ETHNICITY, DEPRIVATION, AND CHILDHOOD MORTALITY IN ENGLAND: A COHORT STUDY

David Odd MD[1,2], Joanna Garstang PhD[2,3], Tom Williams BSc[2], Sylvia Stoianova MSc[2], Karen Luyt PhD[2*]

1. School of Medicine, Division of Population Medicine, Cardiff University, UK

2. National Child Mortality Database, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, St Michael's Hospital, Southwell Street, Bristol, UK

3. School of Nursing and Midwifery, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

* Corresponding author

Prof Karen Luyt

National Child Mortality Database, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, St Michael's Hospital, Southwell Street, Bristol, UK

Email: Karen.Luyt@bristol.ac.uk

Telephone: +441173425439

Key Words: Equity, diversity, and inclusion/Health equity, Epidemiology/Epidemiology, Public health/Public health, pediatrics, child health

Word Count: 2989

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To investigate the relationship between childhood mortality (deaths before 18 years of age) and the ethnicity of the child; and how this is related to local measures of deprivation.

POPULATION: All child deaths in England, born at, or over, a gestation of 22 weeks, from April 1, 2019, to March 31, 2023, notified to the NCMD

DESIGN: Characteristics and death categories were compared by ethnicity, and the rate of death was calculated using a Poisson distribution. Incident Rate Ratios (IRRs) were derived using White children as a baseline, adjusting for deprivation, age, sex, region of England, and area type.

MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES: Rate of death between April 2019 and March 2023.

RESULTS: Over the 48 months, a total of 12,142 eligible deaths were reported to the NCMD. After adjusting for confounders, children from Asian (IRR 2.37 (2.26-2.49), Black (IRR 3.20 (2.99-3.43)) and Other ethnicities (IRR 1.74 (1.56-1.94), but not Mixed backgrounds (IRR 1.06 (0.98-1.14)), had a higher rate of death than White children.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE: In England, children from Asian or Asian British, or Black or Black British backgrounds had the highest rate of death before their 18th birthday, and around 1 in 6 deaths in England would be avoided if all children had the rate of death experienced by White children. However, the relationship between ethnicity and the local environment (esp. deprivation and urban status) was profound, and further work is needed to identify how to best target interventions to reduce the overall inequalities seen.

INTRODUCTION

Each year in England around 3400 children die before the age of 18 years[1]. However, currently England has one of the highest infant[2,3] and child[4] mortality rates in Europe with substantial variation in mortality linked to socioeconomic factors.[5,6]

While reducing inequity in child mortality should be a national priority, the reasons for child death in high-income countries are complex[7], and further knowledge is needed to implement effective prevention and supportive strategies for those most at risk. The links between social measures of inequality and poor health outcomes are well recognised, but complex.[8,9] Indeed, while around 65% of the child population are of a white ethnicity, there is substantial variation in the patterns across the regions of England, with additional correlations with local deprivation, making interpretation challenging[10,11], and interventions difficult to design[8,9].

Recent work has suggested that more than one-fifth of child deaths in England might be avoided if children living in the most deprived areas had the same risk of those living in the least deprived areas[12], with infant mortality rates higher for Black, Asian and mixed ethnicity infants compared to white British infants[13-15]. Understanding the reasons for increased child mortality associated with ethnicity and deprivation is key to addressing this long-standing social inequity.

AIMS

The aim of this work is to investigate the relationship between childhood mortality (deaths before 18 years of age) and the ethnicity of the child; and how this is related to local measures of deprivation.

METHODS

The NCMD commenced data collection on 1st April 2019. All child deaths reported to the NCMD were coded by 3 independent coders (all paediatricians) to identify the most likely category of the cause of death[16]. All coders recorded a provisional category of death (see below) or that there was insufficient information provided. For each

death, if two or more coders agreed on a category this was taken as the most likely category and where no two coders agreed, the category highest in the following hierarchy was used.

1. Suicide
2. Substance Abuse
3. Trauma
4. Malignancy
5. Underlying Medical Condition
6. Intrapartum event
7. Preterm Birth
8. Infection
9. Sudden Unexpected Death in Infancy or Childhood (SUDIC)

In addition, the CDOPs also report baseline characteristics of the child, from which the following data were derived from the notification form:

- Sex of individual (female, male, other (including not known))
- Ethnic Group (Asian or Asian British (Bangladeshi, Chinese, Indian, Pakistani, Any other Asian background), Black or Black British (African, Caribbean, Any other Black background), Mixed (White and Asian, White and Black African, White and Black Caribbean, Any other Mixed background), White or White British (British, Irish, Any other White background, Gypsy or Irish traveller), Other (Arab, Any other ethnic group). Ethnicity is derived from NHS data, and is parent reported at source.
- The region of England where the death was reported from
- The gestational age of the child at birth
- From the child's home postcode at the time of their death, the IMD, a measure of local deprivation[17] (on a score of 1-10) with a lower value suggesting greater deprivation. It is derived at the resolution of the Lower Super Output Area (LSOA) (between 1000 to 3000 people).

Statistical Analysis

Deaths of children and young people (CYP) under 18 years of age, occurring from 1st April 2019 until 31st March 2023 were identified. CYP with a known gestation of less than 22 weeks were excluded. Initially, we compared the

characteristics of those CYP by their reported ethnicity. We then calculated the rate of death for all groups, assuming an underlying Poisson distribution. Populations at risk were obtained from Office of National Statistics Data[18]. The Incident Rate Ratio (IRR) of death compared to White CYP (the largest group) were then derived using a Poisson regression model. Population attributable risks were calculated using CYP of White background as the baseline. Analyses were then repeated, initially adjusting for Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) decile and then for all other covariates available (Age (0-4, 4-15 and 16/17 years), Sex (Female or Male), Region (East Midlands, East of England, London, North East, North West, South East, South West, West Midlands, or Yorkshire and the Humber), Deprivation Decile, Area (Rural or Urban). Selection of age categories was chosen due to available denominator granularity. Next, we repeated the fully adjusted analysis of all deaths, split by the covariates, and tested if the relative rates were different between groups by adding the covariate as an interactive term to the model.

Finally, as a sensitivity analyses, the main analysis was repeated twice. First, deriving the relative rates for each ethnic group, compared to CYP from White backgrounds, split by each year of age, and adjusting for the above covariates (Region, Deprivation Decile and Area) except sex (due to limitations in available data). Secondly, the analysis was repeated, this time deriving relative rates for all deaths, and categories of death, split by a more granular measure of ethnicity.

Data are presented as median number (%), rate per 100,000 person years (95% confidence interval (CI)), incident rate ratio (IRR) (95% CI), or Population Attributable Risk Fraction (95% CI). Where frequency counts were below 5, or could be derived, absolute numbers are not presented. Analysis was performed using Stata version 17.

RESULTS

Over the 48 months, a total of 13,743 deaths were reported to NCMD, or which 915 were excluded for birth before 22 weeks gestation, leaving 12,828 deaths. A total of 686 were removed due to missing ethnicity data, leaving 12,142 for the main analysis.

The highest number of deaths was seen in White CYP (n=7758), followed by Asian (n=2242), Black (n=1039), Mixed (n=742) and Other (n=361) (eTable 1). The suspected cause of death also appeared to vary by ethnicity ($p<0.001$) (eTable 2), although Underlying Disease (3840/11872 (32.3%)) and prematurity (2676/11872 (22.5%)) were the highest two groups for all ethnicities.

Rate of death varied by ethnicity (p<0.001) (Table 1). The lowest rate of death was seen by CYP of a white background (22.71 (22.20-23.22) per 100,000 person years), while the highest rate was seen by Black or Black British (38.68 (36.36-41.10)), and Asian or Asian British (38.66 (37.09-40.30)) CYP. The rate of all-cause mortality was different by ethnicity for deaths by malignancy (p=0.0092), preterm birth (p<0.001), infection (p<0.001), trauma (p<0.001), substance abuse (p=0.0034), suicide (p=0.0061), SUDIC (p=0.0001) and underlying disease (p<0.001).

For the regression analysis, relative rates seen in the univariable analysis are compatible with the patterns in Table 1. There was evidence of an increase in mortality in non-white ethnicities for overall deaths (11.9% (10.7% to 13.1%)), preterm birth (13.7% (11.2% to 16.2%)), infection (15.9% (10.4% to 21.4%)), trauma (7.4% (2.7% to 11.9%)), SUDIC (3.0% (0.7% to 5.9%)) and underlying disease (21.7% (19.5% to 23.9%)), but little evidence for malignancy, intrapartum events, substance abuse or suicide (Table 2).

After adjusting for local deprivation, the overall relative rate increased (compared to white CYP) for those of Asian (IRR 2.41 (2.30-2.53)), Black (IRR 2.80 (2.62-3.00)), Mixed (IRR 1.14 (1.06-1.23)) and Other (IRR 1.70 (1.53-1.90)) backgrounds; as did the overall population attributable fraction (PAF) (18.1% (16.9% to 19.2%)).

In the fully adjusted analysis, the association of mixed ethnicity weakened slightly (IRR 1.06 (0.98-1.14)), but remained for all other ethnic groups, compared to white CYP. Overall PAF in the final model was 17.7% (16.6% to 18.8%), and a raised PAF was also seen for death after preterm birth, by infection, trauma, SUDIC and underlying disease. In the final model, children of Asian backgrounds had lower rate of dying by suicide (IRR 0.63 (0.44-0.92)), while those of mixed backgrounds had a lower rate of infection (IRR 0.64 (0.41-0.99)).

There was strong evidence that the rate of death by ethnicity varied by age (p<0.001), region (p<0.001), area (p<0.001) and deprivation (p<0.001); but not sex (p=0.0952) (Table 3) (Figure 1). The associations for all ethnic groups appeared to attenuate as the age group increased, but there was evidence of an association even in the oldest age range. Patterns of mortality appeared to vary by area, with little associations seen for children in rural areas. The associations for all ethnic groups appeared to attenuate as the local level of deprivation reduced; associations were strongest in the most deprived areas, with little evidence of an association for children in the 7th and 8th deciles (Asian IRR 0.89 (0.76-1.05), Black IRR 0.80 (0.63-1.02), Mixed IRR 0.86 (0.70-1.06), Other IRR 0.87 (0.62-1.21)). In the least deprived two deciles rate of death appeared lower, compared to white CYP, for all groups (Asian IRR 0.46 (0.38-0.56), Black IRR 0.13 (0.09-0.20), Mixed IRR 0.65 (0.52-0.81), Other IRR 0.53 (0.37-0.75)). Rate of death in different ethnic groups also varied by region, although patterns were complex; highest relative rate for

Asian CYP were seen in Yorkshire and Humber (IRR 5.86 (5.13-6.69)), the West Midlands (IRR 3.64 (3.23-4.09)) and the North West (IRR 3.58 (3.16-4.05)), while relative rate was lowest in the South West (IRR 0.96 (0.67-1.38)). Highest relative rate for Black CYP were seen in Yorkshire and Humber (IRR 6.34 (4.96-8.11)), the West Midlands (IRR 5.59 (4.64-6.73)) and the North West (IRR 4.98 (3.95-6.29)). The relative rate was lowest, compared to white children, in the South East (IRR 1.67 (1.36-2.04)); but still higher than white children, The number, and hence precision, made interpreting the relative rates for children from mixed or other background difficult.

When repeating the analysis, split by year of age, adjusted relative rates for Asian and Asian British CYP were higher than white CYP for all ages except 17-year-olds (eTable 3) (eFigure 1), and adjusted relative rates for Black and Black British CYP were higher than white CYP for all ages except 3, 7 and 17 year olds; although for all these comparisons the relative rate was still greater than 1. Children from mixed or other backgrounds had variable relative rates across the age range.

A further 20 children had missing data on the more granular measure of ethnicity (Table 4 & eTable 4), making a total analysis cohort of 12,122 children. In addition, absolute numbers became small, making identifiability of data and interpretation problematic; and so categories of death for some ethnic groups (Irish, Gypsy or Irish traveller, Mixed ethnicities and Arab) and some categorises of death are not presented (Suicide and Substance Abuse). Compared to White British children, children from Pakistani backgrounds had the highest relative rate of death (IRR 4.17 (3.90-4.46)), followed by those from African (IRR 3.93 (3.63-4.26)) and Caribbean communities (IRR 3.77 (3.21-4.44)), and Asian-Other (IRR 3.10 (2.80-3.43) backgrounds. Other groups with mortality 2-3 times more than White-British children included Irish (IRR 2.30 (1.79-2.96)), Gypsy or Irish traveller (IRR 2.23 (1.56-3.19)), Other White (IRR 2.01 (1.87-2.16)), Bangladeshi (IRR 2.98 (2.62-3.38)), Black-Other (IRR 2.47 (2.10-2.90)) and Other (IRR 2.32 (2.05-2.61). Children from Indian (IRR 1.29 (1.17-1.43), Mixed-White and Black Caribbean (RR 1.36 (1.19-1.55)), and Mixed-Other (IRR 1.48 (1.30-1.69)) background all had rate 1-2 times higher than White-British children.

The highest relative rates, by category of death, contributing to these overall raised risks of death, was seen for Preterm birth for children of White-Other (IRR 2.75 (2.39-3.16)) backgrounds, Underlying Disease for those of Bangladeshi (IRR 5.57 (4.60-6.75)), Pakistani (IRR 8.54 (0.74-9.43)), Asian-Other (IRR 5.00 (0.73-9.43)), African (IRR 5.11 (4.43-5.90)) or Other (IRR 3.26 (2.66-3.98)) backgrounds, Infection for children from Pakistani backgrounds (IRR 5.40 (4.09-7.13)), and Trauma for those from Caribbean (IRR 5.51 (3.35-9.08)) or Black-Other (IRR 4.50 (2.78-7.29)) backgrounds.

Children from Chinese (IRR 0.70 (0.51-0.96)) or Mixed-White and Asian (IRR 0.78 (0.67-0.90)) backgrounds had a lower rate than White-British Children, but numbers were too small to reliably interpret the categories underpinning this.

DISCUSSION

Key Findings

The patterns of mortality in England by ethnicity are complex, but with clear evidence that children of white background continue to have much lower risks than those of Black or Asian children; with relative rates of 2-3 times lower not explained by measures of local deprivation. Indeed, around 1 in 6 deaths in England would be avoided if all children had the risk of death experienced by White children; although the relative components across categories and different ethnic groups was complex. Children from Bangladeshi and Pakistani backgrounds had relatively high risks of death from underlying diseases, predominantly congenital abnormalities[12,19], while Caribbean and Black-Other children had nearly 5-fold increased rate of death from trauma; compared to White-British children. The patterns of risk also appeared to vary with age, with the highest difference seen in the youngest. The relationship between mortality and ethnicity also appeared strongest in urban areas, and the lack of a clear impact for families living in more affluent areas, supports a substantial environmental, and modifiable, element to these inequalities.

In Context

Overall, this work presents similar findings of higher child mortality for minoritised populations in other countries, with adverse environments having a direct impact on child mortality[15,20]. In this work, the biggest contributors to inequalities appeared to be death after preterm birth, death from infections, trauma and underlying disease. However, the patterns seen are complex, with rates varying within, and between, groups. While we reported higher risks for Black or Black British children for most areas, the profile varied across categories, with some (e.g. suicide) similar to the population average, while others (e.g. trauma) substantially higher. Children from mixed background also demonstrated a broad ranges of results; and further work with a more detailed measure of ethnicity showed substantial variation within this group. Equally, the relative risks of death within the Asian communities, also demonstrated wide ranges of effect, with the rates in the Pakistani community much higher than that seen in Indian children (although both raised compared to White-British children). Interestingly, children from Chinese

backgrounds had the lowest risk of death in this work, but as a relatively small community (est. 70,743 children), and few deaths (n=40), learning from this is limited. Within the Mixed category, children of White and Asian backgrounds, had a lower risk of death than White-British children, while those of White and Caribbean (or Other), having raised risks. Children of white, but not white-British backgrounds, had relative rates similar to those seen in Asian infants for many categories of death. Equally, the attenuation of ethnic patterning in rural areas was striking; suggesting that any impacts or pathways may be different in areas where non-white groups are less prevalent. Finally, the relationship with deprivation, was complicated; indeed, in the least deprived areas, all four non-white groups had strikingly lower risks of death than white children.

Each of these areas are likely to have specific reasons for the patterning seen. However, one commonly raised cause of death, and a large contributor to overall mortality is that of preterm birth[12,21], with broad impacts on childhood outcomes[22], and improving maternal health may be the key to reducing broader childhood inequalities. The patterns of infectious disease deaths are consistent with patterns seen in the COVID-19 pandemic[13], and may reflect differences in the risks of acquiring the infection or access to healthcare for therapeutics[23]. Underlying disease in this population is predominantly congenital abnormalities, and broad population interventions would be needed to reduce the incidence and impact.

A recent systematic review identified contextual (e.g. deprivation) and individual (e.g. ethnicity) characteristics affecting material circumstances, behaviour, psychosocial environment, and healthcare utilisation, leading to adverse birth outcomes[24]. In contrast to the worsening mortality in the UK, in the USA, the gap between outcomes for Black, Hispanic and white infants is decreasing[25], although infant mortality of black college-educated parents remains higher than white infants[26]. Access to healthcare is a major issue[27] while refugee children may be lost to medical services[28], with barriers experienced through language, health literacy and transport inequalities[29], and disjointed healthcare more likely delivered through Emergency Departments[30]. Mothers from ethnic minorities report negative experiences related to poor communication, cultural insensitivity and disregard of their needs[31], and direct racism[32]; while cultural competence training programmes may have limited benefits[33].

However, the relationship between ethnicity and the local environment, in particular the measures of deprivation and urban status were profound, with children from least deprived areas having little to no patterning of mortality by their ethnicity. A similar effect was seen for children in rural areas; where no association with any ethnic group was identified.

Limitations

This work is based on the ongoing data collection from the NCMD. While we had some missing data on ethnicity, data completeness was generally good, and the primary analysis was based on the statutory reporting of deaths. We have used ONS data to derive the population at risk, and this was estimated at a mid-point of the study. While changes in population number and structure may have biased our estimates it is unlikely that they could have accounted for the associations ween here, although absolute rates should be interpreted with caution. Fortunately, child death in England is rare, particularly for causes such as suicide and substance abuse, which may further limit interpretation; particularly in the stratified analyses. Finally, due to the statutory nature of the CDOP process we anticipate being aware of all deaths in England, however results from each category of death in this work is provisional on data available to the team at the notification, and may change as further information is provided during the subsequent CDOP investigations and report.

Conclusions

In England, children from Asian or Asian British, or Black or Black British backgrounds had the highest rate of death before their 18th birthday, and around 1 in 6 deaths in England would be avoided if all children had the rate of death experienced by White children. This association was highest in the youngest children and in the most deprived and urban areas. Causes of this increased mortality included Underlying Disease, Infection and Trauma, and unexplained variation in inequalities was seen across England; with the highest relative difference seen in the North. However, the relationship between ethnicity and the local environment (esp. deprivation and urban status) was profound, and further work is needed to clarify this intersectionality, and identify how to best target interventions to reduce the overall inequalities seen.

Patient and Public Involvement

Parent and public involvement guided the design and setting up of the NCMD at establishment and real-time child mortality surveillance system at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Acknowledgements

We thank all Child Death Overview Panels (CDOPs) who submitted data for the purposes of this report and all child death review professionals for submitting data and providing additional information when requested.

Parent and public involvement is at the heart of the NCMD programme. We are indebted to Charlotte Bevan (Sands - Stillbirth and Neonatal Death Charity), Ann Chalmers (Child Bereavement UK) and Jenny Ward (Lullaby Trust), who represent bereaved families on the NCMD programme steering group.

We thank the NCMD team for technical and administrative support.

David Odd had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The NCMD legal basis to collect confidential and personal level data under the Common Law Duty of Confidentiality has been established through the Children Act 2004 Sections M - N, Working Together to Safeguard Children 2018 (https://consult.education.gov.uk/child-protection-safeguarding-and-family-law/working-together-to-safeguard-children-revisions-t/supporting_documents/Working%20Together%20to%20Safeguard%20Children.pdf) and associated Child Death Review Statutory & Operational Guidance https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/859302/child-death-review-statutory-and-operational-guidance-england.pdf).

The NCMD legal basis to collect personal data under the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) without consent is defined by GDPR Article 6 (e) Public task and 9 (h) Health or social care (with a basis in law).

Authors Contributions

DO: I declare that I participated in the study concept and design, contributed to acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting and review of the manuscript and that I have seen and approved the final version.

JG: I declare that I contributed to study design, interpretation of data analysis, reviewing the manuscript; and that I have seen and approved the final version.

SS: I declare that I participated in the study design, contributed to data acquisition, linkage, analysis and interpretation of analysis, drafting and review of the manuscript; and that I have seen and approved the final version.

TW: I declare that I participated in the study design, contributed to data acquisition, linkage, analysis and interpretation of data analyses, reviewing the manuscript; and that I have seen and approved the final version.

KL: I declare that I obtained funding for this work, participated in the study concept and design, contributed to data acquisition and interpretation of data, drafting and reviewing the manuscript; and that I have seen and approved the final version.

Transparency statement

Prof Karen Luyt (the manuscript's guarantor) affirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as originally planned have been explained.

Competing Interests

DO: I have no conflicts of interest.

JG: I have no conflicts of interest.

SS: I have no conflicts of interest.

TW: I have no conflicts of interest.

KL: I have no conflicts of interest.

Funding

The National Child Mortality Database (NCMD) Programme is commissioned by the Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership (HQIP) as part of the National Clinical Audit and Patient Outcomes Programme (NCAPOP). HQIP is led by a consortium of the Academy of Medical Royal Colleges, the Royal College of Nursing, and National Voices. Its aim is to promote quality improvement in patient outcomes. HQIP holds the contract to commission, manage and develop

the National Clinical Audit and Patient Outcomes Programme (NCAPOP), comprising around 40 projects covering care provided to people with a wide range of medical, surgical and mental health conditions. NCAPOP is funded by NHS England, the Welsh Government and, with some individual projects, other devolved administrations and crown dependencies www.hqip.org.uk/national-programmes. NHS England provided additional funding to the NCMD to enable rapid set up of the real-time surveillance system and staff time to support its function but had no input into the data analysis or interpretation.

KL is partly funded by National Institute for Health and Care Research Applied Research Collaboration West (NIHR ARC West)

Availability of data

Aggregate data may be available on request to the corresponding author, and subject to approval by HQIP.

REFERENCES

1. Odd D, Stoianova S, Williams T, Fleming P, Luyt K. Child mortality in England during the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Arch Dis Child*. Mar 2022;107(3):e22. doi:10.1136/archdischild-2021-323370
2. MacDorman MF, Matthews TJ, Mohangoo AD, Zeitlin J. International comparisons of infant mortality and related factors: United States and Europe, 2010. *National vital statistics reports : from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, National Vital Statistics System*. 2014/9// 2014;63(5):1-6.
3. Lozano R, Fullman N, Mumford JE, et al. Measuring universal health coverage based on an index of effective coverage of health services in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *The Lancet*. 2020/10// 2020;396(10258):1250-1284. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30750-9
4. Statistics OfN. UK drops in European child mortality rankings. 2017;
5. Zylbersztejn A, Gilbert R, Hjern A, Wijlaars L, Hardelid P. Child mortality in England compared with Sweden: a birth cohort study. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2018/5// 2018;391(10134):2008-2018. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30670-6
6. Odd D, Stoianova S, Williams T, et al. What is the relationship between deprivation, modifiable factors and childhood deaths: a cohort study using the English National Child MortalityDatabase. *BMJ Open*. Dec 9 2022;12(12):e066214. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-066214
7. Sidebotham P, Fraser J, Covington T, et al. Understanding why children die in high-income countries. *Lancet*. Sep 6 2014;384(9946):915-27. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(14)60581-x
8. Odd D, WIlliams T, Stoianova S, et al. The Contribution of Newborn Health to Child Mortality across England. National Child Mortality Database (UK). https://www.ncmd.info/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Perinatal-FINAL.pdf
9. Zang E, Zheng H, Yang YC, Land KC. Recent trends in US mortality in early and middle adulthood: racial/ethnic disparities in inter-cohort patterns. *International journal of epidemiology*. 2019/6// 2019;48(3):934-944. doi:10.1093/ije/dyy255
10. Odd D, Stoianova S, Williams T, et al. What is the relationship between deprivation, modifiable factors and childhood deaths: a cohort study using the English National Child Mortality Database. *BMJ Open*. Dec 9 2022;12(12):e066214. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-066214
11. Odd D, Stoianova S, Sleap V, et al. *Child Mortality and Social Deprivation. National Child Mortality Database (UK)*. 2021. https://www.ncmd.info/2021/05/13/dep-report-2021/
12. Odd DE, Stoianova S, Williams T, et al. Race and Ethnicity, Deprivation, and Infant Mortality in England, 2019-2022. *JAMA Netw Open*. Feb 5 2024;7(2):e2355403. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.55403
13. Odd D, Stoianova S, Williams T, Fleming P, Luyt K. Child Mortality in England after the Pandemic. Increasing Mortality and Inequalities. *medRxiv*. 2024:2024.05.24.24307855. doi:10.1101/2024.05.24.24307855
14. Flores G, Escala MK, Hall BG. Dead wrong: the growing list of racial/ethnic disparities in childhood mortality. *J Pediatr*. Apr 2015;166(4):790-3. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2015.02.001
15. Pedersen GS, Mortensen LH, Andersen AM. Ethnic variations in mortality in pre-school children in Denmark, 1973-2004. *Eur J Epidemiol*. Jul 2011;26(7):527-36. doi:10.1007/s10654-011-9594-1
16. Odd D, Stoianova S, Sleap V, et al. *Child Mortality and Social Deprivation*. 2021. https://www.ncmd.info/2021/05/13/dep-report-2021/
17. *English indices of deprivation 2019: technical report*. 2019. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/english-indices-of-deprivation-2019-technical-report
18. Create a custom dataset (Census 2021). Office for National Statistics (ONS). https://www.ons.gov.uk/datasets/create
19. Odd D, Stoianova S, Williams T, Fleming P, Luyt K. Child Mortality in England During the First 2 Years of the COVID-19 Pandemic. *JAMA Netw Open*. Jan 3 2023;6(1):e2249191. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.49191
20. Wolf ER, Rivara FP, Orr CJ, Sen A, Chapman DA, Woolf SH. Racial and Ethnic Disparities in All-Cause and Cause-Specific Mortality Among US Youth. *Jama*. May 28 2024;331(20):1732-1740. doi:10.1001/jama.2024.3908
21. *UK Perinatal Deaths for Births from January to December 2018*. 2020. https://www.npeu.ox.ac.uk/assets/downloads/mbrrace-uk/reports/perinatal-surveillance-report-2018/MBRRACE-UK_Perinatal_Surveillance_Report_2018_-_final_v3.pdf

22. Odd D, Williams T, Stoianova S, Rossouw G, Fleming P, Luyt K. Newborn Health and Child Mortality Across England. *JAMA Netw Open*. Oct 2 2023;6(10):e2338055. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.38055
23. *Infection related deaths of children and young people in England*. 2023. https://www.ncmd.info/wp-content/uploads/2023/12/Infection-related-deaths-of-children-and-young-people-in-England.pdf
24. Simoncic V, Deguen S, Enaux C, Vandentorren S, Kihal-Talantikite W. A Comprehensive Review on Social Inequalities and Pregnancy Outcome-Identification of Relevant Pathways and Mechanisms. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. Dec 10 2022;19(24)doi:10.3390/ijerph192416592
25. Boghossian NS, Geraci M, Lorch SA, Phibbs CS, Edwards EM, Horbar JD. Racial and Ethnic Differences Over Time in Outcomes of Infants Born Less Than 30 Weeks' Gestation. *Pediatrics*. Sep 2019;144(3)doi:10.1542/peds.2019-1106
26. Dagher RK, Linares DE. A Critical Review on the Complex Interplay between Social Determinants of Health and Maternal and Infant Mortality. *Children (Basel)*. Mar 10 2022;9(3)doi:10.3390/children9030394
27. Michel M, Alberti C, Carel JC, Chevreul K. Social inequalities in access to care at birth and neonatal mortality: an observational study. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. Jul 2022;107(4):380-385. doi:10.1136/archdischild-2021-321967
28. Baauw A, Rosiek S, Slattery B, et al. Pediatrician-experienced barriers in the medical care for refugee children in the Netherlands. *Eur J Pediatr*. Jul 2018;177(7):995-1002. doi:10.1007/s00431-018-3141-y
29. Khanom A, Alanazy W, Couzens L, et al. Asylum seekers' and refugees' experiences of accessing health care: a qualitative study. *BJGP Open*. 2021;5(6)doi:10.3399/bjgpo.2021.0059
30. Nicholson E, McDonnell T, De Brún A, et al. Factors that influence family and parental preferences and decision making for unscheduled paediatric healthcare - systematic review. *BMC Health Serv Res*. Jul 17 2020;20(1):663. doi:10.1186/s12913-020-05527-5
31. Toh RKC, Shorey S. Experiences and needs of women from ethnic minorities in maternity healthcare: A qualitative systematic review and meta-aggregation. *Women Birth*. Feb 2023;36(1):30-38. doi:10.1016/j.wombi.2022.06.003
32. *Inquiry into racial injustice in maternity care*. 2022. Accessed 17 July 2023. https://www.birthrights.org.uk/campaigns-research/racial-injustice/
33. Horvat L, Horey D, Romios P, Kis-Rigo J. Cultural competence education for health professionals. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. May 5 2014;2014(5):Cd009405. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD009405.pub2

Table 1. Rate of death (per 100,000 CYP per year) for CYP in England between April 2019 and March 2023; split by ethnicity and provisional cause

Measure	N	White	Asian	Black	Mixed	Other	p-value
Est Population		**8,540,021**	**1,449,082**	**671,396**	**801,311**	**312,708**	
All Deaths	12,142	22.71 (22.20-23.22)	38.66 (37.09-40.30)	38.68 (36.36-41.10)	23.14 (21.51-23.87)	28.85 (25.95-31.98)	<0.001
Death by Cause	11,872						
Malignancy	999	2.10 (1.95-2.26)	2.16 (1.79-2.57)	2.57 (2.00-3.25)	1.53 (1.13-2.02)	3.12 (2.22-4.26)	0.0092
Preterm Birth	2703	4.95 (4.71-5.19)	8.23 (7.50-9.00)	10.68 (9.48-11.99)	5.68 (4.88-6.56)	5.27(4.08-6.71)	<0.001
Intrapartum Event	650	1.35 (1.23-1.48)	1.53 (1.23-1.89)	1.49 (1.06-2.03)	1.37 (1.00-1.84)	1.20 (0.67-1.98)	0.7900
Infection	613	1.09 (0.99-1.21)	2.43 (2.05-2.87)	2.01 (1.51-2.62)	0.66 (0.41-1.00)	1.84 (1.17-2.76)	<0.001
Trauma	773	1.52 (1.39-1.66)	1.66 (1.34-2.02)	3.05 (2.43-3.79)	1.68 (1.27-2.20)	1.76 (1.10-2.66)	<0.001
Substance Abuse	50	0.12 (0.09-0.17)	NA	NA	0.16 (0.05-0.36)	NA	0.0034
Suicide	451	1.02 (0.92-1.14)	0.55 (0.38-0.78)	0.82 (0.51-1.24)	1.03 (0.71-1.45)	1.12 (0.61-1.88)	0.0061
SUDIC	1793	3.69 (3.49-3.90)	3.60 (3.13-4.13)	5.47 (4.62-6.43)	4.34 (3.64-5.12)	2.96 (2.08-4.08)	0.0001
Underlying Disease	3840	6.38 (6.12-6.65)	17.26 (16.21-18.37)	11.76 (10.50-13.13)	6.43 (5.58-7.37)	10.95 (9.19-12.94)	<0.001

Values are n (%)

NA – Not Available due to small numbers

Table 2. Incident rate ratios (IRR) of CYP death by ethnicity, stratified by provisional cause

Measure	n	Incident Rate Ratio (IRR) (vs White ethnicity)					PAF
		White	Asian	Black	Mixed	Other	
Est Population		**8,540,021**	**1,449,082**	**671,396**	**801,311**	**312,708**	
Unadjusted IRR							
All Deaths	12,142	1 (ref)	1.70 (1.62-1.78)	1.70 (1.60-1.82)	1.02 (0.95-1.10)	1.27 (1.14-1.41)	11.9% (10.7% to 13.1%)
Malignancy	999	1 (ref)	1.03 (0.85-1.24)	1.22 (0.96-1.57)	0.73 (0.55-0.97)	1.49 (1.08-2.05)	1.0% (-2.9% to 4.8%)
Preterm Birth	2703	1 (ref)	1.66 (1.50-1.84)	2.16 (1.90-2.45)	1.15 (0.98-1.34)	1.07 (0.83-1.36)	13.7% (11.2% to 16.2%)
Intrapartum Event	650	1 (ref)	1.14 (0.90-1.42)	1.10 (0.80-1.52)	1.02 (0.75-1.38)	0.89 (0.53-1.48)	2.0% (-2.9% to 6.7%)
Infection	613	1 (ref)	2.22 (1.83-2.70)	1.84 (1.38-2.44)	0.60 (0.39-0.93)	1.68 (1.10-2.56)	15.9% (10.4% to 20.4%)
Trauma	773	1 (ref)	1.09 (0.88-1.36)	2.01 (1.592.54)	1.11 (0.84-1.47)	1.16 (0.76-1.77)	7.4% (2.7% to 11.9%)
Substance Abuse	50	1 (ref)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Suicide	451	1 (ref)	0.54 (0.38-0.77)	0.80 (0.52-1.23)	1.00 (0.70-1.44)	1.09 (0.64-1.86)	-7.0% (-12.4% to 1.8%)
SUDIC	1793	1 (ref)	0.98 (0.84-1.13)	1.48 (1.25-1.76)	1.17 (0.99-1.40)	0.80 (0.58-1.11)	3.0% (0.7% to 5.9%)
Underlying Disease	3840	1 (ref)	2.71 (2.51-2.92)	1.84 (1.64-2.07)	1.01 (0.87-1.16)	1.72 (1.44-2.04)	21.7% (19.5% to 23.9%)
Adjusted for Deprivation							
All Deaths	12,142	1 (ref)	2.41 (2.30-2.53)	2.80 (2.62-3.00)	1.14 (1.06-1.23)	1.70 (1.53-1.90)	18.1% (16.9% to 19.2%)
Malignancy	999	1 (ref)	1.20 (0.99-1.46)	1.48 (1.15-1.91)	0.77 (0.57-1.03)	1.46 (1.02-2.07)	3.7% (-0.2% to 7.4%)
Preterm Birth	2703	1 (ref)	2.41 (2.17-2.67)	3.71 (3.26-4.23)	1.30 (1.11-1.51)	1.54 (1.20-1.97)	20.2% (17.9% to 22.6%)
Intrapartum Event	650	1 (ref)	1.40 (1.11-1.76)	1.45 (1.04-2.02)	1.09 (0.80-1.49)	1.08 (0.64-1.81)	6.4% (1.6% to 11.0%)
Infection	613	1 (ref)	3.17 (2.60-3.87)	3.07 (2.28-4.11)	0.67 (0.43-1.04)	2.27 (1.48-3.50)	21.7% (16.6% to 26.6%)
Trauma	773	1 (ref)	1.56 (1.25-1.95)	3.36 (2.64-4.28)	1.25 (0.94-1.66)	1.42 (0.90-2.25)	13.9% (9.5% to 18.1%)
Substance Abuse	50	1 (ref)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Suicide	451	1 (ref)	0.59 (0.41-0.86)	0.90 (0.58-1.40)	1.04 (0.73-1.49)	1.20 (0.70-2.05)	-4.4% (-10.0% to 0.8%)
SUDIC	1793	1 (ref)	1.44 (1.24-1.67)	2.63 (2.20-3.13)	1.33 (1.12-1.59)	1.18 (0.85-1.64)	10.7% (7.9% to 13.4%)
Underlying Disease	3840	1 (ref)	4.04 (3.74-4.36)	3.31 (2.93-3.74)	1.15 (1.00-1.33)	2.41 (2.02-2.88)	27.9% (25.9% to 29.9%)
Fully Adjusted*							
All Deaths	12,142	1 (ref)	2.37 (2.26-2.49)	3.20 (2.99-3.43)	1.06 (0.98-1.14)	1.74 (1.56-1.94)	17.7% (16.6% to 18.8%)
Malignancy	999	1 (ref)	1.19 (0.97-1.45)	1.51 (1.16-1.96)	0.77 (0.57-1.03)	1.45 (1.02-2.07)	3.4% (-0.6% to 7.4%)
Preterm Birth	2703	1 (ref)	2.22 (2.00-2.47)	3.79 (3.31-4.33)	1.28 (1.10-1.50)	1.47 (1.14-1.88)	19.3% (16.9% to 21.7%)
Intrapartum Event	650	1 (ref)	1.27 (1.00-1.61)	1.29 (0.92-1.82)	1.02 (0.75-1.40)	0.96 (0.57-1.61)	4.2% (-1.0% to 9.1%)
Infection	613	1 (ref)	3.17 (2.58-3.89)	3.38 (2.49-4.59)	0.64 (0.41-0.99)	2.32 (1.50-3.59)	21.4% (16.1% to 26.3%)
Trauma	773	1 (ref)	1.57 (1.25-1.97)	3.59 (2.78-4.63)	1.32 (0.99-1.75)	1.43 (0.90-2.28)	14.1% (9.6% to 18.4%)
Substance Abuse	50	1 (ref)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Suicide	451	1 (ref)	0.63 (0.44-0.92)	1.02 (0.65-1.61)	1.01 (0.69-1.47)	1.33 (0.77-2.29)	-3.3% (-9.0% to 2.2%)
SUDIC	1793	1 (ref)	1.37 (1.18-1.60)	2.95 (2.46-3.54)	1.20 (1.01-1.43)	1.16 (0.83-1.61)	9.8% (6.9% to 12.6%)
Underlying Disease	3840	1 (ref)	4.04 (3.73-4.38)	3.91 (3.45-4.43)	1.07 (0.93-1.24)	2.52 (2.11-3.02)	27.9% (25.8% to 29.9%)

Values are incident rate ratios (IRR) (95% CI) or population attributable risk fraction (95% CI)

* Adjusted for local deprivation, age at death, sex, region of England and area (Rural or Urban).

NA – Not Available due to small numbers

Table 3. Incident Rate Ratio (IRR) of CYP death by ethnicity, stratified by CYP characteristics

Measure	N	White	Asian	Black	Mixed	Other	$p_{interaction}$
Est Population		**8,540,021**	**1,449,082**	**671,396**	**801,311**	**312,708**	
Age	12,142						<0.001
0-4 years	8569	1 (Ref)	2.55 (2.40-2.70)	3.70 (3.41-4.01)	1.06 (0.97-1.16)	1.80 (1.58-2.06)	
4-15 years	2537	1 (Ref)	2.21 (1.98-2.47)	2.47 (2.11-2.89)	1.07 (0.90-1.27)	1.80 (1.43-2.26)	
16-17 years	1036	1 (Ref)	1.42 (1.17-1.72)	1.71 (1.33-2.21)	1.02 (0.76-1.36)	1.07 (0.70-1.63)	
Sex	12,108						0.0952
Female	5210	1 (Ref)	2.61 (2.42-2.81)	3.32 (2.99-3.69)	1.06 (0.94-1.19)	1.81 (1.53-2.14)	
Male	6898	1 (Ref)	2.20 (2.06-2.35)	3.11 (2.84-3.41)	1.06 (0.96-1.17)	1.68 (1.46-1.94)	
Region	12,142						<0.001
East Midlands	1012	1 (Ref)	1.52 (1.25-1.84)	2.29 (1.76-2.99)	1.26 (0.99-1.60)	0.86 (0.50-1.49)	
East of England	1165	1 (Ref)	1.51 (1.26-1.81)	1.86 (1.47-2.35)	0.93 (0.73-1.18)	1.36 (0.92-2.01)	
London	2006	1 (Ref)	2.19 (1.96-2.45)	4.08 (3.61-4.61)	1.06 (0.90-1.24)	1.78 (1.46-2.16)	
North East	602	1 (Ref)	2.27 (1.72-2.99)	3.79 (2.39-6.02)	0.40 (0.20-0.80)	2.69 (1.63-4.43)	
North West	1762	1 (Ref)	3.58 (3.16-4.05)	4.98 (3.95-6.29)	0.92 (0.72-1.17)	2.51 (1.84-3.43)	
South East	1640	1 (Ref)	1.57 (1.35-1.81)	1.67 (1.36-2.04)	0.95 (0.77-1.16)	1.10 (0.77-1.57)	
South West	914	1 (Ref)	0.96 (0.67-1.38)	1.70 (1.18-2.45)	0.87 (0.64-1.19)	1.72 (1.08-2.75)	
West Midlands	1652	1 (Ref)	3.64 (3.23-4.09)	5.59 (4.64-6.73)	1.56 (1.29-1.88)	2.84 (2.16-3.73)	
Yorkshire and the Humber	1329	1 (Ref)	5.86 (5.13-6.69)	6.34 (4.96-8.11)	1.18 (0.91-1.52)	1.78 (1.15-2.71)	
Area	12,085						<0.001
Urban	10,705	1 (Ref)	2.62 (2.49-2.76)	3.80 (3.53-4.07)	1.13 (1.04-1.22)	1.92 (1.72-2.15)	
Rural	1380	1 (Ref)	1.12 (0.72-1.72)	1.24 (0.73-2.10)	0.87 (0.64-1.17)	0.99 (0.47-2.09)	
Deprivation	12,081						<0.001
1/2 (Most Deprived)	4132	1 (Ref)	4.98 (4.62-5.38)	13.67 (12.36-15.13)	1.45 (1.28-1.65)	3.38 (2.82-4.04)	
3/4	2749	1 (Ref)	3.39 (3.08-3.75)	6.22 (5.48-7.06)	1.16 (0.99-1.36)	2.46 (1.99-3.04)	
5/6	2110	1 (Ref)	2.13 (1.88-2.41)	2.36 (1.99-2.80)	0.88 (0.72-1.06)	1.22 (0.92-1.62)	
7/8	1679	1 (Ref)	0.89 (0.76-1.05)	0.80 (0.63-1.02)	0.86 (0.70-1.06)	0.87 (0.62-1.21)	
9/10 (Least Deprived)	1411	1 (Ref)	0.46 (0.38-0.56)	0.13 (0.09-0.20)	0.65 (0.52-0.81)	0.53 (0.37-0.75)	

Values are IRR (95% CI)

Adjusted for deprivation decile (IMD), age at death, sex, region of England and area (Rural or Urban).

Table 4. Incident Rate Ratio (IRR) for death of CYP in England between April 2019 and March 2023; split by ethnicity (n=12,122)

Measure	n	White				Asian or Asian British					Black or Black British			Mixed				Other	
		White British (0)	Irish (14)	Gypsy or Irish traveller (15)	Other (Inc Roma) (17)	Bangladeshi (1)	Chinese (2)	Indian (3)	Pakistani (3)	Other (5)	African (6)	Caribbean (7)	Other (8)	White and Asian (9)	White and Black African (10)	White and Black Caribbean (11)	Other (12)	Arab (18))	Other (19)
Est Population		7,711,631	29,513	21,503	618,565	212,088	70,743	406,916	528,907	229,857	436,079	97,739	137,197	247,918	127,012	227,581	195,124	101,577	210,797
All Deaths		1 (Ref)	2.30 (1.79-2.96)	2.23 (1.56-3.19)	2.01 (1.87-2.16)	2.98 (2.62-3.38)	0.70 (0.51-0.96)	1.29 (1.17-1.43)	4.17 (3.90-4.46)	3.10 (2.80-3.43)	3.93 (3.63-4.26)	3.77 (3.21-4.44)	2.47 (2.10-2.90)	0.78 (0.67-0.90)	1.15 (0.94-1.39)	1.36 (1.19-1.55)	1.48 (1.30-1.69)	0.92 (0.70-1.20)	2.32 (2.05-2.61)
Death by Cause																			
Malignancy		1 (Ref)	NA	NA	1.59 (1.24-2.04)	0.47 (0.21-1.05)	NA	0.75 (0.49-1.14)	1.60 (1.20-2.14)	2.21 (1.57-3.12)	1.79 (1.32-2.44)	1.61 (0.85-3.04)	1.03 (0.53-2.00)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1.80 (1.21-2.68)
Preterm Birth		1 (Ref)	NA	NA	2.75 (2.39-3.16)	3.80 (2.97-4.88)	NA	1.60 (1.32-1.95)	3.65 (3.13-4.25)	2.94 (2.36-3.66)	4.81 (4.11-5.63)	5.71 (4.33-7.52)	2.70 (1.93-3.78)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2.32 (1.79-3.01)
Intrapartum Event		1 (Ref)	NA	NA	2.40 (1.84-3.14)	1.40 (0.76-2.59)	NA	1.40 (0.95-2.07)	1.77 (1.23-2.54)	1.55 (0.93-2.58)	1.61 (1.07-2.42)	2.25 (1.15-4.43)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1.41 (0.81-2.48)
Infection		1 (Ref)	NA	NA	1.98 (1.43-2.75)	3.78 (2.25-6.33)	NA	2.21 (1.54-3.19)	5.40 (4.09-7.13)	3.63 (2.38-5.55)	4.08 (2.86-5.82)	4.95 (2.60-9.42)	2.61 (1.28-5.30)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2.87 (1.75-4.71)
Trauma		1 (Ref)	NA	NA	2.11 (1.58-2.81)	1.38 (0.68-2.80)	NA	NA	2.50 (1.80-3.47)	2.32 (1.49-3.62)	3.74 (2.73-5.12)	5.51 (3.35-9.08)	4.50 (2.78-7.29)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2.15 (1.32-3.52)
SUDIC		1 (Ref)	NA	NA	1.16 (0.93-1.44)	1.80 (1.22-2.66)	NA	0.82 (0.60-1.10)	1.88 (1.50-2.36)	1.89 (1.40-2.57)	3.36 (2.72-4.14)	2.45 (1.51-3.98)	2.56 (1.74-3.76)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1.28 (0.87-1.87)
Underlying Disease		1 (Ref)	NA	NA	2.24 (1.96-2.26)	5.57 (4.60-6.75)	NA	1.50 (1.26-1.80)	8.54 (0.73-9.43)	5.00 (4.26-5.86)	5.11 (4.43-5.90)	3.62 (2.59-5.05)	3.11 (2.33-4.16)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	3.26 (2.66-3.98)
Suicide		1 (Ref)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Substance Abuse		1 (Ref)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Values are IRR (95% CI)

Adjusted for deprivation decile, age category, region of England and area (Rural or Urban).

NA – Not available due to small numbers

Figure 1. Unadjusted rate of death per 100,000 person years, by ethnic group, and local deprivation



Deprivation measured using the Index of Multiple Deprivation (Office of National Statistics)

eFigure 1. Unadjusted rate of death per 100,000 person years, and age at death (3 point smoothed)



eTable 1. Characteristics of CYP deaths reported to NCMD in England between April 2019 and March 2023; split by ethnicity

Measure	N	White	Asian	Black	Mixed	Other	p-value
All Deaths	12,142	7758	2242	1039	742	361	
Age (Categories)	12,142						<0.001
0-4 years	8569	5374 (69.3%)	1639 (73.1%)	758 (73.0%)	550 (74.1%)	248 (68.7%)	
4-15 years	2537	1643 (21.2%)	463 (20.7%)	202 (19.4%)	141 (19.0%)	88 (24.4%)	
16/17 years	1036	741 (9.6%)	140 (6.2%)	79 (7.6%)	51 (6.9%)	25 (6.9%)	
Age (Years)	12,142						<0.001
<1 year	7157	4506 (58.1%)	1337 (59.6%)	655 (63.0%)	470 (63.3%)	189 (52.4%)	
1	551	342 (4.4%)	111 (5.0%)	43 (4.1%)	29 (3.9%)	26 (7.2%)	
2	362	225 (2.9%)	75 (3.4%)	31 (3.0%)	18 (2.4%)	16 (4.4%)	
3	278	171 (2.2%)	66 (2.9%)	10 (1.0%)	22 (3.0%)	9 (2.5%)	
4	218	130 (1.7%)	50 (2.2%)	19 (1.8%)	11 (1.5%)	9 (2.2%)	
5	205	122 (1.6%)	43 (1.9%)	17 (1.6%)	10 (1.4%)	13 (3.6%)	
6	194	108 (1.4%)	49 (2.2%)	19 (1.8%)	10 (1.4%)	8 (2.2%)	
7	175	123 (1.6%)	33 (1.5%)	7 (0.7%)	8 (1.1%)	NA	
8	184	123 (1.6%)	30 (1.3%)	14 (1.4%)	13 (1.4%)	NA	
9	186	117 (1.5%)	39 (1.7%)	14 (1.4%)	8 (1.1%)	8 (2.2%)	
10	158	101 (1.3%)	25 (1.1%)	12 (1.25)	13 (1.8%)	7 (1.9%)	
11	207	137 (1.8%)	39 (1.7%)	16 (1.5%)	12 (1.6%)	NA	
12	243	160 (2.1%)	44 (2.0%)	21 (2.0%)	9 (1.2%)	9 (2.5%)	
13	291	185 (2.4%)	55 (2.5%)	27 (2.4%)	14 (1.9%)	12 (3.2%)	
14	309	197 (2.5%)	52 (2.3%)	27 (2.6%)	24 (3.2%)	9 (2.5%)	
15	385	270 (3.7%)	54 (2.4%)	30 (2.9%)	20 (2.7%)	11 (3.0%)	
16	424	287 (3.7%)	66 (2.9%)	40 (3.9%)	24 (3.2%)	7 (1.9%)	
17	612	454 (5.9%)	74 (3.3%)	39 (3.8%)	27 (3.6%)	18 (5.0%)	
Sex	12,108						0.078
Female	5210	3277 (42.3%)	1023 (45.7%)	444 (42.9%)	310 (42.2%)	156 (43.2%)	
Male	6898	4463 (57.7%)	1214 (54.3%)	591 (57.1%)	425 (57.8%)	205 (56.8%)	
Region	12,142						<0.001
East Midlands	1012	731 (9.4%)	131 (5.8%)	62 (6.0%)	75 (10.1%)	13 (3.6%)	
East of England	1165	837 (10.8%)	144 (6.4%)	78 (7.5%)	77 (10.4%)	29 (8.0%)	
London	2006	731 (9.4%)	557 (24.8%)	457 (44.0%)	186 (25.1%)	135 (37.1%)	
North East	602	499 (6.4%)	58 (2.6%)	21 (2.0%)	8 (1.1%)	167 (4.4%)	
North West	1762	1237 (15.9%	335 (15.0%)	80 (7.7%)	68 (9.2%)	42 (11.6%)	
South East	1640	1178 (15.2%)	226 (10.1%)	102 (9.8%)	103 (13.9%)	31 (8.6%)	
South West	914	792 (10.2%)	31 (1.4%)	21 (3.0%)	42 (5.7%)	18 (5.0%)	
West Midlands	1652	910 (11.7%)	429 (19.1%)	71 (13.2%)	121 (16.3%)	55 (15.2%)	
Yorkshire and the Humber	1329	843 (10.9%)	331 (14.8%)	71 (6.8%)	62 (8.4%)	22 (6.1%)	
Deprivation Decile	12,081						<0.001
1 (Most Deprived)	2315	1299 (16.8%)	574 (25.6%)	241 (23.3%)	131 (17.7%)	70 (20.4%)	
2	1817	979 (12.7%)	423 (18.9%)	230 (22.2%)	126 (17.0%)	59 (17.2%)	
3	1493	836 (10.8%)	331 (14.8%)	181 (17.5%)	93 (12.6%)	52 (15.2%)	
4	1256	757 (9.8%)	250 (11.2%)	130 (12.6%)	79 (10.7%)	40 (11.7%)	
5	1112	688 (8.9%)	219 (9.8%)	105 (10.1%)	73 (9.9%)	27 (7.9%)	
6	998	740 (9.6%)	135 (6.0%)	52 (5.0%)	46 (6.2%)	25 (7.3%)	
7	877	656 (8.5%)	102 (4.6%)	38 (3.7%)	54 (7.3%)	27 (7.9%)	
8	802	632 (8.2%)	72 (3.2%)	37 (3.6%)	51 (6.9%)	10 (2.9%)	
9	771	618 (8.0%)	76 (3.4%)	14 (1.4%)	40 (5.4%)	23 (6.7%)	
10 (Least Deprived)	640	516 (6.7%)	58 (2.6%)	8 (0.8%)	48 (6.5%)	10 (2.9%)	
Area	12,085						<0.001
Rural	10,705	6430 (83.3%)	2219 (99.1%)	1023 (98.7%)	697 (94.1%)	336 (98.0%)	
Urban	1380	1294 (15.8%)	21 (0.9%)	14 (1.4%)	44 (5.9%)	7 (2.0%)	

Values are number (%)
NA – Not available due to small numbers

eTable 2. Number of deaths reported to NCMD in England between April 2019 and March 2023; split by ethnicity

Measure	N	White	Asian	Black	Mixed	Other	p-value
All Deaths	12,142	7758	2242	1039	742	361	
Death by Cause	11,872						<0.001
Malignancy	999	717 (9.4%)	125 (5.8%)	69 (6.8%)	49 (6.7%)	39 (11.0%)	
Preterm Birth	2703	1691 (22.3%)	477 (22.0%)	287 (28.2%)	182 (24.8%)	39 (11.0%)	
Intrapartum Event	650	462 (6.1%)	89 (4.1%)	40 (3.9%)	44 (6.0%)	15 (4.2%)	
Infection	613	374 (4.9%)	141 (6.5%)	54 (5.3%)	21 (2.9%)	23 (6.5%)	
Trauma	773	519 (6.8%)	96 (4.4%)	82 (8.1%)	54 (7.4%)	22 (6.2%)	
SUDIC	3840	1261 (16.6%)	209 (9.6%)	147 (14.4%)	139 (19.0%)	37 (10.4%)	
Underlying Disease	1793	2180 (28.7%)	1001 (46.1%)	316 (31.0%)	206 (28.1%)	137 (38.6%)	
Suicide	451	350 (4.6%)	32 (1.5%)	22 (2.2%)	33 (4.5%)	14 (3.9%)	
Substance Abuse	50	42 (0.6%)	-	-	5 (0.7%)	-	

Values are n(%)

eTable 3. Incident Rate Ratio (IRR) of CYP death by ethnicity, stratified by age in years

Measure	N	White	Asian	Black	Mixed	Other	$p_{interaction}$
Age (Years)	12,142						<0.001
<1 year	7157	1 (Ref)	2.53 (2.38-2.70)	3.93 (3.60-4.28)	1.05 (0.96-1.16)	1.70 (1.47-1.98)	
1	551	1 (Ref)	2.71 (2.16-3.39)	3.21 (2.30-4.48)	0.85 (0.58-1.25)	3.02 (2.01-4.54)	
2	362	1 (Ref)	2.98 (2.26-3.92)	3.68 (2.46-5.50)	0.87 (0.54-1.41)	2.82 (1.66-4.80)	
3	278	1 (Ref)	3.19 (2.36-4.30)	1.42 (0.74-2.74)	1.39 (0.89-2.18)	2.03 (1.03-4.01)	
4	218	1 (Ref)	3.09 (2.18-4.38)	3.69 (2.21-6.16)	0.92 (0.50-1.72)	2.44 (1.18-5.03)	
5	205	1 (Ref)	2.75 (1.90-3.97)	3.15 (1.84-5.40)	0.89 (0.47-1.71)	3.50 (1.91-6.42)	
6	194	1 (Ref)	3.78 (2.63-5.42)	4.24 (2.52-7.15)	1.09 (0.57-2.09)	2.96 (1.42-6.15)	
7	175	1 (Ref)	2.11 (1.40-3.17)	1.23 (0.56-2.70)	0.78 (0.38-1.60)	NA	
8	184	1 (Ref)	1.78 (1.17-2.71)	2.15 (1.17-3.95)	1.23 (0.69-2.19)	NA	
9	186	1 (Ref)	2.48 (1.69-3.64)	2.39 (1.33-4.29)	0.81 (0.39-1.66)	2.42 (1.17-5.03)	
10	158	1 (Ref)	2.05 (1.29-3.25)	2.58 (1.36-4.88)	1.67 (0.93-3.00)	2.30 (0.99-5.32)	
11	207	1 (Ref)	2.37 (1.63-3.45)	2.58 (1.49-4.48)	1.14 (0.63-2.06)	NA	
12	243	1 (Ref)	2.19 (1.53-3.12)	2.59 (1.58-4.23)	0.74 (0.38-1.45)	1.84 (0.89-3.79)	
13	291	1 (Ref)	2.20 (1.60-3.04)	2.36 (1.51-3.71)	0.98 (0.57-1.70)	2.26 (1.24-4.11)	
14	309	1 (Ref)	2.14 (1.55-2.96)	2.64 (1.71-4.07)	1.73 (1.13-2.65)	1.39 (0.65-2.98)	
15	385	1 (Ref)	1.46 (1.07-2.00)	1.71 (1.13-2.59)	1.03 (0.65-1.63)	1.03 (0.50-2.09)	
16	424	1 (Ref)	1.71 (1.29-2.27)	2.35 (1.63-3.37)	1.20 (0.78-1.82)	0.83 (0.39-1.78)	
17	612	1 (Ref)	1.22 (0.94-1.58)	1.35 (0.95-1.91)	0.92 (0.62-1.36)	1.21 (0.73-2.02)	

Values are IRR (95% CI)
Adjusted for deprivation decile (IMD), region of England and area (Rural or Urban).
NA – Not available due to small numbers

eTable 4. Number of deaths reported to NCMD in England between April 2019 and March 2023; split by detailed measures of ethnicity (n=12,122)

Measure	**N**	White				Asian or Asian British					Black or Black British			Mixed				Other		p-value
	N	British	Irish	Gypsy or Irish traveller	Other (Inc Roma, Gypsy or Irish traveller)	Bangladeshi	Chinese	Indian	Pakistani	Other	African	Caribbean	Other	White and Asian	White and Black African	White and Black Caribbean	Other	Arab	Other	
All Deaths		6781	68	31	871	262	40	405	1113	417	729	156	154	180	103	225	234	59	294	
Death by Cause																				<0.001
Malignancy		639 (9.6%)	NA	NA	72 (8.4%)	6 (2.3%)	NA	111 (27.9%)	204 (19.2%)	87 (21.4%)	196 (27.6%)	10 (6.4%)	9 (5.9%)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	30 (10.3%)	
Preterm Birth		1434 (21.6%)	NA	NA	238 (27.8%)	69 (26.7%)	NA	111 (27.9%)	204 (19.2%)	87 (21.4%)	196 (27.6%)	55 (35.3%)	36 (23.5%)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	61 (21.0%)	
Intrapartum Event		390 (5.9%)	NA	NA	69 (8.1%)	11 (4.3%)	NA	28 (7.0%)	34 (3.2%)	16 (3.9%)	27 (3.8%)	9 (5.8%)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	13 (4.5%)	
Infection		330 (5.0%)	NA	NA	42 (4.9%)	16 (6.2%)	NA	33 (8.3%)	67 (6.3%)	24 (5.9%)	37 (5.2%)	10 (6.4%)	8 (5.2%)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	17 (5.9%)	
Trauma		446 (6.7%)	NA	NA	56 (6.6%)	8 (3.1%)	NA	NA	21 (5.3%)	42 (3.9%)	21 (5.2%)	17 (10.9%)	18 (11.8%)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	20 (6.9%)	
SUDIC		1157 (17.4%)	NA	NA	89 (10.4%)	27 (10.5%)	NA	45 (11.3%)	85 (8.0%)	44 (10.8%)	104 (14.6%	17 (10.9%)	27 (17.7%)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	27 (9.3%)	
Underlying Disease		1890 (28.5%)	NA	NA	255 (29.8%)	117 (45.4%)	NA	128 (32.2%)	566 (53.2%)	174 (42.8%)	232 (32.6%)	36 (23.1%)	48 (31.4%)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	107 (36.9%)	
Suicide		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Substance Abuse		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	

Values are n(%)