Inflammatory profile changes in response to acute endurance exercise from NULISAseq-based detection of analytes in dried blood spot specimens from half marathon participants

Robert Chen[1*], Mohith Arikatla[1], Joanne Beer[2], Niyati Jhaveri[2], Brian Joseph[3], Ashley Kleinman[1], Erik LeRoy[1], Max Mahoney[2], Begum Mathyk[4], Sara Omar[1], Natalie Peralta[1], Jacqueline Proszynski[1], Anurag Sakharkar[1], Annalise Schweickart[1], Jordan Weiss[5], Jan Krumsiek[1,6], Christopher E Mason[1*]

[1]Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, USA
[2]Alamar Biosciences, Inc, Fremont, CA, USA
[3]Department of Pathology and Cellular Biology, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, USA
[4]Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of South Florida Morsani College of Medicine, Tampa, FL, USA
[5]Optimal Aging Institute and Division of Precision Medicine, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA
[6]Institute for Computational Biomedicine, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, USA

* Corresponding authors

Abstract

Microsampling techniques, particularly dried blood spots (DBS), offer a minimally invasive alternative to venipuncture for biomarker profiling in endurance exercise. This study leverages NULISAseq, an ultra-sensitive proteomics platform, to analyze inflammatory responses in athletes participating in a half-marathon. A cohort of six individuals—three endurance athletes and three sedentary controls—underwent longitudinal blood collection at five timepoints: pre-run (R-7, R-1), mid-run (R), and post-run (R+1, R+7). DBS samples were processed using the NULISAseq 250-plex Inflammation Panel to quantify inflammatory biomarkers.

Principal component analysis revealed a significant inflammatory shift in athletes during the run, with a 3.9-fold greater proteomic change than controls. Enrichment analysis identified key inflammatory markers, including IL-6, TNFRSF8, and VEGFD, with individualized response patterns suggesting distinct recovery profiles. Furthermore, Inflammation Age increased transiently in athletes post-run, indicating acute immune activation. These findings demonstrate the feasibility of field-based proteomics using DBS and highlight potential biomarkers for exercise-induced stress and recovery, which underscores the potential of high-throughput proteomics in optimizing training and recovery strategies for athletes.

Introduction

Microsampling techniques, particularly dried blood spots (DBS), have emerged as a promising alternative to traditional venipuncture for biospecimen collection. While DBS has been widely used for specimen collection in newborn screening, disease screening, and therapeutic drug monitoring, its application in comprehensive proteomics analysis during endurance running remains relatively unexplored[1,2]. Recent advancements have demonstrated the potential of DBS in metabolomics studies and limited proteomics panels, such as those offered by Metabolon and Seer Biosciences[3,4]. However, the full potential of DBS in longitudinal proteomics profiling, especially in challenging field conditions, has yet to reach widespread adoption.

Traditional sporting activities typically last less than two hours and include breaks. In contrast, endurance events, such as marathons, require sustained activity for several hours which can put participants at risk of undesirable outcomes from lesser performance to life threatening medical problems. There is a critical need for non-invasive, field-friendly sampling methods that can capture real-time physiological changes during such strenuous physical activities as endurance races[5,6]. Traditional venipuncture is more invasive than DBS, requires training, is impractical, and potentially disruptive in these scenarios (potentially leading to complications such as hematoma, pain, infection, phlebitis and vasovagal syncope), making DBS an attractive alternative for collecting biospecimens during events like marathons or half-marathons due to the possibility for participants to collect specimens on their own, the relatively small specimen requirements required, which may range from 10 uL[7] to 300 uL[8] for various modalities of measurement.

Various studies have interrogated inflammatory biomarkers[9] before and after exercise tests [10]. Specific to marathons and distance running races, studies have attempted to profile inflammatory markers with assays including MILLIPEX for assessment of blood biomarkers after a half-marathon activity [11] and in a controlled exercise test setting involving treadmill running and stationary biking [12–14]; salivary biomarkers during ultra-marathon [15]. Of note, no notable proteomics-focused studies have assessed a variety of inflammatory markers in the middle of a half-marathon exercise bout, in which participants undergo blood specimen collections and then are instructed to continue running to the best of their ability to reach a total distance traveled of 13.1 miles. However, analysis of such biomarkers in the middle of a sustained activity is important for personalized profiling and training because athletes pursuing in races are required to run with the greatest effort possible while maintaining enough physical stamina in order to finish the race with the fastest possible time; it is not uncommon for athletes to reach exhaustion before the end of a race (e.g., “hitting the wall”). Profiling of inflammatory markers in the middle of an activity can provide insight on pathways that are likely to be correlated with physical exhaustion and inform development of personalized mitigation strategies such as behavioral or pharmacological interventions.

In this paper, we propose a novel framework for collecting and processing dried blood spots for subsequent analysis of 250 analytes using an advanced proteomics technology called NULISA. To validate our methodology, we conducted a proof of concept study involving a cohort of six individuals: three endurance athletes and three sedentary controls. We longitudinally profiled the athletes participants before, during, and after the half-marathon event (13.1 miles), focusing on changes in their inflammatory protein profiles. Concurrently, we profiled the controls as they carried about their regular sedentary living habits.

By combining the practicality of DBS collection with the sensitivity of NULISA technology, we demonstrate the feasibility and application of field-based, high-throughput proteomics in longitudinal monitoring of athletes, which uncovers real-time physiological adaptations to extreme physical stress and may inform personalized training and recovery strategies in elite athletes.

Methods

Overview of study

This study employed a prospective, controlled, longitudinal design to investigate the multi-omic effects of long-distance running. A total of 6 participants were recruited and self-assigned into two groups: Athletes (n=3) and Controls (n=3), Figure 1A. The Athlete group participated in a 13-mile run, while the Control group maintained their regular activities without any specific intervention. Participants underwent blood collections during several timepoints before and after an intervention (running 13 miles, or doing nothing). At the end of the collection period, samples were processed and subsequently measured for proteomics using the high-plex NULISAseq Inflammation Panel 250 on the Argo HT platform (Figure 1B).



Figure 1: Overview of blood collection timepoints and analysis framework. **A)** Dried blood spot samples were taken longitudinally between R-7 days and R+7 days. **B)** Specimen processing and proteomics pipeline, including protein capture and quantification via NULISA technology.

Study cohort

A total of 6 participants were recruited (3 Athletes and 3 Controls). The baseline table is shown in table 1.

	Athlete group (n=3)	**Control group (n=3)**
Age, years (mean ± SD)	31.3 ± 2.4	30 ± 6.4
Sex (n female)	1	1
Body mass index (BMI) (kg/m²) (mean ± SD)	21.4 ± 1.8	22.8 ± 2.1
Table 1: Baseline table of demographic characteristics of study cohort.		

Data Collection

Blood Sample Collection

Blood samples were collected from all participants at five timepoints:

- R-7: Seven days before the run
- R-1: One day before the run
- R (Mid-run): During the run (approximately at the midpoint)
- R+1: One day after the run
- R+7: Seven days after the run

Where R denotes the date of the run, R-n indicates n days before the run, and R+n indicates n days after the run. Samples R-7, R-1, R+1 and R+7 are collected first thing in the morning. Samples for R are collected first thing in the morning for controls and in the middle of the run for athletes.

Dried blood spot (DBS) samples were collected on Whatman 903 protein saver cards after finger pricks with Unistik 3 lancets, 21 gauge. Whole blood was applied directly to the cards and allowed to dry at room temperature for at least 4 hours. After drying, cards were stored in a sealed bag with desiccant packs at -80°C until analysis.

Measurements

A panel of 250 biomarkers relevant to inflammation was tested on all dried blood samples obtained from all timepoints.

Specimens from DBS samples were processed as follows., DBS punches (6 mm diameter) were excised from the cards using a sterile manual puncher. Each punch was placed in a well of a 24-well plate containing 200 µL of extraction buffer (NULISA Sample Diluent with protease and phosphatase inhibitors). Plates were incubated on a rocking platform at RT for 1 hour to maximize protein elution. After incubation, samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 30 minutes to remove insoluble debris. Supernatants were transferred to fresh tubes and stored at -80°C until downstream analysis.

Protein analyte quantification was performed using Alamar Biosciences' ARGO platform with the NULISAseq Inflammation Panel, targeting inflammation-associated biomarkers. The NULISA platform employs an ultra-sensitive proximity-based immunoassay coupled with signal amplification for highly sensitive detection of multiple analytes in low sample volumes.

NULISAseq assay

NULISAseq assays were performed at Alamar Biosciences as described previously [7]. Briefly, DBS extracts stored at -80°C were thawed on ice and centrifuged at 2,200g for 10 minutes. 10 uL supernatant samples were plated in 96-well plates and analyzed with Alamar's Inflammation Panel 250 targeting mostly inflammation and immune response-related cytokines and chemokines. The ARGO HT platform was used to perform the NULISAseq workflow, starting with immunocomplex formation with DNA-barcoded capture and detection antibodies, followed by capturing and washing the immunocomplexes on paramagnetic oligo-dT beads, then releasing the immunocomplexes into a low-salt buffer, which were captured and washed on streptavidin beads. Finally, the proximal ends of the DNA strands on each immunocomplex were ligated to generate a DNA reporter molecule containing both target-specific and sample-specific barcodes. DNA reporter molecules were pooled and amplified by PCR, purified and sequenced on Illumina NextSeq 2000.

Data processing and normalization

For NULISAseq, sequencing data were processed using the NULISAseq algorithm (Alamar Biosciences). The sample- (SMI) and target-specific (TMI) barcodes were quantified, and up to two mismatching bases or one indel and one mismatch were allowed. Intraplate normalization was performed by dividing the target counts for each sample well by that well's internal control counts. Interplate normalization was then performed using interplate control (IPC) normalization, wherein counts were divided by target-specific medians of the three IPC wells on that plate. Data were then rescaled, +1 was added, and log2 transformed to obtain NULISA Protein Quantification (NPQ) units for downstream statistical analysis.

Data Analysis

All data analysis was conducted with the Python scikit-learn[16] library. Data visualization was conducted with the R package ggplot2[17].

Proteomic shift

Principal components[18] of all readouts were computed from all readouts. Proteomic shifts representing the inflammatory change from R-1 to R are illustrated using the first two principal components (Figure 2). For any given individual the proteomic shift *d* is the amount of inflammation change between the mid-activity timepoint and the R-1 timepoint, computed via the euclidean distance between R and R-1:

$$d = \sqrt{(PC1_R - PC1_{R-1})^2 + (PC2_R - PC2_{R-1})^2}.$$

The difference in proteomic shifts between athletes and controls is computed via Mann Whitney U test[19].

Enrichment analysis

Amongst athletes only, inflammatory proteins are assessed for their enrichment at the R timepoint relative to the R-1 timepoint, which indicates their significance in contribution to the proteomic shift in response to sustained endurance exercise. The following was conducted using all proteins from the athletes only. An enrichment score is calculated for each protein, which was used to prioritize proteins

based on their relative significance and magnitude of change. The enrichment score was defined as the ratio of the fold change (FC) to the p-value derived from a statistical comparison of R and R-1 timepoints.

For a given protein, the fold change (FC) for each biomarker was computed as the ratio of the relative abundance value at the R timepoint to the value at the R-1 timepoint:

$$FC_i = \frac{x_{i,R}}{x_{i,R-1}} .$$

A fold change greater than 1 indicates a relative enrichment of the biomarker at R, while a fold change less than 1 suggests relative reduction.

To assess the statistical significance of differences in protein levels between the R and R-1 timepoints, a Mann-Whitney U test was performed for each protein, comparing normalized protein quantifications at timepoint R against R-1.

Enrichment scores for each protein are computed via:

$$ES_i = \frac{|1 - FC_i|}{p_i}$$

Where FC_i is the fold change of protein *i* from timepoint R-1 to R, and p_i is the exact P-value of the Mann-Whitney U test.

All proteins with a positive FC (e.g., FC > 1) are ranked by enrichment score. Separately, all proteins with a negative FC (e.g, FC < 1) are ranked by enrichment score. The top 10 proteins with positive FC and negative FC are subsequently validated by human interpretation.

Interpretation of proteins with greatest degree of change

A manual literature review was conducted to validate whether the top 10 enriched and under-enriched proteins identified in the analysis have been previously associated with endurance exercise. Keywords used included the name of the protein, the associated gene name, and “endurance exercise”. The database Google Scholar was used. Data concerning the role of each protein in inflammatory pathways during endurance exercise and experimental evidence linking them to exercise adaptations were extracted from the selected studies. Findings for the proteins are subsequently summarized.

Inflammation Age

A predictive model for Inflammation Age was developed using a reference dataset consisting of 503 individuals aged 18 to 89 years[20]. A Lasso regression model was trained on this dataset to identify protein biomarkers associated with aging-related inflammatory processes. The resulting model included optimized coefficients and an intercept, which were subsequently applied for scoring new individuals.

To compute Inflammation Age for individual samples, protein expression levels were first standardized relative to the reference population. Specifically, the normalized protein quantification (NPQ) values for each sample were transformed into z-scores using the mean and standard deviation derived from the reference dataset. The standardized values were then used as input to the Lasso model, applying the pre-trained coefficients and intercept to compute the final Inflammation Age score for each sample.

Inflammation Age trends were analyzed across time points (R-7, R-1, R, R+1, R+7) to assess changes in inflammatory status over time. The primary comparisons included 1) change in Inflammation Age: The mean Inflammation Age observed across baselines (R-7, R-1) was compared to the mean age recorded during the workout and recovery period (R, R+1, R+7); 2) Group comparisons: differences between athletes and control participants were assessed via two-sample t-test to compare the change in Inflammation Age from baseline to recovery between the two groups.

Results

NULSA assay quality control from dried blood spots

A total of 30 samples (6 individuals across 5 timepoints) were extracted using NULISA Sample Diluent and analyzed on a single plate using the NULISAseq 250-plex Inflammation Panel on the ARGO platform[7]. This novel method enables simultaneous characterization of over 200 target proteins with ultra-high sensitivity. The plate included Alamar controls (Sample Controls, Inter-Plate Controls, and Negative Controls) alongside the study samples to assess overall assay performance and facilitate data normalization.

The assay metrics included a median intra-plate coefficient of variation (CV) of 6.19% across all targets in the panel for a single sample control with multiple replicates.All dried blood spot (DBS) samples passed QC evaluation. The detectability rate, defined as the percentage of targets quantified above the limit of detection (LOD) in at least 50% of samples, was 92.4% for the DBS samples. This result is consistent with prior detectability in DBS samples.

Inflammatory proteomic shift in response to endurance exercise

Principal components analysis (PCA) was performed for dimension reduction and the approximated change in inflammatory response was visualized with the first two principal components, which represent 63.9% (PC1: 49.8%, PC2: 14.1%) of the total variance from all proteins (Figure 2A). The trajectories of individuals from the R-1 to the R timepoint were measured as Euclidean distances, providing an approximation of the extent of inflammation-related changes. Athletes exhibited a mean change of 15.4 units, whereas controls experienced a mean distance of 4.0 units. Thus, the observed magnitude of change in athletes was 3.9 times greater than the baseline variability expected in sedentary individuals based on inter-day fluctuations (P=0.11). Across the top 10 proteins by magnitude of loading across the first two principal components, one protein had a negative loading on PC1 while the others had positive loadings. Along PC2, 6 proteins had positive loadings and the other 4 had negative loadings. Of note, the athletes responded to the run in individualized ways. For example, C003 exhibited a change in the opposite direction with respect to the first principal component, which represents 49.8% of the variation in samples.



Figure 2: The first two principal components from PCA using all proteins in the panel. A) all individuals in from the R-1 day to R (mid-run) timepoint; B) PCA biplot illustrating feature loadings of the top 10 features to the first two principal components.

Enrichment analysis of proteins

The enrichment score analysis revealed key proteins with significant changes in abundance between the R and R-1 timepoints, highlighting potential biomarkers for inflammation in response to exercise. Figure 3 highlights the top 10 proteins with increased abundance (see table 2) and the top 10 proteins with decreased abundance (see table 3) based on their enrichment scores.

Amongst the top 10 proteins with increased relative quantification by enrichment score, those most significant by P-value included: TAFA5 (P=0.08, fold change of 1.86), MMP3 (P=0.08, fold change 1.14), IL22 (P=0.08, fold change=1.14), C1QA (P=0.08, fold change of 1.10), TNFRSF8 (P=0.08, fold change of 1.09), VEGFD (P=0.08, fold change of 0.10).

Additionally, several proteins exhibited a fold change of over 1.2, representing more than 20% increase in relative quantification (TAFA5 (P=0.08, fold change 1.86); IL10 (P=0.38, fold change 1.28); SCG2 (P=0.38, fold change 1.23); IL6 (P=0.19, fold change 1.21). Amongst the top 10 proteins with decreased quantifications, P-values ranged from 0.08 (LTA, fold change 0.97) to 1.0 (CD200 (fold change 0.85), IL11 (fold change 0.96). Example trajectories of protein relative quantifications over time are shown in Figure 4.



Figure 3: Volcano plot of all proteins. Of the proteins which increased in abundance between R-1 and R, those ranked in the top 10 by enrichment score are shown in green. Of the proteins which are decreased in abundance between R-1 and R, those ranked in the top 10 by enrichment score are shown in red.



Figure 4: examples trajectories of proteins with increased abundance (top two rows) and decreased abundance (bottom two rows). P=P-value, Enr = enrichment score.

Proteins with Increased Relative Quantification

The analysis of the top 10 proteins with increased relative quantification between R-1 and R highlights a significant overlap with proteins previously linked to endurance exercise, as reported in prior literature. Among these, IL22[21], C1QA[22], TNFRSF8[23], IL6[24], VEGFD[25,26], IL10[21], CXCL10[21] are well-documented mediators of inflammatory and tissue repair responses to prolonged physical activity. For example, IL6 is a cytokine known to be transiently elevated during endurance exercise as part of a systemic inflammatory response. Similarly, C1QA is associated with immune complex clearance and tissue remodeling[22].

The remaining proteins—TAFA5, MMP3, SCG2—may represent novel or putative markers of endurance exercise-associated inflammation. For instance, TAFA5, identified as the most significantly enriched by enrichment score (fold change 1.9, P=0.08, enrichment score=10.7), may play a role in chemotaxis and neuroimmune interactions during exercise recovery[27–29]. These proteins, while not yet extensively studied in the context of exercise, warrant further investigation to confirm their relevance and to elucidate their potential roles in exercise-induced inflammation and recovery processes.



Figure 5: Trajectories of TAFA chemokine like family member 5 (TAFA5) across individuals.

Proteins with Decreased Relative Quantification

The top 10 proteins with decreased relative quantification also show a mix of well-established and novel markers associated with endurance exercise. Among these, LTA [30], S100A9[31,32], CNTF[33,34], IL23[35,36], IL2[36,37] have been previously demonstrated to be involved in exercise-related physiological responses. IL2 is central to adaptive immune responses and may reflect downregulation of immune activation post-exercise[36,37]. While IL23 has been shown to decrease in athletes in this cohort, previous studies have associated prolonged endurance exercise with increased levels of the protein[35,38,39].

The other proteins—CD200, IFNW1, IL24, IL11, and CD70—represent putative markers of exercise-associated inflammatory processes. For example, IL24[36,40] and IFNW1[41–43] are involved in cytokine signaling and may reflect reduced pro-inflammatory activity as the body transitions toward recovery.The downregulation of CD200, an immune checkpoint protein, could indicate a reduction in anti-inflammatory signaling as homeostasis is restored.

Putative signatures of delayed responses to exercise

Individuals may have different trajectories of stress response to exercise. The protein PDGFB is enriched in two athletes (C004, C005) mid-exercise at the R timepoint compared to R-1. However, relative increase in quantification in the other athlete (C003) does not occur until the R+7 timepoint (Figure 6). Platelet-derived growth factors such as PDGFB, which are generally involved in regulation of cell proliferation and migration and may mediate exercise-induced angiogenesis [44,45], have been previously shown to be correlated with endurance exercise. The delayed increase in PDGFB in the athlete C003 may represent a stress response unique to the individual, who witnessed a different overall trajectory of proteomic shift by principal component analysis when comparing the R-1 and R timepoints (Figure 2).

Another protein, cathepsin S (CTSS), is generally known to be increased in individuals after long-term endurance exercise; in this cohort, levels are generally higher in athletes compared to controls. Athlete C003 exhibited a decrease in relative quantification of CTSS at timepoint R compared to R-1, followed by increases at R+1 and R+7 timepoints. On the contrary, athlete C005 exhibited an increase of CTSS at timepoint R compared to R-1, and subsequently trended downwards returning to baseline R-1 levels at R+7 (Figure 7). Inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin 10 (IL-10) increase after prolonged endurance exercise, and is associated with exercise-induced inflammation. Two athletes (C004, C005) exhibited large increases in IL-10 during the timepoint R mid-run and reverting towards baseline levels at R+1, while another athlete (C003) exhibited the peak IL-10 quantification at the R+1 timepoint, eventually declining at R+7 (Figure 8).

Inflammation Age for longitudinal profiling

When considering baseline timepoints (R-7, R-1) against mid-run and post-run timepoints, Inflammation Age increased by 3.1 years (95% CI:-1.2, 3.1) on average amongst the athletes, while Inflammation Age increased by 0.6 years (95% CI: -3.7, 3.3) on average amongst the controls (P=0.31). Notably, athletes exhibited different trajectories of change in the Inflammation Age. For example athlete C003 peaked in Inflammation Age at R+1 while C004 exhibited a continual rise in Inflammation Age after the R (mid-run) and peaked in Inflammation age at R+7 (Figure 9).



Figure 6: trajectories of platelet-derived growth factor subunit B (PDGFB) across individuals.



Figure 7: Baseline levels of cathepsin S (CTSS) are generally enriched in athletes compared to controls.



Figure 8: Trajectories of interleukin-10 (IL10) across individuals



Figure 9: trend in inflammation age over time. Athletes tended to exhibit an increase in biological age when comparing baseline (R-7 days, R-1 day) against the day after the run (R+1). In contrast, controls did not exhibit the same increases in inflammation age.

Discussion

Microsampling is an effective modality for specimen collection for proteomics

We demonstrate that dried blood spots (DBS) are an effective and reliable specimen collection strategy for large-scale proteomics analysis using the NULISA platform. The use of DBS in combination with the NULISAseq 250-plex Inflammation Panel allowed for the simultaneous quantification of over 200 target proteins with ultra-high sensitivity. Stringent quality control (QC) metrics were achieved, as evidenced by a median intra-plate coefficient of variation (CV) of 6.19% for the sample controls, indicating exceptional assay reproducibility. Furthermore, all 30 DBS samples passed QC evaluation, highlighting the robustness of this approach in maintaining sample integrity across the workflow.

Protein detectability further demonstrates the utility of DBS for proteomics studies using measurement modalities such as NULISA. In our study cohort, 92.4% of all 250 targets were detected above the limit of detection (LOD) in at least 50% of samples. This high detectability rate demonstrates that DBS can preserve protein integrity and enable comprehensive proteomic profiling, even when collected in a non-clinical setting and stored under ambient conditions. These results emphasize the feasibility and scalability of DBS as a specimen collection method, particularly for studies requiring high-throughput and reliable quantification of diverse protein panels, such as studies involving athletes in the middle of an exercise activity.

Discovery of putative markers of exercise-related stress response

The integration of established and novel markers in this analysis underscores the complexity of the inflammatory and recovery dynamics associated with endurance exercise. While several proteins with increased and decreased relative quantification have established roles in exercise physiology, the identification of putative markers such as TAFA5, CXCL9, IL24, and CTSS highlights potential new avenues for research. It is important to note that due to low sample size the statistical testing may be underpowered for detecting a true difference and further validation of these findings in larger cohorts and across different exercise modalities will be critical to fully understanding their roles. Additionally, functional studies on the less-characterized proteins may provide new insights into the molecular mechanisms underpinning exercise-induced inflammation and recovery. These findings could have implications for monitoring athletic performance, optimizing training regimens, and developing therapeutic strategies for managing exercise-associated inflammation.

Individualized signatures of response to exercise

The discovery of individualized signatures of response to exercise, particularly in the context of inflammatory proteomic shifts, provides valuable insights into the physiological adaptations to endurance activities. The first two principal components in dimensionality reduction of all protein readouts across the R-1 and R timepoints, accounting for 63.9% of the total variance across all measured proteins, effectively captured the inflammatory dynamics from resting and mid-exercise timepoints.

Notably, the trajectories of inflammatory changes exhibited a marked difference between athletes and controls. Athletes exhibited a 3.9-fold greater magnitude of change compared to the baseline variability in sedentary individuals, which highlights a more pronounced and potentially more adaptive inflammatory response to intense exercise. When assessing the longitudinal trajectories across further timepoints including R+1 and R+7, recovery-related inflammatory profiles are seen (Supplementary Figure 1). Notably, the response to exercise as well as the recovery period, are individualized across athletes. The results make a case for using dried blood spots paired with

proteomic profiling for assessing the stress response and recovery associated in endurance exercise training, which can be used for personalized exercise training regimens that may benefit a particular athlete more so than a generic standard training program.

Future work

Future work will focus on scaling the dried blood spot (DBS) microsampling approach to larger participant cohorts to further validate its robustness and scalability in proteomics research. Expanding to larger populations will not only test the reproducibility of the NULISAseq platform across diverse demographic and clinical conditions but also help identify population-specific protein biomarkers for applications such as biomarker discovery and personalized medicine.

Additionally, integrating the NULISAseq proteomics data with other omics layers, such as genomics, metabolomics, and transcriptomics, can provide a holistic understanding of biological processes involved in endurance exercise, potentially unveiling novel insights that would not be evident through proteomics alone. Correlates of exercise-induced pathway perturbations and associated pathways in human diseases can be identified, which may uncover potential applications of exerkines for therapeutic applications in human disease.

Furthermore, corroborating findings from the NULISA platform with orthogonal proteomics technologies, including untargeted screening platforms like Seer and SomaLogic, will allow for a broader dynamic range of proteins to be searched.

Conclusion

This study serves as a strong proof of concept for using microsampling via dried blood spots (DBS) as an effective strategy for proteomics analysis, which was applied to a longitudinal study to characterize the proteomic response to endurance exercise in six individuals across five timepoints, revealing distinct inflammatory shifts. Significant longitudinal changes in protein quantification, as evidenced by enrichment analysis and principal component analysis, highlight the potential of DBS to uncover novel biomarkers and pathways associated with physical stress and recovery.

Increased Protein	P	Fold change	Enr	Prev known	Evidence	
TAFA5; TAFA Chemokine Like Family Member 5	0.08	1.86	10.68		• Expressed in brain regions, potentially neurokine [28,46] • May regulate immune and nervous cells [46] • Involved in fluid homeostasis regulation [27]	• Potential role in cell proliferation and migration [47] • May have protective properties against cardiometabolic disease[94] • No clear connection to endurance exercise established
MMP3; Matrix Metalloproteinase-3 (MMP-3), also known as stromelysin-1	0.08	1.14	1.76	No	• MMP-3 degrades extracellular matrix proteins, aiding tissue remodeling[48,49] • Potential involvement in inflammation regulation[49]	• Expressed in vascular tissues, potentially influencing blood flow adaptations[48,50] • Potential indirect links through extracellular matrix turnover in muscle adaptations[51]
IL22; Interleukin-22 (IL-22)	0.08	1.14	1.73	Yes	• IL-22 decreases after moderate-intensity continuous training[52] • High-intensity interval training may increase IL-22[52,53]	• IL-22 changes correlate with cardiorespiratory fitness improvements[52] • IL-22 helps regulate metabolic health[54] • IL-22 protects against exercise-induced gastrointestinal issues[55]
C1QA; Complement C1q subcomponent subunit A	0.08	1.10	1.29	Yes	• Unclear effect from acute exercise; C1QA decreases after long term aerobic exercise training [56,57] • Negatively associated with cardiorespiratory fitness levels [57]	• May relate to muscle strength improvements[8][57] • Involved in exercise-induced complement system modulation [57] • Potential marker for exercise adaptation processes [56,57]
TNFRSF8; Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor Superfamily Member 8	0.08	1.09	1.16	Yes	• TNFRSF8 increases in response to anaerobic and endurance exercise[23] • TNFRSF8 regulates T cell responses during exercise[58]	• TNFRSF8 modulates immune function after prolonged exercise[58,59]
IL6; Interleukin 6	0.19	1.22	1.14	Yes	• Skeletal muscle produces IL-6 during exercise [24] • IL-6 levels increase with exercise duration [24]	• IL-6 enhances exercise endurance and performance [60,61] • IL-6 regulates energy metabolism during exercise [24,62] • IL-6 mediates muscle-bone crosstalk during exercise [63]
VEGFD; Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor D	0.08	1.07	0.85	Yes	• correlates with lean thigh mass gains[64] • correlates with leg extension strength gains[64] • may influence training response in older adults[64]	• potentially promotes muscle angiogenesis during exercise[25] • could enhance blood supply to muscles[26]
IL10; Interleukin 10 (IL-10)	0.38	1.28	0.72	Yes	• IL-10 increases after prolonged endurance exercise[65,66] • Exercise duration correlates with IL-10 increase[66] • IL-10 peaks during post-exercise recovery period[67]	• IL-10 may reduce exercise-induced inflammation[65] • IL-10 could enhance endurance performance[68]
SCG2; Secretogranin II (chromogranin C)	0.38	1.23	0.60	No	• SCG2 involved in packaging peptide hormones[69] • SCG2 may regulate neuropeptides during endurance exercise[69]	• associated with endothelial cell proliferation, migration and angiogenesis[70] • associated with protection from nitric oxide induced apoptosis[71]
CXCL10	0.19	1.11	0.57	Yes	- CXCL10 decreases after moderate-intensity continuous training[72] - High-intensity interval training may increase CXCL10[72] - CXCL10 changes correlate with cardiorespiratory fitness improvements[72]	- Exercise reduces CXCL10 expression in prefrontal cortex[73] - CXCL10 may influence muscle repair after exercise[74,75]

Table 2: Interpretations of the top 10 protein increases, by enrichment score

Decreased Protein	P	Fold change	Enr	Prev known	Evidence	
LTA; Lymphotoxin-alpha	0.08	0.97	0.33	Yes	• LTA deficiency increases voluntary exercise in mice [30] • LTA in CNS mediates exercise fatigue [30] • LTA may regulate inflammatory responses during exercise [76]	• LTA potentially impacts exercise adaptation processes [76] • LTA's role in exercise needs further research [43]
CD200; OX-2 membrane glycoprotein	1.00	0.85	0.15	No	• CD200 regulates immune responses during exercise [77] • Endurance exercise affects CD4+ T cell populations[39] • CD200 may modulate exercise-induced inflammation response [39,77]	• CD200 expressed on various exercise-relevant tissues [78] • CD200-CD200R signaling potentially impacts exercise adaptation [77,79]
IFNW1; Interferon omega-1	0.38	0.95	0.14	No	• Type I interferon family cytokine [80,81] • Involved in innate immune responses [80,81] • May decrease after endurance training [82,83]	• Potentially modulated by exercise-induced immune changes [83] • Role in exercise adaptation unclear [83,84]
S100A9; S100 calcium-binding protein A9 (Calgranulin B)	0.38	0.98	0.05	Yes	• S100A9 levels decrease after endurance exercise training[31,32] • Regulates inflammatory processes during endurance exercise[32,85] • May influence neutrophil function during prolonged activity[86]	• Could affect muscle damage repair post-exercise[85,87] • Potentially modulates immune responses to endurance training[31,85]
IL24; Interleukin-24 (IL-24)	0.38	0.98	0.04	No	• May influence inflammation during endurance exercise [21,88] • Could affect immune responses in prolonged activity [21,88]	• Potential role in muscle repair processes [21,40] • May influence vascular function during exercise [40] • Could affect metabolic responses to endurance exercise [40]
CNTF; Ciliary neurotrophic factor	0.66	0.97	0.04	Yes	• CNTF genotype influences muscle strength response[89,90] • Exercise may increase CNTF levels[33,34] • CNTF supports motor neuron survival[91,92]	• CNTF polymorphism affects endurance athlete status[93] • CNTF modulates muscle adaptation to exercise[89]
IL23; Interleukin-23 (IL-23)	0.66	0.97	0.04	Yes	• IL-23 increases after prolonged endurance exercise[35,38,39] • IL-23 promotes neutrophil activation during exercise[10,35] • IL-23 may contribute to muscle damage[35,36]	• IL-23 correlates with exercise-induced inflammatory responses[35,94] • IL-23 potentially influences post-exercise immune system balance[35]
IL2; Interleukin-2 (IL-2) or T-cell growth factor	0.38	0.99	0.04	Yes	• IL-2 production higher in elderly runners [37] • Regulates T cell proliferation and function [95]	• May influence exercise-induced immune responses [36] • Levels change after endurance training programs [36,96] • Could mediate exercise effects on immunity [36,37]
IL11; Interleukin-11 or Adipogenesis inhibitory	1.00	0.96	0.04	No	• May influence inflammation during endurance exercise [36] • Could affect hematopoiesis in prolonged physical activity [36]	• Potential role in muscle repair post-exercise [36] • May modulate immune responses to endurance training [36] • Could influence bone metabolism during exercise [97,98]
CD70; CD70 molecule or Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 7	0.66	0.98	0.04	No	• Expressed on highly activated T and B cells [99,100] • May influence immune responses during endurance exercise [101]	• Could affect T-cell activation and proliferation post-exercise [100] • Potentially modulates cytokine production during prolonged activity [100,101] • May play a role in exercise-induced inflammation [102]

Table 3: Interpretations of the top 10 decreases, by enrichment score

References

1. Kashirina, D. N. *et al.* Proteomic characterization of dry blood spots of healthy women during simulation the microgravity effects using dry immersion. *Front. Physiol.* **12**, 753291 (2021).
2. Anderson, L. *et al.* Precision multiparameter tracking of inflammation on timescales of hours to years using serial dried blood spots. *Bioanalysis* **12**, 937–955 (2020).
3. Nieman, D. C., Groen, A. J., Pugachev, A. & Vacca, G. Detection of functional overreaching in endurance athletes using proteomics. *Proteomes* **6**, 33 (2018).
4. Dried Blood Spots and Beyond. https://myadlm.org/cln/articles/2022/september/dried-blood-spots-and-beyond.
5. Knab, A. M., Nieman, D. C., Zingaretti, L. M., Groen, A. J. & Pugachev, A. Proteomic profiling and monitoring of training distress and illness in university swimmers during a 25-week competitive season. *Front. Physiol.* **11**, 373 (2020).
6. Wilson, G. D. *et al.* SELDI-TOF-MS serum profiling reveals predictors of cardiac MRI changes in marathon runners. *Int. J. Proteomics* **2012**, 679301 (2012).
7. Feng, W. *et al.* NULISA: a proteomic liquid biopsy platform with attomolar sensitivity and high multiplexing. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 7238 (2023).
8. Eshghi, A. *et al.* Concentration determination of >200 proteins in dried blood spots for biomarker discovery and validation. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **19**, 540–553 (2020).
9. Docherty, S. *et al.* The effect of exercise on cytokines: implications for musculoskeletal health: a narrative review. *BMC Sports Sci. Med. Rehabil.* **14**, 5 (2022).
10. Reichel, T. *et al.* Acute response of biomarkers in plasma from capillary blood after a strenuous endurance exercise bout. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* **123**, 179–189 (2023).
11. Gaggini, M. *et al.* Changes in Plasma Bioactive Lipids and Inflammatory Markers during a Half-Marathon in Trained Athletes. *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. E Appl. Sci.* **11**, 4622 (2021).
12. Contrepois, K. *et al.* Molecular Choreography of Acute Exercise. *Cell* **181**, 1112–1130.e16 (2020).
13. Ashcroft, S. P., Stocks, B., Egan, B. & Zierath, J. R. Exercise induces tissue-specific adaptations to enhance cardiometabolic health. *Cell Metab.* **36**, 278–300 (2024).
14. Nair, V. D. *et al.* Molecular adaptations in response to exercise training are associated with tissue-specific transcriptomic and epigenomic signatures. *Cell Genom* **4**, 100421 (2024).
15. Stout, K. W., Pearson, J. R., Hambleton, C. A., Deckert, J. A. & Gallagher, P. M. The Effects of Ultra-Marathon Trail Running and the Physiological Response of Stress on Salivary Biomarkers. *Hum. Perf. Extrem. Environ.* **18**, 8 (2023).
16. Pedregosa, F. *et al.* Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. *arXiv [cs.LG]* (2012).
17. Villanueva, R. A. M. & Chen, Z. J. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis (2nd ed.). *Measurement (Mahwah NJ)* **17**, 160–167 (2019).
18. Wold, S., Esbensen, K. & Geladi, P. Principal component analysis. *Chemometr. Intell. Lab. Syst.* **2**, 37–52 (1987).
19. Mann, H. B. & Whitney, D. R. On a Test of Whether one of Two Random Variables is Stochastically Larger than the Other. *Ann. Math. Stat.* **18**, 50–60 (1947).
20. Poganik, J. & Biomarkers Of Aging Consortium. Biomarkers of Aging Challenge Proteomics. Harvard Dataverse https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/PMRPUZ (2024).
21. Goj, T. *et al.* The acute cytokine response to 30-minute exercise bouts before and after 8-week endurance training in individuals with obesity. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **108**, 865–875 (2023).
22. Chen, T. C. *et al.* Changes in plasma C1q, apelin and adropin concentrations in older adults after descending and ascending stair walking intervention. *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 17644 (2021).
23. Little, H. C. *et al.* Multiplex quantification identifies novel exercise-regulated myokines/cytokines in plasma and in glycolytic and oxidative skeletal muscle. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **17**, 1546–1563 (2018).
24. Nash, D. *et al.* IL-6 signaling in acute exercise and chronic training: Potential consequences for health and athletic performance. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports* **33**, 4–19 (2023).
25. Bei, Y. *et al.* Lymphangiogenesis contributes to exercise-induced physiological cardiac growth. *J. Sport Health Sci.* **11**, 466–478 (2022).
26. Qi, C., Song, X., Wang, H., Yan, Y. & Liu, B. The role of exercise-induced myokines in promoting

angiogenesis. *Front. Physiol.* **13**, 981577 (2022).
27. Hu, Z. *et al.* TAFA5 promotes proliferation and migration in gastric cancer. *Mol. Med. Rep.* **20**, 4477–4488 (2019).
28. TAFA5 TAFA chemokine like family member 5 [Homo sapiens (human)] - Gene - NCBI. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene?Db=gene&Cmd=DetailsSearch&Term=25817.
29. Wesołek-Leszczyńska, A., Pastusiak, K., Bogdański, P. & Szulińska, M. Can adipokine FAM19A5 be a biomarker of metabolic disorders? *Diabetes Metab. Syndr. Obes.* **17**, 1651–1666 (2024).
30. Netea, M. G. *et al.* Increased voluntary exercise in mice deficient for tumour necrosis factor-alpha and lymphotoxin-alpha. *Eur. J. Clin. Invest.* **37**, 737–741 (2007).
31. Landers-Ramos, R. Q. *et al.* Chronic endurance exercise affects paracrine action of CD31+ and CD34+ cells on endothelial tube formation. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **309**, H407–20 (2015).
32. S100A9 (S100 calcium-binding protein A9, Calgranulin-B, Migration inhibitory factor-related protein 14, MRP-14, p14, Leukocyte L1 complex heavy chain, Calprotectin L1H subunit, CAGB, CFAG). https://www.biovendor.com/s100a9.
33. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/physiology/articles/10.3389/.
34. Edman, S. *et al.* Endurance Exercise Elevates Plasma Mature Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor through Child- and Adulthood, with Amplified Effects in Adults. *Physiology* (2024).
35. Sugama, K., Suzuki, K., Yoshitani, K., Shiraishi, K. & Kometani, T. IL-17, neutrophil activation and muscle damage following endurance exercise. *Exerc. Immunol. Rev.* **18**, 116–127 (2012).
36. Małkowska, P. & Sawczuk, M. Cytokines as biomarkers for evaluating physical exercise in trained and non-trained individuals: A narrative review. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **24**, 11156 (2023).
37. Arai, M. H., Duarte, A. J. S. & Natale, V. M. The effects of long-term endurance training on the immune and endocrine systems of elderly men: the role of cytokines and anabolic hormones. *Immun. Ageing* **3**, 9 (2006).
38. Dorian, D. *et al.* Exercise-dependent modulation of immunological response pathways in endurance athletes with and without atrial fibrillation. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* **13**, e033640 (2024).
39. Perry, C. *et al.* Endurance exercise diverts the balance between Th17 cells and regulatory T cells. *PLoS One* **8**, e74722 (2013).
40. de Sousa, C. A. Z. *et al.* Time course and role of exercise-induced cytokines in muscle damage and repair after a marathon race. *Front. Physiol.* **12**, 752144 (2021).
41. Yano, H. *et al.* Exhaustive exercise reduces TNF-α and IFN-α production in response to R-848 via toll-like receptor 7 in mice. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* **110**, 797–803 (2010).
42. Kato, H., Suzuki, K., Bannai, M. & Moore, D. R. Protein requirements are elevated in endurance athletes after exercise as determined by the indicator amino acid oxidation method. *PLoS One* **11**, e0157406 (2016).
43. Knuiman, P., Hopman, M. T. E., Verbruggen, C. & Mensink, M. Protein and the adaptive response with endurance training: Wishful thinking or a competitive edge? *Front. Physiol.* **9**, 598 (2018).
44. Wang, X., Yi, X. & Tang, D. Regular aerobic exercise activates PDGF-BB/PDGFR-β signaling and modulates the inflammatory-anti-inflammatory balance in diet-induced obese mice. *Obes. Res. Clin. Pract.* **15**, 387–394 (2021).
45. Balberova, O. V. *et al.* The ‘angiogenic switch’ and functional resources in cyclic sports athletes. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **22**, 6496 (2021).
46. Protein structure - TAFA5 - The Human Protein Atlas. https://www.proteinatlas.org/ENSG00000219438-TAFA5/structure+interaction.
47. UniProt. https://www.uniprot.org/uniprotkb/Q7Z5A7/entry.
48. MMP-3. *Bio-Rad* https://www.bio-rad-antibodies.com/protein/human-mmp-3-recombinant-protein-php304.html.
49. GeneCards Human Gene Database. MMP3 Gene - GeneCards. https://www.genecards.org/cgi-bin/carddisp.pl?gene=MMP3.
50. Koulmann, N. & Bigard, A.-X. Interaction between signalling pathways involved in skeletal muscle responses to endurance exercise. *Pflugers Arch.* **452**, 125–139 (2006).
51. Proschinger, S. *et al.* Influence of combined functional resistance and endurance exercise over 12 weeks on matrix metalloproteinase-2 serum concentration in persons with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis - a community-based randomized controlled trial. *BMC Neurol.* **19**, 314 (2019).
52. Ramos, J. S. *et al.* Effect of different volumes of interval training and continuous exercise on

interleukin-22 in adults with Metabolic Syndrome: A randomized trial. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr. Obes.* **13**, 2443–2453 (2020).
53. Gharab, V. E., Ahmadi, M., Lashaki, T. J. & Choobdari, H. The effect of high-intensity interval training on IL -22 and STAT3 gene expression of liver tissue in steatosis animal model. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.22034/jeoct.2023.390583.1073.
54. Sajiir, H. *et al.* Harnessing IL-22 for metabolic health: promise and pitfalls. *Trends Mol. Med.* **0**, (2024).
55. Hou, P. *et al.* Exhaustive exercise induces gastrointestinal syndrome through reduced ILC3 and IL-22 in mouse model. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **52**, 1710–1718 (2020).
56. Lim, S. *et al.* Effects of aerobic exercise training on C1q tumor necrosis factor α-related protein isoform 5 (myonectin): association with insulin resistance and mitochondrial DNA density in women. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **97**, E88–93 (2012).
57. Rothschild-Rodriguez, D. *et al.* The effects of exercise on complement system proteins in humans: a systematic scoping review. *Exerc. Immunol. Rev.* **28**, 1–35 (2022).
58. Peake, J. M., Neubauer, O., Walsh, N. P. & Simpson, R. J. Recovery of the immune system after exercise. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **122**, 1077–1087 (2017).
59. Zhang, N. *et al.* Early-life exercise induces immunometabolic epigenetic modification enhancing anti-inflammatory immunity in middle-aged male mice. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 3103 (2024).
60. Fäldt, J. *et al.* Reduced exercise endurance in interleukin-6-deficient mice. *Endocrinology* **145**, 2680–2686 (2004).
61. Leuchtmann, A. B. *et al.* Interleukin-6 potentiates endurance training adaptation and improves functional capacity in old mice. *J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* **13**, 1164–1176 (2022).
62. Kistner, T. M., Pedersen, B. K. & Lieberman, D. E. Interleukin 6 as an energy allocator in muscle tissue. *Nat. Metab.* **4**, 170–179 (2022).
63. Chowdhury, S. *et al.* Muscle-derived interleukin 6 increases exercise capacity by signaling in osteoblasts. *J. Clin. Invest.* **130**, 2888–2902 (2020).
64. Dennis, R. A. *et al.* Single-arm resistance training study to determine the relationship between training outcomes and muscle growth factor mRNAs in older adults consuming numerous medications and supplements. *J. Nutr. Health Aging* **22**, 269–275 (2018).
65. Lira, F. S. *et al.* Endurance training induces depot-specific changes in IL-10/TNF-alpha ratio in rat adipose tissue. *Cytokine* **45**, 80–85 (2009).
66. Cabral-Santos, C. *et al.* Interleukin-10 responses from acute exercise in healthy subjects: A systematic review. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **234**, 9956–9965 (2019).
67. Ringleb, M. *et al.* Acute resistance exercise-induced changes in IL-6, IL-10, and IL-1ra in healthy adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine* (2023).
68. Santos, J. de M. B. D. *et al.* The relationship of IL-8 and IL-10 myokines and performance in male marathon runners presenting exercise-induced bronchoconstriction. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **17**, 2622 (2020).
69. Fischer-Colbrie, R., Laslop, A. & Kirchmair, R. Secretogranin II: molecular properties, regulation of biosynthesis and processing to the neuropeptide secretoneurin. *Prog. Neurobiol.* **46**, 49–70 (1995).
70. Wang, H. *et al.* SCG2 is a prognostic biomarker associated with immune infiltration and macrophage polarization in colorectal cancer. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **9**, 795133 (2021).
71. Li, L., Hung, A. C. & Porter, A. G. Secretogranin II: a key AP-1-regulated protein that mediates neuronal differentiation and protection from nitric oxide-induced apoptosis of neuroblastoma cells. *Cell Death Differ.* **15**, 879–888 (2008).
72. Fonseca, T. R. *et al.* Aerobic Training Modulates the Increase in Plasma Concentrations of Cytokines in response to a Session of Exercise. *Journal of Environmental and Public Health* **2021**, 1–13 (2021).
73. Carlin, J. L., Grissom, N., Ying, Z., Gomez-Pinilla, F. & Reyes, T. M. Voluntary exercise blocks Western diet-induced gene expression of the chemokines CXCL10 and CCL2 in the prefrontal cortex. *Brain Behav. Immun.* **58**, 82–90 (2016).
74. Ishiuchi-Sato, Y. & Nedachi, T. Possible involvement of CXC motif chemokine ligand 10 in exercise-induced collagen production of mouse dermal fibroblasts. *Endocr. J.* **68**, 1359–1365 (2021).
75. Ishiuchi, Y. *et al.* Skeletal muscle cell contraction reduces a novel myokine, chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 10 (CXCL10): potential roles in exercise-regulated angiogenesis. *Biosci. Biotechnol.*

Biochem. **82**, 97–105 (2018).
76. Calmon-Hamaty, F., Combe, B., Hahne, M. & Morel, J. Lymphotoxin α revisited: general features and implications in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* **13**, 232 (2011).
77. Ngwa, C. & Liu, F. CD200-CD200R signaling and diseases: a potential therapeutic target? *Int. J. Physiol. Pathophysiol. Pharmacol.* **11**, 297–309 (2019).
78. CD200 protein expression summary - The Human Protein Atlas. https://www.proteinatlas.org/ENSG00000091972-CD200.
79. Kotwica-Mojzych, K., Jodłowska-Jędrych, B. & Mojzych, M. CD200:CD200R interactions and their importance in immunoregulation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **22**, 1602 (2021).
80. IFNW1 interferon omega 1 [Homo sapiens (human)] - Gene - NCBI. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/3467.
81. IFNW1 interferon omega 1 [Homo sapiens (human)] - Gene - NCBI. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene?Db=gene&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=3467.
82. Jahromi, A. S. *et al.* Effects of endurance training on the serum levels of tumour necrosis factor-α and interferon-γ in sedentary men. *Immune Netw.* **14**, 255–259 (2014).
83. Scheffer, D. da L. & Latini, A. Exercise-induced immune system response: Anti-inflammatory status on peripheral and central organs. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Basis Dis.* **1866**, 165823 (2020).
84. Lin, Y.-N. *et al.* Protein supplementation increases adaptations to endurance training: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin. Nutr.* **40**, 3123–3132 (2021).
85. Mortensen, O. H. *et al.* Calprotectin is released from human skeletal muscle tissue during exercise: Calprotectin released from skeletal muscle tissue. *J. Physiol.* **586**, 3551–3562 (2008).
86. Protein S100-A9. https://go.drugbank.com/polypeptides/P06702.
87. Batmyagmar, D. *et al.* High intensity endurance training is associated with better quality of life, but not with improved cognitive functions in elderly marathon runners. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 4629 (2019).
88. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5789327/.
89. Hong, A.-R., Hong, S.-M. & Shin, Y.-A. Effects of resistance training on muscle strength, endurance, and motor unit according to ciliary neurotrophic factor polymorphism in male college students. *J. Sports Sci. Med.* **13**, 680–688 (2014).
90. Roth, S. M. *et al.* CNTF genotype is associated with muscular strength and quality in humans across the adult age span. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **90**, 1205–1210 (2001).
91. Recombinant human CNTF protein. *Alomone Labs* https://www.alomone.com/p/human-cntf/C-240.
92. CNTF ciliary neurotrophic factor [Homo sapiens (human)] - Gene - NCBI. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/1270.
93. Naito, H. *et al.* Polymorphism in the CNTF receptor gene is associated with elite Japanese endurance athlete status: A case-control study. *Juntendo Med. J.* **62**, 117–117 (2016).
94. Suzuki, K. Cytokine response to exercise and its modulation. *Antioxidants (Basel)* **7**, 17 (2018).
95. GeneCards Human Gene Database. IL2 Gene - GeneCards. https://www.genecards.org/cgi-bin/carddisp.pl?gene=IL2.
96. Zabetian, H., Sahraei, R., Hakimelahi, H., Yusefi, A. & Kargar, M. Effect of Eight Week Endurance Training on Serum Levels of Interleukin-2 and Interleukin-4 in Sedentary Men. 176–181 (2016).
97. IL11 interleukin 11 [Homo sapiens (human)] - Gene - NCBI. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene?Db=gene&Cmd=DetailsSearch&Term=3589.
98. UniProt. https://www.uniprot.org/uniprotkb/P20809/entry.
99. GeneCards Human Gene Database. CD70 Gene - GeneCards. https://www.genecards.org/cgi-bin/carddisp.pl?gene=CD70.
100. Arroyo Hornero, R. *et al.* CD70 expression determines the therapeutic efficacy of expanded human regulatory T cells. *Commun. Biol.* **3**, 375 (2020).
101. Pandey, A. K. *et al.* Expression of CD70 modulates nitric oxide and redox status in endothelial cells. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* **42**, 1169–1185 (2022).
102. Barrientos, G. *et al.* Association between trace elements and body composition parameters in endurance runners. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **17**, 6563 (2020).

Supplementary Data



Supplementary Figure 1: full trajectories of proteomic profiling in all individuals.