progressions during week 3 to 10.	Weekly individual and group sessions, including exercises progress monitoring, goal reflection around physical activity education. Weekly online discussions during 10 weeks with fitness support Pods, including success celebrations, problem-solving, and social relatedness using the Fitbit app.

The SR by Meyens et al. focused on delivery of different motivational interventions including the use of virtual reality, robotics, circus-themed games in a goal-based approach to improve intrinsic motivation in children with developmental disorders[18]. Five out of eight RCTs used

multifactorial motivational strategies including goal setting, education on physical activity, exploration of barriers and facilitators, problem solving, adherence, social interaction, and self-monitoring [19–22,27]. Another RCT[28] conducted with healthy students in laboratory environments used virtual reality to compare autonomy-supportive and autonomy-controlling tasks. In contrast, Murray et al. (2015)[25] used hybrid methods of delivery, including video recording, vignettes, active role-playing, and group discussions to enhance the physiotherapist's abilities to support their patient's needs. Novel combinations of in-person, group videoconference, and phone applications [20], the benefit of music and phone calls [19], and phone interviewing and text-messages [22] were also documented. While other RCTs relied on a combination of simple methods, including in-person and phone calls [21,26], Aunger et al. (2020) only included in-home, in-person motivational strategies [27].

Outcomes and outcome measures
RCTs measured outcomes related to physical activity and function, behaviour changes, mental health outcomes, quality of life, and a combination of these (table 2). Specific to physical activity level, six RCTs [19–22,26–28] used a variety of outcome measures, including self-reported questionnaires, clinical assessment, and objective kinematic measures. Five RCTs [19–22,27] used wearable technology to capture step count and overall daily physical activity in real-world context. Other physical outcomes and outcome measures included strength measured by dynamometer [26], function measured by daily repetitions of sit-to-stand [27], and overall disability [22].

Table 2. Outcomes measures used in physical or behaviour change domains. Abbreviations: *EuroQol EQ-5D-5L*, European Quality of Life – 5 Dimensions, 5 Levels; *ISWT*, Incremental Shuttle Walk Test**;** *PA*, physical activity; *WHO*, World Health Organization

References, date	Physical outcomes and outcome measures	Behaviour changes, mental health outcomes, and outcome measures	Intervention feasibility and fidelity outcomes and outcome measures
Systematic review			
Meyens et al. 2018	Not applicable	**Motivation and engagement:** Pediatric Volitional Questionnaire, Pediatric Motivational Scale, Children's Assessment of Participation and Enjoyment, Situational Interest Scale-Elementary school, Family Participation	**Satisfaction:** Likert scale assessing fun in rehabilitation
Randomized controlled trials			
Murray et al. 2015	Not applicable	**Needs-supportive behaviours:** Health Care Climate Questionnaire	Not applicable
Shah et al. 2016	**Physical function and physical activity:** Physical Performance Test, a MicroFET 2; time needed to complete an	**Motivation and engagement:** 3-item Locus of Causality for PA scale; Behavioral	Not applicable

	obstacle course; six-minute walk test; gait speed; 12-item Physical Activities Scale for the Elderly questionnaire; Paffenburger Physical Activity Index **Physical function (strength):** hand-held dynamometer	Regulation in Exercise Questionnaire-2; Perceived Competence scale is a 4-item scale **Mental health:** the Beck Depression Inventory-II, **Quality of life:** the Short-Form Health Survey-36	
Levac et al. 2017	**Physical function:** Acquisition and Retention time	**Motivation and engagement:** Intrinsic Motivation Inventory; User Engagement Scale	Not applicable
Aunger et al. 2020	**Physical activity levels:** ActivPal3 to measure sedentary time, steps per day, measure of Older Adults Sedentary Time, Short Physical Performance Battery **Physical function (strength):** daily sit-to-stand	**Motivation and needs supportive behaviours:** Basic Psychology Needs Scale	**Adherence and compliance:** adherence to action plan in booklet **Intervention fidelity:** rating of delivery skills (support of basic psychological needs, motivational interview, problem solving, progress monitoring, setback management)
Wallbank et al. 2022	**Physical activity levels:** average number of daily steps measured by ActiGraph GT3X+ accelerometer; self-reported physical activity, measured by the International Physical Activity Questionnaire; proportion of participants meeting at least the lower limits of the WHO physical activity guidelines; 150 min/week of moderate-intensity physical activity or 75 min/week of vigorous-intensity physical activity or an equivalent combination of both, measured by ActiGraph GT3X+ accelerometer **Physical function:** function component of the Late Life Function and Disability Instrument.	**Motivation and Exercise efficacy:** Exercise perceptions, measured by the Exercise Benefits and Barriers Scale **Mental health and mood:** the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule **Health-related quality of life:** EuroQol EQ-5D-5L survey	**Acceptability:** whether participants would recommend study participation to another person.
Quinn et al. 2022	**Physical activity levels:** wearable activity monitors	**Mental health and behaviour:** Short Problem	**Adherence:** % of sessions attended

		Behavior Assessment, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale combined with the Snaith Irritability Scale **Health-related quality of life:** Short-Form Health Survey (SF-12)	**Intervention fidelity:** therapist self-report checklists completed after each session and therapist self-assessment rating scale; average number of days participants had Fitbit devices and % of days with valid wear time (defined as ≥10 h) **Safety:** calculating the number of adverse events and number of falls in intervention and control groups
(Fitbit Charge 2) to monitor PA (e.g. step counts, activity intensity and frequency).			
Chair et al. 2024	**Physical Activity Levels:** the 10-m ISWT; heart rate using a sensor (Polar H7, Polar Electol GmbH, Germany); blood pressure and heart rate before and after the test; perceived exertion at the beginning and end of the test; accelerometer for 7 days; the validated International Physical Activity Questionnaire-Short Form	**Exercise self-efficacy and self-determination:** the validated Chinese version of the Cardiac Exercise Self-Efficacy Instrument; Behavioural Regulation in Exercise Questionnaire.	Not applicable
Nunez-Gaunaurd et al. 2024	**Physical activity levels:** Godin-Shephard Leisure-Time Physical Activity Questionnaire, Fitbit Inspire (Fitbit® Inc., San Francisco, California, USA), for 10-weeks. **Adiposity Anthropometric assessments:** body mass index; waist to height ratio via telehealth	**Exercise self-determination:** Behavioral Regulation in Scale; relative autonomy index	**Adherence:** attendance to session **Intervention fidelity:** wearable adherence **Safety:** adverse events related to music-paced physical activity

Outcomes related to needs-supportive behaviour changes and mental health were mostly measured using questionnaires and validated scales. Motivation and engagement were measured in the SR (Meyns et al., 2018) and six RCTs [19,20,22,26–28]. The most frequently used measure for motivation and engagement was the Behavioral Regulation in Exercise Questionnaire (3 out 9 studies). Changes in mood and mental health were measured in three RCTs [21,22,26] with the Beck Depression Inventory, and the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule. Finally, quality of life was measured in three RCTs [21,22,26] using three different measures, the Short-Form Health Survey-36, the Short-Form Health Survey-12, and the EuroQuol EQ-5D-5L survey.

Adherence or attendance was measured differently across studies, including reporting of adherence to action plans in a booklet (comprised of six goals and three environmental modifications) [27] and percentage of sessions attended [20,21]. Intervention fidelity was measured in 3 RCTs by rating the delivery of skills [27], self-reporting checklist from physiotherapists [21], and use of wearable sensors [20,21]. Two RCTs measured safety, and one RCT measured satisfaction and acceptability.

Efficacy of physiotherapy services combined with SDT interventions
One RCT reported a significant increase in physiotherapists' needs-supportive behaviours with SDT interventions measured by the Health Care Climate Questionnaire (intervention arm [mean±SD] = 4.6±0.85; control arm [mean±SD] = 2.78 ±0.72)[31]. In terms of intervention feasibility and acceptability, one RCT reported that combining in-person physiotherapy services with motivational interviewing, emotional support, education, and problem-solving is feasible and may have an impact on sedentariness in older adults awaiting hip and knee arthroplasty [27]. Another RCT demonstrated that combining online physiotherapy program with health coaching emails and text message was feasible and an acceptable for women over 50 years old [22]. A third RCT showed that combining moderate-vigorous exercise 3–5 times per week with 18 physical activity coaching sessions may have a positive impact on walking endurance and self-reported physical activity in people living with Huntington's disease [21]. Findings also suggest that combined physiotherapy interventions with SDT-based interventions could significantly improve physical function such as gait speed in people with HIV [26], exercise capacity and exercise efficacy in people with coronary heart disease [19], and self-reported physical activity in adults with autism spectrum disorder [20].

DISCUSSION
In this rapid review, we describe the use of SDT within physiotherapy services and how they are measured based on high quality evidence. Overall, our findings suggest that SDT has been combined directly with specific physiotherapy interventions for people with a variety of health conditions, including hip and knee conditions, low back pain, motor development conditions, neurological conditions, cardiovascular conditions, and anxiety related disorders. This review also showed that SDT may indirectly influence communication skills of physiotherapists, which may lead to improving therapeutic relationships between clinicians and patients [7], but further studies are necessary to confirm how and the type of impact. Studies on the impact of physiotherapy and SDT interventions developed for adults and older adults with neurological conditions such as stroke, traumatic brain injury, spinal cord injury, and neuroinflammatory conditions are still lacking [32,33]. Consistent with findings from a meta-analysis by McGrance et al., motivational interventions primarily targeted adherence, exercise behaviour, and levels of physical activity [34]. Personalization and tailoring of physiotherapy interventions based on the SDT framework positively impact motivation in people who have experienced a stroke [35], however the current literature does not report enough details on the types of tailoring or motivational strategies to enable clinical recommendations or replication[36]. Similar to documenting the details of physiotherapy interventions, more precise reporting using validated measures of how autonomous motivation or the three basic psychological needs of competence, autonomy, and relatedness may be supported or thwarted in physiotherapy, could impact clinical practice and effectiveness of physiotherapy interventions [1]. Our findings contribute to this by providing some insight on how physiotherapy interventions combined with SDT interventions

are currently being delivered (e.g., aerobic exercise, virtual reality, problem-solving tools, reframing) and what is currently being assessed (e.g., physical activity levels, motivation, mood and behaviour). Reporting of health equity indicators could improve transparency in reporting and provide a context in which the person is living [37]. Demographic findings demonstrate gaps in explicit reporting of health equity indicators, future work should document in detail how health equity indicators are considered and how SDT interventions and basic psychological needs are specifically being integrated within physiotherapy interventions.

This review also exposes a literature gap around physiotherapy services and SDT strategy delivery (e.g., in-person, telerehabilitation, or hybrid care). The delivery of interventions was reported by explaining the setting of the intervention (hospital, virtual reality, research laboratory, vignettes) without explicitly reporting if the interventions (physiotherapy or SDT) were delivered in person, via telerehabilitation, or hybrid, and how the two types of interventions were integrated. When comparing in-person and telerehabilitation in physiotherapy, emerging evidence shows similar results for satisfaction, adherence, and effectiveness for certain conditions [38–40]. Results from cohort studies included in Meyns et al. suggest that the use of virtual reality as well as meaningful partnerships may improve active engagement within a physiotherapy and effectiveness of the intervention [41–44]. However, further high-quality research such as RCTs is needed for telerehabilitation combined with SDT intervention to identify if the method of delivery has an impact and how SDT may influence the design of complex physiotherapy or telerehabilitation interventions.

Finally, our rapid review demonstrated a lack of standardized outcome measures related to autonomous motivation, communication and interpersonal behaviours and their validation for physiotherapy interventions. This is an important clinical and research gap since autonomous motivation has been demonstrated to have an impact on health behaviour[45]. Assessment tools objectively measuring the impact of SDT combined with physiotherapy interventions may be necessary to demonstrate the effectiveness of SDT-driven physiotherapy services. Rouse et al. provide an example of a validated tool measuring the interpersonal support in physical activity consultation[46]. Without access to validated tools designed to measure if and how a motivation-based intervention may influence physiotherapy interventions, the inclusion of SDT interventions within physiotherapy may be limited. This review could influence the development of self-determination-based physiotherapy interventions by understanding the current use including health conditions, type of intervention, and outcomes being measured.

Limitations
It is critical to consider some limitations encountered during the screening and selection process of the studies. Unfortunately, the type of provider delivering the physical activity, physiotherapy of exercise program was often not reported. Since "physiotherapy or physiotherapist" was a specific inclusion criterion, many studies discussing 'health or rehabilitation' interventions were excluded. There is a need to report who is providing the intervention in studies to enable replication as well as personalize training around SDT for each health profession. Furthermore, due to the lack of consistency in outcome measures, a meta-analysis of results was not possible for this rapid review. It is therefore essential to consider using consistent validated measures, such as validated measures of physiotherapist's needs-supportive and needs-thwarting interpersonal behaviours, and autonomous and controlled motivation for engaging in

physiotherapy, when conducting effectiveness trials to allow for the development of robust clinical guidelines on SDT driven physiotherapy interventions. To mitigate this limitation, we narratively synthesized the results from each included study which allowed us to provide preliminary information on feasibility and effectiveness.

CONCLUSION

With a growing push towards the use of person-centered approaches, goal setting, and shared decision making in physiotherapy, results from this rapid review demonstrate how SDT-designed physiotherapy intervention can be clinically implemented by identifying various types of SDT and physiotherapy interventions across various contexts. This review also identified key SDT interventions such as problem solving, problem monitoring, and goal setting which may, when combined with evidence-based physiotherapy interventions, improve the effectiveness of interventions. However, given the paucity of evidence of SDT interventions within physiotherapy, further research around implementation and delivery is needed before robust conclusions can be drawn as to the effectiveness on patient outcomes. This information may facilitate clinical implementation of SDT within physiotherapy, provide guidelines for the initiation and maintenance of health behaviours and specific adherence-interventions, as well as inform future training of physiotherapists.

Acknowledgements

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

REFERENCES

Aunger, J. A., Greaves, C. J., Davis, E. T., Asamane, E. A., Whittaker, A. C., & Greig, C. A. (2020). A novel behavioural {INTErvention} to {REduce} Sitting Time in older adults undergoing orthopaedic surgery ({INTEREST)}: results of a randomised-controlled feasibility study. *Aging Clin Exp Res*, *32*(12), 2565–2585.

Biel, M., Enclade, H., Richardson, A., Guerrero, A., & Patterson, J. (2022). Motivation Theory and Practice in Aphasia Rehabilitation: A Scoping Review. In *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology* (Vol. 31, Issue 5s, pp. 2421–2443). American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. https://doi.org/10.1044/2022_AJSLP-22-00064

Bilde, P. E., Kliim-Due, M., Rasmussen, B., Petersen, L. Z., Petersen, T. H., & Nielsen, J. B. (2011). Individualized, home-based interactive training of cerebral palsy children delivered through the Internet. *BMC Neurology*, *11*. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2377-11-32

Brütsch. K, Koenig, A., Zimmerli, L., Mérillat-Koeneke, S., Riener, R., Jancke, L., van Hedel, H., & Meyer-Heim, A. (2011). Virtual reality for enhancement of robot-assisted gait training in children with central gai. *J Rehabil Med*, *43*(6), 493–499.

Chair, S. Y., Cheng, H. Y., Lo, S. W. S., Sit, J. W. H., Wong, E. M. L., Leung, K. C., Wang, Q., Choi, K. C., & Leung, T. S. Y. (2024). Effectiveness of a home-based music-paced physical activity programme on exercise-related outcomes after cardiac rehabilitation: a randomized controlled trial. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing*, *23*(5), 510–520. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurjcn/zvad115

Dobbins, M. (2017). *Steps for conducting a rapid review Rapid Review Guidebook*. www.nccmt.ca

Featherstone, R. M., Dryden, D. M., Foisy, M., Guise, J. M., Mitchell, M. D., Paynter, R. A., Robinson, K. A., Umscheid, C. A., & Hartling, L. (2015). Advancing knowledge of rapid reviews: An analysis of results, conclusions and recommendations from published review articles examining rapid reviews. *Systematic Reviews*, *4*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-015-0040-4

Garritty, C., Gartlehner, G., Nussbaumer-Streit, B., King, V. J., Hamel, C., Kamel, C., Affengruber, L., & Stevens, A. (2021). Cochrane Rapid Reviews Methods Group offers evidence-informed guidance to conduct rapid reviews. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, *130*, 13–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2020.10.007

Gillison, F. B., Rouse, P., Standage, M., Sebire, S. J., & Ryan, R. M. (2019). A meta-analysis of techniques to promote motivation for health behaviour change from a self-determination theory perspective. *Health Psychology Review*, *13*(1), 110–130. https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2018.1534071

Haby, M. M., Chapman, E., Clark, R., Barreto, J., Reveiz, L., & Lavis, J. N. (2016). What are the best methodologies for rapid reviews of the research evidence for evidence-informed

decision making in health policy and practice: A rapid review. In *Health Research Policy and Systems* (Vol. 14, Issue 1). BioMed Central Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-016-0155-7

Harris, K., & Reid, D. (2005). The influence of virtual reality play on children's motivation. *The Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy*, *72*(1), 21–29.

Hovland, A., Nordhus, I. H., Sjobo, T., Gjestad, B. A., Birknes, B., Martinsen, E. W., Torsheim, T., & Pallesen, S. (2013). Comparing physical exercise in groups to group cognitive behaviour therapy for the treatment of panic disorder in a randomized controlled trial. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, *41*(4), 408–432. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1352465812000446

JAC, S., Savović, J., Page, M., Elbers, R., Blencowe, N., Boutron, I., Cates, C., Chen, H., Corbett, M., Eldridge, S., Hernan, M., Hopewell, S., Hróbjartsson, A., Junqueira, D., Juni, P., Kirkham, J., Lasserson, T., Li, T., McAleenan, A., … HIggins, J. (2019). RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. . *BMJ*, *366*.

Järvikoski, A., Härkäpää, K., Martin, M., Vasari, P., & Autti-Rämö, I. (2015). Service characteristics as predictors of parents' perceptions of child rehabilitation outcomes. *Journal of Child Health Care*, *19*(1), 106–117. https://doi.org/10.1177/1367493513503579

Kloek, C. J. J., Van Dongen, J. M., De Bakker, D. H., Bossen, D., Dekker, J., & Veenhof, C. (2018). Cost-effectiveness of a blended physiotherapy intervention compared to usual physiotherapy in patients with hip and/or knee osteoarthritis: A cluster randomized controlled trial. *BMC Public Health*, *18*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5975-7

Kors, J., De La Croix, A., Martin, L., Verhoeven, C. J. M., Bakker, P., Peerdeman, S. M., & Kusurkar, R. A. (2022). Autonomy-supportive decision-making in maternity care during prenatal consultations: a qualitative interaction analysis. *BMJ Open*, *12*(11). https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-063463

Lara-Palomo, I. C., Gil-Martínez, E., Ramírez-García, J. D., Capel-Alcaraz, A. M., García-López, H., Castro-Sánchez, A. M., & Antequera-Soler, E. (2022). Efficacy of e-Health Interventions in Patients with Chronic Low-Back Pain: A Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis. *Telemedicine Journal and E-Health : The Official Journal of the American Telemedicine Association*, *28*(12), 1734–1752. https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2021.0599

Levac, D., Driscoll, K., Galvez, J., Mercado, K., & O'Neil, L. (2017). {OPTIMAL} practice conditions enhance the benefits of gradually increasing error opportunities on retention of a stepping sequence task. *Hum Mov Sci*, *56*(Pt B), 129–138.

McEwen, D., O'Neil, J., Miron-celis, M., & Brosseau, L. (2019). Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation Content Reporting in Post-Stroke Therapeutic Circuit-Class Exercise Programs in randomized control trials Content Reporting in Post-Stroke Therapeutic Circuit-Class

Exercise Programs in randomized control trials. *Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation*, *0*(0), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1080/10749357.2019.1591687

McGrane, N., Galvin, R., Cusack, T., & Stokes, E. (2015). Addition of motivational interventions to exercise and traditional Physiotherapy: A review and meta-analysis. In *Physiotherapy (United Kingdom)* (Vol. 101, Issue 1, pp. 1–12). Elsevier Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2014.04.009

Meyns, P., Roman de Mettelinge, T., van der Spank, J., Coussens, M., & Van Waelvelde, H. (2018). Motivation in pediatric motor rehabilitation: A systematic search of the literature using the self-determination theory as a conceptual framework. *Developmental Neurorehabilitation*, *21*(6), 371–390. https://doi.org/10.1080/17518423.2017.1295286

Murray, A., AM, H., GC, W., SM, M., Ntoumanis, N., IM, T., Jackson, B., Matthews, J., DA, H., & Lonsdale, C. (2015). Effect of a self-determination theory - Based communication skills training program on physiotherapists' psychological support for their patients with chronic low back pain: a randomized controlled trial. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, *96*(5), 809. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2014.11.007

Ng, J. Y. Y., Ntoumanis, N., Thøgersen-Ntoumani, C., Deci, E. L., Ryan, R. M., Duda, J. L., & Williams, G. C. (2012). Self-Determination Theory Applied to Health Contexts: A Meta-Analysis. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *7*(4), 325–340. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691612447309

Ntoumanis, N., Ng, J. Y. Y., Prestwich, A., Quested, E., Hancox, J. E., Thøgersen-Ntoumani, C., Deci, E. L., Ryan, R. M., Lonsdale, C., & Williams, G. C. (2021). A meta-analysis of self-determination theory-informed intervention studies in the health domain: effects on motivation, health behavior, physical, and psychological health. *Health Psychology Review*, *15*(2), 214–244. https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2020.1718529

Nunez-Gaunaurd, A., & Tovin, M. (2024). Promoting Physical Activity Through Telehealth, Peer Support, and Wearables: A Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial Among Adults with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities*, *36*(5), 921–947. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10882-024-09951-x

O'Neil, J., Pelletier, L., Bilodeau, M., Egan, M., Marshall, S., & Sveistrup, H. (2023). A physiotherapist's perception of their own behavior compared to the perception of their behavior by persons with TBI within the context of telerehabilitation: A self-determination theory perspective. *PHYSIOTHERAPY THEORY AND PRACTICE*, *3*(39), 1650–1661. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593985.2022.2046219

O'Neill, J., Tabish, H., Welch, V., Petticrew, M., Pottie, K., Clarke, M., Evans, T., Pardo Pardo, J., Waters, E., White, H., & Tugwell, P. (2014). Applying an equity lens to interventions: Using PROGRESS ensures consideration of socially stratifying factors to illuminate inequities in health. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, *67*(1), 56–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2013.08.005

Osei-Frimpong, K. (2017). Patient participatory behaviours in healthcare service delivery: Self-determination theory (SDT) perspective. *Journal of Service Theory and Practice*, *27*(2), 453–474. https://doi.org/10.1108/JSTP-02-2016-0038

Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, & Mulrow CD, et al. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. . *BMJ*, *372*(71).

Quinn, L., Playle, R., Drew, C. J. G., Taiyari, K., Williams-Thomas, R., Muratori, L. M., Hamana, K., Griffin, B. A., Kelson, M., Schubert, R., Friel, C., Morgan-Jones, P., Rosser, A., Busse, M., Montojo, T., Ruiz Idiago, J. M., Hershberg, J., Marder, K., Bordelon, Y., … Landwehrmeyer, B. (2022). Physical activity and exercise outcomes in Huntington's disease (PACE-HD): results of a 12-month trial-within-cohort feasibility study of a physical activity intervention in people with Huntington's disease. *Parkinsonism and Related Disorders*, *101*, 75–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2022.06.013

Rouse, P. C., Duda, J. L., Ntoumanis, N., Jolly, K., & Williams, G. C. (2016). The development and validation of the Interpersonal Support in Physical Activity Consultations Observational Tool. *European Journal of Sport Science*, *16*(1), 106–114. https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2014.987320

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. (2000). Self-Determination Theory and the Facilitation of Intrinsic Motivation, Social Development, and Well-Being. *American Psychologist*, *55*(1), 68–78. https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1037110003-066X.55.1.68

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2017). *Self-Determination Theory: Basic Psychological Needs in Motivation, Development, and Wellness*.

Sawatsky, A. P., O'Brien, B. C., & Hafferty, F. W. (2022). Autonomy and developing physicians: Reimagining supervision using self-determination theory. *Medical Education*, *56*(1), 56–63. https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.14580

Seron, P., Oliveros, M. J., Gutierrez-Arias, R., Fuentes-Aspe, R., Torres-Castro, R. C., Merino-Osorio, C., Nahuelhual, P., Inostroza, J., Jalil, Y., Solano, R., Marzuca-Nassr, G. N., Aguilera-Eguía, R., Lavados-Romo, P., Soto-Rodríguez, F. J., Sabelle, C., Villarroel-Silva, G., Gomolán, P., Huaiquilaf, S., & Sanchez, P. (2021). Effectiveness of Telerehabilitation in Physical Therapy: A Rapid Overview. In *Physical Therapy* (Vol. 101, Issue 6). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/pzab053

Shah, K. N., Majeed, Z., Yoruk, Y. B., Yang, H., Hilton, T. N., McMahon, J. M., Hall, W. J., Walck, D., Luque, A. E., & Ryan, R. M. (2016). Enhancing physical function in HIV-infected older adults: A randomized controlled clinical trial. *Health Psychology*, *35*(6), 563–573. https://doi.org/10.1037/hea0000311

Shea, B. J., Reeves, B. C., Wells, G., Thuku, M., Hamel, C., Moran, J., Moher, D., Tugwell, P., Welch, V., Kristjansson, E., & Henry, D. A. (2017). AMSTAR 2: A critical appraisal tool

for systematic reviews that include randomised or non-randomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. *BMJ (Online)*, *358*. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j4008

Sheeran, P. , Wright, C. E. , Avishai, A. , Villegas, M. E. , Rothman, A. J. , & Klein, W. M. P. (2021). Does Increasing Autonomous Motivation or Perceived Competence Lead to Health Behavior Change? A Meta-Analysis. *Health Psychology*. https://doi.org/10.1037/hea0001111.supp

Sivertsen, M., De Jaegher, H., Arntzen, E. C., Alstadhaug, K. B., & Normann, B. (2022). Embodiment, tailoring, and trust are important for co-construction of meaning in physiotherapy after stroke: A qualitative study. *Physiotherapy Research International*, *27*(3). https://doi.org/10.1002/pri.1948

Stenberg, N., Gillison, F., & Rodham, K. (2022). How do peer support interventions for the self-management of chronic pain, support basic psychological needs? A systematic review and framework synthesis using self-determination theory. In *Patient Education and Counseling* (Vol. 105, Issue 11, pp. 3225–3234). Elsevier Ireland Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2022.07.017

Sterne, J. A. C., Savović, J., Page, M. J., Elbers, R. G., Blencowe, N. S., Boutron, I., Cates, C. J., Cheng, H. Y., Corbett, M. S., Eldridge, S. M., Emberson, J. R., Hernán, M. A., Hopewell, S., Hróbjartsson, A., Junqueira, D. R., Jüni, P., Kirkham, J. J., Lasserson, T., Li, T., … Higgins, J. P. T. (2019). RoB 2: A revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *The BMJ*, *366*. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.l4898

Teixeira, P. J., Carraça, E. V., Markland, D., Silva, M. N., & Ryan, R. M. (2012). Exercise, physical activity, and self-determination theory: A systematic review. In *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* (Vol. 9). https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-9-78

Wallbank, G., Sherrington, C., Hassett, L., Kwasnicka, D., Chau, J. Y., Phongsavan, P., Grunseit, A., Martin, F., Canning, C. G., Baird, M., Shepherd, R., & Tiedemann, A. (2022). Acceptability and feasibility of an online physical activity program for women over 50: A pilot trial. *Translational Behavioral Medicine*, *12*(2), 225–236. https://doi.org/10.1093/tbm/ibab161