Bayesian multistate modelling of prefrailty and frailty: a microsimulation study with weight management interventions for prevention in Asian settings

Xinyu Zhang, Shihui Jin, Haolong Song, Johnathan Yeo, Borame L Dickens

Background

Pre-frailty and frailty prevalence is increasing in the Western Pacific, resulting in substantial morbidity and mortality in older populations. Modelling frameworks are required to estimate the prevalence of frailty and potential impacts of ongoing population level nutritional interventions.

Methods

Using a microsimulation sociodemographic model of 3,353,032 individuals from 1990 to 2050, and data from the Singapore Longitudinal Ageing Study 2 of 3,270 participants, we developed a Bayesian multistate model of robust, pre-frailty and frailty stages with estimated transition probabilities by age, race, and gender for each body-mass index (BMI) category. We then explored four scenarios where nutritional and physical activity interventions are applied that modify the annual distribution of underweight, normal weight, overweight, obese I and obese II individuals.

Findings

Between 2011 and 2050, the overall prevalence of pre-frailty and frailty increased from 44.2% to 50.5%, and from 3.2% to 11.8% respectively. Reductions of 9,529 pre-frail (95% CI: 7,303-11,673) and 6,960 frail individuals (6,094-7,886) were estimated when underweights shifted to normal weights, 11,598 (8,270-15,184) and 57,131 (39,258-82,696) when obese II moved to obese I, and 21,091 (16,179-25,846) and 63,891 (45,372-90,072) when both groups shifted respectively. Total healthcare utilization decreases by 6.9% (5.5%-8.3%) with the latter intervention.

Interpretation

Frailty prevalence is projected to substantially increase by 2050 where large-scale weight management interventions could be utilised to avert cases of both pre-frailty and frailty in older individuals.

Introduction

Frailty is defined as a clinically recognisable state, resulting from accumulative aging-associated decline across multiple physiologic systems, which compromise an individual's ability to perform everyday tasks or cope with acute stressors. As a global public health concern among many countries' aging populations, frailty has been associated with increased hospitalization rates, functional decline, loss of independence, lower quality of life, and mortality[1,2]. A global systematic review of 21 studies reported that the prevalence of physical pre-frailty, a risk state which predisposes the development of frailty, ranged from 18.7%-53.1%, and frailty ranged from 4.0%-59.1% among community-dwelling older adults[3]. With populations continuing to age[4], projections of frailty prevalence include 14.29% of women and 8.65% of men aged 60 or above by 2043 in Japan[5], and an estimated doubling of prevalence for Norway[6]

Within the Western Pacific, Singapore's resident population is rapidly aging[7]. In 2024, the percentage of older persons aged 65 and over accounted for 13.7% of the population with the number exceeding 0.8 million individuals[8]. By 2050, the proportion is forecasted to reach 23.7%-30.4%, with the number exceeding 1.4 million[9]. The crude prevalence of frailty is therefore expected to rise although future estimates are scarce. Studies of community-living older adults in Singapore have estimated however that the prevalence of pre-frailty and frailty ranges from 40.1% to 44.2% and from 4.6% to 7.5%, respectively, up until 2013[10,11,12]. The increasing prevalence of frailty poses significant challenges on healthcare systems[4,13,14] where those who are underweight or obese, already facing increased likelihoods of complications from injury[15,16] or chronic diseases[17,18], are at greater risk[19].

In a meta-analysis of 17 studies including 78 642 community-dwelling older adults from 10 countries in America, Europe, and Asia found that obesity (≥30 kg/m^2) and underweight (body mass index [BMI] <18.5 kg/m^2) were associated with significant higher risks of frailty (relative risk (RR) = 1.40, 95% CI: 1.17–1.67 for obesity and RR = 1.45, 95% CI: 1.10–1.90 for underweight, respectively), and a U-shaped relationship existing between BMI and the risk for frailty with a nadir of risk at a BMI of 18.5–29.9 kg/m^2 [19]. In terms of interventions, a literature review including 21 studies from the United States, Australia, Canada, and Italy identified strong clinical evidence that weight loss and exercise interventions were effective in improving physical function among obese frail older adults[20]. For underweight older adults, multiple strategies have been taken to prevent weight loss and frailty, and increase body weight, including supplementing diets with protein, energy, and vitamins in the US[21] and France[22].

In Singapore, efforts are underway to decrease frailty rates through multiple weight management and physical health schemes, targeting older adults[23]. A key nutritional intervention is a set of community-based meal delivery schemes, Meals-On-Wheels, aiming to address malnutrition and undernutrition among older adults that may have difficulties in preparing meals at home or accessing local food establishments that may not serve their nutritional needs. The provisioning of two meals per day, which are

nutritionally balanced, encourage healthy eating habits, can support special dietary needs and allow for regular check-ins to monitor the nutritional[24] status of individuals, and can be utilized for weight management. In such programmes, studies[25,26], have shown that significant reductions can be observed in overall weight and BMI among those that are overweight, and increases in protein intake and weight gain occurred after meal supplementation among those who are malnourished[27,28,29]. Through these intervention groups, the transitioning of underweights to normal weights, and obese to less obese weight categories represent key goals for healthy aging, but the impact of these on future pre-frailty and frailty prevalence is still unknown. Such interventions are only explored in very small scale studies, which requires exploration in large-scale models in order to support decision-making for intervention deployment and potential scale-up.

Our study therefore aims to explore the impact of weight management interventions on projections of pre-frailty and frailty utilising Singapore as a case study. To estimate the prevalence of pre-frailty and frailty, and explore the impact of interventions on BMI, we built a microsimulation model for 3,353,032 adults aged 55 and above across three main ethnicities; Chinese, Malays and Indians, and for males and females. We calculated transition probabilities between different frailty states using a Bayesian multistate model that accounted for estimated BMI trajectories across each individual's lifetime to where we modelled three main scenarios examining the change in pre-frailty and frailty prevalence from reducing the proportion of older adults that were underweight, very obese and both. In then comparing potential reductions of frailty, evidence based targeting of interventions can be supported.

Methods

We built a microsimulation population model to test the efficacy of interventions designed to reduce frailty among older individuals from 2011 to 2050. We assigned frailty states to individuals from 2011 to 2016 based on data from the Singapore Longitudinal Ageing Study 2 and literature (7, 8). We then built a Bayesian multistate model to calculate transition probabilities by age, race, and gender and applied the transition probabilities to individuals across time to obtain nationwide projections of prefrailty and frailty prevalence from 2011 to 2050. Additionally, we explored the effectiveness of three weight management interventions in modifying estimated prevalences.

DEMOS Model

We used the Demographic Epidemiological Model of Singapore (DEMOS) to generate individual data, representing each resident in Singapore from 1990 to 2050. The DEMOS leveraged fertility rates, mortality rates, migration, and BMI trajectories from sub-models to project the resident population of Singapore each year. We forecasted ethnicity-specific fertility rates using Bayesian Structural Time Series (BSTS) models by MCMC. We applied a logarithmic transformation to account for the decelerating decline in fertility rates and used annual fertility rates by ethnicity[30] during periods when fertility rates fell below 2.1 for two consecutive years[31]. Annual Age-specific mortality rates from 1990–2023 were obtained from the Singapore Department of Statistics[32], and mortality rates from 2023–2050 were projected using the Lee-Carter model with logarithmic transformation applied to age-specific mortality rates from 2010–2019. We found constant migration rates and age distribution of migrants by comparing simulated and actual populations, and assumed the stability in migration patterns for the projection period. The hierarchical model of BMI trajectories, stratified by gender and ethnicity, described each individual's BMI over time as Gaussian fluctuations around a sequence of connected lines, with model parameters estimated using statistics from the Singapore Department of Statistics and national surveys[33].

The population projections were validated by comparing with the population structure of each one-year age group, gender and ethnicity stratum released by the Singapore Department of Statistics from 1990 to 2023[34]. The projections of BMI were checked by comparing the projected proportions of four BMI categories (underweight, normal weight, overweight, and obese) with proportions observed in the 2020 and 2022 National Population Health Surveys[35].

Identification of Frailty Status

We adopted the Fried's phenotype criteria which focus on physical frailty[36] and assesses frailty using five parameters: weakness, slowness, shrinking (unintentional weight loss), exhaustion, and low physical activity. A test-taker is defined as robust if meeting none of the parameters, as pre-frail if meeting one or two parameters, and as frail if meeting three or more parameters[10]. With individual data generated by the DEMOS from 1990 to 2050, we calculated the total numbers of robust individuals, pre-frail individuals, and frail individuals in 2011 and 2016 based on the prevalence of frailty states among Singapore older residents aged 55 years and over from the Singapore Longitudinal Ageing Study 2 (SLAS-2) in 2011 and a 5-year follow-up in 2016[10], which

reported the prevalence of pre-frailty and frailty in 2011 as 44.2% and 3.4%, and as 48.9% and 7.5% in 2016.

Based on the proportions of the robust, pre-frail and frail among older adults across age (55 to 100 years), gender (male, female) and ethnicity (three main ethnicities: Chinese, Malay and Indian), collected from a cross-sectional survey with a nationally representative sample of 2102 Singapore residents using Fried's phenotype[11], we estimated the number of individuals in each strata for the states of robust, prefrailty and frailty between 2011 and 2016. We used Bernoulli trials based on transition rates where individuals were able to move between robust, pre-frail and frail accordingly based on prefrailty and frailty prevalences at 2011 and 2016.

Bayesian Multistate model

We built a Bayesian multistate model to estimate annual transition probabilities differing for each age, ethnicity, and gender. Our model was built on the mechanism of a Bayesian three-state model which defined the binomial likelihoods and priors for the observed cross-sectional data and unknown parameters given by the disease incidence rate, the remission rate, and the case fatality rate[37]. Nonlinear spline functions of age were used with Hamiltonian MCMC to approximate the posterior distributions of the rates. Formulars of the Bayesian multistate model are provided in Supplementary Information for clarity. We assumed that a robust individual may stay robust or transition to pre-frailty, a pre-frail individual may revert to robust, stay pre-frail or transition to frail, and a frail individual may revert to pre-frail or stay frail in one year. Annual incidence and remission probabilities between robust, pre-frailty and frailty states were fitted. We did not however explicitly model frailty-induced mortality. We calculated five-year age-ethnicity-gender specific transition probabilities from robust to pre-frailty, from pre-frailty to frailty, and the remission probabilities from frailty to pre-frailty, and from pre-frailty to robust for older adults at each age from 55 to 85, and for age groups 86–87, 88–90, and >90, and then transformed them to annual transition probabilities by the formula $P_1 = 1 - (1 - P_5)^{1/5}$ where P_x represents the transition probability for duration x.

Validation of Estimated Prevalence

We applied the annual transition probabilities to each individual aged 55 or over in DEMOS and generated their states in the next year using a Bernoulli distribution or categorical distribution based on their assigned states in 2011. We repeated such steps and projected the states for each individual in the following five years until 2016. Validation checks were performed by comparing the projected prevalence of pre-frailty and frailty in 2016 for older adults aged 60 and over in DEMOS with the observed prevalence for community-dwelling older Singaporeans aged 60 and over in a 5-year follow-up in the SLAS-2[10].

BMI Intervention Modeling

To explore the association of BMI on frailty states in Singapore, we simulated BMI and frailty states based on the characteristics of participants in national surveys in Singapore[10,11]. We classified BMI values into five categories in accordance with the Asia-Pacific classification: underweight (<18.5 kg/m^2), normal weight (18.5–22.9

kg/m^2), overweight (23.0–24.9 kg/m^2), obesity I (25.0–29.9 kg/m^2), and obesity II (≥30.0 kg/m^2)[38]. We used two logistic regression models to fit the simulated data and calculated the odds ratios of pre-frailty and frailty, respectively, for each BMI category relative to the reference category (normal weight). Then we applied the original transition probabilities between the frailty states to the reference BMI category and approximately calculated the incidence probabilities of other BMI categories by the formula $P_{(inc,i)} = OR_i \times P_{(inc,r)} / \left(1 + OR_i \times P_{(inc,r)} - P_{(inc,r)}\right)$, where $P_{(inc,i)}$ denotes the annual incidence probability of pre-frailty or frailty for the BMI category i, OR_i the odds ratio for the BMI category i relative to the reference category, and $P_{(inc,r)}$ the annual probability of transitioning to pre-frailty or frailty states for the reference BMI category. The approximate remission probabilities were calculated by similar formulas, but the odds ratio OR_i was replaced by its inverse.

Scenarios

We considered four scenarios based on the established relationship between frailty and BMI: the Baseline Scenario without a weight management intervention where all individuals' BMI categories remained unaltered in their estimated trajectories; the Underweight Scenario where all underweight individuals were shifted to normal weight; the Obese II Scenario (Obese II) where all obese II individuals were shifted to obese I; the Both Scenario (Both) where all underweight individuals were shifted to normal weight and all obese II individuals were shifted to obese I.

Model Output

Based on the assigned frailty states in DEMOS in 2011, we projected pre-frailty and frailty prevalence cross each strata at the Baseline Scenario. From 2024 onwards, we adjusted BMI values according to the assumptions of the three scenarios with weight management interventions and projected frailty numbers and prevalence for each scenario. We applied 12 groups of transition probabilities within their 95% credible intervals to the individuals in DEMOS by implementing 30 projections for each group to obtain uncertainty intervals. All data analyses were performed by R software (version 4.4.1).

Estimation of Healthcare Utilizations

To further illustrate the effectiveness of weight management interventions on reducing frailty and associated healthcare costs, we estimated healthcare utilizations in different care settings including specialist outpatient clinic visits (SOCV), emergency department visits (EDV) and hospitalizations. We referred to the healthcare utilization data of community-dwelling older adults aged 60 and over, collected from the Population Health Index study covering two periods: six months before and six months after the observation of frailty states[14]. We estimated healthcare utilization for each year by multiplying the number of robust, prefrail and frail individuals by the mean number of SOCV, EDV, and hospitalizations expected for each state within a year. The annual means of healthcare utilizations for each state are provided in Table S1.

Results

Transition Probabilities

Annual incidence and remission probabilities between robust, pre-frailty, and frailty states increase and decrease, respectively, with age across all ethnicities and genders (Figure 1). For Chinese males, the incidence probability of transitioning from robust to pre-frailty rises from 0.063 (95% CI: 0.052–0.074) at age 55 to 0.242 (0.178–0.309) for those older than 90. Chinese females showed a similar trend, but with higher probabilities, reaching 0.329 (0.290–0.362) for individuals aged over 90. For Malays and Indians, these probabilities are 2.2%–4.8% and 1.9%–5.3% higher, respectively, than for Chinese. Incidence probabilities between pre-frailty and frailty followed similar age-related trends but are 4.2%–27.9% lower and increase more gradually.

Remission probabilities between frailty and pre-frailty decrease with age, from 0.290 (95% CI: 0.255–0.325) to 0.183 (0.157–0.208) for Chinese males. For Chinese females, these probabilities are lower, declining from 0.269 (0.237–0.304) to 0.174 (0.157–0.192). Malays and Indians have 2.9%-5.4% and 3.0-6.1% lower remission probabilities, respectively. Similarly, remission probabilities between pre-frailty and robust decline with age but are 8.8%–17.8% higher than those between frailty and pre-frailty.

Projection Results across Weight Management Scenarios

In the Baseline Scenario without weight management interventions, the projected pre-frailty prevalence increased from 44.2% to 50.5% (95% CI: 48.0%–51.9%), and frailty prevalence rose from 3.2% to 11.8% (8.4%–15.3%) by 2050 (Figure 2). The total numbers of pre-frail and frail individuals grew to 884,533 (841,910–909,470) and 205,986 (147,388–268,124), respectively (Figure 2), which are 1.5 and 7.0 times higher than those in 2011.

By ethnicity, in 2050, Chinese males had the lowest projected prevalence of pre-frailty (47.3%, 95% CI: 43.6%–49.6%) and frailty (8.5%, 95% CI: 5.8%–11.5%), while Chinese females had slightly higher prevalences (52.8%, 95% CI: 50.2%–54.5%; and 9.9%, 95% CI: 6.9%–13.1%) (Figure S1). For Malays, pre-frailty and frailty prevalences are 1.2%–4.1% and 7.4%–13.6% higher than those for Chinese. For Indians, pre-frailty prevalence is 1.9% higher for males (1.0%–3.0%) but 4.8% lower for females (4.1%–5.2%). Frailty prevalences among Indians exceed those of Chinese by 11.1%–19.7%.

With weight management interventions starting in 2024, the Both Scenario reduces the number of pre-frail and frail individuals by 21,091 (95% CI: 16,179–25,846) and 63,891 (45,372–90,072), respectively, by 2050, corresponding to a decrease in pre-frailty and frailty prevalences by 1.2% (0.8%–1.6%) and 3.6% (2.1%–5.0%) compared to the Baseline Scenario. The Underweight and Obese II Scenarios individually also reduce pre-frail individuals by 9,529 (7,303–11,673) and 11,598 (8,270–15,184), and frail individuals by 6,960 (6,094–7,886) and 57,131 (39,258–82,696), respectively, compared to the Baseline Scenario, though these reductions are smaller than those in the Both Scenario.

Delay in Transition of Pre-frailty and Frailty

We evaluated the effectiveness of BMI interventions by comparing differences in the numbers of new frail individuals, remaining robust individuals, and new robust individuals between scenarios with weight management interventions and the Baseline Scenario from 2025 to 2050 (Figure 3), and the changes in new and total frail, pre-frail, and robust individuals in age group composition in 2030 and 2050 (Figure 4). Three cases were considered in Figure 3, where interventions are effective for 100%, 50%, and 25% of target individuals.

From 2025 to 2050, the Baseline Scenario showed a yearly increase in new frail individuals, rising from 24,673 (95% CI: 17,873-31,586) to 44,304 (33,200–54,913). All scenarios with interventions reduce new frail individuals, with reductions increasing over time, even in the case of 25% effectiveness. The Both Scenario achieves the largest reduction, reaching 9,435 (8,696–12,378) fewer new frail individuals by 2050 in the 100% effectiveness case.

All scenarios with interventions increase remaining robust individuals, with yearly growths across all effectiveness cases. The Both Scenario yields the highest number of remaining robust individuals in the 100% effectiveness case, exceeding the Baseline Scenario (554,036 (95% CI: 470,419–652,373) remaining robust individuals) by 80,217 (59,792–104,551) by 2050. For individuals reverting to robust, all scenarios show increases over time after 2035 in all the cases. The Both Scenario achieves the largest increase, exceeding Baseline Scenario (69,665 (66,599-71,911) new robust individuals) by 4,765 (3,013-6,008) by 2050 in the 100% effectiveness case.

In 2030 and 2050, all scenarios with interventions mitigate frailty by reducing new and total frail individuals while increasing new and total robust individuals across all age groups compared to the Baseline Scenario (Figure 4). The reductions in both new frail individuals and total frail individuals show increasing trends with age in 2050. Among individuals older than 80 years, the Both Scenario achieves the highest reductions by 33.6% (95% CI: 26.5%-38.4%) and 67.9% (48.7%-90.8%), reaching 2,685 (2,116-3,069) and 26,064 (18,696-34,876) fewer new frail and total frail individuals compared to the Baseline scenario (7,983 (95% CI: 6,161-9,852) new frail individuals and 38,408 (95% CI: 27,914-49,217) total frail individuals).

Healthcare Utilization Analysis

The Both Scenario achieves the largest reduction (Figure 5), with 461,946 (95% CI: 317,587–618,871) fewer SOCV in the 100% effectiveness case than the Baseline Scenario, where the number of SOCV is projected to be 7,382,306 (6,454,511-8,297,985) by 2050. Similarly, the numbers of EDV show decreases rising annually. By 2050, the Both Scenario reaches the highest reduction in the case of 100% effectiveness, with 62,353 (43,533-84,637) fewer EDV compared to the Baseline Scenario, which projects 634,257 (545,354-722,278) EDV by 2050. Hospitalizations followed the same trend, with annual decreases growing across all scenarios with interventions. By 2050, the Both Scenario reaches the largest reduction in the case of 100% effectiveness, with 65,982 (46,389-90,470) fewer hospitalizations compared to the Baseline Scenario, which projects 490,581 hospitalizations (412,462-568,774).

Discussion

Here we created a microsimulation model to forecast pre-frail and frail prevalences in an ageing population of 3,353,032 people, exploring the impact of weight management interventions that are widely utilised as primary and secondary measures to avert these outcomes. We estimate that 716,424 (95% CI: 668,050-752,060) and 125,868 (88,322-167,585) of all individuals across age, ethnicities and genders will be pre-frail and frail by 2030, increasing up to 884,533 (841,910-909,470) and 205,986 (147,388-268,124) by 2050 respectively, representing a three-fold increase in prevalence compared to 2011. Outside of Southeast Asia, a systematic review of various longitudinal studies from 1987 to 2020 examining frailty trajectories in Netherlands, the USA, Swedish, China, Ireland, UK, Sweden, and other European countries found that frailty prevalence has been increasing[39]. A modelling study in Australia estimated that in 2016, approximately 415,769 people aged 65 years or more were frail and almost 1.7 million people were pre-frail, reaching 609,306 frail and 2,248,977 pre-frail by 2027[40]. In England, simulation projections indicate that frailty will increase by 7.1%, from 41.5% to 48.7% between 2017 and 2027[41].

Reducing frailty prevalence is a public health priority as frailty results in adverse health outcomes, increased hospitalization rates and an overall decline in the quality of life with higher healthcare utilization. Frailty is potentially reversible therefore identifying and addressing frailty earlier can benefit both individuals and healthcare systems[42]. In a study in Singapore[43] involving 149 participants, which assessed the effects of six-month nutritional supplementation and cognitive training interventions on frailty reduction, significant improvements were observed at 3 and 6 months with benefits persisting at 12 months. Frailty scores and status declined across all intervention groups compared to the control group of usual care, with odds ratios of 2.98 for nutritional supplementation and 2.89 for cognitive training. Frailty prevalence decreased by 16% to 22% in the intervention groups, demonstrating that targeted interventions, particularly the combination approach, effectively mitigate frailty in older adults. Within a 3-month intervention study and four-month follow-up in Japan among 131 frail older women, those assigned to the milk fat globule membrane supplementation and exercise group had a frailty reversal rate of 45.5%[44].

We found that the prevalence of frailty among underweight and obese II increased from 5.3% and 4.8% in 2011, to 11.1% (95% CI: 7.9%-14.7%) and 23.1% (95% CI: 17.6%-28.1%) by 2050 respectively. Similar associations between BMI values and frailty prevalence have been observed in other studies. In a cross-sectional study in Japan with 7,191 participants in 2011-2012, the odds ratios for frailty prevalence were 2.04 (95% CI: 1.58-2.63) for the underweight and 1.54 (95% CI: 1.15-2.07) for the obese[36]. Additionally, a study with 4,019 participants from the Netherlands, conducted between 2008-2012, found a statistically significant association between BMI and physical frailty[45]. After adjusting for sex, age, level of education, and smoking status, the U-shaped association between BMI and physical frailty remained, with the prevalence of physical frailty being 8.2% in underweight participants, 2.9% in normal weight participants, 2.6% in overweight participants, and 5.0% in obese participants. Furthermore, a meta-analysis of seven studies involving 23,043 individuals from Chile, Spain, England, America, Finland, and Australia (spanning 1974-2022) explored the

relationship between obesity and the risk of frailty. Using a random effects model, the summary odds ratio (OR) showed that adults in the obese BMI category were at a significantly higher risk of frailty compared to those in the normal weight group (OR = 1.74, 95% CI: 1.21-2.51, P<0.01). Similarly, the relationship between underweight and the risk of frailty was examined in four studies with 17,019 individuals from Chile, England, America, and Australia spanning the years 1995-2022. The combined results from the random effects model indicated that older adults in the underweight BMI category had a higher risk of frailty than those in the normal weight group (OR = 1.70, 95% CI: 1.13-2.57, P<0.01). 21,091 (16,179-25,846) and 63,891 (45,372-90,072)[46].

In our study, with weight management for both the underweight and obese II groups, we estimated a reduction in prefrailty and frailty to 21,091 (2.4% reduction) and 63,891 (31.0%) by 2050. In a randomised controlled trial (RCT) of 27 obese frail volunteers in 2003, after 6 months of a calorie-restricted diet aimed at creating an energy deficit of about 750 kcal/day, the treatment group lost 8.4% ± 5.6% of body weight and frailty scores where significantly improved when assessed with the Physical Performance Test score (2.6 ± 2.5 vs 0.1 ± 1.0; p<0.05), peak oxygen consumption (1.7 ± 1.6 vs 0.3 ± 1.1 mL/min per kilogram; p<0.05), and Functional Status Questionnaire score (2.9 ± 3.7 vs −0.2 ± 3.9; p<0.05)[47]. In the US between 2012-2014, the MEASUR-UP RCT implemented the intervention of a six-month reduced calorie diet at two protein levels to 67 obese II individuals, improving physical composite and physical functioning scores by 9.2 (p<0.001) and 12.7 (p<0.001) at 6 months[48]. In France, a RCT across retirement homes found that dietary supplements increased muscle power by 57% at 3 months (P<0.05) with an average BMI increase of 3.65%[49].

Our study supports the need for early identification[50] and weight management interventions among older individuals to reduce frailty progression rates. In a study of 738 adults aged 60 and above in Singapore, 90.5% exceeded sugar intake, 68.5% of males and 57.1% of females exceed saturated fat intake, and 49.5% and 55.3% had inadequate dietary calcium intake respectively - 22.3% of older adults were at moderate to high malnutrition risk[51]. Programmes such as the Healthier Dining Programme (HDP)[52], which increases access to healthier food and drink options by offering grants to food and beverage businesses to promote healthier meal options, supporting many older populations that regularly dine at food stalls, could be further developed to provide more fresh produce, less saturated fat and more protein within typical meals. Counselling and diet management programmes with general practitioners (GPs) under Healthier SG[53], an initiative that aims for GPs to follow individuals through their lifetime for long term physical health monitoring, could also be utilised to encourage weight management among older adults. Among the underweight, additional oral supplementation through subsidised schemes could be utilised as a study of 811 participants aged 65 or over who underwent this intervention had an average of 5% weight gain and increased physical strength[54]. Policy recommendations could additionally focus on personalized nutrition programs with targeted subsidies for nutrient-dense meal plans, particularly benefiting underweight seniors, at food stalls as well as within Meals on Wheels[55] to target a wider population. Community-based weight management centers could be established to improve access to structured interventions such as dietary education, cooking workshops, and peer-led support programmes. Routine BMI and frailty screenings would also be highly recommended

to enable early detection and timely intervention at the robust and at-risk of becoming pre-frail stage. An integrated plan targeting the malnutrition of older adults within the community, workplace and at the home is urgently needed, with necessary healthcare support to maintain robustness and keep individuals at a healthy BMI, which this study has shown can be an important factor in preventing and averting frailty.

Our research has several limitations. First, we assumed that the proportions of robust, pre-frail and frail adults and the remission between each status from the Singapore Longitudinal Ageing Study 2 with (SLAS-2) in 2011 and a 5-year follow-up in 2016 (7) were representative of the whole population. Second, we utilised age, ethnicity and gender as the main factors in determining frailty risk, as we lacked data on the potential additional risk of other covariates such as smoking, physical activity, dietary habits and chronic diseases. Thirdly, we relied on cross sectional data on two time points whereas a longitudinal study or additional time points may have improved the fit of our model. Finally, our estimates of healthcare utilisation do not account for heterogeneity in the impact of frailty across individuals.



Figure 1: The annual transition probabilities stratified by ethnicity (Chinese, Indian and Malay) for: (a) robust to pre-frail males, (b) robust to pre-frail females, (c) pre-frail to frail males, (d) pre-frail to frail females, (e) frail to pre-frail males, (f) frail to pre-frail females, (g) pre-frail to robust males, (h) pre-frail to robust females.



Figure 2: Projected prevalences and numbers of the frail, pre-frail, and robust individuals for the whole population under four scenarios: baseline, underweight to normal weight intervention ("Underweight"), obese II to obese I intervention ("Obese II"), and the combination of both Underweight and Obese II strategies ("Both"). (a) frail prevalence, (b) pre-frail prevalence, (c) robust prevalence, (d) numbers of frail individuals, (e) numbers of pre-frail individuals, (f) numbers of robust individuals.



Figure 3: The difference between the numbers of new frail individuals, remaining robust individuals, and new robust individuals in each scenario with BMI interventions (Underweight, Obese II, Both) and the Baseline scenario from 2025 to 2050. (a) decrease in new frail individuals in the case of 100% effectiveness, (b) decrease in new frail individuals in the case of 50% effectiveness, (c) decrease in new frail individuals in the case of 25% effectiveness, (d) increase in remaining robust individuals in the case of 100% effectiveness, (e) increase in remaining robust individuals in the case of 50% effectiveness, (f) increase in remaining robust individuals in the case of 25% effectiveness, (g) increase in new robust individuals in the case of 100% effectiveness, (h) increase in new robust individuals in the case of 50% effectiveness, (i) increase in new robust individuals in the case of 25% effectiveness.



Figure 4: Changes in the numbers of new and total frail, pre-frail, and robust individuals across age groups under each scenario with BMI interventions (Underweight, Obese II, Both) and the Baseline scenario in 2030 and 2050. (a) decrease in new frail individuals in 2030, (b) decrease in new pre-frail individuals in 2030, (c) increase in new robust individuals in 2030, (d) decrease in new frail individuals in 2050, (e) decrease in new pre-frail individuals in 2050, (f) increase in new robust individuals in 2050, (g) decrease in total frail individuals in 2030, (h) decrease in total pre-frail individuals in 2030, (i) increase in total robust individuals in 2030, (j) decrease in total frail individuals in 2050, (k) decrease in total pre-frail individuals in 2050, (l) increase in total robust individuals in 2050.



Figure 5: Reduction in Healthcare Utilizations in each scenario with BMI Interventions (Underweight, Obese II, Both) Compared to the Baseline scenario. SOCV, specialist outpatient clinic visits; EDV, emergency department visits. (a) decrease in SOCV in the case of 100% effectiveness, (b) decrease in SOCV in the case of 50% effectiveness, (c) decrease in SOCV in the case of 25% effectiveness, (d) decrease in EDV in the case of 100% effectiveness, (e) decrease in EDV in the case of 50% effectiveness, (f) decrease in EDV in the case of 25% effectiveness, (g) decrease in hospitalizations in the case of 100% effectiveness, (h) decrease in hospitalizations in the case of 50% effectiveness, (i) decrease in hospitalizations in the case of 25% effectiveness.

References

1. Clegg A, Young J, Iliffe S, Rikkert MO, Rockwood K. Frailty in elderly people. Lancet Lond Engl. 2013 Mar 2;381(9868):752–62.

2. Howlett SE, Rockwood K. New horizons in frailty: ageing and the deficit-scaling problem. Age Ageing. 2013 Jul;42(4):416–23.

3. Collard RM, Boter H, Schoevers RA, Oude Voshaar RC. Prevalence of frailty in community-dwelling older persons: a systematic review. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2012 Aug;60(8):1487–92.

4. Hajek A, Bock JO, Saum KU, Matschinger H, Brenner H, Holleczek B, et al. Frailty and healthcare costs-longitudinal results of a prospective cohort study. Age Ageing. 2018 Mar 1;47(2):233–41.

5. Kasajima M, Eggleston K, Kusaka S, Matsui H, Tanaka T, Son BK, et al. Projecting prevalence of frailty and dementia and the economic cost of care in Japan from 2016 to 2043: a microsimulation modelling study. Lancet Public Health. 2022 May;7(5):e458–68.

6. Kyrdalen IL, Strand BH, Selbæk G, Thingstad P, Ormstad H, Hoogendijk EO, et al. Prevalence and future estimates of frailty and pre-frailty in a population-based sample of people 70 years and older in Norway: the HUNT study. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2024 Sep 10;36(1):188.

7. population-in-brief-2023.pdf [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 17]. Available from: https://www.population.gov.sg/files/media-centre/publications/population-in-brief-2023.pdf

8. (DOS) | SingStat Table Builder – Total Population By Broad Age Group And Sex, At End June [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 17]. Available from: https://tablebuilder.singstat.gov.sg/table/TS/M810811

9. World Population Prospects [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 17]. Available from: https://population.un.org/wpp/

10. Wei K, Thein FS, Nyunt MSZ, Gao Q, Wee SL, Ng TP. Nutritional and Frailty State Transitions in the Singapore Longitudinal Aging Study. J Nutr Health Aging. 2018;22(10):1221–7.

11. Vaingankar JA, Chong SA, Abdin E, Picco L, Chua BY, Shafie S, et al. Prevalence of frailty and its association with sociodemographic and clinical characteristics, and resource utilization in a population of Singaporean older adults. Geriatr Gerontol Int. 2017 Oct;17(10):1444–54.

12. Ng TP, Feng L, Nyunt MSZ, Larbi A, Yap KB. Frailty in older persons: multisystem risk factors and the Frailty Risk Index (FRI). J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2014 Sep;15(9):635–42.

13. Lim WS, Wong SF, Leong I, Choo P, Pang WS. Forging a Frailty-Ready Healthcare System to Meet Population Ageing. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2017 Nov 24;14(12):1448.

14. Ge L, Yap CW, Heng BH, Tan WS. Frailty and healthcare utilisation across care

settings among community-dwelling older adults in Singapore. BMC Geriatr. 2020 Oct 6;20(1):389.

15. Xiang H, Smith GA, Wilkins JR, Chen G, Hostetler SG, Stallones L. Obesity and Risk of Nonfatal Unintentional Injuries. Am J Prev Med. 2005 Jul 1;29(1):41–5.

16. Park SM, Park J, Han S, Jang HD, Hong JY, Han K, et al. Underweight and risk of fractures in adults over 40 years using the nationwide claims database. Sci Rep. 2023 May 17;13(1):8013.

17. Kwon H, Yun JM, Park JH, Cho BL, Han K, Joh HK, et al. Incidence of cardiovascular disease and mortality in underweight individuals. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle. 2021;12(2):331–8.

18. Agborsangaya CB, Ngwakongnwi E, Lahtinen M, Cooke T, Johnson JA. Multimorbidity prevalence in the general population: the role of obesity in chronic disease clustering. BMC Public Health. 2013 Dec;13(1):1–6.

19. Yuan L, Chang M, Wang J. Abdominal obesity, body mass index and the risk of frailty in community-dwelling older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Age Ageing. 2021 Jun 28;50(4):1118–28.

20. Porter Starr KN, McDonald SR, Bales CW. Obesity and physical frailty in older adults: a scoping review of lifestyle intervention trials. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2014 Apr;15(4):240–50.

21. Turic A, Gordon KL, Craig LD, Ataya DG, Voss AC. Nutrition supplementation enables elderly residents of long-term-care facilities to meet or exceed RDAs without displacing energy or nutrient intakes from meals. J Am Diet Assoc. 1998 Dec;98(12):1457–9.

22. Lauque S, Arnaud-Battandier F, Mansourian R, Guigoz Y, Paintin M, Nourhashemi F, et al. Protein-energy oral supplementation in malnourished nursing-home residents. A controlled trial. Age Ageing. 2000 Jan;29(1):51–6.

23. healthiersg-whitepaper-pdf.pdf [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 17]. Available from: https://file.go.gov.sg/healthiersg-whitepaper-pdf.pdf

24. Campbell AD, Godfryd A, Buys DR, Locher JL. Does Participation in Home-delivered Meals Programs Improve Outcomes for Older Adults?: Results of a Systematic Review. J Nutr Gerontol Geriatr. 2015;34(2):124–67.

25. Gleason JA, Bourdet KL, Koehn K, Holay SY, Schaefer EJ. Cardiovascular risk reduction and dietary compliance with a home-delivered diet and lifestyle modification program. J Am Diet Assoc. 2002 Oct;102(10):1445–51.

26. Haynes RB, Kris-Etherton P, McCarron DA, Oparil S, Chait A, Resnick LM, et al. Nutritionally complete prepared meal plan to reduce cardiovascular risk factors: a randomized clinical trial. J Am Diet Assoc. 1999 Sep;99(9):1077–83.

27. Kretser AJ, Voss T, Kerr WW, Cavadini C, Friedmann J. Effects of two models of nutritional intervention on homebound older adults at nutritional risk. J Am Diet Assoc. 2003 Mar;103(3):329–36.

28. Roy MA, Payette H. Meals-on-wheels improves energy and nutrient intake in a

frail free-living elderly population. J Nutr Health Aging. 2006;10(6):554–60.

29. Noda K, Zhang B, Iwata A, Nishikawa H, Ogawa M, Nomiyama T, et al. Lifestyle changes through the use of delivered meals and dietary counseling in a single-blind study. The STYLIST study. Circ J Off J Jpn Circ Soc. 2012;76(6):1335–44.

30. Births and Fertility - Latest Data [Internet]. Base. [cited 2025 Feb 17]. Available from: http://www.singstat.gov.sg/find-data/search-by-theme/population/births-and-fertility/latest-data

31. Alkema L, Raftery AE, Gerland P, Clark SJ, Pelletier F, Buettner T, et al. Probabilistic Projections of the Total Fertility Rate for All Countries. Demography. 2011 Aug;48(3):815–39.

32. Death and Life Expectancy - Latest Data [Internet]. Base. [cited 2025 Feb 17]. Available from: http://www.singstat.gov.sg/find-data/search-by-theme/population/death-and-life-expectancy/latest-data

33. Phan TP, Alkema L, Tai ES, Tan KHX, Yang Q, Lim WY, et al. Forecasting the burden of type 2 diabetes in Singapore using a demographic epidemiological model of Singapore. BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care. 2014;2(1):e000012.

34. (DOS) | SingStat Table Builder – Singapore Residents By Single Year Of Age, Ethnic Group And Sex, At End June [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 17]. Available from: https://tablebuilder.singstat.gov.sg/table/TS/M810801

35. Survey Findings [Internet]. Health Promotion Board. [cited 2025 Feb 17]. Available from: https://hpb.gov.sg/community/national-population-health-survey/survey-findings

36. Watanabe D, Yoshida T, Watanabe Y, Yamada Y, Kimura M, Kyoto-Kameoka Study Group null. A U-Shaped Relationship Between the Prevalence of Frailty and Body Mass Index in Community-Dwelling Japanese Older Adults: The Kyoto-Kameoka Study. J Clin Med. 2020 May 6;9(5):1367.

37. Jackson C, Zapata-Diomedi B, Woodcock J. Bayesian multistate modelling of incomplete chronic disease burden data. J R Stat Soc Ser A Stat Soc. 2023 Jan;186(1):1–19.

38. Obesity in the Asia-Pacific body mass index classification is associated with the development of chronic kidney disease in non-diabetic Japanese men and women | medRxiv [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 17]. Available from: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.01.06.23300566v4

39. Hoogendijk EO, Dent E. Trajectories, Transitions, and Trends in Frailty among Older Adults: A Review. Ann Geriatr Med Res. 2022 Dec;26(4):289–95.

40. Taylor D, Barrie H, Lange J, Thompson MQ, Theou O, Visvanathan R. Geospatial modelling of the prevalence and changing distribution of frailty in Australia - 2011 to 2027. Exp Gerontol. 2019 Aug;123:57–65.

41. Walsh B, Fogg C, England T, Brailsford S, Roderick P, Harris S, et al. Impact of frailty in older people on health care demand: simulation modelling of population dynamics to inform service planning. Health Soc Care Deliv Res. 2024 Oct;12(44):1–140.

42. Puts MTE, Toubasi S, Andrew MK, Ashe MC, Ploeg J, Atkinson E, et al. Interventions to prevent or reduce the level of frailty in community-dwelling older adults: a scoping review of the literature and international policies. Age Ageing. 2017 Jan 7;46(3):383.

43. Ng TP, Feng L, Nyunt MSZ, Feng L, Niti M, Tan BY, et al. Nutritional, Physical, Cognitive, and Combination Interventions and Frailty Reversal Among Older Adults: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Am J Med. 2015 Nov;128(11):1225-1236.e1.

44. Kim H, Suzuki T, Kim M, Kojima N, Ota N, Shimotoyodome A, et al. Effects of exercise and milk fat globule membrane (MFGM) supplementation on body composition, physical function, and hematological parameters in community-dwelling frail Japanese women: a randomized double blind, placebo-controlled, follow-up trial. PloS One. 2015;10(2):e0116256.

45. Rietman ML, van der A DL, van Oostrom SH, Picavet HSJ, Dollé MET, van Steeg H, et al. The Association between BMI and Different Frailty Domains: A U-Shaped Curve? J Nutr Health Aging. 2018;22(1):8–15.

46. Sun Q, Xia X, He F. Longitudinal association between Body mass index (BMI), BMI trajectories and the risk of frailty among older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2024 Sep;124:105467.

47. Villareal DT, Banks M, Sinacore DR, Siener C, Klein S. Effect of weight loss and exercise on frailty in obese older adults. Arch Intern Med. 2006 Apr 24;166(8):860–6.

48. Quality of Life and Mental Health in Older Adults with Obesity and Frailty: Associations with a Weight Loss Intervention - PubMed [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 17]. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30498835/

49. Bonnefoy M, Cornu C, Normand S, Boutitie F, Bugnard F, Rahmani A, et al. The effects of exercise and protein-energy supplements on body composition and muscle function in frail elderly individuals: a long-term controlled randomised study. Br J Nutr. 2003 May;89(5):731–9.

50. Bai G, Wang Y, Mak JKL, Ericsson M, Hägg S, Jylhävä J. Is Frailty Different in Younger Adults Compared to Old? Prevalence, Characteristics, and Risk Factors of Early-Life and Late-Life Frailty in Samples from Sweden and UK. Gerontology. 2023;69(12):1385–93.

51. Ye KX, Sun L, Lim SL, Li J, Kennedy BK, Maier AB, et al. Adequacy of Nutrient Intake and Malnutrition Risk in Older Adults: Findings from the Diet and Healthy Aging Cohort Study. Nutrients. 2023 Aug 4;15(15):3446.

52. Healthier Dining Programme [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 17]. Available from: https://www.hpb.gov.sg/healthy-living/food-beverage/healthier-dining-programme

53. Healthier SG Chronic Tier [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 17]. Available from: https://www.healthiersg.gov.sg/enrolment/healthier-sg-chronic-tier/about/

54. Study: 80% of Singapore elderly at risk of malnutrition have low muscle mass,

but nutrition intervention can improve physical function and health [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 17]. Available from: http://www.snec.com.sg:80/news/research/study-80-of-singapore-elderly-at-risk-of-malnutrition-have-low-muscle-mass-but-nutrition-intervention-can-improve-physical-function-and-health

55. Mehta KK. Stress among Family Caregivers of Older Persons in Singapore. J Cross-Cult Gerontol. 2005 Dec 1;20(4):319–34.


Prevalence (%)
Number (000s)
(a)
(b)
(c)
(d)
(e)
(f)
Frailty
Pre-frailty
Robust
Year
Year


2030
2050
2030
2050
Decrease in New frailty (000s)
Decrease in Total frailty (000s)
Decrease in New Pre-frailty (000s)
Decrease in Total Pre-frailty (000s)
Increase in New Robust (000s)
Increase in Total Robust (000s)
Age
Age
Age
Age


100% effectiveness
50% effectiveness
25% effectiveness
(a)
(b)
(c)
(d)
(e)
(f)
(g)
(h)
(i)
Decrease in SOCV (000s)
Decrease in EDV (000s)
Decrease in Hospitalization (000s)
Year
Year
Year
2030
2040
2050


100% effectiveness
50% effectiveness
25% effectiveness
Decrease in New Frailty (000s)
Increase in Remaining Robust (000s)
Increase in New Robust (000s)
(a)
(b)
(c)
(d)
(e)
(f)
(g)
(h)
(i)
2030
2040
2050
Year


(a) Chinese male
(b) Malay male
(c) Indian male
(d) Chinese male
(e) Malay male
(f) Indian male
(g) Chinese male
(h) Malay male
(i) Indian male
(j) Chinese female
(k) Malay female
(l) Indian female
(m) Chinese female
(n) Malay female
(o) Indian female
(p) Chinese female
(q) Malay female
(r) Indian female
Baseline
Underweight
Obese II
Both
Prevalence Frailty (%)
Prevalence Pre-frailty (%)
Prevalence Robust (%)
Year
2011
2020
2030
2040
2050


(a)
Chinese-male
Malay-male
Indian-male
Robust › Pre-frailty (%)
(b)
Chinese-female
Malay-female
Indian-female
(c)
Pre-frailty › Frailty (%)
(d)
(e)
Frailty › Pre-frailty (%)
(f)
(g)
Pre-frailty › Robust (%)
(h)
Age
Age