Title: *Dietary plant diversity predicts early life microbiome maturation*

Teresa K. McDonald[1], Ammara Aqeel[1], Ben Neubert[1], Anna Bauer[1], Sharon Jiang[1], Olivia

Osborne[1], Nolan Ives[1], Danting Jiang[10], Filemon Bucardo[6,8], Lester Gutiérrez[23], Luis Zambrana[7],

Kirsten Jenkins[10], Jennifer Gilner[11], Javier Rodriguez[12], Amanda Lai[14], Jonathan P. Smith[16,17],

Rinn Song[18], Kazi Ahsan[19,20], Sheraz Ahmed[21], Sanam Iram Soomro[21], Fayaz Umrani[21],

Michael Barratt[19,20], Jeffrey I. Gordon[19,20], Asad Ali[21], Najeeha Iqbal[21], Jillian H. Hurst[10,11],

Victoria Martin[2,3,4], Wayne Shreffler[2,3,5], Qian Yuan[2,3,4], Joe M. Brown[13], Neeraj K. Surana[1,22,15],

Samuel Vilchez[6], Sylvia Becker-Dreps[6,7], Lawrence A David[1,15]

[1] Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, Duke University School of Medicine,

Durham, North Carolina, USA

[2]Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

[3] Food Allergy Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

[4] Division of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition, Massachusetts General Hospital for

Children, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

[5] Division of Pediatric Allergy and Immunology, Massachusetts General Hospital for Children,

Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

[6]Department of Family Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North

Carolina, USA.

[7]Department of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North

Carolina, USA.

[8]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, Chapel

Hill, North Carolina, USA.

[9]Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Nicaragua León, León, Nicaragua

[10]Department of Pediatrics, Division of Infectious Diseases, Duke University School of Medicine,

Durham, North Carolina, USA

[11]Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Maternal-Fetal Medicine, Duke

University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, USA

[12]Department of Pediatrics, Children's Clinical Research Unit, Duke University School of

Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, USA

[13]Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Gillings School of Global Public

Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA.

[14]The Aquaya Institute, San Anselmo, California, USA.

[15]Duke Microbiome Center, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, USA

[16]Department of Health Policy and Management, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven,

Connecticut, USA.

[17]Division of Global HIV and Tuberculosis, U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention,

Atlanta, Georgia, USA.

[18]Oxford Vaccine Group, Department of Pediatrics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.

[19]The Edison Family Center for Genome Sciences and Systems Biology, Washington University

School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110 USA

[20] Newman Center for Gut Microbiome and Nutrition Research, Washington University School of

Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110 USA

[21] Aga Khan University, Medical College, Pakistan

[22]Department of Pediatrics, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, USA

[23]Centro de Investigación de Enfermedades Tropicales (CIET). Facultad de Microbiología.
Universidad de Costa Rica, San José, Costa Rica.
48
49

50
51

Summary

Despite established links between the infant gut microbiome and health, how complementary

feeding shapes colonization remains unclear. Using FoodSeq, a DNA-based dietary

assessment technique, we surveyed food intake across 729 children (0-3 y) from North and

Central America, Africa, and Asia. We detected 199 unique plant food sequences, with only

eight staples shared across all countries. Despite this global variation, early-life diets followed a

common trajectory: weaning stage emerged as the dominant dietary signature across

populations. Crucially, dietary diversity did not correlate with gut microbial diversity. Instead,

dietary diversity and weaning stage specifically predicted the abundance of adult-associated

bacteria. Our findings support a two-stage maturation model: an initial milk-dominated phase,

followed by a diet-responsive phase that yields adult-like communities. Monitoring and

promoting plant dietary diversity may thus support timely microbiome maturation worldwide.

Introduction

In the first years of life, the human gut transitions from a sterile state to a diverse microbial ecosystem. During this transition, the gut microbiome transforms from an 'immature' state -- characterized by a limited set of milk-adapted taxa -- to a 'mature' adult-like community harboring fiber-degrading taxa and a diversity of other commensal species[1–3]. Proper microbial succession is fundamental to healthy metabolism, immune development, and disease resistance. Indeed, disruptions in early life colonization and microbiome maturation increase risk of conditions such as obesity[4], allergy[5], and Type I diabetes[6]. Consequently, the first years of life represent a critical window when microbiome development can have lifelong health effects.

Despite the importance of the gut microbiome in healthy infant development, the specific factors guiding early microbiome colonization remain less clear. Well-established influences include antibiotic exposure, which reduces bacterial diversity and stability; caesarean delivery, which alters the initial microbial repertoire; and formula feeding, which lowers *Bifidobacterium* abundance yet increases overall microbial diversity[7]. Another pivotal factor is cessation of breastfeeding[3,2,8], which triggers a decline in the abundance of milk-adapted microbial taxa.

One area of microbiome development, that remains underdeveloped, however, is the precise role that complementary feeding plays in microbial succession[1]. While diet is known to reproducibly alter the gut microbiome in adults[9], few studies have found evidence directly linking specific dietary factors to microbiome maturation by concurrently measuring diet and the microbiome in young children. Exceptions have either tested narrow food interventions[10–13] or were conducted within a single cultural and dietary context[14–17]. Other studies have measured microbiome composition across more diverse dietary traditions[8,18]; still such studies have been limited in sample size or have often lacked detailed dietary data, leading comparisons to be on population-level dietary patterns rather than individual-level diet metrics.

A major barrier to understanding the relationship between diet and microbiome

maturation is the challenge of accurately characterizing infant diet. Surveys, the gold standard

and traditional approach for collecting dietary data, are labor-intensive[56] require baseline levels

of literacy[51], incompletely capture minority or ethnic foods[52-54], and are vulnerable to social

desirability bias[19,20]. Dietary assessment in children poses additional obstacles, as it relies on

secondhand reporting by parents and guardians who may not observe all meals when children

are under the supervision of other caregivers. In the United States, for instance, a quarter of 2-5

year olds' energy intake is consumed outside the home[21]. Such incomplete observation

contributes to reporting bias exemplified by validation studies with doubly-labeled water that

show infant dietary intake is overestimated by 7-29%[22–27]. Finally, the technical challenges with

infant dietary assessment only multiply in multi-country settings, as assessments must be

adapted for each different geographic, cultural, and linguistic setting[28] For example, there are

over 100 versions of a standardized survey for comparing population-level global diets[29]. In

concert, these barriers have prevented systematic investigation of the relationship between

early life microbiome development and infant diet across diverse human populations.

Remarkable diversity in early-life diets worldwide

To perform a global survey of infant microbiome development and diet, we assembled a

meta-cohort from existing longitudinal studies and population health surveillance surveys of

1036 fecal samples from 729 children aged 0-3 years from five countries across multiple

continents: the USA (n=144), Nicaragua (n=457), Cambodia (n=178), Kenya (n=228), and

Pakistan (n=29). These sites were selected to encompass wide variation in geography,

socioeconomic status, and dietary traditions, as well as varying burdens of infectious diseases

common in early childhood. Stool samples and dietary information were collected; further details

on cohort characteristics and methodologies are provided in the methods and Table S1.

We applied FoodSeq, an objective DNA sequencing-based dietary assessment[30], to our

cohort. FoodSeq is an amplicon sequencing-based technique that sequences the leucine gene

(*trnL*) from plant plastids or the 12S rRNA gene from animal mitochondria. By mapping *trnL* and

12S sequencing reads to a curated reference database, FoodSeq identifies and quantifies foods

of plant and animal origins at a taxonomic level. Unlike traditional dietary surveys, FoodSeq

provides objective measurements that are independent of caregiver reporting and remain

consistent across varying income levels, health conditions, and infant feeding practices. The

diet data generated by FoodSeq also has the advantage of being temporally synchronized with

corresponding microbiome data, as both sets of genomic analyses can be generated from the

same fecal sample.

FoodSeq revealed extensive variety and breadth in early life diets across our global

cohort (Fig. 1). With plant FoodSeq, we detected 113 species and 86 assigned sequence

variants (ASVs) that matched at a higher level (e.g. genus), encompassing 389 potential dietary

plant species. Of the plant ASVs, 42% were detected exclusively in a single country (Fig. S1A),

with unique country-specific foods ranging from longan and lotus root in Cambodia, to jute

mallow and cassava in Kenya, to raspberry in the USA (Fig. 1A). By contrast, just eight global

staples[31] showed consistent prevalence in all five countries (detected in >5% of each country's

samples): wheat, corn, rice, banana/plantain, tomatoes and other nightshades (potato, etc.),

mangifera (mangos), and alliums (onion, leek, garlic, chives) (Fig. 1A, foods in bold).

Despite the breadth of plant species in our global survey, principal component analysis

(PCA) revealed that the primary axis of dietary variation, PC1, was likely driven by the overall

presence of plant matter in the diet rather than specific dietary composition (Fig. 2A-B). Unlike

subsequent PCs, which displayed mixed positive and negative loadings indicative of tradeoffs in

plant species abundance, PC1 exhibited exclusively positive loadings for common foods (Fig.

2C). This pattern suggests that PC1 captures the extent of plant consumption rather than

regional dietary differences. Supporting this interpretation, PC1 was strongly correlated with

both infant age (Fig. 2D, Spearman's ρ =0.25, p<0.001) and overall plant FoodSeq richness

(pFR, the number of unique sequences assigned to our human foods database in each sample;

Fig. 2E, ρ =0.69, p<0.001). These associations are consistent with the expected trajectory of

weaning as infants gradually incorporate more variety of solid foods into their diets as they

mature. Direct comparisons of dietary diversity and age confirmed our interpretation, showing

that pFR consistently increased across the first year of life until stabilizing (Fig. 2G; Spearman's

ρ =0.27, p<0.001). Taken together, these findings argue that FoodSeq effectively captures the

dietary shifts associated with weaning.

While weaning stage dominated the first PC, the second PC revealed country-specific

dietary signatures partitioned by their relative intakes of regional staple plants like rice (enriched

in Cambodia), banana/plantain (enriched in Nicaragua), or sorghum and millet (enriched in

Kenya). Cross-country differences also emerged in dietary diversity more broadly, with median

pFR ranging from 4 in Nicaragua to 10 in Cambodia (Fig. 2H). Such values aligned with

previously reported food variety scores, which found mean food variety ranges from 5.5 to 10

among children from 6-24 months in Africa and Central America[32–34]. The timing and rate of

dietary diversification also varied by country. For instance, the diets of Cambodian children

diversified early and rapidly until pFR plateaued at 8 months of age, whereas US infants

showed a more gradual rise in pFR until 13 months (Fig. 3B).

We note that in contrast to the diversity of plant-associated species and dietary patterns

observed in our cohort, we detected a more limited breadth of dietary animals. A total of 28

dietary animal species were detected. Observed animals included widely utilized livestock such

as pig, chicken, and cow, as well as more region-specific animals including water buffalo, the

primary source of milk in Pakistan, and various fish species in Cambodia. Overall, however,

41% of infant samples had no non-human animal DNA detected, and only 33% had more than

one animal species detected. Only the US and Cambodia had a non-zero median count of

animal species present (Fig. S1B). The limited detection of dietary animals was consistent with

the higher cost of animal-based foods and the majority of human animal-based foods originating

from cattle, poultry, and pigs[28] for the locations of infants sampled here. Given the limited

detected range of animal species, the distinct nutritional characteristics of different animal

products from the same species (beef, dairy), as well as the known importance of nutrients like

fiber for the gut microbiome, we focused our subsequent analyses on plant-based foods and gut

microbiome development.

Patterns of microbiome maturation

177   Prior to analyzing the relationship between early-life dietary and microbiome

development, we first confirmed that our cohort followed well-established patterns of early-life

gut microbiome maturation[3]. Microbiome alpha-diversity increased steadily from birth (Fig. 3A)

through age two, regardless of country (Fig. 3B). In terms of inter-individual variation (beta-

diversity), both country of origin (Fig. 3C) and age (Fig. 3D) were significant factors (country

R^2=0.4675, p=0.001, age R^2=0.4721, p=0.001; EnvFit on Unweighted Unifrac. Fig. S2A). In

cohorts where data were available on breastfeeding status (USA, Nicaragua, Cambodia) and

birth mode (USA, Nicaragua), both factors were associated with microbiome composition.

(Breastfeeding: R^2= 0.1377, p=0.001; Birth mode: R^2= 0.0088, p=0.014, Envfit on unweighted

Unifrac, controlling for age and country). Thus, consistent with previous literature, we observed

both increased diversification of the microbiome with age, as well as notable roles for

breastfeeding status and birth mode in shaping microbiome structure in our cohort[35].

189   The specific bacterial taxa observed in our infant cohort also aligned with prior studies[1–

3,8], as hierarchical clustering revealed a microbial succession pattern (Fig. S3A). We identified

an early-life cluster (Cluster 9), enriched in *Bifidobacterium* and *Streptococcus,* and a

'transitional' cluster (Cluster 1), abundant at ages 12-18 months, characterized by plant

degraders, *Blautia* and *Ruminococcus,* as well as the probiotic genus *Ligilactobacillus* (Fig. 4A)*.*

Following this transition, a late-microbiome cluster (Cluster 5) emerged between 21-36 months

that more closely resembled the adult microbiome, featuring *Bacteroides vulgatus* and

Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, which ferment plant fiber into butyrate and other short chain fatty

acids, and *Prevotella copri,* a core fiber-metabolizing taxon[36] (Fig. 4A). In parallel, we

constructed a Random Forest model that successfully predicted infant age given input

microbiome data. The RF model identified *Faecalibacterium* and *Bifidobacterium* as top

predictor variables, mirroring both our hierarchical clustering results and previously published

models of infant microbiome development[1] (Fig.S4).

Dietary diversity does not predict microbiome diversity

Having found that infant microbiota in our cohort matured as expected from previous

studies, we next compared patterns of dietary maturation to gut microbiome maturation.

Surprisingly, we did not see consistent evidence that dietary diversity predicted gut microbial

diversity. Microbial alpha diversity continued to increase until at least 14-16 months of age (Fig.

3A) well after dietary diversity (as measured by pFR) had plateaued (Fig. 3B; 2G). We did

observe associations between dietary diversity and microbiome diversity within several

countries but associations were not consistent; for example, pFR correlated with microbiome

Shannon diversity in Kenya and the United States, whereas in Nicaragua, the relationship was

reversed (Fig. S2C). Further, when we constructed global models controlling for age and

country, pFR did not correlate wtih overall microbiome diversity measures (Shannon diversity

and 16S richness both non-significant (Fig. S2D, F). Thus, although children began eating

complex diets soon after introduction of solid foods, the gut microbiome continued to diversify

even after dietary complexity had been reached.

Diet is associated with later stage microbes during gut colonization

We next hypothesized that although dietary diversity may not directly correlate with

overall microbial diversification, plant richness and weaning stage would be associated with

microbiome maturity. Indeed, despite substantial geographic variation in diet, we found pFR was

positively correlated with the 'Transitional Microbiome' cluster, comprised of *Blautia* and

Ruminococcus, and the 'Late Microbiome' cluster, comprised of *Bacteroides*, *Faecalibacterium*,

and *Prevotella*, even after controlling for age and country (Fig. 4C, D). Likewise, PC1 was

positively correlated with 'Late Microbiome' cluster abundance (Fig. 4E).

Given that we sourced fecal samples across multiple study sites, we re-fitted all models

while (i) adjusting for diarrhea, weight-for-height z-score, RUTF intake, and sequencing depth,

and (ii) adding participation in the Cambodian nutrition-education intervention. None of these

adjustments altered the direction or significance of the associations between plant richness

(pFR) and the Transitional or Late microbiome clusters, nor did they create any association with

Shannon diversity (Fig. S5,6).

Ultimately, as increased dietary diversity (i.e., pFR) and weaning stage (i.e., PC1) both

reflect complementary feeding and the introduction of an adult-like diet, our findings support the

hypothesis that introduction of an adult-like diet stimulates maturation toward an adult-like

microbiome. Notably, the 'Early Microbiome' cluster did not correlate with dietary diversity (Fig.

4B) or PC1, consistent with the hypothesis that milk consumption, rather than solid food

introduction, primarily shapes gut microbial ecology prior to weaning. These results suggest that

the transition to an adult-like microbiome specifically is driven by the cumulative introduction of

diverse solid foods, but that other transitions within the microbiome maturation process, such as

overall microbiome diversification, are not driven by solid food diversity. These transitions are

furthermore unlikely to be driven by consumption of particular foods or specific dietary patterns,

as we observed conserved microbiome microbial responses to diversity across countries with

highly unique and non-overlapping diets. More broadly, our findings point to a developmental

sequence wherein milk consumption governs early microbiome composition, while the

subsequent introduction of solid plant foodsdrives the transition toward a mature gut microbial

community.

Discussion

In concert, our findings here refine existing models linking weaning to microbiome

development in the infant gut. Our data do not reveal simple linear relationships between dietary

and microbiome diversity across early life. This negative finding, however, is consistent with our

increasing understanding that microbial ecosystem diversity does not necessarily predict

community function[37,38]. Instead, our data support a two-stage developmental model: an early

phase governed by milk consumption, followed by a maturation phase shaped by dietary

diversity. In this second stage, plant dietary diversity and the child's physiologic age together

predict the colonization by select groups of gut bacterial taxa associated with adult-like

microbiome function. Notably, despite the broad range of complementary feeding patterns

across our geographically diverse cohorts, we observed similar successional trends,

underscoring the functional redundancy[39] that gut microbes can share, regardless of

environmental differences.

Early life nutrition remains one of the most critical challenges in global health, with

lasting consequences for child development and lifelong health outcomes[40]. Our findings

confirm that adequate and diverse plant consumption during the complementary feeding period

foster maturation of the gut microbiome toward an adult-like state rich in fiber-degrading

bacteria, extending the known benefits of dietary diversity beyond micronutrient adequacy[41,42]

and food allergy prevention[43]. Importantly, we show that simply counting the number of distinct

plant foods effectively measures this microbial transition, potentially offering a more accessible

and practical complement to widely used dietary indices such as the WHO minimum dietary

diversity score (MDD), which assesses diversity as consumption across at least five of eight

food groups.[44] Implementing straightforward, 'pro-gut' complementary feeding guidance based

on overall plant dietary richness could enable easier and more precise monitoring by caregivers

and health workers across diverse settings, ultimately supporting appropriate microbiome

maturation among infants worldwide.

275
Materials and Methods

Study populations and stool sampling

Cambodia

Cambodian samples were obtained as extracted DNA from stool samples collected as part of

the Integrated Nutrition, Hygiene and Sanitation Project (NOURISH), conducted across three

rural provinces[45]. The NOURISH study involved both nutrition and sanitation interventions. The

nutrition intervention specifically consisted of caregiver nutrition education, direct cash

payments contingent upon regular health visit attendance, and provision of two $5 food

vouchers. Of the Cambodian samples in our study, 101 (56.7%) originated from children

enrolled in either of the nutrition intervention arms (arm 1: nutrition intervention only; arm 2:

nutrition intervention+sanitation). Our selection prioritized samples from children who had not

experienced diarrhea or fever within the week preceding sample collection; however, 14

samples came from children who had recently experienced diarrhea, 156 from children without

recent diarrhea, and five lacking diarrhea data. Additionally, seven samples came from children

who had received RUTF or a local alternative, independent of the intervention protocol. The

cohort overall exhibited a high burden of enteric pathogens, although most pathogen-positive

children were asymptomatic at the time of sample collection.

Kenya

Kenyan samples were obtained as extracted DNA from stool samples collected in Kisumu,

Kenya as part of the TOTO study[46]. The TOTO cohort comprised children generally

representative of the broader Kenyan pediatric population. Children were enrolled in the TOTO

study when presenting for clinical care with symptoms of respiratory illness raising clinical

suspicion for tuberculosis infection. Full study enrollment criteria and clinical characteristics of

the original TOTO study have been previously published[46]. Of the 228 Kenyan samples included

in our analysis, 70 (30.8%) reported receiving nutritional supplmentation with RUTF or a local

alternative as part of clinical care, independent of microbiome sampling protocol.

Nicaragua

Nicaraguan samples were obtained as stool slurries (stored 1:1 in phosphate-buffered saline,

PBS) from the *Sapovirus*-Associated Gastro-Enteritis (SAGE) study[47], which enrolled children at

risk of diarrheal disease in León, Nicarauga. Full enrollment criteria and clinical characteristics

of the original SAGE study have been previously published[47]. In addition to the previously

described control (n=33) and diarrheal (n=32) samples, we obtained n=391 additional stool

samples collected for monthly surveillance from study participants. Non-diarrheal surveillance

samples were specifically selected from children who had not experienced a diarrhea episode

within the preceeding month. Only surveillance samples from children aged 2-12 months were

included in our 16S microbiome analysis.

Pakistan

The Pakistan samples were obtained as extracted DNA from fecal samples collected as part of

the Study of Environmental Enteropathy (SEEM), a prospective longitudinal cohort study,

conducted in Matiari, a rural area in Sindh, Pakistan, between March 2016 and March 2019.

The SEEM study collected samples from 50 healthy control children and longitudinal samples

from malnourished children (WHZ< -2), who were part of a malnourishment intervention arm.

For our analysis, we included stool samples (n=29) exclusively from the healthy control group

(WHZ > 0), none of whom received nutritional interventions. Approximately 1g of stool was

collected from each participant by community health workers within 10 minutes of defecation.

Samples were immediately transported from field sites in 4°C cooler boxes, stored at -80°C

upon arrival at the laboratory, and shipped on dry ice for subsequent analysis.

United States

US samples consisted of frozen solid stool or stool slurry obtained from participants enrolled in

the Project HOPE 1000 study[48] (Durham, NC, USA) and Gastrointestinal Microbiome & Allergic

Proctocolitis Study (GMAP) study (Boston, MA, USA)[49]. Project HOPE 1000 aims to develop a

biorepository of longitudinal samples collected from healthy infants over the first 1000 days of

life, with the goal of identifying early life determinants of health. The GMAP study prospectively

collected stool samples from children, some of whom subsequently developed allergies and/or

proctocolitis; however, GMAP samples analyzed in this study were exclusively from infants who

did not develop allergic disease. Breastfeeding status was documented at the time of each

sample collection for Project HOPE 1000. For GMAP, breastfeeding status was recorded at

birth and 4 months of age, but not at the exact time of stool sample collection. Therefore, infants

exclusively receiving formula at 4 months of age in GMAP were presumed to not be breastfed at

the time of stool collection.

DNA Extraction

Cambodia: Stool samples were preserved 1:1 in Zymo DNA/RNA Shield buffer (Zymo

Research, Irvine, CA) and then stored at -20°C. They were then extracted using the QIAamp 96

Virus QIAcube HT (Qiagen, Germany) protocol. 200mg of solid stool or 200uL of liquid stool

were combined with 1mL of stool lysis buffer (ASL, Qiagen, Germany) and vortexed in an SK38

soil grinding tube (Bertin Corp., Rockville, MD) for five minutes (Vortex Genie 2, Scientific

Industries, Bohemia, NY). Following a ten minute incubation at room temperature, the lysate

was centrifuged at 12,000 g for two minutes (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). 200uL of

this lysate was then used for DNA/RNA extraction with the QIAamp 96 Virus QIAcube HT

protocol.

Kenya: 1g of stool was diluted in 10mL of sterile water and emulsified with sterile glass beads.

The stool slurry was then filtered. DNA was extracted using the MagAttract PowerSoil DNA EP

kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Pakistan: 50mg of frozen fecal sample was extracted using phenol-chloroform extraction.

Briefly, samples were ground in liquid nitrogen using a mortar and pestle. 50mg of this was then

transferred to a 2mL vial. 500uL of 0.1mm diameter zirconia/silica beads were added to each

sample, along with 500 µL of phenol: chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1), 210 µL of 20% SDS

and 500 µL of buffer (200 mM NaCl, 200 mM Trizma base, 20 mM EDTA). Samples underwent

bead beating (Mini-Beadbeater-8; Biospec) to extract DNA. Extracted DNA was purified,

(Qiaquick columns, Qiagen), then eluted in 70 µL of Tris-EDTA (TE) buffer, and then quantified

(Quant-iT dsDNA broad range kit; Invitrogen). Each DNA sample was adjusted to a

concentration of 1 ng/uL.

Nicaragua and USA:

The Nicaraguan and USA (Durham, Boston) stool samples were diluted 1:10 in filtered

phosphate buffered saline (0.2uM filter) and then stored at -80°C. Samples were thawed on ice

in an anaerobic chamber. Samples were vortexed 30s and then 250uL aliquots were taken for

DNA extraction. Slurry aliquots were then randomized and extracted using the Power Soil Pro

MagAttract kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to manufacturer's instructions.

DNA Sequencing

16S rRNA Sequencing

All samples were PCR-amplified using primers targeted to the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene

using primers 515F and 806R as previously described.[50] Kenyan samples were quantified using

a Qubit dsDNA HS assay kit (ThermoFisher), pooled in equimolar concentration, and

sequenced on a MiSeq sequencer (Illumina; 2x250 base pair). Cambodian, Nicaraguan,

Pakistan, and USA samples were processed as previously described[50–52], diluted to a 10nM

concentration, and sequenced using an Illumina MiniSeq and a MiniSeq High Output kit

(FC420-1004) with paired-end 150-bp reads.

FoodSeq

FoodSeq was carried out as described in previously published protocols.[53] For plant FoodSeq,

samples were amplified in a 10uL volume with 3 µL of extracted DNA template and either a) 0.5

µL each of 10 µM forward and reverse primers (IDT), 2 µL of 5X KAPA HiFi buffer, 0.3 µL of 10

mM dNTPs, 0.1 µL of 100X SYBR Green I (Life Technologies), 0.1 µL KAPA HiFi polymerase,

3.5 µL nuclease-free water, or b) 5uL of KAPA HiFi 2X, 0.3uL each of 10 µM forward and

reverse primers (IDT), 0.1uL of 100X SYBR Green I (Life Technologies) and 1.3uL of nuclease-

free water. Method (b) uses the same components as method (a), but in a premixed format with

a slightly higher concentration of polymerase. Cycling conditions were 95°C for 3 minutes,

followed by 35 cycles of 98°C for 20 seconds, 63°C for 15 seconds, and 72°C for 15 seconds.

For animal FoodSeq, samples were amplified in a 10uL volume with 1uL of extracted DNA

template and 0.5uL of 10uM forward and reverse primer and 1uL of 100uM human blocking

primer (IDT), 0.1 uL of 100X SYBR Green, 0.25uL of 20mg/mL bovine serum albumin, and 5uL

of 2X Accustart. The amplification primers were 12SV5F and 12SV5R[54] with Illumina overhang

adapter sequences added at the 5′ end, and the human blocking primer was DeBarba14

HomoB[55]. Cycling conditions were an initial denaturation at 94°C for 3 minutes, followed by 35

cycles of 94°C for 20 seconds, 57°C for 15 seconds, and 72°C for 1 minute.

For both plant and animal FoodSeq, primary amplification was followed by secondary PCR

amplification to add Illumina adapters and dual 8-bp indices for sample multiplexing. Samples

were amplified in a 50uL volume using 5uL primary PCR product diluted 1:100 in nuclease free

water, 25uL KAPA HiFi 2X Buffer, 0.5uL 100X SYBR Green I, 9.5uL nuclease free water, 5uL

each of 2.5uM forward and reverse indexing primers.

Amplicons were cleaned (Ampure XP, Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA), quantified (QuantIT dsDNA

assay kit, Invitrogen, Waltham, MA), and pooled in equimolar ratios to create a sequencing pool.

If samples were too low in concentration to be pooled at a equimolar ratio, they were added up

to a set volume of 15-20uL. The pooled library was then concentrated, gel purified, quantified by

both fluorimeter and either qPCR or BioAnalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA). If trnL and 12SV5

amplicons were to be sequenced on the same run, they were combined at this point in

equimolar amounts. Final sequencing library was spiked with 30% PhiX (Illumina, San Diego,

CA) to mitigate low nucleotide diversity. Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina MiniSeq

according to manufacturer's instructions using a 150-cycle Mid or High kit, (Illumina, San Diego,

CA, USA), depending on the number of samples in each pool.

Data analysis

All packages used are available as a Singularity container hosted by the Duke Gitlabs

(https://gitlab.oit.duke.edu/lad-lab).

16S rRNA

All code used in bioinformatic pipeline to process 16S rRNA amplicon data can be found on

Github at tjk30/16S-pipeline. To summarize, following conversion to fastq format using Illumina's

bcl2fastq package, DNA sequencing results were demultiplexed using qiime2 with the --p-no-

golay-error-correction flag. Trimmomatic was used to remove primer sequences. Dada2

(v1.22.0) was then used to filter, trim, merge, and assign species to reads using the SILVA

database v138.1. Samples with <5000 total reads were excluded from analysis.

Richness was calculated as the sum of unique ASVs that had reads>0. Shannon diversity was

calculated using estimate_richness() from the *phyloseq* package (v.1.48.0) in R (v.4.1).

Unweighted Unifrac was performed using the SILVA v138.1 SSU phylogenetic tree and the

Unifrac() function from the *phyloseq* package. Ordination of sample distances was then

performed using cmdscale() from the R package *stats* (v4.4.1) with the flag eig=TRUE. Envfit

was run using the envfit() function from the R package *vegan (*v2.6.6.1). For calculation of the

variation captured by age and country, both variables were included in the model. For the

breastfeeding and birth mode models, each model was run controlling for country and age.

For microbiome clustering analysis, we excluded samples from children known to be

experiencing diarrhea at the time of stool sample collection (n=33/934) or acute malnutrition

(WHZ <-2), as these conditions are known to significantly impact gut microbiome

composition[56],[1]. Diarrhea data were available only from the Cambodian and Nicaraguan

cohorts. Age was binned as follows: 0-2mo, 3-5mo, 6-8mo, 8-10mo, 11-13mo, 14-16mo,17-

19mo, 20-22mo, 23-25mo, and 26+mo (binned together due to limited sampling >24mo in

Cambodia and USA). Clustering was limited to 0-36 month old children to ensure that each age

bin consisted of children from multiple countries, as only Kenya provided samples from children

older than 36 months. Taxa were filtered to those with at least 0.5% relative abundance in at

least one age bin. Rare taxa, defined as taxa detected in two or fewer samples, were removed

from analyses.

The samples that passed filtering criteria (n=818) were then used to calculate the

average relative abundance of each ASV within each age bin. In order to normalize across taxa

with different relative abundance ranges across age, relative abundances for each taxon were

converted to z-scores. Clustering analysis was performed on the Euclidean distances of the

taxa z-scores using Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Mean (UPGMA;

implemented with the hclust() function from the R package *stats*, method='average'). Clustering

analysis was then used to generate an k=10 clusters using the *stats* function cutree().

After identifying microbiome clusters in the subset of healthy children, we reincorporated the

excluded "sick" samples back into the dataset for subsequent analyses, as we wanted to

comprehensively evaluate the identified microbial clusters within the context of broader

childhood conditions and maximize statistical power. We calculated the cluster abundances for

Clusters 1-10 for all samples in the full dataset (n=934) by merging the taxa identified within

each cluster and then performing centered log ratio (clr) normalization using the R package

microbiome (v1.26.0).

FoodSeq

All code and databases used in the bioinformatic pipeline to process FoodSeq amplicon data

can be found on Github at LAD-lab/mb-pipeline. Bioinformatic processing was conducted as

previously described[30]. To summarize, following conversion to fastq format and demultiplexing

using Illumina's bcl2fastq package, primer sequences were trimmed using the qiime2 cutadapt

wrapper with the following flags: --p-error-rate 0.15, --p-minimum-length 1 ,   --p-overlap 5, p-

discard-untrimmed. Paired reads were then merged using the qiime2 dada2 wrapper with the

following flags: --p-trunc-len-f 0, --p-trunc-len-r 0, --p-max-ee-f 2, --p-max-ee-r 2, --p-trunc-q 2,

p-min-overlap 12, --p-pooling-method 'independent'.

As we used a non-error-correcting polymerase for the 12SV5 PCR amplification reactions to

maximize human blocking primer efficiency (resulting in more error-prone amplification), ASVs

mapping to the same species were glommed using tax_glom() in the R package *phyloseq* prior

to analysis. This meant that ASVs were assigned to the most similar species in the database

used from Schneider et al.[57]

pFR was calculated as the sum of unique assigned ASVs that had any detectable reads.

Unassigned ASVs that did not match a human food in our database were excluded from pFR.

Samples with a pFR >5 standard deviations outside the median were excluded from further

analysis.

For food clustering analysis, foods that did not have at least one read in one sample were

removed and a matrix was generated of the percent of samples in each country where each

assigned ASV was detected. Clustering was performed on the Euclidean distance between

each food based on its prevalence in the 5 countries using the "complete" method in the

function hclust() from the R package *stats*. The R package *ggtree* was then used for

dendrogram visualization.

Statistical Analysis

Data Ordinations

To account for the compositional nature of the data, we calculated the centered-log ratio (CLR)

transformation of DNA sequencing read counts using the transform() function of *microbiome*

v1.26.0. Principal component analysis (PCA) was then performed on CLR-transformed read

counts using the prcomp() function in the *stats* R package (v4.4.1).

Linear model design

Generalized linear models were used to model the relationship between dietary factors and

microbiome outcomes. We included age as a covariate in our models due to the strong

relationships between age and our microbiome outcomes of interest established in previous

literature.[1] We further controlled for country due to differences in age distribution, malnutrition,

enteric pathogen exposure, and breastfeeding duration between the countries assessed. Our

choice to include interaction terms in our models was determined by choosing the model with

the lowest AIC and significant chi-squared p-value in a likelihood ratio test between the reduced

model and saturated model.

The relationship between dietary diversity and microbial diversity was modeled using the call

glm(microbiome_diversity ~ pFR + country + age_months + country:age_months + pFR:country,

family = 'gaussian'). The relationship between dietary PC1 and microbiome clusters was

modeled using the call *glm(cluster ~ age_months + country + country:age_months + PC1 +*

country:PC1 + age_months:PC1, family = 'gaussian'). Cluster associations with subsequent

PCs were not tested, as country was highly collinear with PC2, accounting for half of its

variance (ANOVA, $p < 2e–16$; $R^2 \approx 0.50$ for country), which would render PC2 effects

indistinguishable from country-level associations.

When fitting within-country models, the following models were used, depending on available

covariate data:

USA and Kenya (breastfeeding data not available):

glm(microbiome_outcome ~ diet_variable + age_months, family = 'gaussian')

Pakistan: (lacked breastfeeding data; all samples came from one timepoint (12-15 months):

glm(microbiome_outcome ~ diet_variable, family = 'gaussian')

Cambodia and Nicaragua (breastfeeding data available):

glm(microbiome_outcome ~ diet_variable + currently_breastfed + age_months +

currently_breastfed:age_months + diet_variable:currently_breastfed, family= 'gaussian')

When accounting for the effects of WHZ on microbiome richness, the following models were

used:

Kenya:

glm(microbiome_diversity ~ WHZ + pFR + age_months + sex)

Cambodia:

glm(microbiome_diversity ~ WHZ + pFR + age_months + sex + currently_breastfed +

pFR:currently_breastfed + currently_breastfed:age_months + WHZ:currently_breastfed)

Institutional and Ethical Review

Stool and extracted stool DNA samples were obtained through collaborations with existing

studies via an IRB exemption (Pro00100567) from the Instutitional Review Board at Duke

University.

Use of Kenyan pediatric stool samples in this analysis was reviewed and approved by the

institutional review boards of the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the Kenya

Medical Research Institute, and the Jaramogi Oginga Odinga Hospital. Written informed

consent for the use of these stool samples was given by parents or legal guardians of

participants.

U.S. CDC Disclaimer: This work has been supported in part by the President's Emergency

Plan for AIDS Relief through the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, The findings

and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the

official position of the funding agencies.

Acknowledgements

Nicaraguan samples: The SAGE study was made possible with the NIH grants R01AI127845

and: K24AI141744.

Kenyan samples: We acknowledge the Duke Microbiome Core Facility for performing the DNA

extractions, quality control, and preparing the 16S rRNA gene sequencing library; the Duke

Sequencing and Genomic Technologies Shared Resource for sequencing the 16S rRNA gene

library. We also thank the Duke Microbiome Core Facility for performing DNA BioAnalyzer

quality assessments.

Pakistan samples: SEEM study was a multi-national collaboration between Aga Khan University

(Pakistan), Washington University in St. Louis, the University of Virginia, and Cincinnati

Children's Hospital Medical Center, funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (2016-

2019). Marty Meier provided technical assistance in stool DNA purification.

Linda Adair, Jun Zeng, Brianna Petrone, Michelle Kirtley, provided valuable scientific guidance

to this study.

Finally, we thank all of the children who were participants in this study, as well as their parents.

Without their time, effort, and participation, this study would not have occurred.

Figures

Fig. 1: Early life diet is diverse and varies globally. (A) Dendrogram of food prevalences. Rare foods (<1% frequency in at least one country) were filtered out prior to plotting. Sequences that matched to a higher taxonomic level than species are labeled with the lowest taxonomic level assigned (plants) or a representative taxonomic level (animals), and then a common name of a food that sequence matches. Sequences with multiple foods or food names associated with them are labeled with 'etc'. The 8 plant and 2 animal foods detected in at least 5% of samples in all 5 countries are labeled in bold. (B) Top 5 most prevalent foods in each country.

Fig. 2: Dietary variation across age and country. **(A)** Plant FoodSeq PCA colored by country. Country accounted for 15% of variation, age accounted for 0.6% variation, and dietary diversity accounted for 5% of variation. (PERMANOVA, p-value <0.001 for all). **(B)** PCA colored by age **(C)** PCA loadings. **(D)** Correlation between age and PC1. (E) Correlation between pFR and PC1. **(G)** pFR over time. A logistic line (black) was fit to the data. The red dashed line represents the plateau point at which the increase in pFR decelerates, calculated as the x value at which the first derivative of the line reaches 50% of its maximum. **(H)** pFR by country.

Fig. 3 The early life microbiome varies primarily by geography and age. **(A)** Microbiome diversity increases with age. A logistic line (black) was fit to the data. The red dashed line represents the point at which diversity plateaus with respect to age, defined as the point at which the first derivative of the line reached 50% of its maximum. **(B)** Dietary diversity plateaus prior to microbiome diversity. A logistic line (black) was fit to the data and the plateau point (red dashed line) calculated as in (A). **(C)** PCA of 16S rRNA sequencing data colored by country. **(D)** PCA of 16S data colored by age in months.

Fig. 4 Plant dietary diversity is correlated with the abundance of mature-microbiome marker bacteria. (A) Cluster abundance over time. **(B)** The abundance of the 'Early Microbiome' cluster, plotted against plant richness, **(C)** The abundance of the 'Transitional

Microbiome' cluster, plotted against plant richness. (**D-E)** The abundance of the 'Late Microbiome' cluster, plotted against plant richness **(D)** and PC1 **(E).** For B-E, lines plot the coefficient derived from a generalized linear model (GLM) controlling for age and country.

583
584

Table S1: Cohort Characteristics	Cambodia	Kenya	Nicaragua	Pakistan	USA
Samples	178	228	457	29	144
Participants	178	228	141	29	132
Age range of cohort (months)	1-28	0-47	2-25	12-15	2-35
Age, Months (mean, SD)	14.31 ,7.47	22.44, 13.28	7.33, 4.09	13.86, 0.58	13.42, 5.84
Male (%)	95 (53.4)	111 (48.7)	227 (49.7)	17 (58.6)	76 (52.8)
WHZ (mean (SD))	-0.69 (0.98)	-0.92 (1.38)	0.64 (1.19)	-0.15(0.66)	NaN (NA)
HAZ (mean (SD))	-1.09 (2.35)	-0.42(2.41)	0.18 (1.20)	-0.99(1.21)	NaN (NA)
WAZ (mean (SD))	-1.11 (1.47)	-0.86 (1.82)	0.55 (1.02)	-0.59(0.91)	NaN (NA)
Received nutrition (RUTF or local alternative)	7 (4%)	70 (30.8%)	NA	NA	NA
National Human Development Index [58]	Low	Medium	Medium	Low	Very High
Urbanization	Rural	Urban	Urban	Rural	Urban/Suburban
Currently Breastfed (%)					
Don't Know	9 (5.1)	228 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	29 (100.0)	71 (49.3)
No	69 (38.8)	0 (0.0)	133 (29.1)	0 (0.0)	54 (37.5)
Yes	100 (56.2)	0 (0.0)	324 (70.9)	0 (0.0)	19 (13.2)
pFR (mean (SD))	9.37 (6.69)	6.20 (4.30)	4.48 (3.88)	9.90 (4.44)	8.90 (5.71)
Diarrhea (%)					
Don't Know	5 (2.8)	228 (100.0)	392 (85.8)	29 (100.0)	144 (100.0)
No	159 (89.3)	0 (0.0)	33 (7.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Yes	14 (7.9)	0 (0.0)	32 (7.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)

Fig. S1 (A) Distribution of foods by how many countries they were each detected in. A food was counted as 'detected' if it was detected in at least 1 sample in that country (>0% threshold), or at least 1% of samples in that country (>1% threshold). (B) Animal FoodSeq diversity by country.

585
586
587

Fig. S2 (A) Unweighted Unifrac ordination. **(B)** Plant and microbiome diversity versus age, with Pakistan and Nicaragua included (see: Fig. 3B). **(C)** Scatterplots showing relationships between microbial Shannon diversity and pFR within country. (D) Scatterplot showing relationship between microbial Shannon diversity and pFR. (E) Scatterplot showing relationships between microbial richness and pFR. For C-E, line plots the coefficient of pFR derived from a generalized linear model controlling for age (C-E), and, where applicable, country (D-E) and breastfeeding status (C, Cambodia and Nicaragua).

588
589

Fig. S3: (a) Heatmap plotting age-differential microbiome clusters. **(b)** Late microbiome abundance correlated with pFR within country while controlling for breastfeeding status, where available, and age. Similar to microbiome diversity, late microbiome abundance is positively correlated with plant richness in Kenya and the USA.

Fig. S4 (A) Model fit for classifier predicting age from shuffled or true 16S rRNA relative abundance data. (B) Top predictors from Random Forest model. (C) Exact sequence

matches between the top 100 predictors in the Random Forest model, the taxa identified in the Early, Transitional, and Late clusters, and a previous study that first employed this analysis. (D) Plot of microbiome age versus actual age. Red dashed line is a theoretical perfect concordance between the two.

Fig. S5 Generalized linear models controlling for country-specific variables. **(A)** Models controlling for RUTF consumption. **(B)** Models controlling for weight-for-height z score (WHZ). **(C)** Models controlling for study intervention group within the Cambodian cohort. **(D)** Models controlling for whether or not Cambodian children were in an intervention group that included a nutrition intervention. **(E)** Models controlling for diarrhea. Each column represents an outcome variable, and each row represents a predictor variable. Results are grouped by whether the test was run on all samples with non-NA values for that variable ('Global') or within a specific country. Missing countries did not collect data for that variable.

592
593

Fig. S6. Summary of generalized linear model results for other diet metrics. **(A)** Model results for pFR calculated from data rarefied to 500 reads. **(B)** Model results for animal dietary diversity (aFR). Y axis is the dataset the test was run on ('global' for all samples, or within a specific country.) Pakistan was omitted due to low sample size (n=29). X axis represents the coefficient, indicative of effect size, of that variable in the model.

Works Cited

1. Subramanian, S., Huq, S., Yatsunenko, T., Haque, R., Mahfuz, M., Alam, M.A., Benezra, A.,
DeStefano, J., Meier, M.F., Muegge, B.D., et al. (2014). Persistent gut microbiota immaturity
in malnourished Bangladeshi children. Nature *510*, 417–421.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13421.

2. Bäckhed, F., Roswall, J., Peng, Y., Feng, Q., Jia, H., Kovatcheva-Datchary, P., Li, Y., Xia,
Y., Xie, H., Zhong, H., et al. (2015). Dynamics and Stabilization of the Human Gut
Microbiome during the First Year of Life. Cell Host Microbe *17*, 690–703.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.04.004.

3. Fahur Bottino, G., Bonham, K.S., Patel, F., McCann, S., Zieff, M., Naspolini, N., Ho, D.,
Portlock, T., Joos, R., Midani, F.S., et al. (2025). Early life microbial succession in the gut
follows common patterns in humans across the globe. Nat. Commun. *16*, 660.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-56072-w.

4. Ajslev, T.A., Andersen, C.S., Gamborg, M., Sørensen, T.I.A., and Jess, T. (2011).
Childhood overweight after establishment of the gut microbiota: the role of delivery mode,

pre-pregnancy weight and early administration of antibiotics. Int. J. Obes. *35*, 522–529.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ijo.2011.27.

5. Bager, P., Wohlfahrt, J., and Westergaard, T. (2008). Caesarean delivery and risk of atopy
and allergic disease: meta-analyses. Clin. Exp. Allergy J. Br. Soc. Allergy Clin. Immunol. *38*,
634–642. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2222.2008.02939.x.

6. Clausen, T.D., Bergholt, T., Bouaziz, O., Arpi, M., Eriksson, F., Rasmussen, S., Keiding, N.,
and Løkkegaard, E.C. (2016). Broad-Spectrum Antibiotic Treatment and Subsequent
Childhood Type 1 Diabetes: A Nationwide Danish Cohort Study. PloS One *11*, e0161654.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0161654.

7. Bokulich, N.A., Chung, J., Battaglia, T., Henderson, N., Jay, M., Li, H., D. Lieber, A., Wu, F.,
Perez-Perez, G.I., Chen, Y., et al. (2016). Antibiotics, birth mode, and diet shape
microbiome maturation during early life. Sci. Transl. Med. *8*, 343ra82.
https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aad7121.

8. Stewart, C.J., Ajami, N.J., O'Brien, J.L., Hutchinson, D.S., Smith, D.P., Wong, M.C., Ross,
M.C., Lloyd, R.E., Doddapaneni, H., Metcalf, G.A., et al. (2018). Temporal development of
the gut microbiome in early childhood from the TEDDY study. Nature *562*, 583–588.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0617-x.

9. David, L.A., Maurice, C.F., Carmody, R.N., Gootenberg, D.B., Button, J.E., Wolfe, B.E.,
Ling, A.V., Devlin, A.S., Varma, Y., Fischbach, M.A., et al. (2014). Diet rapidly and
reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. Nature *505*, 559–563.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12820.

10. Krebs, N.F., Sherlock, L.G., Westcott, J., Culbertson, D., Hambidge, K.M., Feazel, L.M.,
Robertson, C.E., and Frank, D.N. (2013). Effects of Different Complementary Feeding
Regimens on Iron Status and Enteric Microbiota in Breastfed Infants. J. Pediatr. *163*, 416-
423.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2013.01.024.

11. Qasem, W., Azad, M.B., Hossain, Z., Azad, E., Jorgensen, S., Castillo San Juan, S., Cai,
C., Khafipour, E., Beta, T., Roberts, L.J., et al. (2017). Assessment of complementary
feeding of Canadian infants: effects on microbiome & oxidative stress, a randomized
controlled trial. BMC Pediatr. *17*, 54. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12887-017-0805-0.

12. Tang, M., Frank, D., Hendricks, A., Ir, D., and Krebs, N. (2019). Protein Intake During Early
Complementary Feeding Affects the Gut Microbiota in U.S. Formula-fed Infants (FS04-03-
19). Nutr. 2019 Abstr. *3*, nzz048.FS04-03-19. https://doi.org/10.1093/cdn/nzz048.FS04-03-
19.

13. Plaza-Diaz, J., Bernal, M.J., Schutte, S., Chenoll, E., Genovés, S., Codoñer, F.M., Gil, A.,
and Sanchez-Siles, L.M. (2021). Effects of Whole-Grain and Sugar Content in Infant
Cereals on Gut Microbiota at Weaning: A Randomized Trial. Nutrients *13*, 1496.
https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13051496.

14. Smith-Brown, P., Morrison, M., Krause, L., and Davies, P.S.W. (2016). Dairy and plant
based food intakes are associated with altered faecal microbiota in 2 to 3 year old
Australian children. Sci. Rep. *6*, 32385. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep32385.

15. Smith-Brown, P., Morrison, M., Krause, L., and Davies, P.S.W. (2019). Microbiota and Body
Composition During the Period of Complementary Feeding. J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.
69, 726–732. https://doi.org/10.1097/MPG.0000000000002490.

16. Thompson, A.L., Monteagudo-Mera, A., Cadenas, M.B., Lampl, M.L., and Azcarate-Peril,
M.A. (2015). Milk- and solid-feeding practices and daycare attendance are associated with
differences in bacterial diversity, predominant communities, and metabolic and immune
function of the infant gut microbiome. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. *5*.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2015.00003.

17. Laursen Martin Frederik, Andersen Louise B. B., Michaelsen Kim F., Mølgaard Christian,
Trolle Ellen, Bahl Martin Iain, Licht Tine Rask, and Suen Garret (2016). Infant Gut
Microbiota Development Is Driven by Transition to Family Foods Independent of Maternal
Obesity. mSphere *1*, e00069-15. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00069-15.

18. Olm, M.R., Dahan, D., Carter, M.M., Merrill, B.D., Yu, F.B., Jain, S., Meng, X., Tripathi, S.,
Wastyk, H., Neff, N., et al. (2022). Robust variation in infant gut microbiome assembly
across a spectrum of lifestyles. Science *376*, 1220–1223.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abj2972.

19. Kipnis, V., Subar, A.F., Midthune, D., Freedman, L.S., Ballard-Barbash, R., Troiano, R.P.,
Bingham, S., Schoeller, D.A., Schatzkin, A., and Carroll, R.J. (2003). Structure of Dietary
Measurement Error: Results of the OPEN Biomarker Study. Am. J. Epidemiol. *158*, 14–21.
https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwg091.

20. Subar, A.F., Freedman, L.S., Tooze, J.A., Kirkpatrick, S.I., Boushey, C., Neuhouser, M.L.,
Thompson, F.E., Potischman, N., Guenther, P.M., Tarasuk, V., et al. (2015). Addressing
Current Criticism Regarding the Value of Self-Report Dietary Data12. J. Nutr. *145*, 2639–
2645. https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.115.219634.

21. What We Eat in America, NHANES 2017-March 2020 Prepandemic, individuals 2 years and
over (excluding breast-fed children), day 1.

22. Burrows, T.L., Martin, R.J., and Collins, C.E. (2010). A Systematic Review of the Validity of
Dietary Assessment Methods in Children when Compared with the Method of Doubly
Labeled Water. J. Am. Diet. Assoc. *110*, 1501–1510.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jada.2010.07.008.

23. Davies, P.S.W., Coward, W.A., Gregory, J., White, A., and Mills, A. (1994). Total energy
expenditure and energy intake in the pre-school child: a comparison. Br. J. Nutr. *72*, 13–20.
https://doi.org/10.1079/BJN19940005.

24. Fisher, J.O., Butte, N.F., Mendoza, P.M., Wilson, T.A., Hodges, E.A., Reidy, K.C., and
Deming, D. (2008). Overestimation of infant and toddler energy intake by 24-h recall
compared with weighed food records. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. *88*, 407–415.
https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/88.2.407.

25. Lanigan, J.A., Wells, J.C.K., Lawson, M.S., and Lucas, A. (2001). Validation of food diary
method for assessment of dietary energy and macronutrient intake in infants and children
aged 6–24 months. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. *55*, 124–129. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ejcn.1601128.

26. Ravelli, M.N., and Schoeller, D.A. (2020). Traditional Self-Reported Dietary Instruments Are
Prone to Inaccuracies and New Approaches Are Needed. Front. Nutr. *7*.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2020.00090.

27. Wallace, A., Kirkpatrick, S.I., Darlington, G., and Haines, J. (2018). Accuracy of Parental
Reporting of Preschoolers' Dietary Intake Using an Online Self-Administered 24-h Recall.
Nutrients *10*, 987. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10080987.

28. Data FAO/WHO GIFT | Global Individual Food Consumption Data Tool GIFT.
https://www.fao.org/gift-individual-food-consumption/data/en.

29. Global Diet Quality Project (2022). Measuring what the world eats: Insights from a new
approach. (Geneva: Global Alliance for Improved Nutrition (GAIN); Boston, MA: Harvard
T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Department of Global Health and Population.).

30. Petrone, B.L., Aqeel, A., Jiang, S., Durand, H.K., Dallow, E.P., McCann, J.R., Dressman,
H.K., Hu, Z., Tenekjian, C.B., Yancy, W.S., et al. (2023). Diversity of plant DNA in stool is
linked to dietary quality, age, and household income. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *120*,
e2304441120. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2304441120.

31. Loftus, T. (1995). Staple foods: what do people eat? In Dimensions of Need (Food and
Agriculture organization of the United Nations).

32. Mank, I., Vandormael, A., Traoré, I., Ouédraogo, W.A., Sauerborn, R., and Danquah, I.
(2020). Dietary habits associated with growth development of children aged < 5 years in the
Nouna Health and Demographic Surveillance System, Burkina Faso. Nutr. J. *19*, 81.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12937-020-00591-3.

33. Steyn, N.P., Nel, J.H., Nantel, G., Kennedy, G., and Labadarios, D. (2006). Food variety and
dietary diversity scores in children: are they good indicators of dietary adequacy? Public
Health Nutr. *9*, 644–650. https://doi.org/10.1079/phn2005912.

34. Mezgebo, K., Lema, T.B., and Neela, S. (2021). Food variety, dietary diversity scores and
dietary quality of complementary foods consuming by 6-24 months aged children in Jimma
town, southwest Ethiopia. Nutr. Food Sci. *51*, 323–344. https://doi.org/10.1108/NFS-02-
2020-0033.

35. Dominguez-Bello, M.G., Costello, E.K., Contreras, M., Magris, M., Hidalgo, G., Fierer, N.,
and Knight, R. (2010). Delivery mode shapes the acquisition and structure of the initial
microbiota across multiple body habitats in newborns. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *107*, 11971.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1002601107.

36. Abdelsalam, N.A., Hegazy, S.M., and Aziz, R.K. The curious case of Prevotella copri. Gut
Microbes *15*, 2249152. https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2023.2249152.

37. Johnson, K.V.-A., and Burnet, P.W.J. (2016). Microbiome: Should we diversify from
diversity? Gut Microbes *7*, 455–458. https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2016.1241933.

38. Starke, R., Capek, P., Morais, D., Callister, S.J., and Jehmlich, N. (2020). The total
microbiome functions in bacteria and fungi. J. Proteomics *213*, 103623.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2019.103623.

39. Huttenhower, C., Gevers, D., Knight, R., Abubucker, S., Badger, J.H., Chinwalla, A.T.,
Creasy, H.H., Earl, A.M., FitzGerald, M.G., Fulton, R.S., et al. (2012). Structure, function
and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. Nature *486*, 207–214.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11234.

40. Branca, F., Lartey, A., Oenema, S., Aguayo, V., Stordalen, G.A., Richardson, R., Arvelo, M.,
and Afshin, A. (2019). Transforming the food system to fight non-communicable diseases.
BMJ *364*, l296. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.l296.

41. Arimond, M., and Ruel, M.T. (2004). Dietary Diversity Is Associated with Child Nutritional
Status: Evidence from 11 Demographic and Health Surveys. J. Nutr. *134*, 2579–2585.
https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/134.10.2579.

42. Kennedy, G.L., Pedro, M.R., Seghieri, C., Nantel, G., and Brouwer, I. (2007). Dietary
diversity score is a useful indicator of micronutrient intake in non-breast-feeding Filipino
children. J. Nutr. *137*, 472–477. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/137.2.472.

43. Roduit, C., Frei, R., Depner, M., Schaub, B., Loss, G., Genuneit, J., Pfefferle, P., Hyvärinen,
A., Karvonen, A.M., Riedler, J., et al. (2014). Increased food diversity in the first year of life
is inversely associated with allergic diseases. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. *133*, 1056-1064.e7.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2013.12.1044.

44. Indicators for assessing infant and young child feeding practices: definitions and
measurement methods (2021).

45. Lai, A., Velez, I., Ambikapathi, R., Seng, K., Levy, K., Kowalsky, E., Holcomb, D.,
Konstantinidis, K.T., Cumming, O., and Brown, J. (2022). Independent and combined effects
of nutrition and sanitation interventions on enteric pathogen carriage and child growth in
rural Cambodia: a factorial cluster-randomised controlled trial. Preprint at medRxiv,
https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.21.21257546 https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.21.21257546.

46. Song, R., Click, E.S., McCarthy, K.D., Heilig, C.M., Mchembere, W., Smith, J.P., Fajans, M.,
Musau, S.K., Okeyo, E., Okumu, A., et al. (2021). Sensitive and Feasible Specimen
Collection and Testing Strategies for Diagnosing Tuberculosis in Young Children. JAMA
Pediatr. *175*, e206069. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.6069.

47. Becker-Dreps, S., Allali, I., Monteagudo, A., Vilchez, S., Hudgens, M.G., Rogawski, E.T.,
Carroll, I.M., Zambrana, L.E., Espinoza, F., and Azcarate-Peril, M.A. (2015). Gut
Microbiome Composition in Young Nicaraguan Children During Diarrhea Episodes and
Recovery. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. *93*, 1187–1193. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.15-0322.

48. Study Details | Project HOPE 1000: Health Outcomes Pregnancy Exposures |
ClinicalTrials.gov https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04417283.

49. Martin, V.M., Virkud, Y.V., Dahan, E., Seay, H.L., Itzkovits, D., Vlamakis, H., Xavier, R.,
Shreffler, W.G., Yuan, Q., and Yassour, M. (2022). Longitudinal disease-associated gut
microbiome differences in infants with food protein-induced allergic proctocolitis. Microbiome
10, 154. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-022-01322-y.

50. Caporaso, J.G., Lauber, C.L., Walters, W.A., Berg-Lyons, D., Huntley, J., Fierer, N., Owens,
S.M., Betley, J., Fraser, L., Bauer, M., et al. (2012). Ultra-high-throughput microbial

community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. ISME J. *6*, 1621–1624.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.8.

51. Caporaso, J.G., Lauber, C.L., Walters, W.A., Berg-Lyons, D., Lozupone, C.A., Turnbaugh,
P.J., Fierer, N., and Knight, R. (2011). Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of
millions of sequences per sample. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *108*, 4516–4522.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000080107.

52. Maurice, C.F., Haiser, H.J., and Turnbaugh, P.J. (2013). Xenobiotics Shape the Physiology
and Gene Expression of the Active Human Gut Microbiome. Cell *152*, 39–50.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.10.052.

53. Petrone, B.L., Aqeel, A., Jiang, S., Durand, H.K., Dallow, E.P., McCann, J.R., Dressman,
H.K., Hu, Z., Tenekjian, C.B., Yancy, W.S., et al. (2022). DNA metabarcoding captures
dietary plant diversity in individuals and cohorts. Preprint at medRxiv,
https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.13.22276343 https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.13.22276343.

54. Shehzad, W., Riaz, T., Nawaz, M.A., Miquel, C., Poillot, C., Shah, S.A., Pompanon, F.,
Coissac, E., and Taberlet, P. (2012). Carnivore diet analysis based on next-generation
sequencing: application to the leopard cat (Prionailurus bengalensis) in Pakistan. Mol. Ecol.
21, 1951–1965. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05424.x.

55. De Barba, M., Miquel, C., Boyer, F., Mercier, C., Rioux, D., Coissac, E., and Taberlet, P.
(2014). DNA metabarcoding multiplexing and validation of data accuracy for diet
assessment: application to omnivorous diet. Mol. Ecol. Resour. *14*, 306–323.
https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12188.

56. David, L.A., Weil, A., Ryan, E.T., Calderwood, S.B., Harris, J.B., Chowdhury, F., Begum, Y.,
Qadri, F., LaRocque, R.C., and Turnbaugh, P.J. (2015). Gut Microbial Succession Follows
Acute Secretory Diarrhea in Humans. mBio *6*, 10.1128/mbio.00381-15.
https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.00381-15.

57. Schneider, J. (2021). Comprehensive coverage of human last meal components revealed
by a forensic DNA metabarcoding approach | Scientific Reports. Sci. Rep. *11*.

58. Nations, U. Human Development Index (United Nations).

799