EXCESS MORTALITY IN ENGLAND AND SCOTLAND IN 2022: THE LONG SHADOW OF AUSTERITY AND THE RETURN TO AN UNACCEPTABLE PRE-PANDEMIC BASELINE

ABSTRACT

Objectives

To establish if mortality in England and Scotland in 2022 was higher than predicted by austerity-era and pre-austerity trends.

Design

Time trend analysis.

Setting

England and Scotland.

Participants

People that died 2001-2022.

Main outcome measures

Excess deaths calculated by comparing observed age- and sex-standardised mortality rates (ASMRs; standardised to the 2013 European Standard Population) in 2022, and expected mortality based on deaths in 2001-2010 and 2012-2019.

Results

Excess mortality was observed for both comparison scenarios, both sexes, and both nations in 2022. For females in England, the relative excess was 38.2% (95% confidence interval: 37.7–38.7) and 4.4% (4.0–4.8) higher than predicted by 2001–2010 and 2012–2019 trends, respectively; for males excesses were 57.0% (56.4–57.6) and 7.2% (6.8–7.6). For females in Scotland excesses were 26.6% (25.2–28.0) and 3.4% (2.2–4.5); for males excesses were 45.2% (43.6–46.9) and 2.6% (1.5–3.8). In 2022 alone, an estimated 29,740 excess deaths occurred in England and 1,810 in Scotland assuming continuation of the 2012-2019 trend, inclusive of covid-19 deaths. Covid-19 was mentioned on the death certificates of 5.3% of all female deaths in England, 6.1% of males in England, 6.0% of females in Scotland, and 6.5% of males in Scotland. The excesses relative to the 2012-19 trend (but not relative to 2001-10) were largely explained by covid-19 deaths. ASMRs were between 1.68 (females, England) and 1.94 (males, Scotland) times higher in the most deprived fifth of areas than the least deprived fifth.

Conclusion

Mortality in England and Scotland in 2022 was higher than predicted by the 2012-2019 trend. Deaths attributable to covid-19 explained a substantial proportion of that excess. However, this excess is small compared to the 879,430 excess deaths in England and Scotland from 2012 (after excluding direct covid-19 mortality) compared to pre-2012 trends.

KEYWORDS

mortality; austerity; excess mortality; premature mortality; inequalities

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Daniel R. R. Bradford[1] [Corresponding author d.bradford.1@research.gla.ac.uk] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7523-8764

Denise Brown[1] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5195-5312

Gerard McCartney[2] https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6341-3521

Margaret Douglas[3,4] https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1560-5451

Ruth Dundas[1] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3836-4286

David Walsh[4] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3390-5039

1. MRC/CSO Social and Public Health Science, University of Glasgow
2. School of Social & Political Sciences, University of Glasgow
3. Public Health Scotland
4. School of Health & Wellbeing, University of Glasgow

CREDIT TAXONOMY

Conceptualization - All

Data curation – DB, DW

Formal Analysis – DRRB

Funding acquisition – RD, DW

Investigation - All

Methodology - All

Project administration - NA

Resources - NA

Software - NA

Supervision – DB, DW

Validation - NA

Visualization - DRRB

Writing – original draft - DRRB

Writing – review & editing - All

FUNDING STATEMENT

This work was funded by the Glasgow Centre for Population Health. RD and DB are supported by the Medical Research Council (MC_UU_00022/2) and the Scottish Government Chief Scientist Office (SPHSU17).

The funders had no role in the design of the study or in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and results.

RIGHTS RETENTION STATEMENT

For the purpose of open access, the authors have applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

The lead author affirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned (and, if relevant, registered) have been explained.

INTRODUCTION

There are concerns that UK mortality rates remained elevated after the covid-19 peaks in 2020 and 2021 compared to the preceding years.[1–5] Before considering potential causes of continuing increased mortality, it is essential to determine whether an excess exists. Whether a rate is deemed excessive depends on the definition of the expected counterfactual rate. In defining the counterfactual, both temporal trends in mortality before the pandemic and changes in the population's age and sex structure must be considered. Prior studies have not always done so. For example, the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and the Office for National Statistics (ONS) used mean crude death counts from the five years before the pandemic as a baseline for estimating excess deaths.[6,7] The purpose of this study was to compare mortality in England and Scotland in 2022 with expected rates extrapolated from pre-pandemic trends in age- and sex-standardised mortality rates (ASMRs).

Any examination of recent mortality trends must consider the changes observed in the UK from around 2012 onwards.[8–13] Around this time, national mortality rates stopped declining—reversing over a century of improvement except during the World Wars and the 1918 influenza pandemic.[12,14–16] These altered trends have been seen across nearly all age groups and causes of death.[17,18] However, the impact has not been uniform: mortality rates in the most socioeconomically disadvantaged areas have increased, and inequalities between the most and least disadvantaged areas have widened.[8,12] There is now an overwhelming body of evidence attributing these unprecedented changes in mortality to the imposition of 'austerity' by the UK Government from 2010 onwards.[19–29] Austerity has been implemented through enormous public spending cuts, particularly affecting poorer populations via reductions in social security and the defunding of vital public services. Consequently, using trends in ASMRs in the years immediately prior to the pandemic to predict expected counterfactual ASMRs in 2022 is based on a faulty assumption i.e., that trends in period just before the pandemic were 'normal' and were simply a continuation of the previous trajectory. This assumption obscures the true scale of excess mortality observed since austerity was introduced. This study therefore estimated expected counterfactual ASMRs in 2022 predicted by trends observed both before and after the imposition of austerity.

Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain persistently high mortality following the covid-19 peaks in high-income countries, as summarised in a recent scoping review.[30] One hypothesis is that the excesses are caused by direct covid-19 deaths. This study therefore also considered the contribution of direct covid-19 deaths in England and Scotland in 2022.

The research questions addressed were:

1. How did observed ASMRs in England and Scotland in 2022 compare to expected ASMRs predicted by a continuation of austerity-era trends (2012-2019)?
2. How did observed ASMRs in England and Scotland in 2022 compare to expected ASMRs predicted by a continuation of pre-austerity trends (2001-2010)?
3. Were differences in observed and expected ASMRs based on the two prediction periods consistent across subgroups of age, sex, and area deprivation?
4. What proportion of deaths in England and Scotland in 2022 were attributable to covid-19?

METHODS

This study followed REporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-collected Data (RECORD) guidelines (see supplemental material for checklist).[31]

Data

Mortality data for England and Scotland for 2001-2022 were obtained from the Office for National Statistics and National Records of Scotland.[32] Scottish data included cause of death, while English data provided only counts of deaths mentioning covid-19 without details on other causes.[33] In this study, a covid-19 death was defined for both nations as any death where covid-19 was mentioned, not necessarily as the underlying cause.

Population data for England and Scotland for 2001–2022 were obtained from the Office for National Statistics and National Records of Scotland.[32] For most years, data were disaggregated by five-year age group, sex, and deprivation level. However, for 2021–2022 in England and 2022 in Scotland, population data were only available by age and sex, with no breakdown by deprivation level. To estimate counts for each age–sex–deprivation group, we assumed that the relative distribution across the five deprivation levels within each age–sex group remained unchanged from the most recent published data (2021 for England and 2021 for Scotland) and applied these proportions to the available age–sex data.

Deprivation was measured using national indices: the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) for England and the Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation (SIMD). As both are periodically updated, mortality data were linked to the chronologically-nearest available version (see Tables A1a and A1b). Although derived separately using slightly different indicators and spatial scales, the two measures have been shown to be comparable.[34] Standardised mortality rates are included in both the English and Scottish overall IMD, but previous work has shown the effect of this circularity to be negligible.[35,36] Deprivation was categorised into five groups from most to least deprived.

Analysis

ASMRs and 95% confidence intervals were calculated using the 'PHEindicatormethods' package v2.0.2 in R v4.2.0,[37,38] standardised to the 2013 European Standard Population (ESP2013). The upper age group comprised individuals aged 85 or older. In addition to all-age rates, ASMRs were computed for subgroups—premature mortality (0–74y), older adults (>74y), older working age (45–64y), and younger working age (20–44y). ASMRs were calculated nationally and across five deprivation levels, rate ratios were defined relative to the least deprived group.

Counterfactual estimation

Expected ASMRs were calculated from two baseline counterfactuals based on trends in the pre-austerity (2001–2010) and austerity (2012–2019) periods. Data were plotted to check for linearity, and linear regression models were extrapolated to 2022 with year as the independent variable and ASMRs as the dependent variable. Independent models were fitted for each combination of nation, sex, age, and area deprivation to generate expected ASMRs. The start year of 2001 was chosen to provide a similar number of data years for both periods and because mortality data disaggregated by deprivation level were available from that year. The terminal year of 2010 was selected to coincide with the imposition of austerity, and 2012 was chosen based on inflection points in trends observed in 2011–2013.[8,9] A sensitivity analysis was conducted using start years of 2011, 2012, and 2013 for the austerity baseline, while 2019 was chosen to omit peak covid-19 mortality.

Excess mortality

Excess mortality was defined as an observed ASMR exceeding the expected ASMR. Relative excess mortality, expressed as a percentage, equals 100*((observed ASMR – expected ASMR)/expected ASMR). Expected death counts were computed by multiplying ASMRs by the relevant population and adjusting for age structure differences relative to the ESP2013. The correction factor was determined by dividing observed deaths by the product of the observed ASMR and the relevant population (see Appendix for details). This factor was calculated for each

combination of nation, sex, five-year age group, area deprivation, and year. Excess deaths were estimated by subtracting expected from observed counts.

Patient and public involvement

There was no patient or public involvement in this study, and no ethical approval was required.

RESULTS

In 2022, relative excess ASMRs were 5.6% in England and 2.9% in Scotland compared to predictions from austerity-era trends (2012–2019), and 44.5% and 33.1% respectively compared to pre-austerity trends (2001–2010). Figure 1 shows ASMRs from 2001–2022, summarising 21,431,282 deaths in England and 2,475,589 in Scotland. Table 1 presents the related observed and expected ASMRs for 2022. Across all nations and age subgroups, males had higher ASMRs. Excesses were observed in most age subgroups except younger working age adults in Scotland (see Figures A1a–A1d in the Appendix). Males in the older working (45–64y) and older adult (>74y) groups experienced the highest relative excess mortality compared to both baseline trends.

Inequalities

ASMRs increased with area deprivation in both nations and sexes. ASMRs for the most and least disadvantaged fifths from 2001–2022 are shown in Figure 2 and Tables 2a and 2b (see Figure A2 and Tables A2a and A2b for all five deprivation groups). Based on austerity-era trends, the least deprived areas had slightly larger absolute excesses than the most deprived. However, excesses based on pre-austerity trends were much greater and disproportionately affected more deprived areas. In many cases, *relative* excesses were higher in the least deprived areas — a likely artefact of more adverse prior trends in the most deprived areas predicting higher expected ASMRs. In England in 2022, ASMRs in the most deprived areas were 1.68 times higher than in the least deprived for females (up from 1.53 in 2010); the equivalent rate ratios were 1.75 (up from 1.65) for males in England, 1.94 (up from 1.80) for females in Scotland, and 1.94 (up from 1.85) for males in Scotland.

The impact of excluding direct covid-19 deaths

Covid-19 was recorded on 5.7% of death certificates in England and 6.2% in Scotland in 2022. Excluding these deaths, relative excess ASMR in England dropped from 5.6% to -0.5% and in Scotland from 2.9% to -3.5% based on austerity-era trends. These values as presented in Table 3. Across age subgroups in England, the largest remaining excess after excluding covid-19 deaths was in premature mortality — 2.5% for females and 4.5% for males — while all Scottish age subgroups showed mortality deficits.

Estimates of excess deaths

In England in 2022, there were 11,290 additional female and 18,450 additional male deaths compared to expected deaths based on the continuation of austerity-era trends; excluding covid-19 deaths reduced these to -2,970 for females and 1,640 for males. In Scotland, 1,020 additional female and 790 additional male deaths occurred, falling to -850 for females and -1,210 for males after excluding covid-19 deaths.

Using pre-austerity trends (and after excluding covid-19 deaths), England recorded 59,490 additional female and 82,480 additional male deaths in 2022, while Scotland recorded 4,670 additional female and 7,540 additional male deaths. Summing excess deaths from 2013–2022 yields 352,580 excess female and 451,700 excess male deaths in England (804,280 total) and 28,790 excess female and 46,360 excess male deaths in Scotland (75,150 total), amounting to 879,430 across both nations. Annual breakdowns are in Tables A4a–A4d. Excess deaths occurred across all deprivation levels (see Figures A5a and A5b).

Sensitivity analyses

Linear regression models were fitted to 60 combinations of nation, sex, age, and area deprivation level. For each combination, three models were run, varying the start year (2011, 2012, or 2013). Expected ASMRs using 2011 or 2013 varied from -3.2% to +5.7% relative to those based on 2012. Most expected ASMRs differed by less than 1% from the 2012 results. Figure A6 in the Appendix shows the distribution of these differences.



Figure 1 - Age-standardised all-cause mortality rates from 2001–2022 in England and Scotland. Points are observed rates. Confidence intervals are included for observed rates but are so narrow as to be obscured by the points in most cases. Solid lines are trends fitted through 2001–2010 (pre-austerity) and 2012–2019 (austerity). Dashed lines are trends from each of the fitted period extrapolated to 2022.

Table 1 - Age-standardised all-cause mortality rates per 100,000 population per year by nation, sex, and age subgroup in 2022.

Nation	Sex	Age group	Obs. rate (95% CI)	Exp. rate, 12-19	Excess %, 12-19 (95%CI)	Exp. rate 01-10	Excess %, 01-10 (95% CI)
England	Persons	All	961 (959, 964)	911	**5.6 (5.3, 5.9)**	665	**44.5 (44.1, 44.9)**
England	Females	All	831 (828, 835)	796	**4.4 (4.0, 4.8)**	602	**38.2 (37.7, 38.7)**
England	Males	All	1118 (1114, 1122)	1042	**7.2 (6.8, 7.6)**	712	**57 (56.4, 57.6)**
England	Females	0-74y	269 (267, 271)	251	**7.1 (6.3, 7.9)**	183	**47 (45.9, 48.1)**
England	Males	0-74y	420 (417, 422)	386	**8.8 (8.2, 9.5)**	251	**67.4 (66.3, 68.4)**
England	Females	>74y	2131 (2118, 2144)	1999	**6.6 (6.0, 7.2)**	1432	**48.8 (48.0, 49.7)**
England	Males	>74y	3082 (3066, 3099)	2867	**7.5 (6.9, 8.1)**	1736	**77.6 (76.6, 78.5)**
England	Females	45-64y	344 (340, 348)	325	**6 (4.7, 7.2)**	260	**32.5 (30.9, 34.1)**
England	Males	45-64y	537 (532, 542)	500	**7.4 (6.3, 8.5)**	360	**49.2 (47.7, 50.7)**
England	Females	25-44y	56 (55, 58)	55	**3.2 (0.4, 6.0)**	46	**23.6 (20.3, 27.0)**
England	Males	25-44y	103 (100, 105)	98	**4.6 (2.4, 6.7)**	86	**19.9 (17.5, 22.4)**
Scotland	Persons	All	1116 (1107, 1125)	1084	**2.9 (2.1, 3.7)**	839	**33.1 (32.0, 34.1)**
Scotland	Females	All	985 (974, 996)	953	**3.4 (2.2, 4.5)**	778	**26.6 (25.2, 28.0)**
Scotland	Males	All	1273 (1259, 1288)	1240	**2.6 (1.5, 3.8)**	877	**45.2 (43.6, 46.9)**
Scotland	Females	0-74y	347 (340, 354)	337	**3.1 (1.0, 5.2)**	264	**31.4 (28.8, 34.1)**
Scotland	Males	0-74y	514 (505, 523)	503	**2.2 (0.4, 4.0)**	318	**61.7 (58.9, 64.5)**
Scotland	Females	>74y	2628 (2584, 2672)	2510	**4.7 (2.9, 6.4)**	2115	**24.2 (22.1, 26.3)**
Scotland	Males	>74y	3648 (3592, 3705)	3496	**4.4 (2.7, 6.0)**	2440	**49.5 (47.2, 51.9)**
Scotland	Females	45-64y	446 (431, 460)	439	1.5 (-1.8, 4.9)	332	**34.4 (30.0, 38.8)**
Scotland	Males	45-64y	676	665	1.6	390	**73.4**

			(658, 695)		(-1.2, 4.5)		**(68.6, 78.2)**
Scotland	Females	25-44y	82	97	**-15.6**	82	-0.6
			(76, 88)		**(-21.9, -9.3)**		(-8.0, 6.9)
Scotland	Males	25-44y	147	181	**-18.6**	149	-1.3
			(139, 155)		**(-23.2, -13.9)**		(-6.9, 4.4)

Notes: *Obs. rate* are observed ASMRs. *Exp. rate, 12-19* and *Exp. rate, 01-10* are the expected ASMRs if linear trends from the period 2012-2019 and 2001-2010, respectively, had continued to 2022 (see dashed lines in Figure 1). *Excess* percentages use expected rates as denominators. Bold excess values indicate results where the 95% CI of relative excess excludes zero.



Figure 2 - Age-standardised all-cause mortality rates from 2001–2022 in the most and least deprived fifths of the population. Points are observed rates. Confidence intervals are included for observed rates but are so narrow as to be obscured by the points in most cases. Solid lines are trends fitted through 2001–2010 (pre-austerity) and 2012–2019 (austerity). Dashed lines are trends extrapolated to 2022.

Table 2a – Age-standardised all-cause mortality rates per 100,000 population per year, rate ratios, and relative excess in ASMRs in 2022 in the most and least deprived areas, assuming continuation of austerity-era (2012-2019) trends.

Nation	Sex	Deprivation	Obs. Rate (95% CI)	Rate Ratio	Exp. Rate	Excess % (95% CI)
England	Females	1	1117 (1108, 1127)	1.68	1112	0.5 (-0.4, 1.4)
England	Females	5	667 (661, 673)		618	**7.9 (6.9, 8.8)**
England	Males	1	1541 (1528, 1554)	1.75	1465	**5.2 (4.3, 6.1)**
England	Males	5	878 (871, 886)		809	**8.6 (7.7, 9.5)**
Scotland	Females	1	1324 (1294, 1356)	1.80	1311	1.0 (-1.4, 3.4)
Scotland	Females	5	735 (715, 756)		673	**9.3 (6.3, 12.4)**
Scotland	Males	1	1774 (1733, 1816)	1.94	1826	**-2.8 (-5.1, -0.5)**
Scotland	Males	5	915 (888, 942)		886	**3.2 (0.2, 6.3)**

Notes: *Deprivation* – 1 = most deprived areas, 5 = least deprived areas. *Exp. rate* is the expected ASMRs if linear trends from the period 2012-2019 had continued to 2022 (see dashed lines in Figure 2). *Excess* percentages are given using the expected rate point estimate as denominators only, ignoring the confidence intervals of the expected rates due to the limited number of datapoints which contribute to the models. Bold excess values indicate results where the 95% CI of the relative excess excludes zero.

Table 2b - Age-standardised all-cause mortality rates per 100,000 population per year, rate ratios, and relative excess in ASMRs in 2022 in the most and least deprived areas, assuming continuation of pre-austerity (2001-2010) trends.

Nation	Sex	Deprivation	Obs. Rate (95% CI)	Obs. Rate Ratio	Exp. Rate	Excess % (95% CI)
England	Females	1	1117 (1108, 1127)	1.68	855	**30.7 (29.6, 31.8)**
England	Females	5	667 (661, 673)		452 (376, 529)	**47.5 (46.2, 48.8)**
England	Males	1	1541 (1528, 1554)	1.75	1110	**38.8 (37.7, 40.0)**
England	Males	5	878 (871, 886)		543	**61.8 (60.4, 63.2)**
Scotland	Females	1	1324 (1294, 1356)	1.80	1122	**18.1 (15.3, 20.8)**
Scotland	Females	5	735 (715, 756)		533	**37.9 (34.1, 41.8)**
Scotland	Males	1	1774 (1733, 1816)	1.94	1359	**30.6 (27.5, 33.7)**
Scotland	Males	5	915 (888, 942)		608	**50.5 (46.1, 54.9)**

Table 3 - Relative excesses in observed age-standardised mortality rates per 100,000 population per year before and after excluding covid-19-related deaths in 2022, assuming continuation of austerity-era (2012-2019) trends.

Nation	Sex	Age Group	Excess % (95% CI)	Excess % excl. C19 (95% CI)	Reduction	Prop. C19 deaths (95% CI)
England	Persons	All	**5.6 (5.3, 5.9)**	**-0.5 (-0.8, -0.2)**	6.1	5.7 (5.7, 5.8)
England	Females	All	**4.4 (4.0, 4.8)**	**-1.1 (-1.5, -0.7)**	5.5	5.3 (5.3, 5.4)
England	Males	All	**7.2 (6.8, 7.6)**	**0.5 (0.1, 0.9)**	6.8	6.1 (6.1, 6.2)
England	Females	0-74y	**7.1 (6.3, 7.9)**	**2.5 (1.7, 3.3)**	4.6	5.3 (5.3, 5.4)
England	Males	0-74y	**8.8 (8.2, 9.5)**	**4.3 (3.6, 4.9)**	4.6	6.1 (6.1, 6.2)
England	Females	>74y	**6.6 (6.0, 7.2)**	**1.1 (0.4, 1.7)**	5.6	5.3 (5.3, 5.4)
England	Males	>74y	**7.5 (6.9, 8.1)**	**1.2 (0.6, 1.7)**	6.3	6.1 (6.1, 6.2)
England	Females	45-64y	**6.0 (4.7, 7.2)**	**1.8 (0.5, 3.0)**	4.2	5.3 (5.3, 5.4)
England	Males	45-64y	**7.4 (6.3, 8.5)**	**3.6 (2.6, 4.7)**	3.8	6.1 (6.1, 6.2)
England	Females	25-44y	**3.2 (0.4, 6.0)**	-0.1 (-2.9, 2.6)	3.3	5.3 (5.3, 5.4)
England	Males	25-44y	**4.6 (2.4, 6.7)**	1.9 (-0.2, 4.0)	2.6	6.1 (6.1, 6.2)
Scotland	Persons	All	**2.9 (2.1, 3.7)**	**-3.5 (-4.3, -2.7)**	6.5	6.2 (6.1, 6.4)
Scotland	Females	All	**3.4 (2.2, 4.5)**	**-2.8 (-3.9, -1.6)**	6.1	6.0 (5.7, 6.3)
Scotland	Males	All	**2.6 (1.5, 3.8)**	**-4.3 (-5.4, -3.2)**	6.9	6.5 (6.2, 6.8)
Scotland	Females	0-74y	**3.1 (1.0, 5.2)**	**-1.8 (-3.9, 0.2)**	4.9	6.0 (5.7, 6.3)
Scotland	Males	0-74y	**2.2 (0.4, 3.9)**	**-2.4 (-4.1, -0.6)**	4.5	6.5 (6.2, 6.8)
Scotland	Females	>74y	**4.7 (2.9, 6.4)**	**-1.8 (-3.5, -0.1)**	6.5	6.0 (5.7, 6.3)
Scotland	Males	>74y	**4.4 (2.7, 6.0)**	**-2.3 (-3.9, -0.7)**	6.7	6.5 (6.2, 6.8)
Scotland	Females	45-64y	1.5 (-1.8, 4.9)	-2.2 (-5.5, 1.1)	3.8	6.0 (5.7, 6.3)
Scotland	Males	45-64y	1.6	-2.4	4.0	6.5

			(-1.2, 4.5)	(-5.1, 0.4)		(6.2, 6.8)
Scotland	Females	25-44y	**-15.6**	**-18.6**	2.9	6.0
			(-21.9, -9.3)	**(-24.7, -12.3)**		(5.7, 6.3)
Scotland	Males	25-44y	**-18.6**	**-20.2**	1.5	6.5
			(-23.2, -13.9)	**(-24.7, -15.5)**		(6.2, 6.8)

Notes: *Excess* percentages are given using the point estimates of the expected rates as denominators. Bold excess values indicate results with 95% CI excluding zero. *Reduction* is the reduction in excess in percentage points after excluding covid-19-related deaths. *Proportion C19 deaths* is the proportion of deaths in the age-sex subgroup where covid-19 was mentioned on the death certificate. Absolute rates underlying the excess percentages reported here are presented in Table A3.

DISCUSSION

The findings reinforce concerns that mortality remains elevated after the peak years of the covid-19 pandemic in the UK, even relative to changed mortality trends due to austerity. Part of the excess is due to high covid-19 deaths in 2022, as seen elsewhere in Europe.[39–42] However, trends from 2012 to 2019 should not be accepted as normal. The change in mortality trends due to austerity was associated with an estimated 879,430 excess deaths between 2013-2022, comparable to the total number of British military deaths during World War One.[43] Although the deaths discussed in this study affected all parts of society, austerity has disproportionately harmed people in the most disadvantaged areas. Reversing austerity is crucial to mitigate the dramatic worsening of mortality trends seen since 2010.

This study's findings broadly align with previous research employing different methods and time periods, while addressing limitations such as reliance on crude mortality or inappropriate baseline periods that ignore important context around changing mortality trends. Pizzato and colleagues observed relative excess mortality of 5.0% in England and 5.2% in Scotland in 2022 using Poisson regression models fitted to mortality data from 2010–2019, compared to 5.6% and 2.9% in the present study.[44] Pérez-Reche used linear regression on UK crude mortality rates from 2012–2019 to predict rates for 2022 and 2023.[45] In most age groups aged 30 or over, observed excesses were significant despite uncertainty in expected rates. Although the methodologies differed, with this study using ASMRs, both studies found that the largest excesses occurred in older working age and older adults. In contrast, younger working age adults experienced more adverse trends during 2012–2019, while older groups still showed modest annual improvements.

Although international comparisons should be made cautiously due to varying pandemic progressions, higher-than-expected mortality in 2022 has been reported across Europe and worldwide.[5,39,41,42,46] Walkowiak, Domaradzki, and Walkowiak reported post-pandemic relative excess mortality in a selection of European countries up to the end of 2022.[39] They found that post-pandemic excess was negatively associated with peri-pandemic excess, ranging from around -3% in Romania to 10% in Norway, with all included Western European countries showing relative excesses of 2.5–10%. Kuhbandner and Reitzner estimated a 6.6% excess mortality in Germany for 2022, comparable to that observed in England in this study.[42] Using linear regression on 2012–2019 data, they found the 6.6% excess far exceeded predictions, even after accounting for uncertainty due to annual mortality variations. In Norway, Raknes and colleagues extrapolated all-cause ASMRs from 2010–2019 to 2022 and reported a relative excess of 13.7%, notably higher than in England and Scotland in this study.[41] The proportion of all deaths where covid-19 was mentioned on the death certificate was, however, similar in England, Scotland, and Norway (5.7%, 6.2%, and 6.3% respectively). In line with internation results, expected ASMRs based on austerity-era trends in our study indicate that covid-19 accounts for a substantial portion of the observed excess mortality in England and Scotland in 2022. However, any excess mortality explained by covid-19 is dwarfed by the excess related to the change in mortality trends following the imposition of austerity which continues to accumulate even after achieving substantial control over covid-19 mortality.

Our comparisons of recent observed ASMRs with long-term trend predictions add to and update a substantial evidence base documenting extraordinary changes in UK mortality rates since the early 2010s. These changes largely result from UK Government austerity measures implemented from 2010 onward.[19–29] UK Government spending was reduced by around £540 billion between 2010 and 2019, involving large cuts to social security and vital public services (via reductions in local government funding), which have profoundly harmed the health of the poorest and most vulnerable in society.[19] The causal pathways are well established, linking increased poverty, loss of support services, stress, poor mental health, and adverse behavioural 'coping mechanisms'.

Strengths and limitations

One strength of this study is its use of population-wide data for England and Scotland over two decades. Standardising ASMRs to the 2013 European Standard Population overcomes the shortcomings of raw death counts, which do not account for population changes or varying deprivation group structures. ASMRs also address the limitations of crude mortality rates that fail to adjust for changes in age and sex structure over time or between countries. Predicting expected ASMRs using austerity-era trends yields a more realistic estimate than relying on simple averages from previous years.

This study also has limitations. ASMRs vary year-to-year, and this study did not account for that variability when defining excess mortality. Fitting linear regression models to only 11 years of data introduces uncertainty, especially when extrapolating 12 years beyond the fitting period, as it assumes a stable long-term trend that may not capture underlying fluctuations or structural changes. Using area-level deprivation measures yields smaller inequality gradients than individual measures due to substantial misclassification.[47] These indices include health outcomes which potentially introduces endogeneity, though its impact on summary measures is minimal.[35,36] Defining a direct covid-19 death as any death mentioning covid-19 rather than as the underlying cause may distort estimates of excess mortality from other causes. For example, in Scotland fewer than 60% of what we considered covid-19 deaths in 2022 listed it as the underlying cause. However, many direct covid-19 deaths are also attributable to austerity as highlighted in the ongoing public enquiry, making their exclusion from austerity-era excess mortality estimates highly conservative.[48]

Conclusions

This study showed that mortality in 2022 remained higher than expected in England and Scotland, exceeding predictions based on both pre-pandemic austerity-era and pre-austerity trends. Our work overcomes key methodological limitations of earlier research and reveals that austerity has disproportionately impacted the most socioeconomically disadvantaged groups. The findings suggest that 879,430 excess deaths occurred between 2013 and 2022 in these nations due to changes in mortality trends linked to austerity. Although some excess mortality in 2022 is attributable to direct covid-19 deaths, the dramatic ASMR changes since the early 2010s account for far more excess deaths yet these changes receive far less attention. Numerous studies have linked these changes to austerity. Improving UK mortality rates requires reversing the austerity-driven policies that underpin these trends, especially for those experiencing the most severe socioeconomic disadvantages.

Acknowledgments

We thank National Records of Scotland for providing the mortality data and the Public Health Scotland Mortality Special Interest Group for their valuable feedback on the analysis.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data are available upon request from the Office of National Statistics and National Records of Scotland.

REFERENCES

1 Raleigh V. What is driving excess deaths in England and Wales? *BMJ*. 2022;379:o2524. doi: 10.1136/bmj.o2524

2 National Records of Scotland. Monthly Mortality Analysis, Scotland, December 2023. National Records of Scotland 2023.

3 Office for National Statistics. Estimating excess deaths in the UK, methodology changes - Office for National Statistics. Office for National Statistics 2024.

4 O'Dowd A. Covid-19: UK death rate is still higher than before pandemic. *BMJ*. 2023;383:p2371. doi: 10.1136/bmj.p2371

5 Mostert S, Hoogland M, Huibers M, *et al.* Excess mortality across countries in the Western World since the COVID-19 pandemic: 'Our World in Data' estimates of January 2020 to December 2022. *BMJ Public Health*. 2024;2. doi: 10.1136/bmjph-2023-000282

6 OECD. Methodological Note – All-cause, Excess and COVID-19 deaths. OECD 2023.

7 Office for National Statistics. Excess deaths in England and Wales: March 2020 to December 2022. 2023. https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/articles/excessdeathsinenglandandwalesmarch2020todecember2022/2023-03-09 (accessed 11 June 2024)

8 Walsh D, Dundas R, McCartney G, *et al.* Bearing the burden of austerity: how do changing mortality rates in the UK compare between men and women? *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2022;76:1027–33. doi: 10.1136/jech-2022-219645

9 Fenton L, Minton J, Ramsay J, *et al.* Recent adverse mortality trends in Scotland: comparison with other high-income countries. *BMJ Open*. 2019;9:e029936. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029936

10 Hiam L, Dorling D, Harrison D, *et al.* Why has mortality in England and Wales been increasing? An iterative demographic analysis. *J R Soc Med*. 2017;110:153–62. doi: 10.1177/0141076817693599

11 Minton J, Fletcher E, Ramsay J, *et al.* How bad are life expectancy trends across the UK, and what would it take to get back to previous trends? *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2020;jech-2020-213870. doi: 10.1136/jech-2020-213870

12 Public Health England. A review of recent trends in mortality in England. Public Health England 2018.

13 McCartney G, Walsh D, Fenton L, *et al.* Resetting the course for population health: evidence and recommendations to address stalled mortality improvements in Scotland and the rest of the UK. Glasgow: Glasgow Centre for Population Health/University of Glasgow 2022.

14 Fenton L, Wyper GMA, McCartney G, *et al.* Socioeconomic inequality in recent adverse all-cause mortality trends in Scotland. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2019;73:971–4. doi: 10.1136/jech-2019-212300

15 Minton J, Vanderbloemen L, Dorling D. Visualizing Europe's demographic scars with coplots and contour plots. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2013;42:1164–76. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyt115

16 Office for National Statistics. Mortality in England and Wales: past and projected trends in average lifespan. 2022. https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/lifeexpectancies/articles/mortalityinenglandandwales/pastandprojectedtrendsinaveragelifespan (accessed 15 May 2024)

17 Ramsay J, Minton J, Fischbacher C, *et al.* How have changes in death by cause and age group contributed to the recent stalling of life expectancy gains in Scotland? Comparative decomposition analysis of mortality data, 2000–2002 to 2015–2017. *BMJ Open*. 2020;10:e036529. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-036529

18 Bennett JE, Pearson-Stuttard J, Kontis V, *et al.* Contributions of diseases and injuries to widening life expectancy inequalities in England from 2001 to 2016: a population-based analysis of vital registration data. *Lancet Public Health*. 2018;3:e586–97. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(18)30214-7

19 Walsh D, McCartney G. *Social Murder?* Bristol: Bristol University Press 2024.

20 Alexiou A, Fahy K, Mason K, *et al.* Local government funding and life expectancy in England: a longitudinal ecological study. *Lancet Public Health*. 2021;6:e641–7. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(21)00110-9

21 Beckfield J, Bambra C. Shorter lives in stingier states: Social policy shortcomings help explain the US mortality disadvantage. *Soc Sci Med*. 2016;171:30–8. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2016.10.017

22 Loopstra R, McKee M, Katikireddi SV, *et al.* Austerity and old-age mortality in England: a longitudinal cross-local area analysis, 2007–2013. *J R Soc Med*. 2016;109:109–16. doi: 10.1177/0141076816632215

23 Martin S, Longo F, Lomas J, *et al.* Causal impact of social care, public health and healthcare expenditure on mortality in England: cross-sectional evidence for 2013/2014. *BMJ Open*. 2021;11:e046417. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-046417

24 McCartney G, McMaster R, Popham F, *et al.* Is austerity a cause of slower improvements in mortality in high-income countries? A panel analysis. *Soc Sci Med*. 2022;313:115397. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2022.115397

25 Prędkiewicz P, Bem A, Siedlecki R, *et al.* An impact of economic slowdown on health. New evidence from 21 European countries. *BMC Public Health*. 2022;22:1405. doi: 10.1186/s12889-022-13740-6

26 Rajmil L, Fernández de Sanmamed M-J. Austerity Policies and Mortality Rates in European Countries, 2011–2015. *Am J Public Health*. 2019;109:768–70. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2019.304997

27 Seaman R, Walsh D, Beatty C, *et al.* Social security cuts and life expectancy: a longitudinal analysis of local authorities in England, Scotland and Wales. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2024;78:82–7. doi: 10.1136/jech-2023-220328

28 Toffolutti V, Suhrcke M. Does austerity really kill? *Econ Hum Biol*. 2019;33:211–23. doi: 10.1016/j.ehb.2019.03.002

29 Watkins J, Wulaningsih W, Zhou CD, *et al.* Effects of health and social care spending constraints on mortality in England: a time trend analysis. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7:e017722. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-017722

30 Scott F, McCartney G, Walsh D, *et al.* Explanations for higher-than-expected all-cause mortality from April 2021: A scoping review. *Public Health*. 2024;238:73–82. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2024.10.010

31 Benchimol EI, Smeeth L, Guttmann A, *et al.* The REporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD) Statement. *PLOS Med*. 2015;12:e1001885. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001885

32 Office for National Statistics. Death registrations and populations by sex, age and IMD quintile, England and Wales: 2001 to 2020 - Office for National Statistics. 2023. https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/adhocs/1324deathregistrationsandpopulationsbysexageandimdquintileenglandandwales2001to2020 (accessed 22 May 2024)

33 Office for National Statistics. Deaths registered weekly in England and Wales, provisional. 2024. https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/datasets/weeklyprovisionalfiguresondeathsregisteredinenglandandwales (accessed 22 May 2024)

34 Walsh D, McCartney G, Minton J, *et al.* Changing mortality trends in countries and cities of the UK: a population-based trend analysis. *BMJ Open*. 2020;10:e038135. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-038135

35 Bradford DRR, Allik M, McMahon AD, *et al.* Assessing the risk of endogeneity bias in health and mortality inequalities research using composite measures of multiple deprivation which include health-related indicators: A case study using the Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation and population health and mortality data. *Health Place*. 2023;80:102998. doi: 10.1016/j.healthplace.2023.102998

36 McCartney G, Hoggett R, Walsh D, *et al.* How important is it to avoid indices of deprivation that include health variables in analyses of health inequalities? *Public Health*. 2023;221:175–80. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2023.06.028

37 R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. 2022.

38 Sebastian F, Matthew F, Paul F, *et al. R Package 'PHEindicatormethods' manual*. 2020.

39 Walkowiak MP, Domaradzki J, Walkowiak D. Unmasking the COVID-19 pandemic prevention gains: excess mortality reversal in 2022. *Public Health*. 2023;223:193–201. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2023.08.004

40 Burström B, Hemström Ö, Doheny M, *et al.* The aftermath of COVID-19: Mortality impact of the pandemic on older persons in Sweden and other Nordic countries, 2020–2023. *Scand J Public Health*. 2024;14034948241253339. doi: 10.1177/14034948241253339

41 Raknes G, Fagerås SJ, Sveen KA, *et al.* Excess non-COVID-19 mortality in Norway 2020–2022. *BMC Public Health*. 2024;24:1–13. doi: 10.1186/s12889-023-17515-5

42 Kuhbandner C, Reitzner M. Estimation of Excess Mortality in Germany During 2020-2022. *Cureus*. 2023;15:e39371. doi: 10.7759/cureus.39371

43 Thompson G, Hawkins O, Dar A, *et al.* The Fallen: Military strength and deaths in combat. *Olympic Britain: Social and economic change since the 1908 and 1948 London Games*. London: House of Commons .

44 Pizzato M, Gerli AG, Vecchia CL, *et al.* Impact of COVID-19 on total excess mortality and geographic disparities in Europe, 2020–2023: a spatio-temporal analysis. *Lancet Reg Health – Eur*. 2024;44. doi: 10.1016/j.lanepe.2024.100996

45 Pérez-Reche FJ. Age-specific all-cause mortality trends in the UK: Pre-pandemic increases and the complex impact of COVID-19. *Public Health*. 2024;236:361–4. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2024.09.007

46 Hajdu T, Krekó J, Tóth CG. Inequalities in regional excess mortality and life expectancy during the COVID-19 pandemic in Europe. *Sci Rep*. 2024;14:3835. doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-54366-5

47 McCartney G, Hoggett R, Walsh D, *et al.* How well do area-based deprivation indices identify income- and employment-deprived individuals across Great Britain today? *Public Health*. 2023;217:22–5. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2023.01.020

48 Bambra C, Marmot M. Expert Report for the UK Covid-19 Public Inquiry - Module 1: Health Inequalities. 2023.

49 UK Health Security Agency. COVID-19. UKHSA Data Dashboard. https://ukhsa-dashboard.data.gov.uk/topics/covid-19 (accessed 11 June 2024)

APPENDIX

Index of Multiple Deprivation versions

Table A1a – Alignment of mortality data years with the corresponding versions of the England (and Wales) Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD)

Mortality data	IMD version
2001-05	2004
2006-08	2007
2009-12	2010
2013-17	2015
2018-22	2019

Table A1b – Alignment of mortality data years with the corresponding versions of the Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation (SIMD)

Mortality data	SIMD version
2001-04	2004
2005-07	2006
2008-10	2009
2011-13	2012
2014-17	2016
2018-22	2020v2

Excess mortality by age subgroup



Figure A1a – Excess mortality across age subgroups in females based on expected ASMRs predicted by trends from austerity (2012-2019) and pre-austerity (2001-2010 eras.



Figure A1b – Relative excess mortality across age subgroups in females based on expected ASMRs predicted by trends from austerity (2012-2019) and pre-austerity (2001-2010) eras.

Figure A1c – Excess mortality across age subgroups in males based on expected ASMRs predicted by trends from austerity (2012-2019) and pre-austerity (2001-2010)



Figure A1d – Relative excess mortality across age subgroups in males based on expected ASMRs predicted by trends from austerity (2012-2019) and pre-austerity (200 2010) eras.

Mortality by deprivation level



Figure A2 - Age-standardised mortality rates from 2001–2022 by deprivation level. 1 = most deprived, 5 = least deprived. Points are observed rates. Solid lines are trends fitted through 2001–2010 (pre-austerity) and 2012–2019 (austerity imposed). Dashed lines are trends projected to 2022. Confidence intervals are included for observed rates but are so narrow as to be obscured by the points in most cases.

Table A2a – Age-standardised all-cause mortality rates, rate ratios, absolute excess in ASMRs, and relative excess in ASMRs in 2022 by fifths of deprivation, assuming continuation of austerity era (2012-2019) trends.

Nation	Sex	Deprivation	Obs. Rate (95% CI)	Rate Ratio	Exp. Rate	Excess % (95% CI)
England	Females	1	1117 (1108, 1127)	1.68	1112	0.5 (-0.4, 1.4)
England	Females	2	924 (916, 932)	1.39	889	4.0 (3.1, 4.8)
England	Females	3	808 (801, 815)	1.21	778	3.9 (3.1, 4.8)
England	Females	4	749 (743, 756)	1.12	710	5.6 (4.7, 6.4)
England	Females	5	667 (661, 673)		618	7.9 (6.9, 8.8)
England	Males	1	1541 (1528, 1554)	1.75	1465	5.2 (4.3, 6.1)
England	Males	2	1249 (1238, 1259)	1.42	1184	5.4 (4.5, 6.3)
England	Males	3	1088 (1079, 1097)	1.24	1002	8.6 (7.7, 9.5)
England	Males	4	992 (984, 1000)	1.13	919	8.0 (7.1, 8.9)
England	Males	5	878 (871, 886)		809	8.6 (7.7, 9.5)
Scotland	Females	1	1324 (1294, 1356)	1.80	1311	1.0 (-1.4, 3.4)
Scotland	Females	2	1118 (1091, 1144)	1.52	1066	4.8 (2.4, 7.3)
Scotland	Females	3	965 (942, 989)	1.31	962	0.4 (-2.0, 2.9)
Scotland	Females	4	845 (823, 868)	1.15	809	4.5 (1.8, 7.3)
Scotland	Females	5	735 (715, 756)		673	9.3 (6.3, 12.4)
Scotland	Males	1	1774 (1733, 1816)	1.94	1826	-2.8 (-5.1, -0.5)
Scotland	Males	2	1470 (1435, 1506)	1.61	1423	3.4 (0.9, 5.9)
Scotland	Males	3	1255 (1224, 1286)	1.37	1189	5.6 (3.0, 8.2)
Scotland	Males	4	1062 (1034, 1091)	1.16	999	6.3 (3.5, 9.2)
Scotland	Males	5	915		886	3.2

			(888, 942)	(0.2, 6.3)

Notes: *Depr.* 1 = most deprived areas, 5 = least deprived areas. Rates are per 100,000 population. *Rate ratio* is the ratio of observed ASMR compared to the appropriate least deprived fifth of areas. *Exp. rate* is the expected ASMRs if linear trends from the period 2012-2019 had continued to 2022 (exemplified by dashed lines in Figures 1, 2, and A2). *Excess* percentages are given using the expected rates as denominators. Bold excess values indicate results where the 95% CI of the relative excess excludes zero.

Table A2b – Age-standardised all-cause mortality rates, rate ratios, absolute excess in ASMRs, and relative excess in ASMRs in 2022 by fifths of deprivation, assuming continuation of austerity era (2001-2010) trends.

Nation	Sex	Deprivation	Obs. Rate (95% CI)	Rate Ratio	Exp. Rate	Excess % (95% CI)
England	Females	1	1117 (1108, 1127)	1.68	855	30.7 (29.6, 31.8)
England	Females	2	924 (916, 932)	1.39	687	34.6 (33.4, 35.7)
England	Females	3	808 (801, 815)	1.21	593	36.2 (35.1, 37.4)
England	Females	4	749 (743, 756)	1.12	551	36.1 (35.0, 37.3)
England	Females	5	667 (661, 673)		452	47.5 (46.2, 48.8)
England	Males	1	1541 (1528, 1554)	1.75	1110	38.8 (37.7, 40.0)
England	Males	2	1249 (1238, 1259)	1.42	839	48.7 (47.5, 50.0)
England	Males	3	1088 (1079, 1097)	1.24	697	56.0 (54.7, 57.3)
England	Males	4	992 (984, 1000)	1.13	593	67.4 (66.0, 68.8)
England	Males	5	878 (871, 886)		543	61.8 (60.4, 63.2)
Scotland	Females	1	1324 (1294, 1356)	1.80	1122	18.1 (15.3, 20.8)
Scotland	Females	2	1118 (1091, 1144)	1.52	847	32.0 (28.9, 35.2)
Scotland	Females	3	965 (942, 989)	1.31	838	15.2 (12.4, 18.0)
Scotland	Females	4	845 (823, 868)	1.15	609	38.9 (35.2, 42.5)
Scotland	Females	5	735 (715, 756)		533	37.9 (34.1, 41.8)
Scotland	Males	1	1774 (1733, 1816)	1.94	1359	30.6 (27.5, 33.7)
Scotland	Males	2	1470 (1435, 1506)	1.61	961	53.0 (49.4, 56.8)
Scotland	Males	3	1255 (1224, 1286)	1.37	922	36.1 (32.8, 39.5)
Scotland	Males	4	1062 (1034, 1091)	1.16	663	60.3 (56.0, 64.7)
Scotland	Males	5	915		608	50.5

(888, 942) (46.1, 54.9)

Excluding covid-19-related deaths

Table A3 - Age-standardised mortality rates before and after excluding covid-19-related deaths in 2022, supporting Table 3.

Nation	*Sex*	*Age group*	*Exp. rate (95% CI)*	*Obs. rate (95% CI)*	*Obs. rate exc. C19 (95% CI)*
England	Persons	All	911	961 (959, 964)	**906 (904, 909)**
England	Females	All	796	831 (828, 835)	**787 (784, 791)**
England	Males	All	1042	1118 (1114, 1122)	**1047 (1043, 1051)**
England	Females	0-74y	251	269 (267, 271)	**258 (256, 260)**
England	Males	0-74y	386	420 (417, 422)	**402 (400, 405)**
England	Females	>74y	1999	2131 (2118, 2144)	**2020 (2008, 2032)**
England	Males	>74y	2867	3082 (3066, 3099)	**2901 (2885, 2917)**
England	Females	45-64y	325	344 (340, 348)	**330 (326, 334)**
England	Males	45-64y	500	537 (532, 542)	**518 (513, 523)**
England	Females	24-44y	55	56 (55, 58)	**55 (53, 56)**
England	Males	24-44y	98	103 (100, 105)	**100 (98, 102)**
Scotland	Persons	All	1084	1116 (1107, 1125)	**1046 (1038, 1055)**
Scotland	Females	All	953	985 (974, 996)	**926 (916, 937)**
Scotland	Males	All	1240	1273 (1259, 1288)	**1187 (1173, 1201)**
Scotland	Females	0-74y	337	347 (340, 354)	**331 (324, 337)**
Scotland	Males	0-74y	503	514 (505, 523)	**491 (483, 500)**
Scotland	Females	>74y	2510	2628 (2584, 2672)	**2465 (2423, 2508)**
Scotland	Males	>74y	3496	3648 (3592, 3705)	**3415 (3360, 3470)**
Scotland	Females	45-64y	439	446 (431, 460)	**429 (415, 444)**
Scotland	Males	45-64y	665	676 (658, 695)	**650 (631, 668)**

Scotland	Females	24-44y	97	82 (76, 88)	79 (73, 85)
Scotland	Males	24-44y	181	147 (139, 155)	144 (136, 152)

Notes: *Exp. rate* is the expected ASMR per 100,000 population assuming the continuation of linear trends from the period 2012-2019 to 2022 (exemplified by dashed line Figures 1, 2, and A2). *Obs. rate* is the observed ASMR per 100,000 population and *Obs. rate exc. C19* is the ASMR per 100,000 population where deaths were removed the numerator if covid-19 was mentioned on the death certificate. Bold figures in the *Obs. rate exc. C19* column indicate this rate is significantly lower than the observed a cause ASMR (*Obs. rate*).



Figure A3 - Age-standardised mortality rates from 2010–2022 for all-cause mortality and all-cause excluding cases where covid-19 was mentioned on the death certificate. Points are observed rates. Square points are observed rates excluding covid-19 deaths. Solid lines are trends fitted through 2001–2010 (pre-austerity). Dashed lines are trends projected to 2022. Confidence intervals are included for observed rates but are so narrow as to be obscured by the points in most cases.

Estimating absolute excess death counts



Figure A4 – Mean fractional population distribution by five-year age group for 2013-2022 (inclusive) in England and Scotland compared to the European Standard Population 2013. Shows face validity of multiplying national population by mortality rates standardised to the European Standard Population to estimate absolute deaths.

Numerical example of excess deaths counts – Females in England in 2020

- Observed deaths = 280,958 (presented in column *Deaths* in the tables below)
- Observed ESP2013-standardised rate * population = (888.357 /100,000 * 28,567,320) = 253,779.8 (presented in column *Obs. rate * pop*)
- ESP2013 correction factor = 280,958 / 253,779.8 = 1.107094 (presented in column *Ratio*)
- Expected deaths in 2020 based on 2001-2010 trend continuing = Expected rate * population * ESP2013 correction factor
  = 644.6 * 28,567,320 * 1.107094 = 203,858 (presented in column *Exp. deaths (scaled)*)
- Excess deaths = observed deaths – covid19 deaths – expected deaths
  = 280,958 – 35,483 – 203,857.7 = 41,618 (allowing for rounding in the ESP2013 correction factor and expected deaths; presented in column *Excess deaths*)

Table A4a - Estimates of absolute excess female deaths in England in 2013-2022 had 2001-2010 trends had continued.

Year	Deaths	Obs. rate * pop.	Ratio	C19 deaths	Deaths exc. C19	Exp. deaths (unscaled)	Exp. deaths (scaled)	Excess deaths
2013	244261	231129	1.06	0	244261	217360	229710	14551
2014	239759	224933	1.07	0	239759	213113	227160	12599
2015	254892	237901	1.07	0	254892	208791	223703	31189
2016	250070	232651	1.07	0	250070	204351	219651	30419
2017	253418	233629	1.08	0	253418	199545	216447	36971
2018	255847	234846	1.09	0	255847	194662	212070	43777
2019	248476	225493	1.1	0	248476	189567	208888	39588
2020	280958	253780	1.11	35483	245475	184138	203858	41618
2021	270176	247738	1.09	31736	238440	179775	196057	42383
2022	266754	242120	1.1	14260	252494	175182	193005	59489
Totals	*2564611*	-	-	*81479*	-	*1966484*	*2130549*	*352584*

Deaths = observed deaths, *Obs. rate * pop.* = observed ESP2013-standardised * population, *Ratio* = correction factor used to adjust for differences in age distribution between population under observation and ESP2013 notional population, *C19 deaths* = observed deaths where covid-19 was mentioned on the death certificate, *Deaths exc. C19* = observed deaths excluding deaths where covid-19 was noted on the death certificate, *Exp. deaths (unscaled)* = expected ESP2013-standardised rate multiplied by population, *Exp. deaths (scaled)* = expected ESP2013-standardised rate multiplied by population multiplied by ESP2013 correction factor (Ratio), *Excess deaths* = observed deaths – C19 deaths – Exp. deaths (scale)

Table A4b – Estimates of absolute excess male deaths in England in 2013-2022 had 2001-2010 trends had continued.

Year	Deaths	Obs. * pop.	Ratio	C19 deaths	Deaths exc. C19	Exp. deaths (unscaled)	Exp. deaths (scaled)	Excess deaths
2013	229291	298127	0.77	0	229291	277399	213349	15942
2014	229118	291933	0.78	0	229118	269983	211891	17227
2015	240417	303484	0.79	0	240417	262554	207992	32425
2016	240721	299171	0.8	0	240721	255080	205244	35477
2017	245465	299987	0.82	0	245465	246584	201768	43697
2018	250012	300954	0.83	0	250012	238013	197725	52287
2019	247894	292306	0.85	0	247894	229081	194275	53619
2020	288742	337880	0.85	43793	244949	219992	187998	56950
2021	279173	322263	0.87	37926	241247	207376	179648	61599
2022	273579	312776	0.87	16808	256771	199265	174293	82477
-	*2524412*	-	-	*98527*	-	*2405327*	*1974183*	*451700*

Table A4c - Estimates of absolute excess female deaths in Scotland in 2013-2022 had 2001-2010 trends had continued.

Year	Deaths	Obs. * pop.	Ratio	C19 deaths	Deaths exc. C19	Exp. deaths (unscaled)	Exp. deaths (scaled)	Excess deaths

2013	28127	27061	1.04	0	28127	26341	27378	749
2014	27869	26437	1.05	0	27869	25876	27278	591
2015	29485	27861	1.06	0	29485	25422	26904	2581
2016	28938	27184	1.06	0	28938	24992	26605	2333
2017	29896	27755	1.08	0	29896	24491	26381	3515
2018	29452	27149	1.08	0	29452	23967	26000	3452
2019	29508	26834	1.1	0	29508	23491	25832	3676
2020	31947	28843	1.11	3344	28603	22926	25392	3211
2021	31719	28399	1.12	2686	29033	22408	25028	4005
2022	31085	27590	1.13	1863	29222	21788	24548	4674
-	*298026*	*-*	*-*	*7893*	*-*	*241702*	*261346*	*28787*

Table A4d - Estimates of absolute excess male deaths in Scotland in 2013-2022 had 2001-2010 trends had continued.

Year	Deaths	Obs. * pop.	Ratio	C19 deaths	Deaths exc. C19	Exp. deaths (unscaled)	Exp. deaths (scaled)	Excess deaths
2013	26033	33732	0.77	0	26033	32202	24852	1181
2014	26094	33120	0.79	0	26094	31264	24632	1462
2015	27639	34749	0.8	0	27639	30363	24151	3488
2016	27659	34034	0.81	0	27659	29486	23963	3696
2017	28342	34448	0.82	0	28342	28549	23488	4854
2018	28253	33674	0.84	0	28253	27556	23120	5133
2019	28259	33063	0.85	0	28259	26615	22748	5511
2020	32105	37088	0.87	3496	28609	25546	22114	6495
2021	31617	35879	0.88	3006	28611	24523	21610	7001
2022	30599	33695	0.91	1989	28610	23202	21070	7540
-	*286600*	*-*	*-*	*8491*	*-*	*279306*	*231748*	*46361*

Distribution of excess deaths by deprivation level



Figure A5a – Distribution of total estimated excess deaths by deprivation and sex in England. Cumulative totals over 2013—2022 inclusive.



Figure A5b – Distribution of total estimated excess deaths by deprivation and sex in Scotland. Cumulative totals over 2013—2022 inclusive.

Sensitivity analysis – Start year



Figure A6 – Differences in relative excess mortality values across combinations of age group and sex when using 2011 or 2013 as the start year for linear regression mo compared to using 2012.

Covid-19 infections in England in 2022



Figure A7 – Weekly deaths in England by sex in 2022 (left vertical axis) and proportion of sequenced covid-19 samples by strain (right vertical axis) [49]


England
Scotland
ASMR per 100,000 pop. per year
2500
2000
1500
1000
500
0
2000
2005
2010
2015
2020
Year
Austerity
Pre-austerity
Sex
Females
Males


England
Scotland
Females
Males
ASMR per 100,000 pop. per year
Year
Deprivation
Most
Least
0
500
1000
1500
2000
2500
2000
2005
2010
2015
2020