Welfare Policies, Arthritis-related Joint Pain Prevalence and Educational Gaps in 50 U.S. States from 2011 to 2021: A Fixed Effects Analysis

Rui Huang[a], Yuhang Li[b], Feinuo Sun[c]

a. Department of Sociology, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, USA
b. Department of Sociology, University at Albany, Albany, NY, USA
c. Department of Kinesiology, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX, USA

Abstract

Objective: Research on geographic disparities in pain and arthritis-related outcomes is still in its infancy, with particularly limited attention to the developing trends over time and the role of state's welfare policies in shaping pain disparities. This study examines 1) spatiotemporal trends of moderate/severe arthritis-related joint pain prevalences across 50 U.S. states, 2) educational disparities therein, and 3) the impact of welfare policies— i.e., Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, Earned Income Credit, minimum wage, unemployment insurance, and Medicaid generosity score.

Data and Methods: We compile a 6-wave biennial state-level panel data using data from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS). Logistic regressions are conducted to estimate trends of joint pain prevalence, prevalences for different educational groups (i.e., less than high school, high school or some college, bachelor's degree or above), and educational disparities. Incorporating policy data from the State Policy & Politics Database (SPPD) and the Kaiser Family Foundation's database (KFF), we use fixed effects regressions, with state- and year-fixed effects, to assess the impact of welfare policies.

Results: Joint pain prevalence has risen in most states, with educational disparities in pain widening in over half, though both trends vary substantially across states. Colorado and North Dakota exhibit considerably sharper increases in both pain prevalence and educational

inequalities. A more generous Medicaid program is shown to effectively reduce joint pain prevalence and eliminate educational inequalities in pain.

Conclusion: This study underscores the importance of state welfare policies in addressing pain disparities and calls for targeted interventions to support less-educated populations.

Keywords: Welfare Policy, US States, Pain, Education, Disparity

1. Introduction

The prevalence of chronic pain has been rising over the past two decades.(Zajacova et al., 2021a). This trend is concerning, given the strong associations between pain and disability, mortality, and increased healthcare costs (Barbour et al., 2016; Helmick CG, 2014; Huang et al., 2023; Perrot et al., 2019; Vilen et al., 2017; Zajacova et al., 2021b). One major medical condition that causes chronic pain is arthritis, which is highly prevalent in the United States—affecting 23.7% of people (58.5 million) during 2016-2018—with no evidence showing a decrease(Barbour et al., 2016; Hootman et al., 2016; Hunter et al., 2017; Minichiello et al., 2016; Theis, 2021; Xu & Wu, 2021). Previous studies have documented great educational and geographic disparities in pain and arthritis-related outcomes(Huang et al., 2023; Sun et al., 2022, 2024). There is also evidence, though not expansive, showing that state-level policies play a significant role in shaping those outcomes (Huang et al., 2023). However, most of the previous studies on the state-level variations in arthritis-related pain use cross-sectional designs, leaving the developing trends of these outcomes unclear. This paper aims to examine the changes in state-level arthritis-related pain and educational gaps over time, as well as how state policies shape these changes.

Studies on pain from the lens of macro-level determinants are surprisingly limited. According to the eco-social theory of social epidemiology (Krieger, 2001) and multi-level social determinants of health framework (Malamou, 2015), macro-level determinants, including state-level social policies, are crucial in shaping population health. Indeed, a wide range of empirical studies demonstrate that a state's higher minimum wage (Van Dyke et al., 2018), greater earned income tax credits (EITC; Strully et al., 2010), Medicaid expansion (Bhatt & Beck-Sagué, 2018), and more salubrious policies substantially improve population health. Among those studies, only

one recent research from Huang et al. (Huang et al., 2023) focused on pain, showing that a more generous Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program is associated with a lower risk of joint pain. This study, however, only relies on one-year cross-sectional data and does not account for temporal changes in both joint pain and state policies. Given that pain and arthritis outcomes develop in different patterns across states and state policies also evolve over the years, leveraging panel data from multiple years can better clarify how the development of arthritis-related pain outcomes is shaped by state policies, compared to more descriptive studies with cross-sectional data.

Moreover, the prevalence of pain and arthritis is particularly high among people with low education who have been shown to be more sensitive to state-level contexts (Huang et al., 2023). Research shows that the extent of educational disparities in various health outcomes (e.g., sleep, disability, mortality, and arthritis-related pain) vary considerably across states, and the strength of education-health associations is related to state-level welfare provisions (Huang et al., 2023; Montez & Bisesti, 2024; Montez & Cheng, 2022; Sheehan et al., 2021). Indeed, by providing more available resources and alleviating psychological strains, welfare policies are crucial for preventing the onset of pain among the most disadvantaged (Fisher & Eccleston, 2021; International Association for the Study of Pain, 2021). However, research focusing on educational disparities in pain barely examines the effects of state welfare policies. The previously mentioned study by Huang et al.(Huang et al., 2023) involved some discussions on this topic, but again, it relies on one year of cross-sectional data, and the findings are inconclusive.

This study aims to address gaps in the literature based on a 6-year, biennial, state-level panel dataset covering the years 2011 to 2021, since the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance

System (BRFSS) provides information about arthritis every other year. We also include other national data sources, such as the State Policy & Politics Database (SPPD). Focusing on moderate or severe joint pain, we examine 1) the temporal change of joint pain prevalence from 2011 to 2021 across states, 2) the temporal change of educational disparities in joint pain prevalence in the same period, and 3) how various welfare policies (i.e., SNAP, EITC, minimum wage, unemployment insurance, and Medicaid generosity score) affect arthritis-related joint pain prevalence, and educational disparities therein. Based on findings from previous literature that indicate the associations between welfare policies and health outcomes (Bhatt & Beck-Sagué, 2018; Strully et al., 2010; Van Dyke et al., 2018), we expect that the increase in generosity of welfare policies is associated with decreases in the prevalence of joint pain, and the association is stronger in less-educated groups. Thus, we expect a more generous welfare policy to be associated with smaller educational disparity.

2. Data and Methods

We compile a panel dataset across 50 U.S. states from multiple data sources (discussed below). The final state-level panel dataset includes 297 state-year observations across six biennial years (2011, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2019, and 2021).

2.1 Outcome variable

The outcome variables are constructed from the BRFSS, an annual telephone survey of residents in the U.S. aged 18 and older. It is well-suited for our research because it gathers comprehensive health-related data and attempts to be representative at the state level. Given that the weighting methods of BRFSS changed in 2011 and questions about arthritis and related

symptoms were asked every other year, data were constructed biennially from 2011 to 2021. The major outcome variable is the prevalence of moderate or severe arthritis-related joint pain (hereinafter “joint pain”) for the total population within each state (denoted as “full”), which is based on questions from BRFSS about doctor-diagnosed arthritis and pain intensity. We construct four outcomes to examine educational disparities in arthritis-related joint pain: the prevalence of joint pain among individuals with less than a high school degree (“<HS”), those with a high school or some college education ("HS/SC"), those with a bachelor's degree or higher (“BA+”), and the educational gap between <HS and BA+ groups within each state (“educational gap”). Prevalence estimates for all five variables are derived from predicted probabilities of joint pain based on logistic regressions, adjusted for age, gender, race/ethnicity, and sample weights. More details can be found in the Appendix A1(*To Access the Appendix, Click on the Details Tab of the Article Online.*, n.d.).

2.2 Key independent variables

We examine the impacts of five welfare policies: Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), earned income tax credit (EITC), minimum wage, Unemployment insurance (UI), and Medicaid Generosity Score (MGS). These policies differ in terms of policy type, benefit form, and target population (Reynolds & Homan, 2023). They constitute the major social safety net for people with limited access to resources across various domains, including health behavior (influenced by SNAP), tax (via EITC), labor law and benefits (through minimum wage and UI benefits), and health care (through Medicaid generosity). All of them have been shown to improve health outcomes for socioeconomic-disadvantaged people significantly (Allen & Sommers, 2019; Heinrich & Scholz, 2009; Kemp et al., 2022; Reynolds & Homan, 2023). Data

for SNAP are from the Kaiser Family Foundation's database (KFF) and other four state-level predictors (i.e., EITC, minimum wage, UI, and MGS) from the State Policy & Politics Database (SPPD). Measures of these policies are as follows:

1) SNAP is measured by average monthly benefits (in dollars) received per participant.
2) EITC is measured by state EITC rate as the percentage of federal credit.
3) The Minimum wage is measured by state minimum wage, adjusted in 2021 dollars.
4) Unemployment insurance is measured by the maximum unemployment insurance benefit that eligible individuals can receive, adjusted in 2021 dollars.
5) Medicaid generosity score is an index. A higher score indicates a more generous Medicaid program in state, with respect to income eligibility, immigrant benefits, administrative burden, and Medicaid benefits.

To account for the time lag in social policy impacts, these policy factors are drawn from the two years prior to the outcome variables (2009, 2011, 2013, 2015, 2017, and 2019). A correlation matrix for policy variables is summarized in Appendix A2(*To Access the Appendix, Click on the Details Tab of the Article Online.*, n.d.).

2.3 Covariates

We also consider several state-level covariates, including urbanization rate, the percentage of immigrants, gross domestic product (GDP) growth rate, and income inequality (measured by the Gini index). Data on the percentage of immigrants are drawn from the mid-year American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimates. GDP growth data are from the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis. Descriptive statistics for all variables are shown in Appendix Exhibit A3 (*To Access the Appendix, Click on the Details Tab of the Article Online.*, n.d.).

2.5 Analytical Strategies

The unit of analysis is "state-year". We first plot the trends of joint pain prevalence over years as well as geographic distributions of the relative change in joint pain prevalence and educational gaps between 2011 and 2021, defined as $(pain_{2021} - pain_{2011})/pain_{2011}$, across states. Second, two-way fixed effects regression models are conducted to examine the association between state policy factors and five outcomes, including joint pain prevalence for the total population and for three educational groups, as well as the educational gap in joint pain prevalence, controlling for state-level covariates (Models 1-5). State- and year-fixed effects are incorporated to control for time-invariant unmeasured factors across states (e.g., population composition, stable difference in policy by state, cost of living, climate and environment features, etc.) and secular trends that affect the entire nation (e.g., changes in national economy and policy changes at the federal level)(Clarke et al., 2010; Möhring, 2021). All variables are log-transformed except for the GDP growth rate, which contains negative values. Thus, estimates represent the corresponding percentage change in each type of pain measure for each 1% increase in each type of welfare policy. We also clustered the standard errors at the state level to screen out the potential problems that may be caused by heteroscedasticity or serial correlation. Variance inflation factors (VIFs) show that multicollinearity is not a problem. All models were analyzed in Stata 17.0.

Additional analyses were conducted to test the sensitivity of results. Instead of pooling all policy indicators together, we estimate several sets of models: (1) models where policy variables are included one at a time without state-level covariates, (2) similar models that incorporate state-level covariates, and (3) models where the full set of policy indicators and state-level

covariates are included, and pain outcomes are lagged by zero, 1, 3, 4 years. These results are presented in Appendix A4 and A5 (*To Access the Appendix, Click on the Details Tab of the Article Online.*, n.d.). Findings from models examining policy indicators separately (Appendix A4) are similar to the saturated models in the main text. Results from models with different lags (Appendix A5) suggest that a two-year lag in pain outcomes is a more appropriate and conservative approach, as welfare policies exhibit little impact with 0- or 1-year lags but show increasing effects in models with 3- or 4-year lags.

2.6 Limitations

First, the use of state-level data only allows us to conclude the effects of policies on the population level. Making implications on other levels, such as individual-level pain risk, would commit to ecological fallacy. More research is needed on different levels of analysis. Second, although we included several covariates, our findings may still suffer from bias resulting from omitted time-varying variables, such as cultures and government ideology, which calls for further analysis when more data are available. Third, social policies often come in a package and interact with each other in complicated ways that are often dependent on other contextual factors (Hanson & Andrews, 2009; Reynolds & Homan, 2023). Future research needs to disentangle the interactions between different state policies and social- environmental factors.

3. Results

3.1 Temporal Trends of Joint Pain Prevalences and Educational Disparities

Exhibit 1 shows the trends of joint pain prevalence for the full population and for three educational groups, as well as educational gaps from 2011 to 2021. Results indicate a steady rise in the joint paint prevalence (from 10.5% to 11.6%; the solid red line) across the entire U.S.

population. Similarly, the prevalences for three different educational groups (i.e., <HS, HS/SC, BA+) tend to increase over time. The trend in the educational gap (the solid orange line) shows fluctuations, with a notable spike in 2017, driven primarily by a substantial increase in joint pain prevalence of <HS. However, the decline in the educational gap in 2019 is largely due to the increase in the prevalence of BA+.

Exhibits 2 and 3, respectively, demonstrate the geographic distributions of relative change (i.e., percent change rates) in overall joint pain prevalence and educational gaps across states between 2011 and 2021. Corresponding trend figures are presented in Appendix A6 and A7 (*To Access the Appendix, Click on the Details Tab of the Article Online.*, n.d.). Exhibit 2 illustrates that joint pain prevalence increases in most states and the average increasing rate is 11.0 (SD = 7.5). However, the increasing rates vary considerably across states. The rate of increase was highest in Colorado (26.2%), followed by Hawaii, Nebraska, Kansas, and North Dakota. Only four states – Montana, Wyoming, South Dakota, and New York – exhibit a mild decreasing trend (less than 2.2%).

Exhibit 3 shows that the average increasing rate of educational gap in joint pain is 5.3%, with substantial variation across states (SD = 30.5). More than half of the 50 states experienced a rise in the educational gap, while the other half saw a decline. The highest increase in the educational gap was observed in Colorado (94.8%), followed by Illinois, Wyoming, North Dakota, and Connecticut. In contrast, Pennsylvania experienced the most significant decrease (44.0%), followed by Arizona, Texas, Maryland, and New Mexico.

3.2 The Effect of Welfare Policies

Exhibit 4 summarizes the results from two-way fixed effect models and the effects of welfare policies on different measures of joint pain prevalence, controlling for state-level covariates. Given that standard errors are clustered and the sample size is relatively small (N=297), we tested significance at a more relaxed 90% level. Results demonstrate that net of other factors, an 1% increase in Minimum wage will decrease joint pain prevalence for the HS/SC group by 0.18% (β: -0.18, 95% Confidence Interval [CI]: -0.33 – 0.02). An 1% increase in Medicaid Generosity Score (MGS) is associated with a decrease of 0.12% in overall joint pain prevalence (β: -0.12, 95%CI: -0.23 - -0.00). This increase also corresponds to a 0.29% reduction in joint pain prevalence of <HS group (β:-0.29, 95%CI: -0.56 – 0.02), a 0.18% reduction in the prevalence of HS/SC group (β: -0.18, 95% CI: -0.38 -0.03), and a narrowing of educational disparity therein (β: -0.43, 95%CI: -0.86 – 0.00).

4. Discussion

Arthritis-related joint pain is a costly and prevalent condition that seriously compromises the quality of life in the U.S. (Barbour et al., 2016; Theis et al., 2021). Our data show that the prevalence of moderate to severe joint pain has increased over the past decade, rising from 10.45% in 2010 to 11.54% in 2021. Joint pain prevalence across educational groups (<HS, HS/SC, BA+) has increased, each following distinct temporal patterns, contributing to a relatively stable trend of educational disparities. Although macro-level factors and cross-state disparities are critical for understanding the development of health inequalities (Montez et al., 2019; Montez & Cheng, 2022), to our knowledge, this is the first study documenting the temporal trend of pain and educational disparities therein across states and examining policy determinants on the developing trends of pain over time.

Our findings reveal that the developing trends of joint pain prevalence from 2011 to 2021 vary substantially across 50 states. The prevalence increases in most states except for Montana, Wyoming, South Dakota, and New York. The increasing trends in Colorado, Hawaii, Nebraska, Kansas, and North Dakota are sharper (Exhibit 2). Moreover, there are substantial state-level variations in changes of educational disparities, with the educational gap increasing in half of the states and decreasing in the other half. Colorado had the highest increase in the educational gap (94.8%), followed by Illinois, Wyoming, North Dakota, and Connecticut, while Pennsylvania saw the largest decrease (44.0%), followed by Arizona, Texas, Maryland, and New Mexico. Both Colorado and North Dakota exhibit sharper increases in both joint pain prevalence and educational disparities due to the notably high increase in joint pain prevalence among the <HS group (relative increases are 63.1% in Colorado and 47.0% in North Dakota, respectively; not shown but available by request). This aligns with previous studies showing that substantial state-level differences in health outcomes are primarily driven by disparities among the least-educated populations (Huang et al., 2023; Montez et al., 2019). Policy interventions are urgently needed in these two states to halt the disproportionate increase in pain prevalence.

Another major goal of this study is to examine the impacts of welfare policies. We found that the increase in minimum wage can reduce the prevalence for the HS/SC group. However, this positive impact does not appear in the least-educated group (<HS) nor the educational gap in pain. The impact of minimum wage on health is inconclusive: while some studies suggest that a higher minimum wage improves health outcomes (Komro et al., 2016; Reeves et al., 2017; Wehby et al., 2020), others report no effects or mixed and conflicting results (Horn et al., 2017; Kronenberg et al., 2017; Narain & Zimmerman, 2019; Sigaud et al., 2022). Some evidence also points to a positive association between a higher minimum wage and unhealthy behaviors such

as smoking, poor diets and obesity (Andreyeva & Ukert, 2018; Cotti & Tefft, 2013), though the opposite findings exist as well. Our findings highlight the critical role of education in this particular dynamic: better education may enable individuals to make better (and healthier) use of additional disposable income.

In addition, our findings reveal that the increase in the MGS leads to favorable results in terms of reduced overall pain prevalence, lower prevalences for the lower-educated groups (i.e., <HS and HS/SC), and a narrower educational gap. Unlike other policies that show limited effects on eliminating inequalities in this study and other places (Parolin et al., 2023), Medicaid, a healthcare safety net program, shows effective influences on tackling health inequities through both “resources” and “psychology” domains proposed by the Fundamental Cause Theory (Douglas, 2016; Phelan et al., 2010). In addition to increasing access to higher-quality care (Montez et al., 2016), a more generous Medicaid program serves as a vital resource in alleviating the financial burden and psychological distress faced by the less-educated (Allen & Sommers, 2019; Mazurenko et al., 2018; McMorrow et al., 2017). All of them can collectively empower residents to better manage arthritis and control pain.

We did not observe significant effects of SNAP, EITC, and UI, suggesting that some seemingly salubrious policies may fail to reduce pain risks. There are multifaceted reasons. For instance, welfare participation is often associated with stigma that adds extra psychological burdens to recipients (Richard Pulvera et al., 2023). Research shows that while SNAP can promote self-rated health and more utilization of healthcare resources, it is also suggested to be related to obesity, another strong predictor of chronic pain (Gregory & Deb, 2015; Okifuji & Hare, 2015). Therefore, some policies could generate benefits while opening up new pathways

toward higher risks of pain. Note that due to the relatively small number of panel years, our findings might be subject to Type II error. More research is needed with available data.

In conclusion, this study underscores the importance of state-level macro factors by showing large heterogeneity of temporal trends of pain prevalence and disparities across US states during the past decades. We identified that Colorado and North Dakota are particularly disadvantageous with fast-rising joint pain prevalence and educational inequality therein. Urgent interventions are needed as the less-educated people in these two states appear to be more vulnerable and are likely to experience more pain-related health issues (e.g., disability) in the future. Moreover, higher minimum wage and more generous Medicaid are effective in reducing the population's pain and educational disparities. Our study highlights that future studies on pain should move beyond the individual level and identify macro-level barriers to effective pain prevention or management. Investigating welfare policies offers an important perspective in exploring how macro sociopolitical contexts shape the mechanisms contributing to pain inequality.

Reference

Allen, H., & Sommers, B. D. (2019). Medicaid expansion and health: Assessing the evidence after 5 years. *Jama*, *322*(13), 1253–1254.

Andreyeva, E., & Ukert, B. (2018). The impact of the minimum wage on health. *International Journal of Health Economics and Management*, *18*(4), 337–375.

Barbour, K. E., Boring, M., Helmick, C. G., Murphy, L. B., & Qin, J. (2016). Prevalence of severe joint pain among adults with doctor-diagnosed arthritis—United States, 2002–2014. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, *65*(39), 1052–1056.

Bhatt, C. B., & Beck-Sagué, C. M. (2018). Medicaid Expansion and Infant Mortality in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health*, *108*(4), 565–567. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2017.304218

Clarke, P., Crawford, C., Steele, F., & Vignoles, A. F. (2010). The Choice Between Fixed and Random Effects Models: Some Considerations for Educational Research. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1700456

Cotti, C., & Tefft, N. (2013). Fast food prices, obesity, and the minimum wage. *Economics & Human Biology*, *11*(2), 134–147.

Douglas, M. (2016). Beyond 'health': Why don't we tackle the cause of health inequalities. *Health Inequalities: Critical Perspectives*, 109–123.

Fisher, E., & Eccleston, C. (2021). Psychological aspects of pain prevention. *Pain Reports*, *6*(1), e926. https://doi.org/10.1097/PR9.0000000000000926

Gregory, C. A., & Deb, P. (2015). Does SNAP improve your health? *Food Policy*, *50*, 11–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2014.09.010

Hanson, K., & Andrews, M. S. (2009). *State variations in the Food Stamp benefit reduction rate for earnings: Cross-program effects from TANF and SSI cash assistance*.

Heinrich, C. J., & Scholz, J. K. (2009). *Making the work-based safety net work better: Forward-looking policies to help low-income families*. Russell Sage Foundation.

Helmick CG, W.-C. S. (2014). *The burden of musculoskeletal diseases in the United States: Prevalence, societal and economic costs (BMUS). 3rd ed*. The United States Bone and Joint Initiative. https://www.boneandjointburden.org/2014-report/ive0/joint-replacement

Hootman, J. M., Helmick, C. G., Barbour, K. E., Theis, K. A., & Boring, M. A. (2016). Updated projected prevalence of self-reported doctor-diagnosed arthritis and arthritis-attributable activity limitation among US adults, 2015–2040. *Arthritis & Rheumatology*, *68*(7), 1582–1587.

Horn, B. P., Maclean, J. C., & Strain, M. R. (2017). Do minimum wage increases influence worker health? *Economic Inquiry*, *55*(4), 1986–2007.

Huang, R., Yang, Y., Zajacova, A., Zimmer, Z., Li, Y., & Grol-Prokopczyk, H. (2023). Educational disparities in joint pain within and across US states: Do macro sociopolitical contexts matter? *Pain*, *164*(10), 2358–2369. https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002945

Hunter, T. M., Boytsov, N. N., Zhang, X., Schroeder, K., Michaud, K., & Araujo, A. B. (2017). Prevalence of rheumatoid arthritis in the United States adult population in healthcare claims databases, 2004–2014. *Rheumatology International*, *37*(9), 1551–1557. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-017-3726-1

International Association for the Study of Pain. (2021). Prevention of Pain in Vulnerable Populations. *International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP)*. https://www.iasp-pain.org/resources/fact-sheets/prevention-of-pain-in-vulnerable-populations/

Kemp, B. R., Grumbach, J. M., & Montez, J. K. (2022). US State Policy Contexts and Physical Health among Midlife Adults. *Socius*, *8*, 23780231221091324.

Komro, K. A., Livingston, M. D., Markowitz, S., & Wagenaar, A. C. (2016). The effect of an increased minimum wage on infant mortality and birth weight. *American Journal of Public Health*, *106*(8), 1514–1516.

Krieger, N. (2001). Theories for social epidemiology in the 21st century: An ecosocial perspective. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, *30*(4), 668–677.

Kronenberg, C., Jacobs, R., & Zucchelli, E. (2017). The impact of the UK National Minimum Wage on mental health. *SSM-Population Health*, *3*, 749–755.

Malamou, T. (2015). Social determinants of health. *Nosileftiki*, *54*(3), 231–240.

Mazurenko, O., Balio, C. P., Agarwal, R., Carroll, A. E., & Menachemi, N. (2018). The Effects Of Medicaid Expansion Under The ACA: A Systematic Review. *Health Affairs*, *37*(6), 944–950. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2017.1491

McMorrow, S., Gates, J. A., Long, S. K., & Kenney, G. M. (2017). Medicaid Expansion Increased Coverage, Improved Affordability, And Reduced Psychological Distress For Low-Income Parents. *Health Affairs*, *36*(5), 808–818. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2016.1650

Minichiello, E., Semerano, L., & Boissier, M.-C. (2016). Time trends in the incidence, prevalence, and severity of rheumatoid arthritis: A systematic literature review. *Joint Bone Spine*, *83*(6), 625–630. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbspin.2016.07.007

Möhring, K. (2021). *The fixed effects approach as an alternative to multilevel analysis for cross-national analyses* [Preprint]. SocArXiv. https://doi.org/10.31235/osf.io/3xw7v

Montez, J. K., & Bisesti, E. M. (2024). Widening Educational Disparities in Health and Longevity. *Annual Review of Sociology*. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-071723-080605

Montez, J. K., & Cheng, K. J. (2022). Educational disparities in adult health across US states: Larger disparities reflect economic factors. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *10*.

Montez, J. K., Hayward, M. D., & Zajacova, A. (2019). Educational Disparities in Adult Health: U.S. States as Institutional Actors on the Association. *Socius*, *5*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1177/2378023119835345

Montez, J. K., Zajacova, A., & Hayward, M. D. (2016). Explaining inequalities in women's mortality between US States. *SSM-Population Health*, *2*, 561–571.

Narain, K. D. C., & Zimmerman, F. J. (2019). Examining the association of changes in minimum wage with health across race/ethnicity and gender in the United States. *BMC Public Health*, *19*, 1–20.

Okifuji, A., & Hare, B. (2015). The association between chronic pain and obesity. *Journal of Pain Research*, 399. https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S55598

Parolin, Z., Desmond, M., & Wimer, C. (2023). Inequality Below the Poverty Line since 1967: The Role of the U.S. Welfare State. *American Sociological Review*, *88*(5), 782–809. https://doi.org/10.1177/00031224231194019

Perrot, S., Cohen, M., Barke, A., Korwisi, B., Rief, W., & Treede, R.-D. (2019). The IASP classification of chronic pain for ICD-11: Chronic secondary musculoskeletal pain. *Pain*, *160*(1), 77–82.

Phelan, J. C., Link, B. G., & Tehranifar, P. (2010). Social Conditions as Fundamental Causes of Health Inequalities: Theory, Evidence, and Policy Implications. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, *51*(1_suppl), S28–S40. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022146510383498

Reeves, A., McKee, M., Mackenbach, J., Whitehead, M., & Stuckler, D. (2017). Introduction of a national minimum wage reduced depressive symptoms in low-wage workers: A quasi-natural experiment in the UK. *Health Economics*, *26*(5), 639–655.

Reynolds, M. M., & Homan, P. A. (2023). Income Support Policy Packages and Birth Outcomes in U.S. States: An Ecological Analysis. *Population Research and Policy Review*, *42*(4), 73. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-023-09797-9

Richard Pulvera, Kaitlyn Jackson, Wendi Gosliner, Rita Hamad, & L. Fernald. (2023). The association of safety net program participation with government perceptions, welfare stigma, and discrimination. *Health Affairs Scholar*. https://doi.org/10.1093/haschl/qxad084

Sheehan, C., Zajacova, A., Connor, D., & Montez, J. K. (2021). State-Level Variation in the Association Between Educational Attainment and Sleep. *Population Research and Policy Review*, 1–24.

Sigaud, L., Daley, A., Rubin, J., & Noblet, C. (2022). The effects of recent minimum wage increases on self-reported health in the United States. *Social Science & Medicine*, *305*, 115110.

Strully, K. W., Rehkopf, D. H., & Xuan, Z. (2010). Effects of prenatal poverty on infant health: State earned income tax credits and birth weight. *American Sociological Review*, *75*(4), 534–562.

Sun, F., Zajacova, A., & Grol-Prokopczyk, H. (2024). The geography of arthritis-attributable pain outcomes: A county-level spatial analysis. *PAIN*, *165*(7), 1505. https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000003155

Sun, F., Zimmer, Z., & Zajacova, A. (2022). Educational Differences in Life Expectancies With and Without Pain. *The Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, *78*(4), 695–704. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbac169

Theis, K. A. (2021). Prevalence of Arthritis and Arthritis-Attributable Activity Limitation—United States, 2016–2018. *MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, *70*. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7040a2

Theis, K. A., Murphy, L. B., Guglielmo, D., Boring, M. A., Okoro, C. A., Duca, L. M., & Helmick, C. G. (2021). Prevalence of Arthritis and Arthritis-Attributable Activity Limitation—United States, 2016–2018. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, *70*(40), 1401.

To access the appendix, click on the Details tab of the article online. (n.d.).

Van Dyke, M. E., Komro, K. A., Shah, M. P., Livingston, M. D., & Kramer, M. R. (2018). State-level minimum wage and heart disease death rates in the United States, 1980–2015: A novel application of marginal structural modeling. *Preventive Medicine*, *112*, 97–103.

Vilen, L., Baldassari, A. R., & Callahan, L. F. (2017). Socioeconomic burden of pain in rheumatic disease. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*, *35*(Suppl 107), 26–31.

Wehby, G. L., Dave, D. M., & Kaestner, R. (2020). Effects of the minimum wage on infant health. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, *39*(2), 411–443.

Xu, Y., & Wu, Q. (2021). Prevalence trend and disparities in rheumatoid arthritis among US adults, 2005–2018. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, *10*(15), 3289.

Zajacova, A., Grol-Prokopczyk, H., & Zimmer, Z. (2021a). Pain Trends Among American Adults, 2002–2018: Patterns, Disparities, and Correlates. *Demography*, *58*(2), 711–738. https://doi.org/10.1215/00703370-8977691

Zajacova, A., Grol-Prokopczyk, H., & Zimmer, Z. (2021b). Sociology of chronic pain. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, *62*(3), 302–317.

Exhibit 1. Trend of moderate/severe joint pain prevalence, prevalence for educational groups, and educational gap between less than high school and bachelor's degree or above.



Note:

a. Prevalences are adjusted by age, gender, race/ethnicity.

b. Full = prevalence for full sample (without differentiating education); BA+ = prevalence for bachelor's degree or above; HS/SC = prevalence for higher school or some college; <HS = prevalence for less than high school; Educational Gap = prevalence for less than high school – joint pain prevalence for bachelor's degree or above.

Exhibit 2. Relative prevalence changes among U.S. adults between 2011 and 2021, by state.



Note:

a. The prevalence change for Florida is from data between 2011 and 2019 since data from Florida did not meet the minimum requirements of BRFSS for inclusion.

b. Percentage change rate = $(Pain_{2021} - Pain_{2011})/Pain_{2011}$.

Exhibit 3. Relative prevalence changes in educational gaps among U.S. adults between 2011 and 2021, by state.



Note:

a. The prevalence change for Florida is from data between 2011 and 2019 since data from Florida did not meet the minimum requirements of BRFSS for inclusion.

b. Percentage change rate = (Educational Gap_{2021} – Educational Gap_{2011})/Educational Gap_{2011}.

Exhibit 4. Coefficients of welfare policies in two-way fixed effects models

	All Model 1	<HS Model 2	HS/SC Model 3	BA+ Model 4	Educational Gap Model 5
SNAP	0.05	-0.19	-0.13	0.06	-0.3
	(-0.29 - 0.39)	(-0.98 - 0.60)	(-0.61 - 0.35)	(-0.70 - 0.81)	(-1.28 - 0.67)
EITC	0	0.02	-0.01	0	0.02
	(-0.01 - 0.02)	(-0.01 - 0.04)	(-0.02 - 0.01)	(-0.02 - 0.02)	(-0.02 - 0.06)
Minimum Wage	-0.11†	-0.1	**-0.18***	-0.06	-0.11
	(-0.23 - 0.02)	(-0.41 - 0.21)	(-0.34 - -0.02)	(-0.32 - 0.19)	(-0.53 - 0.30)
UI	0.03	0.15	0.07	0.07	0.16
	(-0.13 - 0.18)	(-0.09 - 0.38)	(-0.08 - 0.23)	(-0.14 - 0.27)	(-0.13 - 0.45)
MGS	**-0.12***	**-0.29***	-0.18†	-0.01	-0.43†
	(-0.23 - -0.00)	(-0.56 - -0.02)	(-0.38 - 0.03)	(-0.31 - 0.29)	(-0.86 - 0.00)

Note: † p<0.1, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001

a. All models are clustered at state level. All = the prevalence of joint pain in states; <HS = the prevalence for the group who has less than high school degree; HS/SC= the prevalence for the group who has high school or some college degree; BA+ = the prevalence for the group who have bachelor's degree or above. SNAP = Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program; EITC = Earned income tax credit; UI = unemployment insurance; MGS = Medicaid Generosity Score.